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There has been much interest in so-called SIC P O VM s: rank 1 sym m etric inform ationally com plete positive operator valued $m$ easures. In this paper we discuss the larger class of POVM s which are sym m etric and inform ationally com plete but not necessarily rank 1 . This class of P OVM S is of som e independent interest. In particular it includes a P OVM which is closely related to the discrete $W$ igner function. $H$ ow ever, it is interesting $m$ ainly because of the light it casts on the problem of constructing rank 1 sym $m$ etric in form ationally com plete P OVM s. In this connection we derive an extrem al condition altemative to the one derived by $R$ enes et al.

## 1. Introduction

There has been much interest in rank 1 sym $m$ etric, inform ationally com plete positive operator valued m easures [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]: SIC P O VM s, as they are often called. In d-dim ensionalH ilbert space these are system s of $d^{2}$ operators $\hat{E_{r}}=(1=d) \hat{P_{r}}$ such that each $\hat{P_{r}}$ is a rank 1 pro jector and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{P}_{r} \hat{P}_{s}\right)=\frac{1}{(d+1)}\left(1+r_{r s}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all ris. In that case it can be shown that $P_{r=1}^{d^{2}}{\hat{E_{r}}}_{r}=1$, so the operators $\hat{E}_{r}$ constitute a P O VM . M oreover the P O V M is inform ationally com plete $[14,15,16$, 17, 18, 19, 20] (m eaning that an arbitrary density $m$ atrix ${ }^{\wedge}$ is com pletely speci ed by the probabilities $\operatorname{Tr}\left({\hat{E_{r}}}^{\wedge}\right)$ ). P O V M s of this kind have been constructed [1, 5, 7, 8, 21, 22] (analytically and/or num erically) for every dim ension d 45. It is still an open question whether they exist in dim ensions $>45$.

T he punpose of this paper is to discuss P O VM swhich are still sym m etric, in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{E}_{r} \hat{E}_{s}\right)=+\quad r s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for xed numbers ; , and inform ationally com plete, but which are not assum ed to be rank 1. W e w ill refer to such POVM s as SIP OVM s ( $\backslash \mathrm{S}$ " for $\backslash$ sym m etric", \I" for \inform ationally com plete"). SIP O VM swhich are also rank 1 we w ill refer to as SI(1)POVMs (so an SI(1)POVM is what in the literature is often called a SIC POVM).

SIP OVM s are of some independent interest. In particular, we will show in Section 7 that the discrete $W$ igner function is closely related to a POVM of this type. H ow ever, our $m$ ain reason for studying them is to gain additional insight into the problem of constructing $S I(1)$ P OVM s. To that end we derive an extrem al condition altemative to the one used by $R$ enes et al in their num erical work.

The plan of the paper is as follow s. In Section 2 we discuss som e geom etrical features of quantum state space which will be needed in the sequel. In Section 3 we relate this discussion to the problem of devising a tom ographical procedure which is, in som e suitably de ned sense, optim al. In Section 4 we prove a theorem characterising the structure of an arbitrary SIP O VM. In Section 5 we specialise to the case of SIP OVM s covariant under the $W$ eylH eisenberg group (or generalized Pauli group as it is often called). W e show that such POVM s have a very sim ple representation in term softhe W eylH eisenberg displacem ent operators. In Section 6 we tum to the problem of constructing $S I(1)$ POVM $s$, and derive an extrem al condition altemative to the one derived by $R$ enes et al [1]. Finally, in Section 7 we construct an SIP OVM which is closely related to the discrete $W$ igner function.

## 2. The B loch B ody

Let $H$ be a d dim ensional $H$ ibert space, and let $D$ be the space of density $m$ atrices de ned on $H$. If $d=2$ it is well known that $D$ can be identi ed w th the $B$ loch sphere. To be speci c: let B be the unit ball in $R^{3}$ (i.e. the set of vectors $2 \mathrm{R}^{3}$ having length 1). Then a 22 complex $m$ atrix ${ }^{\wedge}$ is a density $m$ atrix if and only if it can be w rilten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge=\frac{1}{2} 1+b:^{\wedge} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where b 2 B (the B loch ball) and $\hat{1} ; \hat{2} ; \hat{3}$ are the P aulim atrices.
$W$ ith the appropriatem odi cations this construction can be generalized to higher dim ensions [4, 6, $23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]$. Let su (d) be the $d^{2} 1$ dim ensional
real vector space consisting of all trace zero $H$ erm itian $d$ d com plex $m$ atrices. Let $B$ be the convex subset consiting of all $\hat{B} 2$ su (d) for which $\hat{B} \quad 1$. Then a $\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{dm}$ atrix ${ }^{\wedge}$ is a density $m$ atrix if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge=\frac{1}{d}(1+\hat{B}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e $\hat{B} 2 B$. We refer to $B$ as the $B$ loch body, and to its elem ents as $B$ loch vector ${ }^{2}$.

It is convenient to de ne an inner product on su (d) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{B}}_{1} ; \hat{\mathrm{B}_{2}} i=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d} \quad 1)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathrm{B}_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{~B}_{2}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\hat{B_{1}} ; \hat{B_{2}} 2$ su (d) (so $h \hat{B}_{1} ; \hat{B_{2}} i$ is just the $H$ ibert-Schm idt inner product rescaled by the factor $\left.\frac{1}{d(d)}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \hat{B} k=\frac{q}{h \hat{B} ; \hat{B i}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the corresponding norm .
If $\mathrm{d}=2$ a vector $\hat{B} 2$ su( $(\mathrm{d})$ is a B loch vector if and only if $\mathrm{k} \hat{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{k} \quad 1$. M oreover, the corresponding density $m$ atrix is a pure state if and only if $k \hat{B} k=1$. For $d>2$ the situation is $m$ ore com plicated. Let $B_{I}$ and $B_{\circ}$ be the balls

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{i}=\hat{B^{n}} 2 \text { su (d) }: k \hat{B k} \quad \frac{1}{d_{0}}{ }^{\circ}  \tag{7}\\
& B_{0}=\hat{B^{\prime}} 2 \text { su (d) }: k \hat{B k} \quad 1 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{i}=\hat{n}_{\hat{B}}^{n} 2 \operatorname{su}(d): k \hat{B} k={\frac{1}{d_{0}}}^{0}  \tag{9}\\
& S_{0}=\hat{B} 2 \operatorname{su}(d): k \hat{B} k=1
\end{align*}
$$

be the bounding spheres. Then [23, 26, 29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i} \quad B \quad B \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can further be shown [23, 26, 29] that $B_{i}$ and $B$ o are respectively the largest and sm allest balls centred on the origin for which this is true. Speci cally:

(2) If $0 \quad r \quad 1$ there existŝ 2 B such that $k \hat{B} k=r$.

