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A bstract. As already known for nonrelativistic spinless particles, B opp operators give an elegant and simple way to compute the dynam ics of quasiprobability distributions in the phase space form ulation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics. In this work, we present a generalization of B opp operators for spins and apply our results to the case of open spin system $s$. $T$ his approach allow s to take the classical lim it in a transparent w ay, recovering the corresponding Fokker-P lanck equation.
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## 1. Introduction

The seed of the phase-space form ulation of Q uantum M echanics dates badk to 1932, when $W$ igner introduced his fam ous quasi-probability function in phase space [1]:

$$
W \quad(q ; p)=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} d u \quad\left(q \quad \frac{1}{2} u\right) \quad\left(q+\frac{1}{2} u\right) e^{\dot{u} p=\sim}
$$

The relation between W igner's fiunction and W eyl's correspondence was understood by G roenew old [2] and fiully developed by M oyal [3], who established an independent form ulation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics in phase space for the canonical Poisson bracket $\mathrm{fq} ; \mathrm{pg}=1$. In this equivalent, statistical' or hydrodynam ical form ulation of Q uantum M echanics, the expectation value of an operator is com puted as the average of the corresponding function on the phase space $w$ th the probability' density given by the W igner function.

In light of these results, it was suggestive to look for an autonom ous form ulation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics in phase space involving only classical functions and valid for
any Poisson bracket. T his is the so-called deform ation quantization program proposed by M.F lato and collaborators in the 1970s [4]. The problem is stated as follow s: given a $s m$ ooth $m$ anifold $M$ with Poisson bracket $f$; $\left.g^{1}: ~ Q M\right) \quad C^{1}(M)!C^{1}(M)$, nd an $R[[\sim]]$-bilinear associative deform ation of the point-w ise product on $C^{1}(M)$, ?, such that

$$
\mathrm{f} ? \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{~g} ? \mathrm{f}=\sim \mathrm{ff} ; \mathrm{gg}+\mathrm{O}\left(\sim^{2}\right) ; 8 \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g} 2 \mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{M}):
$$

The existence of ?-products for any Poisson $m$ anifold was a longstanding problem in M athem atics solved in 1997 by M. K ontsevich [5]. A s a consequence of his $m$ ore generalForm ality $T$ heorem, he showed that any Poisson $m$ anifold can be quantized by deform ation and classi ed the ?-products (see globalization aspects in [6]).

O ne of the main advantages of the deform ation quantization prescription (or equivalently, the phase-space formulation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics) resides in the quantum -classical transition problem. The classical lim it is obtained in a clear and $m$ athem atically rigorous way by taking ~! 0 .

The phase-space form alism has been applied successfully to the description of a spinless particle ( $[7,8]$ ), whose phase space is $R^{2}$ w th the canonical Poisson bracket. $M$ ore complicated is the phase-space description of a spin, the phase space being the sphere $S^{2}$. The phase space of a spin was given in term softhe atom ic (or spin) coherent states, [9, [10, [11, [12], which in a sense generalizes the C ahill-G lauber construction for the spinless case [13].

A though the results of $K$ ontsevich guarantee the existence of a ?-product for any classical system (i.e., for any Poisson $m$ anifold), his explicit formula is rather com plicated and, for exam ple, it is not obvious how to take advantage of the sym $m$ etries of the system . V arilly and G racia-B ond a (see 14] for SU (2) and [15] for any com pact group) showed that the appropriate setup for system $s$ w ith sym $m$ etries is given by the Stratonovich-W eyl (SW ) correspondence [16] (see Section 2). In addition, they proved that this approach is equivalent to the spin coherent state representation. These works were generalized by B rif and M ann [17, [18], establishing the SW correspondence for system swith an arbitrary nite-dim ensional Lie-group symm etry.

In the present work we tadkle the problem of the dynam ics of spin systems in the phase-space form ulation and its classical lim it. Recently $\mathrm{K} \lim$ ov and Espinoza [19] derived a di erential form for the star product in the spin case. On the other hand, in the spinless case the evaluation of the star product becom es sim pler w th the use of B opp operators [20]. W e use K lim ov and Espinoza's results and work out the Bopp operators for spins. Takahashi and Shibata already found this generalization for the speci c cases of nom al and anti-nom al ordering [10, 11]. Herein, we re-obtain their results and generalize them for an arbitrary ordering. In particular we obtain the B opps for the im portant case of sym $m$ etric ordering. W e will apply our results to show how the use ofB opp operators sm pli es the derivation of the dynam icalequations for spins.
$F$ inally, we dealw th the problem of a system in contact w ith a bosonizable bath as a m odel of quantum dissipation [21]. C lassically, the e ective dynam ics of the system is described by Langevin or FokkerP lanck equations [22]. In the quantum dom ain and
under certain conditions (essentially weak coupling between system and environm ent), the dynam ics of the system can be form ulated in term $s$ of a quantum $m$ aster equation for the (reduced) density $m$ atrix [23]. For spinless particles the phase space transform of the $m$ aster equations yields the quantum generalization of the $K$ lein $K$ ram ers equations [24]. In the sense of C aldeira and Leggett, who pose the open system dynam ics as a quantization problem [25], the phase-space form ulation \closes the circle", giving a quantum version of the FokkerP lanck equations. W th the help of the here obtained B opp operators for spins we easily obtain quantum Fokker $P$ lanck equations, recovering the corresponding classicalFokker $P$ landk equations for the rotationalbrow nian $m$ otion [26] in the lim it ~! 0. This provides a natural fram ew ork to link the classical and quantum theories of dissipation.

