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#### Abstract

We report the rst experim ental dem onstration of an all-optical one-way im plem entation of Deutsch's quantum algorithm on a four-qubit cluster state. A ll the possible con gurations of a balanced or constant function acting on a tw $o$-qubit register are realized within the $m$ easurem entbased $m$ odel for quantum com putation. The experim ental results are in excellent agreem ent $w$ ith the theoreticalm odel, therefore dem onstrating the successful perform ance of the algorithm .


PACS num bers: $03.67 .-a, 02.50 \mathrm{Le}, 03.67 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 42.50 \mathrm{D}$ v

The steadily increasing interest in topics of quantum inform ation processing $(Q \mathbb{P})$ and quantum com putation has stim ulated considerable e orts in the realization of quantum hardw are based on various kinds of experim entalsettings. These e orts have resulted in the realization of prom ising one and two-qubit logical gates [1], even though the netw orking of these basic building blocks is still far from being practical. Nevertheless, investigations in this direction, both at the experim ental and theoretical level are vital for the advancem ent of $Q \mathbb{P}$. T he ultim ate aim is the realization of $m$ ulti-qubit quantum algorithm Sw th the ability to outperform their classical analogues [1, 2]. In this context, the im plem entation of few -qubit quantum algorithm s represents a step forw ard tow ard the construction of working processors based on quantum technology [3, 4].

Very recently, a radical change of perspective in the design of quantum computational protocols has been proposed and form alized in the so-called \oneway" m odel [5]. Here, com putation is not perform ed by inducing a sequence of logical gates involving the elem ents of a quantum register, as in the standard quantum circuit m odel [1]. In the one-w ay case, a multipartite quantum correlated state, the cluster state, is used as a resource for running a \program " represented by a sequence of particular singlequbit $m$ easurem ents, perform ed in order to sim ulate a given com putationaltask [5]. This new paradigm for quantum computation, which lim its the am ount of control one needs over a register to the ability of perform ing single-qubit $m$ easurem ents, has raised an enorm ous interest in the physical com $m$ unity. It has triggered investigations directed tow ard a better understanding and sim pli cation of the m odel [6] and also its practical applications [17, 8]. The e orts produced so far have culm inated in the experim ental dem onstration of the basic features of the one-w ay m odel, the realization of a two-qubit quantum search algorithm [7] and the theoretical proposal for a m easurem ent-based realization of a quantum gam e [9]. The relevance of the one-way approach to $Q \mathbb{P}$ is not $m$ erely practical, it is also helping
us to understand the param ount role ofm easurem ents in the quantum dynam ics of a system.

In this paper, we report the rst experim ental dem onstration of a onew ay based im plem entation ofD eutsch's algorithm [3]. It represents a sim ple but yet interesting instance of the role that the inherent parallelism ofquantum computation plays in the speed-up characterizing quantum versions of classical problem s. W e have used an all-optical setup, where the construction of cluster states has been successfully dem onstrated [1, 8]. N egligible decoherence rates a ecting qubits em bodied by photonic degrees of freedom ensure the perform ance of the protocol in a virtually noise-free setting. A though D eutsch's algorithm has been im plem ented in a linear optical setup before [10], our protocol represents its rst realization in the context ofonew ay quantum com putation. It is based on the use of an entangled resource locally equivalent to the cluster state used previously for perform ing a twoqubit search algorithm [7], thereby reinforcing the idea of the high exibility of cluster resouroes. W e show that four qubits in a linear cluster con guration are su cient to realize all the possible con gurations of a function acting on a logical tw o-qubit register. T wo of the possible con gurations are the result of an application of an entangling gate to the elem ents of the register. In principle, this gate can be realized by inducing an interaction betw een the photonic qubits. In our cluster state-based approach, the required entangling operations are realized by using the entanglem ent present in the cluster resource and the nonlinearity induced by the detection. T here is no necessity for engineering it in a case by case basis [10], which is a very im portant advantage. The reconstruction of the density $m$ atrix of the logicaloutput qubits is in excllent agreem ent w ith the theoretical predictions.

