Quantum mechanics in general quantum systems (I): exact solution

An Min Wang

Quantum Theory Group, Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, P.R.China

A fler proving and using a m athem atical identity, we st deduce an expansion form ula of operator binom ials power. Then, starting from our idea of combining the Feynman path integral spirit and the Dyson series kernel, we nd an explicit and general form of time evolution operator that is a c-num ber function and a power series of perturbation including all order approximations in the unperturbed H am iltonian representation. B ased on it, we obtain an exact solution of the Schrodinger equation in general quantum systems independent of time. In special, we write down the concrete form of the exact solution of the Schrodinger equation when the solvable part (unperturbed part) of this H am iltonian is simply taken as the kinetic energy term . C om parison of our exact solution with the existed perturbation theory makes some features and signi cance of our exact solution clear. The conclusions expressly indicate that our exact solution is obviously consistent with the usual tim e-independent perturbation theory at any order approximation, it explicitly calculates out the expanding coe cients of the unperturbed state in the non-perturbation m ethod, and it fully solves the recurrence equation of the expansion coe cients of nal state in the unperturbed Ham iltonian representation from a view of time-dependent perturbation theory. At the same time, the exact solution of the von Neum ann equation is also given. Our results can be thought of as theoretical developm ents of quantum dynam ics, and are helpful for understanding the dynam ical behavior and related subjects of general quantum systems in both theory and application. Our exact solution, together with its sequence studies on perturbation theory An M in W ang, quant-ph/0611217] and open system dynamics [An M in W ang, quant-ph/0601051] can be used to establish the foundation of theoretical formulism of quantum mechanics in general quantum systems. Further applications of our exact solution to quantum theory can be expected.

PACS num bers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ca

I. IN TRODUCTION

One of the most important tasks of physics is to obtain the time evolution law and form of a physical system, that is, so-called dynam ical equation and its solution. This directly relates to physics foundation. In a quantum system, the dynam ical equation is the Schrödinger equation [1, 2] for a pure state j (t) i or the von N eum ann equation for a mixed state (t) [3], that is

$$i\frac{d}{dt}j(t)i = H j(t)i;$$
(1)

$$(t) = i[H; (t)]:$$
 (2)

where H is the H am iltonian of a quantum system. However, only a few idealized quantum systems are exactly solvable at present by using the existed theory and methods. Therefore, it is extremely interesting and essential important to nd the exact solutions to the Schrödinger equation and the von Neumann equation for H am iltonians of even moderate complexity.

If the H am iltonian is assumed independent of time, an arbitrary initial state at t = 0 is denoted by j (0) i for a pure state or (0) for a mixed state, then the nal state at a given time t will become

$$j(t)i = e^{iHt}j(0)i;$$
(3)

$$(t) = e^{iH t} (0)e^{iH t}; \tag{4}$$

where e^{iHt} (set ~ = 1 for simplicity) is called as the time evolution operator. The above equations indicate that that the nal state can be rewritten as the form that the time evolution operator acts on an initial state. In other

E lectronic address: anm wang@ ustc.edu.cn

words, they are, respectively, a form al solution of the Schrodinger equation for a pure state and one of the von N eum ann equation for a mixed state. However, the form al solution is not the practical solution that can be applied to concrete problem s and actual calculations. O nes are interested in the practical solution because it can really produce the physical results and conclusions in the quantum theory. Hence, to solve the Schrodinger equation and the von N eum ann equation refers to not the practical solution in most cases. It is clear that this task is equivalently to seek for the explicit expression of the tim e evolution operator.

H istorically, the most famous example to study the expression of the time evolution operator is Feynman path integral formulism [4]. In fact, D yson series is also an important example [5]. From our point of view, only if the expression of the time evolution operator is a c-number function, can we clearly express the practical solution of the nal state using (3) and (4), respectively, for the pure state and the mixed state. Moreover, we realize that for a general quantum system without the exact solution in the usual theory, the expression of the nal state in c-number function form can be generally expressed by an in nite series. Hence, only if the expressing series of the nal state is a power series of perturbation, can we inherit the advantages of perturbation theory. As is well-known, to nd the c-num ber function form of the time evolution operator is a successful linchpin of Feynman path integral form ulism, and to expand the time evolution operator as a power series of perturbation is a powerful headstream of D yson series (in the interaction picture). C onsequently, our physical idea in this paper comes from the combination of Feynman path integral spirit and D yson series kernel. Starting from this combined idea, we would like to nd an explicit and general expression of the time evolution operator that it not only is a c-number function but also is a power series expression of the time explicit and performant that it not only is a c-number function but also is a power series expression of the time explicit and performant the time explicit approximations in terms of our own methods.

To express the time evolution operator as a c-num ber function needs a representation of H ilbert space, and further to expand it as a power series of perturbation needs to separate its unperturbed part and perturbing part. A ctually, the above problem s can be studied by using the sim ilar m ethods to the usual perturbation theory [1, 2].

In the usual perturbation theory, the key idea to research the time evolution of general quantum systems is to split the system H am iltonian into two parts, that is

$$H = H_0 + H_1; (5)$$

where the eigenvalue problem of so-called unperturbed H am iltonian H $_0$ is solvable, and so-called perturbing H am iltonian H $_1$ is the rest part of the H am iltonian. In other words, this splitting is chosen in such a manner that the solutions of H $_0$ are known as

$$H_{0}j \quad i = E \quad j \quad i; \tag{6}$$

where j i is the eigenvector of H_0 and E is the corresponding eigenvalue. Whole j i, in which takes over all possible values, form a representation of the unperturbed H am iltonian. It must be pointed out that in the perturbation theory, the above H am iltonian split principle with the best solvability is not unique in more general cases. It is possible to need to consider such an additional condition that the selected split can rem ove degeneracies as complete as possible in order to suit to apply the perturbation theory, or to let our improved scheme of perturbation theory [6] work well. If the remained degeneracies are allowed, it requires that the o -diagonal element of the perturbation matrix between arbitrary two degenerate levels are always vanishing. As an example, it has been discussed in our sequence study [7]. Of course, if the cut-o approximation of perturbation is necessary in our improved scheme of perturbation theory [6], the o -diagonal elements of H = H_0 + H_1 in the H_0 representation.

As soon as we nd the explicit and general expression of the time evolution operator that is both a c-num ber function and a power series of perturbation including all order approximations, we denitely can obtain the exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation and von N eum ann equation, and so we can solve a lot of problem s in quantum theory, for example, entanglement dynamics and open system dynamics. Of course, our solutions are called \exact ones" in the sense including all order approximations of perturbation. However, our nalpurpose is not limit to these. W e, starting from our exact solutions, try to nally establish the foundations of theoretical form ulism of quantum mechanics in general quantum systems. Our serial studies on perturbation theory [6] and open system dynamics [7] indicate that we have partially arrived at our purpose.

In this paper, the key and central task is, in the unperturbed H am iltonian representation, to nd an explicit expression of the time evolution operator $e^{iH t}$ that is a c-number function and a power series of perturbation including all order approximations according to our idea combining the Feynman path integral spirit and D yson series kernel. Our method is rst to derive out an expansion form ula of operator binom ials power and then apply it to the Taylor's expansion of time evolution operator $e^{iH t} = e^{i(H_0 + H_1)t}$. Moreover, after proving and using our identity, we derive out the explicit and general form of representation matrix of $e^{iH t}$ in the representation of the unperturbed H am iltonian H₀. Consequently, we obtain the exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation and the von N eum ann equation in general quantum systems independent of time, in particular, a concrete form of the Schrödinger

equation solution when the solvable part of H am iltonian is simply taken as the kinetic energy term in order to account for the generality and universality of our exact solutions. Furtherm ore, by comparing our exact solution of the Schrödinger equation with the usual perturbation theory, we reveal their relations and show what is m ore and what is di erent. The conclusions clearly indicate that our exact solution is obviously consistent with the usual time-independent perturbation theory at any order approxim ation, but also in our exact solution we explicitly calculate out the expanding coe cients of unperturbed state in Lippm ann-Schwinger equation [8] for the non-perturbative m ethod, and/or fully solves the recurrence equation of the expansion coe cients of nal state in the unperturbed H am iltonian representation from a view of time-dependent perturbation theory. Based on the above all of reasons and results, our exact solutions can be thought of as the theoretical developm ents of quantum dynam ics in general quatum systems, and they are helpful for understanding the dynam ical behavior and related subjects of quantum systems in both theory and application. From our point of view, our exact solutions and their sequence studies on perturbation theory [6] and open system dynam ics [7] can be used to establish the foundation of quantum m echanics of general quantum systems. Specially, we think that the features and advantages of our exact solutions can not be fully revealed only by the in proved scheme e of perturbation theory [6] and open system dynam ics [7]. W e would like to study the m ore applications to the form ulation of quantum m echanics in general quantum system s in the near future.

