

Development of a high sensitivity torsional balance for the study of the Casimir force in the 1-10 micrometer range

Astrid Lambrecht,¹ Valery V. Nesvizhevsky,² Roberto Onofrio,^{3,4} and Serge Reynaud¹

¹Laboratoire Kastler-Brossel, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Campus Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, France

²Institute Laue-Langevin, 6 rue Jules Horowitz, F-38042 Grenoble, France

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Dartmouth College, 6127 Wilder Laboratory, Hanover, NH 03755, USA

⁴Dipartimento di Fisica "G. Galilei", Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, Padova 35131, Italy

(Dated: December 29, 2021)

We discuss a proposal to measure the Casimir force in the parallel plate configuration in the 1-10 micrometer range via a high-sensitivity torsional balance. This will allow to measure the thermal contribution to the Casimir force therefore discriminating between the various approaches discussed so far. The accurate control of the Casimir force in this range of distances is also required to improve the limits to the existence of non-Newtonian forces in the micrometer range predicted by unification models of fundamental interactions.

PACS numbers: 04.80.Cc, 06.60.Sx, 11.10.Wx, 12.20.Fv

I. INTRODUCTION

The search for deviations from Newton's gravitation law has been a recurrent issue for the last three decades. Initially motivated by the possibility for deviations from standard gravity due to new forces with couplings of order of the gravitational one [1], this search has more recently been encouraged by unification models which predict the existence of forces which can be up to 10^5 times stronger than gravity with ranges between 1 micrometer and 100 micrometers [2, 3]. Even if its results have not met the initial hopes of observing a "fifth force", this search has generated an impressive progress of tests of the gravitation law in the laboratory or in the solar system. It has led to a largely improved knowledge of this law narrowing the remaining open windows for new hypothetical forces.

The hypothetical extra-gravitational force is often parametrized by a Yukawa range and a coupling strength such that the corresponding potential is:

$$V_{\text{Newton}}(d) + V_{\text{Yukawa}}(d) = \frac{GM_a M_b}{d} \left(1 + e^{-d/\lambda} \right) \quad (1)$$

The Newton and Yukawa potentials have been written for two point masses M_a and M_b at a distance d from each other, and the coupling strength is defined with respect to Newtonian gravity. The current limits in the $(\lambda; \gamma)$ plane (see for instance [4]), summarize the considerable progress achieved during the last decades, thanks to a variety of laboratory experiments and solar system observations. At the same time, windows remain open for deviations of standard gravity in the submillimeter range or for scales larger than the size of planetary orbits [5]. In this paper, we focus our attention on the submillimeter window.

The accuracy of short range tests has recently been much improved for Cavendish experiments performed at smaller distances. The best limits for distances of the order of ~ 100 micrometers have been recently obtained by the group of Adelberger at the University of Washington using torsion balances and rotors [6, 7, 8]. Similar experiments have been performed with microresonators in the groups at Boulder [9] and Stanford [10] and they have started to explore distances below 100 micrometers, where however difficulties arise from the stringent requirements to maintain the surfaces parallel during the rotation. For even lower distances, of the order or smaller than one micrometer, the hypothetical new forces have to be measured against a large background coming from the Casimir force [11]. The latter has been measured with increasing accuracy during the last years by various groups using atomic force microscopes or microresonators whose motion is monitored by means of capacitively or optically coupled displacement transducers [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

At this point, it is worth emphasizing that the theoretical predictions are the most promising in the 10 micrometer range [23, 24, 25]. It is therefore important to design new experiments aiming at the detection of forces acting in this distances range, where the Casimir force is still the main known background. It is the purpose of this paper to describe a proposal for a high precision study of the Casimir force at distances from a few micrometers to 10 micrometers. The challenge is to be able to measure the Casimir force which becomes weaker and weaker when the distance is increased. On the other hand some of the main corrections known to endanger the accurate measurement of the Casimir force are expected to be more easily controlled at large distances. Meeting this challenge would allow to bring new information on problems still present in the theory of Casimir force [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32], and more generally on the understanding of the properties of quantum vacuum [33, 34, 35].

