arXiv:quant-ph/0611162v1 15 Nov 2006

N onexponential decoherence and m om entum subdi usion in a quantum Levy kicked rotator

Henning Schomerus¹ and Eric $Lutz^2$

¹Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YB, UK ²Department of Physics, University of Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany (D ated: February 14, 2021)

W e investigate decoherence in the quantum kicked rotator (modelling cold atoms in a pulsed optical eld) subjected to noise with power-law tail waiting-time distributions of variable exponent (Levy noise). We demonstrate the existence of a regime of nonexponential decoherence where the notion of a decoherence rate is ill-de ned. In this regime, dynamical localization is never fully destroyed, indicating that the dynamics of the quantum system never reaches the classical limit. We show that this leads to quantum subdi usion of the momentum, which should be observable in an experiment.

PACS num bers: 03.65.Yz, 05.40 Fb, 72.15 Rn

The transition between quantum and classical dynam ics is not yet fully understood and the boundary between the two rem ains fuzzy. Deep insight into the em ergence of classical properties from quantum mechanics is provided by the theory of decoherence [1, 2]. In this fram ework, the unavoidable coupling to an external environm ent induces a dynam ical suppression of interference e ects between states of a quantum system, and thus classical behavior. The disappearance of quantum interference can often be described by a decoherence factor of the form D (t) = $\exp[m(x)^2 t]$, where m is the mass of the particle, the coupling strength and x the spatial separation of the interfering states. The functional form of the decoherence factor, in particular its exponential time dependence, has been veri ed in many experiments [3, 4, 5, 6]. The boundary between the quantum and the classical world can accordingly be explored by properly tuning the value of the coherence time, $t_c = 1 = [m (x)^2]$. This has been performed in various ways, either by changing the separation x as in the QED cavity and ion trap experiments by the groups of Haroche [3] and W ineland [4], or by modifying the value of the coupling constant as done in the atom -optics experiments by the groups of Christensen [5] and Raizen [6]. In yet another approach, the quantum -classical border has been investigated by increasing the massm of the system as in the double-slit experiments by the group of Zeilinger [7].

C om m on to all these studies is the exponential functional dependence of the decoherence factor. O ur aim in this paper is to widen our understanding of the quantum – to-classical crossover by proposing an environm ental coupling scheme for the atom -optical experiments [5, 6] which allows the controlled tuning of the functional form of D (t) itself, in particular of its time dependence, and not just of its parameters. In this way, we are able to uncover some hidden aspects of decoherence, especially of its interplay with com plex quantum dynamics [8].

A paradigm of complex quantum dynamics are cold

atom s exposed to pulsed optical elds [9]. For chaotic conditions the classical motion in these systems exhibits momentum di usion which however is suppressed in the quantum regime because of dynam ical localization [10]. In the recent decoherence experiments [5, 6], the quantum -classical transition has been investigated by varying the amplitude of noisy pulses acting on the atom s [coupling strength in D (t)]. This then results in a non{vanishing quantum momentum di usion with a renorm alized di usion constant. By contrast, we here propose to modify the length of the time intervals between the noisy pulses [11]. Speci cally, we shall consider that the intervals are generated in a renew alprocess with a waiting-time distribution w () that asymptotically behaves as a power law , w () / 1 . Power-law tail waiting-time distributions of this kind { generally referred to as Levy statistics [12] { occur naturally in m any physical system s: Two prom inent examples being subrecoil laser cooling [13] and uorescent interm ittency of nanocrystal quantum dots [14] (in both these system s,

is close to one half). In the atom -optical setting, the statistics are under the controlof the experim entalist. By tuning the value of the exponent , an additional param – eternot present in form er decoherence studies, one is able to control the duration between successive random kicks given by the mean waiting time, $= \binom{1}{0} d w$ (). This o ers a unique opportunity to smoothly interpolate between a fully coupled situation with many kicks (large) to an alm ost isolated situation with very few kicks (sm all). Levy kicked system s have lately been investigated at the classical [15] as well as the quantum {mechanical level [16] and unusual properties such as nonexponential behavior and aging have been found.

