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#### Abstract

D am ped m echanical system $s w$ ith various form $s$ of dam ping are quantized using the path integral form alism. In particular, we obtain the path integral kemel for the linearly dam ped harm on ic oscillator and a particle in a uniform gravitational eld with linearly or quadratically dam ped $m$ otion. In each case, we study the evolution of $G$ aussian w ave packets and discuss the characteristic features that help us distinguish betw een di erent types of dam ping. For quadratic dam ping, we show that the action and equation of $m$ otion of such a system has a connection with the zero dim ensional version of a currently popular scalar eld theory. Furthem ore we dem onstrate that the equation of $m$ otion (for quadratic dam ping) can be identi ed as a geodesic equation in a ctitious tw o-dim ensional space.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The presence of dam ping in a m echanical system is a natural occurrence. For exam ple, consider a particle falling through a uid under gravity. The form of the dam ping force depends on the value ofthe Reynoldsnum berRe= v=, where is the uid density, the viscosity coe cient, ' the characteristic length scale, and $v$ the speed of the particle in the uid. For $R e<1$ we $m$ ay assume linear damping and for $10^{3}<\operatorname{Re}<210^{5}$ it is $m$ ore natural to assum e that the dam ping is quadratic in the velocity $\frac{1}{}$ The assum ption of a nonlinear dam ping term $m$ akes the equation of $m$ otion nonlinear and $m$ ore di cult to handle in general. Several classicalm echanical system $s w$ ith linear as well as nonlinear dam ping are exactly solvable?

In contrast, the quantum $m$ echanics of dam ped $m$ echanical system $s$ is not as easy to understand. $U$ sually we w rite dow $n$ Schrodinger's equation for a given potential and obtain the energy eigenvalues and eigenfinctions either exactly or by approxim ation $m$ ethods. This procedure does not work for dam ped system s because of either the explicit tim e dependence or the com plicated form of the Lagrangian and hence the H am iltonian.

The prim ary goals of this article are to show that Lagrangians can be constructed for sim ple dam ped system s , to use these Lagrangians to construct the path integral kemels for dam ped system $s$, and to study the wavepacket evolution using these kemels. O ur results supplem ent the existing literature on exact path integrals for m echanical system s.

O urexam ples include the dam ped sim ple harm onic oscillator and the freely falling particle in a uniform gravitational eld in the presence of linear/ or quadratic dam ping. E arlierw ork on the quantization ofdam ped system scan be found in Refs. $3,4,5$ using a variety of techniques such as variationalm ethods, the Fokker $P$ lanck equation, and canonical quantization. P ath integral techniques have been used by several authors (see for exam ple, Refs. $6,7,8,0,10,11,12,13$ ) . A com prehensive and up-to-date analysis on various aspects of path integrals (w ith associated references) is available in Ref. 14 .

In Sec. II we outline the path integral form alism, which we shall use extensively. Then in Sec. IIIA we consider the dam ped harm onic oscillator and discuss the construction of the kemel for the under-dam ped case in detail. As a second exam ple, we consider in Sec. IIIB a particle falling under gravity in the presence of a linear dam ping force. In Sec. IV we focus on quadratic dam ping in an analogous way. In all these system s we study wavepacket evolution and show how the dispersion of the packet provides us with a way of distinguishing betw een the m agnitude as well as various form $s$ of the dam ping force. A $s$ an aside (and a m otivation for the reader who wishes to nd a taste of advanced physics from an elem entary standpoint) we connect the quadratic dam ping soenario w ith a recently studied eld theory. In the sam e spirit, we also ilhustrate how quadratically dam ped motion can be view ed as a geodesic $m$ otion in a ctitious two-dim ensional space. In Sec. V we conclude with a sum $m$ ary of our results.

## II. PATH INTEGRALFORMALISM

Before we begin our discussion of the path integral treatm ent of dam ped $m$ echanical system $s$ we give som e results that wew illuse in our analyses. For readers interested in the details ofthis form alism there are severalgood references including Refs. $14,15,16,17$.

