

# How often is the coordinate of a harmonic oscillator positive?

Boris Tsirelson

## Abstract

The coordinate of a harmonic oscillator is measured at a time chosen at random among three equiprobable instants: now, after one third of the period, or after two thirds. The (total) probability that the outcome is positive depends on the state of the oscillator. In the classical case the probability is  $\frac{1}{2}$  –  $\frac{1}{6}$ , but in the quantum case it is 0.50 – 0.21.

## Introduction

The coordinate  $q(t)$  of a harmonic oscillator depends on the time  $t$ ,

$$q(t) = q_0 \cos t + p_0 \sin t;$$

where  $q_0, p_0$  are the initial coordinate and momentum; the mass is assumed to equal 1, and the period  $|$  to equal 2. In the classical setup  $q_0$  and  $p_0$  are numbers, while in the quantum setup they are operators,


$$Q(t) = Q \cos t + P \sin t; \quad [Q, P] = i$$

(assuming also  $\hbar = 1$ ). Here is a question trivial in the classical setup but nontrivial in the quantum setup. We choose at random from the three-element set  $\{0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\}$  and check, whether  $q(t) > 0$  or not. The (total, unconditional) probability of the event  $q(t) > 0$  depends on the initial state of the oscillator. The question: what is the maximum of the probability over

---

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 683/05).

all states? In the classical setup the probability is either  $1/3$  or  $2/3$ .



In the quantum setup the probability is

$$\text{prob}(\psi) = \frac{1}{3} \langle \psi | E(0) + E(2/3) + E(4/3) | \psi \rangle;$$

here  $\psi$  is the state vector,  $E(t) = Q(t)$ , and  $Q(q) = 1$  if  $q > 0$ , otherwise 0. Thus, we want to find the upper bound of the spectrum of the operator  $E(0) + E(2/3) + E(4/3)$ . The question is nontrivial, because the three terms do not commute. Note that we perform a quantum measurement only once (at a time chosen beforehand), therefore the impact of the measurement on the state is irrelevant.

A numeric computation reported in Sect. 1 shows that the upper bound,  $\sup \text{prob}(\psi)$ , of the spectrum is close to 0.71. A rigorous result of Sect. 2 states that  $\sup \text{prob}(\psi) < 1$ . Sect. 3 generalizes this result to  $E(0) + E(s) + E(t)$ .

## 1 Using the Wigner quasi-distribution

The Wigner function (or quasi-distribution density)  $W : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  corresponding to a state vector  $\psi$  has several equivalent (sometimes, up to a coefficient) definitions. The 'tomographic' definition (see also [2], Sect. 6.2, Th. 6.1), stipulating that  $W$  returns correct one-dimensional distributions, is based on the equality

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(aq + bp) W(q;p) dq dp = \langle \psi | f(aQ + bP) | \psi \rangle$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and all bounded measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . However, there is a catch:  $W$  need not be integrable, that is,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(q;p) dq dp = 1$  for some  $\psi$  (for example,  $\psi(q) = (q^2 + 1)^{-1/3} e^{iq^3}$  in the Schrodinger representation). Thus, even the most well-known relation  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(q;p) dq dp = 1$  needs a careful interpretation!

One may interpret the left-hand side of (1.1) as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0+} \int \int f(aq + bp) W_n(q;p) h((bq - ap)) dq dp$$

for an appropriate weight function  $h$  such as

$$h(x) = e^{-x^2} \quad \text{or} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(It would be natural to put  $h(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$ , otherwise 0. However, I do not know, whether this function exists or not.) Treated this way, (1.1) holds for all  $f$ .

Alternatively, one may characterize the whole map  $f \mapsto W$  by two conditions:

- (a) there exists a dense set of state vectors  $\psi$  such that  $W_\psi$  is integrable and (1.1) is satisfied;
- (b)  $W_{\psi_n}(q;p) \rightarrow W_\psi(q;p)$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) uniformly in  $q;p$  whenever  $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ .

