Path integrals and wavepacket evolution for dam ped m echanical system s

Dharmesh Jain, A.Das,^y and Sayan Kar^z Department of Physics and Meteorology and CTS, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721302, India

D am ped m echanical system s with various form s of dam ping are quantized using the path integral form alism. In particular, we obtain the path integral kernel for the linearly dam ped harm onic oscillator and a particle in a uniform gravitational eld with linearly or quadratically dam ped m otion. In each case, we study the evolution of G aussian wave packets and discuss the characteristic features that help us distinguish between di erent types of dam ping. For quadratic dam ping, we show that the action and equation of m otion of such a system has a connection with the zero dim ensional version of a currently popular scalar eld theory. Furtherm ore we dem onstrate that the equation of m otion of m otion in a ctitious two-dim ensional space.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The presence of damping in a mechanical system is a natural occurrence. For example, consider a particle falling through a uid undergravity. The form of the damping force depends on the value of the Reynolds number Re = v =, where is the uid density, the viscosity coe cient, 'the characteristic length scale, and v the speed of the particle in the uid. For Re < 1 we may assume linear damping and for $10^3 < Re < 2$ 10^5 it is more natural to assume that the damping is quadratic in the velocity.¹ The assumption of a nonlinear damping term makes the equation of motion nonlinear and more di cult to handle in general. Several classical mechanical systems with linear as well as nonlinear damping are exactly solvable.²

In contrast, the quantum mechanics of dam ped mechanical systems is not as easy to understand. U sually we write down Schrodinger's equation for a given potential and obtain the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions either exactly or by approximation methods. This procedure does not work for dam ped systems because of either the explicit time dependence or the complicated form of the Lagrangian and hence the Hamiltonian.

The prim ary goals of this article are to show that Lagrangians can be constructed for simple damped systems, to use these Lagrangians to construct the path integral kernels for damped systems, and to study the wavepacket evolution using these kernels. Our results supplement the existing literature on exact path integrals for mechanical systems.

O ur exam ples include the dam ped sim ple harm on ic oscillator and the freely falling particle in a uniform gravitational eld in the presence of linear/ or quadratic dam ping. E arlier work on the quantization of dam ped system s can be found in Refs. 3,4,5 using a variety of techniques such as variational methods, the Fokker-P lanck equation, and canonical quantization. P ath integral techniques have been used by several authors (see for exam ple, Refs. 6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13). A com prehensive and up-to-date analysis on various aspects of path integrals (with associated references) is available in Ref. 14.

In Sec. II we outline the path integral form alism, which we shall use extensively. Then in Sec. IIIA we consider the damped harm onic oscillator and discuss the construction of the kernel for the under-damped case in detail. As a second example, we consider in Sec. IIIB a particle falling under gravity in the presence of a linear damping force. In Sec. IV we focus on quadratic damping in an analogous way. In all these systems we study wavepacket evolution and show how the dispersion of the packet provides us with a way of distinguishing between the magnitude as well as various form s of the damping force. As an aside (and a motivation for the reader who wishes to nd a taste of advanced physics from an elementary standpoint) we connect the quadratic damping scenario with a recently studied

eld theory. In the same spirit, we also illustrate how quadratically damped motion can be viewed as a geodesic motion in a ctitious two-dimensional space. In Sec. V we conclude with a summary of our results.

II. PATH IN TEGRAL FORMALISM

Before we begin our discussion of the path integral treatment of dam ped mechanical systems we give some results that we will use in our analyses. For readers interested in the details of this form alism there are several good references including Refs. 14,15,16,17.

For a particle propagating from the initial point $(x_i;t_i)$ to the nalpoint $(x_f;t_f)$ the transition amplitude is given

by the integral over all possible paths connecting the initial and the nalpoints:

$$K (x_{f};t_{f};x_{i};t_{i}) = e^{iS [L (x;x;t)]= \sim} D x;$$

$$(1)$$

where S and L denote, respectively, the classical action and the Lagrangian of the particle. The transition am plitude K $(x_f;t_f;x_i;t_i)$ is called the propagator. It can be shown that for a general quadratic Lagrangian the form of the propagator reduces to¹⁶

$$K (\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{t}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{t}_i) = (\mathbf{t}_f; \mathbf{t}_i) e^{iS [\mathbf{L} (\mathbf{x}_{cl}; \mathbf{x}_{cl}; \mathbf{t})] = \mathbf{x}_{cl}};$$
(2)

where the factor $(t_f;t_i)$ is a function of the initial and the naltime. The subscript \cl" refers to classical solution of the equation of motion.

