# Functional integral treatm ent of som e quantum nondem olition system s

Subhashish Banerjee

E-m ail: subhashishb@rri.res.in
Ram an Research Institute, Bangalore - 560 080, India

## R Ghosh

E-m ail: rghosh@mail.jnu.ac.in SchoolofPhysicalSciences, JawaharlalNehru University, New Delhi-110067, India

A bstract. In the scheme of a quantum nondemolition (QND) measurement, an observable is measured without perturbing its evolution. In the context of studies of decoherence in quantum computing, we examine the 'ppen' quantum system of a two-level atom, or equivalently, a spin-1/2 system, in interaction with quantum reservoirs of either oscillators or spins, under the QND condition of the Ham iltonian of the system commuting with the system reservoir interaction. For completeness, we also examine the well-known non-QND spin-Bose problem. For all these many-body systems, we use the methods of functional integration to work out the propagators. The propagators for the QND Ham iltonians are shown to be analogous to the squeezing and rotation operators, respectively, for the two kinds of baths considered. Squeezing and rotation being both phase space area-preserving canonical transformations, this brings out an interesting connection between the energy-preserving QND Ham iltonians and the hom ogeneous linear canonical transformations.

PACS num bers: 03.65 Db, 03.65 Yz, 42.50 Ct

#### 1. Introduction

In the scheme of a quantum nondemolition (QND) measurement, an observable is measured without perturbing its free motion. Such a scheme was originally introduced in the context of the detection of gravitational waves [1]. It was to counter the quantum mechanical unpredictability that in general would disturb the system being measured. The dynamical evolution of a system im mediately following a measurement limits the class of observables that m ay be m easured repeatedly with arbitrary precision, with the in uence of the m easurem ent apparatus on the system being con ned strictly to the conjugate observables. Observables having this feature are called QND or back-action evasion observables [2, 3, 4]. In addition to its relevance in ultrasensitive measurements, a QND scheme provides a way to prepare quantum mechanical states which may otherwise be dicult to create, such as Fock states with a speci c number of particles. One of the original proposals for a quantum optical QND scheme was that involving the Kerrmedium [5], which changes its refractive index as a function of the number of photons in the 'signal' pump laser. The advent of experim ental m ethods for producing Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) enables us to make progress in the m atter-wave analogue of the optical QND experim ents. In the context of research into BEC, QND schemes with atoms are particularly valuable, for instance, in engineering entangled states or Schrodinger's cat states. A state preparation with BEC has recently been performed in the form of squeezed state creation in an optical lattice [6]. In a di erent context, it has been shown that the accuracy of atom ic interferom etry can be improved by using QND m easurem ents of the atom ic populations at the inputs to the interferom eter [7].

No system of interest, except the entire universe, can be thought of as an isolated system { all subsets of the universe are in fact 'bpen' system's, each surrounded by a larger system constituting its environm ent. The theory of open quantum system sprovides a natural route for reconciliation of dissipation and decoherence with the process of quantization. In this picture, friction or damping comes about by the transfer of energy from the 'small' system (the system of interest) to the large' environment. The energy, once transferred, disappears into the environm ent and is not given back within any time of physical relevance. Ford, K ac and M azur [8] suggested the rst microscopic model describing dissipative elects in which the system was assum ed to be coupled to a reservoir of an in nite number of harm onic oscillators. Interest in quantum dissipation, using the system -environm ent approach, was intensi ed by the works of Caldeira and Leggett [9], and Zurek [10] among others. The path-integral approach, developed by Feynm an and Vernon [11], was used by Caldeira and Leggett [9], and the reduced dynam ics of the system of interest was followed taking into account the in uence of its environment, quanti ed by the in uence functional. In the model of the uctuating or \B row nian" motion of a quantum particle studied by Caldeira and Leggett [9], the coordinate of the particle was coupled linearly to the harm onic oscillator reservoir, and it was also assumed that the system and the environm entwere in itially factorized. The treatment of the quantum Brownian motion has since been generalized to the physically reasonable initial condition of a mixed state of the system and its environment by Hakim and Ambegaokar [12], Smith and Caldeira [13], Grabert, Schramm and Ingold [14], and by us for the case of a system in a Stern-Gerlach potential [15], and also for the quantum Brownian motion with nonlinear system -environment couplings [16].

An open system Ham iltonian is of the QND type if the Ham iltonian H $_{\rm S}$  of the system commutes with the Ham iltonian H $_{\rm SR}$  describing the system-reservoir interaction, i.e., H $_{\rm SR}$  is a constant of motion generated by H $_{\rm S}$ . Interestingly, such a system may still undergo decoherence or dephasing without any dissipation of energy [17, 18].

In this paper, we study such QND open system 'Ham iltonians of particular interest in the

context of decoherence in quantum computing, and obtain the propagators of the composite systems explicitly using path integral methods, for two dierent models of the environment. The aim is to shed some light on the problem of QND measurement schemes. Can one draw upon any familiar symmetries to connect with the time-evolution operation of these QND systems of immense physical importance?