The paper is organized as follow s:
Section 2 is a brief survey on the phase-space form ulation of $Q$ uantum M echanics from the point of view of the Stratonovich $W \mathrm{~F}$ eyl postulates. The general results are illustrated by the exam ple of the nonrelativistic spinless particle, introducing the de nition of Bopp operators.

Section 3 deals w th the phase-space form alism of spin system s. W e generalize the Bopp operators and tackle the problem of the quantum-classical transition in these system s. In addition, we work out in detail the particular case of quadratic H am iltonians.

In Section 4 we apply our results to open quantum spin system s. W e transform into phase space the density $m$ atrix equation for a spin in contact $w$ ith a them albath, obtaining quantum Fokker $P$ lanck equations. This transform ation becom es sim ple w th the help of B opp operators. W e w rite explicitly the dissipative equations for the linear H am iltonian (isotropic spin) and compare it w ith its spinless analogue, the dam ped harm onic oscillator. Finally, we take the classical lim it for a general quantum Fokker$P$ lanck equation, recovering the corresponding classicalequation.

## 2. P hase-space $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics

In this section we review som e basic facts and results on phase-space quantization. The $m$ aterial is now standard and we closely follow the conventions and notation of [17, 18].

### 2.1. The SW postulates

C onsider a physical system possessing a group of sym m etries described by a nitedimensional, connected and sim ply connected Lie group G. We denote the phase space of the system by $P$ and assume that $G$ acts transitively on $P$. That is, for any $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} 2 \mathrm{P}$, there exists g 2 G such that $\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{2}$. Let H be the H ibert space of our system, $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H})$ the set of operators on H and $\mathrm{U}: \mathrm{G}$ ! $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H})$ an irreducible unitary representation of . The Stratonovich-W eylcorrespondence ([16]) is a -param eterized $m$ ap $W^{()}: ~ O(H)!C^{1}(P)$ satisfying the follow ing properties for any $A ; B 2 O(H)$ :
(i) Linearity:

A $\prod_{W_{A}}{ }^{()}$is linear and bijective.
(ii) Reality:
$W_{A_{y}}{ }^{(1)}(x)=W_{A}{ }^{(1)}(x)$, where $A^{y}$ is the adjoint of $A$.
(iii) Standardization:

$$
{ }_{P}^{Z} W_{A}^{()}(x) d \quad(x)=\operatorname{Tr} A:
$$

Z

$$
W_{A}^{()}(x) W_{B}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(x) d \quad(x)=\operatorname{Tr}(A B):
$$

(v) C ovariance:

$$
\left.W_{A g}{ }^{( }\right)^{\prime}(x)=W_{A}{ }^{(1)}(g \quad x) ; 8 \mathrm{~g} 2 \mathrm{G}:
$$

where is an invariant $m$ easure and $A^{g}:=U\left(g^{1}\right) A U(g)$.
A s proved by $W$ igner et al. [7] for spinless particles, by $V$ arilly and $G$ racia $B$ ond a for spins [14] and recently by $B$ rif and $M$ ann [17, [18] for system $s w$ ith an arbitrary Lie-group sym $m$ etry, properties (i)-(v) uniquely determ ine the SW correspondence.

Reality condition (ii) ensures that $W_{A}{ }^{(1)}$ is realw henever $A$ is herm itian and property (iii) is sm ply a norm alization. Linearity and Traciality conditions are essential to the form al interpretation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics as a statistical theory. Let $W{ }_{\circ}^{(1)}$ be the im age by the SW correspondence of the density $m$ atrix of the system, \%. For any operator $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{hA} \mathrm{i}=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{A} \%)$ and due to (iv) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hA} i=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{W}_{\frac{1}{\circ}}^{( }{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d} \quad(\mathrm{x}): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the expectation value of an observable A can be com puted as the average on
 that in general it does not de ne a true probability distribution. For this reason $\mathrm{W} \%{ }^{(1)}$ is som etim es called a quasiprobability distribution.
$F$ inally, the covariance property ( $v$ ) $m$ eans that the $S W$ correspondence com $m$ utes $w$ ith the action of $G$, thus preserving the sym $m$ etry.

N otice that under the SW correspondence, an operator is mapped to a set of functions labeled by , which is related to the operator ordering prescription. In particular, $W_{A}{ }^{\prime}$ ) for $=0 ; 1$ and 1 are know $n$, respectively, as symmetric, norm al and antinom al functions. The nom enclature is due to the fact that, for the spinless particle, $=0$ corresponds to the sym $m$ etric ordering ([3]), whereas $=1$; 1 are associated to the norm aland antinorm alorderings, respectively ([27]).