Interestingly, for 1, - becomes mathematically in nite. We show that this divergence of the mean waiting time dramatically a ects the loss of phase-coherence of the atoms: the decoherence factor stops being exponential in time (instead it is given by a Mittag{Le er function) and the concept of a decoherence rate becomes ill-de ned. This results in quantum subdi usion of the momentum of the Levy kicked rotator, a unique signature which would be directly accessible in experiment.

M odel. The motion of an atom in a pulsed optical eld can be modelled as a kicked rotator, a connection that has been established extensively in both theoretical and experimental works [9, 17, 18]. The kicked rotator can be simply seen as a particle moving on a ring and periodically kicked in time. In conveniently scaled coordinates, the H am iltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{X^{t}}{K_{n} \cos (t + 0^{t}); \quad (1)$$

where the kicking potential depends on the 2 -periodic rotation angle . We assume that the particle is initially prepared in the zero-m om entum state $(0) = \dot{p} =$ 0i. The subsequent stroboscopic dynamics (t + 1) =F (K_t) (t) are generated by the F loquet operator

$$F(K_t) = \exp(i^2 p^2 = 2) \exp(i^2 K_t \cos^2)$$
: (2)

This operator describes a kick in which momentum changes by K $_{\rm t}\sin$, followed by a free rotation in which the rotation angle increases by p.

For constant (noiseless) kicks of strength K $_{\rm n}$ = K & 5, the classical dynamics is chaotic, and on average diffusive in momentum direction: varp(t) ' D $_{\rm cl}$ t, where D $_{\rm cl}$ ' K 2 =2. In the quantum regime, the kicked rotator exhibits dynamical localization [10], an interference phenomenon which manifests itself in an exponentially decaying envelope of the quasienergy eigenstates of F (k) in the momentum representation. As a result of dynam – ical localization, momentum di usion is suppressed and the variance

$$varp_0$$
 (t) ' D t [1 exp(t=t)] (with D ' D_{c1}) (3)

saturates after the quantum break time t ' D = 2 [18].

The dram atic di erence between classical and quantum momentum dynamics makes the kicked rotator ideally suited for the investigation of the crossover between both regimes. The crossover can be induced by subjecting the particle to additional random kicks { sim ulating in such a way the noisy coupling to an external environment [5, 6]. In a noisy kick, the kicking strength is slightly perturbed away from the mean value K , K_n = K + k_n , where the perturbations k_n are random num bers with average $\overline{k_n} = 0$ and variance $\overline{k_n^2} = .$ The parameter de nes the strength of the noise. The time intervals between subsequent kicks are generated by a renew alprocess with waiting distribution ! (). Unlike the fam iliar G aussian white noise, Levy noise with a power{ law waiting time distribution is a nonstationary process with an autocorrelation function that depends explicitly on the two time variables.

The e ect of noise on the quantum kicked rotator is best understood using the form alism developed by 0 tt, A ntonsen and Hanson [19]. In the absence of noise the quantum system is fully coherent: the quasienergy eigenstates of the F loquet operator F (K) are exponentially localized in momentum space, chaotic di usion is strongly suppressed and the momentum di usion constant asymptotically vanishes. The e ect of the external noise is to couple the quasienergy states and to induce transitions between them . As a result, dynam ical localization is extenuated and quantum di usion takes place. There is thus an intim ate connection between quantum momentum di usion and phase coherence in the kicked rotator.

A system atic, perturbative approach for calculating the quantum di usion constant for weak stationary noise with arbitrary correlations has been put forward by Cohen [20]. In this case, the survival probability of the quasienergy eigenstates is found to decay exponentially over time, D (t) = exp(t=t_). In the limit of weak noise, K $^{4}=\sim^{4}$, the coherence time is given by t_{c} ' $2\sim^{2}=$.

The resulting momentum spreading is accordingly [20]

varp
$$\frac{D}{1+t_c=t}t + \frac{D t}{(1+t=t_c)^2} [1 \exp(t=t t=t_c)]$$
:
(4)

Hence, for stationary noise when quantum coherence is lost exponentially, the momentum di uses asymptotically with a renormalized di usion constant D = (1 + t_c =t). Equation (4) has been successfully applied to quantify the decoherence process in the experiment [6].