We take our system to be a two-level atom, or equivalently, a spin-1/2 system. We consider two types of environment, describable as baths of either oscillators or spins. One cannot in general ap a spin-bath to an oscillator-bath (or vice versa); they constitute distinct universality classes of quantum environment [19]. The rst case of oscillator-bath models (originated by Feynman and Vernon [11]) describes delocalized environmental modes. For the spin-bath, on the other hand, the nite Hilbert space of each spin makes it appropriate for describing the low-energy dynamics of a set of localized environmental modes. A diculty associated with handling path integrals for spins comes from the discrete matrix nature of the spin-Hamiltonians. This diculty is overcome by bosonizing the Hamiltonian by representing the spin angular momentum operators in terms of boson operators following Schwinger's theory of angular momentum [20].

We then use the Bargmann representation [21] for all the boson operators. The Schrodinger representation of quantum states diagonalizes the position operator, expressing pure states as wave functions, whereas the Bargmann representation diagonalizes the creation operator  $b^y$ , and expresses each state vector j i in the Hilbert state H as an entire analytic function f() of a complex variable . The association j i f() can be written conveniently in terms of the normalized coherent states j i which are the right eigenstates of the annihilation operator b:

bj i = j i;  
h 
$${}^{0}$$
j i= exp  $\frac{1}{2}$ j  ${}^{0}$ j  $\frac{1}{2}$ j  $j$  +  ${}^{0}$  ;

giving

$$f() = e^{jj^2=2} h j i$$
:

We obtain the explicit propagators for these many-body systems from those of the expanded bosonized forms by appropriate projection.

The propagators for the QND Ham iltonians with an oscillator bath and a spin bath are shown to be analogous to the squeezing and rotation operators, respectively, which are both phase space area-preserving canonical transformations. This suggests an interesting connection between the energy-preserving QND Ham iltonians and the hom ogeneous linear canonical transformations, which would need further systematic probing.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we take up the case of a QND-type of open system Ham iltonian where the bath is a bosonic one of ham onic oscillators. In section 2.1 we consider a case, which is a variant of the previous one, wherein we include an external mode in resonance with the atom ic transition and obtain its propagator. In section 2.2 we discuss the non-QND variant of the Ham iltonian which usually occurs in the literature in discussions of the spin-Bose problem [22, 23]. In section 3 we treat the case of a QND-type of open system Ham iltonian where the bath is composed of two-level systems or spins. The structure of the propagators in the two cases of the oscillator and spin baths is discussed in section 4, and in section 5 we present our conclusions.

# 2. B ath of harm onic oscillators

We rst take the case where the system is a two-level atom interacting with a bosonic bath of harm onic oscillators with a QND type of coupling. Such a model has been studied [24, 25, 26] in the context of the in uence of decoherence in quantum computation. The total system evolves under the Hamiltonian,

$$H_{1} = H_{S} + H_{R} + H_{SR}$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2} z + \sum_{k=1}^{M} h!_{k} b_{k}^{y} b_{k} + \frac{h!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} g_{k} (b_{k} + b_{k}^{y}) z :$$
(1)

Here  $H_S$ ;  $H_R$  and  $H_{SR}$  stand for the Ham iltonians of the system, reservoir, and system-reservoir interaction, respectively. We have made use of the equivalence of a two-level atom and a spin-1/2 system,  $_{x}$ ;  $_{z}$  denote the standard Pauli spin matrices and are related to the spin-ipping (or atom is raising and lowering) operators  $S_+$  and  $S_-$ :  $_{x} = S_+ + S_-$ ,  $_{z} = 2S_+ S_-$  1. In (1)  $b_k^Y$ ;  $b_k$  denote the Bose creation and annihilation operators for the M oscillators of frequency  $P_k$  representing the reservoir,  $P_k$  stands for the coupling constant (assumed real) for the interaction of the eld with the spin. Since  $P_k$ ;  $P_k$  are  $P_k$  the Ham iltonian (1) is of QND type.

The explicit propagator exp (  $\frac{iH\ t}{h}$ ) for the H am iltonian (1) is obtained by using functional integration and bosonization [22,27]. In order to express the spin angularm omentum operators in terms of boson operators, we employ Schwinger's theory of angular momentum [20] by which any angular momentum can be represented in terms of a pair of boson operators with the usual commutation rules. The spin operators  $_z$  and  $_x$  can be written in terms of the boson operators a ,  $_a^y$  and a ,  $_a^y$  as

$$z = a^{y}a$$
  $a^{y}a$ ;  
 $x = a^{y}a + a^{y}a$ :

In the Bargmann representation [21] the actions of b and by are

$$b^{y}f() = f();$$
  
 $bf() = \frac{df()}{d};$  (2)

where j i is the normalized coherent state. The spin operator becomes

$$_{z}$$
!  $\frac{\theta}{\theta}$   $\frac{\theta}{\theta}$ : (3)

Here the variable is associated with the spin-down state and the variable with the spin-up state.

