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#### Abstract

W e de ne and study the properties of channels which are analogous to unital qubit channels in several ways. A full treatm ent can be given only when the dim ension $d=p^{m}$ a prim e power, in which case each of the $d+1$ m utually unbiased bases (M UB) de nes an axis. A long each axis the channel looks like a depolarizing channel, but the degree of depolarization depends on the axis. W hen $d$ is not a prim e power, som e of our results still hold, particularly in the case of channels $w$ ith one sym $m$ etry axis. W e describe the convex structure of this class of channels and the subclass of entanglem ent breaking channels. W e nd new bound entangled states for $d=3$.

For these channels, we show that the multiplicativity con jecture for maxi$m$ al output $p$-norm holds for $p=2 . W$ e also nd channels $w$ th behavior not exhibited by unital qubit channels, including two pairs of orthogonal bases $w$ ith equal output entropy in the absence of sym $m$ etry. This provides new num erical evidence for the additivity of $m$ inim al output entropy.
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## 1 Introduction

T he results presented here arem otivated by the desire to nd channels for dim ension $d>2$ whose properties are sim ilar to those of the unitalqubit channels, particularly w ith respect to optim al output purity. A channel is described by a com pletely positive, trace-preserving ( $C P T$ ) m ap. The channels we construct are sim ilar to unitalqubit channels in the sense that theire ect on a density $m$ atrix can be de ned in term $s$ of multipliers of com ponents along di erent laxes" de ned in term $s$ of m utually unbiased bases (M U B).W hen allm ultipliers are positive, these channels are very much like unitalqubit channels w ith positive multipliers. H ow ever, when som e of the m ultipliers are negative the new channels can exhibit behavior not encountered for unital qubit channels.

For a xed orthonorm albasis $B=f j_{k}$ ig, the quantum -classical ( $\mathrm{Q} C$ ) channel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { QC }()={ }_{k}^{X} h_{k} \boldsymbol{i}_{k i j j_{k} h_{k} j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

projects a density matrix onto the corresponding diagonalm atrix in this basis. A
 $B_{J}=f j_{k}^{J}$ ig is also a channel; in fact, it is an entanglem ent breaking ( $E B$ ) channel. W e consider channels which are a linear com bination of the identity $\mathrm{map} I()=$ and a convex com bination of Q C channels whose bases are m utually unbiased, i.e., satisfy

$$
\text { † }{ }_{m}^{J} ;{ }_{n}^{K} i f=\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{d} & \text { for } J \notin K  \tag{2}\\
m n & \text { for } J=K
\end{array}
$$

Such channels can be w ritten in the form

$$
=s I+{ }^{X} \quad t_{L}{ }_{L}^{Q C}
$$

L
w ith

$$
s+{ }_{L}^{X} \quad t_{L}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad t_{L} \quad 0 ; \quad s \quad \frac{1}{d 1}:
$$

The rst condition ensures that is trace-preserving (TP), and the pair that it is com pletely positive (CP), as will be shown in Section 2.

It is well-known that $C^{d}$ can have at most $d+1 M U B$ and that this is always possible when $d=p^{m}$ is a prim e power. $W$ e are prim arily interested in channels of the form (3) when such a full set of $d+1$ M UB exist. In that case, it is natural to generalize the $B$ loch sphere representation so that a density $m$ atrix is represented by a vector $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{J} j}$ as in (8) and regard each of the M UB as de ning an laxis". The $e$ ect of the channel (B) on a density $m$ atrix is to take $v_{J j} \eta\left(s+t_{J}\right) v_{J j}$, i.e, to $m$ ultiply each $v_{J j}$ by the number ${ }_{J}=s+t_{J}$. Since this action depends only on the axis label J we call these channels \constant on axes".

In Section 2 we introduce the relevant notation and describe several equivalent ways of representing channels constant on axes. W e also describe im portant subclasses of these channels in Section 2.3 and discuss their structure as a convex set in Section [2.4. M ore details about our approach to M UB and relevant ways of representing states and channels are given in A ppendix $\AA$.

In Section 3 we study the entanglem ent-breaking (EB) subclass, em phasizing conditions on them ultipliers. $W$ e also give som e conditions under which the channels de ne bound entangled states when $d=3$.

In Section 4 we study channels which are linear com binations of the depolarizing channel, the projection onto the diagonal of a matrix and the com pletely noisy channel. These channels have one symmetry axis. They do not require $M U B$ for their de nition; how ever, when one has a full set of M UB they can be rew ritten as channels constant on axes. W e give necessary and su cient conditions for the channels to be EB and consider their optim al output purity.

In Section 5 we consider the $m$ axim aloutput purity ofchannels constant on axes, particularly the additivity con jecture for $m$ in $m$ al entropy and the $m u l t i p l i c a t i v i t y ~$ of the $m$ axim alp-norm. W e show that for those $w$ ith som e negative $m$ ultipliers, the optim al output purity need not occur on the \longest" axis. Indeed, one can even have two axesw ith di erent multipliers forw hich the corresponding M UB have equal output entropy. N um erical study of such channels gives new evidence for additivity of the $m$ inim al output entropy. In Section 5.3 we con jecture that channels $w$ th nonnegative $m$ ultipliers achieve their $m$ axim aloutput purity on axis states and explore the connection to m ultiplicativity. In Section 5.4 we show that m ultiplicativity holds for $p=2$ for all channels constant on axes and extend this to channels constant on the \longest" axis.

The paper contains a num ber of A ppendices, the rst of which is prim arily expository. The rst two sections of A ppendix $\mathbb{A}$ describe representations of states and channels from the perspective that the $d \quad d m$ atrices form a $H$ ibert space with the inner product hA;Bi=TrA ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ B. Section A .3 discusses expansions in generalized

Paulim atrices and their connection to M U B. Section A. 4 gives $m$ ore inform ation about M UB; Section A. 5 considers som e altemative ways of using M UB to describe channels; and Section A. . considers channels which are form ed from con jugations on a single axis. F inally, a sim ple proof of the so-called com putable cross norm (C CN ) condition is given in Section A.7.

The rem aining appendices contain details ofproofs which are om itted in them ain text. A ppendix B contains several proofs related to the multiplicativity con jecture. A ppendix C. 1 proves separability of certain state representatives which determ ine the EB region for channels w ith one sym m etry axis. A ppendix C 2 describes the state representative when $d$ is prime. A ppendiges C.3 and C.4 use this result to obtain extrem e points of the EB region for prime $d$ as well as the PPT region for the case $d=3$.

## 2 C hannels constant on axes

### 2.1 N otation and generators of M U B

For any collection $B_{J}=f j{ }_{k}^{J}$ ig of orthonorm al bases on $C^{d}$, we can de ne the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{J}=X_{k=1}^{X^{d}}!^{k} j_{k}^{J} \text { ih }_{k}^{J} j ; \quad J=1 ; 2 ;::: d+1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! $=e^{2} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{d}$. It follow s that

By construction, $\operatorname{TrW}{\underset{J}{J}}_{m}=0$ form $=1 ; 2 ;::: d \quad 1, W{ }_{J}^{m}$ is unitary for any integer $m$, $\left(\mathbb{W}{ }_{J}^{m}\right)^{y}=W^{m}=W^{d m}$, and each of the operators $W_{J}$ generates a cyclic group of order d . If, in addition, the bases are m utually unbiased (2) then when $J \in K$ and $m$ and $n$ are not both zero

 an orthogonal basis for the subspace of trace zero $m$ atrices in $M_{d}$. N ote that this im $m$ ediately implies that $d+1$ is the $m$ axim um number of $U B$ for $C^{d}$.

W e call the unitary operators $W_{J}$ the generators of the $M U B . W$ hen we have a full set of $d+1 \mathrm{MUB}$, adding the identity $m$ atrix $I$ to $f W{ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{g}$ gives an orthogonal basis of unitaries ( $O B U$ ) for $M_{d} w$ th norm $d^{1=2}$. Therefore, any density $m$ atrix can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{d} I+X_{J=1}^{h=1} X_{J j}^{1} W_{J}^{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{J} j}=\operatorname{TrW}{ }_{J}{ }^{j}$. This is the standard expansion of a vector in a H ibert space using an orthogonalbasis; the only novelty is that our H ilbert space is the set ofd d $m$ atrioes $M_{d} w$ th the $H$ ilbert-Schm idt inner product hA;Bi=TrA ${ }^{Y} B$. Equation (8) can also be considered a generalization of the $B$ loch sphere representation. B oth view points are considered in $m$ ore detail in A ppendix A. It is straightforw ard to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{K}^{Q C}()=\frac{1}{d}{ }_{j=0}^{X^{1}} W_{K}^{j} W_{K}^{j}=\frac{1}{d} I+X_{j=1}^{X_{K}^{1}} V_{j} W_{K}^{j}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This says that the e ect of ${ }_{K}^{Q C}()$ is simply to $m$ ultiply $V_{j}$ by 1 for $J=K$ and by 0 for $J \& K$. Since (9) has the $K$ raus operator sum form, the $K$ raus operators for a $Q C$ channel corresponding to the basis $B_{J}$ can be chosen as $p_{\overline{1}}^{\bar{d}} W_{j}^{j} ; j=1 ; 2 ;::: d$.

### 2.2 Equivalent representations

T he results of the previous section allow us to give som e equivalent ways of writing channels constant on axes. First, observe that a $m$ ap of the form (3) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=a_{00}+\frac{1}{d 1}_{J=1}^{X^{+1} X^{1}} a_{J} W_{J}^{j} W_{J}^{j}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $a_{00}=s+\frac{1}{d}{ }^{P}{ }_{J} t_{J} \bar{P} \frac{1}{d} \quad(d 1) s+1$ and $a_{J}=\frac{d 1}{d} t_{J}$. In this form the TP condition in (4) becom es $a_{00}+{ }_{J} a_{J}=1$ and the next pair of conditions are equivalent to $a_{00} 0$ and $a_{J} \quad 0$ for all $J$. Then (10) has the operator sum form of a CP map $w$ th $K$ raus operators ${ }^{P} \overline{a_{00}} I$ and $\overline{a_{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1\end{array}\right) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{J}}^{j} \text {. Thus, the conditions (4) su œe }}$ for to be CPT. It follows from Theorem 18 in Appendix $\mathbb{A} 2$ that the converse is also true, i.e., a $m$ ap of the form (10) is not CP unless $a_{00}$ and $a_{J} 0$ for all $J$.

It follows from the comment after (9) that the e ect of a $m$ ap of the form (B) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
: \frac{1}{d} I+\underbrace{X^{+1} X^{1}}_{J=1 j=1} V_{J j} W_{J}^{j} 7!\sum_{d}^{i} I+X_{J=1}^{X^{+1}}\left(s+t_{J}\right)^{X^{1}} V_{J j} W_{J}^{j}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\mathrm{V}_{J j} \eta \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{J} j} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{J}}$. Thus, every such channel corresponds to a unique vector in $R^{d+1}$ which we write as $\left[{ }_{1} ; 2 ;::: d+1\right] w$ ith ${ }_{J}=s+t_{J}$. $W$ hen all of the $J$ are equal, the channel is depolarizing. Thus, another view of a channel constant on axes is that an input on the $J$-th axis has the sam e ouput as a depolarizing chanpel with $=\mathrm{J}$ in (14). This follow s im m ediately from (11) and the fact that $={ }_{n} n^{j}{ }_{n}^{J}$ ih ${ }_{n}^{J} j$ has $V_{L j}=0$ for $L \notin J$.

Theorem 1 Let C ${ }^{d}$ have a full set ofd+1MUB and let [ $1 ; 2$;:::; d+1] be a vector in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}+1}$. Then (11) de nes a CPT map if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d 1} \quad X \quad J \quad 1+d{\underset{K}{\mathrm{~K}}}_{\mathrm{in}}^{K}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