### 2.2. The SW kemel

The vector space $O(H)$ equipped w ith the bilinear form $(A ; B)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A{ }^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{B}\right)$ is a H ilbert space and due to the $R$ iesz theorem, there exists a -param eterized set of operator-
valued functions on $P$, ( ) $2 C^{1}(P) \quad O(H)$, called the $S W$ kemels, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.W_{A}{ }^{\prime}(x)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A \quad{ }^{\prime}\right)(x)\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties (i)-(v) introduced above are equivalent to the follow ing conditions on the kemels ([14, 17, 18]):
(ii ${ }^{0}$ )

$$
{ }^{(1)}(x)=(1)(x)^{y}
$$

( $\mathrm{ii} \mathrm{i}^{\circ}$ )
Z

$$
{ }^{p} \quad(x) d \quad(x)=1
$$

( $\mathrm{E}^{0}$ )

$$
{ }^{()}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g & x
\end{array}\right)={ }^{()}(x)^{g^{1}}
$$

( $\mathrm{v}^{0}$ )

$$
{ }^{()}(x)=\int_{p}^{Z} \operatorname{Tr} \quad(1)(x) \quad\left({ }^{\prime}\left(x^{0}\right) \quad(1)\left(x^{0}\right) d \quad\left(x^{0}\right):\right.
$$

Rem arkably, the tracial property (iv) allow s to invert the SW m ap (2), yielding a generalization of the $W$ eyl nule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=W_{P}^{()}(x) \quad(\quad)(x) d(x): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that the kemel involyed in (2) is (), whereas the kemel entering form ula (3) is ( ). The case $=0$ is privileged and ${ }^{(0)}$ is said to be a self-dual kemel.

### 2.3. The star product

O nce the Stratonovich-W eyl correspondence has been constructed, the connection with the notion of?-product is straightforw ard. The ?-product is obtained by transferring to $P$ the associative algebra structure of $O(H)$ through the $S W \mathrm{map}$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(W_{A}{ }^{(1)} ? W_{B}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right)(x):=W_{A B}^{(1)}(x): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any two operators $A$ and $B$.
At this point, a natural question arises. G iven an arbitrary ?-product on $C^{1}(P)$, is there a Poisson bracket associated to it in a canonicalway? The answer is positive and the proof is easy. U sing associativity, a direct com putation show s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f f ; g g=\frac{f ? g \quad g ? f}{\sim} \bmod \sim ; \quad f ; g 2 C^{1}(P) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Poisson bracket. This is a nioe way to see that any quantum system has a corresponding classical system which is obtained in the lim it $\sim$ ! 0 by replacing com m utators by Poisson brackets. For exam ple, we can write the transform ation of the $V$ on $-N$ eum ann equation to phase space:
z A m ore general relation m ixing di erent orderings can be given (see for exam ple [18]), but we will not discuss it in this work.
where $H$ is the $H$ am iltonian of the system. Hence, the star product determ ines the dynam ics on the phase space and reproduces the classical $H$ am ilton equations in the $\lim$ it~! 0 .

### 2.4. An exam ple: the spinless non-relativistic particle

The classical phase space of the spinless non-relativistic particle is $R^{2} w$ ith canonical Poisson bracket in coordinates ( $q ; p$ ):
fq;pg = 1:

The H ibert space of the quantum system is $L^{2}(R)$ and its dynam ical sym $m$ etry group is the H eisenberg-W eyl group $\mathrm{H}_{3}$. The Lie algebra of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ is generated by three elem ents $I ; a$ and $a^{y} w$ th Lie brackets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a ; I]=\left[a^{y} ; I\right]=0 ; \quad\left[a ; a^{y}\right]=I: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $a^{y}$ and a are creation and annihilation bosonic operators, respectively.
$T$ he $S W$ correspondence in this case $m$ aps each operator $A(\hat{q} ; \hat{\mathrm{P}})$ into $C^{1}\left(R^{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\hat{q})\left(q_{D}\right)=q_{0} \quad\left(q_{D}\right) ; \quad(\hat{\rho})\left(q_{D}\right):=\frac{@}{\varrho q} \dot{\xi}_{10} ; \quad 8 q_{0} 2 \mathrm{R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $2 L^{2}(R)$.
U sing the standard com plex coordinate $z=(q+\dot{p})$ the $S W$ kemel reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{( }\right)(z)=1=e_{c} e^{\left.\bar{z}^{j}\right\}} e^{z} \quad z e^{a^{+}} \quad a d^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us focus on the case $=0$ (sym $m$ etric case). For this particular value of the param eter , (10) becom es the fam ousW igner function [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{A}^{(0)}(q ; p)=\underbrace{Z+1}_{1} e^{i p u=\sim} h q \quad \frac{1}{2} u \underset{\beta}{ } \dot{q}+\frac{1}{2} u i d u \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ?-product is the M oyal product, whose closed form was introduced by G roenew old in the forties [2]:

A useful representation of the star product was introduced by B opp [20]. He found that, for any two operators $A(\hat{q} ; \hat{p})$ and $B(\hat{q} ; \hat{p})$, the ?-product can be casted in the follow ing form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{A}^{(0)} ? W_{B}^{(0)}=A(Q ; P) W_{B}^{(0)} \\
& Q=q+\frac{i \sim}{2} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho p} ; P=p \quad \frac{i \sim}{2} \frac{@}{@ q} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where now $A(Q ; P)$ should be understood as an operator acting on $C^{1}(P)$. The operators $Q$ and $P$ are usually known as Bopp operators. Observe that $Q ; P]=i \sim$, which can be checked directly from (13). A s a consequence, $Q$ and $P$ are operators on $C^{1}(P)$ satisfying the canonical com $m$ utation relations.

