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#### Abstract

W e derive analytic expressions of the recursive solutions to the Schrodinger's equation by $m$ eans of a cuto potential technique for one-dim ensional piecew ise constant potentials. These solutions provide a m ethod for accurately determ ining the transm ission probabilities as well as the wave function in both classically accessible region and inaccessible region for any barrier potentials. It is also shown that the energy eigenvalues and the wave functions of bound states can be obtained for potential-w ell structures by exploiting this m ethod. C alculational results of ilhustrative exam ples are show $n$ in order to verify this $m$ ethod for treating barrier and potential-w ell problem s.


PACS num bers: $03.65 \mathrm{Ge}, 03.65 \mathrm{Nk}, 03.65 \mathrm{Xe}, 03.65 \mathrm{C}$ a, $73.21 \mathrm{Fg}, 73.40 \mathrm{Gk}, 73.63 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{s}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Q uantum mechanical tunneling and quantum well problem s have attracted $m$ ore interest, due to recent advances in the fabrication ofsem iconductor layers and the developm ent ofhigh-speed and noveldevices [1]. In order to better understand the physical properties of a device, it is im portant that one can accurately solve and analyze the one-dim ensional potential problem s. A number of $m$ ethods for solving the Schrodinger's equation and for locating the bound states and resonances generated by one-dim ensional potentials have been developed over the past decades [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. M ost of them are based on the so-called transfer-m atrix approach. There are also som $e m$ ethods that are cum bersom $e$ to im plem ent, for instance, the $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod [7] and the nite ele$m$ ent $m$ ethod (FEM) [8].

In contrast to those num ericalm ethods, a lim ited num ber of exact analytic solutions are available only for sim ple potential structures; analytic solutions are preferred due to its simple form and clear interpretation of the physics underlying the process. W K B m ethod, as an approxim ate analytic approach, has been widely used but it is restricted to slow ly varying potential pro les that are continuous. Im proved $m$ ethods such as the $m$ odi ed conventionalW KB (MWKB) [9] and the modied A iry functions (MAF) [10] still fail to provide perfect results.

T ikochinsky [11] has derived two nonlinear rst-order equations replacing the second-order linear Schrodinger's equation in a cuto potentialm ethod forone-dim ensional scattering am plitudes. In this paper we solve these nonlinear rst-order equations analytically and obtain recursive solutions of the Schrodinger's equation form ulti-step barrier potentials. W e intend to nd a m ethod providing a generalanalysis of the one-dim ensionalproblem for both classically accessible region and inaccessible region. In fact, arbitrarily accurate solutions including the scat-
tering am plitudes and the w ave functions are obtainable w ith these recursive solutions for any potentialpro le by dividing it into $m$ any segm ents, since any continuous potentialproblem can be recovered as the segm ents becom e ner and ner.

U sing the recursive solutions, we will also determ ine the w ave fiunctions of quasi-bound and bound states. W e can solve a potential-w ell problem by evenly uplifting the potential function restricted on the potentialw ell region and its surroundings to construct a resonant barrierpotential that can readily be handled as a m odel potential. The resonant barrier-potential constitutes a quasi-bound-state problem, therefore each sharp tunneling resonance is locatable so that a quasi-bound-state eigenvalue can be determ ined which im m ediately leads to a bound-state eigenvalue being sought for the original potential well. Then, we can determ ine the eigenfunction belonging to the quasi-bound-state eigenvalue by $m$ eans of the recursive solutions.

In Section II, we review the basic form alism for the one-dim ensional problem s that allow s tw o nonlinear rst-order equations to replace the linear second-order Schrodinger's equation. In section III, we derive analytic expressionsw ith recursive coe cients by solving the nonlinear equations for the transm ission am plitudes, reection am plitudes, and the wave functions for piecew ise constant potentials. In section IV , a quasi-bound potential pro le which characterizes a resonant tunneling is considered as the $m$ odel potential of a potential well in order to treat bound-state problem s. In section $V$ and $V I$, we dem onstrate the validity of the $m$ ethod by taking som e exam ples, and discuss the calculational results.