The bosonized form of the Hamiltonian (1) is

$$H_{B_{1}} = \frac{h!}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{M}{h!_{k}} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{M}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{M}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{k} + \frac{h!}{2} \frac{M}{k} \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{k} + \frac{h!}{2} \frac{M}{k} \frac{g}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{k}$$

$$(4)$$

Here  $_k$ ,  $\frac{\theta}{\theta_k}$  are the Bargmann representations for  $b_k^y$  and  $b_k$ , respectively. A particular solution of the Schrodinger equation for the bosonized Hamiltonian (4) is

$$U_1 = U_{00} ^0 + U_{01} ^0 + U_{10} ^0 + U_{11} ^0;$$
 (5)

where the amplitude  $U_{ij}$  are functions of time as well as the coherent state variables associated with the boson oscillators, with the initial condition

$$U_{ij}(t=0) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} x^{M} & 0 \\ k & k \end{pmatrix}$$
 (i;  $j=0;1$ ): (6)

The initial state for the expanded propagator associated with the bosonized Hamiltonian (5) is

$$U (t = 0) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} x^{M} & 0 \\ k & k & exp \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ k & k & exp \end{pmatrix} (7)$$

If the H am iltonian is in the norm al form given by H ( ;  $\frac{\theta}{\theta}$ ;t), the associated propagator is

Here  $\begin{smallmatrix} P \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$  ( +) ( ) stands for  $\begin{smallmatrix} NP & 1 \\ j=0 \end{smallmatrix}$  (  $\begin{smallmatrix} j+1 \end{smallmatrix}$  ) (  $\begin{smallmatrix} j \end{smallmatrix}$  ) in the subdivision of the internal [0;t], i.e., where stands for j, + stands for the next point j+1 in the subdivision. A lso, in the subdivision scheme,

Z<sup>t</sup> 
$$d H ( (+); (); ) = H ( (j+1); (j); j) j:$$
0  $j=0$ 

Here the path di erential in (8) is 
$$D^{2}f g = D^{2} ();$$
 (9)

where the weighted dierential is

$$D^{2}$$
 () =  $\frac{1}{2}$  exp j () $\frac{1}{2}$  d<sup>2</sup> (): (10)

U sing (8), the propagator for the bosonized H am iltonian (4) is

In Eq. (11) is a vector with components  $f_k g_k$  and  $D^2 f_k g = {Q \choose k=1} D^2 f_k g_k$ .

Now we introduce a complex auxiliary eldf () to decouple the interaction term in (11) as

$$\exp^{Q} \frac{i!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{0}^{Z^{t}} dg_{k}[_{k}(+) + _{k}()][_{k}(+) + _{k}()][_{k}(+) + _{k}()]^{2}]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{Z} \sum_{0}^{M} \sum_{0}^{Z^{t}} df_{k}()g_{k}(_{k}(+) + _{k}())^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{0}^{Z^{t}} \sum_{0}^{Z^{t}} df_{k}()g_{k}(_{k}(+) + _{k}())^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{0}^{Z^{t}} \sum_{0}^{Z^{t}} df_{k}()g_{$$

and P [x (t)] is an explicit functional of x only. Using (12), the bosonized propagator (11) can be written as

$$u_{1}( ; ; ;t; ^{0}; ^{0}; ^{0}; ^{0}; 0) = D^{2}ffgG_{1}( ;t; ^{0}; 0; [f])$$

$$N_{1} ; ;t; ^{0}; ^{0}; 0; [f] : (15)$$

 ${\tt H}\,{\tt ere}\,\,{\tt G}_{\,1}\,$  stands for the propagator for

$$H_{G_1} = h \underset{k=1}{\overset{*}{\mathbb{Z}}} !_{k k} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{k}} + f(t)g_{k k} + f(t)g_{k k};$$
(16)

 $N_1$  is the propagator for

$$H_{N_1} = \frac{h!}{2} - \frac{0}{0} + \frac{ih!}{2} f(t) - \frac{0}{0} :$$
 (17)

These obey the Schrödinger equations  $ih_{\theta t}^{\theta}G_1 = H_{G_1}G_1; ih_{\theta t}^{\theta}N_1 = H_{N_1}N_1$  with the initial conditions

$$G_{1}(t=0) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} x^{M} & 0 \\ k & k & k \end{pmatrix};$$
 $N_{1}(t=0) = \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & k \end{pmatrix} :$  (18)