For a particle propagating from the initialpoint ( $x_{i} ; t_{i}$ ) to the nalpoint ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) the transition am plitude is given
by the integral over all possible paths connecting the initial and the nalpoints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)\right)_{\left(x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)}^{Z\left(x_{f} ; t_{f}\right)} e^{i S L(x ; \underline{x}, t)]=\sim} D x ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ and L denote, respectively, the classical action and the Lagrangian of the particle. The transition am plitude $K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)$ is called the propagator. It can be shown that for a general quadratic Lagrangian the form of the propagator reduces to ${ }^{16}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)=\left(t_{f} ; t_{\mathrm{i}}\right) e^{i S}\left[L\left(x_{c 1} ; x_{C 1} ; t\right)\right]=\sim ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor ( $t_{f} ; t_{i}$ ) is a function of the initial and the nal tim e. The subscript $\backslash c l$ " refers to classical solution of the equation of $m$ otion.

An im portant property of the propagator, known as transitivity, is obtained by considering an instant of tim et such that $t_{f}>t>t_{i}$

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)=d x K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x ; t\right) K\left(x ; t_{i} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e w illm ake use ofeqs. (2) and (3) in our subsequent discussion.

## III. PATH INTEGRALFORMULATION OFLINEARLYDAMPED SYSTEMS

W e now ilhustrate the $m$ ethod of path integrals outlined in Sec. II by applying it to som e sim ple dam ped m echanical system s. W e w ill assum e that the motion takes place betw een xed initial and nal points and calculate the kemel for the system s . T he kemelw ill then be used to study the evolution of a $G$ aussian w avepacket.
A. Linearly D am ped $H$ arm on ic $O$ scillator

The equation ofm otion of a linearly dam ped harm onic oscillator is $m x+x+m!0^{2} x=0$, where $m$ is the $m$ ass of the particle, is the dam ping coe cient, and ! o is the frequency of its oscillations for $=0$. The general solution of the equation ofm otion for the over-dam ped ( $O D$ ), critically dam ped ( $C D$ ), and under-dam ped (UD) cases are shown in Table团, where $==m$, [xx better to write instead $x x$ ] ${ }^{2}=\frac{2}{4} \quad!0^{2}=!^{2}$, and $T=t_{f} \quad t_{i}$. We use the boundary conditions $x\left(t_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ and $x\left(t_{f}\right)=x_{f}$ to evaluate the integration constants $A$ and $B$ (see Table I).

To construct the kemelwe rst need to know the Lagrangian, which is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m \underline{x}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} m!0^{2} x^{2} e^{t}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the Lagrangian is explicitly tim e dependent. There are ways of choosing new coordinates so that the Lagrangian in Eq. (4) becom es tim e-independent. 18 . It is easy to check that this Lagrangian reproduces the correct classical equation of $m$ otion for the dam ped harm onic oscillator. Several authors have looked at the path integral kemel for this Lagrangian ${ }^{19,20}$ A reasonably up-to-date review covering various aspects is available in $R$ ef. 21 . W e now write dow $n$ the kemel for the under-dam ped case and then investigate the wavepacket evolution. T he results for the other two cases are given in T ables $\Pi$ Ind III.
$T$ he classicalaction is evaluated by substituting the solution for the under-dam ped case given in Table@ into Eq. (4)


$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{m!}{2 \sin !T}\left(x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}}+x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}}\right) \cos !T \quad 2 x_{i} x_{f} e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}+\frac{m}{4}\left(x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}} \quad x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}}\right): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The e ect of dam ping appears in Eq. (5) through the presence of. In particular, the second term is entirely due to dam ping e ects. It is interesting that if we use scaled coordinates $\bar{x}_{i}=x_{i} e^{t_{i}=2}$ and $\bar{x}_{f}=x_{f} e^{t_{f}=2}$, we can rew rite the rst term as a purely sim ple harm onic oscillator contribution.