This paper is organized as the following: in this section, we give an introduction; in Sec. II we not propose the expansion form ula of power of operator binomials; in Sec. III we derive out an explicit and general expression of time evolution operator after proving and using our identity; in Sec. IV we obtain the exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation and the von Neum ann equation, and then present a concrete example when the solvable part (unperturbed part) of H am iltonian is taken as the kinetic energy term; in Sec. V by comparison of our exact solution of the Schrödinger equation with the usual perturbation theory, we prove their consistency and their relations, and explain what is more and what is di erent.; in Sec. V I we sum marize our conclusions and give som e discussions. Finally, we write an appendix where the proof of our identity is presented.

II. EXPANSION FORMULA OF OPERATOR BINOM IALS POWER

In order to obtain the explicitly exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation and von Neum ann equation in general quantum systems independent of time, we need to deduce the expression of the time evolution operator. A coording to our physical idea, we should not separate the time evolution operator into two parts, respectively, with perturbation and without perturbation. A fler to split the Ham iltonian, this problem changes to the derivation of expansion form ula of operator binom ials power. Without loss of generality, we are always able to write the power of operator binom ials as two parts

$$(A + B)^{n} = A^{n} + f^{n} (A; B):$$
 (7)

where A and B are two operators and do not commute with each other in general. If B is taken as a perturbation, the above decomposition just splits the operator binom ials power into two parts, respectively, with perturbation and without perturbation. Now, the key matter is how to obtain the form of f^n (A; B).

It is clear that $f^n(A;B)$ is a polynom ial including at least rst power of B and at most nth power of B in every term. Thus, a general term with 1th power of B has the form $Q_{i=1}^{1} A^{k_i} B A^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{l} k_i$. From the symmetry of power of binom ials we conclude that every k_i take the values from 0 to (n 1), but it must keep n 1 $P_{i=1}^{1} k_i$ 0. So we have

where (x) is a step function, that is, (x) = 1 if x 0, and (x) = 0 if x < 0.0 by based on above de nition, we easily verify

$$f^{1}(A;B) = B; f^{2}(A;B) = AB + B(A + B);$$
 (10)

They imply that the expression (8) is correct for n = 1;2.

Now we use the mathematical induction to prove the expression (8) of $f^n(A;B)$, that is, let us assume that it is valid for a given n, and then prove that it is also valid for n + 1. Denoting $F^{n+1}(A;B)$ with the form of expression (9) where n is replaced by n + 1, and we extract the part of l = 1 in its nite summation for l

We extract the term $s k_1 = 0$ in the rst and second sum mations, and again replace k_1 by $k_1 = 1$ in the sum mation (the sum mations for k_i from 1 to (n + 1) l change as one from 0 to n = 1), the result is

$$F^{n+1}(A;B) = BA^{n} + A^{k_{1}}BA^{n-k_{1}} + B \qquad A^{k_{1}}B A^{(n+1)-1} \sum_{i=2}^{1} k_{i} \qquad (n+1) - 1 \qquad k_{i}$$

$$F^{n+1}(A;B) = BA^{n} + A^{k_{1}}BA^{n-k_{1}} + B \qquad A^{k_{1}}B A^{(n+1)-1} \sum_{i=2}^{1} k_{i} \qquad (n+1) - 1 \qquad k_{i}$$

$$K^{n+1}(n,X^{1}) - 1 \qquad Y^{1} \qquad Y^{1} \qquad X^{1} \qquad Y^{1} \qquad$$

furtherm ore

$$F^{n+1}(A;B) = BA^{n} + A \xrightarrow{X^{1}} A^{k_{1}}BA^{n-1-k_{1}} + B \xrightarrow{X^{1-1}(nX^{1})-1} Y^{1} \xrightarrow{Y^{1}} A^{k_{1}}B$$

$$k_{1}=0 \xrightarrow{I=2 k_{2}; I=1 \Rightarrow 0 \quad i=2} X^{1} \xrightarrow{Y^{1}} A^{(n+1)-1} \sum_{i=2}^{1} k_{i} \quad (n+1) = 1 \xrightarrow{X^{1}} k_{i}$$

$$+A \xrightarrow{X^{i+1}X^{1-(nX^{1})-1} Y^{1}} A^{k_{1}}BA^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{1} k_{i} \quad n=1 \xrightarrow{X^{1}} k_{i} : (13)$$

$$I=2 k_{1}=0 k_{2}; I=9 \quad i=1$$

Considering the third term in above expression, we change the dum my index $fk_2;k_3;$ 19 kinto $fk_1;k_2;$ 1;kg, rewrite (n + 1) las n (l 1), and nally replace lby l 1 in the sum mation (the sum mation for l from 2 to n + 1 changes as one from 1 to n), we obtain

where we have used the expression (9) of $f^n(A; B)$. Because of the step function $n = 1 = \frac{P_{i=1}}{k_i} k_i$ in the fourth term of the expression (13), the upper bound of sum mation for l is abated to n, and the upper bound of sum mation for $k_2; k_3; = 1$ is abated to n = 1. Then, merging the fourth term and the second term in Eq.(13) gives

where we have used again the expression (9) of f^n (A; B).

Substituting (14) and (15) into (13), im mediately leads to the following result

$$F^{n+1} = BA^{n} + (A + B)f^{n} (A; B)$$
: (16)

N ote that

$$(A + B)^{n+1} = (A + B)(A + B)^{n}$$

= $A^{n+1} + BA^{n} + (A + B)f^{n} (A;B);$ (17)

we have the relation

$$f^{n+1}(A;B) = BA^{n} + (A+B)f^{n}(A;B);$$
(18)

Therefore, in terms of Eqs.(16) and (18) we have nished our proof that f^n (A;B) has the expression (8) or (9) for any n.

III. EXPRESSION OF THE TIME EVOLUTION OPERATOR

Now we investigate the expression of the time evolution operator by means of above expansion form ula of power of operator binom ials, that is, we write

$$e^{iH t} = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(it)^{n}}{n!} (H_{0} + H_{1})^{n} = e^{iH_{0}t} + \frac{X^{1}}{n!} \frac{(it)^{n}}{n!} f^{n} (H_{0}; H_{1}):$$
(19)

In above equation, inserting the complete relation p_{j}^{P} j in j= 1 before every H $_{0}^{k_{1}}$, and using the eigen equation of H $_{0}$ (6), it is easy to see that

$$f^{n}(H_{0};H_{1}) = \begin{cases} X^{n} X & X^{1} & Y^{1} & \# & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\$$

where $H_1^{i + 1} = h^{i} H_1 j^{i+1}$, E [; 1] is a vector with 1+ 1 components denoted by

$$E[;1] = fE_{1};E_{2}; ; ; E_{1+1}g$$
 (21)

ш

and we introduce the de nition of C_1^n (E [;1]) (1 1) as the following

$$C_{1}^{n} (E [;1]) = \begin{array}{c} & \overset{"}{X} \stackrel{1}{} & \overset{"}{Y}^{1} & \overset{\#}{\#} \\ & & X^{1} & Y^{1} & & \\ & & E^{k_{i}} & E^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{1} k_{i} & 1 \\ & & E^{k_{1}}; & \overset{1}{\xrightarrow{1}} & \overset{1}{\xrightarrow{1}} & & \\ & & \sum_{i=1}^{1} k_{i} + 1 & n \end{array}$$
(22)

The expression (20) and the expression of the time evolution operator depend on it has incarnated our physical idea, that is, it is both a c-number function and a power series of perturbation. Our further task is to not the explicit expression of C_1^n (E [;1]).

Before to nd C_1^n (E [;1]), let us mention the cases when energy level degenerations happen, that is, some same values appear in the eigen spectrum E = fE = 1;2; g, the eigen spectrum is re-denoted by E = fE = 1;2; ;a 1; ;g and the numbers of original is di erent from the numbers of new . Here, m is called degeneracy for a given , which means the number of the same eigenvalues (m -fold degeneracy). In special, when m = 1, the energy level E_a is not degenerate. It is clear that the above expression (20) has only a little change:

...

$$f^{n}(H_{0};H_{1}) = \begin{array}{c} X^{n} X X \\ I^{n}(H_{0};H_{1}) = \\ I^{n}(H_{1};H_{1}) = \\ I^{n}$$

which has no obvious in uence on our following derivation and proof. For simplicity, we do not consider the degenerate cases in this section since no new idea and skill will be needed here.