The propagator  $G_1$  is given by

The propagator  $N_1$  is given by

$$N_{1} = \exp Q_{00} \quad ^{0} + Q_{01} \quad ^{0} + Q_{10} \quad ^{0} + Q_{11} \quad ^{0}$$

$$= \frac{X^{1}}{1!} \quad (; )Q \quad ^{0} \quad : \qquad (20)$$

Here Q (t) is given by

Q (t) = 
$$\exp^{Q} \frac{i!}{2} z^{t} \frac{!}{2} z^{d} f(\frac{A}{2};$$
 (21)

 $w ith Q_{ij}(0) = ij; Q(0) = I.$ 

Thus the propagator for the bosonized Hamiltonian (4) as given by (15) becomes

$$u_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{X^k} D^2 ffgG_1 \frac{1}{k!}$$
 ( ; )Q 0 1 (22)

The propagator for the H am iltonian (1) is obtained from (22) by taking the l=1 term in the above equation. By making use of the —functional identity (13) the amplitudes of the propagator for the H am iltonian (1) are obtained in matrix form as

$$u_{1} = \begin{array}{cccc} U_{00} & U_{01} & & & & & & & \\ U_{10} & U_{11} & & = & \exp \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & &$$

w here

$$A = i \frac{!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2 \times 1} \frac{g_k^2}{!_k} t \qquad \frac{!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2 \times 1} \frac{g_k^2}{!_k^2} \qquad 1 \quad e^{i!_k t} ; \qquad (24)$$

$$B = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{i}} {}_{k} {}_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{0} {}_{k} + \frac{1}{2}t;$$
 (25)

$$_{k} = \frac{!}{2} \frac{g_{k}}{!_{k}} \quad 1 \quad e^{i!_{k}t} :$$
 (26)

Here we associate the values  $\,$  with time t and  $\,$  0 with time t = 0 as is also evident from (8). The simple form of the last term on the right-hand side of (23) reveals the QND nature of the system reservoir coupling. Since we are considering the unitary dynamics of the complete Hamiltonian (1) there is no decoherence, and the propagator (23) does not have any o-diagonal terms. In a treatment of the system alone, i.e., an open system analysis of Eq. (1) after the tracing over the reservoir degrees of freedom, it has been shown [25] that the population, i.e., the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix of the system remain constant in time while the o-diagonal elements that are a signature of the quantum coherences decay due to decoherence, as expected.

Note that though the commonly used coordinate-coupling model describing a free particle in a bosonic bath, explicitly solved by Hakim and Ambegaokar [12], with

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} p_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} (q_j Q)^2 ; \qquad (27)$$

is seem ingly not of the QND type, it can be shown to be unitarily equivalent to a H am iltonian of the QND type as follows:

$$U_{2}U_{1}H U_{1}^{Y}U_{2}^{Y} = \frac{P^{2}}{2} + P \sum_{j=1}^{X^{1}} !_{j}q_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{1}} p_{j}^{2} + !_{j}^{2}q_{j}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{X^{1}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{X^{1}} !_{j}q_{j}^{A} ;$$

$$(28)$$

where  $\mathtt{U}_1$  and  $\mathtt{U}_2$  are the unitary operators

$$U_{1} = \exp^{4} \frac{i}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{p_{j}^{2}}{2! j} + \frac{1}{2}! j q_{j}^{2} = 5;$$
(29)

$$U_2 = \exp^4 \frac{i}{h} Q \sum_{j=1}^{M} !_j q_j 5 :$$
 (30)

The above Ham iltonian (28) is of the QND type with  $[H_S; H_{SR}] = [P^2 = 2; P^{\frac{p}{2}}] \cdot [1; q_j] = 0$ . It is commonly known as the velocity-coupling model [29].

### 2.1. An external mode in resonance with the atom ic transition

In this subsection we consider a Ham iltonian which is a variant of the one in (1):

$$H_{2} = \frac{h!}{2} z + h a^{y}a \frac{h}{2} z$$

$$+ \frac{x^{y}}{h!} h!_{k}b_{k}^{y}b_{k} + \frac{h!}{2} x^{y} g_{k} (b_{k} + b_{k}^{y}) z; \qquad (31)$$

H ere

$$= 2 \sim \mathfrak{A} ; \tag{32}$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the dipole transition matrix element and  $\sim$  comes from the eld strength of the external driving mode  $\tilde{E}_{L}$  (t) such that

$$E_{L}(t) = -e^{i!t} + -e^{i!t}$$
: (33)

Here we have used the form  $\frac{1}{2}$  z, associated with the external mode, instead of the usual form  $\frac{1}{2}$  x and (31) is of a QND type. Proceeding as in section 2 and introducing the symbol for the Bargmann representation of the external mode  $a^y$  we have the amplitudes of the propagator for (31) in matrix form as

$$u_{2} = \begin{array}{c} U_{00} & U_{01} \\ U_{10} & U_{11} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k & k \\ k & k \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} (X^{M} & ) \\ k & k \\ k &$$

where A is as in Eq. (24),

$$B_{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{X} {}_{k} {}_{k} + {}_{k}^{0} + i \frac{!}{2} t;$$
 (35)

and k is as in Eq. (26).