Because the Lagrangian is quadratic, the kemel is of the form given in Eq. (2). W em ake use of the transitivity of the kemel, that is, Eq. (3) to calculate $\left(t_{f} ; t_{i}\right)$. A fter som e algebra, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(t_{f} ; t_{i}\right)=\left(t_{f} ; t\right) \quad\left(t_{;} t_{i}\right) t\right) \frac{\text { u }}{\frac{\text { u }}{}} \frac{2 \text { i~ }}{m!e^{t} \frac{\cos !\left(t_{f} t\right)}{\sin !\left(t_{f} t\right)}+\frac{\cos !\left(t t_{i}\right)}{\sin !\left(t t_{i}\right)}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{f} ; t_{i}\right)=\frac{r}{\frac{m!e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}}{2 i \sim \sin !T}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com plete kemel tums out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)=\frac{r}{\frac{m!e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}}{2 i \sim \sin !T}} e^{\frac{i}{\sim} s} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is given by Eq. (5) .
To determ ine how a Gaussian wavepacket evolves for this kemel, we begin $w$ ith the initial ( $t_{i}=0$ ) pro le of the packet:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{i} ; 0\right)=\frac{1}{20^{2}}{ }^{1=4} e^{\left(x_{i} \quad a\right)^{2}=40^{2}} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $o^{2}$ is the variance of the $G$ aussian wavepacket, which is a m easure of its $w$ idth. W ithout any loss of generality we choose the wavepacket to be peaked at $x_{i}=a$ at $t_{i}=0$. The wavepacket at a later tim $e t$ is related to the wavepacket at $t_{i}=0$ by

$$
\left(x_{f} ; t\right)={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} K\left(x_{f} ; t_{;} x_{i} ; 0\right)\left(x_{i} ; 0\right) d x_{i}:
$$

A fter som e sim pli cations, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(x_{f} ; t\right) j=p \frac{1}{2{t^{2}}^{2}} \exp \frac{h x_{f} \text { ae } t=2 \cos !t+\frac{1}{2!} \sin !t^{2} i}{2 t^{2}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}=0^{2} e^{t^{h}} \cos !t+\frac{}{2!} \sin !t^{2}+\frac{\sim \sin !t}{2 m!0^{2}} 2^{i}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (11) we see that at any tim e the w avepacket is peaked at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{ae} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}=2} \cos !\mathrm{t}+\frac{\overline{2}}{2!} \sin !\mathrm{t}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he wavepacket evolution is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 , and the dependence of the standard deviation $t$ on $t$ and is show $n$ in Fig.2. From Fig. [1, we notioe that the w idth of the w avepacket pulsates and at various tim es it becom es less than the initial value 0. From Fig. 2 we see that $t$ show s the sam e behavior and after $t 11$ rem ains less than of for
$=0: 2$ and $!0=0: 5$ (bold line). W e see sim ilar behavior for $t$ for di erent values of . The oscillations are less prom inent for higher values of . This behavior is seen for the critically and over-dam ped cases. From theoretical considerations, we expect that the under-dam ped case exhibits less prom inent oscillations as ! 2! o. W e also note that for $=0, \mathrm{t}$ oscillates betw een 0 and $\mathrm{max}>0$ (as is well know $n$ ), but as the dam ping coe cient becom es nonzero, the variance drops below 0 at som $e$ tim e and goes to zero. This behavior coincides with the wavepacket's peak tending tow ards $x=0$. Thus, we conclude that the dam ping leads to localization of the particle around the $m$ inim um of the potential at $x=0$.
$T$ he expectation value of $x$ is

$$
h x i={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} x j \text { f } d x=a e^{t=2} \cos !t+\frac{}{2!} \sin !t:
$$

This result is the same as Eq. (13) and $x(t)$ for the UD case in Table ${ }^{\text {I }}$ if $A$ and $B$ are evaluated using the in itial conditions $\mathrm{x}(0)=\mathrm{a}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{x}}(0)=0$. That is, the peak of the wavepacket (corresponding to the $m$ axim um probability of nding the particle) follow s the classical tra jectory as expected.