In the Schrodinger representation,

$$W_\psi(q;p) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( q + \frac{x}{2} \right) \overline{\psi\left(q - \frac{x}{2}\right)} e^{ipx} dx$$

for  $\psi \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and of course,

$$(Q)(q) = q \psi(q); \quad (P)(q) = i \frac{d}{dq} \psi(q)$$

for  $\psi$  good enough.

We have

$$\|W_\psi(t)\|_1 = \int \int (q \cos t + p \sin t) W_\psi(q;p) dq dp = \int_{t=-2}^{t=2} W_\psi^{\text{ang}}(\theta) d\theta;$$


where

$$W_\psi^{\text{ang}}(\theta) = \int_0^1 W_\psi(r \cos \theta; r \sin \theta) r dr$$

may be called the angular Wigner function. Thus,

$$(1.2) \quad \text{prob}(\psi) = \frac{1}{3} \|W_\psi(0) + W_\psi(2/3) + W_\psi(4/3)\|_1 = \frac{1}{3} \int_{-2}^2 f(\theta) W_\psi^{\text{ang}}(\theta) d\theta;$$

where  $f(\cdot)$  takes on two values, 1 and 2, as follows:



The inequality  $\frac{1}{3} \leq \text{prob}(\cdot) \leq \frac{2}{3}$  holds if  $W^{\text{ang}}(\theta) = 0$  for all  $\theta$ , but fails in general.

Eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian are the number states  $|j_1\rangle, |j_2\rangle, \dots$ ;

$$(Q^2 + P^2)|j\rangle = (2j + 1)|j\rangle;$$

Generally,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n |j\rangle; \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 = 1; \\ W(q;p) &= \sum_{m,n} c_m c_n w_{m,n}(q;p); \end{aligned}$$

where functions  $w_{m,n}$  are defined by

$$\int \int f(aq + bp) w_{m,n}(q;p) dq dp = \int f(aQ + bP) |j\rangle;$$

In fact,

$$(1.4) \quad w_{m,n}(q;p) = \frac{(1)^m}{n!} \frac{m!}{n!} (2z)^{n-m} e^{2\bar{z}j^2} L_m^{(n-m)}(4\bar{z}j^2)$$

for  $n \geq m$  (and  $w_{n,m} = w_{m,n}$ ); here  $z = (q + ip)^2/2$ , and  $L_m^{(k)}$  is the associated Laguerre polynomial [1, Sect. 10.12],

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^{(k)}(x) &= (1)^k \frac{d^k}{dx^k} L_{m+k}(x); \\ L_n(x) &= \frac{1}{n!} e^x \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^n e^{-x}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(1)^k}{k!} \frac{n!}{k!} x^k; \end{aligned}$$

Equality (1.4) is a combination of [3, (3.30)] and [4, (4.36)].

For the angular Wigner function,

$$W^{ang}(\theta) = \sum_{m,n} C_m C_n W_{m,n}^{ang}(\theta);$$

$$W_{m,n}^{ang}(\theta) = \int_0^1 w_{m,n}(r \cos \theta; r \sin \theta) r dr;$$

Using (1.4) we get, first,

$$W_{m,n}^{ang}(\theta) = e^{i(n-m)\theta} W_{m,n}^{ang}(0)$$

and second,

$$(1.5) \quad W_{m,n}^{ang}(0) = \int_0^1 w_{m,n}(r;0) r dr =$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^m}{n!} \int_0^1 \frac{r^m}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} 2^k \frac{m+n-k}{2} \frac{(k - \frac{m+n}{2} + 1)}{(n+n-k)!(k-m)!(k-n)!} r^{m+n-k} dr =$$

(the latter formula holds for all  $m, n$ , but the formula with  $L_m^{(n-m)}$  holds for  $m \leq n$ , of course). Using the generating function [1, Sect. 10.12, (17)]