In order to derive out an explicit and useful expression of C_1^n (E [;1]), we rst change the dummy index k_1 ! n 1 $\prod_{i=1}^{l} k_i$ in Eq.(9). Note that at the same time in spite of (n 1 $\prod_{i=1}^{l} k_i$) changes as (k₁), but a hiding factor (k₁) becomes (n 1 $\prod_{i=1}^{l} k_i$). Thus, we can rewrite

$$f^{n}(A;B) = \begin{array}{c} X^{n} X^{1} & Y^{1} & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & A^{k_{i}}B & A^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{1} k_{i} B A^{k_{i}} & n & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

In fact, this new expression of $f^n(A;B)$ is a result of the symmetry of power of binom ials for its every factor. Similarly, in terms of the above method to obtain the de nition of C_1^n (E [;1]) (22), we have its new de nition (where k_1 is replaced by k)

$$C_{1}^{n} (E[;1]) = \begin{cases} 8 & " & \# \\ X^{1} \langle X^{1} & Y^{1} \\ & & E_{i}^{k_{i}} & E_{1}^{(n-k)} & \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} k_{i-1} \\ & & & K_{i} & K_{i} \\ & & & K_{i} & K_{i-1} & K_{i-1} \end{cases} (25)$$

Because $(n \ k) \ l \ \sum_{i=1}^{P} k_i$ abates the upper bound of sum mation for k_i (i = 1;2; ;1 1) from (n 1) to (n k 1) (l 1), we obtain the recurrence equation

$$C_{1}^{n} (E [; 1]) = \begin{cases} X^{1 < (n \ k} X^{1}) (1 \ 1) & Y^{1} \\ X^{1 < (n \ k} X^{1}) (1 \ 1) & Y^{1} \\ E^{k_{i}} & E^{k_{i}} \\ K^{1} & E^{k_{i}} \\ K^{1} & K^{1} \\ K^{1} \\ K^{1} & K^{1} \\ K^{1} \\$$

In particular, when l= 1, from the de nition of C $_1^n$ (E (;1)) it follows that

$$C_{1}^{n} (E (;1)) = \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{k_{1}} E_{1}^{k_{1}} E_{2}^{n-1-k_{1}} = E_{2}^{n-1} \frac{k^{1}}{k_{1}=0} \frac{E_{1}}{E_{2}}^{k_{1}} :$$
(27)

By means of the sum mation form ula of a geometric series, we nd that

$$C_{1}^{n}(E(;1)) = \frac{E_{1}^{n}}{E_{1}E_{2}} - \frac{E_{2}^{n}}{E_{1}E_{2}}:$$
(28)

Based on the recurrence equation (26), we have

$$C_{2}^{n}(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{;2})) = \begin{cases} X^{2} & \frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{n} \mathbf{k}^{1}}{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\mathbf{E}_{2}^{n} \mathbf{k}^{1}}{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{E}_{2} \end{cases} \mathbf{E}_{3}^{k}$$

$$= \begin{cases} X^{2} & (1)^{i} \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{n}} \frac{\mathbf{k}^{2}}{\mathbf{E}_{2}^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{3}^{3}}{\mathbf{E}_{3}^{2}} \\ i = 1 \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1)^{i} \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{n}} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{2}^{n}}{\mathbf{E}_{2}^{2}} \mathbf{E}_{3} \\ i = 1 \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1)^{i} \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{n}} \frac{\mathbf{E}_{2}^{n} \mathbf{E}_{3}}{\mathbf{E}_{3}^{2}} \\ i = 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{X^{2}}{(\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{2}^{2})(\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{3})} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{3}^{n} \\ \mathbf{E}_{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}^{n}}{(\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{2})(\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{3})} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_{2}^{n} \\ \mathbf{E}_{2} \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{E}_{2}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{3})} \\ + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{3}^{n}}{(\mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{3})(\mathbf{E}_{2}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{3})} ; \end{cases}$$
(29)

$$\dot{X}^{+1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{E_{i}^{K}}{d_{i}(E[;1])} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\text{If } 0 & K < 1) \\ 1 & (\text{If } K = 1) \end{pmatrix} ;$$
(30)

It is proved in Appendix A in detail. The denom inators d_i (E [; 1]) in above identity are de ned by

$$d_{1} (E [;1]) = \sum_{i=1}^{Y^{1}} E_{i+1} ; \qquad (31)$$

$$d_{i}(E[;1]) = \begin{array}{ccc} i & i & i & i \\ E_{j} & E_{j} & E_{i} & (E_{i} & E_{k}); \\ i & i & i + 1 \end{array}$$
(32)

$$d_{l+1} (E [; l]) = \begin{bmatrix} Y^{l} \\ E_{i} & E_{i+1} \end{bmatrix};$$
(33)

where 2 i 1. Then, using the recurrence equation (26) and our identity (30), we obtain

$$C_{1}^{n} (E [;1]) = \frac{\overset{X}{X}^{1}}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{I}{\prod}}} (1)^{i} \frac{E_{i}^{n}}{d_{i} (E [;1])} :$$
(34)

Here, the mathematical induction shows its power again. If the expression (34) is correct for a given n, for example n = 1;2, then for n + 1 from the recurrence equation (26) it follows that

$$C_{1}^{n+1} (E [;1]) = {{}^{nX_{1}} {}^{1} X^{1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{E_{i}^{n-k}}{d_{i} (E [;1-1])}} E_{1+1}^{k}$$

$$= {{}^{X_{1}} \frac{(1)^{i-1} E_{i}^{n}}{d_{i} (E [;1-1])} {{}^{nX_{1}} {}^{1} E_{i}^{n}} E_{i+1}^{k}$$

$$= {{}^{X_{1}} \frac{(1)^{i-1} (E_{i}^{n+1} - E_{i}^{1} - E_{i+1}^{n+2} - 1)}{d_{i} (E [;1-1])}} E_{i+1}^{k}$$

$$= {{}^{X_{1}} \frac{(1)^{i-1} (E_{i}^{n+1} - E_{i}^{1} - E_{i+1}^{n+2} - 1)}{d_{i} (E [;1-1]) (E_{i}^{n-k} - E_{i+1}^{n+2} - 1)}};$$
(35)

Because that $d_i(E [; 1 1])(E_i E_{i+1}) = d_i(E [; 1])$ (i 1+1) and our identity (30), it is easy to see

$$\frac{X^{1}}{d_{i}} \frac{(1)^{i} {}^{1}E^{1} {}^{1}}{d_{i}(E[j])} = (1)^{1} \frac{E^{1} {}^{1}}{d_{l+1}(E[j])}$$
(36)

Substitute it into Eq.(35) yields

$$C_{1}^{n+1} (E [;1]) = \frac{\overset{*}{k}^{1}}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{*}{l}}} (1)^{i-1} \frac{E_{1}^{n+1}}{d_{i} (E [;1])} :$$
(37)

That is, we have proved that the expression (34) of C_1^n (E [;1]) is valid for any n.

It must be emphasized that since the summation is over all of values of the energy eigenvalues, there are apparent divergences in the expression of C_1^n (E [;1]). Here, \apparent" refers to an unture thing, that is, the apparent divergences are not real singularities and they can be eliminated by mathematical and/or physical methods. Moreover, the same problem appears if with degeneracy. Therefore, we need to understand above expressions in the sense of limitations. For instance, for C_1^n (E [;1]) = $E_1^n = E_1^n = E_1^n = E_1^n$, where the step function (x) = 1, if x > 0, and (x) = 0, if x = 0. In other words, the apparent divergences here are not real divergences and can be eliminated by nding the correct limitations. There is no theoretical problem when we form ally keep the apparent divergences in the above expression. A ctually, in our recent work [6], we successfully eliminate all the apparent divergences.