M oreover a Bloch vector $\hat{B} 2 B$ corresponds to a pure state if and only if it has norm $=1$ (i.e. if and only if it $2 \mathrm{~B} \backslash \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ).

It is w orth noting that B engtsson and E ricsson [6] have proved a stronger result: in any dim ension for which either a full set ofM UBs ( $m$ utually unbiased bases) or an $S I(1) P O V M$ exist $B_{i}$ is the largest ellipsoid which can be inscribed in $B$.

If $d=2$ we have $B_{i}=B=B_{0}$ and $B \backslash S_{o}=S_{0}$, so the $B$ loch body has a very sim ple geom etrical structure (it is just a ball of radius 1 centred on the origin, $w$ ith the pure states com prising the boundary). For $d>2$ these relations no longer hold, and the geom etry is much harder to appreciate intuitively. O ne gets som e additional intuitive feeling for the geom etry, at least in low dim ension, by looking

[^0]at the 2 －dim ensional sections of $B$ which have been calculated［24，25，26，29］for $d=3$ and 4 ．

Let $\hat{B}$ be any vector 2 S 。（not necessarily a $B$ loch vector）．An immediate consequence of Eq．（11）is that $x \hat{B} 2 B$ whenever $\dot{x} j \quad 1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1) . K \text { im ura and }\end{array}\right.$ K ossakow ski［29］have proved som em uch stronger results．In the rst place they have show $n$

Theorem 1．Let $\hat{B}$ be any vector 2 S 。（not necessarily a $B$ loch vector）．Let $m$ be the sm allest eigenvalue of $\hat{B}$ and let $m_{+}$be the largest（so m $\hat{B} \quad m_{+}$）． $T$ hen
（1）The quantities $m$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 & m & d & 1 \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 & m_{+} & d & 1 \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

M oreover $m=1$ if and only if $m_{+}=d \quad 1$ ，and $m_{+}=1$ if and only if $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{d} \quad 1$
（2）$\hat{B}$ is a $B$ loch vector（in fact the $B$ loch vector corresponding to a pure state） if and only if $m=1$ ．Sim ilarly $\hat{B}$ is a $B$ loch vector（in fact the $B$ loch vector corresponding to a pure state）if and only if $\mathrm{m}_{+}=1$ ．

P roof．See K im ura and K ossakow ski［29］．
$T$ heorem 1 characterizes the vectors $2 \mathrm{~B} \backslash \mathrm{~S}$ 。（i．e．the B loch vectors correspond－ ing to pure states）in term $s$ of their eigenvalues．The next theorem relates the diam eter of the $B$ loch body in the direction $\hat{B}$ to the eigenvalues of $\hat{B}$ ．

Theorem 2．Let $\hat{B}$ and $m$ be as in the statem ent of $T$ heorem 1 ，and let $x 2 R$ ． Then $x \hat{B} 2 B$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m_{+}} \quad x \quad \frac{1}{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof．See K im ura and K ossakow ski 29］．
Rem ark．A s K im ura and K ossakow skipoint out，it follow s from $T$ heorem s 1 and 2 that a point where the boundary of $B$ touches the outer sphere $S$ 。is alw ays dia－ $m$ etrically opposite to a point where the boundary of $B$ touches the inner sphere $S_{i}$ （and conversely）．

W e conclude this section by proving a theorem which shows that，instead of considering the eigenvalues（as in $T$ heorem 1 ），one can use the quantity $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{B}^{3}\right)$ to tell w hether a vector $\hat{B} 2 S$ ois the $B$ loch vector corresponding to a pure state．$W$ e rst need to prove

Lem ma3．Let $\hat{P}$ be any $d \quad d H$ erm itian $m$ atrix（not necessarily a positive $m$ atrix）． Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{2}\right)=1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{3}\right) \quad 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $\hat{P}$ is a one dim ensional projector．
Rem ark．It is not assum ed that $\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\mathbb{P}})=1$ ．

Proof．Let $1 ;{ }_{2}$ ；：：：；a be the eigenvalues of $\hat{P}$（not necessarily distinct）．In view ofEq．（15）

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X^{d} & { }_{r}^{2}=1 \\
r=1
\end{array}
$$

De ne

$$
=X_{r=1}^{X^{\mathrm{d}}} j_{r} j^{\beta}
$$

It follow sfrom Eq．（17）that $j_{r} j 1$ for all $r$ ，and consequently that $1 \quad j_{r} j 0$ for all $r$ ．So

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=X^{X^{d}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 \\
r & j_{r} \jmath^{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& r=1 \\
& ={ }^{X^{d}}{ }_{r}^{2}\left(1 \quad j_{r}\right) \\
& r=1 \\
& 0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith equality if and only if ${ }_{r}^{2}\left(1 \quad j_{r}\right)=0$ for all $r$ ．C onsequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith equality if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{r}^{2}\left(1 \quad j_{r}\right\rangle\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r$ ．
It is now im mediate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{P}^{3}\right) \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}^{3}}\right) \quad 1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{3}\right)=1 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follow s from Eq．（22）that $=1$ which $m$ eans，in view ofE qs．（20）and（21）， fhat ${ }_{r}^{2}\left(1 j_{r} \eta\right)=0$ for all $r$ ．C onsequently，for each $r, j r j=0$ or 1 ．The fact that
${ }_{r}^{2}=1$ then implies that $j_{p r} j=1$ for exactly one value of rand $=0$ for all the others．Since，by assum ption，${ }_{r}{ }_{r}^{3}=1$ wem ust actually have ${ }_{r}=1$ for exactly one value of $r$ and $=0$ for all the others $\mid$ implying that $\hat{P}$ is a one dim ensional projector．

If，on the other hand，$\hat{P}$ is a one dim ensional projector it is $\mathrm{m} m$ ediate that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{P}^{3}\right)=1$ ．

W e are now in a position to prove ourm ain result：
Theorem 4．Let $\hat{B}$ be any vector 2 S 。（not necessarily a B loch vector）．Then
（1）The quantity $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathrm{B}}^{3}\right)$ satis es the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} \quad 1)(\mathrm{d} \quad 2) \quad \mathrm{T} \hat{\mathrm{~B}}^{\beta}\right) \quad \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} \quad 1)(\mathrm{d} \quad 2) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

