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#### Abstract

W e propose a new kind of invariant of $m$ ulti-party stabilizer states $w$ ith respect to local C li ord equivalence. These hom ological invariants are discrete entities de ned in term s of the entanglem ent a state en joys w ith respect to anbitrary groupings of the parties, and they $m$ ay be thought of as re ecting entanglem ent in a qualitative way. W e investigate basic properties of the invariants and link them $w$ ith known results on the extraction of G H Z states.


PACS num bers: $03.67 .-a, 03.65 \mathrm{Ud}$, $03.67 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{d}, 03.67 \mathrm{Lx}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

A. O verview

In quantum inform ation theory states that are jointly held by several parties play a central role, and are at the heart of the notion of entanglem ent. C orrespondingly the problem of classifying such states has attracted a lot of interest, the correct notion of equivalence being that of local unitary (LU ) equivalence of states, which allow s each party to apply arbitrary local unitary operators. W hile classi cation has been successfulin special cases involving few parties it is quite unreasonable to expect a m eaningfulansw er to the classi cation problem in general.

Am ong all quantum states the so-called stabilizer states form a subclass which is easier to investigate since $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{u}^{2}$ of the m ultilinear structure ofstabilizer states is reected in the additive structure of the corresponding stabilizer group. O $n$ the other hand $m$ any im portant states like GHZ states can be realised as stabilizer states, and furtherm ore it has been found [1] that LU equivalence betw een su ciently entangled stabilizer states tends to coincide w ith localC li ord (LC) equivalence, a fact that by and large reduces the classi cation of stabilizer states to that of stabilizer groups. Though conceptually easier the latter problem still is far too general to have a useful answ er. It therefore appears reasonable to look for and study invariants of states which are intrinsically coarse in the sense that $m$ any non-equivalent states are expected to share the sam e invariants | provided of course that at least som e essential features of a state are still re ected in its invariants.

In this paper we propose and construct such a set of invariants. In the case we have in $m$ ind as the $m$ ost im portant one we consider a nite set $P$ of parties, each of which controls a state space $H_{p}$ w ith some (nite) num ber of qubits. To each pure stabilizer state j i we w ill assign a sequence of nite dim ensional vector spaces $H^{0}\left(j\right.$ i);:::; $H^{\mathcal{P} j}(j$ i) which are invariant w ith respect to LC equivalences acting on $j$ i, and also $w$ ith respect

[^0]to perm utations of the parties. O ur rst aim in the construction of these invariants is to capture the entangle$m$ ent that is present in $j$ i with respect to all possible subdivisions of P. It appears that the notion of sheaf, which was introduced and developed in great generality by the French school of algebraic geom eters since the 1940s, is perfectly suited to accom m odate the desired data in a single ob ject, which we call a partition sheaf. In a second step we intentionally reduce the am ount of inform ation by applying cohom ology to that sheaf | a process w hose form alproperties are well understood and which in algebraic geom etry and otherm athem aticalcontexts has proven to preserve essential, and erase super cial inform ation.

By its very nature the hom ologicalinvariants of a state are a qualitative rather than quantitative $m$ easure ofentanglem ent, and $m$ ore speci cally of entanglem ent expressible by linear data (rather than $m$ ultilinear like the Schm idt $m$ easure introduced in [2]). H om ological invariants are easily and e ciently com puted from their defintion in term s of standard linear algebra. A lso, their behaviour under standard processes like coarsening the distribution of the quantum system am ong the parties, or joining quantum system $s$ is, at least in part, com putable.

A closer investigation of hom ologicalinvariants reveals the presence of a duality which eventually com es from the sym plectic structure on the stabilizer groups, and the principalpart of Section $\Psi$ is dedicated to the investigation of this duality.

For $m$ any states we have explicitly calculated the hom ological invariants, and we present som e of the results in Section IV. Interestingly, duality of hom ological invariants seem $s$ to be an im portant $m$ athem atical them $e$ underlying the work of [3] on extraction of GHZ states, where it has appeared in an ad hoc way in som e of the proofs. W e therefore show how to recover and com ple$m$ ent results of the cited work, giving proofs which are conceptual in term $s$ of the invariants.

## B . Stabilizer states

$T$ he stabilizer form alism was introduced in [4] in the context of error-correcting quantum codes, and since has been explained and widely used in varying degree of gen-
erality [5, 6, 7, 8, 8] . T he punpose of the follow ing very brief account is to $x$ the term inology and notation used below.
$T$ he notion of stabilizer state is based on the $m$ athe$m$ atical one of $H$ eisenberg group, see [10]. H ere we consider but a restricted class of such groups, built from data com prising a nite dim ensional vector space G over a nite eld $F=F_{p}=Z=p$ of prim e order, and a sym plectic form !: $G \quad G!F \mid$ that is, a skew -sym $m$ etric bilinear ! that induces an isom onphism G 3 gT ! ( $\mathrm{g} ;$ ? ) $2 \mathrm{G}=$ $H o_{F}(G ; F)$. The corresponding $H$ eisenberg group is the œentral extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow S^{1} \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0
$$

of the multiplicative group $S^{1}=2 C \quad j j=1$ by the additive group $G$ determ ined by

$$
\text { G G } 3(g ; h) 7!e(g ; h)=\exp 2 i!(g ; h)=p 2 S^{1}
$$

in the sense that $e(g ; h)=g \AA g^{1} \mathrm{~K}^{1}$ is the commutator of any tw o group elem ents representing $g$ and $h$. By the theorem of Stone, von $N$ eum ann, and $M$ ackey (SNM) every $H$ eisenberg group has a unique irreducible unitary representation space $H$ on which the subgroup $S^{1}$ acts by scalars in the naturalway. In the basic case where $p=2$ and $G=F_{2} \quad F_{2}$ carries the canonical sym plectic form this representation $m$ ay be realised sending the nonzero vectors of G to the Paulim atrices $x, y$, and $z w$ ith their action on one qubit. M ore generally, taking $G$ as a nite orthogonal sum of lcopies of $\mathrm{F}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ the resulting SNM representation $H$ is an l-qubit space $w$ ith one set of $P$ auli operators acting on each qubit. Therefore physicists usually consider the $H$ eisenberg group $G$ as a subgroup of the unitary group $U(H)$ and call it a P auli group.

Let now L G be an isotropic subspace: ! $(g ; h)=0$ for all $g ; h 2 \mathrm{~L}$. Then the natural projection $G$ ! $G$ adm its a section $s: L!G$ over $L$, so that $s(L) \quad G$ is an abelian subgroup. Its xed space

$$
H^{s(L)}=j \text { i2 } H \quad g j i=\quad i \text { for allg } 2 s(L)
$$

is a stabilizer state corresponding to $L$. It is a vector subspace of $H$ of dim ension $2^{\text {dim } G} 2$ dim $L$, and therefore a $m$ ixed state in generalw hile a pure state occurs if and only ifL $\quad G$ has the $m$ axim aldim ension $\frac{1}{2} \quad$ dim $G:$ such L are called lagrangian subspaces of $G$.

A utom onphism s of the $H$ eisenberg group $G$ are | by de nition | required to restrict to the identity on $S^{1}$, and they form a group A ut $G$ which is an extension of the dualH om ( $G ; S^{1}$ ) = G by the group $S p(G)$ of sym plectic autom onphism s of G. Every elem ent of A utG gives rise to a unitary transform ation of H , called a C li ord autom onphism . A s to the classi cation of stabilizer states, the autom onphism sfrom $G$ just perm ute the di erent sections s over L G, so that the C li ord classi cation of states reduces to the sym plectic classi cation of the isotropic subspaces L G. An interesting classi cation
problem only arises if som e additional staucture is given, like a nite direct decom position of $={ }_{p 2 p} G_{p}$ which is orthogonalw ith respect to !. Then the local sym plectic group

$$
{ }_{p 2 \mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Sp}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{G})
$$

acts on $G$ in such a way as to restrict each party's control to the subspace ow ned by it, and the analogously de neqk local C li ord equivalence of stabilizer states in $H=p_{2 p} H_{p}$ corresponds to exactly this local sym plectic equivalence of isotropic subspaces.

For the $m$ ere construction of hom ological invariants no explicit reference to stabilizer states is needed nor even appropriate since the vector space $G$, its direct sum decom position $G={ }_{p 2 p} G_{p}$, and the subspace $L \quad G$ is all the data required. N either any assum ption on the base eld F w illbem ade, and the sym plectic form ! only com es in at a later stage.

## II. THECONSTRUCTION

## A. Partition spaces

Let $P$ be a nite set; the elem ents of $P$ will be referred to as parties. In th is subsection we will assign to $P$ its partition space $X=X(P)$. The partition space is a topological space that violates the $H$ ausdor separation axiom since distinct points of $X$ cannot be separated by neighbourhoods, and one should think of it not as a geom etric but rather a form al object that in spite of its sim plicity serves as a useful organizing tool. In fact it is sim ply $m$ odelled on the tw o point topologicalspace $f 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$ in which the point 0 , or $m$ ore precisely the subset $f 0 g$ is closed while $f 1 g$ is not a closed subset of X : thus ; , flg , $X$ is the com plete collection of open subsets of $X$. The underlying idea, borrow ed from algebraic geom etry, is to think of 0 as the unique special, and of 1 as the general point off0;1g | though we do not require these notions in a form alway.

The partition space

$$
X=X(P)=f 0 ; 1 g^{P}
$$

is de ned as the cartesian pow er of the topological space $f 0 ; 1 g$ just described, thus an elem ent of $X$ is a word $\left(x_{p}\right)_{p 2 P}$ whose letters $x_{p}$ are zero or one and are labelled by p 2 P. For a subset of parties S P wewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{fx} 2 \times j \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}=0 \text { for allp } 2 \mathrm{Sg} \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{fx} 2 \times j \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}=1 \text { for allp2 } \mathrm{Sg} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$w h i l e X_{p}, U_{p} w$ ill be shorthand for $X_{f p g}$ and $U_{f p g}$. For any $S$ these are closed respectively open subsets of $X$; indeed $X_{S}$ is the $s m$ allest closed set that contains the point $x 2 X$ with $x_{p}=0(p 2 S)$ and $x_{p}=1(p z S)$ $w$ hereas $U_{S}$ is the $s m$ allest open set that contains the