U sing the reality condition of the $S W$ kemelwe can write the tim e evolution of the sym bol of the density $m$ atrix, $W \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}^{(0)}$ in a sym ple way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~W}_{\frac{0}{\circ}}^{(0)}=2 \operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{H}(Q ; P) \mathrm{W}_{\frac{\circ}{0}}^{(0)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $H$ the $H$ am iltonian of the system. The classical lim it is very easily obtained now . Expanding up to rst order in $\sim$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(Q ; P)=H(p ; q)+i \sim\left(@_{q} H \frac{\varrho}{\varrho p} \quad @ H \frac{\varrho}{\varrho q}\right)+O\left(\sim^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we recover the classical Liouville equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~W}_{\frac{0}{0}}^{(0)}=\mathrm{fH} ; \mathrm{W}_{\frac{0}{0}}^{(0)} \mathrm{g} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. The spin case

W e now tum to spin system $s$, ourm ain interest in this work. The dynam ical sym $m$ etry group is $S U(2)$, and the phase space is the unit sphere $S^{2}$. T he classicalP oisson bracket is suitably w ritten in term s of the com ponents of

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(;)=(\sin \cos ; \sin \sin ; \cos ): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nam elf

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{i} ;} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~g}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{ijk} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w^{2}$ here ${ }_{i j k}$ is the Levi-C ivita sym bol. Strictly, the Poisson bracket should be $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{g}=$ $g_{i j k} m_{k}$ where $g$ the gyrom agnetic ratio, which is related to the quantum spin number by $S=B_{B}=g \sim$, $w$ th $\quad$ is the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent. From now on we set $\sim=B_{B}=1$, so that $S=1=g$. The classical Liouville equation $m$ ay be expressed ([26]) as
w ith the divergence ( $@=@ \mathrm{~m}) \quad \mathrm{A}={ }_{i} @ A_{i}=@ m_{i}$. The purpose of this section is to generalize B opp operators for the spin case, which sm pli es the study of the dynam ics in phase-space reported in the literature [28].

### 3.1. The SW kemel and the star product

The SW kemel reads [12, 14, 17, 18]:

Where hj; jiliolijiji are $C$ lebsch G ordan coe cients, $T_{I m}=P \overline{(2 l+1)=(2 S+1)}$
 spherical harm onics.
x Sum m ation over repeated indices $m$ ust be understood throughout the paper.

Recently, $K$ lim ov and Spinoza derived a di erential form for the star product in the spin case [19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{A}^{(1)} ? W_{B}^{()}=N_{S}^{X} \quad a_{j} \mathbb{E}^{1}\left(L^{2}\right)^{h} \quad S^{+;(j)} \mathbb{P}^{1} \quad\left(L^{2}\right) W_{A}^{(1)} \quad S^{;(j)} \mathbb{P}^{1} \quad\left(L^{2}\right) W_{B}^{(1)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $L^{2}$ is the $C$ asim ir operator on the sphere,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{2}=\quad \frac{@^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}+\cot \frac{\varrho}{@}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2}} \frac{@^{2}}{\varrho^{2}} ; \quad \quad L^{2} Y_{m}=1(1+1) Y_{m} ;  \tag{22}\\
& F\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{mm}} ; \quad \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{P} \overline{(2 \mathrm{~S}+1+1)!(2 \mathrm{~S}-1)!} \text {; }  \tag{23}\\
& S^{(j)}=\dot{\text { i }}^{1} k=0 \quad k \cot \quad @ \quad \frac{i}{\sin } \frac{@}{@} \quad ; \quad a_{j}=\frac{\left(1 j^{j}\right.}{j!(2 S+j+1)!} ; \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

and $N_{S}=P \overline{2 S+1 F} \quad$ (0).