P roof: If one uses the TP condition in (4) to elim inate $s$, the tw o CP inequalities are equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{X} & & 1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{K} & K=1 ; 2 ;::: \mathrm{d}+1 \\
\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{K}} \\
& & \\
\text { J } & \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}:
\end{array}
$$

which is clearly equivalent to (12). Q E D

### 2.3 Subclasses

W e now describe som e im portant subclasses of channels constant on axes:
(a) $Q C$ channels: Let ${ }_{L}^{C C}$ have the form (9) . Then itsm ultiplier is $[0 ;::: ; 1 ;::: ; 0]$ and $a_{00}=a_{L}=\frac{1}{d}$ and $a_{K}=0$ for $K \in L$.
(b) Phase dam ping channels: Let $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{PD}} \underset{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{I}+(1 \quad){ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{Q \mathrm{C}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1} \quad 1$. Then has a multiplier of the form [ ;::: ; $1 ;$;:::; ] and a $0=+\frac{1}{d}$, $a_{L j}=\frac{1}{d}$; and $a_{K j}=0$ for $K \in L$. The $d$ axis states $w h i c h$ are eigenvectors of $W_{\mathrm{I}}$ are invariant and thus have pure outputs.
(c) Extrem e phase damping channels: Let $\underset{L}{X}=\underset{L}{P D} ; w$ th $=\frac{1}{d 1}$ so that $a_{00}=0$ and $a_{k j}=\frac{1}{d 1}$ kL. Since no axis channel (except I) can have fewer non-zero $a_{k}{ }_{j}$, these channels are extrem e points of the convex set of axis channels. Each $\underset{L}{x}$ has a multiplier has the form $\left[\frac{1}{d 1} ;::: ; \frac{1}{d 1} ; 1 ; \frac{1}{d 1} ;::: \frac{1}{d 1}\right]$ w th 1 in the $L$-th position. $W$ hen $d=2$, each $\underset{L}{x}$ is a conjugation $w$ ith one of the Paulim atrioes L and its multiplier is a perm uation of $[1 ; 1 ; 1]$.
 have multiolier $\left[0 ;:: 0 ; \frac{1}{d 1} ; 0::: 0\right]$ and are extrem e points of the set of $E B$
 tions of $\frac{d 2}{2(d 1)} ; \frac{1}{2(d 1)} ;::: \frac{1}{2(d)} ;$ for $d>2$ these are also extrem e points of the set of EB channels, as will be shown in Section 3.
(e) D epolarizing channels: The channel ${ }^{\text {dep }}(1)=+(1)_{d}^{1}$ Ihasmultiplier [ ; ;:::; ] and can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dep}=I+(1 \quad) N=X_{L=1}^{X^{+1}} \frac{1}{d+1} \underset{L}{P D} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $=\frac{(d+1) 1}{d}$. Then $a_{00}=+\frac{1}{d^{2}}$ and $a_{L j}=\frac{1}{d^{2}}$.
(f) C hannels $w$ ith one sym $m$ etry axis: C hannels of the form (3) w ith allbut
 They are naturally regarded as \squashed" when $0 \lll$. In general, they are sym metric w ith respect to \rotations" about the special axis $L$. T he boundary case $w$ th one $t_{L}=0$ has $=\frac{d 1}{d 1}$. This is called a \twoP auli" channel in the qubit case; we callthem \m axim ally squashed". T hese channels can be w rilten in several equivalent form $s$
w th $1=+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}}(1) 0$.
(g) For qubits, the channelwhich takes

$$
\begin{equation*}
7 \quad \mathrm{~J}^{\text {dep }} \underset{J}{ }=\quad J+(1 \quad) \frac{1}{2} I \quad J=1 ; 2 ; 3 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be thought of as depolarizing from conjugation $w$ ith $j$; its $m u l t i p l i e r$ has the form [ ; ; ] (w ith the + sign in the $J$-th position). For $d>$ 2, this has no direct generalization, but one might consider channels which \depolarize" from the other extrem e points, e.g., ${\underset{L}{x}}_{x}+(1 \quad) N$ which has multiplier $\quad ; \overline{d 1} ;::: i \overline{d 1}$. These channels are also a subclass of those $w$ ith one sym $m$ etry axis.

| description | qubit |  | d> 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identity | I [1;1;1] | I | [1;1;:: :1] |
| m ax noise | N [0;0;0] | N | [0;0;::0] |
| (a) QC | Q C [1;0;0] | ${ }_{\text {L }} \mathrm{C}$ | 1;0;::0 |
| (b) phase-dam ping | [1; ; ] | ${ }_{\text {P }}^{\text {P }}$; | [1; ;::: ] |
| (c) extrem e points | L $\quad$ L $\quad 1 ; 1 ; 1]$ | ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | 1; $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1} ;::: 7 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}$ |
| (d) extremeEB | ${ }_{j} Q C$ j [ 1;0;0] | ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{YEB}^{\text {ebe }}$ | $\frac{1}{d 1} ; 0 ;:$ : 0 |
| extremeEB ford>2 | [ $\left.\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3}\right]$ | $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{YeB}}$ | $\frac{d 2}{2(\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{1)}} ; \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{~d} 1)} ;::: \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{~d} 1)}$ |
| (e) depolarize from I | dep [ ; ; ] | dep | [ ; ;::: ] |
| (f) m ax squashed | twoPauli [2 1; ; ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{Sq} \\ & \mathrm{~L} ; \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{d 1}{d 1} ; ~ ;:: ~: ~$ |
| (g) depolarize from ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {x }}$ | [ ; ; ] |  | ; $\overline{d 1} ;::: 7 \overline{d 1}$ |

Table 1: C om parison of axis channels classes to unital qubit classes

### 2.4 C onvex structure

T he set ofaxis channels is convex, and we have already noted that the extrem e phasedam ping channels ${\underset{L}{L}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1} \mathrm{I}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}+1}{\underset{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ are extrem e points of this set. A dding the identity I gives all the extrem e points.

Theorem 2 W hen $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{d}}$ has $\mathrm{d}+1 \mathrm{MUB}$, the set of channels constant on axes is the convex hull of the identity I and the extrem e phase-dam ping channels $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{X}}$.

P roof: It su ces to observe that when is given by (3), it can be w ritten as
w th coe cients as in (10) and (3) respectively. The TP and CP conditions (4) im ply that the coe cients sum to 1 and are nonnegative. QED

Each of the $d+2$ inequalities (13) de nes a half space corresponding to the hypenplane de ned by $d+1$ of the extrem epoints in $T$ heorem 2. Then the intersection of these half-spacs yields the convex set of channels constant on axes. W hen $d=2$,
(13) is equivalent to $j{ }_{j} k_{j} j 1 . j$ for $j ; k ; `$ distinct; which are the conditions [10, 27, 35] needed to ensure that a unital qubit channel is CP .

It is now well-known [10, 27, 35] that the multipliers [ $1 ; 2 ; 3]$ for the unital qubit channels form a tetrahedron $w$ ith vertioes at $[1 ; 1 ; 1] ; 1 ; 1 ; 1] ;[1 ; 1 ; 1]$, and $[1 ; 1 ; 1]$, and that the subset of entanglem ent breaking (EB) channels corresponds to the octahedron obtained from the intersection of this tetrahedron $w$ ith its inversion through the origin. Rem oving this octahedron leaves 4 disjoint sets (also tetrahedrons) which can be transform ed into one another by conjugation w th the Paulim atrices $J$. Each of these sets has $m$ ultipliers with xed signs determ ined by one of the $m$ aps ${ }_{J}^{x}$ and is the convex hull of this $m$ ap and three Q C channels. For exam ple, the set w th only $1 \quad 0$ is the convex hull of ${ }_{1}^{x}()=1_{1} \quad$ with multiplier $[1 ; 1 ; 1]$ and the Q C m aps w ith $\mathrm{multipliers}[1 ; 0 ; 0] ;[0 ; 1 ; 0] ;[0 ; 0 ; 1]$.


Figure 1: The tetrahedron of qubit channels and the octahedralEB subset
W hen $\mathrm{d}>2$, this picture is modi ed signi cantly. The set of CPT maps is still the convex hull of I w th m ultiplier [1; $1 ;::: ; 1]$ and $d+1$ channels whose $m$ ultipliers are perm utations of $1 ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1} ;::: ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}$. H ow ever, the identity is a distinguished vertex from which edges extend to give the $d+1$ lines of phase-dam ping channels betw een $I$ and $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{x}}$. O ne also has a lbase" form ed from the edges connecting pairs of the latter. T here is only one sym $m$ etry axis. A fter rem oving the EB channels, one again has a disjoint region 0 which contains the non EB channels with all multipliers non-negative; this is the convex hull of I and the ${ }_{L}^{Q C}$, as before. However, the picture w ith negative multipliers is farm ore com plex.

For $d=3$, the $\backslash$ base" corresponds to the tetrahedron given by the convex hull of the four ${ }_{P}$ vertioes ${\underset{L}{L}}_{X} w$ th $L=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$. The center of each of the four faces is
 four points (which is the set of channels w th all $k \quad 0$ ) is a subset of the EB channels. How ever, it follows from Theorem 4 in Section 2.4 that no point on an edge connecting two $\underset{L}{x}$ is EB which $m$ eans that, unlike the qubit case, rem oving the EB channels from the base does not leave d disjoint sets. T his argum ent extends
to all $d>2$.

$d=3: E B$
$d=3: P P T$

F igure 2: Base of qubit and qutrit channels constant on axes show ing subregions w th all J 0. For qubits this is also the EB region. For qutrits, the tetrahedron lies strictly w ithin the EB region; the sphere shows the qutrit PPT channels, as discussed in Section 3.3

## 3 Entanglem ent breaking channels

### 3.1 G eneral considerations

A channel is called entanglem ent breaking (EB) if its action on half of an entangled state is separable. In fact, it su ces to consider its action pn them axim ally entangled
 (I ) j ih jgives a one-to-one correspondence between CPTmapstaking $C^{d} \eta C^{d}$ and density m atrioes on $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{d}^{2}}$. The latter is called the C hoi-Jam iolkow ski (C J) m atrix or state representative of the channel. In [18], it was show $n$ that a channel is EB if and only if its C J m atrix is separable.
 H ow ever, even for the larger CP range $\frac{1}{d 1}$ t. $\quad 1$ it is EB because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{j}^{L} i_{k}^{L}{ }_{k}^{L} j\right)={ }_{j k}^{X}{ }_{n}^{X}\left(1 \quad t_{L}\right)+t_{L} \text { in } j_{n}^{L} i_{n}^{L} j: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his implies that the CJ m atrix is diagonal in the product basis $f j{ }_{j}^{L} \quad{ }_{k}^{L}$ ig and



The positive partial transpose condition (PP T ) conditiop for separability applied to the C J m atrix of a channel says that $d(T \quad) j$ ih $j={ }_{j k} \dot{\text { exk }}_{k} i h_{j} j \quad$ ( $\left.\dot{j}_{j} i h e_{k} \mathcal{D}\right)$
is positive sem i-de nite. This is a necessary condition for a channel to be EB. By applying the PPT condition to the phase-dam ping channel $\underset{L}{P D}$; one can see that it is EB if and only if $=0$.
 of the convex set of EB channels constant on axes. H ow ever, this is not the case, as one can see from the follow ing theorem which is proved in A ppendix C.3, where we also show that ${\underset{L}{L E B}}_{X E}$ is a true extrem e point for any primed.

Theorem 3 W hen $\mathrm{d}=3$, the channels $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{Q C}, \underset{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{XEB}}$ and $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{YEB}}$ are extrem e points of the convex set of EB.

For $d=2$, only the rst two channels give extreme points; the channel ${\underset{L}{\mathrm{~L}}}^{\mathrm{EBB}}$ is on the boundary of EB subset, but not extreme. For $d=3$, it seem s natural to con jecture that set ofEB channels constant on axes is the convex hullof the channels in $T$ heorem [3. H ow ever, it appears that there are regions of strict convexity for the PPT condition which yield additional extrem e points for d 3.

The channels ${\underset{L}{L}}_{Y E B}$ are considered in Section 42 where they are shown to be extrem e points of the subset of EB channels w th one sym metry axis. For $d=$ 3, the channel ${\underset{L}{L}}^{Y E B}$ has $m u l t i p l i e r ~\left[\frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4}\right]$. For $d=4$ it has multiplier $\left[\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{6} ; \frac{1}{6} ; \frac{1}{6} ; \frac{1}{6}\right] ;$ the channel w ith $\mathrm{multip} l i e r\left[\frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5}\right]$ is not CP because $k{ }_{k}=\frac{3}{5}<\frac{1}{3}$ violates condition (13b) and the channel w ith multiplier $\left[\frac{2}{9} ; \frac{2}{9} ; \frac{2}{9} ; \frac{2}{9} ; \frac{2}{9}\right]$ is EB but not extrem e.

### 3.2 M ultipliers for Entanglem ent-B reaking maps

W e now consider EB criteria which can be stated in term $s$ of the $m$ uftiplier for a channelconstant on axes. Any EB channelconstant on axesm ust have i j i j 1. $T$ his is an im $m$ ediate consequence of the $m$ ore general requirem ent that $k k_{1} \quad 1$ for any EB channel. This is equivalent to what is som etim es called the \oom putable cross norm " (C CN ) condition or \rearrangem ent criterion" for separability. H ow ever, as explained in A ppendix A.7, this condition can be applied directly to the channel w thout com puting its C J m atrix or perform ing any type of rearrangem ent.
Theorem 4 Let be a channel constant on axes. If is $E B_{P}$ then ${ }^{P} j_{L} j 1$. $M$ oreover, if allm ultipliens $k \quad 0$, then is EB if and only if i 1 .

P roof of Theorem 4: The necessity follow s im mediately from Theorem 24 and the fact that the singular values, $s$, of are $j_{\mathrm{L}} j$, each $w$ ith degeneracy $d 1$, and 1 which is non-degenerate. Thus

$$
d{ }_{s}^{X} j_{s} j=1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1
\end{array}\right)_{\text {L }}^{X} j_{L j} j
$$

Su ciency follow s im mediately from the fact that when all L 0 and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}$ L 1 , one can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~L}}{Q \mathrm{C}}+1 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~L} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a convex com bination ofEB channels. QED
W hen an EB channel is written in the form (3), we see that $s \quad 0$. This is an im $m$ ediate corollary of $T$ heorem 4 and

In [34] it $w$ as show $n$ that a untalqubit channel is alw apys EB when some $k=0$. It follows from (13a) that if the $s m$ allest $J=0$ then ${ }_{j 6 L} \mathrm{~J} 1$ so that the channel is EB, giving a partialextension of the qubit result. H ow ever, when some $k$ are negative a channel w ith som e ${ }_{J}=0$ need not be EB as show $n$ by the follow ing example ford = 3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{3} \frac{x}{1}+\frac{1}{3} \underset{2}{x}=\left[\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{k} j_{k} j=\frac{3}{2}>1$, $T$ heorem 4 im plies that this channel is not $E B$.
W hen all $k \quad 0$, inequality (13b) implies that ${ }^{P}{ }_{k} j_{k j} \quad \frac{1}{d 1}$ and hence that
is in the convex hull of $N$ and the set $f{ }_{J}{ }^{\mathrm{XB}} \mathrm{g}$. Thus every channel w th all k $\quad 0$ is EB. W hat rem ains is to nd precise necessary and su cient conditions for a channel w th both positive and negative multipliers m to be EB. In Figure 3, there are channels with $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j} ~ \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} 1$ which lie outside the PPT region; thus we see the condition from Theorem 4 is not su cient for EB.