F IG . 1: The locally closed set $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\left[\mathrm{U}_{2}\right) \backslash\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\left[\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)\right.\right.$ in the three party partition space $X$ has the canonicaldecom position $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ [ $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ [ $\left(\mathrm{U}_{2} \backslash \mathrm{X}_{3}\right) . \mathrm{T}$ he black lines indicating th is set as well as the walls indicating $U_{1}$ etc. are, of course, im aginary since in reality $X$ com prises just eight points.
com plem entary point y 2 X with $y_{p}=1(\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~S})$ and $y_{p}=0(p z S)$. Every closed subset Z X may be w ritten as a union

$$
Z=X_{S_{1}}\left[\quad E_{x} X \quad(r 2 N) ;\right.
$$

and im posing the condition

$$
S_{i} 6 S_{j} \text { for } i \notin j
$$

forces this decom position to be that into the irreducible com ponents of $Z$; in particular it then is unique up to the order of the sum $m$ ands. In view of the sym $m$ etry $0 \$ 1$ we obtain an analogous representation

$$
\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}\left[\quad \Phi_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{U}\right.
$$

of an arbitrary open set $U \quad X$. In particular the sets $U_{S}$ form a basis of the topology of X . N ote the special cases

$$
X ;=U ;=X \text { and } X_{P}=f g ; U_{p}=f g ;
$$

the latter sets com prising the unique closed, respectively open point of X . M ore generally, every locally closed subset of $X$, say $U \backslash Z$ w th open $U=U_{S_{1}}\left[\quad S_{r}\right.$ Uand closed $Z=X_{T_{1}} \quad \quad_{\mathrm{s}}$ Xhas a canonicaldecom position

$$
U \backslash Z=\underset{f(;) j S \backslash T=; g}{ } U_{S} \backslash X_{T}
$$

(though an arbitrary union of this form need not be 0 cally closed), see Fig. 11. F inally note the canonicalhom eom onphism s

$$
X_{S} \quad X(P n S) \quad U_{S}
$$

for all $S \quad P$.
B. Sheaves on partition spaces

A presheafF on a topological space $X$ assigns to each open subset $U \quad X$ a set $F$ ( $U$ ), whose elem ents are called
sections over $U$, and to each inclusion of open subsets $\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{V}$ a mapping $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{UV}}: F(\mathrm{~V})$ ! $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U})$ called the restriction such that the relation $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{UV}} \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{VW}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{UW}}$ holds whenever U V W. P resheaves often carry additional algebraic structures, and all presheaves considered here w ill be presheaves of vector spaces over a xed eld F, that is, all F (U) w ill be vector spaces and all restriction m aps, linear hom om onphism s.

A sheaf on $X$ is a presheaf that satis es two extra conditions:

If $U \quad X$ is open, $U=S$ i2 $V_{i}$ a covering of $U$ by open sets, and $f 2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{U})$ then $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{U}}(\mathrm{f})=0$ for all i2 I implies $f=0$.
If $U \quad X$ is open, $U=S_{i 2} V_{i}$ a covering of $U$ by open sets, and $f_{i} 2 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ are given such that $F_{V_{i} \backslash V_{j} ; V_{i}}=F_{V_{i} \backslash V_{j} ; V_{j}}=0$ for all $i_{i} j 2 I$ then there exists a section $f 2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{U})$ w ith $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{U} \backslash \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{U}}(\mathrm{f})=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for alli2 I.

Thus the property that characterises sheaves am ong presheaves is that sections over $U \mathrm{~m}$ ay be described by local sections that are com patible on intersections. From every presheafF on $X$ a well-de ned sheafm ay be constructed essentially by en forcing the tw o extra conditions.
$R$ ather than by their spaces of sections sheaves $m$ ay also be characterised by their stalks. In general the stalk of the sheaf $F$ at the point $\times 2 \mathrm{X}$ is de ned as $\lim _{U} F(U)$ where the direct $\lim$ it is taken over the system of all open neighbourhoods of $x$ in $X$. For the partition spaces $X=X(P)$ that we consider here this system contains the set $U_{f p 2 P j x_{p}}=1 g$ as its greatest elem ent (that is, sm allest w ith respect to inclusion of sets) : thus form ing the direct lim it is perfectly unnecessary, and the stalk $F(x)=F\left(U_{f p 2 P} j x_{p}=1 g\right)$ reduces to a particular space of sections of $F$.
$T$ he standard notions of linear algebra also $m$ ake sense for sheaves if applied to the latters' stalks. In particular there are the notions of direct sum, of sub and quotient sheaves, of sheaf hom om orphism s giving rise to kemel and im age subsheaves, and of exact sequences

of sheaves on $X$ (exactness at $F m$ eaning that im age $f=$ kerg). A short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{E} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a means of stating that $F$ is an extension of E by G .
$T$ he sim plest presheaves on a topological space $X$ are constant and assign to each open $U \quad X$ the sam e vector space, say L. By abuse of language the corresponding constant sheaf is also w ritten $L$; to everb open $U \quad X$ it assigns the cartesian product $L{ }^{(U)}=$ (U) L where
$(U)$ is the set of connected com ponents of $U$. In particular one has $L(U)=L$ for allnon-em pty connected open $\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{X}$ while $\mathrm{L}(;)=0$ is the zero space.

M ore usefulare the sheaves on $X$ which we callelem entary. Such a sheaf is based on data com prising a vector space $L$ and an open subset $V \quad X . W$ riting the inchusion as $j: V!\quad X$ the corresponding elem entary sheaf is $j!L$, the extension of the constant sheaf $L$ from $V$ to $X$ by zero. It has the properties

$$
j!L(U)=\begin{array}{ll}
L & \text { if } U \text { is non-em pty connected and } U \\
0 & \text { if } U \text { is connected and } U 6 \mathrm{~V} \text { : }
\end{array}
$$

By a quasi-elem entary sheaf on $X$ we $m$ ean one that can be obtained by successive extension of elem entary sheaves.

M ost im portant in our context are sheaves of the follow ing kind. Suppose that we are given a set P of parties and for each party p 2 P a nite dim ensionalvector space $G_{p}$ over the xed eld F.W ew rite

$$
G_{S}:=G_{p 2 S}^{M} G_{p}
$$

for each subset $S \quad P$ and put $G:=G_{P}$. Let $L \quad G$ be a linear subspace. For each non-em pty open subset $U$ of the partition spac $X=X(P)$ we put

$$
F L(U)=L \backslash G_{f p 2 p} j U \quad U_{p g} ;
$$

these spaces clearly de ne a presheaf $\mathrm{F} L$ on X whose restriction hom om orphism in case $U \quad V$ is just the inchusion

$$
L \backslash G_{f p 2 P} j v u_{p g}!!L \backslash G_{f p 2 P} j u u_{p g}:
$$

Since every non-em pty open $U \quad X$ is connected $F L$ in fact is a sheaf on $X$, and its stalk at $\times 2 \mathrm{X}$ is

$$
F L(x)=F L\left(U_{S}\right) w \text { th } S=f p 2 P j x_{p}=1 g:
$$

W e will call F L the partition sheaf de ned by $P$ and $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{G}$. If U is explicitly given as $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}$ [ $\quad \Phi_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{U}$ then

$$
\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}}() \quad \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { for all } \mathrm{i} ;
$$

so that $\mathrm{FL}(\mathrm{U})=\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}_{1} \backslash \quad \text { }} \backslash \mathrm{N}$ N ote in particular $\mathrm{FL}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and the extrem e cases

$$
F L f \quad g=F L\left(U_{p}\right)=L \text { and } F L(X)=F L\left(U_{;}\right)=0:
$$

If $L^{0} \quad L$ is a linear subspace then $F L^{0} \quad F L$ is a subsheaf. W e also $m$ ay apply exterior pow ers to $F L$, and have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{k} F L(U)={ }^{k} L \backslash G_{f p 2 P} j U \quad U_{p g} \\
& ={ }^{k} L \backslash{ }^{k} G_{f p 2 P}{ }_{j u} \quad U_{p g}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $k 2 \mathrm{~N}$.
Proposition 1. For all subspaces $L^{0} \quad L \quad G$ and all k 2 N the quotient sheaf ${ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{L}={ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{L}^{0}$ is a quasielem entary sheaf.

Proof. W e argue by induction on the dim ension of $L=L^{0}$. The assertion being trivialin case $L^{0}=L$ we assum e that $L^{0} \quad L$ is a proper subspace. Since extensions of quasielem entary sheaves clearly inherit that property we m ay further suppose that $\operatorname{dim} L=\operatorname{dim} L^{0}+1$. De ne the sheaf $C$ as the cokemel of the short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{FL}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FL} \longrightarrow \mathrm{C} \longrightarrow 0:
$$

For any vector g 2 G we let suppg $=\mathrm{fp} 2 \mathrm{P} \mathrm{jg}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Og}$ be the support of $g$. $W$ e put

$$
W=\quad\left[\quad U_{\text {supp g }} \quad X\right.
$$

and claim that $C^{\prime} j_{!}\left(L=L^{0}\right)$ where $j: W, \quad X$ is the open inclusion.

It su ces to study sections in $C$ ( U s) for $S$ P. If $U_{S} \quad W$ then there is someg $2 \mathrm{LnL}^{0}$ w th $U_{S} U_{\text {suppg }}$, so that suppg S . Therefore we have $\mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{~L} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ F L ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ), hence

$$
F L\left(U_{S}\right)=F L^{0}\left(U_{S}\right) \quad \text { hgi }
$$

in this case.
If on the other hand $U_{S} 6 \mathrm{~W}$ then we pick somex 2 $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{nW}$. Thus we have $\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}$ but for all $\mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{LnL}{ }^{0}$ necessarily $\times Z U_{\text {supp }}$ and therefore suppg 6 S . We conclude

$$
\mathrm{FL}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L}^{0}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)
$$

in this second case.
W e thereby have show $n$ that the canonical sheaf hom om orphism $\mathrm{F} L=\mathrm{F}^{0}$ ! $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{0}$ induces an isom onphism $C^{\prime} j_{!}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{0}\right)$, which establishes our clain . T he conclusion of the proposition now follow $s$ from the identily

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~F} L={ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{FL}^{0}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{FL}^{0} \quad \mathrm{FL}=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L}^{0} ;
$$

the fact that the tensor product of elem entary sheaves is elem entary, and induction on $k$.
C. C ohom ology

Let $F$ be a sheaf of vector spaces on a topological space X . A ssociated w ith any such sheaf is the sequence $H^{0}(X ; F), H^{1}(X ; F)$. . of cohom ology groups (traditionally referred to as groups even if they are, in fact, vector spaces over the sam e eld as F) [11, 12]. It is often convenient to com bine all groups from the sequence into the single graded vector space

$$
H \quad(X ; F):=H_{i=0}^{M} H^{i}(X ; F):
$$

A part from $H^{0}(X ; F)=F(X)$, the space of global sections of $F$, these groups have no sim ple direct intenpretation and in no case of interest it is possible to com pute them directly. $N$ evertheless in $m$ any instances cohom ology of sheaves is highly com putable by $m$ ethods
that rely on the form al properties of cohom ology rather than one particular de nition. Am ong the $m$ ost prom inent of these properties is the fact that every hom om orphism $F$ ! G of sheaves over $X$ induces linearm appings $H^{i}(X ; F)!H^{i}(X ; G)$ in a functorialway (that is, com patible w ith com position ofm aps), and that every exact sequence of sheaves

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{E} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G} \longrightarrow 0
$$

gives rise to a long exact cohom ology sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{E}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{~F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(G) \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{E}) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathbb{E}) \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have shortened $H^{i}(X ; E)$ to $H^{i}(E)$ for the sake of conciseness and where : $\mathrm{H}^{i}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{G})!\mathrm{H}^{\text {i+ } 1}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{E})$ is the so-called (Bockstein) coboundary hom om onphism .