（2）The upper bound in Inequalities（24）is achieved if and only if $\hat{B} 2 B \backslash S$ 。 （and is therefore the B loch vector corresponding to a pure state）．
（3）The lower bound in Inequalities（24）is achieved if and only if $\hat{B} 2 B \backslash S$ 。 （and is therefore the B loch vector corresponding to a pure state）．
Proof．The fact that $\hat{B} 2 S_{0}$ m eans

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{B}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} \quad 1) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ne

$$
\hat{P}=\frac{1}{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \hat{B} \tag{26}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then Eq. (25) im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}} \mathrm{~d}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right)=1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e m ay therefore use Lem man to deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{d^{3}} d+3 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{B}^{2}\right) \quad \operatorname{Tr} \hat{B}^{3}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{P}^{3}\right) \quad 1 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th equality if and only if $\hat{\mathrm{P}}$ is a one dim ensional projector. In view of Eq. (25) this $m$ eans

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{B}^{3}\right) \quad d(d \quad 1)(d \quad 2) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith equality if and only if $\hat{P_{+}}$is a one dim ensional pro jector, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{B}^{3}\right) \quad d(d \quad 1)(d \quad 2) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $\hat{P}$ is a one dim ensional projector. But $\hat{P_{+}}$is a one dim ensional projector if and only if $\hat{B} 2 B \backslash S_{0}$, and $\hat{P}$ is a one dim ensional projector if and only if $\hat{B} 2 B \backslash S_{0}$. The claim is now im mediate.

## 3. B loch Geometry and Tomography

The geom etry of the B loch body is intim ately related to the problem of devising $m$ easurem ent schem es which are, in som e suitably de ned sense, tom ographically optim al. The connection works both ways. On the one hand know ledge of the geom etry tells us what m easurem ent schem es are possible. On the other hand a know ledge of possible $m$ easurem ent schem es provides im portant insight into the geom etry. In this section we sum $m$ arize the $B$ loch geom etrical aspects of tw $o$ such $m$ easurem ent schem es: nam ely, schem es based on a full set of m utually unbiased bases or M U B s [3, 4, [5, 6, 22, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40] and schem es based on SI(1)POVM s [1, [2, 3, 4, $5,6,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13]$ (or SIC POVM s as they are often called). M uch of the $m$ aterial in this section am ounts to a review of the relevant parts of B engtsson [4] and Bengtsson and E ricsson [6], but using a slightly di erent term inology and notation.

W e begin w th the case of a full set ofM U B s. Suppose one has a large num ber of copies of a d-dim ensionalquantum system, allpresum ed to be in the sam e quantum state. Suppose one takes a xed von $N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ent having d distinct outcom es, and perform s it on $m$ any copies of the system. Suppose one then identi es the relative frequencies obtained w ith the corresponding probabilities. T his w ill give one $d$ probabilities $p_{1} ; p_{2} ;::: ; p_{d}$. Taking into account the norm alisation condition ${ }_{r=1}^{d} p_{r}=1$ this $m$ eans one has $d \quad 1$ independent num bers. On the other hand a fullspeci cation of the quantum state requires $d^{2} \quad 1$ independent num bers. It follow s that if one wants to perform tom ography using only von $N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ents one needs to divide the set of copies of the system into a $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ of $d+1$ subsets, and to perform di erent von $N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ents on the copies belonging to di erent subsets. W e will refer to a m easurem ent schem e based on the $m$ inim um num ber of $d+1$ di erent von $N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ents, each having d distinct outcom es, as a $m$ inim al Von $N$ eum ann schem e.

The question now arises: what is the best way of choosing the $d+1$ di erent $m$ easurem ents in a minim al von $N$ eum ann schem e? Let $\hat{P_{1}^{r}} ; \hat{P_{2}^{r}} ;::: ; \hat{P_{d}^{r}}$ be the $d$ orthogonal, one dim ensional projectors describing the $r^{\text {th }} m$ easurem ent and let $\hat{B_{1}^{r}} ; \hat{B_{2}^{r}} ;::: ; \hat{B_{d}^{r}}$ be the corresponding $B$ loch vectors. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{a}^{r}=\frac{1}{d}\left(1+\hat{B}_{a}^{r}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all a, r. N otice that, whereas in Section 2 we used B loch vectors to describe quantum states, now we are using them to describe quantum $m$ easurem ents. $N$ otice
also that the fact that the $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{a}^{r}}$ are all one dim ensional pro jectors $m$ eans that the vectors $\hat{B}{ }_{a}^{r}$ all lie on $B \backslash S_{0}$.
$T$ he orthonom ality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{r}} \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathrm{ab} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with Eq. (5) im plies

$$
h \hat{B}_{a}^{r} ; \hat{B_{b}^{r} i=} \begin{array}{ll}
1 & a=b  \tag{33}\\
\frac{1}{d 1} & a \neq b
\end{array}
$$

from which one sees that for each $r$ the $B$ loch vectors $\hat{B_{1}^{r}} ; \hat{B_{2}^{r}} ;::: ; \hat{B_{d}^{r}}$ are the vertioes ofa regulard 1 dim ensionalsim plex. So the d+ 1 fam ilies oforthogonalpro jectors de ned+ 1 regular sim plioes, each having its vertioes in $B \backslash S_{0} . O$ nem ight guess, and detailed calculation con $\mathrm{ms} \mathrm{[33,41]}$, point of view is to choose the pro jectors in such a way that the polytope form ed by all $d^{2}+d B$ loch vectors has $m$ axim al volum $e$. $T$ his is achieved if the $d+1$ di erent sim plices are m utually orthogonal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \hat{B}_{a}^{r} ; \hat{B}_{b}^{s} i=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b$ and $r$ s.
This condition is often stated in a slightly di erent form. Suppose we choose vectors $j{ }_{a}^{r} i 2 H$ such that $\hat{P_{a}^{r}}=j{ }_{a}^{r}$ ih ${ }_{a}^{r} j$ (so for each $r$ the set $j{ }_{1}^{r} i ; j{ }_{2}^{r} i ;::: j{ }_{d}^{r} i$ is an orthonorm al basis for $H$ ). Then the requirem ent that the sim plices corresponding to di erent bases be m utually orthogonal is equivalent to the requirem ent that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h{ }_{a}^{r} j{ }_{b}^{s} i=p^{1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and r s. A fam ily of orthonom albases for which this condition is satis ed is said to be $m$ utually unbiased.