Results. In the following, we extend the calculation of the quantum di usion constant beyond the perturbative regime: for the present case of uncorrelated perturbations _n, a random {phase approximation allows to study decoherence induced by strong nonstationary noise (details of the derivation will be presented at the end of this paper). In a general renew alprocess, the number of noisy events N (t^0 ; t^0) within an interval [t^0 ; t^0] (technically known as the inverse time of the process) is a statistical quantity. W ithin the random phase approximation, the survival probability in a quasienergy eigenstate over this time interval is simply given by the decoherence factor

$$D(t^{0};t^{0}) = exp[N(t^{0};t^{0})=t_{c}];$$
(5)

where t_c is the coherence time of the corresponding stationary noise process with the same noise intensity. The variance of the momentum can then be obtained from the following expression (see details below):

$$varp(t) = varp_{0}(t) D(t; 0) + \frac{1}{2}N(t; 0)$$

$$\stackrel{Z_{t}}{=} + ds varp_{0}(t s) Q_{D}(t; s)$$

$$\stackrel{Z_{t}^{0}}{=} ds varp_{0}(s) Q_{s}D(s; 0)$$

$$\stackrel{Z_{t}^{0}}{=} \frac{Z_{s}^{0}}{ds^{0}} ds^{0} varp_{0}(s^{0} s^{0}) Q_{s}^{0} Q_{s}^{0}D(s^{0}; s^{0}): (6)$$

Here $varp_0$ (t) is the variance of them om entum in absence of the noise. The second term on the right-hand side becomes in portant for large noise strength.

Stationary noise can be regarded as a special case of a renewal process with w () = 1; . In the latter situation, the number of noisy events is simply N ($t^0; t^0$) = t^0 and the decoherence function decays exponentially, D ($t^0; t^0$) = exp ($t^0; t^0; t^0$). Equation (6) then provides a generalization of the perturbative result (4) which accounts exactly for all correlations of the noiseless dynam ics. Expression (4) is recovered when the noiseless dynam ics is approximated by the variance (3).

We now evaluate the decoherence factor (5) for a renew alprocess with a general waiting time distribution. The Laplace transform of D (t; 0) with respect to t reads

$$D(u;0) = \frac{1}{u(1 + f(u) = t_c)};$$
(7)

where $f(u) = w(u) = (1 \quad w(u))$ is the Laplace transform of the sprinkling distribution $f(t) = (\theta_t N (t; t^0))$ [f(t) is simply the probability that there is a noise event at time t]. For two time arguments, we have (see also Ref. [16] for sim ilar results),

$$D (t^{0};t^{0}) = D (t^{0};0) + t^{1}_{c} ds f (s)D (t^{0} s;0): (8)$$

Two cases have to be distinguished: For Levy noise with an exponent > 1, the sprinkling distribution approaches a constant for long times, f (t) $'^{-1}$, and the decoherence function D ($t^0; t^0$) ' exp[$f^0 = (\tau_c)$] has still an asymptotic exponential form . We thus have the in portant result that the elective coherence time $\neg t_c$ is directly proportional to the mean waiting time of the noisy kicks and therefore increases with decreasing exponent . In particular, the e ective coherence time becomes in nitely large when drops below unity, indicating that the classical limit cannot be attained in nite times. Clearly, the functional form of the decoherence factor has to change as well. In order to determ ine D (t^0 ; $t^{(0)}$) for Levy noise with < 1, we use the mathematical equivalence of Eq. (5) to the moment-generating function M () = $\exp(N)$ of the inverse time N, evaluated at = $1=t_2$ [21]. We then nd

D (t;0) E
$$(1 +)\overline{N(t;0)} = t_c$$
; (9)

where E (z) = $\int_{n=0}^{P} 2^{n} = (n + 1)$ is the M ittag-Le er function [22]. A symptotically, N (t;0) t sin ()=(c). The initial decay of the decoherence function is therefore a stretched exponential, D (t;0) ' expft =[() ct_c]g, while for large times it crosses over to the power law D (t;0) ' (ct_c=)t . The functional dependence of the decoherence factor can thus be changed in a controlled m anner by tuning the value of the exponent . The consequences of such a modi cation can be directly detected



