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#### Abstract

A fter proving and using a m athem atical identity, we rst deduce an expansion form ula ofoperator binom ials power. Then, starting from our idea of combining the Feynm an path integral spirit and the D yson series kemel, we nd an explicit and general form of tim evolution operator that is a c-num ber function and a power series of perturbation including all order approxim ations in the unperturbed H am iltonian representation. B ased on it, we obtain an exact solution of the Schrodinger equation in general quantum system $s$ independent of $t$ im $e$. In special, we w rite dow $n$ the concrete form of the exact solution of the Schrodinger equation when the solvable part (unperturbed part) of this H am iltonian is sim ply taken as the kinetic energy term. C om parison of our exact solution w ith the existed perturbation theory $m$ akes som e features and signi cance of our exact solution clear. The conclusions expressly indicate that our exact solution is obviously consistent with the usual tim e-independent perturbation theory at any order approxim ation, it explicitly calculates out the expanding coe cients of the unperturbed state in the non-perturbation $m$ ethod, and it fully solves the recurrence equation of the expansion coe cients of nal state in the unperturbed H am iltonian representation from a view of tim e-dependent perturbation theory. At the sam e tim e, the exact solution of the von $N$ eum ann equation is also given. O ur results can be thought of as theoretical developm ents of quantum dynam ics, and are helpfulfor understanding the dynam icalbehavior and related subjects of general quantum system $s$ in both theory and application. O ur exact solution, together with its sequence studies on perturbation theory [An M in $W$ ang, quant-ph/0611217] and open system dynam ics [An M in $W$ ang, quant-ph/0601051] can be used to establish the foundation of theoretical form ulism of quantum m echanics in general quantum system s . Further applications of our exact solution to quantum theory can be expected.


PACS num bers: $03.65 . \mathrm{w}, 03.65$.C a

## I. INTRODUCTION

O ne of the $m$ ost im portant tasks of physics is to obtain the tim e evolution law and form of a physical system, that is, so-called dynam ical equation and its solution. This directly relates to physics foundation. In a quantum system, the dynam icalequation is the Schrodinger equation [1, 2] for a pure state $j$ ( $t$ ) $i$ or the von $N$ eum ann equation for a $m$ ixed state ( t ) [3], that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} j(t) i=H j(t) i ;  \tag{1}\\
& \quad(t)=i \mathbb{H} ;(t)]: \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is the $H$ am iltonian of quantum system. H ow ever, only a few idealized quantum system s are exactly solvable at present by using the existed theory and $m$ ethods. Therefore, it is extrem ely interesting and essential im portant to nd the exact solutions to the Schrodinger equation and the von $N$ eum ann equation for $H$ am iltonians of even m oderate com plexity.

If the H am iltonian is assum ed independent of tim e , an arbitrary initial state at $\mathrm{t}=0$ is denoted by j (0)i for a pure state or ( 0 ) for a m ixed state, then the nal state at a given timetwillbecome

$$
\begin{align*}
& j(t) i=e^{i H t} j(0) i ;  \tag{3}\\
& (t)=e^{i H t}(0) e^{i H t} ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e^{\text {if } t}$ (set $\sim=1$ for sim plicity) is called as the tim e evolution operator. The above equations indicate that that the nal state can be rew ritten as the form that the tim e evolution operator acts on an initial state. In other

[^0]words, they are, respectively, a form al solution of the Schrodinger equation for a pure state and one of the von $N$ eum ann equation for a $m$ ixed state. H ow ever, the form alsolution is not the practical solution that can be applied to concrete problem s and actual calculations. O nes are interested in the practical solution because it can really produce the physical results and conclusions in the quantum theory. H ence, to solve the Schrodinger equation and the von $N$ eum ann equation refers to nd the practicalsolution in $m$ ost cases. It is clear that this task is equivalently to seek for the explicit expression of the tim e evolution operator.

H istorically, the m ost fam ous exam ple to study the expression of the tim e evolution operator is Feynm an path integral form ulism [4]. In fact, Dyson series is also an im portant exam ple [5]. From our point of view, only if the expression of the tim e evolution operator is a c-num ber function, can we clearly express the practical solution of the nal state using (3) and (4), respectively, for the pure state and the $m$ ixed state. $M$ oreover, we realize that for a generalquantum system without the exact solution in the usual theory, the expression of the nal state in c-number function form can be generally expressed by an in nite series. Hence, only if the expressing series of the nal state is a pow er series of perturbation, can we inherit the advantages of perturbation theory. A s is well-known, to nd the c-num ber function form of the tim e evolution operator is a successfiul linchpin of Feynm an path integral form ulism, and to expand the tim e evolution operator as a pow er series of perturbation is a pow erfulheadstream ofD yson series (in the interaction picture). C onsequently, our physical idea in this paper com es from the com bination of Feynm an path integral spirit and D yson series kemel. Starting from this com bined idea, we would like to nd an explicit and general expression of the tim e evolution operator that it not only is a c-num ber function but also is a pow er series expansion of perturbation including all order approxim ations in term $s$ of our ow $\mathrm{n} m$ ethods.

To express the tim e evolution operator as a c-num ber function needs a representation of H ilbert space, and further to expand it as a power series of perturbation needs to separate its unperturbed part and perturbing part. A ctually, the above problem s can be studied by using the sim ilarm ethods to the usualperturbation theory [1, 2].

In the usualpertunbation theory, the key idea to research the tim e evolution of generalquantum system $s$ is to split the system H am iltonian into two parts, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the eigenvalue problem of so-called unperturbed H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is solvable, and so-called perturbing H am irtonian $H_{1}$ is the rest part of the $H$ am iltonian. In other words, this splitting is chosen in such a m anner that the solutions of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ are known as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0} j \quad i=E \quad j \quad i ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j i$ is the eigenvector of $H_{0}$ and $E$ is the corresponding eigenvalue. Whole $j \quad i$, in which takes over all possible values, form a representation of the unperturbed $H$ am iltonian. It $m$ ust be pointed out that in the perturbation theory, the above $H$ am iltonian split principle $w$ ith the best solvability is not unique in $m$ ore general cases. It is possible to need to consider such an additionalcondition that the selected split can rem ove degeneracies as com plete as possible in order to suit to apply the perturbation theory, or to let our im proved schem e of perturbation theory [6] w ork well. If the rem ained degeneracies are allowed, it requires that the o-diagonal elem ent of the perturbing $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix betw een arbitrary two degenerate levels are alw ays vanishing. A $s$ an exam ple, it has been discussed in our sequence study [7]. Of course, if the cut-o approxim ation of perturbation is necessary in our im proved schem e of perturbation theory [6], the o -diagonalelem ents of $H_{1}$ should be $s m$ allenough com pared w the the diagonalelem ents of $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1}$ in the $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ representation.

As soon as we nd the explicit and general expression of the tim e evolution operator that is both a c-num ber function and a power series of perturbation including all order approxim ations, we de nitely can obtain the exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation and von $N$ eum ann equation, and so we can solve a lot of problem $s$ in quantum theory, for exam ple, entanglem ent dynam ics and open system dynam ics. Of course, our solutions are called \exact ones" in the sense including allorder approxim ations of perturbation. H ow ever, our nalpurpose is not lim it to these. $W$ e, starting from our exact solutions, try to nally establish the foundations of theoretical form ulism of quantum m echanics in general quantum system s. O ur serial studies on perturbation theory [6] and open system dynam ics [7] indicate that we have partially arrived at our purpose.