The question now arises: do fam ilies of $d+1 \mathrm{~m}$ utually unbiased bases (M UBs) actually exist? This is a di cult geom etrical problem. A s B engtsson and Ericsson [4, 6] have noted, what $m$ akes it hard is, in essence, the fact that B $\backslash S_{\circ}$ has a much lower dim ension than $S_{0}$. C onsider, for instance, the case $d=3$. In that case the problem is to orientate a set of 4 m utually orthogonalequilateral triangles in such a way that all 12 vertices lie in $B \backslash S_{0}$. It is very easy, alm ost trivial, to construct a fam ily of 4 m utually orthogonal equilateral triangles $w$ ith vertices on the 7 dim ensional sphere $S_{\circ}$. The di cult part is then to rotate them so that every vertex lies on the 4 dim ensional subspace $B \backslash S_{0}$. As it happens the problem has been solved for $d=3$, and also for every other dim ension which is the power of a prim e num ber [B1, 33]. But for values ofd which are not prim e pow ers the question is still open [3, 4, 53, 6, 22, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40]. So we have here an im portant physical problem the solution to which depends on gaining a better understanding of the geom etry of the $B$ loch body.

Let us now tum to a di erent $m$ easurem ent schem e. Suppose that, instead of using $d+1$ di erent von $N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ents, we wanted to use a single POVM m easurem ent. The POVM would obviously need to have the property that specifying the probability of each of the distinct outcom es xes the quantum state. Such a POVM is said to be inform ationally com plete [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. A s we rem arked earlier, a com plete speci cation of the quantum state requires the speci cation ofd ${ }^{2} \quad 1$ independent num bers. Taking into account the norm alisation condition (the fact that the probabilities $m$ ust sum to unity) this $m$ eans that an inform ationally com plete POVM must have at least $d^{2}$ distinct outcom es. We w ill say that a POVM is minim al inform ationally com plete if it has precisely this $m$ inim um num ber ofd $d^{2}$ distinct outcom es. The question we have then to answ er is:
which $m$ inim al in form ationally com plete P O VM s are tom ographically optim all A s w th the M UB problem, the answer to this question depends on achieving a better understanding of the geom etry of the $B$ loch body.

Let $\hat{E_{1}} ; \hat{E_{2}} ;::: ; \hat{\mathrm{E}^{2}}$ be an arbitrary POVM having $d^{2}$ distinct elem ents. De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{x}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{E_{r}}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ em ay assum e that $\hat{E_{r}} 0$ and consequently $t_{r} \in 0$ forallr (otherw ise the POVM would e ectively reduce to one having fewer than $d^{2}$ elem ents). It follow $s$ that for each $r$ the operator $\left(1=t_{r}\right) \hat{E}_{r}$ is a density $m$ atrix. $W$ e $m$ ay therefore $w r i t e$, for all $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}}=\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{~d}}\left(1+\hat{\mathrm{B}_{r}}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{B_{r}} 2 \mathrm{~B}$. The fact that $\hat{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{d}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{r}}=1 \mathrm{im}$ plies

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{x^{x^{2}}} t_{x}=d
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{2^{2}}}{\hat{t_{r}}}^{\mathrm{B}_{r}}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that the P OVM is inform ationally com plete if and only if the B loch vectors $\hat{B}_{r}$ span su (d). This in tum $w$ ill be true if and only if the vectors $\hat{B}_{r}$ are the vertices of a $d^{2} \quad 1$ dim ensional sim plex (typically an irregular sim plex) having non-zero volum e. O ne might guess, and detailed calculation con ms [41], that the POVM would be optim al from a tom ographic point of view if we could arrange that (a) the simplex is regular and (b) the vertioes all lie on $B \backslash S$ 。(because the volum e of the sim plex would then be maxim al). In other words we would like to arrange that

$$
h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B}_{s} i=\begin{array}{ll}
( & r=s  \tag{40}\\
& \frac{1}{d^{2} 1} \\
r \notin \mathrm{~s}
\end{array}
$$

In that case

$$
{\underset{r=1}{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{x}^{2}}} h \hat{\mathrm{~B}_{r}} ; \hat{\mathrm{B}_{s}} i=0}^{\text {r }}
$$

for all $s$. Since the vectors $\hat{B_{s}}$ span su (d) this $m$ eans

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}_{r=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{2}} \hat{\mathrm{~B}_{r}}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (38), (39) and (42), taken in conjunction with the fact that the $d^{2}$ vectors $\hat{B_{r}}$ span the $d^{2} \quad 1$ dim ensional space su (d), then im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{1}=\mathrm{t}_{2}=\quad \mathrm{d}^{2}=\mathrm{t} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the POVM elem ents take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}_{r}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}\left(1+\hat{B_{r}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $\hat{B_{r}}$ allbelong to $B \backslash S_{0}$ we $m$ ay altematively write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\hat{P_{r}}$ are a fam ily of one dim ensional pro jectors satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\mathrm{r}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{s}}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
( & \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{s}  \tag{46}\\
\frac{1}{d+1} & \mathrm{r} \notin \mathrm{~s}
\end{array}
$$

The converse is also tru $\underbrace{3}: \hat{f} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{1}} ; \hat{\mathrm{P}_{2}} ;::: ; \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}^{2}}}$ is any fam ily of one dim ensional projectors satisfying Eq. (46) then $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{1}} ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{2}} ;::: ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{d^{2}}}$ is an inform ationally com plete POVM.

A POVM which satis es the de ning Eq. 40) (equivalently: a POVM which is rank 1 and which satis es Eqs. (45) and (46)) is usually referred to as a SIC POVM (sym m etric in form aationally com plete P O VM). It appears to us that this term inology is unsatisfactory as, besides being sym $m$ etric and inform ationally com plete, POVM s of the type in question are also rank 1. A swe will see in Section 4, there do exist POVM swhich are sym $m$ etric and inform ationally com plete but not rank 1. W e therefore suggest that POVM s of the type in question would be better described as SI(1)POVM s (\S" for sym m etric, \I" for inform ationally com plete, \1" for rank 1). The larger class of P OVM $S$, which are sym $m$ etric and inform ationally com plete but not necessarily rank 1, we w ill refer to as SIP O VM s.

D o SI(1) POVM s exist? This is a di cult geom etrical problem. M oreover, it is di cult for essentially the sam e reason that the M UB problem is di cult [ 4, 6]: nam ely, the subm anifold B \So has much lower dim ension than the sphere $S_{0}$ if $d>2$. It is easy to consruct a regulard ${ }^{2} 1$ dim ensional sim plex $w$ ith vertioes in the $d^{2} \quad 2$ dim ensional sphere $S_{o}$, but very hard then to rotate the sim plex so that every vertex lies in the $2\left(\mathrm{~d} \quad 1\right.$ ) dim ensional subspace $B \backslash S_{0}$ (except, of course, when $d=$ 2). M oreover the di culty increasesw ith increasing d (because $2\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d^{2} & 2\end{array}\right)!0$ as d! 1 ). SI(1)POVM s have been constructed analytically [1, [5, 7, 8, 21, 22] in dim ensions 2 to 10 inclusive, and in dim ensions 12, 13 and 19. T hey have been constructed num erically [l] in dim ensions 5 to 45 inclusive. It is an open question whether they exist in dim ensions $>45$.