Because of our identity (30), the sum m ation to l in Eq.(20) can be extended to 1 . Thus, the expression of the time evolution operator is changed to a sum m ation according to the order (or power) of the perturbing H am iltonian H $_1$ as follows

h je ^{iH t}
$${}^{\circ}E = e^{iE t} \circ + X^{i} X X^{i+1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{iE i^{t}}}{d_{i}(E[;1])} H_{1}^{j+1} \circ :$$
 (38)

W hen there is degeneracy, it becom es

h je ^{iH t}
$${}^{\circ}^{E} = e^{iE t} {}_{\circ} + X^{i} X X X X X X^{i+1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{iE i^{t}}}{d_{i} (E[;1])}^{*}$$

 ${}^{I=1_{1}; i+i_{1}a_{1}; i^{a}a_{1} = 1} X^{i} X^{i} (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{iE i^{t}}}{d_{i} (E[;1])}^{*}$
 ${}^{Y^{1}} {}_{H_{1}^{ja_{j}; j+1a_{j+1}} } {}_{I_{1}a_{1}a_{1}a_{1}+1} {}^{\circ}a_{1+1}^{a_{0}} (39)$

$$= A_{1}^{v; \circ_{v}^{o}}(t) = A_{1}^{v; \circ_{v$$

that is,

$$e^{iH_{tot}t} = A_{1}(t) = A_{1}'^{\circ}(t) j i^{\circ}$$

$$= 0 \qquad l=0; \circ^{\circ}$$
(41)

where

$$A_0''(t) = e^{iE t}$$
(42)

$$A_{1}^{\circ}(t) = \begin{array}{c} X & X^{t-1} \\ & & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{i};1])} & 4 \\ & & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{i};1])} & 4 \\ & & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{i};1])} & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{i};1]} & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{i};1])} & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{i};1]} & (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{i}t}}{d_{i$$

It is clear that this propagator has the closed time evolution factors. In special, it is a c-number function just like the Feynm an path integral [4], and it is a power series of the perturbing H am iltonian just like D yson series in interaction picture [5]. Therefore it has, at the same time, the advantages that exist in the Feynm an path integral fam ulism and Feynm an diagram (D yson) expansion form ula.

IV. SOLUTION OF THE SCHRODINGER EQUATION AND THE VON NEUMANN EQUATION

Substituting the expression of the time evolution operator (38) into Eq.(3), we obtain immediately our explicit form of time evolution of an arbitrary initial state in a general quantum system

$$j(t)i = \begin{array}{ccc} X^{i} & X^{i} & X^{i} & X^{i} & M^{i} & i \\ A_{1}(t)j(0)i = & A_{1}^{i}(t) & M^{i}j(0)iji; \end{cases}$$
(44)

In form, this solution is also suitable for the degenerate cases, but m ore apparent divergences will appear. It must be emphasized that these apparent divergences can be easily eliminated by the limitation calculations. To save space, we delay to discuss the disposal of degenerate cases and the elimination of apparent divergences to our sequence study [6].

Sim ilarly, the exact solution of the von Neum ann equation for mixed states can be obtained by using our general and explicit expression of the time evolution operator (41). From the form al solution of the von Neum ann equation

$$(t) = e^{-iH t} (0)e^{iH t}; (45)$$

it im mediately follows that

$$(t) = \begin{cases} \chi^{i} & \chi^{i} \\ A_{k} (t) (0) A_{1} (t) = \\ k_{i} = 0 \end{cases} A_{k} (t) (0) A_{1}^{Y} (t)$$

$$(46)$$

$$= \overset{X^{1}}{\underset{k:l=0}{\overset{}}{\overset{}}{,} \overset{0}{,} \overset{0}{\overset{}}{,} \overset{0}{(t)} \overset{0}{\underset{1}{\overset{0}{(t)}}} \overset{0}{(t)} \overset{0}{(t)$$

Since we have obtained the exact expression of the time evolution operator, it is direct to extend our conclusions from the pure state to the mixed state. For simplicity, we only study the cases of pure states in the following, that is, our exact solution of the Schrdinger equation.

Note that the linearity of the evolution operator and the completeness of eigenvector set of H₀, we can simply set the initial state as an eigenvector of H₀. Up to now, everything is exact and no any approximation enters. Therefore, it is an exact solution despite that its form is an in nity series. In other words, it exactly includes all order approximations of H₁. Only when H₁ is taken as a perturbation, can it be cut o based on the needed precision. From a view of form alized theory, it is explicit and general, but is not compact. Moreover, if the convergence is guaranteed, it is strict since its general term is known. Usually, to a practical purpose, if only including the nite (often low) order approximation of H₁, above expression is cut o to the nite term s and becomes a perturbed solution.

A ctually, since our solution includes the contributions from all order approximations of the rest part of H am iltonian except for the solvable part H₀, or all order approximations of the perturbative part H₁ of H am iltonian, it is not very important whether H₁ is (relatively) large or small when compared with H₀. In principle, for a general quantum system with the norm al form H am iltonian, we can always write down

$$H = \frac{\hat{k}^2}{2m} + V:$$
(48)

If we take the solvable kinetic energy term as $H_0 = \frac{\hat{k}^2}{2m}$ and the potential energy part as $H_1 = V$, our method is applicable to such quantum system. It should be pointed out that we study this concrete example in order to account for the generality and universality of our exact solution.

It is clear that

And denoting

hx ki =
$$\frac{1}{L^{3=2}} e^{ik}$$
; (50)

$$_{k}(0) = hkj(0)i;$$
 (51)

2

$$(x;t) = hxj(t)i;$$
 (52)

we obtain the nalstate as

$$(x;t) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ k \\ (0) \frac{e^{i(k - x - k_{1}t)}}{L^{3=2}} + \begin{array}{c} X^{k} & X & X \\ & & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} x^{n} \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

2

In the second equal mark, we have used the fact that V = V(x) usually, thus

$$\nabla^{k_{j}k_{j+1}} = \frac{hk_{j}}{Z_{1}} \mathcal{Y}(x) \mathcal{K}_{j+1} \mathbf{i}
 = d^{3}x_{j}d^{3}x_{j+1}hk_{j} \mathcal{K}_{j} \mathcal{H}_{j} \mathcal{Y}(x) \mathcal{K}_{j+1} \mathcal{H}_{j+1} \mathcal{K}_{j+1} \mathbf{i}
 = \frac{1}{L^{3}} d^{3}x_{j}d^{3}x_{j+1}e^{-ik_{j}} \mathcal{K}_{j} (x_{j+1})^{3} (x_{j} - x_{j+1})e^{ik_{j+1} - x_{1}j}
 = \frac{1}{L^{3}} d^{3}xV (x)e^{-i(k_{j} - k_{j+1}) - x}
 = V (k_{j} - k_{j+1}):$$
(55)

It is clear that V(k) is the Fourier transform ation of V(x). Eq.(53) or (54) is a concrete form of our solution (44) of Schrödinger equation when the solvable part of H am iltonian is taken as the kinetic energy term. Therefore, in principle, if the Fourier transform ation of V(x) can be found, the evolution of the arbitrarily initial state with time

can be obtained. It must be emphasized that it is often that the solvable part of H am iltonian will be not only $T = \frac{\kappa}{2m}$ for the practical purposes.

Furtherm ore, we can derive out the propagator

It is di erent from the known propagator in the expressive form, but they should be equivalent in theory because we still use the usual quantum mechanics principle. However, what is more and what is new in our exact solution? In the following, and in our serial studies [6, 7], we will see them.

V. COMPARISON W ITH THE USUAL PERTURBATION THEORY

In this section, we will compare the usual perturbation theory with our solution, reveal their consistency and relation, and point out what is more in our solution and what is di erent between them. Furthermore, we expect to reveal the features, signi cance and possible applications of our solution in theory. We will respectively investigate and discuss the cases comparing with the time-independent, time-dependent perturbation theories and the non-perturbative solution method.

A. Comparison with the tim e-independent perturbation theory

The usual tim e-independent (stationary) perturbation theory is mainly to study the stationary wave equation in order to obtain the perturbative energies and perturbative states. However, our solution focus on the development of quantum states, which is a solution of the Schrodinger dynam ical equation. A lthough their main purposes are di erent at their start points, but they are consistent. Moreover, our exact solution is also able to apply to the calculation of transition probability, perturbed energy and perturbed state, which will be seen clearly in Ref. [6]. Moreover, our exact solution has been successfully applied to open system dynam ics [7].