An important property of the propagator, known as transitivity, is obtained by considering an instant of time t such that $t_f > t > t_i$

$$Z K (x_{f};t_{f};x_{i};t_{i}) = dx K (x_{f};t_{f};x;t) K (x;t;x_{i};t_{i}):$$
(3)

W e will make use of Eqs. (2) and (3) in our subsequent discussion.

III. PATH INTEGRAL FORM ULATION OF LINEARLY DAMPED SYSTEMS

W e now illustrate the m ethod of path integrals outlined in Sec. II by applying it to some sim ple dam ped m echanical system s. W e will assume that the motion takes place between xed initial and nalpoints and calculate the kernel for the system s. The kernel will then be used to study the evolution of a Gaussian wavepacket.

A. Linearly D am ped H arm onic O scillator

The equation of motion of a linearly dam ped harm onic oscillator is $m x + x + m !_0^2 x = 0$, where m is the mass of the particle, is the damping coe cient, and !_0 is the frequency of its oscillations for = 0. The general solution of the equation of motion for the over-damped (OD), critically damped (CD), and under-damped (UD) cases are shown in Table I, where = =m, [xx better to write instead xx] $^2 = \frac{2}{4} !_0^2 = !^2$, and $T = t_f t_i$. We use the boundary conditions x (t_i) = x_i and x (t_f) = x_f to evaluate the integration constants A and B (see Table I).

To construct the kernelwe rst need to know the Lagrangian, which is given by:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m \underline{x}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m !_{0}^{2}x^{2} e^{t}:$$
 (4)

Note that the Lagrangian is explicitly time dependent. There are ways of choosing new coordinates so that the Lagrangian in Eq. (4) becomes time-independent.¹⁸ It is easy to check that this Lagrangian reproduces the correct classical equation of motion for the damped harmonic oscillator. Several authors have looked at the path integral kernel for this Lagrangian.^{19,20} A reasonably up-to-date review covering various aspects is available in Ref. 21. We now write down the kernel for the under-damped case and then investigate the wavepacket evolution. The results for the other two cases are given in Tables II and III.

The classical action is evaluated by substituting the solution for the under-dam ped case given in Table I into Eq. (4) and integrating it over the time interval $[t_i; t_f]$. The result is

$$S = \frac{m!}{2\sin!T} (x_{i}^{2}e^{t_{i}} + x_{f}^{2}e^{t_{f}}) \cos!T \quad 2x_{i}x_{f}e^{(t_{i}+t_{f})=2} + \frac{m}{4} (x_{i}^{2}e^{t_{i}} - x_{f}^{2}e^{t_{f}}):$$
(5)

The e ect of damping appears in Eq. (5) through the presence of . In particular, the second term is entirely due to damping e ects. It is interesting that if we use scaled coordinates $\overline{x}_i = x_i e^{t_i=2}$ and $\overline{x}_f = x_f e^{t_f=2}$, we can rewrite the rst term as a purely simple harm onic oscillator contribution.

Because the Lagrangian is quadratic, the kernel is of the form given in Eq. (2). We make use of the transitivity of the kernel, that is, Eq. (3) to calculate $(t_f;t_i)$. A fler some algebra, we nd:

$$(t_{f};t_{i}) = (t_{f};t) \quad (t;t_{i})^{v} t = \frac{2 i^{v}}{m ! e^{t} \frac{\cos ! (t_{f} t)}{\sin ! (t_{f} t)} + \frac{\cos ! (t t_{i})}{\sin ! (t t_{i})}};$$
(6)

which leads to

$$(t_{f};t_{i}) = \frac{r}{\frac{m!e^{-(t_{i}+t_{f})=2}}{2 \text{ i~sin}!T}};$$
(7)

The complete kernel turns out to be

$$K (x_{f}; t_{f}; x_{i}; t_{i}) = \frac{m! e^{-(t_{i} + t_{f}) = 2}}{2 i \sim \sin ! T} e^{\frac{1}{2} S};$$
(8)

where S is given by Eq. (5).