M ost (not all) of the $S I(1) P O V M$ swhich have been constructed to date are covariant under the action of the $W$ eylH eisenberg group (or generalized $P$ auligroup, as it is som etim es called). For a sum $m$ ary of the pertinent facts conceming this group see A ppendix A.

Let $Z_{d}^{2}$ be the set of integer pairs $p=\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right)$ such that $0 \quad p_{i} ; p_{2} d \quad 1$, and for each $\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Z}_{d}^{2}$ let $\hat{D_{p}}$ be the corresponding $W$ eylH eisenberg displacem ent operator, as de ned by Eq. (93). Let $\hat{B}$ be any B loch vector $2 \mathrm{~B} \backslash S_{0}$. Then the fact that the $\hat{D_{p}}$ are unitary $m$ eans that for each $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B_{p}}=\hat{D_{p}} \hat{B D_{p}} \hat{p}^{y} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

also belongs to $B \backslash S_{0}$. Suppose that the $\widehat{B_{p}}$ constitute a regular sim plex:

$$
h \hat{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \hat{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}=\stackrel{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { ( }  \tag{48}\\
1
\end{array}\right.}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} 1}} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

T hen the corresponding $S I(1) P O V M$ is said to be $W$ eylH eisenberg covariant.
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## 4. SI-P OVMs in General

In the last section we discussed SI(1)-P OVM s: POVM swhich are not only sym $m$ etric and inform ationally com plete but also rank-1 (so that each elem ent of the POVM is proportional to a one dim ensional projector). W e now want to broaden the discussion, and consider P OVM swhich, though sym $m$ etric and inform ationally com plete, are not necessarily rank 1.

Consider an arbitrary POVM $\hat{\mathrm{E}_{1}} ; \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{2} ;::: \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ de ned on a dim ensional H ilbert space. $W$ thout loss of generality it $m$ ay be assum ed that $\hat{E_{r}} 0$ for all $r . W$ e saw in the last section that we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E_{r}}=\frac{t_{r}}{d}\left(1+\hat{B_{r}}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{B_{r}} 2 B$ for all $r$, where $t_{r}>0$ for all $r$, and where
X

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{x}=d \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X^{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{r} \hat{B_{r}}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onversely, if we have a set of $B$ loch vectors $\hat{B_{r}}$ and positive num bers $t_{r}$ satisfying these conditions then Eq. (49) de nes a POVM.
$W$ e say that the POVM is in form ationally com plete if the probabilities $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\wedge \hat{E_{r}}\right)$ com pletely specify an arbitrary density $m$ atrix ${ }^{\wedge}$. W e say that it is sym $m$ etric if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{E}_{r} \hat{E_{s}}\right)=+\quad r s \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r$; $s$ and xed numbers ; .
$W$ e then have the follow ing theorem:
Theorem 5. Let $\hat{\mathrm{E}_{1}} ; \hat{\mathrm{E}_{2}} ;::: ; \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be a POVM having n elem ents (all non-zero) de ned on a d-dim ensional H ibert space. The POVM is sym $m$ etric and in form ationally com plete if and only if
(1) $n=d^{2}$.
(2) The POVM elem ents are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E_{r}}=\frac{1}{d^{2}}\left(1+\hat{B_{r}}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $B$ loch vectors $\hat{B}_{r}$ satisfy

$$
h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B}_{s} i=\begin{array}{ll}
\quad \begin{array}{l}
2 \\
d^{2} 1
\end{array} & r \neq s \tag{54}
\end{array}
$$

with $0<1$.
Rem ark. Wew ill refer to as the e ciency param eter as it determ ines the volum e of the regular simplex spanned by the $B$ loch vectors $\hat{B_{r}}$, and consequently the e ciency of the POVM for tom ographic purposes [41]. The POVM is maxim ally e cient if and only if $=1 \mathrm{in}$ which case it is rank one (an SI(1)POVM in the term inology explained in the last section).

P roof. We rst prove necessity. Suppose the POVM is sym metric and in form ationally com plete. W e can write it in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E_{r}}=\frac{t_{r}}{d}\left(1+\hat{B_{r}}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $B$ loch vectors $\hat{B_{r}}$ and positive numbers $t_{r}$ satisfying Eqs. (50) and (51) The sym $m$ etry condition Eq. (52) then im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{r}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{r}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{r} \hat{E_{s}}\right)=\mathrm{n}+ \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all r. In view of Eq. (50) this $m$ eans

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{n}} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r$, and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}^{2}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing these results, Eq. (55) and the sym $m$ etry condition Eq. (52) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B}_{s} i=\frac{n}{d(d \quad 1)}+\frac{n^{2}}{d(d \quad 1)} r s \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the $\hat{B_{r}}$ are $B$ loch vectors $m$ eans $h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B_{r}} i \quad$ I. We m ust also have $h \hat{B_{r}} ; \hat{\mathrm{B}_{r}} i>0$ (because otherw ise $\hat{E_{r}}=\frac{1}{n}$ for all $r$, in which case the POVM would not be inform ationally com plete). C onsequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0<\frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{d}} 1\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{M}$ be the $n \quad n$ matrix $w$ ith elem ents $\hat{M}_{r s}=h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B_{s}} i$. Since the POVM is inform ationally com plete the $B$ loch vectors $\hat{B_{r}}$ m ust span the $d^{2} \quad 1$ dim ensional space su (d). So $\hat{M} \mathrm{~m}$ ust have rank $\mathrm{d}^{2} \quad 1.0 \mathrm{n}$ the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}(\hat{M} \quad)=\frac{n^{2}}{d(d \quad 1)} \quad n 1 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from this that $\hat{M^{\prime}}$ has $n \quad 1$ non-zero eigenvalues (since we have show $n$ that $>0$ ). How ever, the fact that $\hat{M}$ is rank $d^{2} 1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that it must have $d^{2} \quad 1$ non-zero eigenvalues. $W$ e conclude that $n=d^{7} . M$ aking the substitutions $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{d}^{2}$ and $=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d}+1)}$ in Eq. (59) we obtain Eq. (54) . M oreover, it follow s from Eq. (60) that $0<1$.
$H$ aving proved necessity, it rem ains to prove su ciency. Suppose $\hat{B_{1}} ; \hat{B_{2}} ;::: ; \hat{\mathrm{B}^{2}}$ are $B$ loch vectors satisfying Eq. (54). Let $M$ be the $d^{2} d^{2} m$ atrix $w$ ith elem ents $\hat{M_{r s}}=h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B_{s}} i$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left(\hat{M^{\prime}} \quad\right)=\frac{{ }^{2} d^{2}}{d^{2} 1} \quad d^{2} 1 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by assum ption, >0 it follow sthat $\hat{M}$ has $d^{2} 1$ non-zero eigenvalues, and is therefore rank $d^{2} \quad 1$. C onsequently the $B$ loch vectors span the $d^{2} \quad 1$ dim ensional vector space su (d).