A sheaf $F$ on $X$ is called acyclic if $H^{i}(X ; L)=0$ for alli> 0 .

Lem m a 2. Let X be a partition space, Z X a closed subspace, and $L$ a constant sheaf on $X$. Then $L$ is acyclic.

Proof. W rite

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}}\left[\quad \mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{X}\right.
$$

$w$ th subsets $S_{i} \quad$ P. W e prove the lem $m$ a by induction on $r 2 \mathrm{~N}$. The case $r=0$ is trivial while that of $r=1$ follow sfrom the fact that allopen subsets of $X_{S} \quad X$ (Pn S) are connected so that every constant sheaf on $X_{S}$ is asque [11, 12]. In the general case $r>1$ we put

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{1}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}_{1}} \text { and } \mathrm{Z}^{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}\left[\quad \mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{X}\right.
$$

and consider the canonical continuous surjection

$$
Z: Z_{1}+Z^{0}{ }^{f} Z_{1}\left[Z^{0}=Z\right.
$$

from the disjoint sum to the union. The inverse im age sheaf $f{ }^{1} L$ on $Z$ is the constant sheaf $L$ again, and the canonical hom om onphism

$$
\mathrm{L} \quad!\mathrm{ff}^{1} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{~L}
$$

is in jective. Its cokemel is concentrated on the intersection $\mathrm{Z}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{Z}^{0}$, and is constant there $w$ ith stalk ( $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{L}$ ) $=\mathrm{L}$ (quotient by the diagonal). If $\mathrm{k}: \mathrm{Z}_{1} \backslash \mathrm{Z}^{0}$,! Z denotes the inclusion we thus have obtained an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{f} \mathrm{~L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{k}((\mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{L}) \longrightarrow 0:
$$

By additivity and induction the sheaf $L$ on $Z$ is acyclic, and $k((L \quad L)=L)$ is acyclic on $Z$, also by induction.

For any subset $S \quad P$ the space $Z_{1} \backslash f{ }^{1} U_{S}$ is hom eom onphic to $X(P n S)_{S_{1}}$ or empty (if $S_{1} \backslash S \xi ;$ ), and $Z^{0} \backslash f{ }^{1} U_{S}$ to

$$
{\underset{f j j S_{j} \backslash S=; g}{[ } X(P n S)_{S_{j}}: ~}_{\text {: }}
$$

Once more by induction we conclude that $H^{i}\left(f{ }^{1} U_{S} ; L\right)=0$ for all i> 0 , and that therefore the sheaves generated by the presheaves $U_{S} \eta H^{i}\left(f{ }^{1} U_{S} ; L\right)$ also are trivial for $i>0$. This $m$ eans that the Leray spectral sequence, see [11] II.4.17, for $f$ and the constant sheaf degenerates, so that

$$
H \quad(Z ; f L)=H \quad(Z ; L):
$$

Since $f \mathrm{~L}$ ! $k$ ( ( $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{L})=\mathrm{L}$ ) clearly is surjective on global sections it follow s that $L$ is acyclic on $Z$.

C orollary 3. Let X be a partition space. Then every quasi-elem entary sheaf is acyclic on every closed subspace of X .

Proof. Let Z X be closed, and rst consider an elem entary sheaf j! L on $X$, where $j: X n Y!X$ is the inclusion of an open subset $X \mathrm{nY} . \mathrm{W}$ riting the restricted inclusion i: Z nY ! Z we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{i} \mathrm{~L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~L} \longrightarrow \mathrm{iL} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of sheaves on Z. Since L and i L are acyclic by Lem ma 2, and since, Z being connected, L ! i L is surjective on global sections i! m ust also be acyclic: in other words $\mathrm{j}!\mathrm{L}$ is acyclic on Z .

The case of a general quasi-elem entary sheaf clearly follow s from the special case we have treated.

Let $F$ be a sheaf on a topological space $X$, and let $U=\left(U_{s}\right)_{s 2 s}$ be an open covering of $X$ indexed by a stricly ordered set S. T he so-called C ech com plex is the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{0}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{F}) \longrightarrow
$$

of vector spaces

$$
C^{i}(U ; F)=\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{s}_{0}<\quad \underset{{ }_{i}<\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{s}_{0}} \backslash\right.
\end{gathered} \quad \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{U}
$$

and linear maps : $C^{i}(U ; F)!C^{i+1}(U ; F)$ which are called coboundary operators and act by

$$
(x)_{S_{0}::: s_{i+1}}=X^{X^{i}}(1) F_{U V}\left(x_{S_{0}::: \widehat{s}::: s_{i}}\right)
$$

where $V=U_{s_{0}} \backslash \quad \theta_{s} \backslash \quad \backslash \quad s_{i}$ Land $U=U_{s} \backslash V$ (by convention term s covered by a hat are to be om itted). $T$ he $C$ ech com plex indeed is a com plex in the sense that
the com position of any tw o consecutive boundary operators is $\quad=0$, so that for each i we have inclusions

$$
B^{i}(U ; F):=\text { im age } \quad \text { ker }=: Z^{i}(U ; F) \quad C^{i}(U ; F):
$$

Elem ents of $C^{i}(U ; F), B^{i}(U ; F)$, and $Z^{i}(U ; F)$ are called (C ech) cochains, coboundares, and cocycles respectively, and the quotient space

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{F})=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{F})=\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{F})
$$

of cocycles m odulo coboundaries is the i-th Cech cohomology of $F$ w ith respect to the covering $U$.

C ech cohom ology does depend on the covering $U$, and even if this dependence is elim inated by passing to the lim it of anbitrarily ne coverings the result in general does not give the correct sheaf cohom ology. For quasielem entary sheaves things are much simpler as in fact quite a sm all covering is su cient:

Theorem 4. Let $X$ be a partition space and $W \quad X$ a locally closed subspace w ith can on ical decom position

$$
W=\mathrm{fl}_{\mathrm{f}(;) j 1 \quad \mathrm{r} ; 1 \quad \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{S} \backslash \mathrm{~T}=; \mathrm{G}} \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}} \backslash X_{T}:
$$

$T$ hen for every quasi-elem entary sheaf $F$ on $X$ there is a canonical isom onphy

$$
\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{~W} ; F)=\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{F})
$$

between sheaf cohom ology, and C ech cohom ology w ith respect to one particular open cover U :

Proof. For any $0 ;::: ; i_{i} 2 f 1 ;:: ; r g$ the intersection of the corresponding covering sets is

$$
U_{S_{0}} \backslash \quad \$ \underset{i}{U} \backslash^{[ } \quad X_{T}=U_{S_{0}}\left[\quad{ }_{L_{i} S} \backslash^{[ } X_{T}\right.
$$

where the union is to be taken over those 2 f ;:::; sg for which $T$ does not $m$ eet $S$ 。[ $[$ S Thus the intersection of covering sets in question is hom eom ouphic to the closed subspace

$$
\int_{=1}^{[S} X \operatorname{Pn}\left(S _ { 0 } \left[\quad \left[_ { i } S _ { T } \quad X \operatorname { P n } \left(S_{0}[\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

By C orollary 3 the sheaf $F$ is acyclic on that space, so that $U$ is a Leray covering for $F$. This im plies the theorem by [11] theorem e II.5.4.1.

N ote that as a consequence of the theorem $\mathrm{H}^{j}(\mathrm{~W} ; \mathrm{F})$ must be the zero group if $j \quad \mathcal{P} \mid$ in fact this is a special case of a general property of sheaves on nite dim ensional topological spaces.

## D. Local cohom ology

The $m$ any know $n$ variants of cohom ology of a sheaf $F$ on a space $X$ include that of $H$ ( $X ; F$ ), cohom ology w ith support in a given closed subset $X$.

Lem m a 5. Let X be a partition space, X a closed subset, and $F$ a quasi-elem entary sheafon $X$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F}) \\
\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Xn} ; \mathrm{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F}) \longrightarrow 0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and for every i> 1 the coboundary hom om orphism

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{Xn} ; \mathrm{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F})
$$

is isom orphic.
Proof. This follow s at once from C orollary 3 and the standard exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i} 1}(\mathrm{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i} 1}(\mathrm{X}) \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\text {i }} \text { (Xn)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}(X) \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

relating cohom ology w th support to ordinary one.
If $X=X(P)$ is a partition space $w$ ith its unique closed point we are mainly interested in

$$
H \quad(X ; F):=H_{f}(X ; F)
$$

which we refer to as local cohom ology.
E xam ple. Let L G be a subspace. The degree zero and one local cohom ology of the exterior pow ers of the partition sheafF L is as follow s .
$\underline{P}=; \quad H^{0}\left(X ;{ }^{k} F L\right)={ }^{k} f 0 g$
$\underline{P G ; k=0} \quad H^{0}\left(X ;{ }^{0} F L\right)=H^{1}\left(X ;{ }^{0} \mathrm{~F} L\right)=0$
Pj=1;k>0 $H^{0}\left(X ;{ }^{k} F L\right)=0 ; H^{1}\left(X ;{ }^{k} F L\right)={ }^{k} L$
P $\gg 1 ; \mathrm{k}>0 \quad \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X} ;{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{FL}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X} ;{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{F} L\right)=0$
$T$ he last case follow from that fact that $X \operatorname{nf} g={ }_{S 2 P} U_{S}$
is not contained in $U_{p}$ for any p 2 P , so that the de nition off $L$ gives $H^{0}\left(X n f g ;{ }^{k} F L\right)=0$.

By Lem ma 5 calculation of local cohom ology of quasielem entary sheaves essentially com es dow $n$ to the task of calculating the cohom ology over the com plem ent of the closed point. For elem entary sheaves we now show how this can be explicitly done in term s ofsim plicialtopology. $W$ e interpret the nite set $P$ as the set of vertices of $a$ simplex $p$ of dimension $f 1$; thus the facets of $p$ correspond to the non-em pty subsets ofP. A subcom plex


FIG.2: A four party example | the polyhedron associated

_ of $P$ is given by a set of non-em pty subsets of $P$ satisfying the axiom

$$
; \in \mathrm{S} \text { T } 2=1 \mathrm{~S} 2 \text {; }
$$

and we w ill usually call such sim plicial com plexes polyhedra; form ore details see textbooks of topology [13, 14, 15]. Speci cally let $X=X(P)$ be a partition space, and Y X a closed subspace. Then we de ne the associated polyhedron $-y$ of $Y$ by

$$
Y:=f ; \in S \quad P \quad j U_{S} \backslash Y \in ; g:
$$

In other words, to obtain -y from the simplex p one has to rem ove the open stars of all sim plexes $S$ w ith $U_{S}$ disjoint from Y. In term s of the canonicaldecom position

$$
Y=X_{S_{1}}\left[\quad E_{x} X\right.
$$

(r2N; $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{P}$ for alli) we have

$$
y=f ; \in S \quad P j S \backslash S_{i}=; \text { for at least one ig }
$$

since $U_{S} m$ eets $X_{S_{i}}$ if and only if $S \backslash S_{i}$ is em pty, see Fig. 2 .