To determ ine how a Gaussian wavepacket evolves for this kernel, we begin with the initial ($t_i = 0$) pro le of the packet:

r

$$(\mathbf{x}_{i};0) = \frac{1}{2_{0}^{2}} e^{(\mathbf{x}_{i} a)^{2} = 4_{0}^{2}};$$
(9)

where $_0^2$ is the variance of the G aussian wavepacket, which is a measure of its width. W ithout any loss of generality we choose the wavepacket to be peaked at $x_i = a$ at $t_i = 0$. The wavepacket at a later time t is related to the wavepacket at $t_i = 0$ by

$$(x_{f};t) = K (x_{f};t;x_{i};0) (x_{i};0) dx_{i}:$$
(10)

After som e sim pli cations, we nd

$$j (x_{f};t)^{2} = \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{2 t^{2}}} \exp^{\frac{h}{x_{f}}} \frac{x_{f}}{2 t^{2}} \exp^{\frac{h}{2 t^{2}}} \frac{x_{f}}{2 t^{2}} \exp^{\frac{h}{2 t^{2}}} \frac{x_{f}}{2 t^{2}}; \qquad (11)$$

and

$$t^{2} = {}_{0}{}^{2}e^{-t} \cos!t + \frac{1}{2!}\sin!t^{2} + \frac{-\sin!t}{2m!}{}_{0}{}^{2}e^{-t}$$
(12)

From Eq. (11) we see that at any time t the wavepacket is peaked at

$$x_{f} = ae^{t=2} cos!t + \frac{1}{2!} sin!t:$$
 (13)

The wavepacket evolution is shown in Fig.1, and the dependence of the standard deviation t on t and is shown in Fig.2. From Fig.1, we notice that the width of the wavepacket pulsates and at various times it becomes less than the initial value 0. From Fig.2 we see that t shows the same behavior and after t 11 remains less than 0 for = 0.2 and $!_0 = 0.5$ (bold line). We see similar behavior for t for dimensional after t 11 remains less than t shows the same behavior and after t 11 remains less than t for = 0.2 and $!_0 = 0.5$ (bold line). We see similar behavior for t for dimensional transformer values of t. The oscillations are less prominent for higher values of t. This behavior is seen for the critically and over-damped cases. From theoretical considerations, we expect that the under-damped case exhibits less prominent oscillations as t 2! $_0$. We also note that for t = 0, t oscillates between $_0$ and $_{max} > _0$ (as is well known), but as the damping coe cient becomes nonzero, the variance drops below $_0$ at some time and goes to zero. This behavior coincides with the wavepacket's peak tending towards x = 0. Thus, we conclude that the damping leads to localization of the particle around the minimum of the potential at x = 0.

The expectation value of x is

$$hxi = xj j^{2} dx = ae^{t=2} \cos!t + \frac{1}{2!} \sin!t :$$
(14)

This result is the same as Eq. (13) and x (t) for the UD case in Table I if A and B are evaluated using the initial conditions x(0) = a and $\underline{x}(0) = 0$. That is, the peak of the wavepacket (corresponding to the maximum probability of nding the particle) follows the classical trajectory as expected.

B. Uniform GravitationalField with LinearDamping

Consider a particle of mass m in a uniform gravitational eld with a damping force proportional to its speed. This damping is an example of Stokes' law. The equation of motion of the particle is mx + x = mg, where is the

damping coe cient and g the acceleration due to gravity. Recall that there is a term inal velocity, which the particle attains asymptotically. The general solution of the equation of motion is

$$x(t) = A + Be^{-t} + \frac{g}{-t};$$
(15)

where = = m, and A and B are integration constants. For the initial and nalconditions $x(t_i) = x_i$ and $x(t_i) = x_i$, $A = (x_i e^{t_f} x_f e^{t_i}) + \frac{g}{t_i} (t_i e^{t_f} t_f e^{t_i}) = e^{t_f} e^{t_i}, and B = (x_f x_i) \frac{g}{t_f} (t_f t_i) = e^{t_f} e^{t_i}.$

The equation of motion (15) can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m \, \underline{x}^2 + m \, gx \, e^{t} :$$
 (16)

For this Lagrangian, the classical action in the time interval $[t_i; t_f]$ is

$$S = \frac{m \ e^{-(t_{i} + t_{f})}}{2(e^{-t_{f}} - e^{-t_{i}})} [k_{f} - x_{i} - \frac{g}{(t_{f} - t_{i})}]^{2} + \frac{m \ g}{m} [k_{f} e^{-t_{f}} - x_{i} e^{-t_{i}}] + \frac{m \ g^{2}}{2^{-3}} [e^{-t_{i}} - e^{-t_{f}}]:$$
(17)

The calculation of the kernel can be done in a way sim ilar to the the dam ped harm onic oscillator. We obtain

$$K (t_{f}; x_{f}; t_{i}; x_{i}) = \frac{m e^{(t_{i} + t_{f})}}{2 i^{(e)} (e^{(t_{f} - e^{-t_{i})})}} e^{iS = i^{(s)}};$$
(18)

where S is given by Eq. (17).