Another important motivation is coming from open questions in cavity quantum electrodynamics, in particular the issue of the finite temperature corrections to the Casimir force. This correction is for room temperature particularly significant at large distances, since only then the cavity formed by the two mirrors can sustain electromagnetic modes filled with a non-negligible number of thermal photons [36, 37, 38, 39]. The only experiment which has investigated the Casimir force at distances up to about 8 μm in a plane-spherical configuration [12], has shown no evidence of the thermal contribution which was yet expected to be visible at such distances. The discrepancy can be interpreted in various manners, going from an overestimation of the accuracy claimed in the first letter [40, 41, 42, 43] to the much debated possibility that previous calculations have mistreated the thermal contribution for dissipative mirrors [44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52]. Therefore the interplay between thermal and quantum fluctuations needs to be studied more closely. Obtaining an unambiguous experimental output for the value of the Casimir force at large distances could decide between the contradictory models used by different authors.

Another experiment, performed at the University of Padova, has explored the Casimir force at room temperature in a parallel plate configuration [20] with distances up to 3 μm . In this experiment, the experimental accuracy of 15% was not sufficient to measure the amplitude of the thermal corrections, weaker at 3 μm than at 8 μm . Let us emphasize that it is important to test the Casimir force in a parallel plate configuration for the following reasons [53]. First, the parallel plate configuration, originally considered by Casimir, is the only one for which an exact analytical expression of the force exists. For other geometries considered so far, predictions always rely on the proximity force approximation based on analogies with the treatment of additive forces [54, 55]. Second, for an apparatus of a given size the parallel plate configuration leads to the largest possible signal, because the entire surface fully contributes to the Casimir force. This is to be contrasted with the obvious loss of signal in the plane-sphere geometry due to the smaller size of the closest approach region between the two surfaces. This argument favors the parallel plate configuration for the purpose of testing thermal contribution at large distances, since one important drawback of this distance range is the rapid decrease of the amplitude of the Casimir force. Finally, the purely gravitational force does not depend on distance, in a parallel plate configuration, apart from modelizable border effects. It is thus easier to disentangle standard gravity from other possible forces.

The main drawback of the parallel plate configuration is the need for a careful parallelization of the two surfaces. In the experiment carried out at the University of Padova [20], this was the major factor limiting the accuracy to a level of 15%. The solution proposed in the present paper is to take advantage of the recent progress in parallelization techniques obtained in a neutron experiment performed at the Institute Laue-Langevin (ILL) in Grenoble [56, 57, 58, 59, 60]. This technique, described in more detail below, should allow us to overcome the limitation associated with parallelization of the plates.

II. ESTIMATES OF SIGNALS AND BACKGROUNDS

In this section, we briefly sketch the estimates of signals and backgrounds expected in our configuration.

When evaluated for a null temperature, the Casimir force depends only on the separation between the two mirrors d , their surface S , and the two fundamental constants of relativistic quantum mechanics, the Planck constant \hbar and the speed of light c , as:

$$F_{\text{Cas}} = \frac{\hbar^2 c S}{240 d^4} \quad (2)$$

Throughout the paper, we consider the case of a rectangular mirror of size 10 μm \times 12 μm . We then find a Casimir force $\sim 25\text{nN}$ at $L = 5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ or $\sim 1.5\text{nN}$ at $L = 10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. In fact, at this distance the force is significantly enhanced by the presence of room-temperature black-body photons. Assuming the mirrors to be perfectly reflecting at all frequencies and both polarizations, we get a simple expression which gives a correct value at distances larger than 5 μm :

$$F_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{(3)k_B T S}{4 d^3} \quad (3)$$

At $T = 300\text{K}$, this force reaches a value $\sim 38\text{nN}$ at $L = 5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ or $\sim 5\text{nN}$ at $L = 10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. More accurate expressions of the thermal Casimir force can be found in [61, 62] which also treat the case of mirrors described by a lossless plasma model.