In this paper, the key and central task is, in the unperturbed Ham iltonian representation, to nd an explicit expression of the time evolution operator $e^{\text {if } t}$ that is a c-number function and a power series of perturbation including all order approxim ations according to our idea com bining the Feynm an path integral spirit and D yson series kemel. O ur m ethod is rst to derive out an expansion form ula of operator binom ials pow er and then apply it to the Taylor's expansion of tim e evolution operator $e^{i H t}=e^{i\left(H_{0}+H_{1}\right) t}$. M oreover, after proving and using our identity, we derive out the explicit and general form of representation $m$ atrix of $e{ }^{\text {iH } t}$ in the representation of the unperturbed H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. C onsequently, we obtain the exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation and the von $N$ eum ann equation in generalquantum system $s$ independent of tim $e$, in particular, a concrete form of the Schrodinger
equation solution when the solvable part of Ham iltonian is simply taken as the kinetic energy term in order to account for the generality and universality of our exact solutions. Furtherm ore, by com paring our exact solution of the Schrodinger equation $w$ ith the usual perturbation theory, we reveal their relations and show what is $m$ ore and what is di erent. The conclusions clearly indicate that our exact solution is obviously consistent w ith the usualtim eindependent perturbation theory at any order approxim ation, but also in our exact solution we explicitly calculate out the expanding coe cients of unperturbed state in Lippm ann-Schw inger equation [8] for the non-perturbative $m$ ethod, and/or fiully solves the recurrence equation of the expansion coe cients of nalstate in the unpertunbed H am iltonian representation from a view of tim e-dependent perturbation theory. B ased on the above all of reasons and results, our exact solutions can be thought of as the theoretical developm ents of quantum dynam ics in general quatum system s , and they are helpfiul for understanding the dynam ical behavior and related subjects of quantum system $s$ in both theory and application. From our point of view, our exact solutions and their sequence studies on perturbation theory [6] and open system dynam ics [7] can be used to establish the foundation of quantum $m$ echanics of general quantum system s. Specially, we think that the features and advantages of our exact solutions can not be fully revealed only by the im proved schem e of perturbation theory [6] and open system dynam ics [7]. W e would like to study the m ore applications to the form ulation of quantum $m$ echanics in general quantum system $s$ in the near future.
$T$ his paper is organized as the follow ing: in this section, we give an introduction; in Sec. Tl we rst propose the expansion form ula of pow er of operator binom ials; in Sec. IIT we derive out an explicit and generalexpression oftim e evolution operator after proving and using our identity; in Sec. $\mathbb{I V}$ we obtain the exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation and the von $N$ eum ann equation, and then present a concrete exam ple when the solvable part (unperturbed part) of $H$ am iltonian is taken as the kinetic energy term; in Sec. $V$ by com parison of our exact solution of the Schrodinger equation $w$ ith the usual perturbation theory, we prove their consistency and their relations, and explain w hat is m ore and what is di erent.; in Sec. VI we sum $m$ arize our conclusions and give som e discussions. Finally, we w rite an appendix where the proof of our identity is presented.

## II. EXPANSION FORMULA OF OPERATOR BINOM IALSPOWER

In order to obtain the explicitly exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation and von $N$ eum ann equation in general quantum system $s$ independent of tim e, we need to deduce the expression of the tim e evolution operator. A ccording to our physical idea, we should rst separate the tim e evolution operator into tw o parts, respectively, w ith perturbation and w ithout perturbation. A fter to split the H am iltonian, this problem changes to the derivation ofexpansion form ula of operator binom ials pow er. W ithout loss of generality, we are alw ays able to w rite the pow er of operator binom ials as two parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A+B)^{n}=A^{n}+f^{n}(A ; B): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A and B are two operators and do not com m ute with each other in general. If B is taken as a perturbation, the above decom position just splits the operator binom ials pow er into two parts, respectively, w ith perturbation and $w$ thout perturbation. $N$ ow, the key $m$ atter is how to obtain the form of $f^{n}(A ; B)$.

It is clear that $f^{n}(A ; B)$ is a polynom ial including at least rst power of $B$ and at $m$ ost nth power of $B$ in every term. Thus, a general term w th lth power of $B$ has the form $\quad Q_{i=1}^{1} A^{k_{i}} B \quad A^{n} l^{\sum_{i=1}^{1} k_{i}}$. From the symmetry of pow er of binom ials we conchude that every $k_{i}$ take the values from 0 to ( $n \quad 1$ ), but it must keep $n \quad l \quad P_{i=1} k_{i} 0$. So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{n}(A ; B)=\begin{array}{lllll}
X^{n} & X^{1} \quad Y^{1} \quad A^{k_{i}} B \quad A^{n} \quad 1 \quad \sum_{i=1}^{1} k_{i}
\end{array} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(x)$ is a step finction, that is, $(x)=1$ if $x \quad 0$, and $(x)=0$ if $x<0$. Obviously based on above de nition, we easily verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{B} ; \quad \mathrm{f}^{2}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{AB}+\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

They im ply that the expression (8) is correct for $n=1 ; 2$.
$N$ ow we use the $m$ athem atical induction to prove the expression (8) of $f^{n}(A ; B)$, that is, let us assum $e$ that it is valid for a given $n$, and then prove that it is also valid for $n+1$. Denoting $F^{n+1}(\mathbb{A} ; B)$ w th the form of expression (9) where $n$ is replaced by $n+1$, and we extract the part of $l=1$ in its nite sum $m$ ation for $l$
$W$ e extract the term $s k_{1}=0$ in the rst and second sum $m$ ations, and again replace $k_{1}$ by $k_{1} \quad 1$ in the sum $m$ ation (the sum $m$ ations for $k_{i}$ from 1 to ( $n+1$ ) l change as one from 0 to $n \quad 1$ ), the result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{l}=2 \quad \mathrm{k}_{1}=1 \quad \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \quad 1710 \quad \mathrm{i}=1 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

furthem ore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{(n+1)} 1 \sum_{i=2}^{1} k_{i} \quad(n+1) \quad 1 \quad X^{1} k_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

C onsidering the third term in above expression, we change the dum $m y$ index $f k_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$ kinto $\mathrm{fk}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}$; $\quad 1 ; \mathrm{kg}$, rew rite $(n+1)$ las $n(1)$, and nally replace lby 1 in the sum $m$ ation (the sum $m$ ation for from 2 to $n+1$ changes as one from 1 to $n$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=2 k_{2} ; \quad{ }_{1} \rightarrow 10 \quad i=2 \quad i=2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =B \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B}) \text {; } \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the expression (9) of $f^{n}(A ; B)$. Because of the step function $n \quad 1 \quad{ }_{i=1}^{1} k_{i}$ in the fourth term of the expression (13), the upper bound of sum $m$ ation for $l$ is abated to $n$, and the upper bound of sum $m$ ation for $k_{2} ; k_{3} ; \quad 1$ i还 abated to $n \quad$. Then, $m$ erging the fourth term and the second term in Eq. (13) gives
where we have used again the expression (9) of $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (A ; B).
Substituting (14) and (15) into (13), im m ediately leads to the follow ing result

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{n+1}=B A^{n}+(A+B) f^{n}(A ; B): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that

$$
\begin{align*}
(A+B)^{n+1} & =(A+B)(A+B)^{n} \\
& =A^{n+1}+B A^{n}+(A+B) f^{n}(A ; B) ; \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{BA}^{\mathrm{n}}+(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B}): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in term s of Eqs.(16) and (18) we have nished our proof that $f^{n}(A ; B)$ has the expression (8) or (9) for any n .

## III. EXPRESSION OF THETMEEVOLUTION OPERATOR

$N$ ow we investigate the expression of the tim e evolution operator by $m$ eans of above expansion form ula of pow er of operator binom ials, that is, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i H t}=X_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(\text { 计 })^{n}}{n!}\left(H_{0}+H_{1}\right)^{n}=e^{i H 0 t}+X_{n=0}^{X^{H}} \frac{(\text { 沚 })^{n}}{n!} f^{n}\left(H_{0} ; H_{1}\right): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In above equation, inserting the com plete relation $P \quad j \quad$ ih $\quad j=1$ before every $H_{0}^{k_{i}}$, and using the eigen equation of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ (6), it is easy to see that


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}[;]=\mathrm{fE}{ }_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2} ; \quad \text { i } \mathrm{FE}_{1+1} \mathrm{~g} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we introduce the de nition of $C_{1}^{n}(E[i])(1 \quad 1)$ as the follow ing

The expression (20) and the expression of the tim e evolution operator depend on it has incamated our physical idea, that is, it is both a c-number function and a power series of perturbation. O ur further task is to nd the explicit expression of $C_{1}^{n}(\mathbb{E}[; 1])$.