FIG.1: (color online). T in e dependence of the momentum spreading varp(t) for kicked rotators which are subjected to Levy noise generated by a Yule-Sim on distribution. The results of numerical simulations (solid curves) are compared to the theoretical predictions from Eq. (6) (dashed curves). In the upper panel, the exponent varies while the noise strength = 1=300 is xed. The bottom panel shows results for xed = 0.5 and varying noise strength .

in the momentum di usion of the kicked rotator: As approaches unity from above, the decoherence time increases, and the asymptotic quantum di usion coe cient $D = (1 + -t_c = t)$ vanishes at = 1. For 1 it follows from Eq. (6) that the momentum spreads subdi usively,

$$\operatorname{varp}(t) \, \prime \, \frac{\operatorname{varp}_{0}(1)}{t_{c}} \frac{\overline{N}(t;0)}{N} \, \prime \, \frac{D}{t_{c}} \frac{t}{c} \frac{\sin}{c} t : \quad (10)$$

The full time dependence of the momentum spreading in kicked rotators with speci c im plem entations of Levy noise is shown in Fig. 1. The regular kicking strength is set to K = 7:5, while $\sim = 2$ 577=13872. The random numbers kn are taken from a uniform box distribution over an interval (W;W), so that $= W^2=3$. The waiting-time distribution is of the Yule-Sim on form () (+1)=(++1), with asymptotic ! () = (+ 1) = + 1 and a mean waiting behavior ! () time = = = (1) [23]. In the gure, the solid lines are com puted by extensive num erical simulations of the dynam ics of kicked rotators over 10⁵ tim e steps, averaged over 100-1000 realizations of the noise. The dashed lines are evaluated from Eq. (6), where $varp_0$ (t) is approxim ated by Eq. (3) and D = $\sim^2 t$ = 45:28 is obtained by a single-parameter t to the results of the num erical simulations without noise. The decoherence function

(5) for the Yule-Sim on distribution is determ ined setting $t_c = 2^{-2} = .W$ e nd good agreem ent between theory and num erics, both as a function of the exponent as well as a function of the noise strength . In particular, the num erics con m the subdi usive long-time dynamics (10) for 1, as well as the di usive behavior for > 1.

D etails of the calculation. The derivation of our main result (6) along with Eq. (5) is based on the observation that the phase of the transition am plitudes hrf (K₁) jsi $F_{r,s}$ (K₁) between quasienergy eigenstates jri and jsi depends strongly on the random detuning $k_1 = K_1$ K of the kick. In the presence of noise we set

$$F_{r;s}(K_1) = r;s \exp[i!_r \quad 1=(2t)]$$
 (11)

while in the absence of noise $F_{r;s}$ (K) = $_{r;s} \exp(i!_r)$, where $!_r$ is the quasienergy of the state.

The transition amplitudes $F_{r;s}$ enter the variance of the momentum varp (t) = $P_{t^0;t^{00}=0}^{t-1} C(t^0;t^{00})$ when the force-force correlation $C(t^0;t^{00}) = hK_{t^0}K_{t^0}$ sin t^0 sin t^0 i is expanded in the basis of quasienergy eigenstates [20],

$$C (t^{0}; t^{0}) = \begin{cases} X \\ fr_{n}; s_{n} g \\ t^{0}_{Y} 1 \\ K t^{0}K t^{0}F_{r_{l+1}; r_{1}} (K_{1})F_{s_{m+1}; s_{m}} (K_{m}) : (12) \end{cases}$$

In the sum (12), only those term s with satisfy either (a) r and s s, or (b) r s, survive the average in rn the random -phase approximation (11). The terms (b), how ever, have a zero contribution since they are multiplied by hrjsin jri = 0, a property which follows from the symmetry (;p) ! (; p) of the kicked rotator. Each of the terms (a) further carries a weight factor $\exp[N(t;t^{(0)})=t_c]$, which has to be averaged using Eq. (11). The averaging procedure over the renew alprocess then leads to the decoherence factor D (t^0 ; t^{0}) de ned in Eq. (5). M oreover, for $t^0 = t^{00}$ the noise also gives rise to a contribution to the typical force acting on the particle of the form $K_{\pm 0}^2 h \sin^2 i = K^2 = 2 + (=2) f(t^0)$. Collecting all term s, this yields

$$C (t^{0}; t^{0}) = C_{0} (t^{0}; t^{0}) D (t^{0}; t^{0}) + \frac{1}{2} f (t^{0})_{t^{0}; t^{0}}; \quad (13)$$

where C_0 (t^0 ; $t^{(0)}$) is the force-force correlation function in the absence of noise. Equation (6) then follows by converting sum s into integrals and integrating by parts.