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} L_m^{(k)}(x) t^m = (1-t)^{k+1} \exp \frac{xt}{1-t}$$

we get also (for  $m \leq n$ )

$$W_{m,n}^{ang}(0) = \frac{(-1)^m}{n!} \int_0^1 \frac{r^m}{m!} 2^{\frac{n-m}{2}-1} \left( \frac{n-m}{2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \bigg|_{t=0} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{(n-m)+2} (1+t)^{(n-m)+2+1}};$$

which leads (by contour integration) to a formula suitable for asymptotic analysis,

$$W_{m,n}^{ang}(0) = \frac{(-1)^m}{n!} \int_0^1 \frac{r^m}{m!} 2^{\frac{n-m}{2}-1} \left( \frac{n-m}{2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-r^2 e^{2i\theta'})^{(n-m)+2+1}} \frac{d\theta'}{(re^{i\theta'})^m};$$

it holds for every  $r \in (0;1)$  and is especially useful for  $r = \frac{p}{m+n}$ . Here are three asymptotic results obtained this way.

First,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_{m,n+k}^{\text{ang}}(0) = 1$  for every  $k = 0; 1; 2; \dots$

Second, let  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m/n \rightarrow c \in (0; 1)$ . If in addition  $m$  remains even, then  $w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(0) \rightarrow (2)^{-1} c^{1/4}$ . However, if  $m$  remains odd, then  $w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(0) \rightarrow (2)^{-1} c^{1/4}$ .

Third, let  $m$  be fixed and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(0) \sim c_m n^{1/4}$  for some  $c_m$ .

Returning to (1.2) we have, first,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(x') dx' &= \sum_{m,n} c_m c_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(x') dx' = \\ &= \sum_{m,n} c_m c_n w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') e^{i(n-m)x'} dx' \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in L_2(0; 2\pi)$ , and second,

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') e^{ik'x'} dx' = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } k = 0; \\ 3 & \text{if } (k+3)/12 \text{ is an integer;} \\ 6-k & \text{if } (k-3)/12 \text{ is an integer;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for the function  $f$  of (1.3). The matrix elements of the operator  $\frac{1}{3} E(0) + E(2=3) + E(4=3)$  in the basis of number states are thus calculated,

$$\frac{1}{3} \langle m | E(0) + E(2=3) + E(4=3) | i \rangle = \frac{1}{3} w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') e^{i(n-m)x'} dx';$$

$w_{m,n}^{\text{ang}}(0)$  being given by (1.5) and the integral by (1.6). The spectrum of the corresponding infinite matrix determines the possible values of  $\text{prob}(\cdot)$ , namely,  $m_{\min} \leq \text{prob}(\cdot) \leq m_{\max}$ , where  $m_{\min}; m_{\max}$  are the least and greatest elements of the spectrum.

Restricting ourselves to a finite portion  $\{j_1; j_2; \dots; j_N\}$  of the basis of number states, we get a finite matrix,  $N \times N$ , and can compute its spectrum numerically. The results follow.

$N$	25	50	100	200	300
$m_{\max}(N)$	0.6961	0.6997	0.7025	0.7045	0.7054

Probably, the number  $m_{\max} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m_{\max}(N)$  is close to 0.71. But, who knows? It could happen that  $m_{\max} = 1$  and, say,  $1 - m_{\max}(N) \sim 3 + 0.04 \ln N$  for large  $N$ .

In Section 2 it is shown that  $m_{\max} \notin 1$ .

Here is the plot of the angular Wigner function  $W^{\text{ang}}$ , where  $\psi = \psi_{\text{max}}(300)$  is the 300-dimensional eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(300)$ .



Its (small) negative values are responsible for the (small) quantum violation of the classical bound  $(0.71 > 2/3)$ .

## 2 Using the Weyl transform

We are interested in the spectral bounds of the operator

$$\frac{1}{3} (E(0) + E(2/3) + E(4/3)) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}A;$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{sgn } Q(0) + \text{sgn } Q(2/3) + \text{sgn } Q(4/3) = \\ &= \text{sgn}(Q) + \text{sgn} \left( \frac{1}{2}Q + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}P \right) + \text{sgn} \left( \frac{1}{2}Q - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}P \right); \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(A)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $A$  (since the symplectic linear transformation  $(q;p) \mapsto (q; p)$  corresponds to a unitary operator), therefore the spectral bounds of  $A$  are  $\|A\|$ , and the spectral bounds of  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}A$  are

$$\lambda_{\min} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\|A\|; \quad \lambda_{\max} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\|A\|;$$

Clearly,  $\|A\| \leq 3$  (since  $\|\text{sgn } Q(t)\| = 1$ ).