Before to $n^{n} C_{1}^{n}(E[; 1])$, let us $m$ ention the cases when energy level degenerations happen, that is, som e sam e values appear in the eigen spectrum $E=f E j=1 ; 2 ; \quad g$, the eigen spectrum is re-denoted by $E=f E j=$ $1 ; 2 ; \quad ; \quad 1 ; \quad$; $\quad$ and the num bers of original is di erent from the numbers of new. . Here, $m$ is called degeneracy for a given , which $m$ eans the num ber of the sam e eigenvalues ( $m$-fold degeneracy). In special, when $m=1$, the energy levelE a is not degenerate. It is clear that the above expression (20) has only a little change:
which has no obvious in uence on our follow ing derivation and proof. For sim plicity, we do not consider the degenerate cases in this section since no new idea and skillw ill be needed here.

In order to derive out an explicit and useful expression of $C_{1}^{n}(E[i])$, we rst change the dummy index $k_{1}$ !
 factor $\left(k_{1}\right)$ becom es ( $n \quad l \quad \underset{i=1}{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ). Thus, we can rew rite

In fact, this new expression of $f^{n}(A ; B)$ is a result of the symm etry of power of binom ials for its every factor. Sim ilarly, in term sof the above $m$ ethod to obtain the de nition of $C_{1}^{n}(\mathbb{E}[i]$ ) 22), we have its new de nition (where $k_{1}$ is replaced by $k$ )

Because ( $n \quad k$ ) $l^{P} \underset{i=1}{1} k_{i}$ abates the upper bound of sum $m$ ation for $k_{i}(i=1 ; 2 ; \quad$;l 1 ) from $(n \quad l)$ to ( $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad 1$ ) (llation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
n & k & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
l & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{k}^{1} \quad \text { ! ) } \\
& i=1 \\
& =X_{k=0}^{l} C_{1}^{n} 1^{k}{ }^{1}\left(E\left[\begin{array}{ll}
; 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) E^{k}{ }_{1+1}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, when $l=1$, from the de nition of $C_{1}^{n}(E(; 1))$ it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{n}(E(; 1))=\mathbb{X}^{1} E_{1}^{k_{1}=0} E_{1}^{k_{1}} E_{2}^{n} k_{1}=E_{2}^{n} \mathbb{X}^{1} \frac{E_{1}}{E_{1}}{ }^{k_{1}}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $m$ eans of the sum $m$ ation form ula of a geom etric series, we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{n}(E(; 1))=\frac{E_{1}^{n}}{E_{1} E_{2}} \quad \frac{E_{2}^{n}}{E_{1} E_{2}}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B$ ased on the recurrence equation (26), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X_{i=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{(1)^{i}{ }^{1} E_{i}^{n_{i} 1} \mathbb{X}^{2}}{E_{1} E_{2}}{ }_{k=0}^{E_{i}}{ }^{k} \\
& \left.=X_{i=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{(1)^{i}{ }^{1}\left(E_{i}^{n}\right.}{\left(E_{1}\right.} E_{2}\right)\left(E_{i} E_{i}^{n} E_{3}^{1}\right), ~\left(E_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{E_{3}^{n}}{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
E_{1} & E_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(E_{2}\right.} \begin{array}{lll}
E_{3}
\end{array}\right): \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above calculations, the last step is im portant. In fact, in order to obtain the concrete expression of $C_{1}^{n}$ (land n are both positive integers), we need our identity

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{x^{1}}(1)^{i} \frac{1}{E_{i}^{K}} \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l}
i
\end{array}\right.}{d_{i}(E[i])}=\begin{aligned}
& (\text { If } 0 \quad K<1)  \tag{30}\\
& 1
\end{aligned}:
$$

It is proved in A ppendix A in detail. $T$ he denom inators $d_{i}(E[; 1])$ in above identity are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{1}(E[; 1])=Y^{1} E_{1} E_{i+1} ;  \tag{31}\\
& i=1 \\
& \text { i }^{1} \quad \text { Y } 1 \\
& d_{i}(E[; 1])=E_{j=1} \quad E_{i}{ }_{k=i+1}\left(E_{i} \quad E_{k}\right) ;  \tag{32}\\
& Y^{1} \\
& d_{l+1}(E[; 1])=\sum_{i=1} E_{i} \quad E_{1+1} \text {; } \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where 2 i 1 . Then, using the recurrence equation (26) and our identity (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{n}(E[; 1])=\sum_{i=1}^{X^{1}}(1)^{i} \frac{E_{i}^{n}}{d_{i}(E[; 1])}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, the $m$ athem atical induction show $s$ its pow er again. If the expression (34) is correct for a given $n$, for exam ple $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2$, then for $\mathrm{n}+1$ from the recurrence equation (26) it follow s that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =X_{i=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{i}{ }^{1} E_{i}^{n}}{d_{i}\left(E\left[\sum_{i} 1\right]\right)}{ }_{k=0}^{n^{1} X^{1}}{\frac{E l_{1+1}}{E_{i}}}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because that $d_{i}\left(E\left[\begin{array}{ll}; 1 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(E_{i} \quad E_{1+1}\right)=d_{i}\left(E\left[\begin{array}{ll}[1])(i & l+1) \text { and our identity 30), it is easy to see }\end{array}\right.\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{i}{ }^{1} E_{i}^{1}}{d_{i}(E[; 1])}=\quad(1)^{1} \frac{E_{l+1}^{1}}{d_{l+1}(E[; 1])}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute it into Eq.(35) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{n+1}(E[; 1])=\underbrace{\mathrm{X}^{1}}_{i=1}(1)^{i} \frac{E_{i}^{n+1}}{d_{i}(E[; 1])}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, we have proved that the expression (34) of $\left.C_{1}^{n}(\mathbb{E} ; i]\right)$ is valid for any $n$.
It $m$ ust be em phasized that since the sum $m$ ation is over all of values of the energy eigenvalues, there are apparent divergences in the expression of $C_{1}^{n}(\mathbb{E}[; 1])$. Here, lapparent" refers to an unture thing, that is, the apparent divergences are not real singularities and they can be elim inated by $m$ athem atical and/or physical $m$ ethods. M oreover, the sam e problem appears if $w$ ith degeneracy. Therefore, we need to understand above expressions in the sense of lim itations. For instance, for $C_{1}^{n}(E[; 1])=E_{1}^{n} \quad E_{2}^{n}=\left(E_{1} \quad E_{2}\right)$, we have the expression
 $(x)=0$, if $x \quad 0$. In other words, the apparent divergences here are not realdivergences and can be elim inated by nding the correct lim itations. There is no theoretical problem when we form ally keep the apparent divergences in the above expression. A ctually, in our recent w ork [6], we successfilly elim inate all the apparent divergences.

Because of our identity (30), the sum $m$ ation to $l$ in Eq. (20) can be extended to $1 . T$ hus, the expression of the tim e evolution operator is changed to a sum $m$ ation according to the order (or pow er) of the perturbing $H$ am iltonian $H_{1}$ as follow $S$

W hen there is degeneracy, it becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y^{1} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{j}=1 \\
& ={ }_{l=0}^{X_{1}} A_{1}{ }^{v} ;{ }^{0} v^{0}(t)={ }^{X^{1}} h_{l=0} \quad \not A_{1}(t) \quad 0^{E} ; \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,
where

It is clear that this propagator has the closed tim e evolution factors. In special, it is a c-num ber function just like the Feynm an path integral [4], and it is a power series of the perturbing $H$ am iltonian just like $D$ yson series in interaction picture [5]. Therefore it has, at the sam e tim e, the advantages that exist in the Feynm an path integral fam ulism and Feynm an diagram (Dyson) expansion formula.
IV. SOLUTION OF THESCHRODINGEREQUATION AND THEVON NEUMANN EQUATION

Substituting the expression of the tim e evolution operator (38) into Eq.(3), we obtain im $m$ ediately our explicit form of tim e evolution of an arbitrary initial state in a generalquantum system

$$
j(t) i=\sum_{l=0}^{x^{1}} A_{1}(t) j(0) i=\begin{align*}
& x^{1} \quad X \quad A_{1} \quad{ }_{l=0}(t) h^{h}{ }^{0} j(0) i{ }^{i} j \quad i ; ~ \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

In form, this solution is also suitable for the degenerate cases, but $m$ ore apparent divergences $w i l l$ appear. It $m$ ust be em phasized that these apparent divergences can be easily elim inated by the lim itation calculations. To save space, we delay to discuss the disposal of degenerate cases and the elim ination of apparent divergences to our sequence study [6].