M odel- The generalized version of Deutsch's algorithm, also known as the Deutsch-Josza algorithm [11], takes an $N$-bit binary input $x$ and allow s one to distinguish two di erent types of function $f(x)$ im plem ented by an oracle. A function is constant if it retums the same value (either 0 or 1) for all possible inputs of $x$


FIG. 1: N etwork diagram $s$ for each black box operation in D eutsch's algorithm . W e have BB (i)= $\mathbb{1} \quad \mathbb{1}, \mathrm{BB}$ (ii) $=\mathbb{1}$
$x, B B$ (iii) $=$ CNOT and BB (iv) $=(\mathbb{I} \quad x)$ CNOT, where CNOT denotes a C ontrolled-N O T gate.
and balanced if it retums 0 for half of the inputs and 1 for the other half. C lassically one would need to query such an oracle asm any as $2^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}+1$ tim es in som e cases. H ow ever the quantum version of this algorithm requires only one query in all cases [11]. In the two qubit version [3], the algorithm im plem ents the oracle as a function $f$ on a single query bit $x$ using an input ancilla bit $y$. The applied unitary operation is given by $\dot{x i y} \bar{y} i!~ \dot{x i y} \quad f(x) i$. Preparing the input state as $j+i j$ i, where $j i=(j 0 i \quad j i j)=\overline{2}$ and $f j i ; j l i g$ is the single qubbit com putationalbasis, the oraclem aps the state to $(1=\overline{2})\left[(1)^{f(0)} j 0 i+(1)^{\ddagger(1)} j 1\right] j$ i. By measuring the query qubit in the $f j$ ig basis, one can determ ine which type of function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ corresponds to. For a balanced (constant) function, $j i(j+i)$ is always obtained for the query qubit. Therefore only one query of the oracle is necessary, com pared to two in the classical version.

The action of the oracle in D eutsch's algorithm is either preset or dictated by the outcom e of another algorithm. In order to im plem ent all possible con gurations that the oracle $m$ ight take in the tw o qubit version, we must be able to construct them using a combination of quantum gates. In F ig. 1 we show all possible oracles in term $s$ of their quantum network. By describing the oracle sim ply as a \black box", it is easy to see that all four black boxes given in Fig. [1 by BB (i)-(iv) im plem ent their respective oracle operation. In order to carry out D eutsch's algorithm using these quantum gates, wem ake use of an entangled qubit cluster state resource and carry out onew ay quantum com putation on it. This allow s the im plem entation of the algorithm by perform ing a correct program ofm easurem ents. $\mathrm{N} \circ$ adjustm ent to the experim ental set-up is necessary.

G iven a cluster state, there are two types of single qubit $m$ easurem ents that allow a onew ay quantum computer to operate. First, by measuring a qubit $j$ in the com putational basis $f j 0 i_{j} ; \mathcal{j} i_{j} g$ it can be disentangled and rem oved from the cluster, leaving a sm aller cluster state of the rem aining qubits. Second, in or-
der to perform actual $Q \mathbb{P}$, qubits $m$ ust be $m$ easured in the basis $B_{i p}()=f j+i_{j} i j \quad i_{j} g$, where $j \quad i_{j}=$ ( $\left.j 0 i \quad e^{i} \quad j i\right)_{j}={ }^{P} \overline{2}(2 R)$. Choosing the $m$ easure$m$ ent basis determ ines the single-qubit rotation $R_{z}()=$ $\exp (i \quad j=2$ ), followed by a H adam ard operation $\mathrm{H}=$ $(x+z)=\overline{2}$ being sim ulated on an encoded logicalqubit in the cluster residing on qubit $j(x ; y ; z$ are the $P$ auli $m$ atrices). W th a su ciently large cluster state, any quantum logic operation can be carried out with an appropriate choice for the $B_{j}()$. We de ne the value $s_{j}$ to be 0 (1) if the $m$ easurem ent outcome is $j+i_{j}\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & \left.i_{j}\right)\end{array}\right.$ on qubit $j$. $W$ henever $s_{j}=0$ the com putation proceeds as intended, how ever when $s_{j}=1$ a Pauli error of $x$ is applied in addition to the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ( ) rotation. This error can easily be rem oved by a feed-forw ard technique, where the value of $s_{j}$ determ ines the $m$ easurem ent settings for future $m$ easurem ents on the cluster.