The real mirrors used in the experiments are actually better described by a Drude model taking into account dissipation of the optical response of electrons. For this situation, the various models used for calculating the thermal Casimir force predict values lying between the preceding expression of F_{thermal} and a value smaller by about 50%. This comes from the fact that some of these models predict the two polarizations, transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM), to contribute equally to the force whereas others predict the contribution of the TE polarization to

vanish for dissipative mirrors [44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52]. Therefore, at distances between 5 and 10 nm, even an accuracy of a few percent would be enough to bring new interesting information about the temperature corrections to the Casimir force. This point is discussed in more details in [63] (see in particular its figure 2) where is shown that the discrepancy between the two families of models is particularly emphasized in the plane-plane geometry.

When considering the role of possible backgrounds, it first appears that spurious electrostatic charges could be a nuisance. For instance, a constant stray electric potential of 0.1V would give rise to a force of ~ 25 N at $L = 5$ m or ~ 5 N at $L = 10$ m. This value is larger by roughly three orders of magnitude than the force we wish to measure. This challenge has been faced in all previous experiments through the application of counterbias voltages canceling as perfectly as possible the stray voltage. We have to acknowledge that the solution of this problem is more demanding at larger gaps, requiring a control of the stray voltage at a level better than one part in 10^3 . Local fields must also be controlled with the greatest care, but this point is, in contrast to the previous one, less demanding at larger gaps if the typical correlation length of patch potentials remains constant [64]. These points will be taken care of at ILI in Grenoble where the surfaces will be precisely characterized thanks to locally available surface analysis facilities. The spurious electrostatic effects will then be corrected either by applying counterbias voltages or via off-line data analysis. The optimal distance for the measurement will be determined by a kind of balance between the control of bias and locally varying spurious fields. Concerning border effects related to the finite surface area of the plates, we can estimate their influence using recent numerical results [65] according to which the change in the Casimir energy can be taken into account by introducing an effective surface area. In the case of a scalar field, the effective area is related to the geometrical area S and its perimeter C by the relation $S_e = S + 0.36dC$. This leads to a relative correction $F_{Cas} = F_{Cas} + 0.12C/d = S$, directly proportional to the plate separation d . For square mirrors with a surface area of the order 10^{-10} cm^2 at a distance of 1 m we get $F_{Cas} = F_{Cas} + 4.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$. For the electromagnetic field the correction should be similar, with a prefactor 0.36 in the scalar case replaced by unity [66]. In any event, even at the largest separation, corrections due to the border effects are too small to be detectable.

Finally, it is important to evaluate the contribution expected from Newtonian gravity and hypothetical Yukawa forces:

$$F_{\text{Newton}}(d) + F_{\text{Yukawa}}(d) = \frac{GM_a M_b}{d^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{d}{\lambda}} \exp(-d/\lambda) \right] \quad (4)$$

When integrated over two plane parallel plates with densities ρ_a and ρ_b and thicknesses ℓ_a and ℓ_b , this leads to [67]:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{Newton}}(d) &= 2G\rho_a\rho_b S_a S_b \\ F_{\text{Yukawa}}(d) &= 2G\rho_a\rho_b S_a^2 \exp(-d/\lambda) [1 - \exp(-\ell_a/\lambda)] [1 - \exp(-\ell_b/\lambda)] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For glass plates with a density $\rho_a = \rho_b = 3 \cdot 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and a thickness $\ell_a = \ell_b = 15 \text{ mm}$, we find a Newtonian force $\sim 10 \text{ nN}$ which, as already stated, does not depend on distance. If this force is measured with a torsion balance, it could be subtracted by compensating its action on both arms of the balance, by comparing measurements performed at different distances, or by using dynamical detection techniques only sensitive to the spatial gradient of the force.

The Yukawa force (5) is then determined by the parameters of the metal layers deposited on the glass plates and by the unknown parameters λ and μ . To give an estimate, we consider the case of gold layers with $\rho_a = \rho_b = 19.3 \cdot 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$. The thickness $\ell_a = \ell_b$ should be chosen with care since it plays a key role in the determination of the expression (5): the thicker the layer, the larger the hypothetical Yukawa force. Note that the estimate of the Yukawa force might be enlarged by adding the contribution of the glass plate to that of the gold layer. However, the metal layer would have to be changed in order to investigate the material dependence of the hypothetical Yukawa signal, so that we prefer to give conservative numbers corresponding to the metal layer alone.