Conclusions. Nonexponential relaxation is familiar from the physics of com plex system s { such as disordered crystals and glassy m aterials { and occurs whenever there is no clear separation of time scales between system and environm ent [24]. At the quantum level, the absence of a scale separation m anifests itself in a nonexponential loss of phase coherence. We have show n that such a behavior can be induced in atom -optical system s by properly engineering the environm ent. By applying Levy noise w ith a controllable exponent , the environm ent time scale { the m ean waiting time — between noisy events { is nite for > 1 and can be m ade divergent by choosing < 1. For the quantum Levy kicked rotator, the power{law decay of the decoherence factor and the nonexistence of a nite decoherence rate reveals itself in quantum subdi usion of the m om entum, a distinct signature that can easily be observed experimentally using cold atoms in pulsed optical eds. The high degree of control and tunability of atom -optical system smake the Levy kicked rotator an ideal tool for the study of the crossover from fast to slow decoherence. C om plex system s with algebraic relaxation are known to never attain equilibrium; the possibility of having noisy quantum system s that never become classical appears as a fascinating prospect.

This work was supported by the European Commission, Marie Curie Excellence Grant MEXT-CT-2005-023778 (Nanoelectrophotonics) and the Emmy Noether Program of the DFG under contract LU1382/1-1.

- [1] W H.Zurek, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 715 (2003).
- [2] D. Giulini et al, Decoherence and the Appearence of a Classical W orld in Quantum Theory, (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [3] M.Brune et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4887 (1996).
- [4] C J. M yatt et al, N ature (London) 403, 269 (2000).
- [5] H.Ammann et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4111 (1998).
- [6] B.G.K lappauf et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1203 (1998).
- [7] L.Hackerm uller et al., Nature 427, 711 (2004).
- [8] F.M. Cucchietti, H.M. Pastawski, and R.A. Jalabert, Phys. Rev. B 70, 035311 (2004), and references therein.
- [9] F L.M oore et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4598 (1995).
- [10] S.Fishm an, D R.G rem pel, and R E.Prange, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 509 (1982).
- [11] For the e ects of stationary timing noise see: W H.Oskay, D.Steck, and M.Raizen, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 16, 409 (2003); H.Lignier et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 234101 (2005).
- [12] M F. Shlesinger, G M. Zaslavsky, and J. K lafter, Nature 363, 31 (1993).
- [13] B.Saubam ea, M.Leduc, and C.Cohen-Tannoudji, Phys. Rev.Lett. 83, 3796 (1999).
- [14] X.Brokm ann et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.90, 120601 (2003).
- [15] E.Barkai and Y.-C.Cheng, J.Chem. Phys. 118, 6167 (2003).
- [16] J. Schrie et al, Europhys. Lett. 69, 156 (2005); Phys. Rev. B 72, 035328 (2005).
- [17] B.V. Chirikov, Phys. Rep. 52, 263 (1978).
- [18] F.M. Izrailev, Phys. Rep. 196, 299 (1990).
- [19] E. Ott, T M. Antonsen, and JD. Hanson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2187 (1984).
- [20] D.Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1945 (1991); Phys. Rev. A 44, 2292 (1991).
- [21] A. Piryatinska, A.I. Saichev, and W.A. Woyczynski, Physica A 349, 375 (2005).
- [22] A. Erdelyi, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. 3 (M cG raw Hill, New York, 1955).
- [23] H.A. Sim on, Biom etrika 42, 425 (1955).
- [24] R. Richert and A. Blum en, Disorder E ects on Relaxational Processes, (Springer, Berlin, 1994).