Channels w ith exactly one sym metry axis (ie., those for which d of the J are equal) are studied in Section 4, in which we show that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} j 1$ is necessary and su cient for to be EB. W hen $d=3$, this implies that the channel ${\underset{\mathrm{L}}{ }{ }^{Y E B}=}^{\text {EB }}=$ $\left[\frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{X}} ; \frac{1}{4}\right]$ is EB. This channel is outside the convex hull of $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{Q \mathrm{C}}$ and ${\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{XEB}}}_{\mathrm{XEB}}$ because ${ }_{J<0} \mathrm{~J}=\frac{3}{4}<\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}$.

It is worth sum $m$ arizing what is know $n$ about the EB subset of channels constant on axes.
(a) If isEB, then ${ }^{P}{ }_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{Jj}} 1$.
(b) Ifall 0 , and ${ }^{P_{J}} j_{j} j 1$, then isEB.
(c) Ifall $J 0$ and some $J=0$, then is EB.
(d) If has one sym m etry axix, then is EB if and only if $\quad \mathrm{j}$ j j 1
(e) There are channels which satisfy ${ }^{P}{ }_{j} j_{j} \quad 1$, but are not EB .
(f) If all J 0, then is EB.

W e can also use the $J^{\prime}$ 's to state a necessary condition for an axis channel to be PPT:

Theorem 5 If a channep constant on axes satis es the PPT condition ( $T$ ) (jin j) 0 , then ${ }_{j} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{j}} 1$.

P roof: $F$ irst observe that for any $Q C$ channel the antisym $m$ etric subspace is in the kemel of both ( $I \quad Q C$ ) ( $j$ ih $j$ ) and ( $T_{P} \quad Q C$ ) ( $j$ ih $j_{P}$ To see this consider
 corresponding to $Q C$. In this basis,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & Q c
\end{array}\right)(j \text { ih } j)=(T \quad Q c)(j \text { ih } j)=\sum_{k k}^{X} j k k i h k k j
$$

and $\dot{j}_{12} i=P_{j k}\left(x_{j} Y_{k} \quad Y_{j} x_{k}\right)$ jjki so that

O nesim ilarly nds that the antisym m etric subspace is an eigenspace of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}(1) & I \\ j & i n\end{array}\right)$ w ith eigenvalue 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{d}^{X^{j k}}\left(x_{j} y_{k} \quad y_{k} x_{j}\right) j k j i=\quad j_{12} i
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if has the form (B) and satis es the PPT condition, then choosing jı2i antisym m etric gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \quad h v_{12} j(T \quad)(j \text { ih } \mathcal{j}) \dot{M}_{2} i=s \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies s 0.Then (4) implies ${ }^{P} \quad{ }_{J}=d s+1 \quad$ 1. $\quad$ QED

### 3.3 EB and B ound Entanglem ent when $d=3$

W e now consider som e im plications of the PPT and CCN conditions in m ore detail when $d=3$. Som e of these results of this section were obtained independently in [5]]. H ow ever, they studied the full set of states for $\mathrm{C}^{3} \quad \mathrm{C}^{3}$. W e consider only the state representatives of channels constant on axes, which is a sm aller set. In fact, the identity m ap I is the only channel constant on axes whose C J m atrix is a pure state. T he other extrem e points have C J m atrioes with rank two.

Ford $=3$ it is shown in A ppendix $C .3$ shown that them aps ${ }_{J}^{X E B}$ and ${ }_{J}^{Y E B}$ are extrem e points of the convex subset of EB channels. These points lie in the \base" tetrahedron shown in Figure 2, which also shows the tetrahedron whose vertioes
${ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{X} E B}$ are the four centers of the faces. Re ecting this m all tetrahedron through its center gives the convex hull of the four ${ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{Y}}{ }^{\mathrm{EB}}$. The convex hull of the eight points ${ }_{J}^{X E B}$ and ${ }_{J}^{Y E B}$ is a subset of the EB channels and is inscribed in the sphere
$\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{J}$ 甬 $=\frac{1}{4}$, which is precisely the set ofPPT maps in the base tetrahedron. We con jecture that all m aps in this sphere are EB; this is supported by num erical work of K.A udenaert [3].

The observation about the sphere is a special case of the follow ing theorem which is proved in A ppendix C .3:

Theorem 6 We hen $d=3$, a channel constant on axes is PPT if and only if it satis es both J J 1 and


W e can use Theorem 6to nd exam ples ofchannelswhich arePPT but not CNN. Such channels are of some interest because they correspond to bound entangled states. We rst consider $j_{j} j=x$ for all $J$. The case all $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{x}>0$ is covered by Theorem 4 and the case all $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{x}<0$ has $\mathrm{x} \frac{1}{8}$ and is both PPT and CCN. Permutations of $[+x ; x ; x ; x]$ have one symmetry axis; it is shown in Section 4.2 that for these channels the PPT and CCN regions alw ays coipcide. The only rem aining possibility is perm utations of $[+x ;+x ; x ; x]$ forwhich $J_{J}=0$ and the CP condition (12) holds if and only if $x \quad \frac{1}{3}$. In this case, (23) becom es $12 x^{2}$ 1. These we can conclude that channels w th multiplier $\left.+x ;+x ; x ; x\right]$ are $C P$ and bound entangled for $\frac{r^{1}}{2^{2}}<x \quad \frac{1}{3}$.

W e now consider chanpels whose multipliers are perm utations of $[x ; x ; y ; y]$ with xiy 0 . Let $S=j_{j}=2(x \quad y)$ and $T={ }_{j} j J j=2(x+y)$. Then $x=\frac{1}{4}(T+S)$ and $y=\frac{1}{4}(T \quad S)$. The CP conditions (12) become $S \quad \frac{1}{2}$ and $2 x+y=\frac{1}{4}(3 T+S) \quad$ 1. ThePPT condition (23) becom es

$$
1+S+S^{2} \quad 6\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \quad \frac{3}{4}\left(S^{2}+T^{2}\right)
$$



F igure 3: Q utrit channels w th m ultiplier $[1 ; 1 ; 3 ; 3]$, which is the triangle $I P_{1} P_{2}$. $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ correspond to the $m$ idpoints of two disjoint edges in the base tetrahedron of $F$ igure 2. $M$ aps in the lightly shaded region are known to be EB; those in the dark regions correspond to bound entangled states.
or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 T^{2} \quad(2+S)^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is stronger than the CCN condition $T \quad 1$ when $S+2 \quad{ }^{P_{3}}$. Thus we can conclude that channels of the form $[x ; x ; y ; y]$ give bound entangled states in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{m} \text { in } \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2+\mathrm{S}} \frac{4}{\overline{3}} ; \frac{\mathrm{S}}{}^{\mathrm{O}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\overline{3}} 2<\mathrm{S}<1$. In tem s of $x ; y$, this is the triangle bounded by the lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x+y=1 ; \quad x+y=\frac{1}{2} ; \quad \text { and } \quad y=\frac{p_{\overline{3} 1}}{2}+x(\bar{p} \overline{3} \quad 2) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

as show $n$ in Figure 3 w ith ${ }_{1}=x ;{ }_{3}=y$. If we drop the restriction that ${ }_{1}={ }_{2}$ and ${ }_{3}={ }_{4}$ one can nd additional channels w ith bound entangled states for any value of $S \quad \frac{1}{2} ; 1$.

## 4 O ne sym m etry axis

### 4.1 G eneral considerations

Channels of the form (59) w ith exactly one $t_{\mathrm{I}}$ non-zero have been considered by Fukuda and H olevo [13] who w rote them in the form

$$
(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{bI}+\mathrm{a}^{\varrho c}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{a} \tag{27}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{N}:
$$

W hen d+1MUB exist, assum e they are labeled so that ${ }^{Q C}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{J}=1$ so that hasmultiplier [a+ $\mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{b}::: \mathrm{b}$ ]. Even when a full set ofM UB do not exist, [27) is a well-de ned channelw th the Q C m ap in the standard basis jei. M oreover, we can still associate (a;b) w th a multiplier in the generalized Pauli basis, as described after (47). Then $s_{s}=a+b$ when $V_{s}=Z^{j}$ for some $j$ and $s=b$ otherw ise. These channels have exactly one sym $m$ etry axis, ie., they satisfy the covariance condition

A s observed in [13] these $m$ aps are CPT when (a;b) is in the convex hull of the points $(1 ; 0) ;\left(\frac{d}{d 1} ; \frac{1}{d 1}\right) ;\left(\frac{1}{d 1} ; 0\right)$ as sum $m$ arized in Table 1 . The C J $m$ atrix for $m$ aps of the form (27) can be written as
with $j i=P_{\overline{\bar{d}}}^{P}{ }_{k} \dot{\dot{\Theta}}_{k} \quad e_{k}$ im axim ally entangled.

### 4.2 EB channels

To nd the subset of EB maps, observe that the PPT condition applied to \&8) is $\left.\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{d}(1 \quad \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{b}\end{array} \mathrm{b}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{b}\end{array}\right) \quad 0$ or, equivalently, $\frac{1}{d}\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{b}\end{array}\right) \quad$ bjo which can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a+ & (d+1) b & 1 & b>0 \\
a & (d & 1) b & 1 \tag{30b}
\end{array} \quad b<0
$$

$W$ hen $a+b \quad 0,(30)$ is equivalent to the CCN condition $P$ i $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j} \quad 1$. This imples that for channels of the form (28) the PPT and CCN boundaries coincide.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{X}^{W} \text { hen } d+1 \text { M UB exist, one can write the maps with } a=\quad b=\frac{1}{d} \text { or } \frac{1}{d(d 1)}
\end{aligned}
$$ that they are EB for arbitrary $d$ is harder 1 It is natural to con jecture that these are also extrem e points of the convex subset of EB m aps of this type, in which case the convex hull ofERQ X in Figure 4 w ould give the EB channels. This is false, how ever. The next result says that all channels $w$ th one sym $m$ etry axis which satisfy the PPT condition (or, equivalently, the CCN conditon) are EB; this corresponds to the convex hull of ERQ Y as shown in F igure 4.

Theorem 7 A channel of the form (27) is EB if and only if it satis es (30) .

P roof: Since the set of EB channels is convex, it su ces to show that each the channels corresponding to the points $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Y}$ in T able 3 and F igure 4 are EB. $T$ he points $Q$ corresponds to $Q C$ which is EB and E has a separable CJ m atrix because $b=0$. Decom positions show ing that the $C J m$ atriges for $R$ and $Y$ are separable are given in A ppendix C.1. Q ED

It is well known that the depolarizing channel, dep, is EB for $\frac{1}{d+1}$, which is consistent w ith $T$ heorem 4. If one \depolarizes" from an extrem e point other than the identity, the resulting channel $={\underset{L}{x}}_{x}^{x}(1 \quad) N$ has one symmetry axis. $W$ e can then use $T$ heorem 7 to conclude that the channel is EB when $\frac{d 1}{2 d 1}$, for which the lim ting case has multiplier $\frac{\mathrm{d} 1}{2 \mathrm{~d} 1} ; \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~d} 1} ;::: \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~d} 1}$. N ote that the CP range is $\frac{d+1}{d^{2} d+1} \quad 1$ which hasmultiplier $\frac{d+1}{d^{2} d+1} ; \frac{1}{d^{2} d+1} ;:: ; \frac{1}{d^{2} \frac{d+1}{}}$ at the boundary. For $d=3$ the EB portion of the line segm ent $\quad{ }_{1}^{x}+(1 \quad) N$ is bounded by the channels $w$ th $m$ ultipliens $\left[\frac{2}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{2}{7} ; \frac{1}{7} ; \frac{1}{7} ; \frac{1}{7}\right]$.