Sim ilarly, the exact solution of the von $N$ eum ann equation for $m$ ixed states can be obtained by using our general and explicit expression of the tim e evolution operator (41). From the form alsolution of the von $N$ eum ann equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=e^{i H t}(0) e^{i H t} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

it im $m$ ediately follow s that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (t)=X_{k ; l=0}^{X^{1}} A_{k}(t)(0) A_{1}(t)=X_{k ; l=0}^{X^{1}} A_{k}(t) \quad(0) A_{1}^{y}(t) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we have obtained the exact expression of the tim e evolution operator, it is direct to extend our conchusions from the pure state to the $m$ ixed state. For sim plicity, we only study the cases of pure states in the follow ing, that is, our exact solution of the Schrdinger equation.
$N$ ote that the linearity of the evolution operator and the com pleteness ofeigenvector set of ${ }_{0}$, we can sim ply set the initial state as an eigenvector of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. Up to now, everything is exact and no any approxim ation enters. Therefore, it is an exact solution despite that its form is an in nity series. In other words, it exactly includes allorder approxim ations of $H_{1}$. Only when $H_{1}$ is taken as a perturbation, can it be cut o based on the needed precision. From a view of form alized theory, it is explicit and general, but is not com pact. M oreover, if the convergence is guaranteed, it is strict since its general term is known. U sually, to a practical punpose, if only including the nite (often low) order approxim ation of $H_{1}$, above expression is cut o to the nite term $s$ and becom es a perturbed solution.

A ctually, since our solution includes the contributions from all order approxim ations of the rest part of am iltonian except for the solvable part $H_{0}$, or all order approxim ations of the perturbative part $H_{1}$ of H am iltonian, it is not very im portant whether $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ is (relatively) large or sm allw hen com pared w ith $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. In principle, for a generalquantum system w ith the nom al form H am iltonian, we can alw ays w rite dow $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\hat{k}^{2}}{2 m}+V: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take the solvable kinetic energy term as $H_{0}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ and the potential energy part as $\mathrm{H}_{1}=\mathrm{V}$, our m ethod is applicable to such quantum system. It should be pointed out that we study this concrete exam ple in order to account for the generality and universality of our exact solution.

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{k} i=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{k} i=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{i} ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd denoting

$$
\begin{align*}
h x j k i & =\frac{1}{L^{3=2}} e^{i k} x ;  \tag{50}\\
k(0) & =h k j(0) i ;  \tag{51}\\
(x ; t) & =h x j(t) i \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain the nalstate as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}^{1} \frac{(1)^{i} 1}{d_{i}(E[k ; 1])} \frac{1}{L^{3=2}} e^{i\left(k \quad \times \quad E_{i} t\right)} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{k}{ }_{n+1} \quad \mathrm{l}=1 \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0} \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \quad \#^{1+\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{k}}} \quad \mathrm{j}=1 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{1} \frac{(1)^{i} 1}{d_{i}(E[k ; 1])} \frac{1}{L^{3=2}} e^{i\left(k \quad x \quad F_{i} t\right)} \quad \text { : } \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second equalm ark, we have used the fact that $V=V(x)$ usually, thus

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{k_{j} k_{j+1}} & =\underset{Z}{h k_{j} j V(x) \mathrm{k}_{j+1} i} \\
& =d^{3} x_{j} d^{3} x_{j+1} h k_{j} \dot{x}_{j} i h x_{j} j V(x) \dot{x}_{j+1} i h x_{j+1} k_{j+1} i \\
& =\frac{1}{L^{3}} Z_{1}^{1} d^{3} x_{j} d^{3} x_{j+1} e^{i k_{j} x_{V}}\left(x_{j+1}\right)^{3}\left(x_{j} \quad x_{j+1}\right) e^{i k} \\
& =\frac{1}{L^{3}}{ }_{1}^{1} d^{3} x V(x) e^{i\left(k_{j}\left(k_{j+1}\right) x\right.}  \tag{55}\\
& =V\left(k_{j} k_{j+1}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $V(k)$ is the Fourier transform ation of $V(x)$. Eq. (53) or (54) is a concrete form of our solution (44) of Schrodinger equation when the solvable part of $H$ am iltonian is taken as the kinetic energy term. Therefore, in principle, if the Fourier transform ation of $V(x)$ can be found, the evolution of the arbitrarily in itial state w ith tim $e$ can be obtained. It $m$ ust be em phasized that it is often that the solvable part of $H$ am iltonian $w$ illbe not only $T=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{k}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ for the practical purposes.

Furthem ore, we can derive out the propagator

It is di erent from the known propagator in the expressive form, but they should be equivalent in theory because we still use the usual quantum m echanics principle. H ow ever, what is m ore and what is new in our exact solution? In the follow ing, and in our serial studies [6, 7], we w ill see them.

## V. COMPARISON W ITH THE USUALPERTURBATION THEORY

In this section, we will com pare the usual perturbation theory with our solution, reveal their consistency and relation, and point out what is $m$ ore in our solution and what is di erent betw een them. Furtherm ore, we expect to reveal the features, signi cance and possible applications of our solution in theory. W e w ill respectively investigate and discuss the cases com paring w ith the tim e-independent, tim e-dependent perturbation theories and the nonperturbative solution $m$ ethod.
A. C om parison w ith the tim e-independent perturbation theory

The usual tim e-independent (stationary) perturbation theory is $m$ ainly to study the stationary wave equation in order to obtain the perturbative energies and perturbative states. H ow ever, our solution focus on the developm ent of quantum states, which is a solution of the Schrodinger dynam icalequation. A lthough theirm ain punposes are di erent at their start points, but they are consistent. M oreover, our exact solution is also able to apply to the calculation of transition probability, perturbed energy and perturbed state, which will be seen clearly in Ref. [6]. M oreover, our exact solution has been successfully applied to open system dynam ics (7].

In order to verify that the tim e-independent perturbation theory is consistent with our solution at any order approxim ation, we suppose that in the initial state, the system is in the eigen state of the total $H$ am iltonian, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H j E_{T}(0) i=E_{T} j E_{T}(0) i: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

C alculating the K th tim e derivative of this equation and then setting $\mathrm{t}=0$, we obtain
where we have used the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{E_{T}}(0) i={ }^{X} \quad \text { a } j \quad i ; \quad a=h \quad j E_{T}(0) i ;  \tag{61}\\
& \frac{d^{K} A_{1}{ }^{0}(t)}{d t^{K}}{ }_{t=0}=(i)^{K} B_{1}{ }^{0}(K): \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to obtain that
where we have used our identity (30). This $m$ eans that if $l>K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{K} A_{D>K}^{0}(t)}{d t^{K}}=(i)^{K} B_{1} \quad(K>1)=0: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

In special

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\frac{d A_{1}{ }^{0}(t)}{d t}}_{t=0}=\quad i B_{1}{ }^{0}(1)=\quad i H_{1} \quad{ }_{11}:  \tag{66}\\
& {\frac{d^{2} A_{1}}{d t^{2}}(t)}_{t=0}=B_{1}{ }^{0}(2)=(E+E \quad 0) H_{1}{ }^{0}{ }_{11} \quad X_{1} H_{1}{ }^{1} H_{1}^{1}{ }^{1} \quad 12: \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words, the sum $m$ ation to $l$ in the right side of Eq .(59) is cut o to K . Therefore, by left $m$ ultiplying $h$ jto Eq.(59) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}^{K} a=E^{K} a+X_{0}^{X} B_{1}^{K}(K) a 0: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen $K=1$, the above equation backs to the start point of tim e-independent perturbation theory