## II. BASIC FORMALISM

The one-dim ensionalSchrodinger equation for a particle w th m ass m incident upon a potential energy $U(z)$ that asym ptotically vanishes can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \quad(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=z^{p} \overline{2 m=\sim^{2}}, k^{2}=K=$, and $V(x)=U(z)=$ $w$ ith a certain unit energy chosen for convenience. H ere, $z$ is the position of the particle in the one-dim ensionalcoordinate, $K$ is the energy of the particle, and $\sim=h=2$, $h$ being $P$ lanck's constant. Thus, the coordinate $x$, the wave num berk and the potentialV ( $x$ ) are alldim ensionless. The dim ensionless energy $E$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{k}^{2}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now. we introduce a cuto potential that was used in Refs. 11, 12, 13, 14. T he cuto potential is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}(y ; x)=V(x) \quad(x \quad y) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $x \quad y$ ) is the step function. If $V(x)$ is replaced by the cuto potential, Eq. (1) has the form alsolution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(y ; x)=A e^{i k x}+\frac{1}{2 i k}^{Z} e^{i k j x x^{0}{ }_{j} V_{C}\left(y ; x^{0}\right) E}\left(y ; x^{0}\right) d x^{0}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the cuto re ection and cuto transm ission am plitudes for this problem can, respectively, be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{E}(y)=\frac{1}{2 i k A}^{Z} e^{i k x^{0}} V_{C}\left(y ; x^{0}\right) E\left(y ; x^{0}\right) d x^{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{E}(y)=1+\frac{1}{2 i k A}^{Z} e^{i k x^{0}}{ }^{0} V_{C}\left(y ; x^{0}\right) E\left(y ; x^{0}\right) d x^{0}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ref. 11 it has been show $n$ that the problem can be stated as two nonlinear rst order equations given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d R_{E}(y)}{d y}=\frac{1}{2 i k} V(y) e^{i k y}+e^{i k y} R_{E}(y)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T_{E}(y)}{d y}=\frac{e^{i k y}}{2 i k} V(y) e^{i k y}+e^{i k y} R_{E}(y) T_{E}(y) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the boundary conditions, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{E}}(1)=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}(1)=$ 1. The Schrodinger's equation, which is a second-order di erential equation, has been disassem bled through the cuto -potential $m$ anipulation into two rst-order equations, Eqs. (7) and (8). Integration of Eq. (8) im mediately leads to
$T_{E}(x)=\exp \int_{x}^{Z_{1}} \frac{e^{i k y}}{2 i k} V(y) e^{i k y}+e^{i k y} R_{E}(y) d y \quad:$

The re ection am plitude $R_{E}$ and the transm ission am plitude $T_{E}$ for the potential $V(x)$ are $R_{E}=R_{E}(1)$ and $T_{E}=T_{E}(1)$ in term $s$ of $w$ hich the re ection and transm ission probabilities are, respectively, gíven as

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{R}=\mathfrak{R}_{E} J^{2}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{k}} \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{~J}^{2} ; \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{f}=P \overline{E \quad V(1)}$.
III. THEPIECEW ISE-CONSTANTPOTENTIAL PROBLEM

W e want to nd analytic expressions of the transm ission am plitude, re ection am plitude and the wave function for a multi-step potential pro le which contains $n$ layers of constant potential in the zero-potentialenviron$m$ ent, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1. Let us solve Eq. (7) for $R_{E}(x)$ in $j$ th step region ( $b_{j} 1<x<b_{j}$ ) for $V(x)=V_{j} \not 0$, where $V_{j}$ is constant for $j=1$; $\quad$; . By de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{E}(x)=R_{E}(x) e^{2 i k x}+1 \frac{2 k^{2}}{V_{j}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (7) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Q_{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{dx}}=i \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}}}{2 \mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{x})\right)^{2}+\frac{4 \mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{j}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \mathrm{k}^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

to which the solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{E}(x)=i 2 k \frac{p_{j}}{V_{j}} \frac{1+A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} x}}{1 A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} x}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith a constant $A_{j}$ to be determ ined by a boundary condition. Here, $p_{j}$ is de ned by

$$
p_{j}=\quad \begin{gather*}
p \overline{V_{j}} k^{2}  \tag{15}\\
i \frac{k^{2}}{k^{2} \quad V_{j}} \text { for } V_{j}>k^{2}<k^{2}:
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, we obtain the cuto re ection am plitude in the jth step region:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{E}(x)=\frac{2 k^{2}}{V_{j}} \quad 1+i 2 k \frac{p_{j}}{V_{j}} \frac{1+A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} x}}{1 A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} x}} \quad e^{2 i k x}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the solution of Eq. (7) for $V_{j}=0$ in the jth step region is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{E}(x)=C_{j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be determ ined by the continuity condition of $R_{E}(x)$ at the boundary $x=b_{j}$.
$\operatorname{If} V_{j+1}=0, R_{E}(x)=C_{j+1}$ in the region $b_{j}<x<b_{j+1}$ and the continuity of $R_{E}(x)$ at the boundary $x=b_{j}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j}=\frac{V_{j}\left(C_{j+1} e^{2 i k b_{j}}+1\right) \quad 2 k^{2} \quad i 2 k p_{j}}{V_{j}\left(C_{j+1} e^{2 i k b_{j}}+1\right)} 2 k^{2}+i 2 k p_{j} \quad e^{2 p_{j} b_{j}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$



A multi-step potentialpro le consisting of $n$ steps in the zero-potential environm ent.