# 22. Non-QND spin-Bose problem

In this subsection we consider a Ham iltonian that is a variant of the spin-Bose problem [22, 27, 23]. This addresses a number of problems of importance such as the interaction of the electrom agnetic eld modes with a two-level atom [30, 31]. A nother variant of the spin-Bose problem has been used for treating problems of phase transitions [32, 33] and also to the tunnelling through a barrier in a potential well [34]. Our Ham iltonian is

$$H_{3} = \frac{h!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} h!_{k} b_{k}^{y} b_{k}$$

$$+ \frac{h!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} g_{k} (b_{k} + b_{k}^{y}) x:$$
(36)

This could describe, for example, the interaction of M modes of the electrom agnetic eld with a two-level atom via a dipole interaction. This has a form similar to Eq. (1) except that here the system -environment coupling is via  $_{\rm x}$  rather than  $_{\rm z}$ . This makes the Hamiltonian (36) a non-QND variant of the Hamiltonian (1). We proceed as in Section II with H $_{\rm N_1}$  (17) now given by

$$H_{N_1} = \frac{h!}{2} - \frac{\theta}{\theta} + i\frac{h!}{2}f(t) - \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{\theta}{\theta}$$
: (37)

The propagator for H  $_{\rm N_{\,1}}$  (37) has the same form as N  $_{\rm 1}$  (20) but with Q now satisfying the equation

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t}Q = \frac{i!}{2} _{z}Q + \frac{!}{2} f(t) _{x}Q;$$
 (38)

with  $Q_{ij}(0) = ij; Q_{ij}(0) = I$ . This is solved recursively to yield the series solution

$$Q (t) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{t}} Q^{(n)} (t);$$

$$Q^{(n)} (t) = \frac{i!}{2} \sum_{z=0}^{n} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z}$$

$$= \sum_{z=0}^{2} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z} d_{z}$$

$$= \sum_{z=0}^{2} d_{z} d_{z}$$

U sing Eq. (39) and proceeding as before, we obtain the amplitudes of the propagator for the H am iltonian (36) in matrix form as

$$u_{3} = \begin{array}{c} U_{00} & U_{01} \\ U_{10} & U_{11} \\ (x^{M}) & 0 \\ & x^{M} & 0 \\ & & & \\$$

w here

and

$${}^{(n)} = \frac{!}{2} \frac{x^{M}}{k} \frac{g_{k}}{!_{k}} {}^{0}_{k} + (1)^{n}_{k} 1 + (1)^{n+1} e^{i!_{k}t} + 2 {}^{M}_{k} (1)^{l+1} e^{i!_{k}(t-1)} 2 {}^{0}_{k} (1)^{l+1} e^{i!_{k}1} :$$

$${}^{(n)} = \frac{!}{2} \frac{x^{M}}{k} \frac{g_{k}}{!_{k}} {}^{0}_{k} + (1)^{n+1} e^{i!_{k}t} + (1)^{n+1} e^{i!_{k}t} + (1)^{n+1} e^{i!_{k}t}$$

$${}^{(n)} = \frac{!}{2} \frac{x^{M}}{k} \frac{g_{k}}{!_{k}} {}^{0}_{k} + (1)^{n+1} e^{i!_{k}t} + (1)^{n+1} e^{i!_$$

This agrees with the results obtained in [22,27]. Them atrix on the right-hand side of Eq. (40) contains diagonal as well as o -diagonal terms in contrast to them atrix on the right-hand side of Eq. (23) in which only diagonal elements are present. This is due to the non-QND nature of the system -bath interaction of the Ham iltonian described by Eq. (36) whose propagator is given by Eq. (40), whereas Eq. (23) is the propagator of the Ham iltonian given by Eq. (1) where the system -bath interaction is of the QND type. The simpler form of the structure of the propagator (23) compared to the non-QND propagator (40) rejects on the simplication in the dynamics due to the QND nature of the coupling.

#### 3. Bath of spins

Now we consider the case where the reservoir is composed of spin-half or two-level systems, as has been dealt with by Shao and collaborators in the context of QND systems [18] and also quantum computation [35], and for a nanom agnet coupled to nuclear and param agnetic spins [19]. The total Ham iltonian is taken as

$$H_{4} = H_{S} + H_{R} + H_{SR}$$

$$= \frac{h!}{2} S_{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} h!_{k zk} + \frac{h!}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_{k xk} S_{z}; \qquad (43)$$

Here we use  $S_z$  for the system and  $z_k$ ;  $x_k$  for the bath. Since  $[H_S; H_{SR}] = 0$ , we have a QND Ham iltonian. In the Bargmann representation, we associate the variable with the spin-down state and the variable with the spin-up state for the bath variables, and we have

$$z : \frac{\theta}{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\theta};$$
 $x : \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{\theta}{\theta};$  (44)