In order to verify that the time-independent perturbation theory is consistent with our solution at any order approximation, we suppose that in the initial state, the system is in the eigen state of the total H am iltonian, that is

$$H j_{E_{T}}(0) i = E_{T} j_{E_{T}}(0) i:$$
 (57)

It is clear that

$$j_{E_{T}}(t)i = e^{iE_{T}t}j_{E_{T}}(0)i = X^{(} X^{(} A^{(} A^{(}))h^{} i A^{(} A^{(}))h^{} j_{E_{T}}(0)i j i;$$
(58)

Calculating the K th time derivative of this equation and then setting t = 0, we obtain

$$E_{T}^{K} j_{E_{T}} (0) i = \begin{array}{c} X \left(E^{K} \circ + (i)^{K} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d^{K} A_{1}^{\circ} (t)}{dt^{K}} a \circ j i \end{array}$$
(59)

$$= \begin{array}{c} X \\ E^{K} \\ i \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} X^{A} \\ B_{1} \\ i \end{array} (K) \quad a \circ j \quad i; \qquad (60)$$

where we have used the fact that

$$j_{E_{T}}(0)i = a j i; a = h j_{E_{T}}(0)i;$$
 (61)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}(\mathsf{t})}{\mathrm{d}\mathsf{t}^{\mathrm{K}}} = (\dot{\mathfrak{t}})^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}(\mathsf{K}):$$
(62)

It is easy to obtain that

$$\frac{d^{K} A_{1}^{0}(t)}{dt^{K}}_{t=0} = (i)^{K} X^{K+1}_{1;i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{E^{K}_{i}}{d_{i}(E[;1])} 4^{Y^{1}}_{1} H_{1}^{j-j+1}_{1} \int_{1-i+1}^{1-i+1} o;$$
(63)

$$B_{1}^{\circ}(K) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ C_{1}^{K}(E[;1]) (K) \\ \vdots \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} Y^{1} \\ H_{1}^{j+1} \\ j=1 \end{array} \qquad (64)$$

where we have used our identity (30). This means that if 1> K

$$\frac{d^{K} A_{1>K}(t)}{dt^{K}} = (1)^{K} B_{1}^{\circ}(K > 1) = 0:$$
(65)

In special

$$\frac{\mathrm{dA}_{1}(\mathbf{t})}{\mathrm{dt}} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{B}_{1}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{H}_{1}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{H}_{1}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{H}_{1}($$

$$\frac{d^{2}A_{1}^{(0)}(t)}{dt^{2}}_{t=0} = B_{1}^{(0)}(2) = (E + E_{0})H_{1}^{(0)}H_{1}^{(0)}H_{1}^{(1)}H_{1}^{(1)}H_{1}^{(1)}H_{1}^{(1)}$$
(67)

In other words, the sum m ation to l in the right side of Eq.(59) is cut o to K. Therefore, by left multiplying h j to Eq.(59) we obtain

$$E_{T}^{K} a = E^{K} a + B_{1}^{\circ} (K) a_{\circ}:$$
(68)

W hen K = 1, the above equation backs to the start point of tim e-independent perturbation theory

$$E_{T}a = E_{a} + H_{1}^{a^{0}}a^{0}$$
: (69)

It in plies that it is consistent with our solution. In order to certify that our solution is compatible with the time-independent perturbation theory, we have to consider the cases when K 2. By using $E_T^K a = E_T E_T^K {}^1a$, substituting Eq.(68) twice, then moving the second term to the right side, we have

Since when K 2

$$C_{1}^{K} (E [;1]) = C_{1}^{K-1} (E [;1]) = E_{1}^{K-1};$$
(71)

and again 1 2

$$C_{1}^{K} (E [;1]) = C_{1}^{K-1} (E [;1]) = C_{1}^{K-1} (E [;1]);$$
(72)

which has been proved in appendix A.O by iously, when K = 2, Eq.(70) becomes

$$E E_{T} a = E^{2} a + E \int_{0}^{X} H_{1} a_{0}$$
 (73)

It can back to Eq.(69). Sim ilarly, when K 3, so do they. In fact, from Eqs.(71,72), Eq.(70) becomes

$$E^{K} E_{T} a = E^{K} a + E^{K} E_{T} A + E^{K} + E^$$

where we have used the fact

Its proof is not di cult because we can derive out

$$X \stackrel{K}{=} \stackrel{1}{} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{0}{=} \stackrel{1}{=} \stackrel{1$$

The rst equality has used the de nitions of B_1° (K), the second equality has used Eq.(72), the third equality sets 1 1! land sum s over B_{1+2}° , the forth equality sets $\circ P_{1+1}^{\circ}$, inserts $B_{1+1}^{\circ}^{\circ}$ and uses the de nition of B_1° (K) again. Substituting it into the left side of Eq.(75) and using $B_{K}^{\circ}_{1}^{\circ}$ (K) expression, we can nish the proof of Eq.(75). A ctually, the above proof further veri es the correctness of our solution from the usual perturbation theory.

In our point of view, only if the expression of the high order approximation has been obtained, can we consider its contribution in the time-independent perturbation theory since its method is to nd a given order approximation. However, this task needs to solve a simultaneous equation system, it will be heavy for the enough high order approximation. In Ref. [6], we will give a method to nd the improved forms of perturbed energy and perturbed state absorbing the partial contributions from the high order even all order approximations of perturbation.

B. Com parison with the non-perturbative m ethod

Now, let us see what is more in our solution than the nonperturbative method. A ctually, since H is not explicitly time-dependent, its eigenvectors can be given formally by so-called Lippm ann-Schwinger equations as follows [B]:

$$j_{s}()i = j_{i} + \frac{1}{E H_{0} i} H_{1}j_{s}()i$$
 (77)

$$= j i + G_{0}() H_{1} j_{s}() i$$
(78)

$$= j i + \frac{1}{E H i} H_1 j i$$
(79)

$$= j i + G () H_1 j i;$$
 (80)

where the complete G reen's function G () = 1 = (E + i) and the unperturbed G reen's function G₀() = $1 = (E + H_0 - i)$ satisfy the D yson's equation

$$G () = G_{0} () + G_{0} () H_{1}G ()$$
(81)

$$= \int_{1}^{1} (G_{0}()H_{1})^{1}G_{0}():$$
 (82)

Here, the subscript\S" means the stationary solution:

$$H j_{s}()i = E j_{s}()i:$$
(83)

M ore strictly, we should use the in and out states to express it [9]. In historical literature, this solution is known as so-called non-perturbative one. It has played an important role in the form all scattering theory [5].

Back to our attempt, for a given initial state j (0) i, we have

$$j(0)i = h_{s}(0)j(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)i_{s}(0)$$

Acting the time evolution operator on it, we immediately obtain

$$j(t)i = \begin{pmatrix} X & h_{s}^{\circ}() j(0)ie^{-iE_{o}t} & e^{iE_{s}^{\circ}}() \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} X & D_{o} & X^{i} \\ & & H_{1}G_{0}^{\circ}() & j(0)ie^{-iE_{o}t} & G^{\circ}() H_{1} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & &$$

com paring this result with our solution (44), we can say that our solution has nished the calculations of explicit form of the expanding coe cients (matrix elements) in the second term of the above equation using our method and rearrange the resulting terms according with the power of elements of the perturbing H am iltonian matrix $H_1^{j j+1}$. This implies that our solution can have more physical contents and more explicit signi cance. Therefore, we think that our solution is a new development of the stationary perturbation theory. Seem ingly, its physical results are consistent with the Feynman's diagram expansion of D yson's series, but its general form is explicit, and convenient to calculate the time evolution of states with time. Specially, we can obtain the improved form of perturbed solution that absorbs partial contributions from the high order even all order approximations in our subsequent works [6], in which, it will be seen that our improved scheme of perturbation theory based on our exact solution has higher e ciency and better precision in the calculation.

C. Comparison with the tim e-dependent perturbation theory

The aim of our solution is similar to the time-dependent perturbation theory. But their methods are dierent. The usual time-dependent perturbation theory [2] considers a quantum state initially in the eigenvector of the Hamiltonian

 H_0 , and a subsequent evolution of system caused by the application of an explicitly time-dependent potential V = v(t), where is small enough to indicate V as a perturbation, that is,

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}$$
j (t) $i = (H_0 + v(t))$ j (t) i (86)

with the initial state

$$j(0)i = j i$$
: (87)

It is offen used to study the cases that the system returns to an eigenvector $j_{f}i$ of the Ham iltonian H₀ when the action of the perturbing potential becomes negligible.