Eq. (54) also im plies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D } \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{d}^{2}} \hat{\mathrm{~B}_{s}} ; \hat{\mathrm{B}_{r}}=0  \tag{63}\\
& \mathrm{~s}=1
\end{align*}
$$

for all r. Since the $\hat{B_{r}}$ span su (d) we deduce

$$
\hat{\mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{s}=1}^{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}}=0
$$

It follows from this that if we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E_{r}}=\frac{1}{d^{2}} 1+\hat{B_{r}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

the operators $\hat{\mathrm{E}_{1}} ; \hat{\mathrm{E}_{2}} ;::: ; \hat{\mathrm{E}^{2}}$ constitute aPOVM．The fact that the $\hat{\mathrm{B}_{r}}$ span su（d） $m$ eans the POVM is in form ationally com plete．The fact that the POVM is sym－ $m$ etric is im $m$ ediate．

We noted in the last section that the existence problem for $S I(1)$ POVM $s$ is hard，and still unsolved for dim ensions $>44$ ．But if one relaxes the dem and that the POVM be rank 1，and sim ply looks for an SIPOVM of arbitrary rank，the problem becom es much easier．

To construct an SIPOVM of arbitrary rank all we have to do is construct a regular sim plex in su（d）w ith its vertioes allon $S_{\text {。 }}$（since $S_{\circ}$ ，is a sphere such sim plices are guaranteed to exist）．Let $\hat{\mathrm{B}_{1}} ; \hat{\mathrm{B}_{2}} ;::: \hat{\mathrm{B}_{d^{2}}}$ be the vertices．Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B}_{s} i= & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if } r=s \\
d^{2} 1
\end{array}  \tag{66}\\
\text { otherw ise }
\end{array}
$$

If the $\hat{B_{r}}$ were $B$ loch vectors this would give us an $S I(1)$ POVM．H ow ever，if the sim plex is chosen at random they are very unlikely to be $B$ loch vectors（because the $m$ anifold $B \backslash S_{\circ}$ has much low er dim ension than $S_{\circ}$ ）．N evertheless，we can still use them to construct an SIPOVM by shrinking the sim plex until the vertioes are all in $B$ ．In fact，let $m_{r}$ be the sm allest eigenvalue of $\hat{B}_{r}$ ．It follow from $T$ heorem 1 that $1 m_{r} \quad d \quad 1$ forall $r$ ．Now de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\min _{1} \operatorname{in}_{d^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{r}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have $\frac{1}{d 1}$ 1．M oreover，立 follows from $T$ heorem 2 that $\hat{B}_{r}^{0}=\hat{B_{r}} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ for all $r$ ．By construction

So we can use Theorem 5 to deduce that the POVM with elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}_{r}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}\left(1+\hat{B_{r}^{0}}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sym $m$ etric，inform ationally com plete w th e ciency param eter $=$ ．
The argum ent just given show $s$ that in every dim ension $d$ there exists an SI－ POVM w ith e ciency param eter $\frac{1}{d 1}$ ．We will see in Section 7 that at least when $d$ is odd it is possible to considerably im prove on that．

## 5．SIPOVM swhich are W eylHeisenberg Covariant

In Section 6 we w illdiscuss the bearing of the above results on the really di cult problem ：i．e．the problem of constructing P O VM swhich are，not m erely sym m etric and inform ationally com plete，but also rank 1 （have e ciency param eter＝1）．In preparation for that we rst need to prove a result conceming SIPOVMs（w th e ciency param eter not necessarily $=1$ ）which are covariant under the $W$ eyl－ H eisenberg group．
$W$ e begin w ith a de nition．Let $\hat{B} 2 S$ 。（we do not assume that $\hat{B}$ is a $B$ loch vector），and for each $p 2 Z_{d}^{2}$ let $\hat{B_{p}}=\hat{D_{p}} \hat{D_{D}} \hat{p} y$（where $Z_{d}^{2}$ and $\hat{D_{p}}$ are as de ned
in A ppendix ( $A$. We say that $\hat{B}$ is the generating vector for a $W$ eylH eisenberg covariant regular sim plex if

$$
h \hat{B} ; \hat{B_{p} i}=\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } p=(0 ; 0)  \tag{70}\\
& \frac{1}{d^{2} 1}
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { otherw ise }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily seen that if that is the case

$$
h \hat{B}_{p} ; \hat{B_{q}} i=\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } p=q  \tag{71}\\
\frac{1}{d^{2} 1} & \text { otherw ise }
\end{array}
$$

$m$ eaning that the vectors $B_{p}$ are the vertices of a regular sim plex.
W e now have the follow ing lem ma:
Lem ma6.A vector $\hat{B} 2 S_{0}$ is the generating vector for a $W$ eylH eisenberg covariant regular sim plex if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}=p \frac{1}{d+1} X_{q 2\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)} e^{i{ }^{q} \hat{D}_{q}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any set of realnum bers ${ }_{q}$ satisfying the condition $e^{i} q=S{ }_{q} e^{i}{ }_{q}$ (where $\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)$, $S q_{\text {a }}$ and are as de ned in A ppendir .
Proof. W e know from Eq. (112) that any vector $\hat{B} 2$ So can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}={\underset{q 2}{ }\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)}_{\hat{X}}^{C_{q} \hat{D_{q}}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expansion coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}=(1=\mathrm{d}) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{D_{q}} \hat{\mathrm{~B}}\right)$ satisfy the condition $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}=$ $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$. By a straightforw ard application of Eq. (95) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B_{p}}=X_{q 2\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)}^{2 h p ; q i} C_{q} \hat{D_{q}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lem matin the A ppendix it follow s

Suppose now that $\dot{J}_{q} j=1=\frac{p}{d+1}$ for all non-zero $q$. T hen Eq. (75) im plies