### 4.3 M ultiplicativity

A though this topic is m ore fully studied in the next section, w here com plete de nitions are given, it is worth m aking som e observations here. W e use \m ultiplicative" to $m$ ean that (35) holds w ith arbitrary. O ne can apply Fukuda's lem m a [1] to show that

[^1]| (a;b) |  |  |  | d=2 | d=3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | (0;1) | I | [1;1;:: :1] | [1;1;1] | [1;1;1;1] |
| B | $\left(\frac{d}{d 1} ; \frac{1}{d 1}\right)$ | I | [1; $\frac{1}{d 1}$;:: $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}$ ] | [1; 1; 1] | [1; $\left.\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right]$ |
| E | $\left(\frac{1}{d 1} ; 0\right)$ |  | [ $\left.\frac{1}{d 1} ; 0 ;:: 0\right]$ | [ 1;0;0] | [ $\left.\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0\right]$ |

Table 2: Extrem e points of CPT maps bI $+\mathrm{a}_{1}^{\ell C}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{b}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{N}$.

| (a; ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{d}=2$ | $\mathrm{d}=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q | (1;0) | ${ }_{L}^{\text {Q C }}$ | [1;0;:: :0] | [1;0;0] | [1;0;0;0] |
| E | $\left(\frac{1}{d 1} ; 0\right)$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{XEB}}$ | [ $\left.\frac{1}{d 1} ; 0 ;:: 0\right]$ | [ 1;0;0] | [ $\left.\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0\right]$ |
| R | $\left(\frac{1}{d} ; \frac{1}{d}\right)$ | $\begin{array}{ll}X \quad \frac{1}{d} & \stackrel{Q}{L} \\ \text { c }\end{array}$ | [0; $\left.\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} ;:: \mathbf{:} ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}}\right]$ | $\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right]$ | $\left[0 ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3}\right]$ |
| Y | $\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2(d)}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~K} \in \mathrm{~L}}{ }_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $\frac{d 2}{2(d)} ; \frac{1}{2(d 1)} ;::: \frac{1}{2(d)}$ | [0; $\frac{1}{2}$; $\frac{1}{2}$ ] | $\left.\frac{-1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4}\right]$ |

Table 3: Extrem e points for subset of EB channels

| (a;b) | x |  | $\mathrm{d}=2 \quad \mathrm{~d}=3$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X} \quad\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d} 1)} ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{1})}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{XEB}}$ | [0; $\left.\frac{1}{d(d)} ;::: \frac{1}{d(d)}\right]$ | [0; $\frac{1}{2}$; $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right]$ | [0; $\left.\frac{1}{6} ; \frac{1}{6} ; \frac{1}{6}\right]$ |
| $\mathrm{N} \quad(0 ; 0)$ |  | [0;0;::0] | [0;0;0] | [0;0;0;0] |
| P $\quad\left(0 ; \frac{1}{d+1}\right)$ | $\frac{\text { dep }}{\frac{1}{d+1}}$ |  | [ $\left.\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3}\right]$ | [ $\left.\frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4}\right]$ |
| $\text { D } \quad\left(0 ; \frac{1}{d^{2} 1}\right)$ | $\frac{\mathrm{dep}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} 1}}$ |  | [ $\left.\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{8} ; \frac{1}{8} ; \frac{1}{8} ; \frac{1}{8}\right]$ |
| $\text { T } \quad\left(\frac{d}{2 d 1} ; \frac{1}{2 d 1}\right)$ |  |  | $\left[\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{2}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{5}\right]$ |
| Z ( $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}^{2}+1}$; $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{~d}+1}$ ) |  |  | $\left[\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{2}{7} ; \frac{1}{7} ; \frac{1}{7} ; \frac{1}{7}\right]$ |

Table 4: O ther interesting points


$$
d=2
$$


d>2

See Tables 2, 3 and 4 for coordinates ofm arked points.
$A B: a+b=1$
AE: a (d

1) $\mathrm{b}=$
1
$B E: a+b(d+1)=\frac{1}{d 1}$ $R Q: a+b(d+1)=1 \quad Y Q: a \quad(d \quad 1) b=1$ $R X: a+b=0 \quad Z B: a+d b=0$

Figure 4: M aps of the form (27) which are CPT are in the convex hull of ABE. $M$ aps in the convex hull ofERQ $Y$ are EB. Ford $=2$, the points $X$ and $Y$ coincide.


Figure 5: Channels w ith one symmetry axis for whidh multiplicativity holds for tensor products w th arbitrary channels. The shaded triangle AB N show s channels im plied by Theorem 8.
is multiplicative for $\frac{1}{d 1} \quad x \quad 1$ and $\frac{1}{d^{2} 1} \quad y \quad 1$. The relations $b=x y$ and $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right) y$, imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d^{2} 1} \quad a+b \quad 1 \text { and } \frac{1}{d 1} \frac{b}{a+b} 1: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his gives the follow ing result.
Theorem 8 A m ap of the form (27) is m ultiplicative if either of the follow ing sets of conditions hold
i) a > 0 and a + bd 0, or
ii) $\mathrm{a}<0$ and $\mathrm{b} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} 1}$ a bod.

The second set ofconditions (ii) corresponds to a very sm all region entirely contained w ithin the set of EB channels.
$T$ he points on the line segm ent $A V$ in $F$ igure 5 correspond to $m$ axim ally squashed channels. For any xed (a;b) on the segm ent AV, if one can show that both (35) holds and $p(a ; b)=p \quad(0 ; b)$, then it follow from $T$ heorem 26 that multiplicativity holds for all ( $a ; b$ ) w th a a 0. Thus, the multiplicativity problem for the triangle $R ; A ;\left(0 ; \frac{1}{d}\right)$ is reduced to the line AR.

Proving multiplicativity for the triangle Y TB presents a di erent challenge.

## 5 O ptim aloutput purity

### 5.1 G eneral considerations

O ne measure of optim al output purity is the $m$ inim al output entropy, de ned as $S_{\mathrm{m}}$ in ()$=\inf S[()]$ where $S()=\operatorname{Tr} \log$ denotes the entropy of a quantum state. $T$ he additivity conjecture is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}(\quad)=S_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in }()+S_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}(): \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

This conjecture is particularly im portant because Shor [38] has shown that it is globally equivalent to several other im portant con jectures: additivity of the $H$ olevo capacity, additivity of the entanglem ent of form ation under tensor products, and superadditivity of entanglem ent of form ation. R ecently, Shirokov [36] show ed that if (33) holds forallchannels : $M_{d} 7 M_{d}$, then this collection ofadditivity conjectures also holds in in nite dim ensions.

A notherm easure of the optim aloutput purity of channel is them axim aloutput p-norm de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p()=\operatorname{supk}() k_{p}=\sup \operatorname{Tr}\left[()^{1=p}\right. \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been con jectured [2, 28] that $p()$ is $m$ ultiplicative in the sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\quad)=p()_{p}() \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

at least for $1 \quad \mathrm{p} \quad 2 . \mathrm{M}$ oreover, it was show n in [2] that if (35) holds forp $2(1 ; 1+\quad)$ for som e $>0$, then (B3) holds.

Recently, Fukuda [12] show ed that if (33) or (35) holds for allchannels : $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}_{1}}$ 7 $M_{d_{2}}$ which $m$ ap $\frac{1}{d_{1}} I_{d_{1}}$ to $\frac{1}{d_{2}} I_{d_{2}}$ then it holds for arbitrary. Thus the general case is reduced to a kind of extended untalchannel. ( W hen $\mathrm{d}_{1} \in \mathrm{~d}_{2}$ a CP m ap cannot be both TP and unital.) O ur originalm otivation for studying channels constant on axes was to nd a class to which one could extend K ing's proof[22] of this conjecture in the case of unital qubit channels. Instead, we have m erely gained additional insight into the reasons his argum ent does not work when $d>2$. W e can how ever prove multiplicativity for channels constant on axes in the im portant case $\mathrm{p}=2$, as is show $n$ Section 5.4.

W e con jecture that for channels constant on axes, the $m$ axim al output p-norm and $m$ inim al output entropy are both achieved $w$ ith an axis state.

C on jecture 9 Let be a channelofthe form [3). Then the maxim aloutputp-norm and $m$ inim al output entropy can be achieved with an axis state, i.e., for each $p$ one
 and $S_{m \text { in }}()=S j{ }_{n}^{L}$ ih ${ }_{n}^{L} j=S_{m \text { in }}(\underset{L}{\text { dep }})$ for someL.

W hen some of the $\mathrm{J}<0$, the axis $L$ can depend upon $p$ and our evidence for this conjecture is only num erical, as described in the next section. W hen all J 0 , the analogy with unital qubit channels suggests that $L$ satis es sup $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{L}$ and
 H ow ever, we have not been able to exchude the possibility that $p()$ is attained on a superposition of axis states.

C on jecture 9 is known for œertain classes of axis channels, such as Q C channels and depolarizing channels, and it is show $n$ to hold for allaxis channels in the special cases $p=2$ and $p=1$, as discussed in A ppendix B.

The follow ing result, which is a special case of Lem m a 28 proved in A ppendix B, is consistent $w$ ith this con jecture.

Theorem 10 Let be a channel constant on axes and ( $t$ ) a di erentiable oneparam eter fam ily of pure states with $(0)=j_{n}^{J}$ ih ${ }_{n}^{J} j$ an axis state. Then for all $p$, $k \quad[(t)]_{p}$ has a critical point at $t=0 . M$ oreover, $S_{m}$ in $\quad[(t)]$ also has a critical point at $t=0$. If 1 and 2 are both constant on axes, this extends to inputs 12 ( $t$ ) with $12(0)=\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{J}} \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{K}}$ ih $\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{J}} \stackrel{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{j}$.

W henever ${ }_{p}()$ is achieved $w$ ith an axis state, one can use Fukuda's lemma [11] to show that if (35) holds for , it can be extended to $M_{J}$, where $M_{J}$ is a convex com bination of con jugations on a single axis as in (63). The key point is that $M_{J}\left(j{ }_{n}^{J} i h{ }_{n}^{J} \mathcal{J}\right)=j{ }_{n}^{J} i h{ }_{n}^{J} j$.

Theorem 11 Let be a channelconstant on axes and let $M_{J}$ be given by (63). If p()$=\mathrm{k} \quad\left(j_{n}^{J}\right.$ ih $\left.{ }_{n}^{J}\right\rangle \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and (35) holds for $\mathrm{p}(\quad)$, then it also holds for $\quad$ M.

### 5.2 N um erical study of new behavior

For a channel constant on axes, the output of any axis state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{n}^{L} \text { ih }{ }_{n}^{L} \mathcal{J}\right)=(1 \quad \text { L }) \frac{1}{d} I+\quad L j{ }_{n}^{L} \text { ih }{ }_{n}^{L} j \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has eigenvalues $\frac{1}{d}\left[1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1\end{array}\right) \mathrm{L}\right]$ and $\frac{1}{d}(1 \quad \mathrm{~L})$ w ith degeneracy d 1. This implies $p() \quad p\binom{$ dep }{L} when $\quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} 1} \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ hen all $\mathrm{k} \quad 0$, we conjecture that this is optim al, i.e., $p$ is achieved with an axis state corresponding to the largest

к and provide som e evidence in this direction. We also show that channels w ith negative $m$ utipliers can have fundam entally di erent behavior.

In particular, it can happen that $0<\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}} \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{L}_{2}$ butk $\quad\left(\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}_{1}}\right.$ ih $_{n}^{\mathrm{L}_{1}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}>$ $\mathrm{k} \quad\left(\mathrm{j}{ }_{n}^{L_{2}}\right.$ ih ${ }_{n}^{L_{2}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{p}}$. For exam ple, consider the channel

$$
=a{ }_{1}^{x}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { a) } \tag{37}
\end{array}{ }_{2}^{x} \text { w ith multiplier }\left[\frac{3 a 1}{2} ; \frac{2 \text { 3a }}{2} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right]\right.
$$

For $\mathrm{a}=\frac{2}{3}$ this becom es $[+0: 5 ; 0 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 5]$ which has larger output p -norm s when $1<p<2$ for inputs along the $0: 5$ axes than for those along the $+0: 5$ axis. This behavior persists for $[0: 6 ; 0: 1 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 5]$ when $1<p<1: 2$. M oreover, for $0<1<0: 65$, the $m$ inim al output entropy of the channel $w$ th $m u l t i p l i e r ~$ $[1 ; 0: 5 \quad 1 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 5]$ is $S_{m}$ in ()$=S \quad\left(j{ }_{n}^{3}\right.$ ih $\left.{ }_{n}^{3} j\right)=1$, but inputs on the $\backslash$ long" axis have $S \quad\left(j{ }_{n}^{1}\right.$ ih $\left.{ }_{n}^{1} j\right)>1$ :
$N$ um erical studies of the $m$ inim al output entropy of channels $w$ ith $m u l t i p l i e r ~$ [ $1 ; 0: 51$; $0: 5 ; 0: 5$ ] have been carried out for ${ }_{1}$ near the crossing point ${ }_{1}=$ $0: 659$. For a single use of the channel, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in () is alw ays achieved with an axis state and satis es $S_{\text {m }}()=1$ for $1_{1}<0: 659$. For the product, one nds $S_{n}(\quad)=2$ is always achieved with a product of axis states. M oreover, near the crossing the $m$ axim ally entangled state $j i=q_{\bar{d}}^{\frac{1}{d}} n j{ }_{n}^{1} \quad{ }_{n}^{3} i$ has entropy $S[(\quad)(j$ ih $j)]=$ 2:74041.

### 5.3 N on-negative m ultip liers

$K$ ing's approach to the unitalqubit channels is to reduce the problem to m ultiplica-
 $w$ ith $j j_{j} x$. This subclass of channels has extrem e points $w$ th $m$ ultipliers
 $p\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { dep }\end{array}\right)$, and that $p(\underset{J ; x}{M \times S q} \quad$ ) satis es 85) then $m u l t i p l i c a t i v i t y ~ f o l l o w s ~ f r o m ~$ Lemma 25, rst using $B=p\binom{$ dep }{$x}$ and then using $B=p\binom{$ dep }{$x} p()$. King's argum ent exploits the fact that changing $\quad!\quad j$ for $j=1 ; 2$ is equivalent to a unitary conjugation $w$ ith $z_{z}$. This property does not extend to channels constant on axes. H ow ever, we can $m$ ake an analogous reduction on the subset of channels w ith non-negative $m$ ultipliers under the assum ption that $C$ on jecture 9 holds for these channels.