**2.1 Theorem.**  $\|A\| < 3$ .

In order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to prove that the spectrum of  $A$  does not contain 3.

The next lemma is the first step toward this goal.

**2.2 Lemma.** The number 3 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

Proof. Assume the contrary, then 3 is also an eigenvalue of  $3(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}A)$ , which means

$$\langle \mathbb{E}(0) + \mathbb{E}(2=3) + \mathbb{E}(4=3) | \psi \rangle = 3$$

for some state vector  $\psi$ ,  $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ . Then  $\langle \mathbb{E}(t) | \psi \rangle = 1$  for  $t \in \{0, 2=3, 4=3\}$  (since  $\langle \mathbb{E}(t) | \psi \rangle = 1$  for these  $t$ ).

Note that  $[\mathbb{Q}(0); \mathbb{Q}(t)] = [\mathbb{Q}; \mathbb{Q} \cos t + \mathbb{P} \sin t] = i \sin t$ , in particular,  $[\mathbb{Q}(0); \mathbb{Q}(2=3)] = \frac{3}{2}i$ . Moreover, the pair of operators  $\frac{2}{3}\mathbb{Q}(0); \mathbb{Q}(2=3)$  is unitarily equivalent to the pair  $\mathbb{Q}(0); \mathbb{Q}(=2) = (\mathbb{Q}; \mathbb{P})$  (since every symplectic linear transformation of the phase plane corresponds to a unitary operator). It follows that the pair of operators  $\mathbb{E}(0); \mathbb{E}(2=3) = (\frac{2}{3}\mathbb{Q}(0)); (\mathbb{Q}(2=3))$  is unitarily equivalent to the pair  $\mathbb{E}(0); \mathbb{E}(=2) = (\mathbb{Q}); (\mathbb{P})$ .

Existence of a unit vector  $\psi$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}(0) \psi = \mathbb{E}(2=3) \psi$  implies existence of a unit vector  $\psi_1$  satisfying  $(\mathbb{Q}) \psi_1 = \psi_1 = (\mathbb{P}) \psi_1$ . In other words, we get a wavefunction  $\psi_1 \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  concentrated on the half line  $(0; 1)$ , whose Fourier transform is also concentrated on  $(0; 1)$ . However, this is forbidden by a well-known theorem of F. and M. Riesz [5, Part One, Chapter 1, x1].  $\square$

In spite of Lemma 2.2, the number 3 could belong to the spectrum of  $A$ . For example, consider the operator  $B = \mathbb{E}(0) + \mathbb{E}(=2) = 2 = (\mathbb{Q}) + (\mathbb{P}) = 2$ . The number 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $B$  (recall the proof of Lemma 2.2), but still belongs to the spectrum of  $B$ , since  $\langle \mathbb{B} | \psi_n \rangle \neq 0$  for coherent states  $\psi_n$  such that  $\langle \mathbb{Q} | \psi_n \rangle \neq 0$ ,  $\langle \mathbb{P} | \psi_n \rangle \neq 0$ .

In contrast, the spectrum of  $A$  is discrete, except for two accumulation points,  $-1$  and  $1$ . In order to prove this claim we prove that the operator  $A^2 - 1$  is compact, moreover, belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class,

$$\text{trace } (A^2 - 1)^2 < \infty :$$

We do it by calculating the Weyl transform of  $A^2$ .

In general, the Weyl transform (or Weyl symbol) of a bounded operator  $B : H \rightarrow H$  is defined as a Schwartz distribution  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that the equality

$$\langle f(q;p) | W(q;p) \rangle = \langle B | \psi \rangle$$

holds for all  $\psi$  of a dense set of state vectors. It is assumed that for each  $\psi$  of this set, the Wigner function  $W$  is a rapidly decreasing, infinitely differentiable function. It is well-known that  $f$  is uniquely determined by  $B$ .