$$
\text { B. Uniform } G \text { ravitational } F \text { ield } w \text { ith } L \text { inear } D \text { am } p \text { ing }
$$

C onsider a particle ofm ass $m$ in a uniform gravitational eld $w$ ith a dam ping force proportionalto its speed. This dam ping is an exam ple of Stokes' law. The equation of $m$ otion of the particle is $m x+\underline{x}=m g$, where is the
dam ping coe cient and $g$ the acceleration due to gravity. Recall that there is a term inal velocity, which the particle attains asym ptotically. T he general solution of the equation of m otion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=A+B e^{t}+\underline{g}_{i} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{where}==\mathrm{m}$, and A and B are integration constants. For the initialand nalconditions $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}$, $A=\left(x_{i} e^{t_{f}} \quad x_{f} e^{t_{i}}\right)+\underline{g}\left(t_{i} e^{t_{f}} \quad t_{f} e^{t_{i}}\right)=e^{t_{f}} \quad e^{t_{i}}$, and $B=\left(x_{f} \quad x_{i}\right) \quad \underline{g}\left(t_{f} \quad t_{i}\right)=e^{t_{f}} \quad e^{t_{i}}$.
$T$ he equation ofm otion (15) can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m \underline{x}^{2}+m g x e^{t}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this Lagrangian, the classical action in the tim e interval $\left.t_{i} ; t_{f}\right]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{m e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)}}{2\left(e^{t_{f}} e^{t_{i}}\right)}\left[x_{f} \quad x_{i} \quad \underline{g}\left(t_{f} \quad t_{i}\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{m g}{\left[x_{f} e^{t_{f}} \quad x_{i} e^{t_{i}}\right]+\frac{m g^{2}}{2^{3}}\left[e^{t_{i}} \quad e^{t_{f}}\right]: ~} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation of the kemel can be done in a way sim ilar to the the dam ped ham onic oscillator. W e obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(t_{f} ; x_{f} ; t_{i} ; x_{i}\right)=\frac{m e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)}}{2 i^{\sim_{i}\left(e^{t_{f}} e^{t_{i}}\right)}} e^{i S=\sim} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is given by Eq. (17).
W e w ill now consider the evolution of the wavepacket given in Eq. (9). W e m ake use of Eq. (10) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(x_{f} ; t\right) j=p \frac{1}{2{t^{2}}^{2}} \exp { }^{h} \frac{x_{f} a \frac{g}{t}+\frac{g}{2}\left(1 \quad e^{t}\right)^{2} i}{2 t^{2}} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}=0^{2} 1+\frac{\sim\left(1 e^{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2^{i}}}{2 m 0^{2}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (19) we see that the w avepacket is peaked at

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{f}=a+\underline{g} t \quad \frac{g}{2}\left(1 \quad e^{t}\right): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The w avepacket evolution is shown in Fig. 3, and the variation of $t$ on the dam ping coe cient and the time $t$ is shown in Fig.4. From Fig. 3 we see that the width of the wavepacket increases in itially and then becom es alm ost constant as the exponentialpart ofEq. (20) decays. From Fig. 4 we see that the variance $t$ exhibits the sam e generic behavior for all values of . H ow ever, the tim e taken to reach a near-constant value of is di erent for di erent values and represents the tim e needed to reach the term inal velocities in the corresponding cases. This behavior of the w avepacket seem s to be characteristic of system $s$ involving a term inalvelocity though, as we show later there are interesting di erences in the case for quadratic dam ping. The expectation value of $x$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \times i=a+g^{t} \quad \frac{g}{2}\left(1 \quad e^{t}\right): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is the sam e as Eqs. (21) and (15) if the constants are evaluated using the initial conditions $x(0)=a$ and $\underline{x}(0)=0$.
IV. QUADRATICDAMPING
A. Path Integral K ernel and w avepacket Evolution