W e will now consider the evolution of the wavepacket given in Eq. (9). W e m ake use of Eq. (10) and obtain

$$j (x_{f};t)^{2} = p \frac{1}{2 t^{2}} exp^{h} \frac{x_{f} a \frac{q}{2} t + \frac{q}{2} (1 e^{t})^{2} i}{2 t^{2}};$$
(19)

and

$$t^{2} = 0^{2} 1 + \frac{(1 e^{t})^{2}}{2m 0^{2}}^{2i}$$
 (20)

From Eq. (19) we see that the wavepacket is peaked at

$$x_{f} = a + \frac{g}{2}t + \frac{g}{2}(1 + e^{-t})$$
 (21)

The wavepacket evolution is shown in Fig. 3, and the variation of t on the damping coe cient and the time t is shown in Fig. 4. From Fig. 3 we see that the width of the wavepacket increases initially and then becomes almost constant as the exponential part of Eq. (20) decays. From Fig. 4 we see that the variance t exhibits the sam egeneric behavior for all values of . However, the time taken to reach a near-constant value of is di erent for di erent values and represents the time needed to reach the term inal velocities in the corresponding cases. This behavior of the wavepacket seems to be characteristic of systems involving a term inal velocity though, as we show later there are interesting di erences in the case for quadratic damping. The expectation value of x is

$$hxi = a + \frac{g}{2}t + \frac{g}{2}(1 + e^{-t}):$$
 (22)

This result is the same as Eqs. (21) and (15) if the constants are evaluated using the initial conditions x(0) = a and x(0) = 0.

IV. QUADRATIC DAMPING

A. Path Integral K ernel and w avepacket E volution

We consider a particlem oving in a uniform gravitational eld with a damping force proportional to the square of its speed. Much work has been done on the quantization of this and similar systems with quadratic damping.^{22,23,24,25,26,27} The equation of motion with this type of dam ping is $x + \frac{x^2}{2} = m g$. Note that the equation is time-reversal invariant. The general solution is

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{m} \ln \left[\cosh\left(\frac{p}{g}t + A\right)\right] + B;$$
 (23)

where = =m and A and B are integration constants. The choice of a suitable Lagrangian for this case is interesting because there are nonequivalent Lagrangians which give rise to the sam e equation of motion. Consider for example the form s

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m \frac{x^{2}}{x} + \frac{m g}{2} e^{2x}; \qquad (24)$$

$$L = 1 - \frac{x^2}{g}e^{-x}$$
: (25)

To quantize the system we must judiciously choose the form that can be handled easily despite the fact that di erent Lagrangians can give rise to nonequivalent quantizations. The Lagrangian in Eq. (25) is not so easy to use because of the presence of the square root in the path integralm ethod. Thus, we choose the Lagrangian in Eq. (24). Despite the presence of dam ping, the Lagrangians are not explicitly time-dependent unlike the dam ped harm onic oscillator or a particle in a gravitational eld with linear dam ping. The Ham iltonian derived from Eq. (24) is a conserved quantity, but does not correspond to the energy of the system. A discussion on the conserved quantities in dam ped system s is given in Ref. 32.

We note that although the Lagrangian (24) is not a quadratic Lagrangian, we can make it so by using the transformation: $X = e^{x} dx = e^{x} = .^{33}$ This transformation converts it into a Lagrangian similar to that of an simple harm onic oscillator with imaginary frequency whose results are know $n^{15,16}$ or can be deduced from those of Sec. IIIA by setting = 0.

We can write the action in term s of X and t as

$$S = \int_{t_{i}}^{Z} \frac{t_{f}}{2} (X^{2} + g X^{2}) dt; \qquad (26)$$

If we com pare Eq. (26) with the harm onic oscillator action given by:

$$S_{HO} = \int_{t_{i}}^{Z} \frac{m}{2} (\underline{x}^{2} + \underline{x}^{2}) dt; \qquad (27)$$

we obtain $! = i \frac{p}{g} = i$. We use the known results for the propagator of the harm onic oscillator and obtain the kernel in terms of X and as

$$K (X_{f};t_{f};X_{i};t_{i}) = \frac{r}{\frac{m}{2 \text{ in } \sin \pi}} \exp \frac{im}{2 - \sinh \pi} (X_{i}^{2} + X_{f}^{2}) \cosh \pi 2X_{i}X_{f} : \qquad (28)$$

If we transform back to x, we obtain the desired kernel:

$$K (x_{f}; t_{f}; x_{i}; t_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{2 \text{ in } \sin \pi} \exp \frac{im}{2 - 2 \sinh \pi} (e^{2 x_{i}} + e^{2 x_{f}}) \cosh \pi 2 e^{(x_{i} + x_{f})} : (29)$$