So $\widehat{B}$ is the generating vector for a $W$ eylH eisenberg covariant regular sim plex.
To prove necessity, suppose that Eq. (76) is satis ed. U sing the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} \quad 2 \mathrm{hp} ; \mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{ri}=d^{2} \mathrm{qr} \\
& \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $q ; r 2 Z_{d}^{2}$ to invert Eq. (75) one nds $\dot{J}_{q} j=1=\frac{p}{d+1}$ for all non-zero $q$.
This lem m a gives us an easy way to construct SIPOVM s. Sím ply choose an arbitrary set of phases $e^{i} q$ satisfying the condition $e^{i} q=S ~ q e^{i q}$ and construct the vector $\hat{B}$ speci ed by Eq. (72). Let $1=$ be the $m$ inim um eigenvalue of $\hat{B}$. It follow sfrom $T$ heorem 1 that $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{d} & 1\end{array}\right) \quad 1$. M oreover $1=$ is also them inim um eigenvalue of $\hat{B_{p}}$ for allp. So it follow from $T$ heorem 2 that the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E_{p}}=\frac{1}{d^{2}}\left(1+\hat{B_{p}}\right) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

consitute a POVM.By construction the POVM is SI, W eylH eisenberg covariant, and has e ciency param eter $\quad 1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1\end{array}\right)$.

## 6. Construction of SI(1)-POVM s

Of course, what we would really like to do is to construct a POVM which is, not $m$ erely sym $m$ etric and inform ationally com plete, but also rank 1. The POVM de ned by Eq. (78) w ill be rank 1, with e ciency param eter $=1$, if and only if $\hat{B}$ is a $B$ loch vector. The question therefore arises: how do we choose the phases in Eq. (72) so as to ensure that that is the case?

W e can answer that question by appealing to Theorem 4. The vector $\hat{B}$ in Eq. (72) is on the sphere $S_{0}$. So $T$ heorem 4 tells us that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{B}^{3}\right) \quad d\left(\begin{array}{lll}
d & 1)(d & 2 \tag{79}
\end{array}\right)
$$

w th equality if and only if $\hat{B}$ is a B loch vector. In term s of the phases on the right hand side of Eq. (72) the condition reads (using Lem mal in the A ppendix)

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \\
p ; q ; p \operatorname{q2}\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right) \\
\left.S_{p+q} h p ; q i e^{i(p+q} \quad p q\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
d & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(d+1^{\frac{3}{1}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$w$ ith equality if and only if $\hat{B}$ is a $B$ loch vector.
$T$ his gives us an extrem alcondition altemative to the one used by Renes et al [1]. $R$ eneset al [1] base their num ericalconstruction of eylH eisenberg covariant SI(1)POVM s on the fact that, if $\hat{P}$ is an arbitrary rank 1 projector and $\hat{P_{p}}=\hat{D_{p}} \hat{P_{D}} \hat{p}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}  \tag{81}\\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{P_{p}} \hat{P^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}+1}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith equality if and only if the operators $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{p}}}$ constitute an $\mathrm{SI}(1) \mathrm{POVM}$. The inequality we have derived provides us with an altemative procedure: instead of looking for a projector $\hat{P}$ which $m$ inim izes the expression on the left hand side of E q. 81), one can look for a set of phases which $m$ axim ize the expression on the left hand side of Eq. (80).

It should be said that if one is speci cally looking for a m ethod of constructing SI(1)P O VM snum erically a procedure based on Eq. (81) is likely to be m oree cient than one based on Eq. (80). This is because the expression on the left hand side of Fq . (81) is a function of $2(\mathrm{~d} 1$ ) realparam eters (i.e. the num ber of param eters needed to specify the pro jector $\hat{P}$ ), whereas the one on the left hand side of Eq . (80) is a function of $\left(d^{2}+1\right)=2$ realparam eters if $d$ is odd and $\left(d^{2}+4\right)=2$ realparam eters if $d$ is even (i.e. the num ber of independent phase angles).

H ow ever, although the extrem alcondition represented by Eq. (80) w ould appear not to have any advantages from a concrete num erical point of view, it $m$ ay perhaps be interesting from a $m$ ore abstract $m$ athem atical point of view, as providing additional insight into the problem. In particular, the fact that the phase angles appear in combinations of the form $p^{+} q^{+} r w$ ith $p+q+r=0(m o d d) m a y$ possibly provide som e clues as to the origin of the order 3 sym m etry found in every W eylH eisenberg covariant $\mathrm{SI}(1)-\mathrm{POVM}$ constructed to date 4 .
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## 7. The W igner POVM

Suppose that d is odd. In that case we can set the phase angles on the right hand side of Eq. (72) equal to zero, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}=\frac{1}{d+1}_{q 2\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)} \hat{D}_{q} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

(notioe that if $d$ was even this choice of phases would not be perm issible because when $d$ is even the signs s q are not all positive). For reasons explained below we will refer to the SIPOVM corresponding to this choice of $\hat{B}$ as the $W$ igner POVM .

W e w ish to determ ine the e ciency param eter of the W igner POVM. For that purpose it is convenient to consider the operato 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}=\frac{1}{d}\left(1+p^{d+1} \hat{B}\right)=\frac{1}{d}_{q 2 z_{d}^{2}}^{X} \hat{D}_{q} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{U}$, like $\hat{B}$, is an $H$ erm itian operator. M oreover

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{U}^{2} & =\frac{1}{d^{2}}{ }_{q ; r 2 Z_{d}^{2}}{ }^{h q ; r i} \hat{D}_{q+r} \\
& =\frac{1}{d^{2}}{ }_{q ; r 2 z_{d}^{2}}^{X}{ }^{h q ; r i} \hat{D}_{q} \\
& =1 \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the fact that ${ }^{P}{ }_{r 2 z_{d}^{2}}{ }^{\text {hq;ri }}=d^{2}$ q0 (note that this depends on the fact that $d$ is odd). It follows that the eigenvalues of $\hat{U}$ all $=1$. Taking into account the fact that $\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\mathcal{U}})=1$ we deduce that $\hat{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{m}$ ust have $(\mathrm{d}+1)=2$ eigenvalues $=1$ and $(\mathrm{d} \quad 1)=2$ eigenvalues $=1 . C$ onsequently the sm allest eigenvalue of $\hat{\mathrm{B}}$ is $\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{d}+1}{}$. In view of $T$ heorem (2) it follows that $(1=\hat{\mathrm{P}} \hat{\mathrm{d}+1}) \hat{\mathrm{B}}$ is a B loch vector. Hence the $d^{2}$ operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}^{2}} \quad 1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}+1} \hat{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

constitute an SIP OVM ofrank $(\mathrm{d}+1)=2$. W ew ill refer to this as the $W$ ignerPOVM . It has e ciency param eter $1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{d}+1} \mid$ which is a considerable im provem ent on the worst case value $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 1\end{array}\right)$ calculated in Section 5 , although still greatly inferior to the best case value $=1$.