P roposition 6. Let $X$ be a partition space, $Y X$ and $Z \quad X$ closed subspaces, and $L$ a vector space. D enote by $j: X n Z!X$ the inclusion; then there is a canonical isom orphism

$$
\left.\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{Y} \cap \mathrm{nf} \quad \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{Y}} ;-\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{Z} ; \mathrm{L}\right)
$$

between sheaf cohom ology and topological cohom ology with coe cient group L.

P roof. A ssum eX $=X(P)$ so that $Y n f \quad g$ is covered by the open sets $U_{p} \backslash Y(p 2 P) . W$ e discard all the em pty sets am ong them and apply $T$ heorem 4 in order to com pute H (Ynf g; 江) as Cech cohom ology.

To any non-em pty subset $S \quad P$ the Cech com plex assigns either

$$
\mathrm{L}, \text { if } U_{S} \backslash Y ; \text { but } U_{S} \backslash Y \backslash Z=; ;
$$

or else the zero group. By de nition the condition is $m$ et if and only if $S 2$ y $n \quad y \backslash z$ : this sets up an isom onphism w ith the relative sim plicial cochain com plex $\left.\left.C \quad L_{Y}\right)=C \quad L_{Y \backslash Z}\right)$ of the polyhedral pair ( $\left.L_{Y} i_{Y} \backslash Z\right)$, and thereby proves the proposition.

E xam ples. _i and _f g are the em pty polyhedron while

$$
-\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P} \text { and } \quad \mathrm{xnf} \mathrm{~g}=@ \mathrm{P}
$$

are the full sim plex, respectively the sim plicial sphere on the vertex set $P$. In particular
in case $Y=X$ we obtain

$$
\text { H } \quad(\mathrm{X} \cap \mathrm{nf} \quad \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{H} \quad\left(\mathrm{P} \boldsymbol{i}_{乙} \text {; } \mathrm{L}\right) \text {; }
$$

which for the particular choige $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Xnf} \mathrm{g}$ is

$$
H^{i}(\mathrm{P} ; @ \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{L})=\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L} \\
& \mathrm{O} \\
& 0 \\
& \text { else: }
\end{aligned}
$$

For $Z=$; we obtain the cohom ology of the constant sheaf

$$
\text { H } \left.(Y \cap f \quad g ; L)=H \quad L_{y} ; L\right) ;
$$

including the special case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{i}(X n f ; ~ g ; L)=H^{i}(@ \quad p ; L) \\
& =\quad \begin{array}{ll}
L & \text { if } i=0 ; ~ f i 2 ; \\
0 & \text { else: }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The long exact cohom ology sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}\left((\mathrm{Ynf} \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~J}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}((\mathrm{Ynf} \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{L})\right. \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}((\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{Znf} \quad \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{L}) \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

is in perfect correspondence w th that of the polyhedralpair

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\longrightarrow H^{i} L_{Y} i_{Y Y} \backslash Z\right) \longrightarrow H^{i}\left(L_{Y}\right) \\
& H^{i}\left(L_{Y \backslash Z}\right) \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

as is easily veri ed.
E. The invariants

Fix a set of parties $P$ and for each party p 2 P a nite dim ensional sym plectic vector space ( $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} ;!_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) over the eld $F$. As discussed in the introduction, in the case of a prime eld $F$ these data determ ine a H eisenberg group which via the SNM representation acts on the corresponding H ibert (state) space H. Furtherm ore the stabilizer states in $H$ correspond to the isotropic subspaces $L \quad G=G_{P}$, a state being pure if and only if $L$ is lagrangian, that is, of half the dim ension of G. LC
equivalence of these states is the sam e as local sym plectic equivalence of the corresponding isotropic subspaces.

To each isotropic subspace L G we associate the F vector spaces

$$
H^{i j}(L)=H^{j}\left(X \quad(P) ;{ }^{i} F L\right) \quad \text { for } i ; j 2 N
$$

as its hom ological invariants. In case of a prim e eld $F$ we also refer to the $H^{i j}(\mathrm{~L})$ as the hom ological invariants of the stabilizer states in $H$ that correspond to $L$ and w rite $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ ( $j \mathrm{i}$ ) in the most im portant case where L is lagrangian and that state is jil 2 H . The hom ological invariant $H^{i j}(\mathrm{~L})$ vanishes at least if $i>d i m L$ or if $j>$ $\mathcal{P} j$ and since presently ourm ain interest lies $w$ ith $i=1$ we introduce the further abbreviation $H^{j}(L):=H^{1 j}(L)$. $W$ hile of course already the sheaf $F L$ is an invariant of $L$ the hom ology H (L) is a m ore com pact ob ject in which essential in form ation on $L$ is concentrated. On the other hand F L has the advantage that the e ect of standard operations is im $m$ ediately determ ined.

Firstly, a given set ofparties P m ay be coarsened. This is form ally described by a mapping' $: P!Q$ into another nite set Q. Forq 2 Q we put

$$
H_{q}=G_{f p 2 P} j^{\prime}(p)=q g ;
$$

thus the parties from one and the same bre of' are combined to form a new party in $Q$ (even if $q 2 Q$ is not in the im age of' it stands for a new party, though w ith $\left.H_{q}=0\right) . W$ riting $X=X(P)$ and $Y=X(Q)$ we then have an induced continuous m apping

$$
\text { , : Y ! X; } \quad(\mathrm{y})_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{x}{ }_{(\mathrm{p})} \text { : }
$$

Lemma 7. If L $\quad G_{P}=G=H_{Q}$ is an arbitrary subspace then the corresponding partition sheaves on $X$ and $Y$, and $m$ ore generally their exterior powers are related by

$$
F L=(\prime)^{1} F L:
$$

P roof. Just com pute the stalks at y 2 Y :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F L(y) & =L \backslash H_{f q 2 Q} j y_{q}=1 g \\
& =L \backslash G_{f p 2 P j y^{\prime}(p)}=1 g \\
& =L \backslash G_{f p 2 P} j\left(\prime^{\prime} \quad(y)\right)_{p}=1 g \\
& =F L\left(\left(^{\prime} \quad(y)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and note that form ing the inverse im age sheaf com $m$ utes w ith stalk-w ise algebraic operations.
$G$ iven a set $P$ of parties a subset $P^{0}$ of them $m$ ay be discarded | in term s of density operators this is the process of tracing out the parties of $P^{0}$. On the level of partition spaces we m ay look at the cartesian projection $p r_{P}: X(P)!X\left(P n P{ }^{0}\right)$ or altematively at the in jection $j_{0}: X\left(\mathbb{P n P}{ }^{0}\right)!X(P)$ that em beds $X\left(P^{0} P^{0}\right)$ as $X(P)_{P}$ in the obvious way.

Lem ma 8. Given $L \quad G=G_{P}$ let $L^{0}:=L \backslash G_{P n P}{ }^{0}$ be the space obtained by discarding the parties of $P^{0}$, and denote by $j_{0}: X\left(\mathrm{PnP}^{0}\right)!\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{P})$ the in jection that em beds $X\left(P n P{ }^{0}\right)$ as $X(P)_{P 0}$. Then the corresponding partition sheaf on $X\left(P n P{ }^{0}\right)$ has the exterior powers

$$
F L^{0}=j_{0}{ }^{1} \quad F L:
$$

P roof. The relevant stalk $\mathrm{F}^{0}(\mathrm{x})$ at $\mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{P} \mathrm{nP}{ }^{0}\right)$ is

$$
L^{0} \backslash G_{f p 2 P n P{ }^{0} j x_{p}=1 g}=L \backslash G_{f p 2 P} j_{j}(x)_{p}=1 g
$$

and thus equal to $j_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~F} L(x)$.
$T$ here are two di erent interpretations of the tensor product of multi-party states, depending on whether the sets $P$ and $Q$ of parties that own the factors are considered disjoint (extemal product) or are required to be and remain identical (intemal product). In the context of stabilizer states we suppese given subspaces $L \quad G_{P}=G_{p}$ and $M \quad H_{Q}=H_{q}$ in the form er case, and form the fam ily of vector spaces

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.(G \quad H)_{r}\right)_{r 2 P+Q} ; \quad(G \quad H)_{r}= & G_{r} \text { if } r 2 P ; \\
H_{r} \text { if } r 2 Q
\end{array}\right.
$$

which accomm odates $L \quad M \quad(G \quad H)_{P+Q}$ as the new subspace. By contrast in the intemal case $\left(G_{p}\right)_{p 2 p}$ and $\left(H_{p}\right)_{p 2 P} m$ ust be indexed by the sam e set of parties, and the intemaldirect sum $L+M$, while equal to $L \quad M$ as an abstract space, is seen as a subspace of the total space $(G+H)_{P}$ associated w ith the fam ily

$$
(G+H)_{p-p 2 p} ; \quad(G+H)_{p}=G_{p} \quad H_{p}:
$$

$N$ ote that the intemaldirect sum $m$ ay be obtained from the extemal one by coarsening, using the diagonalm ap ' : P ! P + P.

Lem ma9. For the extemaldirect sum there is a canonical graded isom orphism

$$
F(L \quad M)=F L^{\wedge} F M
$$

of sheaves of F algebras, the extemalten sor product being de ned by $F{ }^{\wedge} G(x ; y)=F(x) \quad G(y)$ on stalks. Sim ilarly one has

$$
F(L+M)=F L \quad F M
$$

for the intemaldirect sum, in particular an additive isom onphism

$$
F(L+M)=F L \quad F M:
$$

Proof. The general statem ents are clear and im ply the last since

$$
{ }^{1} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{M})={ }^{0} \mathrm{FL} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{FM} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{FL} \quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{FM}
$$

and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{FL}={ }^{0} \mathrm{FM}=\mathrm{F}$.

In general the functorialbehaviour ofpartition sheaves does not determ ine the e ect of coarsening, discarding, or intemal products on the hom ological invariants, w ith the exception of

$$
H^{j}(L+M)=H^{j}(L) \quad H^{j}(M) \quad \text { for all j } 2 N
$$

which is an obvious corollary to Lemma 9. A tensor product form ula in hom ology also holds for the extemal product: this willbe discussed in the next section.

## III. PRODUCTS

A. External tensor product

Theorem 10. Let $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{P})$ and $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{Q})$ be partition spaces, and assum $e$ that $F$ and $G$ are quasielem entary sheaves on X respectively Y . T hen the external tensor product $F{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{G}$, taken over the base eld F , is a quasi-elem entary sheaf on $X \quad Y=X(P+Q)$. The cohom ology cross product

$$
H \quad(X ; F) \quad H \quad(Y ; G) \quad!\quad H \quad\left(X \quad Y ; F{ }^{\wedge} G\right)
$$

is an isom onphism .
Proof. It is clear that the (intemal or extemal) tensor product of elem entary sheaves is elem entary. T he analogous statem ent for quasi-elem entary sheaves follow s from this since tensor products over a eld preserve exact sequences.