But, if $V_{j+1} \not 0$, the boundary condition gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A_{j}=\frac{D_{j} \quad V_{j+1} p_{j}+i k\left(V_{j+1}\right.}{D_{j}+V_{j+1} P_{j}+i k\left(V_{j+1}\right.} \quad V_{j}\right) \quad e^{2 p_{j} b_{j}} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j}=V_{j} p_{j+1} \frac{1+A_{j+1} e^{2 p_{j+1} b_{j}}}{1 A_{j+1} e^{2 p_{j+1} b_{j}}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take the index $j=n+1$ for the region $x>b_{n}$. Since $V_{n+1}=0$ and $p_{n+1}=i k$, we obtain $A_{n+1}=0$ from (18). $A_{j}$ is related to $A_{j+1}$ through Eq. (19) if $V_{j+1} \not 0$, while it is related to the constant re ection am plitude $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}+1}$ through Eq. (18) if $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}+1}=0$. Therefore, all $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's for $j=1$; $\quad$; $n$ can be determ ined recursively from the starting value $A_{n+1}=0$.

The cuto transm ission am plitude $T_{E}(x)$ can be obtained in the jth step region ( $b_{j} 1<x<b_{j}$ ) from Eq. (9) by the help of (16).

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{E}(x)=T_{E}\left(b_{j}\right) \exp \left[\left(p_{j} \quad i k\right)\left(b_{j} \quad x\right)\right] \\
\frac{1 \quad A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} b_{j}}}{1 A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} x}} \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
T_{E}\left(b_{j}\right)= & Y_{l=j+1}^{n} \\
\exp \left[\left(p_{1}\right.\right. & i k)\left(b_{1}\right. \\
b_{11} \tag{22}
\end{array}\right)\right]\right]
$$

Therefore, the analytic expression of the transm ission am plitude is

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{E}=T_{E}(1)=Y_{l=1}^{Y^{n}} \exp \left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
p_{1} & i k
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{O}_{1} & \mathrm{~b}_{11}
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
\frac{1 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1}}}{1 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1} 1}}: \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Eqs. (4) and (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(y ; y)=A e^{i k y}+e^{i k y} R_{E}(y) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by di erentiating Eq. (4) in the region $y<x$ one has the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@ E(y ; x)}{@ y} & =\frac{e^{i k y} V(y)}{Z^{2 i k}} E(y ; y) e^{i k x}  \tag{25}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 i k} e^{i k j x x^{0}{ }^{i} V_{C}\left(y ; x^{0}\right)} \frac{@ E\left(y ; x^{0}\right)}{@ y} d x^{0}:
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (4) and Eq. (25) are both the solution of Eq. (1) w th the cuto potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{y}$; x$)$ but have di erent amplitudes. Their amplitudes di er by a factor $e^{i k y} V(y)_{e}(y ; y)=2 i k A$, thus in the region $y<x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ E(y ; x)}{@ y}=\frac{e^{i k y} V(y)}{2 i k} e^{i k y}+e^{i k y} R_{E}(y) \quad E(y ; x): \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of Eq. (26) in the region $y<x$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{E(x ; x)}{E(x)}=\frac{E(x ; x)}{E(1 ; x)}  \tag{27}\\
= & \exp \quad Z_{1} \frac{e^{i k y}}{2 i k} V(y) e^{i k y}+e^{i k y} R_{E}(y) d y
\end{align*}
$$

which can be rew ritten, w ith the aid of Eq. (9), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x)=A \frac{T_{E}}{T_{E}(x)} e^{i k x}+e^{i k x} R_{E}(x): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can im agine the insertion of an in nitesim ally thin virtual layer of zero potential at $x$, and consider the wave function $E(x)$ to be the supenposition of the forw ard traveling $w$ ave $e^{i k x}$ and the backw ard traveling $w$ ave $R_{E}(x) e^{i k x}$ re ected upon the potentialon the right side of the virtual layer, $m$ ultiplied by the factor $A T_{E}=T_{E}(x)$ which is fathom able to be the correct am plitude for the superposed w ave function at $x$.