For qubits, the subset of channels w ith multiplier [ 1 ; 2 ;x] with 0 j x has extrem e points
as shown in $F$ igure 6. In both cases, the rst 3 channels are $E B$ and the last the depolarizing channel. The di erence between the two situations is that the latter includes channels of the form $\underset{J ; \mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{M} \times S \mathrm{q}}$ but the form er does not. Ford $>2$, the convex set of channels which are not EB and satisfy 0 J $d+1=x$ has analogous extrem e points. We observe here only that any channel $x$ in this set is a convex combination of

b) $\underset{x}{E B},{ }_{x}^{\text {dep }}$ and $f \underset{J ; x}{M x S q}: J=1 ; 2::$ :dg when $\frac{1}{d}<x \quad 1$
where ${ }_{x}^{E B}$ denotes some EB channel whose multiplier satis es 0 J $x$. The extreme EB channels of this type $w$ ill be perm utations of [0;:::;0;1 $\quad x ; x ;::: x]$ with chosen so that $0<1 \quad x \quad x$.

The follow ing consequence of Lem m a 25 show $s$ that ifC on jecture 9 holds for EB channels w ith non-negative multipliers, then we can reduce the general situation to the $m$ axim ally squashed channels.

Theorem 12 Let be a channelof the form (11) with $J 0$ for all $J$. Choose L so that $\sup _{J} J={ }_{I} \cdot T$ hen
a) IfC onjecture 9 holds for $\underset{\mathrm{J} ; \mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{M} \times S q}$ and any EB channelwith 0 J ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}$, then
b) If $\mathrm{p} \quad 1$, the hypotheses in (a) above hold, and (35) holds for $p\binom{\mathrm{p} \times S q}{\mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{i}}$, then (35) holds for $p$ ( ).

P roof: A channel satisfying the hypothesis above is a convex combination of ${ }_{x}^{\text {dep }}$
 By the rem ark in Section 4.3 such EB channels have the sam e $m$ axim al output $p-$ norm as $p\binom{$ dep }{$x}$. Then part (a) follows from Lemma 25 w ith $B=p\binom{$ dep }{$x}$ and the fact that the bound can be attained $w$ ith an axis state. B oth depolarizing channels [24] and EB channels [25] are m ultiplicative for allp. Therefore, part (b) also follow s from the Lemma25with $B=p\binom{$ dep }{$x} p() . \quad$ QED

In the case of qubits, C on jecture 9 is know $n$ to hold, so T heorem 12 gives an new proofofm ultiplicativity for channels whose multiplier [ ${ }_{1} ; 2$; ${ }_{3}$ ] satis es 0 j $\frac{1}{2}$ and $j_{j}>1$. O ne can then conjugate with $j$ and combine with known results about EB channels to prove that (35) holds for any unital qubit channel with all $j_{j} j \frac{1}{2}$. This last step does not extend to $d>2$. If the $m$ axim al $j$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ for a qubit channel, this gives a new reduction of $m$ ultiplicativity to $m$ axim ally squashed channels. B oth cases for qubits can be seen in $F$ igure 6 .


Figure 6: Q ubit channels with $j_{j} j \quad x=1$, with grey shading indicating the EB region and cross-hatch the subset of the non $\Psi B$ channels with all j 0 . The evident sym $m$ etry for ${ }_{j} \eta \quad j$ is lost for $d>2$ but the picture for ${ }_{j} 0$ is sim ilar.


Figure 7: Qutrit channels with 0 j $\quad 1=0: 4$. The 3-dim ensional view is a cube w th three comers rem oved. The verticies of the front comer are the squashed channel $S=[0: 4 ; 0: 4 ; 0: 4 ;: 1]$ along $w$ ith $[0: 4 ; 0: 4 ; 0: 2 ; 0]$ and $[0: 4 ; 0: 2 ; 0: 4 ; 0]$. The tw o-dim ensional view show s the face of the cube w ith $2=0: 4$.

Recall $\underset{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{MxSq}}=\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{K} \in \mathrm{L} \underset{\mathrm{L} ;}{\mathrm{PD}} \mathrm{w}$ ith as in (Q5) and that intuitively one would expect that ${ }_{p}\binom{\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{xq}}{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{x}}$ is achieved w ith a state which is 0 on the \short" axis L, i.e., for which $u_{\mathrm{L}}=0$ in (58). For such states, $K$ ing's proof [24] of the multiplicativity of the depolarizing channel carries over. H ow ever, the channel ${\underset{L}{\mathrm{~L}} ; \mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{M} \times \mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ has sym m etry around the axis $L$. This does not allow s one to assum e that $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{L} j}=0$. To overcom e this problem, $K$ ing [22] rew rites the anti-dam ping channel in the convex form ${ }_{3 ; \mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{Mmaq}}=$


$$
[x ; x ; 2 x \quad 1]=\frac{3 x 1}{2 x}[x ; 1 ; x]+\frac{1 x}{2 x}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x & 1 & 2 x ; \tag{40}
\end{array}\right]
$$

after $m$ aking a rotation so that $\left.=\frac{1}{2}\left(I+w_{1}+w_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, i.e., $w_{2}=0$. Then both channels on the right have $p()=p\binom{$ dep }{$x}$. H owever, the channel $\left[\begin{array}{lll}x & 1 & 2 x ;\end{array}\right.$ $w$ ith negative $m$ ultipliers has no direct analogue in the $d>2$ case. Therefore, $K$ ing's argum ent does not generalize. Am osov [1] has given a new proof of additivity (33) for unital qubit channels. Because his argum ent is based on $K$ ing's decom position (40), 迆 does not readily generalize to $\mathrm{d}>2$.

Proving (35) for channels w ith positive $m$ ultipliers seem $s$ to require a new ap-
 problem to this case. Channels with som e negative multipliers present a di erent challenge.

### 5.4 R esults for $p=2$

In the case $p=2$, we can prove $m$ ore, inchuding $m$ ultiplicativity for all channels constant on axes. The results ofthis section are based on the follow ing theorem which was proved by Fukuda and Holevo in [13] in the case of generalized P aulim atrices; inequality (41) was obtained independently by $N$ athanson in [26]. A proof, which is essentially identical to that in [13], is presented in A ppendix B . 4 for com pleteness.

Theorem 13 (Fukuda-H olevo-N athanson) Let be a CPT map which is diagonal when represented in an OBU, and let s denote its diagonalelem ents. T hen

$$
2 \text { ( ) } \quad \frac{1}{d} 1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1 \tag{41}
\end{array}\right) \sup _{s} j{ }_{s} \jmath^{1=2}:
$$

M oreover, if the bound (41) is attained, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\quad)=2()_{2}() \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any CPT map.
$T$ his result im plies that all channels constant on axes satisfy the $m$ ultiplicativity conjecture for $p=2$.

Theorem 14 Let dbe a prime power and $: M_{d} \eta M_{d}$ be a CPT map constant on axes. Then (35) holds for $p=2$, i.e., $2(\quad)=2_{2}()_{2}()$ with an arbitrary CPT map.

Proof: By Theorem 13, it su ces to show that

$$
\left[2(1)^{2}=\frac{1}{d} 1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1 \tag{43}
\end{array}\right)^{2}\right.
$$

$w$ here $=\sup _{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}=\sup _{\mathrm{L}} \dot{\mathcal{S}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}$. For channels constant on axes, it is straightforward to verify that (43) is attained with any axis state $j_{n}^{L}$ ih ${ }_{n}^{L}$ jforwhich $j_{\mathrm{L}} j=$. Q ED

O ne can extend this slightly to cover channels of the form (59), which includes Exam ple 4 in [13].

Theorem 15 Let be a channel on $M_{d}$ of the form (59) with $M U B$. Then (35) holds for $p=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith an arbitrary CPT map.

For channels in the $O B U \mathrm{fu}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}$, one can relax the requirem ent that the channel is \constant" on the longest axis.

Theorem 16 Let be a channel constant on axes, $L_{0} j_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j} 8 \mathrm{~L}$, and M J a channel of the form (63) $w$ ith $J=L_{0}$. If either $=x M_{J}+(1 \quad x)$, with 0 x 1 , or $=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{J}}$, then (35) holds for $\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith an arbitrary CPT map.

Proof: Both and $M_{J}$ are diagonal in the orthogonal unitary basis $f U_{\mathrm{L} j} g$ with multipliers L and Lj respectively. In both cases, one can verify that is also diagonal with $L_{0 j}=$ independent of $j$ and $L_{L_{0} j} j_{L_{j} j}{ }^{j}$. (In the rst case,
$=x+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right)_{L_{0}} ;$ in the second $\left.=L_{0}.\right)$ Therefore, $\sup _{s} j j_{s} j=$ and any axis state $j{ }_{n}^{L_{0}}$ ih ${ }_{n}^{\mathrm{L}_{0}}$ jsaturates the bound (41). The result then follow sfrom $T$ heorem 13 . Q ED

The channel is constant on the \longest" axis in the sense that the multiplier $L_{0 j}$ is independent of $j$ on this axis. But it is the constraint $a_{L_{0} j}$ independent of $j$ that has been relaxed. M aps of the form above with a depolarizing channel were studied in [8] and show $n$ to satisfy (35) for allp.

## 6 B loch sphere picture

The Bloch sphere picture has proved so useful for $d=2$ that there have been num erous attem pts to extend it to higher dim ensions, and (44) can be regarded as such an extension. M oreover, the conditions (45) extend the standard criterion on the com ponents of the vector representing a density m atrix. The fact that the vector in (44) are complex rather than real is an inessential consequence of our decision to focus on OBU rather than bases with Herm itian elem ents. (Replacing $W_{J}^{j}$ and $W_{J}{ }^{j}$ by $\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{J}^{j}+W_{J}^{j}\right)$ and $\frac{i}{2}\left(W_{J}^{j} W_{J}{ }^{j}\right)$, replaces $V_{J j}$ and $V_{J ; d}{ }_{j}$ by $\operatorname{Rev}_{J j}$ and $\mathrm{Im} \mathrm{V}_{J j}$ respectively.) The essential problem is that (45) is a necessary, but not su cient condition for a matrix of the form (44) to yield a positive sem i-de nte $m$ atrix. F inding sim ple su cient conditions for positivity, or even purity, is the real roadblock.

Forqubits, allvectors on the surface of the unit ballcorrespond to pure states and its im age under a CP is an ellipsoid contained in this ball. A s show in [10, 27, 35] not every ellipsoid corresponds to a CP map, but those that do de ne a unique CP map w th positive $m$ ultipliers. H ow ever, the role of negative $m$ ultipliers is lost com pletely. TheB loch sphere picture does not show rotations (unless com posed w ith another map) and does not show the e ect of, e.g., a bit ip even when com posed w th anotherm ap.

The channels presented here do allow a partialgeneralization of the $B$ loch sphere picture in the sense of axes, with a multiplier e ect sim ilar to that of unital qubit channels in the case of positive multipliers. The inadequacy of this picture in the case of negative $m$ ultipliers arises already for qubits. H ow ever, it is obscured by the unitary equivalence of $m$ aps com posed w ith conjugation by a Paulim atrix $k$. For channels constant on axes, this sim ple $m$ ap is replaced by ${ }_{J}^{x}$, which is the average of con jugations $w$ th powers of the axis generators $W_{j}{ }_{j}$, and the picture for negative m ultipliers breaks dow n com pletely.

## A C onvex com binations of unitary con jugations

## A . 1 O rthogonalbases of unitary operators

An orthogonal basis of unitaries ( OBU ) for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is a set of $d^{2}$ unitary $m$ atrices $\mathrm{fV}_{0} ; \mathrm{V}_{1} ;::: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~g}$ w ith $\mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{I}$ satisfying $\mathrm{TrV}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{d}{ }_{\text {st }}$. $\mathrm{Since} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}$ becom es a H ilbert space when equipped w ith the inner product hA;Bi=TrA ${ }^{Y} B$, one can expand an elem ent of $M_{d}$ in this basis. In particular, any density $m$ atrix, , which is a positive
sem i-de nite operator $w$ ith $\operatorname{Tr}=1$ can be $w$ ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{d}_{s=0}^{d^{2}} V_{s} V_{s}=\frac{1}{d} I+{ }_{s>0}^{X} V_{s} V_{s} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Y}}$. It follow s easily $[13,26]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}_{s} j \quad 1 \text { and }{\underset{s>0}{x} \dot{j}_{s}{ }^{j} \quad d \quad 1}^{d} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith equality in the latter if and only if is pure. A though (44) can be regarded as a generalization of the $B$ loch sphere representation to $d>2$, the conditions in (45) are necessary but not su cient for an expression of the form (44) to de ne a positive sem i-de nite operator.

Let $f j_{n}$ ig be an orthonorm albasis for $C^{d}$. Then the span of $f j_{n}{ }^{i h}{ }_{n} \dot{j}$ is a $d$ dim ensional subspace of the $d^{2}$ dim ensional space $M_{d}$. N ow suppose that $\mathrm{fV}_{s} g$ is an an $O B U$ for $M_{d}$ such that $\mathrm{fV}_{0} ; \mathrm{V}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d} 1} 9$ span the sam e subspace. Then the projections ${ }_{n}=j_{n}$ ih ${ }_{n} j$ and the operators $p_{\bar{d}}^{1} V_{s} w$ ith $s=0 ; 1::: d 1$ give two orthonom albases for this subspace. H ence they are related by a unitary transform ation, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{1}{d}{ }_{s=0}^{X^{d}} x_{n s} V_{s} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{s}=X_{n=1}^{X^{d}} \overline{X_{n s} n} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $\frac{1}{\bar{d}}\left(x_{n s}\right)$ unitary. Since the ${ }_{n}$ commute, so do the $V_{s}$. In fact, the vectors $j_{n} i$ are sim ultaneous eigenvectors of these $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{w}$ ith (46) the spectraldecom position. This (or the purity condition (45)) im plies that $\dot{x}_{n s} j=1$ for all $n$;s.