In order to compare it with our solution, let us rst recall the time-dependent perturbation method. Note that the state j (t) i at time t can be expanded by the complete orthogonal system of the eigenvectors j i of the H am iltonian H $_0$, that is

$$j(t)i = \begin{array}{c} X \\ c(t)j i \end{array}$$
(88)

with

$$c (t) = h j (t)i;$$
 (89)

whereas the evolution equation (86) will become

$$\frac{i\theta}{\theta t}c(t) = E c(t) + v'(t)c(t);$$
(90)

where $v^{\circ}(t) = h^{i}(t)^{e^{it}}$. Now setting

$$c (t) = b (t)e^{iE t}; (91)$$

and inserting this into (90) give

$$\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} b (t) = \int_{0}^{X} e^{i(E - E_{0})t} v^{\circ}(t) b \circ (t) :$$
(92)

Then, making a series expansion of b (t) according to the power of :

b (t) =
$$\int_{1=0}^{X} b^{(1)}(t);$$
 (93)

and setting equal the coe cients of 1 on the both sides of the equation (92), ones nd:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}b^{(0)}(t) = 0;$$
 (94)

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}b^{(1)}(t) = \int_{0}^{X} e^{i(E - E_{0})t}v^{0}(t)b^{(1-1)}_{0}(t); \quad (1 \neq 0):$$
(95)

From the initial condition (87), it follows that c (0) = b (0) = b (0) = b (0) and $b^{(1)}(0) = 0$ if 1. By integration over the variable t this will yield

$$b^{(0)}(t) = ;$$
(96)

$$b^{(1)}(t) = i dt_1 e^{i(E - E)t_1} v (t_1);$$
(97)

$$b^{(2)}(t) = i dt_{2} e^{i(E - E_{2})t_{2}}v^{2}(t_{2})b^{(1)}_{2}(t_{2})$$

$$= dt_{2} dt_{1}e^{i(E - E_{2})t_{2}}e^{i(E_{2} - E_{2})t_{1}}v^{2}(t_{2})v^{2}(t_{1}):$$
(98)

$$b^{(1)}(t) = \frac{1}{E E} e^{iE t} e^{iE t} e^{iE t} v ; \qquad (99)$$

$$b^{(2)}(t) = \begin{cases} X & \frac{1}{(E - E_{-})(E_{-2} - E_{-})} e^{iE_{-}t_{-}} e^{iE_{$$

Thism eans that

$$c^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ i=1}}^{X \ X^{2}} (1)^{i} \frac{e^{iE_{i}}}{d_{i}(E[;1])} v^{1} \frac{e^{iE_{i}}}{d_{i}(E[;1])} v^{1} \frac{e^{iE_{i}}}{d_{i}(E[;1])} (101)$$

$$c^{(2)}(t) = \sum_{\substack{1; 2; 3 \ i=1}}^{X} (1)^{i} \frac{1}{d_{i}(E[;2])} e^{iE_{i}t} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 3 \ y \ 1 \ 2}} v^{2} \frac{1}{2} v^{2} (102)$$

Now, we use the mathematical induction to prove

O by joursly, we have seen that it is valid for l = 1;2. Suppose it is also valid for a given n, thus form Eqs.(95) and $b^{(l)}(0) = 0; 1$ 1 it follows that

$$b^{(n+1)}(t) = i d e^{i(E E)} v b^{(n)}(t):$$
(104)

Substitute Eqs.(91) and (103) we obtain

$$b^{(n+1)}(t) = i \int_{0}^{Z} t X e^{iE} v c^{(n)}(t)$$

$$= i \int_{0}^{Z} t X e^{iE} v X X^{n+1}(t)^{i-1} \frac{e^{iE} i}{d_{i}(E[n])} e^{iE} v \int_{j=1}^{2} t X e^{iE} v X^{n+1}(t)^{i-1} \frac{e^{iE} i}{d_{i}(E[n])} e^{iE} v \int_{j=1}^{2} t X$$

In the last equality, we have inserted P_{n+2} , sum med over and integral over . In terms of the denition of $d_i \in [n]$, we know that $d_i \in [n]$, $e_{n+2} = d_i \in [n+1]$. Then based on our identity (30), we have

$$\overset{X^{+1}}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{(1)^{i-1}}{=}}} (1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{d_i (E [; n+1])} = (1)^{(n+2)-1} \frac{1}{d_{n+2} (E [; n+1])} :$$
 (106)

Thus Eq.(105) becom es

$$b^{(n+1)}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} X & X^{+2} \\ & & \\ & & \\ 1; & n \neq 2 & i = 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ e^{-iE_{i}t} \\ d_{i}(E[;n+1]) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y^{n} \\ e^{Y^{n}} \\ y^{n} \\ y^{n} \\ y^{n+1}A \\ y^{n+2-1} \\ y^{n+2-1} \\ y^{n+2-1} \\ y^{n+2-1} \end{pmatrix} e^{iE_{i}t} (107)$$

 \cap

Set the index taking turns, that is, 1! + 2 and i+1! + i, (n+1) = 1). Again from the denition of d_i (E [;n], we can verify easily under the above index taking turns,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{X^{+2}} (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{-i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{-i}n+1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{+2}} (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{-iE_{-i}t}}{d_{i}(E_{-i}n+1)} :$$
(108)

 \cap

1

Therefore we obtain the conclusion

$$c^{(n+1)}(t) = b^{(n+1)}(t)e^{iE t} = \begin{cases} X & X^{+2} \\ & & \\ 1; & n \neq 2 \\ i = 1 \end{cases} (1)^{i-1} \frac{e^{iE_{i}t}}{d_{i}(E[;n+1])} \begin{pmatrix} Y^{+1} \\ V^{j-j+1}A \\ j = 1 \end{cases} (109)$$

This in plies that we nish the proof of expression (103). Substitute it into Eq.(88), we im mediately obtain the same expression as our solution (44).

From the statem ent above, it can be seen that our solution actually nishes the task to solve the recurrence equation of b^{\perp} (t) by using our new method. A lthough the recurrence equation of b^{\perp} (t) can be solved by integral in principle, it is indeed not easy if one does not know our identity (30) and relevant relations. To our know ledge, the general term form has not ever been obtained up to now. This is an obvious di culty to attempt absorbing high order approximations. However, our solution has done it, and so it will make some calculations of perturbation theory more convenient and more accurate.

However, we pay for the price to require that H is not explicitly dependent on time. Obviously our solution is written as a symmetric and whole form . Moreover, our method gives up, at least in the sense of form alization, the requirement that V is small enough compared wit H $_0$, and our proof is more general and stricter. In addition, we do not need to consider the cases that the perturbing potential is switched at the initial and naltime, but the perturbing potential is not explicitly dependent of time. Since we obtain the general term, our solution must have m ore applications, it is m ore e cient and m ore accurate for the practical applications, because we can selectively absorb the partial contributions from the high order even all order approxim ations. This can be called as the improved form s of perturbed solutions, which will be given in R ef. [6].

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

First of all, we would like to point out that our general and explicit solution (44) or its particular form s (53,54) of the Schrodinger equation in a general quantum system independent of time is explicit and exact in form because all order approximations of perturbation not only are completely included but also are clearly expressed, although it is an in nite series. Our exact solution is in c-num ber function rather than operator form . This means that it can inherit the same advantage as the Feynm an path integral expression. Moreover, our exact solution is a power series of the perturbing H am iltonian like as the D yson series in the interaction picture. This im plies that the cut-o approximation of perturbation can be made based on the needed precision of the problem s. It must be emphasized that when applying our exact solution to a concrete quantum system, all we need to do for our exact solution is the calculations of perturbing H am iltonian m atrix and the coe cient function limitations. Here, the \perturbing H am iltonian m atrix" refers to the representation matrix of the perturbing Hamiltonian in the unperturbed Hamiltonian representation. Thus, such calculations are de nitely much easier than the calculations of path integral and D yson series for the most system s. On the other hand, because the general term is explicitly given in our exact solution series, we can more conveniently consider the contributions from the high order even all order approximations in order to improve the precision than doing the same thing via the Dyson series.

A lthough we have obtained the exact solution in general quantum system s, this does not m ean that the perturbation theory is unnecessary because our exact solution is still an in nite power series of perturbation. Our solution is called \exact one" in the sense including the contributions from all order approximations of the perturbing Ham iltonian. In practise, if we do not intend to apply our solution to investigations of the form all theory of quantum mechanics, we need to cut o our exact solution series to some given order approximation in the calculations of concrete problem s. Perhaps, one argues that our exact solution will back to the usual perturbation theory, and it is, at most, an explicit form that can bring out the e ciency am elioration. Nevertheless, the case is not so. Such a view, in fact, ignores the

signi cance of the general term in an in nite series, and forgets the technologies to deal with an in nite series in the present m athem atics and physics. From our point of view, since the general term is known, we can system atically and reasonably absorb the partial contributions from some high order even all order approximations just like one has done in quantum eld theory via summation over a series of di erent order but similar feature Feynman gures. In our paper [6], we will xed this problem via proposing and developing an improved scheme of perturbation theory.