The evolution of a Gaussian wavepacket in the X -coordinate will be similar to Eq. (9) and Eq. (11) (after setting = 0). Therefore, in terms of the x-coordinate we can write

$$(x_{i};0) = \frac{1}{2_{0}^{2}} \exp^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{e^{x_{i}} e^{a^{2}}}{4_{0}^{2}} \frac{e^{a^{2}}}{a^{2}};$$
(30)

and

$$j (x_{f};t)^{2} = \frac{1}{p - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{2}} \exp \frac{h - e^{x_{f}} - e^{a} \cosh t^{2} i}{2 - \frac{2}{2} - \frac{2}{2}};$$
(31)

$$t^{2} = \int_{0}^{t} \cos h^{2} t + \frac{\sin h}{2m} t^{2} \frac{1}{2}$$
(32)

If we set the exponent in Eq. (31) to zero, we see that the wavepacket is peaked at:

$$x_{f} = a + \frac{1}{2} \ln (\cosh t)$$
: (33)

The wavepacket evolution is shown in Fig. 5, and the variation of t with time t and t is shown in Fig. 6. From Figs. 5 and 6 we see that the width of the wavepacket increases indenitely and rapidly. From Fig. 6 we see that the

standard deviation t exhibits sim ilar behavior for all values of the dam ping coe cient . The only di erence is the rate at which t grows, which can be derived from Eq. (32). Thus for motion under gravity, the linear and quadratic dam ping cases can be distinguished from each other by following the corresponding wavepacket evolution (see Fig. 4 for linear dam ping and Fig. 6 for quadratic dam ping).

As before, we would like to calculate the expectation value of x. We rst calculate hX i, which can be determined from Eq. (14) by setting = 0.0 sing the relation $X = \exp^{-x} = we$ get

he
$$i = e^{a} \cosh t$$
: (34)

If we expand the exponential on both sides and compare the coe cients of , we obtain

$$hxi = a + \frac{1}{2} \ln (\cosh t); \qquad (35)$$

This result is the same as Eqs. (33) and (23).

B. Connection with Field Theory

In the following we will show that the classical equation of motion for a particle subject to damping proportional to the square of the velocity can be obtained from a zero-dimensional version of the eld theory of tachyon matter that emerges from string theory²⁸. This example provides a link between a eld theory and a damped mechanical system. Recall other such connections such as that between the simple harm onic oscillator and the massive K lein-G ordon eld theory.

The action for the tachyon²⁹ m atter eld in a p + 1 dimensional spacetime is given as³¹

$$S = d^{p+1}x V (T) \frac{q}{1 + ij \varrho_i T \varrho_j T};$$
(36)

where _{ij} is the metric for a (p+1)-dimensional at spacetime with components _{ij} diag(1;1;:::) for i; j = 0;:::;p; T (x) is the tachyon eld, and V (T) e ^{T=2} denotes the corresponding eld potential. The value of the parameter depends on the particular type of string theory of interest.

We now consider the form of the action for a zero-space dimensional case, that is, p = 0. We identify the tachyon $eld_{H^{v}}$ ith the coordinate x (T ! x) in the classical problem. Note that in classical mechanics the action has the form S = Ldt and the zero-dimensional action has a similar form

$$S = dte^{x} \frac{p}{1 x^{2}}; \qquad (37)$$

where the factor of 2 in the potential V (x) has been absorbed in . The equation of motion that results from the action in Eq. (37) is

$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^2 = \mathbf{:} \tag{38}$$

We now scale x ! bx and compare Eq. (38) with the equation of motion $x + \underline{x}^2 = g$. We obtain b = and = b = g, which can be solved to yield $= \frac{p}{g}$ and $b = \frac{p}{-g}$. We substitute these results into Eq. (37) and recover the Lagrangian in Eq. (25). Thus, we obtain a quadratically damped mechanical system out of a eld theory.

C. Damping as Geodesic M otion

A nother way of looking at the problem of quadratically dam ped motion is to picture it as the motion of a particle along a geodesic in a ctitious two-dimensional space. Consider the following form of a two-dimensional distance function (line element)

$$ds^{2} = f(x)dx^{2} + h(x)dy^{2};$$
(39)

where y denotes the ctitious dimension and f(x) and h(x) are unknown functions. Our aim is to show that for an appropriate choice of f(x) and h(x), the equation of motion for a quadratically damped system can be identified as

a geodesic equation. For the metric g diag [f(x);h(x)], we calculate the nonzero components of the Christo el sym bol³⁵:

$${}_{xx}^{x} = {f^{0} \over 2f};$$
 ${}_{yy}^{x} = {h^{0} \over 2f};$ ${}_{xy}^{y} = {h^{0} \over 2h} = {}_{yx}^{y};$ (40)

where the prime denotes the derivative of the function with respect to x. If we substitute these components into the well known geodesic equation given as

$$\frac{d^2x}{d^2} + \frac{dx}{d}\frac{dx}{d} = 0; \qquad (41)$$

(here is any parameter on the geodesic, which in our case is the time t), we obtain the equation of motion along the two directions:

$$x + \frac{f^{0}}{2f} \underline{x}^{2} - \frac{h^{0}}{2f} \underline{y}^{2} = 0;$$
 (42)

$$y + \frac{h^0}{h} \underline{x} \underline{y} = 0:$$
(43)