W e will consider tw o special cases of an OBU in detail: those associated w ith the generalized P aulim atrices introduced in Section A. 3, and those associated w ith generators of m utually unbiased bases (M UB) introduced in Section A. A. In both cases, each $m$ atrix $V_{s} w i l l$ be labeled by a pair of indioes, so that $s$ ( $j ; k$ ) or s ( $\mathrm{J} ; \mathrm{j}$ ). Despite its two indicies, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{jk}}$ gives coe cients in a basis and is best regarded as a colum $n$ vector after som e ordering of the indioes rather than as a $m$ atrix.

## A. $2 \quad \mathrm{R}$ epresentations of linear operators on $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}$

$W$ hen a linear operator $: M_{d} 7 M_{d}$ is represented by the $d^{2} \quad d^{2} m$ atrix $T$ with elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{st}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

its action on corresponds to $v_{s} \eta^{P}{ }_{t} T_{s t} V_{t}$. $W$ hen $T_{s t}={ }_{s t} t$ is a diagonalm atrix, the channel is called diagonal and its action on reduces to $v_{s} \eta{ }_{s} v_{s}$, i.e., it acts like a multiplier on the vector representing .

If the unitary requirem ent is tem porarily dropped and $V_{s} \quad \dot{e}_{j}$ ihe $e_{k} j$ in the stan-
 C hoi-Jam iolkow skistate representative but a very di erent ordering! It is im portant that the pair ( $i ; k$ ) labels row $s$ and ( $j ;{ }^{\prime}$ ) colum ns in order to correctly describe the action of by $m$ atrix multiplication. The conversion from this ordering to ChoiJam iolkow ski state form is som etim es called the \canonicalshu e" [32].
$W$ e are prim arily interested in $m$ aps of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\int_{s}^{X} a_{s} V_{s} V_{s}^{y} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $a_{s} \quad 0$ and ${ }^{P}{ }_{s} a_{s}=1$. Then is a unital com pletely positive, trace-preserving (CPT) map or unitalquantum channel and $\mathrm{T}_{0 \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s} 0}=0 \mathrm{~s}$.

Theorem 17 Let $\mathrm{fV}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{g}$ be an OBU satisfying a commutation relation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{y}}={ }_{\mathrm{st}} \mathrm{I}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $j_{s t} j=1$ and a channel of the form (48) is diagonal with $m$ ultiplier $s=$ u su $a_{u}$.

A channel of the form (48) can be represented by a diagonalm atrix even when the com $m$ utation condition does not hold. H ow ever, when only one $a_{s}$ is non-zero, i.e.,
()$=V_{u} V_{u}^{y}$ for som $e \quad x e d u$, the channel is diagonal if and only if (49) holds.

The next resultm ay seem obvious; how ever, ifthe $V_{s}$ are not $m$ utually orthogonal, one can have a $m$ ap of the form (48) which is $C P$ even though som $e a_{J}$ are negative. A $n$ exam ple is the qubit channel

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=V \quad V^{y}=+x \quad x \quad V^{y} V \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e V=\frac{1}{\overline{2}} \begin{array}{ll}1 & i \\ i & 1\end{array}$.

Theorem 18 Let $\mathrm{fV}_{s} g$ be an OBU and amap of the form 48). Then is CP if and only if all $a_{J} 0$.

Proof: The key point is that when ( ) = U Uy with U unitary, its C J matrix is the projection $j \mathrm{~J}$ ihU $j$ where we em ploy a slight abuse of notation in which $\mathrm{jJ} i$
denotes the $d^{2} \quad 1$ vectors obtained by \stacking" the colum ns of $U$. $W$ hen has the form (48), its C J m atrix can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{d}{ }_{s}^{X} \quad a_{s} V_{s} i h V_{s} j: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, when the $\mathrm{V}_{s}$ are mutually orthogonal, the corresponding $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{i}$ are also orthogonal and therefore eigenvectors of the CJ m atrix w ith eigenvalue $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Thus,
is positive sem i-de nite if and only if allaz 0. QED

## A. $3 \quad G$ eneralized $P$ aulim atrices

In d dim ensions one can de ne the generalized Pauli matrioes X and Z by their action on a xed orthonorm albasis $C^{d}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \dot{\epsilon}_{k} i=\dot{\dot{\beta}}_{k+1} i \quad \text { and } \quad Z \dot{\beta}_{k} i=!^{k} \dot{\dot{\beta}}_{k} i \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $!=e^{\mathrm{i} 2}=\mathrm{d}$ and addition m od d in the subscript. They are unitary and satisfy the com $m$ utation relation $\mathrm{ZX}=!\mathrm{XZ}$. Thus, the set of generalized $P$ aulioperators $P=f X^{j} Z^{k}: j ; k=0 ; 1 ;::: d \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ form s an orthogonalunitary basis for $M_{d} \cdot W$ e are interested in channels which have the form (48) in this basis, ie., for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
()={\left.\underset{j k}{X} a_{j k} X^{j} Z^{k} \quad\left(X^{j} Z^{k}\right)^{y}\right) .}^{y} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $a_{j k} 0$ and ${ }^{P}{ }_{j k} a_{j k}=1$. In view of $T$ heorem 17, the $m$ atrix representing is a diagonalm atrix; how ever, the diagonal elem ents $w$ ill not in generalbe real.

It is evident that $Z$ has the sam e properties as one of the $W_{J}$ in Section 2.1. In addition, $X$ and $m$ any other $m$ embers of $P$ are unitarily equivalent to $Z$ and share these properties. $W$ henever $W=X^{j} Z^{k}$ with either $j$ or $k$ relatively prime to $d$, then $W$ generates a cyclic group of order d. We want to exploit this group structure to relabel the $m$ atrices $X^{j} Z^{k}$ and associate them $w i t h ~ \ a x e s " ~ w h e n e v e r ~$ possible. For this punpose we do not need to distinguish between, e.g., ( $\left.\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}$ and $X^{2 j} Z^{2 k}$ although $\left(X^{j} Z^{k}\right)^{2}=!^{j k} X^{2 j} Z^{2 k} W$ ith this notion of equivalence, we nd that if $W_{1}=X^{j_{1}} Z^{k_{1}}$ and $W_{2}=X^{j_{2}} Z^{k_{2}} w$ th $\operatorname{god}\left(j_{1} ; k_{1} ; d\right)=\operatorname{god}\left(j_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{d}\right)=1$, then they generate cyclic groups $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ which are either equal or have no com $m$ on elem ent other than $I$.

Thus, when d is prim e, the set ofgeneralized $P$ aulioperators $P$ can be partitioned into the identity $I$ and $d+1$ disjoint sets of the form $f W^{j}: j=1 ; 2::: d 1 \mathrm{~g}$. Let $\mathrm{W}_{\text {к }} ; \mathrm{K}=1 ; 2::: \mathrm{d}+1$ denote some xed choice of generators, and note that $W_{L} W_{K}=!^{t} W_{K} W_{L}$ where ! = $e^{2}{ }^{i=d}$ and $t$ is an integer which depends on $L$ and $K$. (O ne speci c choice, used in A ppendix C 2 , is $W_{J}=X Z^{J}$ for $J=1 ; 2::: d$ and
$\left.W_{d+1}=Z.\right) T h e e i g e n v e c t o r s j_{n}^{J}$ ih ${ }_{n}^{J}$ jofthese $W_{J}$ form a set ofd+1MUB. In view of (6), the eigenstates of $W_{J}$ can be regarded as generalizations of the qubit states $\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}I & j\end{array}\right]$ at the ends of the three axes of the B loch sphere. Thus, it is natural to call them axis states.
$W$ hen $d=d_{1} d_{2}$ is not prim e, then $M_{d}^{\prime} M_{d_{1}} \quad M_{d_{2}}$ and one can form another O BU from tensor products of generalized P aulim atriges in dim ensions $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. H ow ever, only when $d=p^{m}$ is a prim e power is it know $n$ that one can $m$ ake a sim ilar division into M UB.

O ne m ight ask why we did not consider maps of the form (53) w ith $a_{s} a_{j k}$ independent of $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{1}{d}{ }_{j}^{X} a_{j}{ }_{j}^{X} X^{j} Z^{k} Z^{k} X^{j}=X_{j} a_{j} X^{j} Q c() X^{j} ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see that such a channel is a convex com bination ofEB channels and, hence, also EB. Therefore, this choige would not yield a particularly interesting new class of channels.

## A. 4 M utually unbiased bases

A pair of orthonorm albases $f \#_{n} g$ and $f{ }_{n} g$ is called mutually unbiased ifh $\#_{m}$; ${ }_{n} i=$ $\frac{1}{d}$. A set of mutually unbiased bases (MUB) for $C^{d}$ is a collection of orthonorm al bases fj ${ }_{n}^{J i g ; ~} J=1 ; 2 ;::$ which are pairw ise m utually unbiased as in (2). Our treatm ent of M UB is based on an association w ith generators $W_{J}$ as in (5) .

Theorem 19 A collection of orthonorm al bases fj ${ }_{k}^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{ig}$ is pairw ise mutually unbiased if and only if the associated generators satisfy the orthogonality condition


P roof: O ne im plication was shown in (7). T he other follow s im m ediately from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{X}^{1} \\
& ={\frac{1}{d^{2}}}_{j=0}^{X=0} \operatorname{T}^{-m+k n} \operatorname{TrW} \int_{J}^{j} W{ }_{L}^{k}=\frac{1}{d^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} I=\frac{1}{d}: \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\operatorname{TrW}{ }_{J}^{j} W{ }_{L}^{k}=j 0$ ko when $J \in L . \quad$ QED
A s observed after (7), one can have at most $d+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{UB}$ for $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{d}}$. It follow s im $m$ ediately from $T$ heorem 19 that the existence of a maxim al set set of d+ 1 MUB is equivalent the existence of d+ 1 unitary $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{J}}$ whose powers generate an OBU.M oreover, this is equivalent to the existence of $\mathrm{d}+1 \mathrm{~m}$ utually orthogonalunitary W J W ith non-degenerate eigenvalues ! ${ }^{k}$ w ith ! $=e^{2}$ i=d.

Thequestion ofw hether or not a m axim alset ofM U B exist when $d$ is a com posite of di erent prim es is a di cult open problem. H ow ever, it is known that $d+1$ M UB exist when $d=p^{m}$ is a prim e power [4, 29, 40]. O ne m ethod of constructing M UB is based on partitioning tensor products of P aulim atrices [4]. (See also [21].)

Theorem 20 W hen $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}$ is a prim e power, one can decom pose the OBU form ed by taking tensor products of generalized P auli m atrices into the identity and $d+1$ disjint subsets of $d \quad 1$ elem ents which we denote $U_{L j} w$ ith $L=1 ; 2::: ; d+1$ and $j=1 ; 2 ;::: d \quad 1 . M$ oreover, for each $L, f I ; U_{L j}: j=1 ; 2 ;::: d \quad 1 g$ form s a maxim al Abelian subgroup of the $P$ auli group and the sim ultaneous eigenvectors of the $U_{L j}$ generate the MUB $f j{ }_{n}^{L} i g$.

In this setting, the Abelian subgroups which de ne the M UB are not cyclic. A though one can still use the MUB to de ne generators $W_{J}$, they need not be equivalent to generalized P aulim atriges. W hen $d$ is prim $e^{\prime}$ the $W$ J can be chosen to be generalized P aulim atrices and, hence, satisfy a com m utation relation.

Q uestion 21 C an the generators $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{J}}$ for a xed maxim al set of M UB always be chosen so that they satisfy $W_{J} W_{L}=J_{L} W_{L} W_{J}$ for somecomplex numbers $J_{L} W$ ith $j_{\text {JL }} \mathrm{j}=1$ ?

Lim ited testing when $d=4$ suggests that the answer is negative. H owever, $W \mathrm{~J}$ is not unique; it depends on the ordering of the basis.

Even when $d$ is not a prim e power, one can nd at least three cyclic subgroups $W_{L}$ w ith $W_{K} \backslash W_{L}=I$ when $K \in L$. O ne can choose as generators $X ; Z ; X Z$, and de ne a set of three associated M UB.

Q uestion 22 W hen $3 \ll d+1$, one can always extend $f W_{L}^{j} g$ to an orthogonal basis for $M_{d} . C$ an this be done so that the additionalelem ents are also unitary?
$W$ hen $=3$, the generators $W_{L}$ can be chosen to be generalized $P$ aulim atrices, as in Section A.3. C an generators of an M U B alw ays be chosen to be either generalized $P$ auli $m$ atrices or tensor products of generalized $P$ auli $m$ atrices? If they are alw ays one or the other, the answer to the previous question is positive.

## A. 5 Channels based on M U B

C hannels constant on axes are specialcases ofchannels ofthe form (48) w th $V_{s} W_{L}^{j}$ and $a_{s}$, $a_{L j}=\frac{1}{d 1} a_{L} w$ th $a_{L}$ as in (10). O ne could also consider $V_{S} U_{L j}$ as de ned in term s ofgeneralized P aulim atriges in $T$ heorem 20; how ever, a channel of the form (48) $w$ ith $V_{S} \quad W_{L}^{j}$ need not have this form $w$ ith $V_{S} U_{L j}$. If the coe cients depend
on $j$ aswell as $L$, the conversion could lead to cross-term sof the form $U_{L j} U_{L k} w$ ith $j \notin \mathrm{k}$. In fact, a channel of the form (48) w ith $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L} j}$ is alw ays diagonal, but one $w$ th $V_{s} W_{L}^{j}$ need not be. H ow ever, for channels constant on axes, both are diagonal.