In order to account for what is more in our solution, and reveal the relations and di erences between our solution and the existed method, we compare our solution with the usual perturbation theory. We not their consistency. In fact, our solution has nished the task to calculate the expanding coe cients of nal state in H $_0$ representation and obtain the general term up to any order using our own method. But the usual perturbation theory only carries out this task from some given order approximation to the next order approximation step by step. In a sentence, more explicit and general feature of our exact solution can lead to more physical conclusions and applications. In addition, by comparison with the existed perturbation theory, we actually verify the validity of our exact solution.

It is worth pointing out that our solution, di erent from the time-dependent perturbation theory, presents the explicit solution of recurrence equation of expanding coe cients of nal state in H $_0$ representation, but we pay for the price that H is not explicitly dependent on the time. In short, there is gain and there is lose.

It is worthy noticing that there are the apparent divergences in the expression of our exact solution, in special, when the degeneracy happens. These apparent divergences can be easily eliminated via the limitation calculations. The related discussions are arranged in our paper [6].

Therefore, we can say that our exact solution is more explicit than the usual non-perturbed solution of the Schrödinger equation because the general term is given in the c-number function form, and we can say our solution is more general than the usual perturbation theory because our deducing methods give up some preconditions used in the usual scheme. However, our exact solution can not be used to the time-dependent system at present. Just as its explicit and general features, our exact solution not only has the mathematical delicateness, but also can contain more physical content, obtain the more e ciency and higher precision and result in new applications, which have been revealed in our serial studies [6, 7].

Our physical idea is a combination of Feynman path integral spirit and Dyson series kernel. Hence, we rst nd an general and explicit expression of the time evolution operator that not only is a c-number function but also a power series of perturbation including all order approximations. From our point of view, it is one of the most main results in our method. It must be emphasized that the study on the time evolution operator plays a central role in quantum dynamics and perturbation theory. Because the universal significance of our general and explicit expression of time evolution operator, we wish that it will have more applications in quantum theory. Besides the perturbation theory and open system dynamics, it is more interesting to apply our exact solution to the other form alization study of quantum dynamics in order to further and more powerfully show the advantages of our exact solution.

In the process of deducing our exact solution, we obtain an expansion form ula of operator binom ials power. At our know ledge, this form ula is rst proposed and strictly proved. Besides its theoretical value in m athem atics, we are sure it is interesting and important for expressing som e useful operator form ula in quantum physics. In addition, we prove an identity of fraction function. It should be interesting in m athem atics. Perhaps, it has other applications to be expected nding.

We can see that in our solution, every expanding coe cient (am plitude) before the basis vector j i has some closed time evolution factors with exponential form, and includes all order approximations so that we can clearly understand the dynam ical behavior of quantum systems. A though our solution is obtained in H₀ representation, its form in other representations can be given by the representation transform ation and the development factors with time t in the expanding coe cients (am plitude) do not change.

Because we obtain the general term form of time evolution of quantum state, it provides the probability considering the partial contributions from the high order even all of order approximations. For example, we obtain the revised Ferm i's G olden Rule [10]. This can be seen in our recent work [6].

O ur general and explicit solution can be successfully applied to open systems to obtain the master equation and exact solution. For example, we obtain the Red eld master equation [11] without using Born-Markov approximation. This can be seen in our recent work [7].

From the features of our solution, we believe that it will have interesting applications in the calculation of entanglem ent dynam ics and decoherence process as well as the other physical quantities dependent on the expanding coe cients. O f course, our solution is an exact one, its advantages and features can not be fully revealed only via the perturbative m ethod.

In sum mary, our results can be thought of as theoretical developments of quantum dynamics, and are helpful for understanding the dynamical behavior and related subjects of general quantum systems in both theory and application. Together with our perturbation theory [6] and open system dynamics [7] they can nally form the foundation of theoretical formulism of quantum mechanics in general quantum systems. Further study on quantum mechanics of general quantum systems is on progressing.

A cknow ledgm ents

We are grateful all the collaborators of our quantum theory group in the Institute for Theoretical Physics of our university. This work was funded by the NationalFundam ental Research Program of China under No. 2001CB 309310, and partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 60573008.

APPENDIX A: THE PROOF OF OUR IDENTITY

In this appendix, we would like to prove our identity (30). For simplicity in notation and universality, we replace the variables E_i by x_i as well as E[;1] by x[1]. It is clear that the common denominator D (x[n]) in the above expression (30) (the index l is replaced by n) reads

$$D (x [n]) = \begin{cases} 2 & 3 \\ Y^{n} & Y^{n} \\ 4 & (x_{i} & x_{j+1})^{5}; \\ i = 1 & j = i \end{cases}$$
(A1)

while the i-th num erator is

$$n_{i}(x[n];K) = \frac{D(x[n])}{d_{i}(x[n])}x_{i}^{K}; \qquad (A2)$$

and the total num erator N (x $[\!n\,]_{\textbf{;}}\texttt{K}$) is

$$N (x [n]; K] = n_{i} (x [n]; K):$$
(A3)

In order to simplify our notation, we denote $n_i(x[n]) = n_i(x[n];0)$. Again, introducing a new vector

$$x_{1}^{D}[n] = fx_{2};x_{3}; n;x_{n+1}g;$$
 (A4)

$$x_{i}^{D}[n] = fx_{1}; \quad i; x; x_{i+1}; \quad n; xg;$$
 (A5)

$$x_{n+1}^{D}[n] = fx_{1};x_{2}; \quad n;x_{1};x_{n}g:$$
 (A 6)

O by iously, x_i^{D} (x [n]) with n components is obtained by deleting the i-th component from x [n]. From the de nition of $n_i(x [n])$, it follows that

$$n_{i}(x[n]) = D(x_{i}^{D}[n]):$$
 (A7)

W it hout loss of generality, for an arbitrary given i, we always can rewrite x_i^D [n] = y[n 1] = fy_1; y_2; n; y_1 g and consider the general expression of D (y[n 1]). It is easy to verify that

$$D (y[1]) = y_2 n_1 (y[1]) + y_1 n_2 (y[1]);$$
(A8)

$$D (y[2]) = y_2 y_3 n_1 (y[2]) \quad y_1 y_3 n_2 (y[2]) + y_1 y_2 n_3 (y[2]):$$
(A 9)

Thus, by mathematical induction, we rst assume that for n 2,

$$D (y [n 1]) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{n}} (1)^{(i 1)+(n 1)} p_{i} (y [n 1]) n_{i} (y [n 1]);$$
(A 10)

where we have de ned

$$p_{i}(y [n \quad 1]) = \begin{array}{c} Y^{n} \\ y_{j}: \\ & y_{j}: \\ y_{j} \in i \end{array}$$
(A 11)

As above, we have veri ed that the expression (A10) is valid for n = 2;3. Then, we need to prove that the following expression

$$D (y[n]) = \int_{i=1}^{X^{+1}} (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_i (y[n]) n_i (y[n])$$
(A 12)

is correct.

To our purpose, we start from the proof of a conclusion of the precondition (A 10) as the following:

According to the relation (A7) and substituting the precondition (A10), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{X^{+1}} (1)^{i-1} n_{i} (y [n]) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{+1}} (1)^{i-1} D_{i} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{+1}} (1)^{i-1} 4^{X^{n}} (1)^{(j-1)+(n-1)} p_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) n_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n])^{5}$$

$$= (1)^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} X^{j+1} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) n_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) :$$
(A14)

Based on the de nitions of $p_i (x [n])$ and $n_i (x [n])$, we not that

$$p_{j}(y_{i}^{D}[n]) = (p_{i-1}(y_{j}^{D}[n])) \quad (If i > j) \qquad ; \qquad (A15)$$

$$n_{j}(y_{i}^{D}[n]) = \begin{array}{c} (n_{i-1}(y_{j}^{D}[n]) & (\text{If } i > j) \\ & & \\ n_{i}(y_{j+1}^{D}[n]) & (\text{If } i = j) \end{array}$$
(A16)

Thus, the right side of Eq.(A 14) becomes

$$(1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{i-1}} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [h]) n_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [h])$$

$$j=1 i=1$$

$$= (1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{i+1}} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [h]) n_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [h])$$

$$j=1 i=j+1$$

$$+ (1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{j}} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [h]) n_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [h])$$

$$j=1 i=j+1$$

$$+ (1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{i+1}} (1)^{i+j} p_{i-1} (y_{j}^{D} [h]) n_{i-1} (y_{j}^{D} [h])$$

$$j=1 i=j+1$$

$$+ (1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{j}} (1)^{i+j} p_{i} (y_{j+1}^{D} [h]) n_{i} (y_{j+1}^{D} [h]): (A17)$$