If we integrate Eq. (43) once, we have

$$\underline{y} = \frac{C}{h}; \tag{44}$$

where C is an integration constant. We next substitute y in Eq. (42) and obtain

$$x + \frac{f^{0}}{2f} \underline{x}^{2} - \frac{C^{2}h^{0}}{2fh^{2}} = 0;$$
(45)

which is the same equation as the quadratically damped equation of motion along the x direction provided that $f^0=2f =$ and $C^2h^0=2fh^2 = g$. These equations can be solved to reveal the form of the two functions:

$$f(x) = e^{2x}$$
 (46)

and

$$h(x) = \frac{C^2}{q} e^{2x}$$
: (47)

It is now straightforward to calculate the components of the Riem ann tensor, R $,^{36}$ Ricci tensor, R = R and the Ricci scalar, R = g R $;^{34}$

$$R_{xx} = 2^{2}; R_{yy} = \frac{2C^{2}}{g}e^{2x}; R = 4^{2}e^{2x}:$$
 (48)

The presence of in the curvature scalar R in plies that the damping can be viewed as a curvature e ect in this ctitious two-dimensional space. W hat distinguishes this case from the motion with linear damping discussed in section IIIB is that we cannot cast the equation of motion of the linear damping case in the form of a geodesic equation due to the absence of a \underline{x}^2 term. In this sense, quadratic damping is unique. Thus the above connection provides us with additional geometric insight into the nature of quadratically damped motion.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown how to construct kernels for several dam ped mechanical systems and studied the evolution of a Gaussian wavepacket in each case. We demonstrated that for the linearly dam ped harm onic oscillator and a particle in a uniform gravitational eld with linear and quadratic dam ping, we can see characteristic features of the dam ping from snapshots of wavepacket evolution. To motivate our consideration of quadratic dam ping, we related the corresponding equation of motion to a eld theory and to geodesic motion in a ctitious two-dimensional space.

Is a quadratically damped system damped? The Lagrangian is time independent and the system is Ham iltonian and conservative in the usual sense. The evolution of the wavepacket shows spreading, much like that of a free particle and unlike the linear damping system. We also note a similarity with the linearly damped system because in both cases, the particle attains a term inal speed. These issues suggest that it would be better to view the quadratically damped system as special and unlike the linearly damped case. The work of AD is supported by the Council of Scientic and Industrial Research, Government of India.