C onsider a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}^{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}^{\infty} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of quasi-elem entary sheaves on Y. Abbreviating $\mathrm{H}=$ H (X;F) we have a com $m$ utative diagram

w ith exact colum ns. Thus if the cross product is iso$m$ orphic for $G^{0}$ and $G^{\infty}$ in place of $G$ it $m$ ust be so for $G$ too, in view of the ve lem m a for exact sequences. The
proof of the theorem is thus reduced to the case of an elem entary sheaf $G$ by the obvious induction. By another application of the sam e argum ent wem ay assum $e$ that $F$ is elem entary as well.

O ur strategy will be to express the local cohom ology groups like H (X ) in term s ofnon-localcohom ology over the punctured spaces $A=X n f g$ and $B=Y n f g$, using Lemma5. W e claim that this is com patible with the cross product in the sense that for all i;j 2 N the diagram shown as Fig. 3 is com $m$ utative up to sign, even for arbitrary sheaves $F$ and $G$. Indeed com $m$ utativity in the left half of the diagram is clear. T he diam ond on the right hand side involves a M ayer $-V$ ietoris coboundary, and in term sofa asque resolution of $F{ }^{\wedge} G$ both paths from bottom to top are represented as follow s on the cochain level. G ìven a class $2 \mathrm{H}^{\text {i+ }} \mathrm{j} 2\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{B}\end{array}\right)$
represent it by a cochain $x$ over $X \quad Y$ (which $m$ ust be a cocycle over A B ), and

```
pick a (i+ j 1)-cochain \(y\) over \(X \quad Y\) with
```

$$
Y j(A \quad B)=0 \text { and } x j(X \quad B)=Y j(X \quad B) ;
$$

then $y$ represents, up to sign, both $\infty 0$ and MV in $H^{i+j}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{j} & Y\end{array}\right)$.
$W$ e now do assume that $F=I!F$ and $G=J!G$ are elem entary, say w ith respect to the open inclusions

$$
\mathrm{I}: \mathrm{X} \mathrm{nW}!\mathrm{X} \text { and } \mathrm{J}: \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{nZ} \text { !! Y: }
$$

For the $m$ om ent we require that the closed subsets $W$ and $Z$ both strictly contain $f \mathrm{~g}$. Lem m 5 5 im plies that then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{I}_{!} \mathrm{F}\right) & =\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{I}_{!} \mathrm{F}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{I}_{!} \mathrm{F}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{I}_{!} \mathrm{F}\right)=0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

so that for all (even non-positive) i 2 Z the coboundary hom om orphism $H^{i 1}(A ; F) \quad!H^{i}(X ; F)$ is bijective. A nalogous statem ents hold for the sheaves $G$ and $F{ }^{\wedge} G$.

A look at Fig. 3 show $s$ that in order to prove the theorem it su ces to show that the hom om orphism ofdegree $+1$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rllllllll}
H & (A ; F) & H & (B ; G) & H & (A & \left.B ; F^{\wedge} G\right) \\
& & & { }^{M}!^{V} & H & (A & Y & {[X} & B ; F
\end{array}\right)
$$

is bijective. But now P roposition6provides a translation to the sim plicial setting, tuming the hom om onphism in question into

Indeed we have $F{ }^{\wedge} G=I_{!} F^{\wedge} J_{!} G=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I & J\end{array}\right)!(F$ w ith the inclusion

$$
\text { I J: (X } \quad \mathrm{Y}) \mathrm{n}\left(\mathbb{W} \quad \mathrm{Y} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Z})!
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{Y} ;\right.
$$



F IG . 3: C om patibility of the cross product w ith coboundaries
and the corresponding polyhedra are

$$
-\mathrm{Y}=-\mathrm{X} \quad-\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{Q} \text {; }
$$

respectively the polyhedron -w $\quad$ y $\left[\begin{array}{lll}X & z & \text { whose set of sim - }\end{array}\right.$ plexes is

$$
\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{JU}_{\mathrm{S}} \backslash \mathrm{~W} \in ; \text { or } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{T}} \backslash \mathrm{Z} \in ; g:
$$

W e have used the asterisk to indicate the join _ _ of tw o polyhedra _and.. G eom etrically speaking, the join puts a closed intervalbetw een every point of_ and every point of _ | but the combinatorial de nition is even sim pler and $m$ akes

$$
\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T} \mathrm{j}=\text {; or } \mathrm{S} 2 \text {; and } \mathrm{T}=\text {; or } \mathrm{T} 2 \mathrm{~g}
$$

(set sum, that is disjoint union of $S$ and $T$ ) the set of sim plexes of_ _.

Since $W$ and $Z$ strictly contain $f g$ the polyhedra_w and $z$ are non-em pty, and therefore

$$
-W \quad Y\left[\begin{array}{ll}
X & Z
\end{array}=-W \quad Q\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[ } & P
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$F$ inally the sim plicialversion of $M v$ is the $M$ ayer $-V$ ietoris coboundary obtained from bisection of the connecting coordinate of the join. This m v clearly is an isom orphism, and so ist the topological cross product since the tensor product is taken over a eld. This proves isom orphy under the extra assum ptions on $W$ and $Z$.

In the $m$ ore special cases $W=f$ gor $Z=f$ gwe still have isom onphism $\mathrm{sH}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{F})$ ! $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F})$ etc. for all i2 Z , and the only modi cation needed is that now $-w$ or _z may be em pty, so that_w y $x_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{z}}$ z becom es one of

The rem aining cases, say $W$ ith $W=$; , are essentially trivial. $W$ e have $w=$; and furthem ore $H(X ; F)=$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{F})=0$. In case $Z ;$ the local cohom ology of $\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{Y}$ is

$$
H \quad\left(X \quad Y ; F^{\wedge} G\right)=H \quad\left(X \quad Z ; F^{\wedge} G\right) ;
$$

and in view of $-\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{P},-\mathrm{z}$ and the topological fact $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)=0$ this is the trivial group. If also $Z=$; then $F{ }^{{ }^{\wedge}} \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{G}$ is a constant sheaf and H (X Y;F G) $=0$. Thus there is nothing to prove in any of these cases.

C om bining $T$ heorem 10 w ith Lem m a 9 we im m ediately obtain :

Theorem 11. A ssum e that a base eld $F$ and fam ilies of nite dim ensional vector spaces $\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{p}}$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)_{\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{e}}$ over $F$ are given, as well as vector subspaces $L \quad G=G_{P}$ and $M \quad H=H_{Q}$. The cross product then de nes an isom onphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H ( } \mathrm{X} \text {; } \mathrm{FL} \text { ) } \mathrm{H} \text { ( } \mathrm{F} \text {; } \mathrm{FM} \text { ) } \\
& \text { '! H (X Y; F (L M )) }
\end{aligned}
$$

of bigraded $F$ algebras.
C orollary 12. If the subspace $L \quad G$ is a direct sum $L=L_{1} \quad r$ for some partition $P=P_{1}+\quad \neq P$ of the set of parties then

$$
\text { H } \quad\left(X ;{ }^{j} F L\right)=0 \text { for } j=0 ;::: ; r \quad 1:
$$

In particular the full partition sheaf $G:=F G$ has trivial cohom ology H (X;G)=0 as soon as f j 2 .
Proof. ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~F} L=\mathrm{F}$ is the constant sheaf, and therefore H $\left(X ;{ }^{0} \mathrm{~F} L\right)=0$. N ow T heorem 11 gives the result.

## B. D uality

In this section we x a partition space $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}(\mathbb{P})$, a corresponding collection $\left(G_{p}\right)_{p 2 p}$ of nite dim ensional vector spaces over the base eld $F$ and, furtherm ore for each p 2 P a bilinear form

$$
!_{p}: G_{p} \quad G_{p} \quad!F:
$$

Thus

$$
!:=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{p}
$$

is a bilinear form on $G$, and the direct sum decom position $\mathrm{G}={ }_{\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is orthogonalw ith respect to ! .

We form the closed subspace

$$
Z=\int_{S}^{[ } \quad X_{S} \quad X_{P n S} \quad X \quad X
$$



FIG. 4: The oriented sphere__ for $P=f 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$
and let

$$
k:\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X & X
\end{array}\right) n Z=\int_{p 2 P}^{[ } U_{p} \quad U_{p},!X \quad X
$$

be the inclusion of the com plem ent.
Let now L G and M G be linear subspaces that are orthogonalin the sense that! ( $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{M}$ ) $=0$. W riting $G=F$ G as before we have a hom om orphism of sheaves on $\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}$

$$
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L} \wedge \mathrm{FM} \hat{!} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{~F}
$$

which on the presheaflevel, say over the open set U V $X \quad X$ w ith $S=f p j U \quad U_{p} g, T=f p j V \quad U_{p} g$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}}=\left(\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) & \left(\mathrm{M} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}\right) & ! & \mathrm{F} \\
\overline{\mathrm{x}} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{~T} & !(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}):
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that! (x;y) can be non-zero only if the supports of $x$ and $y$ intersect, which im plies $U \quad U_{p}$ and $V \quad U_{p}$ sim ultaneously for somep2 2 , whence ( $\mathrm{U} V$ ) $\mathrm{V}=$; : thus $\uparrow$ indeed takes values in $k_{!} \mathrm{F}$.
Lem m a 13. The subpolyhedron $Z \quad P+P=\quad P \quad P$ is given by

$$
z=f ; \xi S+T \quad P+P j S \backslash T=; g
$$

and is a topological sphere of dimension $f p$ 1. If an orientation of $P$ is chosen, say by ordering $P=$ $\mathrm{f0} ; 1 ;::: ; 1 \mathrm{l}$ g then z is oriented by its ordered faces

$$
(1)^{r}(1)^{(s ; t)}\left[s_{1}::: s_{r} ; t_{1}::: t_{1} r\right]
$$

with support $\mathrm{fs}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{g}+\mathrm{ft}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P}$. Here $(s ; t)$ stands for the perm utation with ordered values $s_{1} ;::: ; s_{r} ; t_{1} ;::: ; t_{1}$ r, see Fig. 4 .

Proof. By de nition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=; \quad S+T \quad P+P \quad(S+T) \backslash(R+P n R) \\
& =f ; S+T \quad P+P j S \backslash T=; g:
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction on $\mathcal{P} 0$ we prove that $z$ is a sphere. For $P=$; clearly $z_{z}=$; is a ( 1 )-sphere. On the other hand let $Z \quad X$ denote the subset of the partition space $X=f 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{X}$ associated to the set of parties fpg $+P$. T hen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{=}=\mathrm{fpg}+\text {; } \\
& \text { [ } \quad(f p g[S)+T j S+T 2 \text { z } \\
& \text { [ z } \\
& \text { [ S + (fpg [T) jS + T } 2 \text { z } \\
& \text { [ ; + fpg }
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $Z_{Z}$ is the (unreduced) suspension $[13,14,15]$ of _ . Since_z is a sphere by induction hypothesis_z too is a sphere of the correct dim ension.

The statem ent on orientation follow s by direct calculation.