Sim ilarly, the bosonization of the system variable gives

$$S_z ! \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta}; \tag{45}$$

where the variable is associated with the spin-down state and the variable with the spin-up state. The bosonized form of the Hamiltonian (43) is given by

$$H_{B_{4}} = \frac{h!}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{M}{h!}_{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{\theta}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{h!}{k} \frac{M}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{h!}{k} \frac{M}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} + \frac{\theta}{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\theta}$$
(46)

A particular solution of the Schrodinger equation for the bosonized Hamiltonian (46) is obtained by attaching am plitudes to the polynom ial parts in the products

The initial state for the expanded propagator associated with the bosonized Ham iltonian (46)

Using (8), the propagator for the bosonized Hamiltonian (46) is

On the left-hand side of Eq. (49), , are vectors with components  $f_k g$  and  $f_k g$ , respectively. Now we introduce a complex auxiliary eld f ( ) to decouple the interaction term in (49) as

$$\exp^{Q} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2t} d q \left[ k(+) k(-) + k(+) k(-) \right] \left[ (+) (-) + (-) + (-) \right]$$

$$= D^{2} \text{ffgexp}^{4} i d f(-) q \left( k(+) k(-) + k(+) k(-) \right)^{5}$$

Functional integral treatment of some quantum nondemolition systems

12

U sing (50) in (49) the propagator for the bosonized Hamiltonian (46) becomes

$$u_{4}$$
 ; ; ;t;  ${}^{0}$ ;

where M  $_1$  is the propagator for

$$H_{M_1} = \frac{h!}{2} - \frac{0}{0} + \frac{ih!}{2} f(t) - \frac{0}{0} ;$$
 (52)

and N  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize 1}_{k}}\,$  is the propagator for

$$H_{N_{1k}} = h!_{k} \quad _{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{k}} \quad _{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{k}} + hf(t)q_{k} \quad _{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{k}} + _{k} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{k}}$$
 (53)

Here the propagator M  $_1$  is

$$M_{1} = \sum_{p=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{1}{p!} ( ; ) \mathcal{P} \qquad {}_{0} \qquad ;$$
 (54)

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{T}(t) = \exp^{0} \frac{i!}{2} S_{z} t \quad \frac{!}{2} S_{z} \quad d \quad f ( \ ^{1});$$
(55)

 $w \equiv 0 \quad \text{ith } \mathfrak{G}_{ij}(0) = ij; \mathfrak{G}(0) = I.$ 

The propagator N  $_{1\nu}$  is

$$N_{1_{k}} = \sum_{k=0}^{k} \frac{1}{k!} \left( k_{k}; k_{k} \right) Q^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{k} \frac{1}{k}$$
(56)

where  $Q^k$  satis es the equation

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta +} Q^{k} = i(!_{k} z_{k} f(t) Q_{k} x_{k}) Q^{(k)};$$
(57)

This equation can be solved recursively to give

$$Q^{k}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{x^{k}} Q^{k(n)}(t);$$
 (58)

with

$$Q^{k(0)}(0) = I; Q^{k(n)}(0) (n \in 0) = 0;$$
 (59)

$$Q^{k(n)}(t) = (i!_{k z_{k}})^{n} d_{n} d_{n} 1 = Z^{2}$$

$$0 0 0 0 0 1 2^{1} 2^{2}$$

$$2t^{1} 1$$

$$2t Z^{2} Z^{2} Z^{2} Z^{1} 1$$

$$2t^{1} 1$$

$$2t^{2} Z^{2} Z^{2}$$

U sing Eqs. (54), (56) with p = 1, l = 1, respectively, in Eq. (51) and making use of Eqs. (55), (58), (59), (60) along with the —functional identity (13), the amplitudes of the propagator for the Hamiltonian (43) are obtained in matrix form (in the Hilbert space of  $H_R$ ) as

w here

$$k(n) = \frac{!}{2} S_z c_k A_n;$$
 (62)

$$A_n = \sum_{j=1}^{X^n} (1)^{j+1} 2_j + (1)^n t;$$
 (63)

Now if we expand the terms containing  $S_z$ , i.e., make an expansion in the system space, in Eq. (61) we get terms such as

$$e^{i\frac{1}{2}S_zt}\cos(k^{(n)}) = \cos(\frac{1}{2}c_kA_n) = e^{i\frac{1}{2}t} = 0$$

$$0 = e^{i\frac{1}{2}t} :$$
(64)

Here we have used the fact that

$$e^{S_z A} = \begin{array}{c} e^A & O \\ 0 & e^A \end{array} : \tag{65}$$

Sim ilarly,

$$e^{i\frac{1}{2}S_zt}$$
 isin  $\binom{k(n)}{2}$  = isin  $(\frac{1}{2}Q_kA_n)$   $e^{i\frac{1}{2}t}$  o (66)

The above equations have only diagonal elements. We can see from the above equations that there are 16 am plitudes of the propagator for each modek of the reservoir out of which only the energy-conserving terms are present due to the QND nature of the system-reservoir coupling.