However, we do not need Schwartz distributions; we restrict ourselves to bounded measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (and the corresponding operators



B). Accordingly, we do not need the differentiability of  $W$ . Also, we waive the rapid decrease of  $W$ , demanding only  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |W(q;p)|^2 dq dp < 1$ .

Clearly, the function  $(q;p) \mapsto f(aq + bp)$  is the Weyl transform of the operator  $f(aQ + bP)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and all bounded measurable functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

It is well-known (see [3], (3.14)) that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(q;p)|^2 dq dp = \text{trace}(B^* B);$$

thus, an operator belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class if and only if its Weyl transform belongs to  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

2.4 Lemma. The function  $(q;p) \mapsto (2\pi)^{-1} \text{Si}(2qp)$  is the Weyl transform of the operator  $(\text{sgn } Q) (\text{sgn } P)$ .

Here  $\text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\sin u}{u} du$ , and  $A^* B = (AB + BA)/2$ .

The (rigorous) proof grows from a non-rigorous argument shown below before the proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn } Q &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{-1}^1 e^{itQ} \frac{dt}{t} \quad (\text{and the same for } P); \\ e^{itQ} e^{isP} &= \cos \frac{st}{2} e^{itQ + isP}; \\ (\text{sgn } Q) (\text{sgn } P) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{ds dt}{s t} \cos \frac{st}{2} e^{i(tQ + sP)}; \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl transform of the operator  $e^{i(tQ + sP)}$  is the function  $(q;p) \mapsto e^{i(tq + sp)}$ . Thus, the Weyl transform of the operator  $(\text{sgn } Q) (\text{sgn } P)$  is the function

$$\begin{aligned} f(q;p) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{ds dt}{s t} \cos \frac{st}{2} e^{i(tq + sp)} = \\ &= \frac{4}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{ds dt}{s t} \cos \frac{st}{2} \sin tq \sin sp = \\ &= \frac{4}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s} \sin sp \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \cos \frac{s}{2} t \sin qt = \\ &= \frac{4}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s} \sin sp \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sin q + \frac{s}{2} t + \frac{1}{2} \sin q - \frac{s}{2} t \right] = \\ &= \frac{4}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s} \sin ps \left[ -\frac{1}{4} \text{sgn } q + \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \text{sgn } q - \frac{s}{2} \right] = \\ &= \frac{4}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s} \sin ps = \frac{2}{2} \text{Si}(2qp); \end{aligned}$$

In order to convert the calculation above into a proof we need the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{ds dt}{s t} \cos \frac{st}{2} \iint d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} e^{i(t\mathbf{q} + s\mathbf{p})} W(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} W(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) \iint \frac{ds dt}{s t} \cos \frac{st}{2} e^{i(t\mathbf{q} + s\mathbf{p})} : \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately, it does not follow from Fubini's theorem, since for large  $s, t$  the integrand decays too slowly. (Also small  $s, t$  make a trouble, but less serious.) A cutoff is used below.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. We have for all  $q$

$$\frac{i}{2} \lim_{\substack{1/n < j \leq n}} e^{itq} \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{2}{2} \lim_{1=n} \frac{\sin qt}{t} dt = \frac{2}{2} \text{Si}(qn) - \text{Si}(q=n) \neq \text{sgn } q$$