Substituting (21) and (23) into Eq. (28), we w rite the wave function for the energy $E$ in the jth layer ( $b_{j 1}<$ $x<b_{j}$ ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& j E(x)=A{ }_{l=1}^{Y^{j}} \exp \left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(p_{1}\right. & i k)\left(b_{1}\right. & b_{11}
\end{array}\right)\right] \frac{1 A_{1} e^{2 p_{1} b_{1}}}{1 A_{1} e^{2 p_{1} b_{1} 1}} \\
& \exp \left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(P_{j}\right. & i k
\end{array}\right)\left(x \quad b_{j}\right)\right] \frac{1 A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} x}}{1 A_{j} e^{2 p_{j} b_{j}}} \quad e^{i k x}+e^{i k x} R_{E}(x) \\
& =A{ }^{Y j} \exp \left[\left(p_{1} \quad i k\right)\left(b_{1} \quad b_{11}\right)\right] \frac{1}{1} A_{1} e^{2 p_{1} b_{1}} \\
& \frac{2 i k \exp \left[\left(i k \quad p_{j}\right) b_{j}\right]}{V_{j}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.A_{j} \exp \left(2 p_{j} b_{j}\right)\right]
\end{array}\left(i k+p_{j}\right) e^{p_{j} x}+A_{j}\left(i k+p_{j}\right) e^{p_{j} x}: ~\right.} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (29) is an analytic expression for the recursive solution of the Schrodinger equation. It is sim ple and fast to calculate $A_{j}$ 's for any multi-step potential. A lso, any continuous potential problem m ay be considered to be a multi-step potential problem by dividing the non-zero potential region into a lot of $s m$ all segm ents such that the potential in each segm ent can be approxim ated to a speci c value, for instance, the average value, of the continuous potentialw ith in the segm ent. $T$ hus, the analytic expression of the wave function given by Eq. (29) can be used for obtaining an arbitrarily accurate solution for any one-dim ensional potential.

In passing, let us check that Eq. (29) is consistent w th the traveling plane w aves w hich are expected in the regions $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{b}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$, where $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=0$. W ithout loss of generality, one $m$ ay take the rst step region ( $b_{0}<x<b_{1}$ ) such that $V_{1}=0$. Then, the $w$ ave function in the region $x<b_{1}$ becom es
${ }_{1 E}(x)=\lim _{\mathrm{V}_{1}!} A\left(e^{i k x}+e^{i k x} R_{E}(x)\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(p_{1}\right. & i k)(x
\end{array} \quad b_{0}\right)\right] \frac{1}{1} \frac{A_{1} e^{2 p_{1} x}}{A_{1} e^{2 p_{1} b_{0}}} \\
& =A \quad e^{i k x}+R_{E} e^{i k x} \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

since $A_{1}=\left(V_{1}=4 k^{2}\right) R_{E}$ from Eq. (16) for the lim it $V_{1}$ ! 0 . On the other hand, if we take the last step region $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} 1<\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=0$, the $w$ avefunction in the region $x>b_{n}$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{nE}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikx}} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $R_{E}(x)=0$ and $A_{n}=\left(V_{n}=4 k^{2}\right) R_{E}(x)$ from Eqs. (16) and (23) in the $\lim$ it $V_{n}$ ! 0. Eqs. (30) and (31) show that Eq. (29) is consistent as the solution of Eq. (1) in the zero-potential region.

## IV.QUASIBOUND STATES

We consider a resonant barrier structure that consists of $n$ layers of piecew ise constant potential. Resonances are supposed to occur at energies less than both
$V_{1}$ and $V_{n}$ which are potential values of the end steps so that quasi-bound states are involved. T he w ave function ${ }_{j E}(x)$ in $j$ th layer for this structure can be obtained $w$ th the calculated $A_{j}$ 's which are dependent on $E . A_{n}$, in the last layer, can be evaluated from (18) w th cuto re ection am plitude $C_{n+1}=0$. Inserting the evaluated $A_{n}$ into (29), we nd in the last layer for $E<V_{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{nE}(\mathrm{x}) & \left(\mathrm{ik}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}}  \tag{32}\\
& +\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} 2 \mathrm{k}^{2} 22 \mathrm{k} p_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}} 2 \mathrm{k}^{2}+2 i k p_{\mathrm{n}}}\left(i k+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(2 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}\right)} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst term exponentially increasesw ith increasing $x$, while the second term exponentially decreases. O ne can see that if $p_{n}\left(b_{n} \quad b_{n} 1\right)$ is large enough, the
rst term is negligible in $m$ agnitude com pared $w$ ith the second term, so the wave function exponentially dam ps w ith increasing x in the region $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} 1<\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