## 4. D iscussions

We look closely at the form softhe propagators (23) and (61) of the QND type Ham iltonians (1) and (43), respectively. In the rst case with an oscillator bath, Eq. (23) involves the matrix

$$e^{B}$$
 0

where B is given by Eq. (25). This can be used to generate the following transformation in phase space:

$$\frac{X}{P} = \frac{e^{B}}{0} = \frac{0}{e^{B}} = \frac{x}{p}$$
 (67)

It can be easily seen from Eq. (67) that the Jacobian of the transform ation is unity and it is a phase space area-preserving transform ation. The rst matrix on the right-hand side of (67) has the form of a 'squeezing' operation [36], which is an area-preserving (in phase space) canonical transform ation coming out as an artifact of homogeneous linear canonical transform ations [37].

In the second case of a spin bath, Eq. (61) involves the matrix

where k(n) is given by Eq. (62). For particular n and k, we write k(n) as . For n even, the above matrix (68) becomes

$$\cos i \sin 
i \sin \cos = e^{i \times};$$
(69)

U sing the C am pbell-Baker-Hausdor identity [38] this matrix can be shown to transform the spin vector = (x; y; z) as

i.e., the abstract spin vector is 'rotated' about the x-axis by an angle 2. For nodd, (68) becomes (again writing k(n) for particular n and k as )

$$\frac{\cos \quad i\sin}{i\sin} \quad = \quad \frac{\cos \quad i\sin}{\sin} \quad = \quad ze^{i} \quad x$$
 (71)

Thus the n-odd matrix is related to the n-even matrix by the spin-ipping energy. The above matrix transforms the spin vector as

It can be easily seen from the right-hand side of the Eq. (72) that the determ inant of the transform ation of the spin vectors brought about by the n-odd matrix (71) has the value unity. It is well known that the determ inant of a rotation matrix is unity [39]. Thus we see that the above transform ation has the form of a rotation. Speci cally, it can be seen that

and

$$\cos 2 \quad \sin 2 \quad T \quad \cos 2 \quad \sin 2 \quad \sin 2 \\
\sin 2 \quad \cos 2 \quad \sin 2 \quad \cos 2 \quad (74)$$

Here T stands for the transpose operation. From the above it is seen that the matrix (68) has the form of the operation of Yotation', which is also a phase space area-preserving canonical transform ation [36] and comes out as an artifact of hom ogeneous linear canonical transform ations [37]. Any element of the group of hom ogeneous linear canonical transform ations can be written as a product of a unitary and a positive transform ation [40, 41], which in turn can be shown to have unitary representations (in the Fock space) of rotation and squeezing operations, respectively [37]. It is interesting that the propagators for the Ham iltonians given by Eqs. (1) and (43), one involving a two-level system coupled to a bath of harm onic oscillators and the other with a bath of two-level systems, are analogous to the squeezing and rotation operations, respectively.

# 5. Conclusions

In this paper we have investigated the forms of the propagators of some QND Ham iltonians commonly used in the literature, for example, for the study of decoherence in quantum computers. We have evaluated the propagators using the functional integral treatment relying on coherent state path integration. We have treated the cases of a two-level system interacting with a bosonic bath of harm onic oscillators (section 2), and a spin bath of two-level systems

(section 3). In each case the system bath interaction is taken to be of the QND type, i.e., the Ham iltonian of the system commutes with the Ham iltonian describing the system bath interaction. We have shown the commonly occurring free-particle coordinate coupling model to be unitarily equivalent to the free-particle velocity coupling model which is of the QND type. For the variants of the model in section 2, we have examined (a) the case where the two-level system in addition to interacting with the bosonic bath of ham onic oscillators is also acted upon by an external mode in resonance with the atomic transition (section 2.1), and (b) the non-QND spin-Bose problem (section 2.2), which could be used to describe the spin-Bose problem of the interaction of a two-level atom with the electromagnetic eld modes in a cavity via a dipole interaction.

The evaluation of the exact propagators of these m any body systems could, apart from their technical relevance, also shed some light onto the structure of QND systems. We have found an interesting analogue of the propagators of these m any-body H am iltonians to squeezing and to rotation, for the bosonic and spin baths, respectively. Every hom ogeneous linear canonical transformation can be factored into the rotation and squeezing operations and these cannot in general be mapped from one to the other { just as one cannot in general map a spin bath to an oscillator bath (or vice versa) { but together they span the class of hom ogeneous linear canonical transformations and are 'universal'. Squeezing and rotation, being artifacts of hom ogeneous linear canonical transformations, are both phase-space area-preserving transformations, and thus this implies a curious analogy between the energy-preserving QND H am iltonians and the hom ogeneous linear canonical transformations. This insight into the structure of the QND systems would hopefully lead to future studies into this domain.