E xperim ental im plem entation.- For the entangled resource, in an ideal case, the follow ing four-photon state is produced by $m$ eans of the experim ental set-up show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2 (a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
j c^{i}=\frac{1}{2}(j 0000 i+j 0011 i+j 1100 i \quad j 1111 i)_{1234} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $j 0 i_{j}\left(\mathcal{j} i_{j}\right)$ em bodied by the horizontal (vertical) polarization state of one photon populating a spatialm ode $j=1 ;:: ; 4$. The preparation of the resource relies on postselection: a four-photon coincidence event at the detectors facing each spatialm ode $w$ innesses the preparation of the state. $T$ his state is locally equivalent to a four-qubit linear chuster state (the required local operation being $\left.\mathrm{H}_{1} \quad \mathbb{1}_{2} \quad \mathbb{1}_{3} \quad \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. The experim entally produced state \% is veri ed by $m$ eans of a maxim um likelihood technique for tom ographic reconstruction [12] perform ed over a set of 1296 localm easurem ents, each acquired w ithin a tim e-w indow of 500 ns . W e have used all the possible com binations of the elem ents of the m utually
 $w$ th $j i_{j}$ embodied by the polarization state at 45 and $J=R i_{j}=(j 0 i \quad i j i)_{j}={ }^{p} \overline{2}$ corresponding to left and right-circularly polarized photons. The dim ension of our $m$ easurem ent set is due to the requirem ents of the algorithm being perform ed, as explained later. T he reconstructed density $m$ atrix of the experim entalstate \% has a delity $w$ th the idealstate in Eq. (1) ofF $=h \mathrm{c} \mathrm{Joj}_{\mathrm{oj}} \mathrm{c}=$ $0: 620: 01$, which is well-above the $\lim$ it $F=0: 5$ for any biseparable four-qubit state. This dem onstrates the presence of four particle entanglem ent in the produced state.

In order to perform D eutsch's algorithm on the entangled cluster resource given in Eq. (1), we have used a set $m$ easurem ent pattem for each black box case. $F$ ig. 2 (b) show s the input and output logical states of the algorithm corresponding to the physical cluster qubits. In all cases, the state of qubit 1 is taken to be the input and output logical qubit state corresponding to $\dot{x i}=j+i$.

A fter qubits 2 and 4 have been $m$ easured, qubit 1 is then $m$ easured in an appropriate basis to provide the necessary inform ation about the black box's function $f(x)$. Qubit 4 represents a logical qubit state jti, which is rotated to the state $j$ i by measuring qubit 4 in the $B_{4}()$ basis, to become the input qubit ji of the algorithm. Qubit 3 is then taken to be the output qubit jy $f(x) i$. For all black boxes, qubit 2 plays the pivotal role in a two-qubit quantum gate applied betw een the logical input qubits jxi and jiyi residing on cluster qubits 1 and 3. By measuring it in the com putational


FIG.2: (a): Setup for the experim ental im plem entation of $D$ eutsch's algorithm . An ultraviolet pum p-laser perform s two passages through a nonlinear Beta-Barium Borate crystal ( BBO ) aligned to produce entangled photon pairs of the form ( $\mathrm{j} 00 \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{jlii})_{\mathrm{ab}}=\overline{2}$ and $(j 00 i+j 11)_{\mathrm{cd}}=\overline{2}$. Com pensators ( Comp) are half-w ave plates (HWP) and BBO crystals used in order to counteract $w a \mathbb{k}-0$ e ects at the BBO. By considering the possibility of obtaining a double-pair em ission into the sam e pair ofm odes and the action of the polarizing-beam splitters (PBS's), the four term sentering Eq. (1) are obtained and their am plitudes and respective signs adjusted [7] w ith an additional HW P in mode a. The algorithm is executed by using quarter-w ave plates (QW Ps), HW P's, PBS's and photocounter pairs $\mathrm{fD}_{j} ; \mathrm{D}_{j} \circ \mathrm{~g}$ for the perform ance of polarization $m$ easurem ents in arbitrary bases of the photons in $m$ ode $j$. (b) : Sketch of the cluster-state con guration used for the algorithm. Qubit 1 em bodies the logical input and output for jxi, with qubit 4 as the logical input for $\dot{y} i . Q$ ubit 3 em bodies the output iy $f(x) i$ and is alw ays found to be $j i_{3}$.

|  | M easurem ent basis |
| :---: | :---: |
| B B (i) |  |
| $\mathrm{BB}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (i) |  |
| B B (iii) | $\mathrm{fB}_{1}(=2) ; \mathrm{B}_{2}(=2) ; \mathrm{fj}^{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}_{3} ; \mathrm{jli}_{3} \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{B}_{4}(\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{BB}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (iii) |  |