W e consider a particle $m$ oving in a uniform gravitational eld with a dam ping force proportionalto the square of its speed. M uch w ork hasbeen done on the quantization ofthis and sim ilar system sw ith quadratic dam ping. 22,23,24,25,26,27 $T$ he equation ofm otion w th this type ofdam ping is $m+\quad \underline{x^{2}}=m g . N$ ote that the equation is tim e-reversalinvariant. The general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.x(t)=\frac{1}{\ln [\cosh (p} \bar{g} t+A\right)\right]+B ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $==m$ and $A$ and $B$ are integration constants. The choioe ofa suitable Lagrangian for th is case is interesting because there are nonequivalent Lagrangians which give rise to the sam e equation of $m$ otion. C onsider for exam ple the form $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m} \underline{x^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{mg}}{2}}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{x}} ;  \tag{24}\\
& \mathrm{L}=1 \overline{\mathrm{~g}} \underline{\mathrm{x}}^{2} e^{\mathrm{x}}: \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

To quantize the system wem ust judiciously choose the form that can be handled easily despite the fact that di erent Lagrangians can give rise to nonequivalent quantizations. The Lagrangian in Eq. (25) is not so easy to use because of the presence of the square root in the path integralm ethod. T hus, we choose the Lagrangian in Eq. (24). D espite the presence of dam ping, the Lagrangians are not explicitly tim e-dependent unlike the dam ped harm onic oscillator or a particle in a gravitational eld w ith linear dam ping. The $H$ am iltonian derived from Eq. (24) is a conserved quantity, but does not correspond to the energy of the system. A discussion on the conserved quantities in dam ped system $s$ is given in Ref. 32 .
$W$ e note that Rlthough the Lagrangian (24) is not a quadratic Lagrangian, we can $m$ ake it so by using the transform ation: $X=e^{x} d x=e^{x}=.33$ This transform ation converts it into a Lagrangian sim ilar to that of an sim ple ham on ic oscillator w ith im aginary frequency whose results are know $n^{15,16}$ or can be deduced from those of Sec. IIIA by setting $=0$.
$W$ e can w rite the action in term $s$ of $X$ and $t$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S={ }_{t_{i}}^{Z} \frac{t_{f}}{2}\left(X^{2}+g X^{2}\right) d t: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we com pare Eq. (26) w ith the harm onic oscillator action given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{H O}={ }_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \frac{m}{2}\left(\underline{x}^{2} \quad!^{2} x^{2}\right) d t ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain ! $={ }_{i} \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{W}$ e use the known results for the propagator of the ham onic oscillator and obtain the kemelin term sof X and as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(X_{f} ; t_{f} ; X_{i} ; t_{i}\right)=\frac{r}{\frac{m}{2 \sinh T}} \exp \frac{i m}{2 \sim \sinh T}\left(X_{i}^{2}+X_{f}^{2}\right) \cosh T \quad 2 X_{i} X_{f} \quad: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we transform back to x , we obtain the desired kemel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x_{f} ; t_{f} ; x_{i} ; t_{i}\right)=\frac{r}{\frac{m}{2 \operatorname{in} \sinh T}} \exp \frac{i m}{2 \sim{ }^{2} \sinh T}\left(e^{2 x_{i}}+e^{2 x_{f}}\right) \cosh T \quad 2 e^{\left(x_{i}+x_{f}\right)} \quad: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evolution of a G aussian wavepacket in the $X$-coordinate $w i l l$ be sim ilar to Eq. 9) and Eq. (11) (after setting
$=0)$. Therefore, in term s of the $x$-coordinate we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{i} ; 0\right)=\frac{1}{20^{2}}{ }^{1=4} \exp \frac{e^{x_{i}} e^{a^{2} i}}{4^{2} 0^{2}} ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& j\left(x_{f} ; t\right) \jmath=\frac{1}{2 h^{2}} \exp \frac{h}{e^{x_{f}} e^{a} \cosh t^{2} i}  \tag{31}\\
& 2^{2} t^{2} \tag{32}
\end{align*} ;
$$