## A cknow ledgm ents

It is a pleasure to acknow ledge useful discussions with Joachim Kupsch. The School of Physical Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University, is supported by the University Grants Commission, India, under a Departmental Research Support scheme.

#### R eferences

- [1] Caves C M, Thome K D, Drever R W P, Sandberg V D and Zimmerm an M 1980 Rev. M cd. Phys. 52 341
- [2] Bocko M F and O nofmio R 1996 Rev. M od. Phys. 68 755
- [3] Onofirio R and Viola L 1998 Phys. Rev. A 58 69
- [4] Zurek W H 1984 The W ave-Particle Dualism, eds Diner S, Fargue D, Lochak G and Selleri F (Dordrecht: D.Reidel Publishing Company)
- [5] Walls D F and Milburn G J 1994 Quantum Optics (Berlin: Springer); Milburn G J and Walls D F 1983 Phys. Rev. A 28 2065
- [6] OrzelC, Tuchman A K, Fenselau M L, Yasuda M and Kasevich M A 2001 Science 291 2386
- [7] Kuzm ich A, Bigelow N P and M andel L 1998 Europhys. Lett. 42 481
- [8] Ford G W , Kac M and Mazur P 1965 J. Math. Phys. 6 504
- [9] Caldeira A O and Leggett A J 1983 Physica A bf 121 587
- [10] Zurek W H 1991 Phys. Today 44 36; Zurek W H 1993 Prog. Theo. Phys. 81 28
- [11] Feynm an R P and Vernon F L 1963 Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 24 118
- [12] Hakim V and Ambegaokar V 1985 Phys. Rev. A 32 423
- [13] Sm ith C M and Caldeira A O 1987 Phys. Rev. A 36 3509; ibid 1990 41 3103
- [14] Grabert H, Schramm P and Ingold G L 1988 Phys. Rep. 168 115

- [15] Baneripe S and Ghosh R 2000 Phys. Rev. A 62 042105
- [16] Baneripe S and Ghosh R 2003 Phys. Rev. E 67 056120
- [17] Gangopadhyay G, Kumar M S and Dattagupta S 2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 5485
- [18] Shao J, Ge M -L and Cheng H 1996 Phys. Rev. E 53 1243
- [19] Prokofev N V and Stamp P C E 2000 Rep. Prog. Phys. 63 669
- [20] Schwinger J 1965 Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum eds Biedenham L C and von Dam H (New York: A cademic)
- [21] Bargm ann V 1947 Ann. Math. 48 568; Bargm ann V 1962 Rev. Mcd. Phys. 34 829
- [22] Papadapoulas G J 1986 J. Math. Phys. 27 221; Erratum 1986 J. Math. Phys. 27 1492;
  Papadapoulas G J 1985 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 1945
- [23] Leggett A J, Chakravarty S, Dorsey A T, Fisher M P A, Garg A K and Zwerger W 1987 Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 1
- [24] Unruh W G 1995 Phys. Rev. A 51 992
- [25] PalmaG M, Suominen K-A and Ekert A K 1996 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 452 567
- [26] Divincenzo D P 1995 Phys. Rev. A 51 1015
- [27] Banerjee S and Ghosh R 2003 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36 5787
- [28] K lauder J R 1960 Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 11 123
- [29] Ford G W , Lewis J T and O 'Connell R F 1988 Phys. Rev. A 37 4419
- [30] M avroyannis C 1978 Phys. Rev. A 18 185
- [31] Reik H G, Nusser H and Amarante Ribeiro L A 1982 J. Phys. A 15 3491
- [32] Blum e M, Emery V J and Luther A 1970 Phys. Rev. Lett. 25 450
- [33] Chakravarty S and Leggett A J 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 5
- [34] W eiss U 1999 Quantum D issipative Systems (Singapore: W orld Scientic)
- [35] Shao J and Hangqi P 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 5710; and references therein.
- [36] K im Y S and Noz M E 1991 Phase Space Picture of Quantum Mechanics: Group Theoretical Approach (Singapore: World Scientic). Note that B appearing in (67) as dened in (25) is complex while in the usual discussions of squeezed states, it is real.
- [37] Banerjee S and Kupsch J 2005 J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.28 5237
- [38] LouisellW H 1973 Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation (New York: John W iley and Sons)
- [39] Greiner W and Muller B 1989 Quantum Mechanics: Symmetries (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [40] Bargmann V 1970 Analytic Methods in Mathematical Physics eds Gilbert R P and Newton R G (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- [41] Kupsch J and Banerjee S 2006 In nite D im ensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics 9, 413 (2006); Los A lam os Archive arX iv m ath-ph/0410049.