C orollary 14. A ssum ing $f 2$ we have isom orphism s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =H^{j 1}\left(\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P} \mathrm{i}_{乙} ; \text {; }\right) \\
& \text {, } F \text { for } j=f j+1 \text {; } \\
& 0 \text { else, }
\end{aligned}
$$

which are canonical apart from the last one which depends on the choice of an orientation for $P$. If $p 2 P$ is an anbitrarily chosen party then this isom orphism $m$ ay be realised as evaluation on the fundam ental class p $_{1}$ given by

$$
p=; G S+T \quad P+P \quad S \backslash T \quad f p g
$$

with face orientations

$$
(1)^{\mathrm{p}}(1)^{(s, t)}\left[\mathrm{pS}_{1}::: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}} 1 ; \mathrm{t}_{1}::: \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{r}}\right]:
$$

P roof. C om bine Lem m a 5, P roposition 6, and Lem ma 13 and calculate for the fiundam ental class.
$R$ etuming to the situation before Lem m a 13 w w assum e f $j 2$ and $x$ an orientation of $P$. Thebilinearpairing on the sheaf level \& : G=F L ^ FM ! k ! F induces one of cohom ology

$$
H \quad\left(X \quad X ; G=F L^{\wedge} F M\right) \quad \text { H } \quad\left(X \quad X ; k_{!} F\right):
$$

C om posing w th the isom onphism s

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
H \quad(X ; F L) & H & (X ; F M) \\
& ! & H \quad(X ; G=F L) \quad H \quad(X ; F M) \\
& ! & H \quad(X \quad X ; G=F L \wedge
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H (X X;k!F) } \\
& \text { '! H ( } \mathrm{X} \text { X) nf g; kF }) \\
& \text { '! H ( } \left.\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{P} ;_{-2} ; \mathrm{k}_{!} \mathrm{F}\right) \\
& \text { ! } H^{j P j}\left(P+P i \_ \text {; } \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~F}\right) \\
& \text { '! F }
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG.5: D ually m orphism s for a pair of subspaces M L G
we obtain an equivalent pairing

$$
\text { H } \quad(X ; F L) \quad H \quad(X ; F M) \quad!\quad F
$$

which we refer to as the pairing induced by! and likew ise write ? . By construction it is hom ogeneous of degree $\mathcal{P} j+2$, that is, it non-trivially pairs $H^{i}(X ; F L) w$ ith $H^{j}(X ; F M)$ only ifi+ $j=f j+2$.

Theorem 15. We assum e that $f 2$ and that for each p $2 P$ the bilinear form $!_{p}: G_{p} \quad G_{p}!F$ is a perfect pairing of vector spaces. Then for every linear subspace L $G$ the induced pairing

$$
H \quad(X ; F L) \quad H \quad\left(X ; F L^{?}\right) \hat{!} F
$$

also is perfect, where the orthogonal com plem ent refers to ! : G G ! F.

P roof. W e w illeventually prove the equivalent statem ent that $H$ ( $X ; G=F L$ ) $H\left(X ; F L^{?}\right)!F$ is a perfect pairing. As a rst step we observe the follow ing generalisation of the duality pairing. Let M L be a second subspace, then

$$
!: L=M \quad M^{?}=L^{?} \quad!\quad F
$$

is perfect, and we obtain an induced bilinear form

$$
\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FL}=\mathrm{FM}) \quad \mathrm{H} \quad\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FM}^{?}=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L}^{?}\right) \quad!\quad \mathrm{F}
$$

as before. T he exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{FM} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FL} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FL}=\mathrm{FM} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F}^{\longrightarrow} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FM}^{?} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FM}^{?}=\mathrm{FL}^{?} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the duality pairings induce the com $m$ utative diagram of vector spaces w ith exact row s shown as Fig. 5. If tw o out of any two vertical arrow s are bijective then so are all, by the ve lem ma. Since given $L$ a subspaœ M L $m$ ay be picked anbitrarily we $m$ ay perform induction on dim $L$. This reduces the question to the claim that for any $M \quad \mathrm{~L}$ of codim ension one the pairing

$$
H \quad(X ; F L=F M) \quad H \quad\left(X ; F M{ }^{?}=F L^{?}\right) \quad\{\quad F
$$

is perfect.
Topological digression. Fix a nite set $P$; , and consider a proper subpolyhedron _ of the sim plex $P$. $T$ hen the set

$$
=\mathrm{f} ; \in \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{P} j ; \mathrm{PnS} \mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{~g}
$$

de nes another proper subpolyhedron _ P which is the dualpolyhedron of_ (in $\quad$ ), see Fig. 6. It is at once seen to obey the rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-1 \quad-^{\prime}\right) \quad-1 \\
& L_{1}\left[-_{2}\right)=-1 \\
& -=-
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lem m a 13 we already know that the subpolyhedron - $\quad P \quad P=P+P$ given by

$$
=f ; S+T \quad P+P j S \backslash T=; g
$$

is a ( $\mathrm{P} j 1$ )-dim ensional sphere.
Lem m a $16 . \quad$ - $\quad$ [ $\quad$ _ holds for every proper _ P .

Proof. Let $S+T 2$ be arbitrary. If $S=$; or $T=$; then certainly $S+T$ is a sim plex of_ $\quad P$ respectively P _ . Thus assume $S ; T$, and furtherm ore that T $Z$. But then

$$
; \in \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{PnS} Z
$$

shows that S 2 and therefore $S+T 2+P$.
T he lem m a allow s to w rite dow $n$ the topologicaldualIty pairing

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}
! & H & (P & P ; & P[ & P & - \\
M!V & H & (P & P ; & P[ & P & -
\end{array}\right)
$$

(of topological cohom ology w ith an arbitrary coe cient ring $F$ ). It is a pairing of degree if jand depends on the choice of an orientation for $p$ as usual.

Lem m a 17. This pairing is perfect.
P roof. This is a version ofP oincare dually, and a proof is indicated but for the sake of convenience. The statem ent is true if $=$; since in that case the pairing becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H ( P ) H ( P ; @ } \mathrm{P} \text { ) } \\
& \text { ! H ( P Pi P @ P) } \\
& \text { ! H ( P Pi; P [ P @ } \quad \text { ) } \\
& \text { ! H ( } \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{P} \text {; } \text { ) } \\
& \text {, } \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 6: $f 1 ; 3 \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{f} 2 \mathrm{~g}$ is the dual of the polyhedron -y of Fig. ${ }^{2}$
where each arrow is an isom onphism. The statem ent also holds trivially in the case where $=$ fpg consists of a single vertex, since then $=f ; G S \quad P$ jP nfpg $\in S \in$ P g, so that both _ and _ are contractible.

T he general case now follow sby induction on the num ber ofsim plexes in $\quad$, using $M$ ayer $-V$ ietoris sequences and naturality of the duality pairing.

W e retum to the proofof $T$ heorem 15 and assum e that M L is a subspace of codim ension one. C om posing the isom onphism sfrom Lem m a 5 and $P$ roposition 6 w e obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H ( } \mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FL}=\mathrm{FM})^{\prime} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~W} ; \mathrm{FL}=\mathrm{FM}) \\
& \text {, } \mathrm{H} \text { ( } \mathrm{W} \text {; } \mathrm{i}!\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{M} \text { ) } \\
& \text {, } \mathrm{H} \text { ( } \mathrm{P} ; \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{M})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W=X n f$ g, where
is the open inclusion, and _ $\quad P$ the corresponding polyhedron: =f; S P jL $\backslash \mathrm{H}$ S M g. We have an analogous isom orphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H} \quad\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FM}{ }^{?}=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L}^{?}\right) \quad \text {, } \mathrm{H} \quad\left(\mathrm{~W} ; \mathrm{FM}{ }^{?}=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{~L}^{?}\right) \\
& \text {, } \mathrm{H} \text { (W); } \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{?}=\mathrm{L}^{?} \text { ?) } \\
& \text {, } \mathrm{H} \text { ( } \mathrm{P} \boldsymbol{i}_{\mathbf{o}}{ }^{0} \text {; } \mathrm{M}^{?}=\mathrm{L} \text { ? } \text { ); }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the subpolyhedron _ $\quad \mathrm{P}$ is given by

$$
{ }^{0}=; \quad \mathrm{S} \mathrm{P}^{?} \text { ? } \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~L}^{?}:
$$

Lem m a 18. For every subset $S \quad P$ the following three statem ents are equivalent.
(1) $M ?{ }^{?} H_{S} L^{?}$,
(2) $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{PnS}}=\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{PnS}}$,
(3) $\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{PnS}} 6 \mathrm{M}$.

In particular _ $=$ _ is the dual polyhedron.
Proof. (1), (2) : property (2) m eans L $M+H_{P n s}$, and since! is perfect we $m$ ay translate this to

$$
M^{?} \backslash H_{S}=\left(M+H_{P n S}\right)^{?} \quad L^{?} ;
$$

which is just (1).
(2) ) (3) : pick any g 2 L nM . By assum ption we m ay w rite

$$
g=f+h \quad w \text { ith } f 2 M ; h 2 H_{P n s}:
$$

Then $g$ f $2 \mathrm{~L} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Pns}} \mathrm{nM}$, which shows (2).
(3)) (2) : choose any g $2 \mathrm{~L} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{P} n \mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{nM}$, then

$$
L=M+\operatorname{hgi} M+H_{P n S} ;
$$

and (3) follow s .
We nally verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{P} j \mathrm{PnS} \in ; \text { and } \mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{PnS}} 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~g} \\
& =\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{P} j \mathrm{PnS} ; \text { and } \mathrm{M} \text { ? } \backslash \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~L}^{?} \mathrm{~g} \\
& =\mathrm{fS} 2{ }^{0} \mathrm{jPnS} ; \mathrm{g} \\
& =0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

using that $P \not{ }^{0}$ since $M$ ? $L^{?}$ anyway.
W e can now easily com plete the proof of $T$ heorem 15. $T$ he pairing in question is

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FL}=\mathrm{FM}) \quad \mathrm{H} \quad\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FM}^{?}=\mathrm{FL}^{?}\right) \hat{!} \mathrm{F}
$$

and we have just seen how to translate sheaf cohom ology to topological cohom ology, which results in a pairing

This is easily seen to coincide up to a degree dependent sign w ith the Poincare duality pairing of Lem m a 17, whence it is perfect.
C. A duality form ula

It is usefiul to be able to describe the duality pairing explicitly in term s of Cech cohom ology. To this end we m ay retum to the m ore general situation $w$ here $L$ and $M$ are arbitrary linear subspaces of $G$ that are orthogonal $w$ ith respect to ! . A s before we write $G=F G=F G_{P}$; also recall the notations $W=X n f \quad g$, and $k$ for the inclusion of ${ }_{p 2 p} U_{p} U_{p}$ in $X$, aswellas $=f ; f_{i}+T$ $P+P j S \backslash T=; g . T$ he extensive diagram which involves the relevant $m$ appings is displayed as $F$ ig. 7. Take tw o 0 hom ology classes $\times 2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{F} \mathrm{L})$ and y $2 \mathrm{H}^{j}(\mathbb{W} ; \mathrm{FM})$ with i+ j=l: $\mathcal{P} j$ and represent them by Cech cocycles

$$
\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{0} \mathrm{~s}_{1}::: \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \text { and }\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{t}_{0} \mathrm{t}_{1}::: \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right) ;
$$