If we consider that we can reach a resolution of the order of 1 pN for the force measurement, with all systematic effects mastered at the same level, we can translate expression (5) into the following limit on the relative Yukawa amplitude versus d :

$$\frac{F_{\text{Yukawa}}}{F_{\text{Newton}}} \leq 532 \exp\left(-\frac{L}{d}\right) \frac{1}{\exp\left(-\frac{L}{d}\right) - \frac{1}{2}} \quad (6)$$

The corresponding limit in the $(\lambda; \mu)$ plane is shown in Fig. 1 for four different values for the layer thickness, with ℓ spanning from 10^{-6} m to 10^{-2} m (measured in m in the log-log plot). We compare our limits to the bounds already known at short distances, as represented by the black solid line (corresponding to figure 5 in [3]). To our ideas, the bound obtained for $\ell = 10 \text{ nm}$, $L = 5 \text{ m}$ and $\mu = 10 \text{ m}$ is ~ 1000 which would improve the current knowledge by a factor of 100. Larger values for ℓ lead still to better bounds, allowing one either to rule out theoretical models discussed in [23] or to bring new information supporting one of them. Note that smaller values of ℓ , say a few hundredths of nm, can be used in the first stage of the experiment aiming at the test of the thermal Casimir force.




FIG. 1: Constraints on Yukawa parameters versus λ deduced from the analysis of the expected sensitivity of the experiment proposed in the present paper. It is assumed that the resolution reaches the level of 1 pN for a force measurement at 5 m, with all systematic effects mastered at the same level. The 4 curves correspond to different values of the thickness of the gold layer, respectively $\lambda = 0.3; 1; 3; 10$ m from the top curve to the bottom one. The shaded region represents the exclusion domain for new hypothetical forces deduced from previous measurements (see Figure 5 in [3]).

III. TORSIONAL BALANCE: DESIGN AND SENSITIVITY

For the measurement of the force with large surfaces, we intend to use a torsional balance similarly to those used in experiments exploring the equivalence principle with the Eötvös technique (see Refs. [68, 69] for updated discussions of torsional balances). The torsional balance has been designed at LL and its construction is in progress (see Fig. 2 for a schematic layout of the apparatus). The two pairs of plates for the Casimir force measurement are installed at the opposite arms of the moving assembly of the balance and on the static parts of the balance respectively. The plates for the Casimir force measurement and for the electrostatic calibration/actuation have a surface area of the order of 120 cm^2 for measurements at the largest gaps, around 10 m. If needed, the area can be reduced to 15 cm^2 for measurements at smallest gaps. The larger mirror size increases the Casimir force but leads to a larger mass of the plates/frame assembly, a thicker suspension wire and consequently a lower absolute sensitivity of the force measurement. The optimal parameters will be chosen experimentally by maximizing the measurement accuracy while minimizing systematic effects. Based on the measurement of the free oscillation of the balance with a tungsten or quartz wire of diameter 50–150 μm , the torque sensitivity is estimated in the 1–100 N/rad range. Considering the leverage of the balance and a minimum detectable displacement of $\sim 1 \text{ nm}$, we get a minimum detectable force smaller than 1 pN. The position of each side plate is installed using three high precision piezoelectric actuators switched in the dynamic positioning mode in such a way that the distance between the side plate and the corresponding balance plate is kept constant during the force measurement, similarly to the procedure described in [12]. The absolute distance between them can be controlled with an accuracy of about 0.2 m. A much more precise read-out of variations of the distance will be performed by means of capacitors symmetrically located on the other side of the torsional balance with respect to the active surfaces. Their design, for a gap of about 100 m, has been carefully chosen to make their influence on the response of the torsional balance negligible and ensure at the same time enough force sensitivity. The readout will be based on the voltage signal required to keep the distance constant, and will be measured capacitively, using a feedback servo circuit. The balance will be placed in a vacuum chamber mounted on an antivibration table with an active leveling system. Noise induced by the tilt should be kept under control by optimizing the wire length, and can be further minimized by locating the center of force measurement at the same height as the suspension point of the wire, and by properly shaping the plates, as discussed in more detail in [70]. Small tilts in the relative position will at the leading order not affect the resulting Casimir force signal.