TABLE I: M easurem ent basis for implem enting the black boxes in the experim ent. The feed-forw ard operations are $\binom{s_{2}}{x}_{1}\binom{s_{4}}{x}_{3}$ for $B_{C}$ (i) and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}s_{2} & s_{4}\end{array}\right)_{1}\binom{s_{4}}{x}_{3}$ for $B_{C}$ (iii).
basis, we disentangle it from the cluster, thus breaking any entanglem ent betw een $\dot{x i}$ and jyi. In this case, the two-qubit quantum gate applied is sim ply the identity ( $\mathbb{1} \mathbb{1}$ ). This operation is necessary for $B B$ (i) and $B B$ (ii). H ow ever, by $m$ easuring qubit 2 in the $B_{2}(=2)$ basis, we e ectively apply the two-qubit quantum gate ( $R_{z}(=2) \quad R_{z}(=2)$ )CPHASE on logical input qubits $\dot{x} i$ and $\dot{y}^{i}$ (see Tameetal in [6]), where CPHASE shiffs the relative phase of the state jlijliby . This operation is necessary for BB (iii) and BB (iv) and together with H applied to the input state $\dot{y} i$ from the $m$ easurem ent of qubit 4, it is equivalent to a CNOT gate by subsequently applying the operation $R_{z}(\quad=2) \quad H R_{z}(=2)$. This is achieved by m odifying the $m$ easurem ent basis of qubits 1 and 3 when the feed-forw ard is carried out. In Table we provide the $m$ easurem ent basis set and feed-forw ard operations used to carry out the black boxes on $j c^{i}$ and the locally equivalent cluster state. A sBB (ii) and BB (iv) are obtained from BB (i) and BB (iii) by using altemative feed-forw ard operations, in what follow s we concentrate on BB (i) and BB (iii).
$T$ he results ofour experim entalinvestigation are show $n$ in $F$ igs. 3 and 4, where the density $m$ atrix describing the state of the output qubits 1 and 3 has been reconstructed through a m axim um likelihood technique [12]. Fig. 3 (Fig. (4) show s the case of BB (i) (BB (iii)) being realized. B oth the no-feed-forw ard (no-FF) and feed-forw ard (FF) situations are show $n$. In the latter case, the state of the output qubits is corrected from the random ness of the $m$ easurem ents perform ed on the physical qubits 2 and 4. From the analysis perform ed in the previous Section, we know that the expected outcome when a constant (balanced) function is applied is $j+; i_{13}\left(j ; i_{13}\right)$. Evidently, the reconstructed density $m$ atrioes, both in the $F F$ and nofF case, are in very good agreem ent with the theoretical expectation. T he real part is dom inated by the correct $m$ atrix elem ent, while neither signi cant im aginary parts nor quantum correlations betw een the di erent states are found. Q uantitatively, the delity $w$ ith the desired state in the case ofa constant (balanced) function is found to be as large as $0: 90 \quad 0: 01 \quad(0: 78 \quad 0: 01)$ for the FF case and 0:82 0:01 (0:63 0:01) for the no $\mathrm{F} F$ one. M oreover, no entanglem ent is found in any of the output states, as witnessed by the negativity of partial


F IG . 3: T he output density m atrioes for chuster qubits 1 and 3 when BB (i) is implem ented. Panels (a) and (b) ( (c) and (d)) show the real (im aginary) parts of the two-qubit density $m$ atrix elem ents as obtained from a m axim um likelinood reconstruction. P anels (a) and (c) refer to the no $F F$ case while panels (b) and (d) show the FF case due to the random ness ofm easurem ent outcom es for qubits 2 and 4.
transposition criterion, which is necessary and su cient for any tw o-qubit state [13]. The sm all adm ixture of the undesired j+ ; $i_{13}$ to the expected j; $i_{13}$ state when a balanced function is applied ( $F$ ig. 4, panel (a)) is due to the relatively low delity of the experim ental chuster state $w$ ith Eq. (1). This e ect is $m$ ore pronounced for $B B$ (iii) than for $B B$ (i), where the $m$ easurem ent of qubit 2 is designed in such a way so as to break the channelbetw een qubits 1 and 3, and results in a protocol-dependent noise-inheritance e ect for im perfect cluster states (see Tame et al in [6]).

Rem arks.- W e have designed and dem onstrated the rst experim ental realization of $D$ eutsch's algorithm in a cluster-state setup using only four qubits. O ur experi$m$ ent is one of the few quantum algorithm sentirely im plem ented w thin the fram ew ork of the onew ay com putationalm odel [7, 9]. The agreem ent betw een the experim ental data and the theoretical predictions is excellent and only lim ited by the overall quality of the entangled resource used in the experim ent.
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FIG.4: The output density $m$ atrices for chuster qubits 1 and 3 when BB (iii) is im plem ented. Panels (a) and (b) ( (c) and (d)) show the real (im aginary) parts of the two-qubit density $m$ atrix elem ents as obtained from a maxim um likelinood reconstruction. Panels (a) and (c) refer to the noFF case while panels (b) and (d) show the FF case due to the random ness ofm easurm ent outcom es for qubits 2 and 4.
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