If we set the exponent in Eq. (31) to zero, we see that the wavepacket is peaked at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathrm{f}}=a+\frac{1}{\ln (\cosh \quad t): ~} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wavepacket evolution is shown in Fig. [5, and the variation of $t w$ ith timetand is shown in Fig. 6. From Figs. 5 and 6 we see that the width of the w avepacket increases inde nitely and rapidly. From Fig. 6 we see that the
standard deviation $t$ exhibits sim ilar behavior for all values of the dam ping coe cient. The only di erence is the rate at which $t$ grow $s$, which can be derived from Eq. (32). Thus form otion under gravity, the linear and quadratic dam ping cases can be distinguished from each other by follow ing the corresponding wavepacket evolution (see $F$ ig. 4) for linear dam ping and $F$ ig. 6 for quadratic dam ping).

A s before, we w ould like to calculate the expectation value of $x . W$ e rst calculate $h X i$, which can be determ ined from Eq. (14) by setting $=0$. U sing the relation $X=\exp { }^{x}=$ we get $^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{x} i=e^{a} \cosh t: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we expand the exponential on both sides and com pare the coe cients of , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \times i=a+\frac{1}{\ln (\cosh t): ~} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is the sam e as E qs. (33) and (23).
B. C onnection w ith F ield T heory

In the follow ing wew ill show that the classicalequation ofm otion for a particle sub ject to dam ping proportional to the square of the velocity can be obtained from a zero-dim ensional version of the eld theory of tachyon $m$ atter that em erges from string theory ${ }^{28}$. This exam ple provides a link betw een a eld theory and a dam ped m echanical system. $R$ ecallother such connections such as that betw een the sim ple ham onic oscillator and the $m$ assive $K$ lein-G ordon eld theory.

The action for the tachyon ${ }^{29} \mathrm{~m}$ atter eld in a $\mathrm{p}+1$ dim ensional spacetim e is given as ${ }^{31}$

$$
S=\quad d^{p+1} x V(T)^{q} \overline{1+{ }^{i j} @_{i} T @_{j} T}
$$

where $i j$ is them etric fora ( $p+1$ ) -dim ensional at spacetim ew ith components ij diag ( $1 ; 1 ;:::$ ) fori; $j=0 ;::: ; p$; $T(x)$ is the tadhyon eld, and $V(T) \quad e^{T=2}$ denotes the corresponding eld potential. The value of the param eter depends on the particular type of string theory of interest.
$W$ e now consider the form of the action for a zero-space dim ensional case, that is, $p=0 . W$ e identify the tachyon eld $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}}$ th the coordinate $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{T}!\mathrm{x}$ ) in the classicalproblem. $N$ ote that in classicalm echanics the action has the form $S=L d t$ and the zero-dim ensionalaction has a sim ilar form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S={ }^{Z} \text { dte }{ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P} \overline{1 \quad \underline{x}^{2}} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor of 2 in the potential $V(x)$ has been $a b s o n b e d$ in . The equation of $m$ otion that results from the action in Eq. (37) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\underline{x}^{2}=: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e now scale $x!b x$ and com pare $\underset{p}{\text { E. (38) }}$ w ith the equation ofm otion $x+\underline{x}^{2}=g \cdot W$ eobtain $b=$ and $=b=g$, which can be solved to yield $=P \frac{1}{g}$ and $b=P \frac{q}{g}$. W e substitute these results into Eq. (37) and recover the Lagrangian in Eq. (25). Thus, we obtain a quadratically dam ped mechanicalsystem out of a eld theory.