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{E} a+{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{0} \mathrm{a}^{0}: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

It im plies that it is consistent with our solution. In order to certify that our solution is com patible w ith the tim eindependent perturbation theory, we have to consider the cases when $K \quad 2$. By using $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{a}$, substituting Eq.(68) tw ice, then $m$ oving the second term to the right side, we have

Since when K 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{K}(E[; 1]) \quad E_{2} C_{1}^{K}{ }^{1}(E[; 1])=E^{K}{ }_{1}^{1} ; \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and again 12

$$
C_{1}^{K}(E[; 1]) \quad E{ }_{1+1} C_{1}^{K}{ }^{1}(E[; 1])=C_{11}^{K}{ }_{1}^{1}\left(E \left[\begin{array}{ll}
; & 1]) ; ~ \tag{72}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

which has been proved in appendix A. O bviously, when $K=2$, Eq. becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
E E_{T} a=E^{2} a+E_{0}^{X} H_{1}^{0} a 0: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can back to Eq.(69) . Sim ilarly, when K 3, so do they. In fact, from Eqs.(71,72), Eq.(70) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{K}{ }^{1} E_{T} a=E^{K} a+E^{K} 1_{0}^{X} H_{1}{ }^{0} a 0 ; \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { - } 1=2 \\
& X \quad \mathrm{~K} \quad{ }^{1}{ }{ }^{0}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{~K}  \tag{75}\\
& \text { 0 } 1=1 \\
& \text { 1) }{ }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{0}{ }^{\infty} \mathrm{a} \infty=0 \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Its proof is not di cult because we can derive out

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \quad \mathrm{l}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\begin{array}{lllll}
X^{\mathrm{K}} & 1 & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{C}_{11}^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{E}[;]
\end{array} \\
& \text { - } 1=2 \text { 1; }{ }^{1+; 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 01=1 \quad 1 ; \quad{ }_{1+i 1} \quad j=1 \\
& =\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{~K}^{2} & \\
\mathrm{~B}_{1} & 0 \\
& (\mathrm{~K} & 1) \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{a} \alpha 0
\end{array}  \tag{76}\\
& 0 ; 01=1
\end{align*}
$$

The rst equality has used the de nitions of $B_{1}{ }^{\circ}(\mathrm{K})$, the second equality has used Eq.(72), the third equality sets
 again. Substituting it into the left side of Eq. (75) and using $B_{K}{ }_{1}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{lll}(K) & 1) \text { expression, we can nish the proof of }\end{array}\right.$ Eq.(75) . A ctually, the above proof further veri es the correctness of our solution from the usual perturbation theory.

In our point of view, only if the expression of the high order approxim ation has been obtained, can we consider its contribution in the tim e-independent perturbation theory since its $m$ ethod is to nd a given order approxim ation. H ow ever, this task needs to solve a sim ultaneous equation system, it will be heavy for the enough high order approxim ation. In Ref. [6], we will give a $m$ ethod to nd the im proved form $s$ of perturbed energy and perturbed state absorbing the partial contributions from the high order even all order approxim ations of perturbation.

## B. Com parison $w$ ith the non-perturbative $m$ ethod

N ow, let us see what is $m$ ore in our solution than the nonperturbative $m$ ethod. A ctually, since $H$ is not explicitly tim e-dependent, its eigenvectors can be given form ally by so-called Lippm ann-Schw inger equations as follow $s$ [8]:

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{s}() i & =j i+\frac{1}{E} H_{0}{ }^{i} H_{1} j_{s}() i  \tag{77}\\
& =j i+G_{0}() H_{1} j_{s}() i  \tag{78}\\
& =j i+\frac{1}{E M \quad i} H_{1} j \quad i  \tag{79}\\
& =j i+G() H_{1} j \quad i ; \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

where the complete $G$ reen's function $G \quad()=1=\left(E \quad H \quad\right.$ i) and the unperturbed $G$ reen's function $G_{0}()=$ $1=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{H}_{0} & \text { i) satisfy the D yson's equation }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
G() & =G_{0}()+G_{0}() H_{1} G()  \tag{81}\\
& =X_{l=0}^{X 1}\left(G_{0}() H_{1}\right)^{1} G_{0}(): \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, the subscript $\backslash S^{\prime \prime} m$ eans the stationary solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{l} i=\mathrm{E} j_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{i}\right. \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ ore strictly, we should use the \in" and \out" states to express it [9]. In historical literature, this solution is know $n$ as so-called non-perturbative one. It has played an im portant role in the form al scattering theory [5].

B ack to our attem pt, for a given initial state j (0)i, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(0) i=X_{0} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{O} j(0) i \mathrm{~s}^{0}()^{\mathrm{E}}:\right. \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

A cting the tim e evolution operator on 计, we im m ediately obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j(t) i=X_{0} h_{s}^{0}() j(0) i e^{\text {i }} \text { ot }{ }_{s}^{0}()^{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

com paring this result w ith our solution (44), we can say that our solution has nished the calculations of explicit form of the expanding coe cients ( $m$ atrix elem ents) in the second term of the above equation using our $m$ ethod and rearrange the resulting term $s$ according $w$ th the pow er of elem ents of the perturbing H am iltonian $\mathrm{m}_{\text {atrix }} \mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{j}{ }^{j+1}$. $T$ his im plies that our solution can have $m$ ore physicalcontents and $m$ ore explicit signi cance. T herefore, we think that our solution is a new developm ent of the stationary perturbation theory. Seem ingly, its physical results are consistent w ith the Feynm an's diagram expansion of $D$ yson's series, but its general form is explicit, and convenient to calculate the tim e evolution of states w th tim e. Specially, we can obtain the im proved form of perturbed solution that absorbs partial contributions from the high order even all order approxim ations in our subsequent works [6], in which, it will be seen that our im proved schem e of perturbation theory based on our exact solution has higher e ciency and better precision in the calculation.

> C. C om parison w ith the tim e-dependent perturbation theory

The aim of our solution is sim ilar to the tim e-dependent perturbation theory. But their $m$ ethods are di erent. T he usualtim e-dependent perturbation theory [2] considers a quantum state initially in the eigenvector of the $H$ am iltonian
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$, and a subsequent evolution of system caused by the application of an explicitly tim e-dependent potential $\mathrm{V}=$ $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})$, where is $s m$ allenough to indicate V as a perturbation, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} j(t) i=\left(H 0_{0}+v(t)\right) j(t) i \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the initial state

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(0) i=j \quad i: \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is often used to study the cases that the system retums to an eigenvector $j_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{i}$ of the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ when the action of the perturbing potentialbecom es negligible.

In order to com pare it $w$ ith our solution, let us rst recall the tim e-dependent perturbation $m$ ethod. $N$ ote that the state $j$ ( $t$ ) i at tim e $t$ can be expanded by the com plete orthogonalsystem of the eigenvectors $j$ i of the $H$ am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t) i=^{X} c(t) j i \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=h \quad j(t) i ; \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the evolution equation (86) w illbecom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} c(t)=E \quad c \quad(t)+V_{0}^{X} \quad(t) c o(t) ; \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \quad{ }^{0}(t)=h \quad j(t) \quad{ }^{E}$. Now setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=b(t) e^{\text {iE }} t^{t} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inserting this into 90) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t} b(t)=\int_{0}^{\left.e^{i(E} \quad E \quad 0\right) t} V^{0}(t) b 0(t): \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $m$ aking a series expansion ofb ( $t$ ) according to the power of :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\sum_{l=0}^{x} \quad b^{(1)}(t) ; \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and setting equal the coe cients of ${ }^{1}$ on the both sides of the equation (92), ones nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{a}{a t} b^{(0)}(t)=0 ;  \tag{94}\\
& \left.i \frac{@}{a t} b^{(1)}(t)=\int_{0}^{X} e^{i(E} \quad E \quad 0\right) t v^{0}(t) b_{0}^{(1)} 0_{0}^{1)}(t) ; \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
l & 0):
\end{array}\right. \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