Setting that i 1! ifor the rst term and j+1! j for the second term in the right side of the above equation, we obtain

$$(1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{i-1}} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) n_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n])$$

$$= (1)^{n-1} X^{n-X^{n}} (1)^{i+j-1} p_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n]) n_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n])$$

$$= (1)^{n-1} X^{i+1} X^{i-1} (1)^{i+j-1} p_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n]) n_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n])$$

$$= (1)^{n-1} (1)^{i+j-1} p_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n]) n_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n])$$

$$= (1)^{n-1} (1)^{i+j-1} p_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n]) n_{i} (y_{j}^{D} [n])$$

$$+ (1)^{n-1} (1)^{i+(n+1)} p_{i} (y_{n+1}^{D} [n]) n_{i} (y_{n+1}^{D} [n])$$

$$(A 18)$$

Again, setting that i \$ j in the right side of the above equation gives

$$(1)^{n-1} \xrightarrow{X^{n} X^{i+1}} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) n_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n])$$

$$= (1)^{n} \xrightarrow{X^{n} X^{i+1}} (1)^{i+j} p_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]) n_{j} (y_{i}^{D} [n]):$$
(A19)

Thus, it im plies that

$$(1)^{n} \int_{j=1}^{x^{n}} (1)^{j+j} p_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [n]) n_{j} (y_{j}^{D} [n]) = 0:$$
 (A 20)

From the relation (A14) it follows that the identity (A13) is valid.

Now, let us back to the proof of the expression (A 12). Since the denition of D (y[n]), we can rewrite it as

$$D(y[n]) = D(y[n 1])d_{n+1}(y[n]):$$
(A21)

Substituting our precondition (A10) yields

$$D (y[h]) = \begin{cases} X^{n} \\ (1)^{(i-1)+(n-1)} p_{i}(y[h-1]) n_{i}(y[h-1]) d_{n+1}(y[h]): \end{cases}$$
(A 22)

N ote that

$$n_{i}(y[n 1])d_{n+1}(y[n]) = n_{i}(y[n])(y_{i} y_{n+1});$$
 (A23)

$$p_i(y[n 1])y_{n+1} = p_i(y[n]);$$
 (A 24)

$$p_i(y[n 1])y_i = p_{n+1}(y[n]);$$
 (A 25)

we have that

$$D (y[h]) = \begin{cases} X^{n} \\ (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_{i}(y[h])n_{i}(y[h]) + \\ X^{n} \\ = \\ X^{n} \\ (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_{i}(y[h])n_{i}(y[h]) + \\ X^{n} \\ (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_{i}(y[h])n_{i}(y[h]) + \\ X^{n} \\ = \\ \\ X^{n} \\ (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_{i}(y[h])n_{i}(y[h]) + \\ (1)^{n-1} p_{n+1}(y[h]) \\ \\ X^{n} \\ = \\ \\ X^{n} \\ (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_{i}(y[h])n_{i}(y[h]) + \\ (1)^{n-1} p_{n+1}(y[h]) \\ \\ X^{n} \\ (1)^{n} n_{n+1}(y[h])]: \end{cases}$$
(A 26)

In the last equality we have used the conclusion (A13) of our precondition, that is

$$X^{n} (1)^{i} n_{i} (y[n]) = (1)^{n} n_{n+1} (y[n]):$$

$$(A 27)$$

$$i=1$$

Thus, Eq.(A26) becom es

$$D (y[n]) = \int_{i=1}^{X^{+1}} (1)^{(i-1)+n} p_i (y[n]) n_i (y[n]):$$
(A 28)

The needed expression (A 12) is proved by m athem atical induction. That is, we have proved that for any n 1, the expression (A 12) is valid.

Since our proof of the conclusion (A 13) of our precondition is independent of n (n 1), we can, in the same way, prove that the identity (A 13) is correct for any n 1.

...

Now, let us prove our identity (30). Obviously, when K = 0 we have

$$X^{+1}_{i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{d_i(x[n])} = \frac{1}{D(x[n])} X^{+1}_{i=1} (1)^{i-1} n_i(x[n]) = 0;$$
 (A 29)

where we have used the fact the identity (A13) is valid for any n 1. Furtherm ore, we extend the de nition dom ain of C_n^K (x [n]) from K n to K 0, and still write its form as

$$C_{n}^{K}(x[n]) = \frac{X^{+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i}(x[n])}};$$
 (A 30)

Obviously, Eq.(A 29) m eans

$$C_{n}^{0}(x[n]) = 0;$$
 (n 1): (A 31)

In order to consider the cases when $K \in 0$, by using of $d_i(x[n])(x_i x_{n+2}) = d_i(x[n+1])(i n+1)$, we obtain

$$C_{n}^{K} (\mathbf{x} [n]) = \frac{X^{r-1}}{i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i} (\mathbf{x} [n])}$$

$$= \frac{X^{r+1}}{i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i} (\mathbf{x} [n])} \frac{x_{i} - x_{n+2}}{x_{i} - x_{n+2}}$$

$$= \frac{X^{r+1}}{i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{x_{i}^{K+1}}{d_{i} (\mathbf{x} [n+1])} x_{n+2} \frac{X^{r+1}}{i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i} (\mathbf{x} [n+1])}$$

$$= C_{n+1}^{K+1} (\mathbf{x} [n+1]) (1)^{(n+2)-1} \frac{x_{n+2}^{K+1}}{d_{n+2} (\mathbf{x} [n+1])} x_{n+2} \frac{X^{r+1}}{i=1} (1)^{i-1} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i} (\mathbf{x} [n+1])}; \quad (A 32)$$

It follows the recurrence equation as the following

m - 1 1

$$C_{n}^{K}(x[n]) = C_{n+1}^{K+1}(x[n+1]) \quad x_{n+2}C_{n+1}^{K}(x[n+1]):$$
(A 33)

It in plies that since $C_n^0(x[n]) = 0$ for any n 1, then $C_{n+1}^1(x[n+1]) = 0$ for any n 1 or $C_n^1(x[n]) = 0$ for any n 2; since $C_n^1(x[n]) = 0$ for any n 2, then $C_{n+1}^2(x[n+1]) = 0$ for any n 2 or $C_n^2(x[n]) = 0$ for any n 3; since $C_n^k(x[n]) = 0$ for any n (k+1)(k = 0), then $C_{n+1}^{k+1}(x[n+1]) = 0$ for any n (k+1) or $C_n^{k+1}(x[n]) = 0$ for any n (k+2); In fact, the mathematical induction tells us this result. O by boundary

$$C_{n}^{K}(x[n]) = 0;$$
 (If 0 K < n): (A 34)

Taking K = n in Eq.(A 33) and using Eq.(A 34), we have

$$C_{n}^{n}(x[n]) = C_{n+1}^{n+1}(x[n+1]) = 1;$$
 (n 1); (A 35)

where we have used the fact that C_1^1 (x [1]) = 1. Therefore, the proof of our identity (30) is nally nished.

- [4] R.P.Feynm an and A.R.Hibbs, Path Intergral and Quantum Mechanics, NoG raw-Hill (1965)
- [5] C.J. Joachain, Quantum collision theory, North-Holland Publishing (1975)

- [8] B.A. Lippm ann and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 79, 469 (1950)
- [9] L. Van Hove, Bulletin A cad. Roy. Belgique 37, 1055 (1951); Physica 18, 145 (1952)
- [10] E. Ferm i, Nuclear Physics, Chicago: University of Chicago Press (1950)

^[1] E.Schrodinger, Ann. Phys. 79, 489-527 (1926)

^[2] P.A.M. Dirac, The principles of Quantum Mechanics, 4th edn (revised), Oxford: Clarendon Press (1974)

^[3] J. von N eum ann, The M athem atical Foundations of Q uantum M echanics, P rinceton: U niversity P ress (1955)

^[6] An M in W ang, Q uantum mechanics in general quantum systems (II): perturbation theory, quant-ph/0611217, Its earlier version is quant-ph/0602055

^[7] An M in W ang, Q uantum mechanics in general quantum systems (III): open system dynamics, quant-ph/0601051

^[11] A.G.Red eld, IBM J.Research Devel. 1, 19 (1957); A.G.Red eld, Adv.Magn.Reson. 1, 1 (1965).