$$
\text { C. D am ping as } G \text { eodesic } M \text { otion }
$$

A nother way of looking at the problem of quadratically dam ped $m$ otion is to picture it as the $m$ otion of a particle along a geodesic in a ctitious two-dim ensional space. C onsider the follow ing form of a two-dim ensional distance function (line elem ent)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ds}^{2}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dy} \mathrm{y}^{2} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ denotes the ctitious dim ension and $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are unknown functions. O ur aim is to show that for an appropriate choice of $f(x)$ and $h(x)$, the equation of $m$ otion for a quadratically dam ped system can be identi ed as
a geodesic equation. For the $m$ etric $g$ sym bol ${ }^{135}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{x x}{x}=\frac{f^{0}}{2 f} ; \quad \underset{y y}{x}=\frac{h^{0}}{2 f} ; \quad \underset{x y}{y}=\frac{h^{0}}{2 h}=\frac{y}{y x} ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prim e denotes the derivative of the function $w$ ith respect to $x$. If $w e$ substitute these com ponents into the well know $n$ geodesic equation given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} x}{d^{2}}+\frac{d x}{d} \frac{d x}{d}=0 ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(here is any param eter on the geodesic, which in our case is the tim e t), we obtain the equation ofm otion along the tw o directions:

$$
\begin{align*}
x+\frac{f^{0}}{2 f} \underline{x}^{2} \quad \frac{h^{0}}{2 f} \underline{y}^{2} & =0 ;  \tag{42}\\
y+\frac{h^{0}}{h} \underline{x y} & =0 ; \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

If we integrate Eq. (43) onœ, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~h}} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C is an integration constant. W e next substitute y in Eq. (42) and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\frac{f^{0}}{2 f} \underline{x}^{2} \quad \frac{C^{2} h^{0}}{2 f h^{2}}=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same equation as the quadratically dam ped equation of $m$ otion along the $x$ direction provided that $f^{0}=2 f=$ and $C{ }^{2} h^{0}=2 f^{2}=g$. These equations can be solved to reveal the form of the two functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=e^{2 x} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\frac{C^{2}}{g} e^{2 x}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now straightforw ard to calculate the com ponents of the R iem ann tensor, $\mathrm{R} \quad 36 \mathrm{R}$ iccitensor, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}$, and the $R$ icci scalar, $R=g \quad R \quad 34$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x x}=2^{2} ; \quad R_{y y}=\frac{2 C^{2}{ }^{3}}{g} e^{2 x} ; \quad R=4^{2} e^{2 x}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The presence of in the curvature scalar $R$ implies that the dam ping can be viewed as a curvature e ect in this ctitious two-dim ensional space. W hat distinguishes this case from the $m$ otion $w$ ith linear dam ping discussed in section IIIB is that we cannot cast the equation of $m$ otion of the linear dam ping case in the form of a geodesic equation due to the absence of a $\underline{x}^{2}$ term. In this sense, quadratic dam ping is unique. $T$ hus the above connection provides us w ith additional geom etric insight into the nature of quadratically dam ped $m$ otion.

## V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

$W$ e have shown how to construct kemels for several dam ped $m$ echanical system $s$ and studied the evolution of a G aussian w avepacket in each case. W e dem onstrated that for the linearly dam ped harm onic oscillator and a particle in a uniform gravitational eld w ith linear and quadratic dam ping, we can see characteristic features of the dam ping from snapshots of w avepacket evolution. To m otivate our consideration of quadratic dam ping, we related the corresponding equation ofm otion to a eld theory and to geodesic $m$ otion in a ctitious tw o-dim ensional space.

Is a quadratically dam ped system dam ped? The Lagrangian is tim e independent and the system is H am iltonian and conservative in the usual sense. T he evolution of the w avepacket show s spreading, $m$ uch like that of a free particle and unlike the linear dam ping system. W e also note a sim ilarity w ith the linearly dam ped system because in both cases, the particle attains a term inal speed. These issues suggest that it would be better to view the quadratically dam ped system as special and unlike the linearly dam ped case.
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Tables

| C ase | $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OD | $e^{t=2}[A \cosh t+B \sinh \quad t]$ | $\frac{\left[x_{i} e^{t_{i}=2} \sinh t_{f} x_{f} e^{t_{f}=2} \sinh t_{i}\right]}{\sinh T}$ | $\left.\frac{1}{\sinh T}\left[x_{f} e^{t_{f}=2} \cosh t_{i} \quad x_{i} e^{t_{i}=2} \cosh t_{f}\right]\right]$ |
| C D | $e^{t=2}[A+B t]$ | $\frac{1}{T}\left[x_{i} t_{f} e^{t_{i}=2} \quad x_{f} t_{i} e^{t_{f}=2}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{T}\left[x_{f} e^{t_{f}=2} \quad x_{i} e^{t_{i}=2}\right]$ |
| U D | $e^{t=2}$ [A cos!t+B sin ! t] | $\frac{\left[x_{i} e^{t_{i}=2} \sin !t_{f} x_{f} e^{t_{f}=2} \sin !t_{i}\right]}{\sin [T}$ | $\frac{1}{\sin !T}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}=2} \cos !\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} e^{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=2} \cos !\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]$ |