From the initial condition (87), it follows that $\mathrm{c}(0)=\mathrm{b}(0)=\mathrm{b}^{(0)}(0)$ and $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(0)=0$ if $1 \quad 1$. By integration over the variable $t$ this $w$ ill yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}^{(0)}(\mathrm{t})=\quad \quad Z_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}  \tag{96}\\
& b^{(1)}(t)=i \quad d t_{1} e^{i(E} \quad E \quad t_{1} v \quad\left(t_{1}\right) \text {; }  \tag{97}\\
& \left.b^{(2)}(t)=i_{0}^{Z_{t}^{0}} d t_{2} X \quad e^{i(E)} \quad E_{2}\right) t_{2} v^{2}\left(t_{2}\right) b_{2}^{(1)}\left(t_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If we take $v$ independent of tim $e$, the above $m$ ethod in the tim e-dependent perturbation theory is still valid. T hus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{(1)}(t)=\frac{1}{E E} e^{\text {iE } t} e^{i E t} e^{i E t} v ; \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =X_{2} \frac{1}{\left(E E_{2}\right)(E \quad E \quad} \frac{1}{\left(E E_{2}\right)\left(E_{2} \quad E\right)} e^{i E}{ }_{2}^{t} e^{i E t} \\
& +\frac{1}{(E \quad E)\left(E_{2} E\right)} e^{i E} t^{t} e^{i E} t^{2} v^{2}: \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{(1)}(t)=X_{12 i=1}^{X \quad X^{2}}(1)^{i} \frac{e^{i E}{ }_{i}}{d_{i}(E[; 1])} V^{12} \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad ;  \tag{101}\\
& C^{(2)}(t)=X_{1 ; 2 ; 3 i=1}^{X}(1)^{i} \frac{1}{d_{i}(E[; 2])} e^{i E{ }_{i} t}{ }_{1} \quad{ }_{3} V^{1}{ }^{2} V^{2}{ }^{3}: \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ow, we use the $m$ athem atical induction to prove

O bviously, we have seen that it is valid for $l=1 ; 2$. Suppose it is also valid for a given $n$, thus form Eqs. (95) and $\mathrm{b}^{(1)}(0)=0 ; 11$ it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.b^{(n+1)}(t)=i_{0}^{Z} d e^{i(E} \quad E\right) v b^{(n)}(): \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute Eqs.(91) and (103) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{(n+1)}(t)=i_{0}^{Z} d^{X} e^{i E} v c^{(n)}()
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality, we have inserted $P n_{n+2} \quad n+2$, sum $m$ ed over and integral over. In term s of the de nition of $d_{i}\left(E[; n]\right.$, we know that $d_{i}(E[; n])\left(E_{i} E_{n+2}^{n+2}\right)=d_{i}(E[; n+1])$. Then based on our identity 30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{X}^{+1}(1)^{i} \frac{1}{d_{i}(E[; n+1])}=(1)^{(n+2)} \frac{1}{d_{n+2}(E[; n+1])}: \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus Eq. (105) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(n+1)}(t)=X_{1 ;} X_{n ; 2 i=1}^{X^{+2}}(1)^{i} \frac{e^{i E} i_{i}^{t}}{d_{i}(E[; n+1])} @_{j=1}^{Y^{n}} V^{j+1} A^{j+21} V_{n+2}^{n+1} e^{i E} t: \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set the index taking tums, that is, $1!n+2$ and $\left.{ }_{i}+1!{ }_{i} \quad(n+1) \quad i \quad 1\right)$. A gain from the de nition of $d_{i}(E[; n]$, we can verify easily under the above index taking tums,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{x^{+2}}(1)^{i 1} \frac{e^{i E}{ }_{i}^{t}}{d_{i}(E[; n+1])} \quad \int_{i=1}^{x^{+2}}(1)^{i} \frac{e^{i E}{ }_{i}^{t}}{d_{i}(E[; n+1])}: \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we obtain the conclusion
$T$ his im plies that we nish the proof ofexpression (103). Substitute it into Eq. (88), we im $m$ ediately obtain the sam e expression as our solution (44).

From the statem ent above, it can be seen that our solution actually nishes the task to solve the recurrence equation of $b^{1}(t)$ by using our new $m$ ethod. A though the recurrence equation of $b^{1}(t)$ can be solved by integral in principle, it is indeed not easy if one does not know our identity (30) and relevant relations. To our know ledge, the general term form has not ever been obtained up to now. This is an obvious di culty to attem pt absorbing high order approxim ations. H ow ever, our solution has done it, and so it willm ake som e calculations of perturbation theory more convenient and $m$ ore accurate.

H ow ever, we pay for the price to require that $H$ is not explicitly dependent on tim e. Obviously our solution is written as a sym $m$ etric and whole form. M oreover, our $m$ ethod gives up, at least in the sense of form alization, the requirem ent that $V$ is $s m$ all enough com pared $w$ it $H_{0}$, and our proof is $m$ ore general and stricter. In addition, we do not need to consider the cases that the perturbing potential is switched at the initial and nal time, but the perturbing potential is not explicitly dependent of tim e. Since we obtain the general term, our solution m ust have m ore applications, it is m ore e cient and m ore accurate for the practical applications, because we can selectively absond the partialcontributions from the high order even allorder approxim ations. $T$ his can be called as the im proved form s of perturbed solutions, which willbe given in Ref. [6].
VI. D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

First of all, we would like to point out that our general and explicit solution (44) or its particular form s (53,54) of the Schrodinger equation in a general quantum system independent of tim e is explicit and exact in form because all order approxim ations of perturbation not only are com pletely included but also are clearly expressed, although it is an in nite series. O ur exact solution is in c-num ber function rather than operator form. This $m$ eans that it can inherit the sam e advantage as the Feynm an path integral expression. M oreover, our exact solution is a pow er series of the perturbing $H$ am iltonian like as the $D$ yson series in the interaction picture. T his im plies that the cut-o approxim ation of perturbation can be $m$ ade based on the needed precision of the problem $s$. It $m$ ust be em phasized that when applying our exact solution to a concrete quantum system, all we need to do for our exact solution is the calculations ofperturbing $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix and the coe cient function lim itations. H ere, the \perturbing $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix" refers to the representation $m$ atrix of the perturbing $H$ am iltonian in the unperturbed $H$ am iltonian representation. $T$ hus, such calculations are de nitely $m u c h$ easier than the calculations of path integraland $D$ yson series for the $m$ ost system s. On the other hand, because the general term is explicitly given in our exact solution series, we can $m$ ore conveniently consider the contributions from the high order even all order approxim ations in order to im prove the precision than doing the sam e thing via the D yson series.

A though we have obtained the exact solution in generalquantum system $s$, this does not $m$ ean that the perturbation theory is unnecessary because our exact solution is still an in nite pow er series of perturbation. O ur solution is called \exact one" in the sense including the contributions from all order approxim ations of the perturbing H am iltonian. In practise, if we do not intend to apply our solution to investigations of the form al theory of quantum mechanics, we need to cut o our exact solution series to som e given order approxim ation in the calculations of concrete problem s. Perhaps, one argues that our exact solution will back to the usual perturbation theory, and it is, at m ost, an explicit form that can bring out the e ciency am elioration. N evertheless, the case is not so. Such a view, in fact, ignores the
signi cance of the general term in an in nite series, and forgets the technologies to dealw ith an in nite series in the present $m$ athem atics and physics. From our point of view, since the general term is known, we can system atically and reasonably absorb the partial contributions from som e high order even all order approxim ations just like one has done in quantum eld theory via sum $m$ ation over a series of di erent order but sim ilar feature Feynm an gures. In our paper [6], wew ill xed this problem via proposing and developing an im proved schem e of perturbation theory.

In order to account for what is m ore in our solution, and reveal the relations and di erences betw een our solution and the existed $m$ ethod, we com pare our solution w th the usual perturbation theory. $W$ e nd their consistency. In fact, our solution has nished the task to calculate the expanding coe cients of nal state in $H$ representation and obtain the general term up to any order using our own m ethod. But the usual perturbation theory only carries out this task from som e given order approxim ation to the next order approxim ation step by step. In a sentence, m ore explicit and general feature of our exact solution can lead to m ore physical conclusions and applications. In addition, by com parison w th the existed perturbation theory, we actually verify the validity of our exact solution.