N ow, let usconsider the $w$ ave function in the rst layer which is given from (29) by

$$
\begin{align*}
1 \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})= & \mathrm{A} \frac{2 i k e^{i k b_{0}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}\left(e^{p_{1} b_{0}} A_{1} e^{p_{1} b_{0}}\right)}  \tag{33}\\
& \left(i k+p_{1}\right) e^{p_{1} x}+\left(i k+p_{1}\right) A_{1} e^{p_{1} \mathrm{x}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is obtained through the step potential pro le of the resonant barrier structure. The wave function given by Eq. (33) exponentially increases w ith increasing $x$ when $\not A_{1} j=0 ; A_{1}$ vanishes at the energies of quasibound states. $\lambda_{1} j=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be used as the quantization condition for the energy levels of a particle con ned in a potential well. In the practical calculations, transm ission resonances occur at the energies where $\lambda_{1}$ j takes a $m$ in im um value in a sudden dip, if $b_{1} \quad b_{0}$ and $b_{n} \quad b_{n} 1$ are large enough. Such resonances indicate quasi-bound states.

N ow, a potential well can be treated by uplifting the potential function restricted on the region of the welland its surroundings to form a resonant barrier structure that can be handled in our approach. This resonant structure is used as a m odel potential of the potential well under consideration. Then, each resonance occurred in


FIG. 2:
(a) T ransm ission probabilities of double-barrier potentials: the solid line and the dashed line are for the square and the gaussian double-barrier potential, respectively. T heir potentialpro les are show $n$ in the inset graph. (b) T he curve of $\ln \left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathcal{D}\right)$ for the double square-barrier potential. Its pro $l e$ is in the inset graph. Each dip of the $\ln (\overbrace{1} \mathcal{J})$ curve below the barrier exactly agrees w ith the resonant peak of transm ission probability shown in (a).
this model-potential problem corresponds to an energy eigenvalue for the potential well, and the real part or the im aginary part of the quasi-bound-state function obtained for a resonant energy is the eigenfunction of the bound state belonging to the corresponding eigenvalue for the potential well w ithin a nom alization constant.

## V.SOMEEXAMPLES

In order to dem onstrate the validity of ourm ethod, we consider quantum barriers and quantum well potentials. Here, we exam ine the derived recursive expressions of the transm ission am plitude and the state $w$ ave-fiunction given by Eqs. (23) and (29), respectively, by calculating $\mathrm{A}_{j}$ 's for several potentials.

F irst, we consider rectangular and gaussian doublebarrier potentials which are shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a). H ere, the unit energy is chosen to be the $m$ axim um potentialenergy of the barrier. Since the rectangu lar double barrier structure consists of three layers of constant potential, the analytic expression of the recursive solution ${ }_{j E}(x)$ for this structure can be obtained with calculated $A_{j}$ 's which are dependent on E . O ne can determ ine $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ from Eq. (18) w th the cuto re ec-


FIG. 3 :
R esonances for a m odel potential of harm onic oscillator given by Eq. (34). Each sharp dips on the solid line of the curve $\ln (\overbrace{1})$ exactly agrees $w$ ith a resonant peak on the dashed line of the curve $\ln \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$.
tion am plitude $C_{4}=R_{E}(x)=0$ in the region $x>b_{3}$, and determ ine $C_{2}$ for the step region of $j=2$ where $V_{2}=0$ by evaluating $R_{E}(x)$ at $x=b_{2}$ in the step region of $j=3$ through Eq. (16). Then, $A_{1}$ can be also obtained through Eq. (18) using the value of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. F ig. (2)(b) shows the curve of $\ln \left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ for the rectangular double barrier structure. E ach dip of the curve coincides with a transm ission resonance for the energy below the barrier ( $k<1$ ) as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2]. Such a sharp dip of $A_{1}$ indicates that an exponentially increasing term of the wave function is dom inant in the rst barrier region due to a negligibly sm all $\mathrm{iA}_{1}$ j as was considered below Eq. (331), so that the transm ission probability becom es large in the narrow energy region. T he resultant transm ission probabilities for the tw o barrier pro les have sim ilar resonance pattems as shown in Fig. 2 (a). W e have con $m$ ed that the solid line for the rectangular double barrier perfectly agreesw ith the result obtained by the traditionalm ethod for solving the Schrodinger's equation for step potential problem sw ith boundary conditions im posed.
$N$ ext, we consider a potentialm odelby which one can obtain eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for a harm onic oscillator. $W$ e take the unit energy to be $=\sim!=2$, where ! is the characteristic angular frequency of the harm onic oscillator. Then, the dim ensionless potential in Eq. (1) is $V_{h o}=x^{2}$ for the harm onic oscillator. In order to apply ourm ethod to the harm onic oscillator in an energy range $0<\mathrm{E}<16$, we em ploy a m odel potential:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H} \circ} \circ(\mathrm{x})=\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& <\mathrm{x}^{2}  \tag{34}\\
& \mathrm{for} \dot{x} j<4 \\
& 16 \\
& \text { for } 4<\dot{j} j<10 \\
& 0 \\
& \text { for } \dot{x} j>10 ;
\end{align*}
$$

as show $n$ in the inset of $F$ ig. 3. This $m$ odel has a double barrier structure and represents a quasi-bound problem in which the transm ission of a particle through the