For each xed L, $\mathrm{fW}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{j} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{j}=1 ; 2 ;::: \mathrm{d} 1}$ and $\mathrm{fU}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}=1 ; 2 ;::: \mathrm{d} 1}$ span the sam e subspace of $M_{d}$, and $m$ any of the relations in Section 2 can be written using $U_{L j}$. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\ell c}()=\frac{1}{d}{ }_{j}^{X} U_{L j} U_{L j}^{y} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j{ }_{n}^{L} i h{ }_{n}^{L} j=\frac{1}{d} I+\underbrace{X^{1}}_{j=1} u_{L j} U_{L j}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\dot{j}_{\mathrm{L} j} j=1$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{j} \dot{\mu}_{\mathrm{L}, j} j=\mathrm{d} \quad 1 . W$ e can also rew rite (44) as as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{d} I+{ }_{J=1 j=1}^{\mathbf{x}^{+1} X^{1}} u_{J j} U_{J j}^{i} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u_{J j}=\operatorname{Tr} U_{J j}^{Y}$.
W e are prim arily interested in channels of the form (3) when a full set of $d+1$ M UB exist. H ow ever, even when only < d+ 1 M UB exist, one can generalize (3) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=s I+{ }_{L=1}^{X}{ }_{L}{ }_{L}^{Q c}+u N: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the CPT conditions given by $s+{ }^{P}{ }_{L} t_{I}+u=1, s+\frac{1}{d}{ }_{L} t_{I}+\frac{1}{d^{2}} u \quad 0$, and $\frac{1}{d} t_{\mathrm{L}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}} \mathrm{u} \quad 0$.

W hen d+1MUB exist, the com pletely noisy channelN : 7 ( $\operatorname{Tr})_{d}^{\frac{1}{d}} \mathrm{I}$ satis es
which allow s one to reduce (59) to (3) by letting $s$ ! $s \frac{1}{d} u$ and $t_{I}=t_{I}+\frac{1}{d} u$; in both form s one has $L=e+E_{\text {. }}$. Even when $<d+1$, one can associate a multiplier $w$ th the channel (59) by com pleting the orthogonalbasis $W{ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{k}}$. In this case:

$$
m=\begin{array}{ll}
( & s+t_{L}  \tag{61}\\
m & (L ; j) \\
s & \text { otherw ise }
\end{array}
$$

## A. 6 C on jugations on a single axis

$W$ e denote conjugation with a single unitary $m$ atrix $U$ by $u$ so that $u() \quad U \quad U^{Y}$. $W$ hen $U=U_{J j}$ is an elem ent of the OBU $\mathrm{fU}_{\mathrm{Lk}} g$, the channel $U_{J j}$ is diagonalin this basis $w$ th multiplier $s \quad$ uk satisfying $j_{\mathrm{Lk}} j=1$ and $\mathrm{Jk}_{\mathrm{k}}=1$ for all k . H owever, themap $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{J}}^{j}()=\mathrm{W}_{J}^{j} \mathrm{~W}_{J}^{j}$ is not diagonal unless the com mutation condition (49) holds as in $Q$ uestion 21. $W$ hen $d$ is prim $e, U_{J j}=W_{J}^{j}$ and we can say a bit $m$ ore.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{J j}\left(j_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ih } \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathcal{j}\right)=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{J}}^{j}\left(j_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ih } \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathcal{j}\right)=j_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ih }{\underset{n}{L} j}_{\mathrm{L}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a function of $L$ and $m$. Thus, $w_{j}^{j}$ perm utes axis states when $d$ is prime.
It is useful to consider the special case of (48) in which the unitary con jugations involve only a single axis $J$. The channels

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{J}()={ }_{j}^{X} C_{j} U_{J j}()={ }_{j}^{X} C_{j} U_{J j} U_{J j}^{Y} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

is diagonalwith multiplier satisfying $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}}=1$ and $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{Lk}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} 1$ for $\mathrm{L} \in \mathrm{J}$. If is a channel constant on axes, then a channelof the form $=M_{J}$ still has a constant $m$ ultiplier $J$ on the axis $J$ but has multipliers $j_{\text {lk }} j \quad$ i on on the other axes. A channel of the form $=x M_{J}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right)$, with $0 \quad x \quad 1$ has a constant multiplier $x+(1 \quad x)$ J on the axis $J$, but has multipliers $j_{\mathrm{Lk}} j \quad \mathrm{x}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{l} & \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{L} \text { on on the }\end{array}\right.$ other axes. Relaxing the requirem ent that the coe cients $a_{L j}$ are constant on one axis $J$ yields channels whose $m$ ultipliers are constant only on that axis.

## A.7 EB conditon on the $L_{1}$ norm of a channel

W e give a sim ple proof of the so-called \com putable cross-norm " condition for sepprability. This says that a bipartite density $m$ atrix is separable if and only if
j $\quad 1$ when $j$ are the singular values after the canonical reshu ing of the elem ents so that is the C J m atrix of a CP map. The conventions that $\mathrm{Tr}=1$, and satis es the trace-preserving condition $\operatorname{Tr}()=\operatorname{Tr}$ are not consistent unless the reshu ing is accom panied by multiplication by d . T hus, theorem below gives an upper bound ofd rather than 1.

Theorem 23 Let be any EB channel. Then $k k_{1} d$.
P roof: It was shpwn in [18] that a channel is EB if and only if it can be written in the form $\quad()={ }_{k} R_{k} \operatorname{Tr} E_{p}$ where each $R_{k}$ is a density $m$ atrix and $f E_{k} g$ form $s$ a POVM, i.e., each $E_{k} 0$ and ${ }_{k} E_{k}=I$. Then, w ith respect to the $H$ ilbert-Schm idt inner product, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{ihE}_{\mathrm{k}} \dot{j}: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the colum ns of $R_{k}$ and $E_{k}$ \stacked" as in (51).
A ny positive sem i-de nite $m$ atrix satis es $\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} \quad\left(\operatorname{TrE} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}$ 。Therefore, it follow s from the triangle inequally that


A $n$ im m ediate corollary applies to diagonalchannelsw th $\quad X \quad{ }_{s} V_{s}={ }_{s}{ }_{s} a_{s} V_{s}$.
$\underset{P}{T}$ heorem 24 Let be a channel which is diagonal in an OBU. If is EB, then ${ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{d}$ 。

## B Som e multiplicativity proofs

## B.1 C onvex Com binations of $C$ hannels

The follow ing elem entary lem m a is needed in Section 5.3

Lem man 25 Let j be any set of channels for which $p_{j}(j) \quad B$ for all $j$, and let be a convex com bination of the $j$. Then $p() \quad B . M$ oreover, if $k \quad() l_{k}=B$ for some, then ${ }_{p}()=B$.

As an ilhustration, we consider an application to channels w ith one sym $m$ etry axis.

Theorem 26 Let (a;b)be a channel of the form (27) with b>0 and a $<0$.
i) If $p(a ; b)=p(0 ; b)$, then $p(a ; b)=p \quad(0 ; b)$ for $a l l a$ $(a ; 0)$.
ii) If (a) holds and, (35) holds w ith $=(a ; b)$, then it also holds for $=(a ; b)$ with a $2(a ; 0)$.

P roof: The proof follows im m ediately from Lemman with $B=p \quad(0 ; b)$ in part (a) and $B=p(0 ; b) p()$ in part (b).

## B. 2 C on jecture 9 when $p=2$ and $p=1$

C onjecture 9 posits that the $m$ axim al output p-norm of a channel constant on axes is achieved on an axis state. $W$ e show this to be true in the special cases $p=2$ and $\mathrm{p}=1$.

Theorem 27 Let be a channelconstant on axeswith $j_{\mathrm{L}} j_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}$ for all L. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2()=k\left(j{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { in }{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}\right) \mathrm{k}_{2}  \tag{66}\\
& 1()=k\left(j{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ih }{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{k}_{1}\right. \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $p=2$, this is uses the fact that the axis state saturates the inequality (41) in Section 5.4. W hen the multipliers are all non-negative, we could prove the $p=1$ case using $T$ heorem 15 from [26]. H ow ever, a m ore general proof using singular values w orks for all axis channels and, indeed, it seem s likely that one could generalize it to allp > 2. W e present the proof for channels with j $j \frac{1}{d}$; a sim ilar argum ent works when $j_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j}<\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}$ (1 L$)$.

For a density $m$ atrix , let fyig be the singular values of () with yo $=k \quad() k_{1}$. If $y_{0}>j_{\mathrm{L}} j$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& k \quad() k_{2}^{2}={ }^{X^{1}} y_{i}^{2}=y_{0}^{2}+{ }^{X^{1}} y_{i}^{2}  \tag{68}\\
& \mathrm{i}=0 \quad \mathrm{i}=1 \\
& y_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{d 1} X^{X^{1}} y_{i}^{2}=y_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{d 1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y_{0}
\end{array}\right)^{2}  \tag{69}\\
& \text { i= } 1 \\
& >\quad \underset{\mathrm{L}}{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 1}(1 \quad \mathrm{~L})^{2}=\mathrm{k} \quad\left(\mathrm{j}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \text { ih }{\underset{0}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{j}}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{k}_{2}^{2}\right. \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts (66).
Therefore, $k \quad() k_{1} \quad k \quad\left(j{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}}\right.$ ih ${ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{k}_{1}$ for all . Q ED

## B . 3 C ritical points

The follow ing result em erged from our study of channels constant on axes. We present it here in full generality.

Lem ma 28 Let be a positivity-preserving linear $m a p$ on $M_{d}$ and $f j_{n i g}$ an orthonom albasis for $C^{d}$ such that spanfj $\mathrm{n}^{\text {ih }}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \dot{\operatorname{l}}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 ; 2:: \mathrm{xd}$ is an invariant subspace of both and ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$. Let ( $(\mathrm{t})$ be a di erentiable one-param eter fam ily of pure states w ith
( 0 ) $=j_{m}$ ih $\mathrm{m} j$ for some m . Then for all $\mathrm{p} \quad 1$, the functions $k[(t)] k_{p}$ and

S [ ( t ] ] each has a critical point at $\mathrm{t}=0$. M oreover, if 1 and 2 are positivitypreserving linear $m$ aps on $M_{d_{1}}$ and $M_{d_{2}}$ and each satis es the same hypotheses, then the result holds for any di erentiable fam ily ${ }_{12}(t)$ of pure states on $C^{d_{1} d_{2}}$ w th $12(0)=j \underset{\mathrm{n}}{1} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{2}$ ih $\underset{\mathrm{n}}{1} \quad \stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{j}$ 。

P roof: First, observe that since $(t)$ is a pure for all $t, \operatorname{Tr}(t)=\operatorname{Tr}[(t)]=1$ is constant, which implies $\operatorname{Tr}{ }^{0}(t)=0$. Then, writing $(t)=j(t)$ ih $(t) j$ we see that

$$
{ }^{0}(t)=j(t) i h^{0}(t) j+j{ }^{0}(t) \text { ih }(t) j:
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\operatorname{Tr}{ }^{0}(0)=\mathrm{h}{ }^{0}(0) ; \mathrm{m} i+\mathrm{hm} ;{ }^{0}(0) i=2 \operatorname{Reh} \mathrm{~m} ;{ }^{0}(0) i: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow let $f(t)=\operatorname{Tr} \quad[(t)]^{p}$ and observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{0}(t)=\operatorname{pTr} \quad[(t)]^{p 1} \quad\left[{ }^{0}(t)\right]=\operatorname{pTr}^{b} \quad[(t)]^{p 1} \quad{ }^{0}(t) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance condition on implies that $[(0)]={ }^{P}{ }_{n} n_{n} j_{n}$ ih $n j$ and that fact that it is positivity preserving implies that $n \quad 0$. Then it follow sfrom the orthogonality of the ${ }_{n}$ that $[(0)]^{p 1}=n_{n}^{p}{ }_{n}^{1} j_{n}$ ih $_{n} j$. Then using the invariance of b, we can nd ef such that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{0}(0) & =p \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{X} e_{n} j_{n} i h n_{n} j^{0}(t) \\
& =p \operatorname{Tr} X^{n} e_{n} j_{n} i h_{n} j j_{m} \text { ih }{ }^{0}(0) j+j^{0}(0) i h_{m} j \\
& =2 p e_{m} h_{m} ;{ }^{0}(0) i+h^{0}(0) ; m i=0
\end{align*}
$$

by 71). A sim ilar argum ent holds for $f(t)=S \quad[\quad(t)] . N$ ote that positivitypreserving is needed only to ensure that $[(t)]^{p 1}$ and $\log \quad[(t)]^{p 1}$ are wellde ned and di erentiable. In the case of a tensor product, it su ces to observe that the channel $1_{2} \quad$ and the products $f j_{m}^{1} \quad{ }_{n}^{2}$ ig) satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma for $d=d_{1} d_{2}$. QED
$N$ ote that because $M_{d}$ has dim ension $d^{2}$ the hypothesis that the $d$-dim ensional space spanfj ${ }_{n}$ ih ${ }_{n} \dot{j}$ is invariant is far from trivial. Special cases are given below.
(a) is a channel constant on axes andfj ${ }_{n}^{J}$ ig is one of the M UB.
(b) is a P auli diagonal channel, and $\mathrm{fj}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ig are the com m on eigenvectors of a com $m$ uting subset of generalized Paulim atrices. $W$ hen $d$ is not prim $e$, the subgroup need not be cyclic. For exam ple, ford $=4$, the lem m a applies to the sim ultaneous eigenvectors of the set $f I ; \mathrm{X}^{2} ; \mathrm{Z}^{2} ; \mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{Z}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$.
(c) Let be a tensor product of unitalqubit channels and $j_{n} i=(I \quad n) j$ i be the four maxim ally entangled states (w ith joi= j00i+ jlii). Then

$$
\text { spanfj } \mathrm{ih} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \dot{9}=\operatorname{spanfI} \quad \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{y} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z} 9
$$

and this is an invariant subspace of . So for a product ofunitalqubit channels, we have a critical point at the $m$ axim ally entangled states. Even when the inputs are not optim al, this criticalpoint can be a relative $m$ axim $u m$; see the exam ple in F ig. 6 of [15].
(d) This lem m does not apply directly to the W emer- H olevo channel [39] W ( ) = $\frac{1}{d 1} I \quad T$ because $W=W \mathrm{~m}$ aps a basis to its com plex conjugate, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad \text { spanfj }{ }_{n} \text { ih } n \dot{\mathcal{g}}=\operatorname{spanf}_{\bar{j}_{n}} \text { ih }_{\mathrm{n}} \dot{\mathscr{g}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

 spanfj $n$ ih $n \dot{j}$ gince $=W$. Therefore, we have all that is needed for the proof of the statem ent,
so that the conclusion still holds.
For a single use of , this a m s that any pure state is a criticalpoint of the p-norm, which is clear since all pure state outputs have the sam e spectrum. For the product $W$ W , this show s that any m axim ally entangled state is a critical point of both the output p-norm and entropy.