(as  $n \neq 1$ ), and these functions are bounded in  $q$ , uniformly in  $n$ . The same holds for  $P$ , and we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(j(\text{sgn } P)(\text{sgn } Q))j &= \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \lim_{m \neq 1} \lim_{n \neq 1} \iint \frac{ds}{s} e^{isP} \iint \frac{dt}{t} e^{itQ} : \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h(j(\text{sgn } P)(\text{sgn } Q))j &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{m \neq 1} \lim_{n \neq 1} \iint \frac{ds}{s} \lim_{\substack{1/n < j \leq n}} \frac{dt}{t} \cos \frac{st}{2} h(j e^{i(tQ + sP)})j = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{m \neq 1} \lim_{n \neq 1} \iint \frac{ds}{s} \lim_{\substack{1/n < j \leq n}} \frac{dt}{t} \cos \frac{st}{2} \iint d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} W(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) e^{i(t\mathbf{q} + s\mathbf{p})} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{m \neq 1} \lim_{n \neq 1} \iint d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} W(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) \lim_{\substack{1/n < j \leq n}} \frac{ds}{s} \lim_{\substack{1/n < j \leq n}} \frac{dt}{t} \cos \frac{st}{2} e^{itq} e^{isp} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2i)^2 \lim_{m \neq 1} \lim_{n \neq 1} \iint d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} W(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}) \lim_{1=n} \frac{ds}{s} \lim_{1=n} \frac{dt}{t} \cos \frac{st}{2} \sin qt \sin ps : \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{1=n} \frac{dt}{t} \cos \frac{st}{2} \sin qt &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Si} \left( qt + \frac{s}{2} n \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Si} \left( qt + \frac{s}{2} \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Si} \left( q - \frac{s}{2} n \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Si} \left( q - \frac{s}{2} \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq \\ &\quad \neq -\frac{1}{4} \text{sgn } q + \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \text{sgn } q - \frac{s}{2} \text{ as } n \neq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s, q$ , and these functions are bounded in  $s, q$ , uniformly in  $n$ . Taking into account that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |W(q; p)| \int_{1=m}^{2m} \frac{ds}{s} |\sin ps| < 1$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\operatorname{sgn} P)(s) (\operatorname{sgn} Q)(p) ds dp \\ &= \frac{4}{2m+1} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |W(q; p)| \int_{1=m}^{2m} \frac{ds}{s} \sin ps \left( -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn} q + \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn} q - \frac{s}{2} \right) : \end{aligned}$$

If  $2|p| > \frac{1}{m}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{1=m}^{2m} \frac{ds}{s} \sin ps \left( -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn} q + \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn} q - \frac{s}{2} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{sgn} q) \int_{1=m}^{2m \wedge \frac{1}{2|p|}} \frac{ds}{s} \sin ps = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{sgn} q) (\operatorname{sgn} p) \operatorname{Si}(p \wedge \frac{1}{2|p|}) - \operatorname{Si}(p \wedge m) : \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if  $2|p| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ , the integral vanishes. We see that

$$\int_{1=m}^{2m} \frac{ds}{s} \sin ps \left( -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn} q + \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sgn} q - \frac{s}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Si}(2qp) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $q, p$ , and these functions are bounded in  $q, p$ , uniformly in  $m$ . Taking into account that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |W(q; p)| < 1$  we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\operatorname{sgn} P)(s) (\operatorname{sgn} Q)(p) ds dp = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |W(q; p)| \operatorname{Si}(2qp) :$$

□

We return to the operators  $Q(t) = Q \cos t + P \sin t$  and recall that the pair of operators  $Q(s); \frac{1}{\sin(t-s)} Q(t)$  is unitarily equivalent to the pair  $(Q; P)$  whenever  $\sin(t-s) \neq 0$  (as was noted in the proof of Lemma 2.2 for a special case). Thus, Lemma 2.4 implies the following.

2.5 Corollary. The function

$$(q; p) \mapsto \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Si} \frac{2}{|\sin(t-s)|} (q \cos s + p \sin s) (q \cos t + p \sin t)$$

is the Weyl transform of the operator  $\operatorname{sgn} Q(s) \operatorname{sgn} Q(t)$ , whenever  $\sin(t-s) \neq 0$ .