The wave function of the low est quasi-bound state ( $\mathrm{E}=1: 0$ ) for the potential given by Eq. (34) for three regions w ith di erent units. T he solid line and dashed line are the real and im aginary parts of the wave function, respectively.
m odel potential reaches its peak when the energy is resonant w ith one of the energy levels of the quasi-bound states in the region between the two potential barriers. The potential in Eq. (34) is expected to involve low quasi-bound-state energies that approxim ately equal the bound state energies of the harm onic oscillator, respectively. Each sharp dip of $\ln \left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ ) suppresses the term exponentially decreasing $w$ ith increasing $x$ in the wave function in Eq. (29) allow ing the exponentially increasing term to be dom inant in the rst barrier, and thus occurs at the sam e energy as a resonance peak which 10 cates a quasi-bound state, as show n in Fig. 3. E nergies of the quasi-bound states have been determ ined very accurately to $t$ in the exact eigenvalues of the harm on ic oscillator $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2$; for the low lying states. H ow ever, there are very sm allerrors for the upper levels, for instance, $n=5 ; 6 ; 7$, because the $m$ odel potentialin Eq. (34) is di erent from the potential of the harm onic oscillator for the region $j x j>4$.

O nce a quasi-bound-state eigenvalue is determ ined, the w ave function of the state can be obtained from Eq. (29). $T$ he low est quasi-bound state for the $m$ odel potential in Eq. (34) corresponds to the ground state for the harm onic oscillator. The wave function of the state is separately shown in Fig . 4 for the regions, $30<\mathrm{x}<10$,
$10<\mathrm{x}<10$, and $10<\mathrm{x}<30 \mathrm{w}$ th di erent units. $T$ he solid line and dashed line are, respectively, the real part and the im aginary part of the wave function. The forw ard and re ected plane w aves are supenposed in the region $\mathrm{x}<10$, and only the transm itted forw ard planewave propagates in the region $x>10$. O ne can see in Fig.4(b) that the wave function exponentially increases


FIG.5:
The w ave functions of three quasi-bound states of energies, (a) $E=3: 0$, (b) $E=5: 0$ and (c) $E=7: 0$, for the potential given by Eq. (34). T he solid lines and dashed lines are the real and im aginary parts of the $w$ ave functions, respectively.
$w$ ith increasing $x$ in the rst barrier region at the resonance energy but exponentially decreases in the second barrier region which is su ciently wide as explained earlier, form ing the low est quasi-bound-state $w$ ave function in the binding potential region betw een the tw o barriers. $W$ ave functions of the next three quasiboound states for the sam e m odel potential that correspond to the three low est excited states in the harm onic oscillator problem are also show $n$ in $F$ ig. (5). E ther the realpart or the im aginary part of a quasi-bound-state wave function can be taken as the wave function of the corresponding bound state for the harm onic oscillator.

Forour nalexam ple, we consider a sym m etric doublewell potential given by

$$
V_{d w}(x)=\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2}\left(x^{2}\right. & 16)  \tag{35}\\
\text { for } \dot{j} j<4 \text {; } \\
0 & \text { for } \dot{x} j>4:
\end{array}
$$

For treating this problem w th our m ethods, we take a m odelpotentialof $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{dw}}$ by uplifting the potential function on the region $\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}<6$ by 64:

$$
V_{D W}(x)=\begin{array}{ll}
8 \\
<x^{2}\left(x^{2}\right. & 16)+64 \\
64 & \text { for } \dot{x} j<4 ;  \tag{36}\\
0 & \text { for } 4<\dot{x} j<6 ; \\
0 & \text { for } \dot{x} j>6 ;
\end{array}
$$



FIG. 6:
Logarithm ic transm ission probabilities for double-w ell m odel potentials given by (a) Eq. (36) and (b) Eq. (37). Each peak rep resents transm ission resonance.
which is show $n$ in the inset of $F$ ig. 6(a). The localm axim um of the $m$ odel potential located at $x=p_{0}$ is 64, and the two localm inim a are located at $x=2 \mathrm{p}$. The calculated curve for $\ln P_{T}$ is plotted in $F$ ig. 6(a). A $s$ is well-know n, each peak com prises a pair of states, an even state and an odd state, whose energies are the very sam e especially for a peak of low er energy. But they are not perfectly degenerate, since a particle in one potentialw ell can tunnel into the other well through the $m$ iddle barrier which is nite. The energy di erence of two states com prised in a peak increasesw ith increasing energy, and thus the seventh pair of states which are just below the barrier appears to be separated.