### 3.2. B opp operators in the spin case

The evaluation of (21) seem s quite involved. H ere, we show that it can be sim pli ed by generalizing the B opp operators (13) for the spin case. That is, we look for operators $S_{i}^{()}$acting on $C^{1}(\mathbb{P})$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{S_{i}}{ }^{\prime} ? W_{A}{ }^{(1)}=S_{i}^{(1)} W_{A}{ }^{(1)} ; \quad i=1 ; 2 ; 3: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us invoke the expression derived in the A ppendix for $\left.W{ }_{S_{3}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ ? $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}$, Eq. (A.13). $N$ oticing that $m_{3}=\cos , L_{3}=$ id, i(m $L_{3}=\sin @$ and recalling that every function on the sphere is a linear com bination of spherical ham onics we deduce from (A.13) that for any operator A:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{S_{3}}^{()} ? W_{A}^{()}=m_{3_{1}^{\prime}}^{()}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)+i\left(m \quad L_{B} \sim_{2}^{()}\left(L^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L_{3}{ }^{i} W_{A}^{()}:\right. \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sim_{i}^{()}\left(L^{2}\right) Y_{l m}={ }_{i}^{()}(1) Y_{m} ; i=1 ; 2$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.{ }_{1}^{()}(1)=\frac{F^{1} \quad(1)}{2(2 l+1)} \mathrm{F}^{1} \quad{ }^{1}(1+1)(2 S+1+2)(1+1) \quad F^{1}(1) 1\right)(1) 2 S \quad 1\right) 1 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The vectorm has been de ned in (17) and $L$ is the angularm om entum operator acting on the sphere. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\quad i m \quad \frac{@}{@ m} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satis es $\left[\mathbb{L}_{i} ; L_{j}\right]=i_{i j k} L_{k}$. The follow ing identities are useful:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[m_{i} ; L_{j}\right]=i_{i j k} m_{k} ; \quad\left[m \quad L L_{i} ; L_{j}\right]=i_{i j k}\left(m \quad L_{k}:\right. \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}^{(1)}=m_{3} \sim_{1}^{(1)}\left(L^{2}\right)+i(m \quad L)_{3} \sim_{2}^{(1)}\left(L^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L_{3} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariance of the star product at the in nitesim al level im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k} A}}^{()}=\mathrm{W}_{\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{S}_{j}\right] \mathrm{A}}^{()}=\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{S}_{3}^{()}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}^{()} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[S_{i}^{(1)} ; L_{j}\right]=i_{i j k} S_{k}^{()}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. (31) along with (28) and (29) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}^{(1)}=m_{i} \sim_{1}^{()}\left(L^{2}\right)+i(m \quad L)_{i} \sim_{2}^{(1)}\left(L^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L_{i} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $S_{i}{ }^{(1)}$ are the sought generalization of the B opp operators to the spin case. N ow , by the associativity of the star product, we can extend (25) to any function A $\left(S_{1} ; S_{2} ; S_{3}\right)$ of the spin operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{AB}}^{(1)}=\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}^{()} ; \mathrm{S}_{2}^{()} ; \mathrm{S}_{3}^{(1)}\right) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{(1)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, equivalently to the spinless particle case (see Eq. (13)), A $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}^{()} ; \mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{()} ; \mathrm{S}_{3}{ }^{()}\right)$is the same function of its argum ents as $A\left(S_{1} ; S_{2} ; S_{3}\right)$, now acting on $C^{1}(\mathbb{P})$. In order to ease the notation we will sim ply write A ( $S^{(1)}$ ) instead of $A\left(S_{1}^{()} ; S_{2}^{()} ; S_{3}^{()}\right)$.
$T$ he von $N$ eum an dynam ics is govemed by the equation (com pare w ith (14)) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~W}_{\frac{0}{0}}{ }^{\prime}=2 \operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{()}\right) \mathrm{W}_{\frac{\circ}{0}}^{(1)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides $\left.\left[S_{i}{ }^{(1)}{ }^{\prime} S_{j}{ }^{( }\right)\right]=i_{i j k} S_{k}^{()}$. In this sense Bopp operators are the phase-space analogous to the corresponding H ibert-space operators.

Rem ark:

The form of $S_{i}^{(1)}$ was already found by Takahashiand Shibata in [11] by extending the construction of C ahill and G lauber. W e have recovered their result and generalized it to any value of in term s of the symbol (2) for the di erential form of the star product of K lim ov and Espinoza (21). This covers the im portant case of sym $m$ etric ordering.

### 3.3. Large S

We tum to the large $S$ (or classical) lim it. Firstly, notice that for $S \quad 1$ we can w rite [19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(I)=\frac{(2 S+1)!}{P} 1+\frac{1}{2 S+1} l(1+1)+0 \frac{1}{S^{2}} \quad: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the above expansion in (27) we inm ediately nd that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sim_{1}^{(1)}=2 S+1++\frac{1}{2(2 S+1)}\left(L^{2}+1\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1
\end{array}\right)+0 \frac{1}{S^{2}} ; \\
& 2 \sim_{2}^{(1)}=+\frac{1}{2(2 S+1)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1)+O
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{S^{2}}: \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

The above expressions allow us to evaluate the quasiclassical evolution of $\mathrm{W}{ }_{\circ}^{\left({ }^{( }\right)}$in a sim ple way. In the classicallim ir, $\sim_{1}^{()}=S+(+1)=2+O(1=S)$ and $\sim_{2}^{()}=\quad=2+O(1=S)$. Let $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1} ; \mathrm{S}_{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{3}\right)$ be the H am iltonian. In the classical lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Im} H\left(S^{( }\right)\right)=\frac{i @ H}{2 S} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}}{@ m_{i}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using that for any b $2 R^{3}$,

$$
\text { ib } \quad L=\frac{@}{@ m} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m & b \tag{40}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

we recover the classical P oisson bracket (19), obviously independent of .