The key feature of the project is the use of parallelization procedures in part already tested for an experiment going on at LL [56, 57, 58, 59, 60]. The experiment is aimed at observing the discretized quantum states of ultracold neutrons in a combination of the Earth's gravitational field and a reflecting barrier, of 10 cm size. These states are identified through the measurement of the neutron transmission between a horizontal mirror and an absorber. The surfaces are at a distance of few micrometers in order to scan the interesting region where no neutrons are expected if they have quantized energy levels. The quantized states have a spectrum starting with the lowest eigenvalue at 1.4 peV, which has the vertical position probability density located mainly lower than about 15 m. A negligible

counting rate is therefore expected for smaller separation between the mirror and the absorber. In order to rule out systematic shifts due to distance offset, stringent requirements on the parallelism and the control of the separation are necessary.

In a preliminary report [57], the ground state was clearly identified, later [60] parameters of the first and second quantum state were measured as well. The experiment is progressing with the aim of performing precision measurements of parameters of these and higher quantum states in order to get better constraints for extra short-range forces [59], and this requires more demanding parallelism control systems. The parallelism for sizes of the order of 10 cm is obtained by using so-called inclinometers, which have a sensitivity to deviations from parallelism better than 10^{-6} radians. Piezoelectric actuators in a closed loop with precision inclinometers allow to reach and maintain horizontal surfaces at the same level of precision. This figure is a routine result of the most recent runs in Grenoble on the experiment involving quantum states of neutron. In comparison, the estimate of the parallelism for the Padova Casimir force experiment was about $3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ radians.

This extremely good control of deviations from parallelism makes it also necessary to perform a precise analysis of the deviation from the flatness of the plates [71]. We will use standard optically polished flat surfaces already utilized as the reflecting surface in the neutron experiment. These surfaces are routinely studied with small angle scattering of x-rays, and the root mean square roughness can be as low as $\sim 1-2$ nm for the not too thick plates to be used for the test of the thermal Casimir force. For the search for hypothetical Yukawa forces, it will be necessary to use metal layers with a thickness larger than 1 μ m (see the discussion in the preceding section). This may lead to a degradation of the quality of their surface state, a problem which will be addressed by using already available techniques. First, the surface state can be studied for each sample by means of x-ray scattering, allowing one to obtain the Fourier spectrum of the deviations from perfect flatness [71]. Then, these data can be transformed into force corrections by using modelizations of nonspecular scattering recently developed for the purpose of evaluating the effect of roughness [72, 73]. In any event, this issue will be assessed more accurately than in previous experiments due to the availability of surface analysis facilities to provide in situ characterization of the apparatus. The remaining concern may be the preservation of the flatness state of the mirrors along the time or after the mounting of the experiment.




FIG. 2: Torsional balance under construction at ILL, Grenoble. The positioning of the flat surfaces is assured through 3 piezoelectric actuators on each plate. A system of capacitors on the other side of the balance, located at larger gaps, allow to measure the force necessary to keep the distance of the balance plates from the flat surfaces constant. The use of high-precision piezoelectric actuators and the closed-loop option available through their controllers allow to push the accuracy in the parallelism down to a few times 10^{-7} radians. A four mirrors symmetric scheme allows for numerous cross-checks on parallelism, absolute values of the gaps, and control over many systematic effects. The absolute value of the gap can also be determined by using wire spacers with diameters known within 0.2 μ m.

IV . C O N C L U S I O N S

In this paper, we have presented a proposal to study Casimir forces in the distance range from a few μm to 10 μm . This distance range is the one where the theoretical predictions look the most promising. At the same time, it is a "no experiment's land" where scarce progress have been reported so far, in contrast to the more widely explored regions of either shorter distances, with Casimir experiments up to $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$, or larger distances, with Cavendish type experiments down to $\sim 100 \mu\text{m}$.