2.6 Corollary. The function

$$f(q;p) = \frac{2}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \operatorname{Si} \frac{q + p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} + \frac{2}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \operatorname{Si} \frac{q - p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} + \\ + \frac{2}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \operatorname{Si} \frac{q + p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} \frac{q - p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2}$$

is the Weyl transform of the operator

$$\frac{1}{2} (A^2 - 3) = \operatorname{sgn} Q(0) - \operatorname{sgn} Q(2-3) + \\ + \operatorname{sgn} Q(0) - \operatorname{sgn} Q(4-3) + \operatorname{sgn} Q(2-3) - \operatorname{sgn} Q(4-3) :$$

2.7 Lemma.  $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(q;p) + 1^{-2} dq dp < 1 :$

Proof. Taking into account that  $f$  is invariant under the rotation by  $\pi/3$  and the reflection  $(q;p) \mapsto (-q;p)$  we may integrate only over the domain  $0 < q \leq p \leq 3$ . We divide the domain into a bounded domain (whose contribution is evidently finite) and two unbounded domains, one being  $p > 1, 0 < q < p^{1/3}$ , the other  $p > 3, p^{1/3} < q < p^{2/3}$ .

Using the inequality

$$\operatorname{Si}(x) \leq \frac{2}{x} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1$$

(it holds, since  $\int_x^1 \frac{\sin u}{u} du = \frac{\cos x}{x} - \int_x^1 \frac{\cos u}{u^2} du$ ), we get for  $0 < q < p^{1/3}$

$$|f(q;p) + 1| \leq \frac{2}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \operatorname{Si} \frac{q + p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} \frac{q - p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} + 1 + 1 \frac{2}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \operatorname{Si} \frac{q + p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} \frac{q - p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} + \\ + 1 \frac{2}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \operatorname{Si} \frac{q - p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} \frac{q + p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{2} \\ - \frac{4}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{q(p^{\frac{p-3}{3}} - q)} + \frac{4}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{q(p^{\frac{p-3}{3}} + q)} + \frac{4}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{(p^{\frac{p-3}{3}} - q)(p^{\frac{p-3}{3}} + q)} \\ - \frac{4}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{q p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}} + \frac{4}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{q p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}} + \frac{4}{p^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}}{p^{\frac{p-3}{3}}(2-3)} - \frac{4}{3q} \frac{\operatorname{const}}{p q};$$

thus

$$\int_0^1 \int_{p^{1/3}}^{p^{2/3}} dp dq f(q;p) + 1^{-2} \leq \operatorname{const} \int_0^1 \int_{p^{1/3}}^{p^{2/3}} dp dq \frac{1}{p^2 q^2} < 1 :$$

Using also the inequality

$$|\sin(x) - \sin(y)| \leq |x - y| \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

(it holds, since  $|\frac{\sin u}{u}| \leq 1$ ), we get for  $0 < q < p^{\frac{p-3}{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(q;p) + 1| &= \frac{2}{p-3} \sin \frac{4}{p-3} q \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}} - q}{2} - \frac{2}{p-3} \sin \frac{4}{p-3} q \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}} + q}{2} + \\ &+ 1 - \frac{2}{p-3} \sin \frac{4}{p-3} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}} - q}{2} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}} + q}{2} \\ &= \frac{2}{p-3} q^2 + \frac{4}{4} \frac{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}}}{(p^{\frac{p-3}{2}} - q)(p^{\frac{p-3}{2}} + q)} = \text{const} \cdot q^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} ; \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\int_1^{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} dp \int_0^{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} dq |f(q;p) + 1|^2 = \text{const} \int_1^{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} dp \int_0^{p^{\frac{p-3}{2}}} dq q^4 + \frac{1}{p^4} < 1 ;$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By (2.3), Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.7,

$$\text{trace}(A^2 - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |f(q;p) + 1|^2 dq dp < 1 ;$$

therefore every spectral point of  $A$  different from  $-1$  is an eigenvalue. By Lemma 2.2, the number 3 does not belong to the spectrum of  $A$ . It remains to use the remark after the theorem. □

### 3 Some generalizations

3.1 Lemma. Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $s < -1 < t < s + 1$ . Then

$$|\text{sgn } Q(0) + \text{sgn } Q(s) + \text{sgn } Q(t)| < 3 :$$

Proof. Similarly to Sect. 2 we introduce the operator

$$A_{s,t} = \text{sgn } Q(0) + \text{sgn } Q(s) + \text{sgn } Q(t) :$$

Similarly to Lemma 2.2, the number 3 is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Thus, it is sufficient to prove that  $\text{trace}(A^2 - 1)^2 < 1$ . Similarly to Corollary 2.6 we calculate the Weyl transform  $f_{s,t}$  of  $\frac{1}{2}(A_{s,t}^2 - 3)$ . It remains to prove that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_{s,t}(q;p) + 1|^2 dq dp < 1$ .