## B.4 Proof of Theorem 13

U sing the notation of Section A.1 w ith $\mathrm{FV}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{g}$ the OB U , observe that any 12 can be w ritten as $12=\frac{1}{d} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}} \quad \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{Tr}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{y} \quad\right.$ I) $12 . \mathrm{Then} \quad 2=\frac{1}{d} A_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad)\left({ }_{12}\right)=\frac{1}{d}^{X} \quad{ }_{s} V_{s} \quad\left(A_{s}\right): \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then de ning $j_{m a x} \dot{j}=\sup _{s>0} \mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{j}$ one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}(\quad)\left({ }_{12}\right) \mathrm{k}_{2}^{2}=\operatorname{Tr}(\quad)\left({ }_{12}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{X} \quad)\left({ }_{12}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{d} \operatorname{Tr} j\left(A_{0}\right) \jmath^{2}+\quad j \operatorname{j}{ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr} j\left(A_{s}\right) \jmath^{2} \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{d}\left[{ }_{2}()^{2}\left(1 \quad j \max J^{2}\right)+j \max { }^{2} d k\left(I_{1} \quad\right)\left({ }_{12}\right) k_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \frac{1}{d}\left[{ }_{2}()\right\}^{2}\left(1 \quad j \max { }^{2}\right)+j \max { }^{2} d\left[{ }_{2}(I \quad)\right\}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{d} 1+(d \quad 1) j \max J^{2}[2(\quad)\}^{2} \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the fact [2] that $2(I)=L_{2}() . W$ hen dim $H_{2}=1$, (41) follow. M oreover, if the upper bound (41) is attained, then (78) im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}(\quad)\left({ }_{12}\right) \mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad\left[{ }_{2}(\quad)\right]^{2}\left[{ }_{2}(\quad)\right]^{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this bound can alw ays be attained by using a tensor product input. Q ED

## C Separability of som e C J m atrices

## C.1 Extrem e points w ith one sym $m$ etry axis.

To prove $T$ heorem 7 in Section 42 , we need to establish that the points $R$ and $Y$ in Figure 4 correspond to channels w ith separable C J m atrioes.

For the point $R=\left(\frac{1}{d} ; \frac{1}{d}\right)$, we w ill use a construction due to P. H orodecki [20]] which extends an argum ent in [19]. O ne can verify that the CJ m atrix (29) can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{d^{2}} I+{ }^{X} \quad \dot{e_{j}} \quad e_{j} \hat{h}_{k} \quad e_{k} j \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m \quad 3$ is an integer, $x_{1}=1$ and each of the $d \quad 1$ rem aining $x_{j}$ is chosen from $a m$ ong the $m$ th roots of unity $e^{2}$ in=m, the sum nuns over all possible choioes of $\mathrm{x}_{2}::: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and

$$
j_{x_{2}::: x_{d}} i=q_{\overline{\bar{d}}}^{\frac{1}{1}}{ }_{j=1}^{X^{d}} x_{j} \dot{\jmath}_{j} i
$$

The point $Y=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2(d)}\right)$ corresponds to the channel ${ }_{J}^{Y E B}$, for whidh the $C J m$ atrix (29) can be w ritten

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2 d(d 1)}{\underset{j \neq k}{X} \dot{\AA}_{j} \quad e_{k} i h_{j} \quad e_{k} j+}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2 d(d) 1)}_{j<k}^{j k} \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j k$ is given by


Each jk corresponds to a qubit density $m$ atrix of the form

which is separable because it satis es the PPT condition. Thus, 81) is a convex com bination of separable $m$ atrioes.

## C . 2 State representatives for d prim e

To further characterize the EB m aps, we need m ore inform ation about the $\mathrm{C} J \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. W e rst consider only the case ofprim ed, forw hich the generators $W_{J}$ are generalized Pauli $m$ atrices. There is no loss of generality in assum ing that $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{X} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{J}}$ for $J=1 ; 2::: d$ and that $W d+1=Z$. For $J \in d+1$ :
so that

N ote that this implies that for $J \in d+1$, the C J m atrix ${\underset{J}{x}}_{x}$ has the ooe cient of $\dot{j}_{j} \quad e_{j}$ ihe $_{k} \quad e_{k} j$ equal to zero for all $j ; k, w h i d h m$ eans that the $m$ axim ally entangled state $j i$ is in its kemel. The same is ture for the C $J m$ atrix of the CP
$m$ ap $b_{d+1}=P_{J \& d+1} a_{J}{\underset{J}{J}}_{x}^{w}$ ith $a_{J}>0$. When $d=3$ we can write its C J m atrix explicitly as
where $z=a_{1}!+a_{2}!^{2}+a_{3}$ and $=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}$ which is 1 when $b_{d+1}$ is TP.
To obtain the general CJ m atrix, observe that we can use (17) to write any channel constant on axes as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =a_{00} I+a_{d+1} \underset{d+1}{x}+{ }_{J=1}^{X^{d}} a_{J}^{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1
\end{array}\right) s+1 \quad t_{d+1} I+t_{d+1} \underset{d+1}{Q C}+b_{d+1} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th $a_{00} ; a_{J} ; s ; t_{J}$ related as follow ing (10). Then

$$
=\frac{1}{d}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
d & 1 \tag{85}
\end{array}\right) s+1 \quad t_{d+1} j \text { ih } j+{\frac{t_{d+1}}{d}}_{j}^{X} \dot{e}_{j} \quad e_{j} i h_{j} \quad e_{j} j+b_{d+1}
$$

W e now give the nonzero elem ents of with the conventions that indioes with di erent letters are always unequal. The rst two comefrom the rst two term s in (85) and the next tw o from $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{d}+1}$ and (82).

Term : Coe cient:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{e}_{j} \quad e_{j} i h_{j} \quad e_{j} j \quad \frac{1}{d^{2}}(d \quad 1) s \quad(d \quad 1) t_{d+1}=\frac{1}{d^{2}} 1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1
\end{array}\right) d+1 \\
& \dot{e}_{j} e_{j} \text { ihe }_{k} \quad e_{k} j \quad \frac{1}{d^{2}} 1+d s \quad d+1 \quad{\frac{1}{d^{2}}}^{X^{d}} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \dot{e}_{j} \quad e_{k} i h_{j} \quad e_{k} j \quad \frac{1}{d(d \mathbb{X})} 1 \quad a_{00} \quad a_{d+1} \quad=\frac{1}{d^{2}} \frac{1}{X} \quad d+1 \\
& \dot{e}_{j} \quad e_{j+m} \text { ihe } \quad e_{k+m} j \frac{1}{d^{2}} \quad!_{J \neq d+1}^{m J(j k)} t_{J} \quad=\frac{1}{d^{2}}!_{J \notin d+1}^{m J(j k)} J
\end{aligned}
$$

W e w rite the C J m atrix explicitly in the case d=3:

where $u=1+2+3$ and $z=1!+2!^{2}+3$.

## C . 3 Im plications and $P$ roofs for $d=3$

Proof of Theorem 6
A pplying the partialtranspose to (86) gives a m atrix which can be perm uted to give three sim ilar 33 blocks so that the PPT condition is equivalent to

Conjugating this $w$ th the unitary $m$ atrix $p_{\overline{2}}^{1}{ }^{@} \begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ gives the equivalent condition

This gives a pair of necessary and su cient conditions forPPT

$$
1 \quad 1 \quad u_{\mathrm{P}} 0 \text { and }\left(1+2_{1}\right)(1 \quad 1) \quad 2 \dot{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{\jmath}
$$

The rst is equivalent to ${ }^{P}$ J J 1 which was shown in $T$ heorem 5 to be necessary for all $d$; the second is (23). $\quad$ QED
P On the base tetrahedron, ${ }^{P}{ }_{J} J=\frac{1}{2}$ and the second condition reduces to ${ }_{J}{ }_{J}^{2} \frac{1}{4}$; this is the equation of the inscribed sphere which just touches the faces of the base tetrahedron, as show $n$ in $F$ igure 2

Extrem e points
Next consider a channelofthe form $b_{K}=P_{J_{K}} a_{J}{\underset{J}{x}}_{x}$ which is a convex com bination of d of the ${ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{x}} . \mathrm{W}$ hen $\mathrm{d}=3$ and $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{d}+1, \mathrm{CJmatrix}$ of $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is given by (83). It is easy to see that the PPT condition for separability can never be satis ed unless $z=0$. But this $w i l l$ happen if and only if all $a_{J}=\frac{1}{d}$. This corresponds to a channel
${ }_{J}^{X E B}$ in the center of one of the faces of the \base" tetrahedron shown in $F$ igure 2 . Therefore, ${\underset{J}{X E B}}_{X E}$ is an extrem e point of the convex hull of EB m aps for $\mathrm{d}=3$.
 BE in Figure 4. It follow sfrom Theorem 7 that these channels are EB if and only if $\frac{1}{2} \quad t \quad$ 1, i.e., that ${\underset{J}{X B}}_{X E B}$ and ${ }_{J}^{Y E B}$ are the extreme points of the EB channels restricted to this line segm ent. In the case $d=3,{\underset{J}{J}}_{\mathrm{YEB}}^{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{4}$ lies on the boundary of the sphere $J{ }_{J}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{4}$ which encloses the set ofPPT maps in the base tetrahedron. Since this set is strictly convex, ${\underset{J}{J}}^{Y}{ }^{\text {EB }} \mathrm{m}$ ust be an extrem e point of the subset of EB $m$ aps when $d=3$.

N ote that there are channels in the base tetrahedron which satisfy the CCN condition $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{J}^{2} 1$ but are not PPT. For exam ple, $\frac{1}{6}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{x}}+\frac{2}{6}{ }_{2}^{x}+\frac{3}{6} \frac{x}{3}$ gives a channel with multiplier $\quad \frac{1}{4} ; 0 ;+\frac{1}{4} ; \frac{1}{2}$. This channel has $j$ J $\begin{aligned} & \text { J }\end{aligned}=\frac{3}{8}>\frac{1}{4}$ and lies on a face of the base tetrahedron $w$ ith unequal $a_{J}$.

## C.4 Som e observations for $d>3$ :

The form of the C Jm atrices in A ppendix C 2 generalizes to prim ed $>$ 3, in particular the pattem of non-zero elem ents in the $m$ atrix. Indeed, the only elem ents $w$ th nonzero coe cientsmust have the form $\dot{e}_{j} i h_{k} j \dot{j} \dot{j}_{j+m}$ ihe $e_{k+m} j$. W hen $j=k$ orm $=0$, the coe cients were given explicitly after (85).

Applying the PPT condition to a channel of the form $b_{K}=P_{J \& K} a_{J}{\underset{J}{J}}_{x}^{w}$ ith $K=d+1$ will yield $d 1$ equations of the form ${ }^{P}{ }_{J=1}^{d}!^{n_{J}} a_{J}=0$, with each of the $d$ roots of unity occurring exactly once. $W$ e note that setting all $a_{J}=\frac{1}{d}$ yields a solution to these equations. M oreover, combining the d 1 PPT equations w th the norm alization condition ${ }_{J} a_{J}=1$, gives $d$ equations for the $d$ numbers $a_{J}$. The coe cient $m$ atrix for the $a_{J}$ can be written in the form $x_{j k}=!{ }^{j k}$. This is a unitary $m$ atrix which implies that $a_{J}=\frac{1}{d} 8 \mathrm{~J}$ is the only solution. Thus, as for $d=3$, the only EB $m$ aps on the \faces" of the base are the ${ }_{J}^{\mathrm{X} E B}$, which are thus true extrem e points of the $E B$ subset of channels constant on axes.

W e rem ark that the positivity of the subm atrix of

yields the inequallities (12). In fact, one eigenvalue is

$$
(d \quad 1) u+1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1
\end{array}\right)_{k}=1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 1
\end{array}\right)_{J}^{X}
$$

and the requirem ent that this is 0 gives (13.b). C onsidering a 2 subm atrix gives $(1+(d 1) \text { к })^{2} \quad u^{2}$ which implies (13a).
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