### 3.4. Q uadratic Ham iltonians

W e apply now the form alism to the follow ing case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=D_{i j} S_{i} S_{j} \quad B_{i} S_{i} ; \quad D_{i j}=D_{j i} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the most general Ham iltonian quadratic in the variables $S_{i}$. This example covers $m$ any applications in $m$ agnetism [29] and quantum optics (see, e.g $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ [30, 31]). W ith the help of (34) and (25) we obtain that $\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{H})=$ iD ${ }_{j k} L_{j} m_{k} \sim_{1}^{()}+i\left(m \quad L_{k} \sim_{2}^{()}+B_{j} L_{j}=2\right.$ and using (40) we nally get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.@_{\mathrm{t}} W_{\circ}^{( }\right)=\frac{1}{S} \frac{@}{@ m}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~m} \quad B_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~W}_{\frac{1}{0}}^{( }\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\left.\left.\left.\mathbb{B}_{e}^{Q}\right]_{j}=\mathbb{B}_{e}\right]_{j}+2 \begin{array}{c}
h \\
S_{j k} m_{k}\left(\sim_{1}^{()}\right. \tag{43}
\end{array} \quad S\right)+i\left(m \quad L_{k} \sim_{2}^{()^{i}}\right.
$$

Equation (42) is a com pact way of writing the quantum dynam ics in phase-space. $B_{e}^{Q}$ is de ned in such a way that the rst term gives the classical e ective eld $B_{e} J_{j}=S B_{j}+2 S^{2} D_{j k} m_{k}$ (see Eq. (19)) and the second one the quantum contributions. W hen $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{jk}}=0$ the quantum tim e evolution equation (42) coincides w ith the classical one ( $\mathbb{1 4}$, Theorem 5]). This is analogous w ith the harm onic oscillator for the spinless particle [7] where the classical and quantum equation of $m$ otion in phase space are the sam e. N otice, how ever, that though the phase-space tim e evolution equations in the classical and quantum cases are form ally identical, the allowed solutions for the di erential equations are di erent at the classical and quantum levels.

## Rem ark:

C onsider the particular case $H=D S_{3}^{2}$. Then, Eq. (42) sim ply reads:
w th $\sim_{1}^{()}$and $\sim_{2}^{()}$given in (27). For $=1$ we recover the results found by K lim ov (see equations (65) and (67) of Ref. [28]). H ow ever, we obtain a di erent result for $=0$ (Eq. (66) in $R$ ef [28]); we think that Eq. (66) [28] m ay have som e typos in som e num erical factors.

Finally, using the asym ptotic form sof $\sim_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\sim_{2}^{(1)}$, Eq. (38), we obtain the quasiclassical form of (42). In the case $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{D} S_{3}^{2}$ the result agrees w ith derivations previously appeared in the literature (see the $C$ onclusions of $R$ ef. [32]).

## 4. Application to $Q$ uantum $M$ aster Equations

The eld of open quantum systems deals w the unavoidable interaction between the system of interest and environm ent [21]. Under assumptions such as weak coupling between the environm ent and the system and fast bath dynam ics [33, 25], the environm ent $m$ ay be $m$ odelled as a collection of oscillators. $W$ ith these hypotheses one can w rite the total H am ittonian (system plus environm ent) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {tot }}=H_{s}+X \frac{1}{2} P^{2}+!^{2} Q+\frac{C}{!^{2}} F^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is an oscillator index and the coupling term s F are functions of the system variables.

C lassically the dynam ics of the system degrees of freedom is form ulated in term $s$ of Langevin or Fokker $P$ lanck equations [22, 34]. At the quantum level, $Q$ and $P$ are the position and $m$ om entum operators and $F$ is a herm itian operatorwhich depends on the system variables. T he total system is unlikely to be in a pure state and a density$m$ atrix description is required. For observables depending only on the system variables, the required ob ject is the reduced density operator $\%=T T_{\text {bath }}\left(\%_{\text {tot }}\right)$, where one traces the bath variables out. For weak system -bath coupling a closed dynam ical equation for \% can be obtained by perturbation theory. This is the case ofm any problem s in quantum optics, chem ical physics or $m$ agnetism [21]. A s far as the classical lim it is conœmed, a sem iclassicalquantum $m$ aster equation would be su cient. Let $T$ be the tem perature of the bath and the dam ping coe cient ( $m$ easuring the coupling strength). C onsidering that $=$ (S T ) 1 one arrives to [24, 23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.\varrho_{t} \%=\quad i \mathbb{H}_{s} ; \%\right] \quad T \mathbb{E} ; F \%\right]+\frac{1}{2 T} \mathbb{F} ; \mathbb{H}_{s} ; F\right] \%\right]+h: c: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where h.c. means \herm itian conjugate". The term $i \mathbb{H}_{s} ; \%$ gives the isolated-spin unitary evolution and the rest encodes the bath in uence.

N ow, we address the problem of w orking out the phase-space transform of (46). In the spinless-case for the generic H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{p}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{q})$ and bilinear coupling, $F=q$, the $m$ aster equation (46) is the celebrated $C$ abdeira-Leggett equation [24]. Then the phase-space transform ation gives the rst quantum corrections to the $K$ lein $-K$ ram ers equation, which is nothing but the Fokker $P$ lanck equation for a particle in a potential [34].