Electronic address: djain@cts.iitkgp.emet.in

- ^y E lectronic address: anupam @ phy.iitkgp.emet.in
- ^z E lectronic address: sayan@ cts.iitkgp.emet.in
- ¹ P.T im m erm an and J.P. van der W eele, \O n the rise and fall of a ball with linear or quadratic drag," Am .J.Phys. 67 (6), 538 (546 (1999).
- ² R.Resnick, D.Halliday, and J.W alker, Fundam entals of Physics (John W iley (A sia), Singapore, 2004), 6th ed.
- ³ G.J.M ibum and D.F.W alls, \Quantum solutions of the dam ped harm onic oscillator," Am.J.Phys.51 (12), 1134{1136 (1983).
- ⁴ L.Herrera, L.Nunez, A.Patino, and H.Rago, \A variational principle and the classical and quantum mechanics of the dam ped harm onic oscillator," Am. J.Phys. 54 (3), 273{277 (1986).
- ⁵ B.Yurke, \Quantizing the dam ped harm onic oscillator," Am .J.Phys. 54 (12), 1133{1139 (1986).
- ⁶ B.R.Holstein, \The harm onic oscillator propagator," Am.J.Phys.66 (7), 583{589 (1998).
- ⁷ F.U.Chaos and L.Chaos, \Comment on The harmonic oscillator propagator' by B.R.Holstein Am.J.Phys.66 (7), 583{589 (1998)]," Am.J.Phys.67 (7), 643 (1999).
- ⁸ B.R.Holstein, \Forced harm onic oscillator: A path integral approach," Am.J.Phys. 53 (8), 723 (725 (1985).
- ⁹ S.M.Cohen, \Path integral for the quantum harm onic oscillator using elementary methods," Am.J.Phys.66 (6), 537{540 (1998).
- ¹⁰ L.M oriconi, \An elementary derivation of the harm onic oscillator propagator," Am . J. Phys. 72 (9), 1258 (1259 (2004).
- ¹¹ K.-M. Poon and G. Murroz, \Path integrals and propagators for quadratic Lagrangians in three dimensions," Am. J. Phys. 67 (6), 547{551 (1999).
- ¹² N.S.Thomber and E.F.Taylor, \Propagator for the simple harm onic oscillator," Am.J.Phys.66 (11), 1022{1024 (1998).
- ¹³ L.A. Beauregard, \Propagators in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics," Am. J. Phys. 34 (4), 324{332 (1966).
- ¹⁴ H.K leinert, Path Integrals in Quantum M echanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial M arkets (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 2004), 4th ed.
- ¹⁵ R.P.Feynm an and A.R.Hibbs, Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- ¹⁶ J.V.Narlikar and T.Padm anabhan, Gravity, Gauge Theories and Quantum Cosmology (D.Riedel, Dordrecht, Holland, 1986).
- ¹⁷ J.J. Sakurai, Modern Quantum Mechanics (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994).
- ¹⁸ C.E. Sm ith, \Expressions for frictional and conservative force combinations within the dissipative Lagrange-Ham ilton form alism," physics/0601133.
- ¹⁹ C.C.Gerry, \On the path integral quantization of the dam ped harm onic oscillator," J.M ath. Phys. 25, 1820 (1822 (1984).
- ²⁰ K.H.Yeon, S.-S.Kim, Y.-M. Moon, S.-K. Hong, C.-I.Um, and T.F.George, \The quantum under-, critical- and overdam ped harm onic oscillators," J.Phys.A 34, 7719{7732 (2001).
- ²¹ For a recent review see C -I.Um, K .H .Yeon, and T.F.George, \The quantum damped harm onic oscillator," Phys.Repts. 362, 63(192 (2002).
- ²² M.Razavy, \W ave equation for a dissipative force quadratic in velocity," Phys.Rev.A 36, 482{486 (1987).
- ²³ F.Negro and A.Tartaglia, \Q uantization of motion in a velocity-dependent eld: The v² case," Phys.Rev.A 23, 1591{1593 (1981).
- ²⁴ A. Tartaglia, \N on-conservative forces, Lagrangians and quantization," Eur. J. Phys. 4, 231{234 (1983).
- ²⁵ F.Negro and A.Tartaglia, \The quantization of quadratic friction," Phys. Letts. A 77, 1{2 (1980).
- ²⁶ C.Stuckens and D.H.Kobe, \Quantization of a particle with a force quadratic in the velocity," Phys.Rev.A 34, 3565{3567 (1986).
- ²⁷ J. S. Borges, L. N. Epele, H. Fanchiotti, C. A. Garc a Canal, and F. R. Simo, \Quantization of a particle with a force quadratic in the velocity," Phys. Rev. A 38, 3101{3103 (1988).
- ²⁸ S.Kar, \A simple m echanical analog of the eld theory of tachyon m atter," hep-th/0210108.
- ²⁹ The \tachyon m atter" in the present context is di erent from the \tachyon" in the special theory of relativity, where it refers to a hypothetical particle that can propagate at a superlum inal velocity.³⁰ In quantum eld theory and string theory the \tachyon" corresponds to a scalar eld (or a mode of a scalar eld) for which the square of the mass is negative. The presence of tachyonic modes in a theory can give rise to instabilities.
- ³⁰ E.C.G.Sudarshan, O.M.P.Bilaniuk, and V.Deshpande, \M eta' relativity, "Am.J.Phys. 30 (10), 718{723 (1962).
- ³¹ A.Sen, \R olling tachyon," J.H igh Energy Phys.04,048-1{18 (2002); \Tachyon m atter," J.H igh Energy Phys.07,065-1{12 (2002).
- ³² H.H.Denman, \T im e translation invariance for certain dissipative classical system s," Am.J.Phys. 36 (6), 516{519 (1968).
- ³³ G.Ambika and V.M.N andakum aran, \The quantum e ects in quadratically dam ped system s," Phys.Letts.A 192, 331{336 (1994).
- ³⁴ For details see S.W einberg, Gravitation and Cosm ology (John W iley & Sons, New York, 1972).

³⁵ The components of the Christo	el sym boldepend on the metric tensor com ponents as
---	--

 $= \frac{1}{2}g (0 g + 0 g)$ 0 + $^{36}\,$ The components of R iem ann-C hristo el curvature tensor are given by R = @ .For details of its properties, see any text on the general theory of relativity.