In order to con $m$ that two states are com prised in a peak, we add a sm all asym $m$ etric potential function to the potential in Eq. (36) :

$$
V_{D W}^{0}(x)=V_{D W}(x)+V^{0}(x)=V_{D W}(x)+\tanh x+1: \quad(37)
$$

$U$ sing this potential, we obtain a curve of $\ln \mathcal{F}_{T} j$ in which there are seven pairs of quasiboound states as show $n$ in Fig. 6 (b). E ach pair is split into tw o peaks due to the perturbing potential $V^{0}(x)$ from a peak containing tw o states for the doublewell potential $V_{D W}$ shown in Fig. $6(a)$. $T$ he low er state and higher state in each pair are expected to be con ned in the left well and right w ell, respectively.

In order to nd bound-state w ave functions for the dou-


FIG.7:
The wave functions of three bound states for the double well potential, $V(x)=x^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x^{2} & 16\end{array}\right)+\tanh x ;(a)$ the ground state, (b) the eighth excited state, and (c) the ninth excited state. These wave functions have been obtained by using the m odel potential given by Eq. (37) as explained in the text.
ble well potential given as $V(x)=x^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x^{2} & 16)+\tanh x,\end{array}\right.$ one $m$ ay take either the real parts or im aginary parts of quasi-bound-state wave functions determ ined from Eq. (29) for an appropriate model potential such as Eq. (37). The realparts (or im aginary parts) can be considered as the bound-state eigenfunctions $w$ thin a nor$m$ alization constant. Real parts of the wave function of the lowest $(n=0)$, the ninth $(n=8)$, and the tenth ( $n=9$ ) quasi-bound states, which are taken to be the wave functions of corresponding bound states, are plotted in Fig. 7 (a), (b) and (c), respectively. Fig. 7 show s that the particle which occupies the low est state or the ninth state stays in the left potentialw ell, while the particle occupying the tenth state stays in the right potential well, as expected.
VI.D ISC USSIONSAND CONCLUSION

A nalytically solving tw o nonlinear rst-order di erential equations equivalent to the Schrodinger's equation, we have obtained the recursive solutions of the cuto re ection amplitude $R_{E}(x)$, the cuto transm ission am plitude $T_{E}(x)$ and the state wave function $E(x)$ for a
multi-step potential. O ne can use the recursive solutions in Eqs. (23) and (29) for any piecew ise constant potential to obtain transm ission am plitudes and eigenfiunctions, respectively. If an approxim ate m ulti-step potentialconsisting ofsu ciently m any layers is substituted for a sm ooth potential pro le, calculations w th the recursive solutions are accurate and fast.

For treating a potentialw ell, w e take a m odelpotential $w$ th two side barriers that contains a partialpro le identical to the pro le of the potentialwell in the region betw een the side barriers. In the $m$ odel potential problem, resonance occurs at the energy of a quasi-bound state, so the energies can be determ ined by locating the trans$m$ ission resonances. O nce a quasi-bound-state energy is know $n$, the eigenfunction for the energy can also be determ ined. H ow ever, the realpart and the im aginary part of the w ave function of a quasi-bound state exponentially increases at a sam e rate in the rst barrier and exponentially decrease in the last barrier as explained earlier, and are the sam e w ithin a factor tan in the region betw een tw o side barriers, being a phase angle. Therefore, either the real or the im aginary part can be taken for the wave function of the corresponding bound state $w$ th a norm alization constant.

W e have considered several exam ples to dem onstrate the validity of our $m$ ethod $w$ th the recursive solutions. First, we have calculated the transm ission probabilities of a particle incident upon a rectangular and a gaussian double-barrier potential which show typical features of resonant tunneling in F ig. 2 (a). The rectangular double barrier sim ply consists of three layers, the left barrier being the rst potential step, and the feature of resonant tunneling can be explained by the calculational results of $A_{1}$ in which inform ation of the barrier structure is included. If $A_{1}=0$ for an energy lower than the barriers, the $w$ ave function does not contain exponentially decreasing term $w$ ith increasing $x$ in the rst barrier region so that it exponentially increases in the region, and thus the transm ission probability reachesm axim um at the energy. P ractically, the sharp dips of the $\ln \overbrace{1} j$ curve in Fig. 2 (b) represent the resonances associated w ith quasibound states in the rectangularw ellbetw een the barriers.