We observe that

$$s - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2} < t - \frac{1}{2} < s + \frac{1}{2} < \frac{3}{2} < t + \frac{1}{2} < 2 + s - \frac{1}{2};$$

choose  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_6$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 < s - \frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon_2 < \frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon_3 < t - \frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon_4 < s + \frac{1}{2} < \\ < \varepsilon_5 < \frac{3}{2} < \varepsilon_6 < t + \frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon_1 + 2 \end{aligned}$$

and divide the plane into six sectors by the six rays  $(q;p) = (r \cos \theta_k; r \sin \theta_k)$ ,  $r > 0, k = 1, \dots, 6$ . The three lines  $q = 0, q \cos s + p \sin s = 0, q \cos t + p \sin t = 0$  give us six more rays, and each of these rays is contained in one (and only one) of the six sectors. We estimate the integral over a neighborhood of the ray (within the sector) similarly to  $\int_0^R \int_{p-1/3}^p dq(\dots)$  in the proof of Lemma 2.7, and the integral over the rest of the sector similarly to  $\int_p^{p+1/3} \int_0^R dq(\dots)$  in the proof of Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

3.2 Theorem . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$k \operatorname{sgn} Q(0) + \operatorname{sgn} Q(s) + \operatorname{sgn} Q(t) k \leq 3$$

for all  $s, t$  satisfying  $s - \varepsilon \leq t \leq s + 3\varepsilon$ .

Proof. The estimates needed in the proof of Lemma 3.1 are uniform over all such pairs  $(s; t)$ . Thus,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}$$

$$f_{s,t}(q;p) + \frac{1}{q^2 + p^2} dq dp \neq 0 \text{ as } r \neq 1$$

uniformly in these  $s, t$ . Also,  $f_{s,t}(q;p)$  is continuous in  $s, t$  for any fixed  $q, p$ . Therefore  $f_{s,t}$  treated as an element of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  depends continuously on  $s, t$ . Taking into account the isometric correspondence between Hilbert-Schmidt operators and their Weyl symbols we see that the Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $A_{r;s}^2 - 1$  depends continuously on  $s, t$ . It follows that  $\|A_{s,t}^2 - 1\|$  is continuous in  $s, t$  (the usual operator norm is meant, not the Hilbert-Schmidt norm). By compactness, the norm reaches its maximum at some point  $(s_0; t_0)$  of the considered set of pairs  $(s; t)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\|A_{s_0, t_0}\| < 3$ . Therefore  $\|A_{s_0, t_0}^2 - 1\| < 8$ . We take  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(3 + \varepsilon)^2 - 1 < \|A_{s_0, t_0}^2 - 1\|$  and  $(3 - \varepsilon)^2 - 1 > 1$ . Then  $\|A_{s,t}^2 - 1\| < (3 + \varepsilon)^2 - 1$  implies  $\|A_{s,t}\| < 3 + \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Bateman, A. Erdelyi (1953), Higher transcendental functions, Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill.
- [2] I. Bengtsson, K. Życzkowski (2006), Geometry of quantum states, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [3] K. E. Cahill, R. J. Glauber (1969), Ordered expansions in boson amplitude operators, Phys. Rev. 177 :5, 1857{1881.
- [4] K. E. Cahill, R. J. Glauber (1969), Density operators and quasiprobability distributions, Phys. Rev. 177 :5, 1882{1902.
- [5] V. Haviv, B. Jorjke (1994), The uncertainty principle in harmonic analysis, Springer.

Boris Tsirelson  
School of Mathematics  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel Aviv 69978, Israel  
<mailto:tsirel@post.tau.ac.il>  
<http://www.tau.ac.il/~tsirel/>