The program will consist in assembling the apparatus, checking the plate parallelism, calibrating the instrument with known signals like electrostatic forces and studying the background and systematic effects, in particular the residual electrostatic effects. A complete characterization of the apparatus' sensitivity and noise will be performed. The apparatus may also be calibrated with a physical signal such as the gravitational force, by adding controllable external masses as sources like in a Cavendish experiment. This physical calibration will bypass all the current controversies on the actual sensitivity of some of the current experiments on Casimir forces. The measurement will first aim at testing the conflicting modelizations of thermal corrections to the Casimir force. In particular, these results should contribute to settle the controversy going on in the literature by bringing into the debate experimental information. On a longer term, the goal will be to improve the constraints on non-Newtonian gravity by analyzing the residuals between experimental measurements and theoretical predictions.

A c k n o w l e d g m e n t s

We thank S. Baessler, C. Binns, J. Chevrier, H. Gies, W. Lippert, P. Maia Neto, A.K. Petoukhov, M. Scherer, and C. Speake for stimulating discussions. We acknowledge the use of unpublished material kindly transmitted by the authors of Ref.[4].

-
- [1] Fischbach E and Talmadge C L 1999 The search for Non-Newtonian gravity (AIP/Springer-Verlag, New York) and references therein.
 - [2] Long J C, Chan H W and Price J C 1999 Nucl. Phys. B 539 23
 - [3] Adelberger E G, Heckel B R and Nelson A E 2003 Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 53 77 [hep-ph/0307284]
 - [4] Coy J, Fischbach E, Hellings R, Talmadge C and Standish E M 2003, private communication
 - [5] Jaekel M-T and Reynaud S 2005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20 2294 [hep-ph/0501038]
 - [6] Smith G L et al. 2000 Phys. Rev. D 61 022001
 - [7] Hoyle C D et al. 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 1418
 - [8] Hoyle C D et al. 2004 Phys. Rev. D 70 042004
 - [9] Long J C et al. 2003 Nature 421 922
 - [10] Chiaverini J et al. 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 151101
 - [11] Casimir H B G 1948 Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. B 51 793
 - [12] Lamoreaux S K 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 5
 - [13] Mohideen U and Roy A 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 4549
 - [14] Harris B W, Chen F and Mohideen U 2002 Phys. Rev. A 62 052109
 - [15] Chen F, Klimchitskaya G L, Mohideen U and Mostepanenko V M 2004 Phys. Rev. A 69 022117
 - [16] Ederth T 2000 Phys. Rev. A 62 062104
 - [17] Chan H B, Aksyuk V A, Kleinman R N, Bishop D J and Capasso F 2001 Science 291 1941
 - [18] Chan H B, Aksyuk V A, Kleinman R N, Bishop D J and Capasso F 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 211801
 - [19] Bressi G, Carugno G, Galvani A, Onofrio R, Ruoso G and Veronese F 2001 Class. Quant. Grav. 18 3943
 - [20] Bressi G, Carugno G, Onofrio R and Ruoso G 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 041804
 - [21] Decca R S, Lopez D, Fischbach E and Krause D E 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 050402
 - [22] Decca R S, Fischbach E, Klimchitskaya G L, Krause D E, Lopez D and Mostepanenko V M 2003 Phys. Rev. D 68 116003
 - [23] Antoniadis I, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 1998 Nucl. Phys. B 516 70
 - [24] Arkani-Hamed N, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 1999 Phys. Rev. D 59 086004
 - [25] Arkani-Hamed N, Dimopoulos S and Dvali G 2003 C. R. Physique 4 347
 - [26] Lunin G, Muller B and Greiner W 1986 Phys. Rep. 134 87
 - [27] Mostepanenko V M and Trunov N N 1997 The Casimir effect and its applications, (Clarendon, London)
 - [28] Bordag M 1999 The Casimir effect 50 years later (World Scientific, Singapore)
 - [29] Bordag M, Mohideen U and Mostepanenko V M 2001 Phys. Rep. 353 1
 - [30] Reynaud S, Lambrecht A, Genet C and Jaekel M-T 2001 in Space Missions for Fundamental Physics, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 2-IV 1287
 - [31] Lambrecht A and Reynaud S 2002 Vacuum Energy, Seminaire Poincare 1 107