FIG.7: The diagram com paring two versions of the duality pairing com $m$ utes up to a degree dependent sign
each index $s=s_{0} s_{1}::: s_{i}$ and $t=t_{0} t_{1}::: t_{j}$ representing an ordered sim plex ofdim ension $i$ and $j$ respectively. Let us w rite both $x$ and $y$ as coboundaries

$$
x=u \text { and } y=v
$$

w ith values in G, that is, explicitly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\mathrm{s}_{0}::: s_{i}}=P_{i}^{i}=0(1) u_{s_{0}::: \widehat{s}::: s_{i}} \\
& y_{t_{0}::: t_{j}}=P_{j}^{j}=0(1) V_{t_{0}}::: \widehat{t}::: t_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

W e $x$ an arbitrary p 2 P and realise the isom orphism $H^{l}\left(P+P ; i^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} F$ as evaluation on the oriented polyhedron $\rightarrow$. From the commutativity of the diagram $\mathrm{Fig}, 7 \mathrm{w}$ e conclude that up to a xed sign the value $\uparrow$ ( $x ; y$ ) of the duality pairing is

X

$$
(1)^{p}(1)^{(s ; t)}!\left(u_{p_{1}::: s_{i} 1} ; y_{p t_{1}:: t_{j}}\right)
$$

```
S+T = Pnfpg
    jS j = i 1
    jTj = j
```

where it is understood that for each set $S$ an oriented sim plex $\left[s_{1}::: s_{i} 1\right]$ w ith support $S$ is chosen, and sim ilarly for $T$. Substituting the expression of $y$ as a coboundary and noting that $u_{\mathrm{ps}_{1}::: s_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}_{1}::: \mathrm{t}_{j}}$ have disjoint supports as vectors in $G$ we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
X & (1)^{p}(1)^{(s, t)} \\
S+T=P n f p g \\
& P_{=1}^{j}(1)!\left(u_{p s_{1}::: s_{i 1} 1} ; v_{p t_{1}::: t}::: t_{j}\right):
\end{array}
$$

Replacing $T$ by the sm aller set $T n f t g$ we rew rite th is expression as

X
$(1)^{p}(1)^{(s ; t)}!\left(u_{p s_{1}::: s_{i 1}} ; v_{p t_{1}::: t_{j 1}}\right)$
S + T Pnfpg
$j{ }_{j} j=i 1$
$\mathrm{JT} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{j} 1$
w ith $=(1)(1)^{(p ; t)}(1)^{\mathrm{i}+t}$ if $t$ is the unique elem ent of $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{fpg}) \cdot \mathrm{W}$ e nally obtain:

Proposition 19. Let L; M G be linear subspaces with ! (L M) $=0$, and let $\times 2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{FL})$ and y 2 $H^{j}(\mathbb{W} ; F M)$, with i+ j$=f$ j. P ick G-valued cochains $u$; $v$ with $u=x$ and $v=y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \\
& \uparrow(x ; y)=\quad(1)^{p+i+r}(1)^{(p ; r)}(1)^{(s ; t)} \\
& \text { S + T Pnfpg } \\
& j{ }_{j} j=i 1 \\
& j \mathbb{T}=j 1 \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{ups}_{\mathrm{p}_{1}::: \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}} ; \mathrm{vpt}_{1}:: \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $r 2 P$ denotes the unique elem ent not in $S+T+f p g$.
An essential aspect of the form ula of $P$ roposition 19 is that it treats both factors in $\uparrow(x ; y)$ on an equal footing. Thus ! m ight as well have been de ned using F L and $G=F M$ in the sam ew ay as we have used $G=F L$ and $F M$. A part from being interesting in its own right this fact has further consequences if ! en joys sym $m$ etry properties. For the sake of sim plicity we state but the case of characteristic two.

T heorem 20. A ssum e that the characteristic of the base eld $F$ is two, and that for each p 2 P the bilinear form
$!_{p}$ on $G_{p}$ is altemating. If the linear subspace $L \quad G$ is isotropic for ! then

$$
\uparrow: H \quad(X ; F L) \quad H \quad(X ; F L) \quad!~ F
$$

also altemates.
P roof. The form ula of $P$ roposition 19 show $s$ that for hom ogeneousx;y 2 H (X ; F L ) we have $\uparrow(x ; y)+\uparrow(y ; x)=$ 0 : just swap $S$ and $T$. Therefore it su ces to know that $\uparrow(x ; x)=0$ forall $x 2 H^{i}(X ; F L) w$ ith $2 i=f j+2$. But this also follow from the formula.

C orollary 21. A ssum e charF $=2$, that all ! $p$ are sym plectic form s , and that $\mathrm{L} G$ is a lagrangian subspace: $L=L$ ? . Then

$$
\uparrow: H \quad(X ; F L) \quad H \quad(X ; F L) \quad \text { ! } F
$$

is sym plectic. If $f j$ is even then $\hat{f}$ restricts to a sym plectic form on $H^{\mathrm{P} j=2}$ ( $\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{FL}$ ).

Proof. C om bine Theorem 15 and $T$ heorem 20.

## IV. EXAMPLESAND APPLICATIONS

In order to ilhustrate hom ological invariants we have calculated, using [16], a variety of exam ples including all pure stabilizer states in up to seven parties controlling a single qubit each. T he base eld here is $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, and for each p 2 P one has $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{F}_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{f}$ w ith the standard sym plectic form : ! ${ }_{p}(e ; f)=1$. A $s$ is well known under these assum ptions all lagrangian subspaces of $G=G_{P}$ are equivalent, under localsym plectic transform ations, to standard form $s$ that $m$ ay be described by sim ple graphs on the vertex set $P$. Though this description does not play an im mediate role in the present context we m ake use of the classi cation in [17] of lagrangians in term $s$ of graphs, retaining the labelling used in that work for the sake of convenient reference. $W$ e show the com plete result for up to ve parties in Table 1 , and just the inform ation on $H^{j}(L)=H^{1 j}(L)$ in the case of six or seven parties in Table
$N$ ote that $H^{0 j}(L)=0$ for all $j$ as soon as $P$ is non-em pty, and that the hom ological invariants of any tensor products of the listed states $m$ ay be calculated by $T$ heorem 11. In particular for products $w$ th $m$ ore than one factor | those which de ne decom posable lagrangians and stabilizer states | the rst order invariants $H^{j}(\mathrm{~L})=H^{1 j}(\mathrm{~L})$ vanish by C orollary 12 .

In the case of parties controlling just one qubit every indecom posable state autom atically is irreducible in the sense that it cannot, in a non-trivial way, be w ritten as a tensor product of stabilizer states distributed over the sam e set of parties. In term s of lagrangians this notion of irreducibility $m$ eans that there is no w ay to w rite the lagrangian as an intemaldirect sum of sm aller lagrangians. In view of this the fact that $H^{1}(\mathrm{~L})$ vanishes in all cases of the lists is no coincidence but a consequence of:

Proposition 22. Let $L \quad G={ }^{L}{ }_{p 2 p} G_{p}$ be an isotropic subspace and assume that $L^{0}:=L \backslash G_{p}$ is non-zero for som e party p 2 P . Then $L$ splits $O$ a summ and in $G_{p}$ : there is an orthogonal splitting $G=G^{0} \quad G^{\infty}$ with $0 \not \mathrm{G}^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ such that $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~L}^{\infty} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}:=\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\infty}$. Proof. Since $L^{0} \quad G_{p}$ is isotropic w em ay pick a subspace $\mathrm{G}^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ofdim ension 2 dim Lwhich contains $L^{0}$ and to which ! ${ }_{p}$ restricts as a sym plectic form. W e de ne $G{ }^{\infty}$ G as the orthogonalcom plem ent ofG ${ }^{0}$ in G and have $\mathrm{G}=$ $\mathrm{G}^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}^{\infty}$ as claim ed. The inclusion $\mathrm{L}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~L}^{\infty} \quad \mathrm{L}$ is clear, and equality follows from a com parison of dim ensions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} L^{\infty} & =\operatorname{dim}\left(L^{?}+G^{0}\right)^{?} \\
& =\operatorname{dim} G \operatorname{dim} L^{?} \quad \operatorname{dim} G^{0}+\operatorname{dim}\left(L^{?} \backslash G^{0}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} L \operatorname{dim} G^{0}+\operatorname{dim}\left(L \backslash G^{0}\right) \\
& \operatorname{dim} G^{0}+\operatorname{dim} L^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\operatorname{dim} L^{0}+\operatorname{dim} L^{\infty} \quad \operatorname{dim} L \quad \operatorname{dim} G^{0}+2 \operatorname{dim} L^{0}=$ dim L.

The rst order invariants $H^{j}(L)$ for $j 2$ show $n$ in the tables nicely illustrate the duality properties described in T heorem 15 and C orollary 21.

W e do not know whether there exist undecom posable stabilizer states w ith trivial rst order invariants but such states $m$ ust involve at least four parties. W e rst $m$ ake a technicalbut $m$ ore general statem ent and give a proof which is adapted from that of [3] Theorem 5 .
Proposition 23. Let $L \quad G={ }^{L}{ }_{p 2 P} \quad G p$ be a lagrangian subspace w ith $L \backslash G_{p}=0$ for all $p 2 P$. A ssum $e$ that for som e subset $P^{0} \quad P$ we have $H^{2}\left(L \backslash G_{P}\right) \not 0$ and

$$
\left(L \backslash G_{P 0}\right)+\underbrace{X}_{f Q P j P 06 Q g}\left(L \backslash P_{Q}\right)=L:
$$

Then there exist a subspace $G^{0} \quad G_{P} 0$ such that ! restricts to a sym plectic form on $G^{0}$ and such that $L^{0}:=$ $\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{0}$ is a lagrangian subspace of ${ }^{0}$ which de nes a GHZ state. G and L split as intemaldirect sum $\mathrm{s} G=\mathrm{G}^{0} \quad \mathrm{G}^{\infty}$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~L}^{\infty}$ with $\mathrm{G}^{\infty}:=\mathrm{G}^{?}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{\infty}:=\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{\infty}$.
Proof. A ny cocycle

$$
\left(g_{s t}\right) 2 H^{1}\left(\mathbb{W} ; F\left(L \backslash G_{P} 0\right)\right)=H^{2}\left(L \backslash G_{P} 0\right)
$$

$m$ ay be represented as a coboundary $g_{s t}=f_{s} \quad f_{t} w$ th values in $F G_{p} 0$, and since $L \backslash G_{p}=0$ for allp $2 P$ we have a well-de ned bilinear form

$$
\uparrow: H^{2}\left(L \backslash G_{P 0}\right) \quad L \quad!\quad F ; \quad \uparrow\left(g_{s t} ; h\right)=!\left(f_{s} ; h\right):
$$