In order to obtain the quantum corrections to the classicalFokker $P$ land equations for spins, we w illm ake use ofthe generalized B opp operators. U sing the results ofSection 32 for transform ing H ibert space operators into operators acting on $C^{1}(P)$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{E} ; \mathrm{F}^{\circ}\right]+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}: \quad 7 \quad \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{(1)}\right) \quad \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{\frac{1}{\circ}}^{(1)} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
where c.c. m eans \com plex conjugate". Then, Eq. (46) reads in the phase-space form ulation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~W}_{\circ}{ }^{(1)}=\quad 2 \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{( }\right)\right) \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is the phase-space equivalent of the $m$ aster equation (46) and should be viewed as the quantum generalization of the classical FokkerP lanck equations. W e em phasize that the transform ation to the phase-space form alism has been rather sim ple thanks to (34).

### 4.1. The open isotropic spin versus the open harm onic oscillator

To gain som e insight we particularize Eq. (49) to an speci c exam ple. O ur intention here is to highlight the di erences betw een the spinless particle case and the spin system . For that, we closely follow the work of Caldeira and Leggett on the quantum master equation for a spinless particle [24]. In this case the phase-space transform of the last tw o term s of (46) gives the dissipation and di usion term softhe classicalFokker P landk equation [25]. In particular, for the harm onic oscillator, both the C aldeira-Leggett and Fokker-P lanck equations are identical (see the discussion of Section 3.4) .

Sim ilarly, in the spin case we also choose a bilineal coupling, $F={ }_{j} S_{j}$, w ith ${ }_{j}$ som e real constants. For spin system $\left.\mathrm{S} \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \mathrm{F}\right]$ is in general $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$-dependent and a generic form of the tim e evolution equation cannot be given. For the sake of sim plicity we take $H_{s}=B_{i} S_{i}$, i.e., the isotropic spin $\left(D_{i j}=0\right.$ in (42)). This is the closest analogue to the open quantum oscillator discussed above (section 3.4). Then, the quantum $m$ aster equation (46) follow $s$ in phase-space from (49) :
$@_{\mathrm{t}} W_{\circ}{ }^{(1)}=\frac{1}{S} \frac{\varrho}{@ m} \quad\left(m \quad B_{e}\right) \quad m \quad b^{h} m \quad B_{e} \quad T \frac{\varrho}{@ m}+M \quad B_{e}{ }^{i} W_{\circ}^{(1)}(50)$
where $\left.\mathbb{B}_{e}\right]_{j}=S B_{j}$ is the classicale ective eld [se Eq. (19) ], while the tensor ${ }^{b}$ has the com ponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{jk}}=\frac{\varrho}{\mathrm{S}} \frac{\varrho \mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{~m}_{j}} \frac{@ \mathrm{~F}}{@ m_{\mathrm{k}}} ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ifF is linear, then $b_{j k}=S \quad j \quad j$ ) and nally $\left.M=m\left(\begin{array}{ll}(1) & S\end{array}\right)+i(m \quad L)_{\tilde{2}}^{( }\right)$. O bserve that $M=O(1=S)$, so the last term dissapears as $S$ ! 1 recovering the classical FokkerP lanck equation [26].

Hence, even in the case where both system ( $\mathrm{H}_{s}$ ) and coupling ( F ) are linear in the spin variables, classical and quantum Fokker-P lanck equations are di erent, unlike the open harm onic oscillator. W ithin the phase-space form alism this di erence can be w orked out explicitly.
4.2. The classical lim it of (49) : general case

To nish, let us go back to (49) and com pute its classical lim it of (49) for a general $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and F.U sing (39) one nds that,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Im}^{2} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{( }{ }^{\prime}\right) \text { S ! ! } \frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~S}^{2}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{j}} \frac{@ \mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{~mm}_{j}} \frac{@ \mathrm{~F}}{\varrho \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}  \tag{52}\\
& \left.\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{H}_{s}\left(S^{()}\right) ; \mathrm{F}_{\left(\mathrm{S}^{( }\right)}\right) \mathrm{J}\right) \text { ! ! } \quad 1=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{H}} ; \mathrm{Fg} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

H ence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Im} F\left(S^{()}\right) \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{s}\left(S^{()}\right) ; F\left(S^{()}\right)\right] s!\text { ! } \frac{i}{2 S} L_{j} \frac{@ F}{@ m_{j}} f_{s} H_{i} F g \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f H_{s} ; F^{F}=\frac{1}{S} i_{j k}\left(@_{m_{j}} H_{s}\right)\left(@_{m_{k}} F\right) m_{i}$ we nally obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t} W_{\frac{0}{0}}^{()}=\frac{1}{S} \frac{@}{@ m} \quad\left(m \quad B_{e}\right) \quad m \quad b^{h} \quad B_{e} \quad T \frac{@}{@ m} \quad W_{\frac{1}{0}}^{()} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{jk}}$ hasbeen de ned in (51) and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in (19) . Eq. (55) is nothing but the classical Fokker-P lanck equation for classical spins [cf. Eq. (50)] [35] (see also [26]).