- [32] Milton K A 2001 *The Casimir Effect: Physical Manifestations of the Zero-Point Energy* (World Scientific, Singapore)
- [33] Milonni P 1994 *The quantum vacuum* (Academic Press, San Diego)
- [34] Genet C, Lambrecht A, and Reynaud S 2002 On the nature of dark energy 121 (*Frontier Group*)
- [35] Milton K A 2004 *J. Phys. A* 37 R209
- [36] Lifshitz E M 1956 *Sov. Phys. JETP* 2 73
- [37] Mehra J 1967 *Physica* 57 147
- [38] Brown L S and Maclay G J 1969 *Phys. Rev.* 184 1272
- [39] Schwinger J, de Raad L L and Milton K A 1978 *Ann. Phys.* 115 1
- [40] Lamoreaux S K 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 5475
- [41] Lambrecht A and Reynaud S 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 5672; see also *Euro. Phys. J. D* 8 309
- [42] Lamoreaux S K 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 5673
- [43] Lamoreaux S K 2000 *Comments Mod. Phys.* 1 D 247
- [44] Bostrom M and Semelius B E 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 4757
- [45] Lamoreaux S K 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 139101
- [46] Semelius B E 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 139102
- [47] Svetovoy V B and Lokhanin M V 2000 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 15 1437
- [48] Svetovoy V B and Lokhanin M V 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* 67 022113
- [49] Geyer B, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2002 *Phys. Rev. A* 65 062109
- [50] Geyer B, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* 67 062102
- [51] Hye J S, Brevik I, Aarseth J B and Milton K A 2003 *Phys. Rev. E* 67 056116
- [52] Torgerson J R and Lamoreaux S K 2004 *Phys. Rev. E* 70 047102
- [53] Onofrio R 2004 *Proc. 6th Workshop on Quantum Field Theory under the Influence of External Conditions*, edited by K. A. Milton (Rinton Press, Princeton, NJ)
- [54] Derjaguin B V 1960 *Sci. Am.* 203 47
- [55] Blocki J, Randrup J, Swiatecki W J and Tsang C F 1977 *Ann. Phys.* 105 427
- [56] Nesvizhevsky V V et al. 2000 *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* 440 754
- [57] Nesvizhevsky V V et al. 2002 *Nature* 415, 297
- [58] Nesvizhevsky V V et al. 2003 *Phys. Rev. D* 67 102002
- [59] Nesvizhevsky V V and Protasov K V 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* 21 4557
- [60] Nesvizhevsky V V et al. 2005 *Eur. Phys. J. C* 40 479
- [61] Genet C, Lambrecht A and Reynaud S 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* 62 012110
- [62] Genet C, Lambrecht A and Reynaud S 2002 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 17 761
- [63] Dalvit D A R, Lombardo F C, Mazzitelli F D and Onofrio R 2004 *Europhys. Lett.* 67 517
- [64] Speake C C and Trenkel C 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90 160403
- [65] Gies H 2005 *Quantum energies and forces with worldline numerics*, talk at the 7th Workshop on Quantum Field Theory under the Influence of External Conditions, QFEXT07, Barcelona
- [66] Gies H 2005, private communication
- [67] Long J C, Chumside A B and Price J C 2000 *Proceedings of the Ninth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity* (World Scientific) 1825 [[arXiv:hep-ph/0009062](#)]
- [68] Boynton P E 2000 *Class. Quantum Grav.* 17 2319
- [69] Newman R 2001 *Class. Quantum Grav.* 18 2407
- [70] Lamoreaux S K and Buttler W T 2005 *Phys. Rev. E* 71 036109
- [71] Genet C, Lambrecht A, Neto P M and Reynaud S 2003 *Europhys. Lett.* 62 484
- [72] Maia Neto P M, Lambrecht A and Reynaud S 2005 *Europhys. Lett.* 69 924
- [73] Maia Neto P M, Lambrecht A and Reynaud S 2005 *Phys. Rev. A* 72 012115