@g).

C ase	x (t)	А	В
O D	e ^{t=2} [A cosh t+B sinh t]	$\frac{[x_i e^{t_i=2} \sinh t_f x_f e^{t_f=2} \sinh t_i]}{\sinh T}$	$\frac{1}{\sinh T}$ [x _f e t _f = 2 cosh t _i x _i e t _i = 2 cosh t _f]
CD	e ^{t=2} [A + B t]	$\frac{1}{T} [x_i t_f e^{t_i=2} x_f t_i e^{t_f=2}]$	$\frac{1}{T} [x_f e^{t_f=2} x_i e^{t_i=2}]$
UD	e ^{t=2} [A cos!t+ B sin!t]	$\frac{[x_i e^{t_i=2} \sin ! t_f x_f e^{t_f=2} \sin ! t_i]}{\sin ! T}$	$\frac{1}{\sin \mathbf{T} } [\mathbf{x}_{f} e^{t_{f}=2} \cos t_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} e^{t_{i}=2} \cos t_{f}]$

TABLE I: The general solutions of the equations of motion of a dam ped harm onic oscillator in di erent cases. We show the expressions for x(t), A, and B for the di erent cases. (See the discussion in section IIIA.)

Case	S (A ction)	K (Kernel)
CD	$\frac{m}{2T} \left[x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}} + x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}} - 2x_{i}x_{f}e^{(t_{i}+t_{f})=2} \right] + \frac{m}{4} \left(x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}} - x_{f}^{2}e^{t_{f}} \right)$	$\sqrt{\frac{\text{m e}^{(t_{1}+t_{f})=2}}{2 \text{ i} \text{ T}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}S}$
O D	$\frac{m}{2 \sinh T} [(x_i^2 e^{t_i} + x_f^2 e^{t_f}) \cosh T 2x_i x_f e^{(t_i + t_f)^2}] +$	$\sqrt{\frac{\text{m} \text{ e}^{(t_{1}+t_{f})=2}}{2 \text{ i~sinh } \text{ T}}} e^{\frac{1}{2}S}$
	$\frac{m}{4}$ ($x_i^2 e^{t_i} x_f^2 e^{t_f}$)	

TABLE II: Action (S) and <code>Kemel</code> (K) for the critically and over{dam ped harm onic oscillator.

C ase	j (x _f ;t) j	t ²
CD	$\frac{p_{\frac{1}{2-t^2}}}{p_{\frac{1}{2-t^2}}} \exp\left[\frac{\left[x_f \text{ ae } t^{\pm 2}\left(1+\frac{t}{2}\right)\right]^2}{2t^2}\right]$	$_{0}^{2} e^{t} \left[\left(1 + \frac{t}{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{-t}{2m}\right)^{2} \right]$
O D	$\Pr_{\frac{1}{2-t^2}} \exp\left[\frac{\left[x_f \text{ ae } t^{\pm 2} \left(\cosh t + \frac{1}{2} \sinh t\right)\right]^2}{2t^2}\right]$	$_{0}^{2}e^{-t}\left[\left(\cosh t + \frac{1}{2!}\sinh t\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sinh t}{2m}\right)^{2}\right]$

TABLE III: Probability amplitude j $(x_f;t)^2$ and measure of dispersion t^2 for the critically (CD) and over-damped (OD) harm onic oscillator.

Figure Captions



FIG.1: Evolution of wavepacket in a harm onic oscillator potential with linear damping for the parameters = 0.2, $!_0 = 0.5$, and a = 1.0.

Evolution of Std. Deviation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t$ w.r.t. Λ for Under–Damped Harmonic Oscillator



FIG.2: Variation of t with t and for UD case Eq.(12)]. The value of for each curve is shown just below the corresponding curve.



FIG. 3: Evolution of wavepacket for motion under gravity with linear damping for the parameters = 1.0, a = 0.0, and g = 9.8.

Evolution of Std. Deviation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t$ w.r.t. Λ for Linearly Damped Motion under Gravity



FIG.4: Variation of t with t and formotion under gravity with linear damping [Eq. (20)]. The value of for each curve is shown just above the corresponding curve.



FIG. 5: wavepacket evolution for motion under gravity with quadratic damping with = 1.0, a = 0.0, and g = 9.8.

Evolution of Std. Deviation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_t$ w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ for Quadratically Damped Motion Under Gravity



FIG.6: Variation of t with t and for motion under gravity with quadratic damping, Eq. (32)]. The value of for each curve is shown just above the corresponding curve.