## 5. C onclusions

The phase-space form ulation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics provides deep insight into the quantum -classical correspondence, $m$ ainly due to the fact that the $m$ athem aticalnature of the observables does not change when going from the classical to the quantum theory: they are alw ays functions on the phase space.

It is well-stablished that for nonrelativistic spinless particles Bopp operators sim plify the $m$ anipulations in the phase space form alism [20, 7]. In this paper we have generalized B opp operators for spin system s and applied it to the problem of the classical lim it of spins as well as to open quantum spin system s.

The dynam ics ofopen quantum system shas received a renew ed attention due to its proposed role in explaining the em ergence of the classicalw orld from quantum mechanics
[36]. O pen quantum system s are handled w ith equations for the reduced density $m$ atrix, cf. Eq. (46). They consist of the unitary evolution (Von Neum ann) and a non-unitary term which inconporates the bath in uence. For the spinless case the transform ation of the tim e evolution equations into the phase space yields the quantum generalization of the corresponding Fokker $P$ lanck equations. W e have accom plished this task in the spin case w ith the help of generalized B opp operators. W e have w orked out the classical lim it ( S ! 1 ) of the quantum $m$ aster equation for the density $m$ atrix, and recovered know n classicalFokker $P$ lanck equations.
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A ppendix A.
W e present here the details for the calculation of Eq. (25). For that we com pute explicitely $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{S}_{3}}{ }^{\prime}$ ? $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}$, using [21). W e rst notioe that [19]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{S_{3}}^{()}=\frac{S}{S+1} \quad{ }^{=2} p \overline{S(S+1)} \cos \quad Y_{; 0} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing that $\mathbb{P}^{( }\left(L^{2}\right) \cos =F(1) \cos$ and that in the sum of 21$) \dot{j}_{n a x}=1$, togetherw ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{1}{(2 S+1)!} ; \quad a_{1}=\frac{a_{0}}{2 S+2} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can w rite,
 here $S^{+(1)}$ acts only over cos , and $S{ }^{(1)}$ over $Y_{\mathrm{lm}}$ W ith, see Eq. (24):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(1)}=\frac{@}{@} \quad \frac{i}{\sin } \frac{@}{@} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext we m ake use of the relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}} & ={ }_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l+1,m}}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{11, m} \\
\sin \frac{@}{@} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}} & ={ }_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{1+1, m}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{11, m} \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\frac{S \overline{(1 \quad m+1)(1+m+1)}}{(2 l+1)(2 l+3)} ; \quad 2=\frac{S \overline{(1-m)(1+m)}}{(2 l \mathrm{l})(2 l+1)} \\
& 1_{1}={ }_{1} l_{i} \quad{ }_{2}=\quad{ }_{2}(1+1): \tag{A..}
\end{align*}
$$

B opp operators and phase-space spin dynam ics
$M$ anipulating the term sentering $\frac{(A, 3)}{i}$ we nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}^{1} \quad(1){ }^{1} \text { cos } \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l}} & ={ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}^{1} \quad(1) \mathrm{F} \\
& +{ }_{2}^{1}(1+1) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l}+1 \mathrm{~m}}  \tag{A.7}\\
&
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{1} \quad \text { (l) } \mathrm{F}^{1} \quad{ }^{1} \quad 1 \begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{Y}_{1}
\end{array}{ }_{1 \mathrm{~m}}  \tag{A.8}\\
& +i \frac{@}{@} Y_{l m}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow, taking into account that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{11 m}=\frac{1}{(21+1)_{2}}\left(\operatorname{lcos} \quad \sin \frac{@}{@}\right) Y_{l m}  \tag{A.9}\\
& Y_{l+1 m}=\frac{1}{(21+1)_{1}}\left((1+1) \cos +\sin \frac{\varrho}{\varrho}\right) Y_{l m} \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}^{1} \quad \text { (l) } \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{COS} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{F}^{1} \quad \text { (l) } \mathrm{F}^{1}(\mathrm{l}+1) \frac{1}{21+1} \quad(1+1) \cos +\sin \frac{@}{@} \quad Y_{\mathrm{lm}} \\
& +\mathrm{F}^{1} \quad(1) \mathrm{F}^{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1) \frac{1}{21+1} \\
l o o s & \sin \frac{@}{@}
\end{array} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}\right. \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and } \\
& F^{1} \quad \text { (l) } F^{1} \quad S^{\text {h }} \quad S^{+(1)} \operatorname{COS} \quad S^{(1)} Y_{l m} \quad{ }^{i}= \\
& F^{1} \quad(1) F^{1}(1+1) \frac{1}{2 l+1} \quad(1+1) \cos +\sin \frac{@}{@} Y_{l m} \\
& +F^{1} \quad(l) F^{1}(l \quad 1) \frac{l+1}{2 l+1} \quad(l+1) \cos +\sin \frac{@}{@} \quad Y_{l m} \\
& +i \frac{@}{@} Y_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain:

with ${ }_{i}^{()} ; i=1 ; 2$ de ned in (27).
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