It is worth pointing out that our solution, di erent from the tim e-dependent perturbation theory, presents the explicit solution of recurrence equation of expanding coe cients of nal state in $H 0$ representation, but we pay for the price that $H$ is not explicitly dependent on the tim e. In short, there is gain and there is lose.

It is worthy noticing that there are the apparent divergences in the expression of our exact solution, in special, when the degeneracy happens. T hese apparent divergences can be easily elim inated via the lim itation calculations. $T$ he related discussions are arranged in our paper [6].

Therefore, we can say that our exact solution is m ore explicit than the usual non-perturbed solution of the Schrodinger equation because the general term is given in the c-number function form, and we can say our solution is $m$ ore general than the usual perturbation theory because our deducing $m$ ethods give up som e preconditions used in the usual schem e. H ow ever, our exact solution can not be used to the tim e-dependent system at present. Just as its explicit and general features, our exact solution not only has the $m$ athem atical delicateness, but also can contain $m$ ore physical content, obtain the $m$ ore e ciency and higher precision and result in new applications, which have been revealed in our serial studies [6, 7]].

O ur physical idea is a com bination of Feynm an path integral spirit and Dyson series kemel. Hence, we rst nd an general and explicit expression of the tim e evolution operator that not only is a c-number function but also a pow er series of perturbation including all order approxim ations. From our point of view, it is one of the m ost m ain results in our $m$ ethod. It $m$ ust be em phasized that the study on the tim e evolution operator plays a central role in quantum dynam ics and perturbation theory. Because the universal signi cance of our generaland explicit expression of tim e evolution operator, we w ish that it will have m ore applications in quantum theory. Besides the perturbation theory and open system dynam ics, it is $m$ ore interesting to apply our exact solution to the other form alization study of quantum dynam ics in order to further and $m$ ore pow erfully show the advantages of our exact solution.

In the process of deducing our exact solution, we obtain an expansion form ula of operator binom ials pow er. At our know ledge, this formula is rst proposed and strictly proved. Besides its theoretical value in $m$ athem atics, we are sure it is interesting and im portant for expressing som e useful operator form ula in quantum physics. In addition, we prove an identity of fraction function. It should be interesting in $m$ athem atics. P erhaps, it has other applications to be expected nding.

W e can see that in our solution, every expanding coe cient (am plitude) before the basis vector $j$ i has some closed tim e evolution factors w th exponential form, and inchudes all order approxim ations so that we can clearly understand the dynam icalbehavior of quantum system s . A though our solution is obtained in H o representation, its form in other representations can be given by the representation transform ation and the developm ent factors w ith tim et in the expanding coe cients (am plitude) do not change.

B ecause we obtain the generalterm form of tim e evolution of quantum state, it provides the probability considering the partial contributions from the high order even all of order approxim ations. For exam ple, we obtain the revised Ferm i's G olden Rule [10]. This can be seen in our recent w ork [6].

O ur general and explicit solution can be successfiully applied to open system $s$ to obtain the $m$ aster equation and exact solution. For exam ple, we obtain the $R$ ed eld $m$ aster equation [11] w thout using B om M arkov approxim ation. $T$ his can be seen in our recent work [7].

From the features of our solution, we believe that it will have interesting applications in the calculation of entanglem ent dynam ics and decoherence process as well as the other physical quantities dependent on the expanding coe cients. O foourse, our solution is an exact one, its advantages and features can not be fully revealed only via the perturbative $m$ ethod.

In sum $m$ ary, our results can be thought of as theoretical developm ents of quantum dynam ics, and are helpful for understanding the dynam ical behavior and related subjects of general quantum system $s$ in both theory and application. Together w th our perturbation theory [6] and open system dynam ics [7] they can nally form the foundation of theoretical form ulism of quantum $m$ echanics in general quantum system $s$. Further study on quantum $m$ echanics of general quantum system $s$ is on progressing.
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## APPENDIX A:THEPROOFOFOUR DENTITY

In this appendix, we would like to prove our identity (30). For sim plicity in notation and universality, we replace the variables $E{ }_{i}$ by $x_{i}$ as well as E [ ; l] by $x[1]$. It is clear that the com $m$ on denom inator $D(x[n]$ ) in the above expression (30) (the index $l$ is replaced by $n$ ) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x[n])=Y_{i=1}^{Y^{n}} 4_{j=i}^{2}\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j+1}\right)^{5} ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the i-th num erator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}] ; \mathrm{K})=\frac{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}])}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}])} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{K}} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total num erator $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}] ; \mathrm{K})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(x[n] ; K]=\mathbb{X}_{i=1}^{\mathbb{X}^{+1}} n_{i}(x[n] ; K): \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to sim plify our notation, we denote $n_{i}(x[n])=n_{i}(x[n] ; 0)$. A gain, introducing a new vector

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{D}}[\mathrm{n}]=\mathrm{fx}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3} ; \quad \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

O bviously, $x_{i}^{D}(x[n]) w$ th $n$ com ponents is obtained by deleting the $i$-th com ponent from $x[n]$. From the de nition of $n_{i}(x[n])$, it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}])=\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{D}}[\mathrm{n}]\right): \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ithout loss of generality, for an arbitrary given $i$, we alw ays can rew rite $x_{i}^{D}[n]=y[n \quad 1]=f_{y_{1}} ; y_{2}$; $\quad n ; Y ; Y_{n} g$ and consider the general expression of $D\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{n} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ ). It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(y[1])=y_{2} n_{1}(y[1])+y_{1} n_{2}(y[1]) ;  \tag{A8}\\
& D(y[2])=y_{2} y_{3} n_{1}(y[2]) \quad y_{1} y_{3} n_{2}(y[2])+y_{1} y_{2} n_{3}(y[2]): \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by $m$ athem atical induction, we rst assum e that for $n 2$,

$$
\left.\left.D\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{n}  \tag{A10}\\
1
\end{array}\right]\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right)^{(\mathrm{i}} 1\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right) p_{i}\left(y\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) n_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y} & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

where we have de ned

$$
p_{i}\left(y\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)=Y_{\substack{j=1  \tag{A11}\\
j \neq i}}^{Y_{j}}:
$$