W e clain that if f ( $\left(g_{\text {st }} ; \mathrm{h}\right)=0$ for allh $2 \mathrm{~L} \backslash \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}} 0$ then $\left(g_{s t}\right)=0$. Indeed, if $Q \quad P$ is a subset $w$ ith $P^{0} \sigma Q$, say w ith $\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{P}{ }^{0} \mathrm{nQ}$ then trivially $\uparrow\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{st}} ; \mathrm{h}\right)=!\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{h}\right)=0$. Thus if $!\left(g_{s t} ; h\right)=0$ holds for all h $2 \mathrm{~L} \backslash G_{P} 0$ then it even holds for all $h 2 \mathrm{~L}$, in view of the hypothesis of the proposition. Since $L$ is lagrangian it follows that $f_{s} 2 L \backslash G_{s}$, and therefore that $f_{s}$ and a fortioriall $g_{s t}$ vanish.

| G raph | N ame | 1 | $\mathrm{h}^{10}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{12}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{14}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{15}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{20}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{21}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{24}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{25}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{30}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{31}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{32}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{34}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{35}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{40}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{41}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{42}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{43}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{44}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{45}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N 0.1 | $\overbrace{2}$ i | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N 0.2 | 发3i | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N 0.3 | j＇G $\mathrm{Hz}_{4} \mathrm{i}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N 0.4 | $\hat{A}_{3} \mathrm{i}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N 0.5 | J H Z 5 i | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| N 0.6 | D ${ }_{5}$ i | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| N 0.7 | 風i | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| N 0.8 | $\hat{A}_{4}{ }_{4}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

TABLE I：H om ological invariants of graph states $w$ ith up to ve parties．G raphs are labelled as in［17］and an alternative standard nam e for each graph or the corresponding state is shown．$l=f j$ is the num ber of parties，and $h^{i j}$ shorthand for $\operatorname{dim}_{F_{2}} H^{i j}(L)$ ．For reasons of space the trivial inform ation $h^{1 j}=0$ for $j<l$ and $h^{11}=1$ is not shown．

| G raph | N am e | 1 | $\mathrm{h}^{0}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{6}$ | $\mathrm{h}^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N 0.9 | J H Z ${ }_{6} \mathrm{i}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| N 0.10 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| N 0.11 | $\widehat{D_{5} i}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.12 | D 6 i | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| N 0.13 | F6i | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.14 | 746i | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.15 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| N 0.16 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.17 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.18 | $\hat{A}^{\prime}{ }_{5} \mathrm{i}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.19 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| N 0.20 | J H Z ${ }_{7} \mathrm{i}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| N 0.21 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| N 0.22 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.23 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| N 0.24 | $\hat{D_{6}} \mathrm{i}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| N 0.25 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.26 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.27 | D7i | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.28 | F7i | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.29 | $\widehat{\hat{E}_{6} i}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.30 | 7， | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.31 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| N o． 32 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.33 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.34 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.35 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.36 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.37 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.38 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.39 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.40 | $3 \hat{A}_{6} \mathrm{i}$ | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.41 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.42 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.43 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.44 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| N 0.45 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

TA BLE II：F inst order hom ological invariants of graph states $w$ ith six or seven parties，$w$ ith $h^{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{2}} H^{j}(L)$

N ow pick any cocycle（ $g_{s t}=f_{s} f_{t}$ ）and leth $2 L \backslash G_{p} 0$ be an elem ent such that $\uparrow\left(g_{s t} ; h\right)=1$ ．For each $s 2 \mathrm{P}^{0}$ let $G_{s}^{0} \quad G_{s}$ be the subspace spanned by $f_{s}$ and the com ponent of $h$ in $G_{E}$ ：thus each $G_{s}^{0}$ is a sym plectic plane．Putting $G^{0}=s_{2} \mathrm{P}^{0} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ the intersection $\mathrm{L} \backslash \mathrm{G}^{0}$ is spanned by the $f_{s}$ and $h$ ，and the conclusion of the proposition is readily veri ed．

C orqulary 24．LetP be a set of three parties and let L $G={ }_{p 2 p} G_{p}$ be a lagrangian subspace with $H \quad(L)=0$ $T$ hen $L$ is decom posable．

Proof．If $L \backslash G_{p}$ is non－zero for som ep then $L$ splits of a sum $m$ and in $G_{p}$ ，by $P$ roposition 22．W e thusm ay assum $e$ $L \backslash G_{p}=0$ for all $p$ ．If for some two－party set $P^{0} \quad P$ we have $H^{2}\left(L \backslash G_{P}\right)$ o we m ay apply $P$ roposition 23 since the assum ption $H^{3}(\mathrm{~L})=0$ implies $\quad$ j $\mathrm{j}_{2}$（ L \} $\left.P_{Q}\right)=L . T$ hus in that case $L$ splits $O$ an EPR state． $T$ here rem ains the case where $H^{2}\left(L \backslash G_{P} 0\right)=0$ for all $P^{0} \quad P$ with $P^{j}=2$ ．Explicitly this condition $m$ eans $L \backslash G_{P} 0=0$ for all such $P^{0}$ ；since $H^{3}(L)=0$ on the pther hand $m$ eans that the coboundary hom om onphism
${ }_{j}{ }^{0}{ }_{j=2} L \backslash G_{P} 0!~ L$ is surjectivewe conclude that $L=0$ which of course is dcom posable too．

O ne of the $m$ ain results of［B］is a formula for for the num ber of GHZ states that can be extracted from a m ulti－party stabilizer state by LC operations．W e here state and reprove this result in term $s$ of hom ological in－ variants．
Theorem 25．Let L $G={ }^{\text {L }}{ }_{\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}$ be a lagrangian subspace．The num ber of lagrangians of all－party G H Z states that can be split o from $L$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim}_{F} H^{2}(L)+\operatorname{dim}_{F} H^{\text {PF }}(L)
$$

（which coincides with $\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(L)=\operatorname{dim} H^{f j}(L)$ as soon as $\mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{j}>2$ ）。

Proof．It su ces to show that such a lagrangian can be split $O$ if and only if $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \in 0$ ．We put $l=f$ ． The condition is necessary by Lemma 9 since the GHZ state has the non－trivial rst order invariants $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{~L})$ and
$H^{1}(L)$, each of dim ension one unless $l=2$ when they $m$ erge in a single space of dim ension tw o.

Now assume that $\mathrm{H}^{2}(\mathrm{~L})$ is non-trivial. Put $\mathrm{X}=$ $X(P), W=X n f g$, and $G=F G$ as usual. By Theorem 15 the pairing $\uparrow: H^{2}(X ; G=F L) \quad H^{1}(X ; F L)!F$ is perfect, so we m ay pick cocycles

$$
\left(f_{s}\right) 2 H^{0}(\mathbb{W} ; G=F L)=H^{1}(X ; G=F L)=H^{2}(X ; F L)
$$

and

$$
h_{P} 2 H^{11}(\mathbb{N} ; F L)=H^{1}(X ; F L)
$$

w ith $\uparrow\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)=1$. Represent $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{P}}$ by any vector $\mathrm{h}=$ $\left(h_{s}\right)_{\text {s2 }} 2 \mathrm{~L}$. Then for each s 2 P the vectors $f_{s}$ and $h_{s}$ span a sym plectic plane in $G_{s}$, and $L \backslash G^{0}$ is spanned by the $f_{s}$ and $h$, and is a GHZ lagrangian.

The authors of [3] construct an example of an irreducible four-party stabilizer state $j i w h i c h$ is not a G H Z state. This property can easily be read from the rst order hom ologicalinvariants of $j$ i, which have dim ensions $h^{2}=0, h^{3}=4$, and $h^{4}=0$, as follow $s: T$ w of of the fourparties ow $n$ one qubit, and the others tw o qubits each. If the lagrangian of $j$ i were an intemal direct sum, one sum $m$ and would have to involve all parties since $h^{3} \in 0$, and the com plem entary sum $m$ and would have trivial invariants. But by the classi cation indecom posable four-party states in four or ve qubits w th $\mathrm{h}^{3}=4$ do not exist.

Scanning the classi cation lists we found $m$ any $m$ ore six- and seven-party states that by suitable coarsening lead to a four-party state $w$ th $h^{3}=4$; they include the states $\mathrm{N} \circ .14,17,18,30,33,35$, and $37\{45$. A m usingly, the six-party state No. 19 from which [ 3 ]'s exam ple is constructed in fact gives a four-party state with $\mathrm{h}^{3}=4$ whichever coarsening is chosen, as long as tw o of the new parties are given tw o qubits each.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have introduced hom ological invariants of a system of vector spaces which is partitioned over a nite set of parties, and thereby LC invariants of m ulti-party stabilizer states. W e have investigated som e basic properties of these invariants, in particular their
duality. In the sim plest cases we have explicitly calculated the invariants, and we have show $n$ their connection w th known results on the extraction of $\mathrm{H} Z$ states from stabilizer states.

W e believe that the potentialofhom ologicalinvariants in fact reachesm uch further, and $w$ ish to suggest several ways in which we believe the present work can be continued.

Asmentioned in the introduction LC equivalence of stabilizer seem $s$ to be little stricter than LU equivalence, the conceptually m ore im portant notion. W hile hom ological invariants refer to LC equivalence by de nition it is nevertheless possible that they in fact are LU invariants. T he m ost satisfactory positive answ er to that question would, of course, involve an extension of our construction from stabilizer to all quantum states.

H om ologicalinvariants do not, nor are intended to separate all the di erent LC classes of states. Yet it $m$ ay be true, for an arbitrary num ber of parties, that the rst order invariant $H$ ( $j$ i) vanishes only if $j i$ is decom posable. Q uite generally it would be worthw hile to obtain a globalview of the hom ologicalclassi cation ofstates, including asym ptotic in form ation on the size of the equivalence classes for large sets of parties, or $w$ ith respect to large (but nite) eld extensions. For instance this m ight give a way to re-intenpret and extend the results of [18] which show that stabilizer states from which $m$ any G H Z states can be extracted | that is, those w ith large $H^{2}(j$ i) | are exceptional rather than the rule.

O ur m ain result, the Duality Theorem [15, has so far been used in quite a lim ited way, relating $H^{2}$ and $H^{j p}{ }^{j}$. It would be reasonable to expect that a m ore system atic application will lead to an im proved qualitative understanding ofm ulti-party entanglem ent of stabilizer states, com pleting the picture for up to four or ve parties at least. System atic use of hom ological invariants probably w ill take advantage of the fact that rather than being $m$ ere num bers they are algebraic structures $w$ ith a wellde ned functorialbehaviour, som e of which we have explained in Section IIE. This pertains even $m$ ore to the higher order invariants $H^{i j}(\mathrm{~L}) \mid$ so far unused at all | which combine to form the algebra $\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{L})={ }_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{H}^{\text {ij }}(\mathrm{L})$ and thus carry a multiplication as an additional structure.
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