TABLE I: The general solutions of the equations of m otion of a dam ped harm onic oscillator in di erent cases. W e show the expressions for $x(t), A$, and B for the di erent cases. (See the discussion in section IIIA.)

| C ase | S (A ction) | K (K ernel) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | $\frac{m}{2 T}\left[x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}}+x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}} \quad 2 x_{i} x_{f} e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}\right]+\frac{m}{4}\left(x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}} \quad x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}}\right)$ | $\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{me}^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}}{2 \mathrm{i} \sim \mathrm{T}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i}{\sim} \mathrm{~s}}$ |
| O D | $\begin{gathered} \frac{m}{2 \sinh T}\left[\left(x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}}+x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}}\right) \cosh T \quad 2 x_{i} x_{f} e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}\right]+ \\ \frac{m}{4}\left(x_{i}^{2} e^{t_{i}} \quad x_{f}^{2} e^{t_{f}}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{m e^{\left(t_{i}+t_{f}\right)=2}}{2 \mathrm{i} \sim \sinh T}} e^{\frac{i}{\sim} s}$ |

TABLE II: A ction (S) and K emel (K ) for the critically and over\{dam ped harm onic oscillator.

| C ase | $j\left(x_{f} ; t\right){ }^{2}$ | $t^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | $p \frac{1}{2 t^{2}} \exp \left[\frac{\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}} \text { ae } \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}=2}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{2}\right)\right]^{2}}{2 \mathrm{t}^{2}}\right]$ | $0^{2} e^{t}\left[\left(1+\frac{t}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\sim t}{2 m 0^{2}}\right)^{2}\right]$ |
| OD | $\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{t}^{2}} \exp \left[\frac{\left[\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}} & \text { ae } & \mathrm{t}=2(\cosh \\ 2 t^{2} & -\sinh & t) \end{array}\right]^{2}}{2}\right]$ | $0^{2} e^{t}\left[\left(\cosh t+\frac{2 m}{2!} \sinh t\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\sim \sinh }{2 m} 0^{2}\right)^{2}\right]$ |

TABLE III: P robability amplitude $j\left(x_{f} ; t\right) j$ and $m$ easure of dispersion $\quad \underset{t}{2}$ for the critically (CD) and over-dam ped (OD ) harm onic oscillator.

Figure C aptions


FIG.1: Evolution of wavepacket in a harm onic oscillator potentialw ith linear dam ping for the param eters $=0: 2,!0=0: 5$, and $a=1: 0$.


FIG.2: Variation of $t w$ ith $t$ and for UD case $\mathbb{E q}$. (12)]. The value of for each curve is show $n$ just below the corresponding curve.

F IG . 3: Evolution of $w$ avepacket for $m$ otion under gravity $w$ ith linear damping for the param eters $=1: 0, a=0: 0$, and $\mathrm{g}=9: 8$.


FIG.4: Variation of $t w$ ith $t$ and form otion under gravity $w$ ith linear dam ping $\mathbb{E} q$. (20)]. The value of for each curve is shown just above the corresponding curve.


F IG . 5: wavepacket evolution for m otion under gravity $w$ ith quadratic dam ping with $=1: 0, \mathrm{a}=0: 0$, and $\mathrm{g}=9: 8$.


FIG. 6: Variation of $t w$ ith $t$ and for $m$ otion under gravity $w$ ith quadratic dam ping, Eq. (32)]. The value of for each curve is show $n$ just above the corresponding curve.