The harm onic oscillator problem can be dealt $w$ ith by solving a quasi-bound-state problem $w$ th the $m$ odel potential given by Eq. (34). The calculational results for $\ln \lambda_{1} j$ and $\ln P_{T}$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3 , so the quasi-bound-state energies which $m$ ay be regarded as the energy eigenvalues of the harm onic oscillator can readily be determ ined by locating the resonance peaks of $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ or the sharp dips of $\ln \lambda_{1} j$. The resultant peaks perfectly agree w ith the exact eigenvalues for low energy states, but deviate a little from the exact values for high energy states; $\mathrm{E}_{0}=1: 000, \mathrm{E}_{1}=3: 000, \mathrm{E}_{2}=5: 000$, $E_{3}=6: 9999 E_{4}=8: 999, E_{5}=10: 994, E_{6}=12: 970$, and $\mathrm{E}_{7}=14: 857$. Since the deviation originates from the approxim ated $m$ odel potential w hich does not agree $w$ ith
the harm onic-oscillatorpotentialon the high potentialregion j jj> 4, one $m$ ay get $m$ ore accurate eigenvalues for higher states by using a largerm odel potentialw hich $00-$ incides $w$ ith the harm onic-oscillator potential in a wider region.

W e have applied our $m$ ethod to a sym $m$ etric doublewell potential given by Eq. (35), using Eq. (36) as its m odel potential. It is well-know $n$ that an even state and an odd state are folded together belonging closely to an eigenvalue if they are deeply bound in a sym $m$ etric double wellw hose m iddle barrier is strong enough so that the wave functions nearly vanish in the barrier region. T he even state and odd state belonging to the sam e eigenvalue can be combined to constitute two bound states that are localized in the left well and right well w th the sam e eigenvalue, respectively. Thus, one $m$ ay consider each resonance peak to correspond to a pair of states con ned in one and the other well, as well as a pair of even and odd states. W hen an asym $m$ etric perturbing potential is added to the doublew ell potential, each resonance peak has been split into two peaks, as shown in Fig.6(b). Since the potential is not sym $m$ etric due to the perturbation, even and odd states can not be sustained. Therefore, each resonance peak in Fig. 6(b) represents a state con ned in one of the two wells. A s expected, Fig. 7 show s that am ong the tw o states separated from each other due to the perturbation, the low er energy state is con ned in the left well which is a little deeper than the right well, while the higher energy state is con ned in the right-w ell region. The ninth ( $n=8$ ) and tenth ( $n=9$ ) states are the fth states in the left well and the right well, respectively, so the num bers of nodes in their w ave functions are identically four as in $F$ ig 7 (b) and (c).

Here, $m$ ore accurate determ ination of quasi-boundstate energies is required to obtain eigenfunctions of the lower quasi-bound-state con ned in the right well, because the resonance is sharper for the particle tunneling into a deeper quasi-bound state or a state con ned in the right well through the stronger barrier or tw o barriers (the rst barrier and the m iddle barrier); for instance, the determ ined eigenvalues $\mathrm{E}_{0}=5: 601849104, \mathrm{E}_{1}=$ $7: 58342367856952$, $_{8}=46: 290706$ and $\mathrm{E}_{9}=48: 1538536$ should be used in obtaining the w ave functions, where $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ is required to be the $m$ ost accurate. H ere, each boundstate energy for $V(x)=x^{2}\left(x^{2} \quad 16\right)+\tanh x$ is the corresponding quasi-bound-state energy for $V_{D W}^{0} m$ inus 65. Unlike the $m$ odel potential of the harm onic oscillator, no approxim ation is involved in the calculation $w$ ith the m odel potential of this double well potential.

A though here we have presented results for double barriers, a harm onic oscillator, and doublewell potentials, the analysis can easily be applied for accurate calculations to general potential barrier and quantum well structures. The analysis could be extended to the onedim ensional problem of a particle that has a coordinatedependent $m$ ass, by im posing the boundary conditions
on the step potential structure. In addition, the threedim ensional Schrodinger equation for a spherically sym $m$ etric potential can also be solved using the present $m$ ethod because such a three-dim ensional problem can be reduced to a one-dim ensional problem.

In conclusion, we have described a m ethod for solving the one-dim ensional Schrodinger equation by $m$ eans of the analytic expressions of recursive solutions derived for piecew ise-constant potentials. T he recursive solutions provide a general analysis of one-dim ensional scattering, quasi-bound and bound state problem s. W e have dem onstrated the validity of the $m$ ethod by taking som e exam ples to show consistent and predictable calculational results. T he calculations w ith the recursive solutions were rapid and accurate even for sm oothly varying potentials.
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