A s above, we have veri ed that the expression A10 is valid for $n=2 ; 3$. Then, we need to prove that the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(y[n])=X_{i=1}^{X^{+1}}(1)^{(i 1)+n} p_{i}(y[n]) n_{i}(y[n]) \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is correct.
To our purpose, we start from the proof of a conclusion of the precondition A 10) as the follow ing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{i=1}{X^{+1}}(1)^{i}{ }^{1} n_{i}(y[n])=0: ~}_{\text {in }} \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the relation (A 7) and substituting the precondition (A 10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(1)^{n \quad X_{j=1}^{n} X^{+1}}(1)^{i+j} p_{j}\left(Y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right): \tag{A14}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the de nitions of $p_{i}(x[n])$ and $n_{i}(x[n])$, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ( } p_{i \quad 1} \text { ( } y_{j}^{D}[n] \text { ) (If } i>j \text { ) } \\
& p_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right)=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
p_{i} 1\left(y_{j}[n]\right) \\
p_{i}\left(y_{j+1}^{D}[n]\right)
\end{array} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { If } i & j
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{A15}\\
& \text { ( } n_{i 1}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) \quad \text { (Ifi> j) } \text { : }  \tag{A16}\\
& n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right)=\quad n_{i}\left(y_{j+1}^{D}[n]\right) \quad(\text { If } i \quad j):
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the right side of Eq. (A 14) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1)^{n \quad 1}{ }_{j=1 \quad X^{n}=1}^{X^{+1}}(1)^{i+j} p_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(Y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& =(1)^{n 1^{n} X^{n} X^{+1}}(1)^{i+j} j_{j+1}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& +(1)^{n 1} X^{n} X^{j}(1)^{i+j} p_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& \mathrm{j}=1 \mathrm{i}=1 \\
& =(1)^{n}{\underset{j=1 i=j+1}{X^{n}} x^{+1}}_{(1)^{i+j} p_{i} \quad 1\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) n_{i} \quad 1\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right)} \\
& +(1)^{n \quad X_{j=1} X^{n} X^{j}}(1)^{i+j} p_{i}\left(y_{j+1}^{D}[n]\right) n_{i}\left(y_{j+1}^{D}[n]\right): \tag{A17}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting that $i \operatorname{l}$ ! ifor the rst term and $j+1$ ! jfor the second term in the right side of the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1)^{n} 1^{X^{n}} X^{X^{+1}}(1)^{i+j} p_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& =(1)^{n} 1^{X^{n}} X^{n}(1)^{i+j}{ }^{1} p_{i}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) n_{i}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& j=1 \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j} \\
& +(1)^{n_{1} X^{+1} \dot{X}^{1}}(1)^{i+j}{ }^{1} p_{i}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) n_{i}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& \mathrm{j}=2 \mathrm{i}=1 \\
& =(1)^{n^{n} X^{n} X^{n}}(1)^{i+j} p_{i}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) n_{i}\left(y_{j}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& +(1)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{XX}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{I}}(1)^{\mathrm{i}+(\mathrm{n}+1)} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(Y_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{D}}[\mathrm{n}]\right) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{\mathrm{D}}[\mathrm{n}]\right): \tag{A18}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain, setting that i\$ j in the right side of the above equation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1)^{n_{1}}{ }^{X^{n}}{ }^{X^{+1}}(1)^{i+j} p_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(Y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) \\
& j=1 \quad i=1 \\
& =(1)^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{X}^{+1}}(1)^{i+j} \mathrm{p}_{j}\left(Y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right):  \tag{A19}\\
& j=1 i=1
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, it im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1)^{n}{\underset{j=1}{ } X^{n}=1}_{X^{+1}}^{(1)^{i+j} p_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right) n_{j}\left(y_{i}^{D}[n]\right)=0: ~} \tag{A20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the relation (A 14) it follows that the identity A 13) is valid.
$N$ ow, let us back to the proof of the expression (A12). Since the de nition of ( $y$ [n]), we can rew rite it as

$$
D(y[n])=D\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y[n & 1]) d_{n+1}(y[n]): ~ \tag{A21}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting our precondition (A 10) yields

$$
D(y[n])=X_{i=1}^{X^{n}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right)^{(i 1)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1)  \tag{A22}\\
p_{i}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) n_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right) d_{n+1}(y[n]): ~}
$$

$N$ ote that

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{i}\left(y\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) d_{n+1}(y[n])=n_{i}(y[n])\left(y_{i} \quad y_{n+1}\right) ;  \tag{A23}\\
& p_{i}\left(y\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right) y_{n+1}=p_{i}(y[n]) ;\right.  \tag{A24}\\
& p_{i}\left(y \left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1]) y_{i}=p_{n+1}(y[n]) ; ~
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{A25}
\end{align*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
D(y[n]) & =X_{i=1}^{X^{n}}(1)^{(i 1)+n} p_{i}(y[n]) n_{i}(y[n])+X_{i=1}^{X^{n}}(1)^{(i 1)+\left(n 1^{1)} p_{n+1}(y[n]) n_{i}(y[n])\right.} \\
& =X_{i=1}^{X^{n}}(1)^{(i 1)+n} p_{i}(y[n]) n_{i}(y[n])+(1)^{n}{ }^{1} p_{n+1}(y[n]) X_{i=1}^{n}(1)^{i}{ }^{1} n_{i}(y[n]) \\
& =X_{i=1}^{X^{n}}(1)^{(i 1)+n} p_{i}(y[n]) n_{i}(y[n])+(1)^{n}{ }^{1} p_{n+1}(y[n])\left[(1)^{n} n_{n+1}(y[n])\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

In the last equality we have used the conclusion (A13) of our precondition, that is

$$
X_{i=1}^{X^{n}}(1)^{i}{ }^{1} n_{i}(y[n])=(1)^{n} n_{n+1}(y[n]):
$$

Thus, Eq. (A26) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(y[n])={\underset{i=1}{x^{+1}}(1)^{(i 1)+n} p_{i}(y[n]) n_{i}(y[n]): ~}_{i=1} \tag{A28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he needed expression (A12) is proved by $m$ athem atical induction. That is, we have proved that for any $n \quad 1$, the expression (A 12) is valid.

Since our proof of the conclusion A13) of our precondition is independent of $n$ ( $n$ 1), we can, in the sam e way, prove that the identity (A 13) is correct for any $n 1$.

N ow, let us prove our identity (30). O bviously, when K = 0 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i=1}^{+1}(1)^{i} \frac{1}{d_{i}(x[n])}=\frac{1}{D(x[n])}{ }_{i=1}^{" x^{+1}}(1)^{i}{ }^{1} n_{i}(x[n])=0 ; \tag{A29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact the identity (A13) is valid for any n 1. Furtherm ore, we extend the de nition dom ain of $C_{n}^{K}(x[n])$ from $K \quad n$ to $K \quad 0$, and still $w$ rite its form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{K}(x[n])=x_{i=1}^{x^{+1}}(1)^{i} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i}(x[n])}: \tag{A30}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bviously, Eq.(A 29) m eans

$$
C_{n}^{0}(x[n])=0 ; \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1 \tag{A31}
\end{array}\right):
$$

In order to consider the cases when $K \in 0$, by using of $d_{i}(x[n])\left(x_{i} \quad x_{n+2}\right)=d_{i}(x[n+1])(i \quad n+1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{n}^{K}(x[n])=x_{i=1}^{x^{+1}}(1)^{i} 1 \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i}(x[n])} \\
& =x_{i=1}^{X^{+1}}(1)^{i} \frac{1}{d_{i}(x[n])} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{x_{i}} \frac{x_{n+2}}{x_{n+2}} \\
& ={\underset{i=1}{X^{+1}}(1)^{i} \frac{1}{d_{i}(x[n+1])} \quad x_{i}^{K+1} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{+1}}(1)^{i} 1 \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i}(x[n+1])}}_{1} \\
& =C_{n+1}^{K+1}(x[n+1]) \quad(1)^{(n+2)} 1 \frac{x_{n+2}^{K+1}}{d_{n}+2(x[n+1])} \quad x_{n+2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{+1}}(1)^{i} \frac{x_{i}^{K}}{d_{i}(x[n+1])}: \tag{A32}
\end{align*}
$$

It follow s the recurrence equation as the follow ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{K}(x[n])=C_{n+1}^{K+1}(x[n+1]) \quad x_{n+2} C_{n+1}^{K}(x[n+1]): \tag{A33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that since $C_{n}^{0}(x[n])=0$ for any $n \quad 1$, then $C_{n+1}^{1}(x[n+1])=0$ for any $n \quad 1$ or $C_{n}^{1}(x[n])=0$ for any $\mathrm{n} \quad 2 ; \operatorname{since} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}])=0$ for any $\mathrm{n} \quad 2$, then $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{2}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}+1])=0$ for any $\mathrm{n} \quad 2$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}])=0$ for any $\mathrm{n} \quad 3$; since $C_{n}^{k}(x[n])=0$ for any $n \quad(k+1)(k \quad 0)$, then $C_{n+1}^{k+1}(x[n+1])=0$ for any $n \quad(k+1)$ or $C_{n}^{k+1}(x[n])=0$ for any $n \quad(k+2) ; \quad$. In fact, the $m$ athem atical induction tells us this result. O bviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{K}(x[n])=0 ; \quad(\text { If } 0 \quad K<n): \tag{A34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $K=n$ in Eq. A 33) and using Eq. A34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}^{n}(x[n])=C_{n+1}^{n+1}(x[n+1])=1 ; \quad(n \quad 1) ; \tag{A35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the fact that $C_{1}^{1}(x[1])=1$. Therefore, the proof of our identity (30) is nally nished.
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