Let us now explain the connection betw een the $W$ igner POVM and the $W$ igner function. Let ${ }^{\wedge}$ be an arbitrary density $m$ atrix, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{y}} \wedge\right) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e de ne the $W$ igner function $W_{p}$ to be the discrete Fourier transform of the coe cients p :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}}_{\mathrm{q} 2 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad{ }^{2 \mathrm{hp} ; q \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

This de nition agrees $w$ ith that of $W$ ootters [32] in the case when $d$ is prime. If $d$ is non-prim e the $W$ igner function as de ned by this form ula loses som e of the properties which $W$ ootters considers desirable. H ow ever, it appears to us that it

[^3]retains su ciently $m$ any of these properties for it still to be considered a reasonable way of de ning the $W$ igner function. For further discussion of the discrete $W$ igner function see refs. [32, [39, [42, 43, 44, 45] and references cited therein.
$T$ he $W$ igner function can be expressed in term s of the operators $\hat{U}_{p}=\hat{D_{p}} \hat{U_{D}} \hat{p}{ }_{p}^{y}$ (w here $\hat{U}$ is the operator de ned in Eq. 830). In fact
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}_{p}=\frac{1}{d}_{q 2 z_{d}^{2}}^{X} \quad 2 h p ; q i \hat{D}_{q}=\frac{1}{d}_{q 2 z_{d}^{2}}^{X} \quad 2 h p ; q i \hat{D}_{q}^{Y} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Eqs. (86) and 87) then im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p}=\frac{1}{d} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{U}_{\mathrm{p}} \wedge\right) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Eqs. (83) and (85) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}=(\mathrm{d}+1) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}} \wedge\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

O fcourse, the fact that the $W$ igner function is a linear function of the probabilities $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}_{p}} \mathcal{y}\right)$ is an autom atic consequence of the fact that the POVM is inform ationally com plete. H ow ever, in the case of the $W$ igner P O VM the relationship is particularly simple: to obtain the $W$ igner function one $m$ erely has to rescale the probabilities by a constant am ount and then shift them by a constant am ount.

Eq. (90) is conceptually interesting because it establishes a connection betw een SIPOVMs and the $W$ igner function. At rst sight it $m$ ay appear that it also has a m ore concrete, pragm atic signi cance, as providing a good way to determ ine the W igner function tom ographically. H ow ever, a little re ection will dispel that im pression. The trouble is that the $W$ igner POVM has e ciency param eter $=1=\overline{d+1}$, which is $<1$ (and 1 if $d$ is large). So if one $w$ ants to determ ine the num bers $W_{p}$ it would be much $m$ ore e cient (w ould give $m$ uch less statistical uncertainty for a given num ber ofm easurem ents) to use a tom ographic schem e based on an SI(1)POVM, or a fiull set of M UBs (in dim ensions where such exist), and then to perform the appropriate linear transform ation on the relative frequencies obtained [41].

F inally, let us note that $M$ iquel et al [42] have described a schem e for \directly $m$ easuring" the individual num bers $W_{p}$. This schem e $m$ ight, perhaps, have som e advantages over a schem ebased on an SI(1)POVM or a full set ofM UBs in a case where one was only interested in som e of the num bers $W \mathrm{p}$.

## 8. Conclusion

W e originally undertook the investigations reported here in the hope that they m ight lead to a solution of the really challenging problem, which is to dem onstrate the existence (or, as it may be, the non-existence) of SI(1)POVM s in every nite dim ension. W e did not succeed in that prim ary aim . N evertheless, we derive som e consolation from the fact that the class of SIPOVMs is of som e intrinsic interest. A lso, it is not im possible that the results reported here contain clues that $m$ ay help us to solve the $m$ ain problem.

## A ppendix A.W eylHeisenberg G roup

In this appendix we sum $m$ arise those facts conceming the $W$ eylH eisenberg group (or generalized P auligroup as it is som etim es called) which are needed in the $m$ ain text. O urde nitions are those of ref. (7), and $m$ ay di er slightly from the ones used


[^4]$=\quad e^{i=d} \cdot D e$ ne operators $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{S}$ by
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{c} i={ }_{\left({ }^{2 \mathrm{r}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}\right.}  \tag{91}\\
& \hat{S j r i=} \begin{array}{ll}
j \dot{j}+1 i & r=0 ; 1 ;::: ; d \\
j 0 i & r=d \quad 1
\end{array} \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Then de ne, for each pair of integers $p=\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right) 2 Z^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \hat{\mathrm{~S}}^{\mathrm{p}_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{~T}}^{\mathrm{p}_{2}} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $\hat{D_{p}}$ are the displacem ent operators of the $W$ eylH eisenberg group. $T$ he reason for inchuding the factor $p_{1} p_{2}$ is that it $m$ eans that the operators have the follow ing nice properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{D}_{p}^{y} & =\hat{D} \hat{p}^{\prime}  \tag{94}\\
\hat{D}_{p} \hat{D}_{q} & =h_{p} ; q \hat{D}_{p+q} \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hp} ; q i=p_{2} q_{1} \quad \mathrm{pq} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he fact that ho ; pi= 0 m eans

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D}_{p}^{n}=\hat{D}_{n p} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

for allp $2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ and n 2 Z . In particular the operators $\hat{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}}$ are unitary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D_{p}^{y}} \hat{D}_{p}=1 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

for allp. It is also worth noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D}_{p}{ }^{d}=1 \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p$ (this is one of the reasons for setting $=e^{\dot{j}=d}$. If, instead, one set $=e^{i=d}$ it would som etim es happen that $\hat{D}_{p}{ }^{d}=1$ ).
The presence of the factor hp ;qi on the right hand side of Eq. (95) m eans that the operators $\hat{D_{p}}$ do not constitute a group. How ever, one obtains a group (the $W$ eyl H eisenberg group) if one takes the set of all operators of the form $\hat{e}^{i} \hat{D_{p}}$, $w$ here $e^{i}$ is an arbitrary phase (altematively, one can de ne the $W$ eylH eisenberg group to be the set of all operators of the form ${ }^{n} \hat{D_{p}}$, where $n$ is an anbitrary integer).

If $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{m}$ od d$)$ then $\hat{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}} \hat{( }_{\hat{D_{q}}}$ up to a sign. Speci cally:

$$
\hat{D}_{p}=\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{D}_{q} & \text { if } d \text { is odd }  \tag{100}\\
(1)^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{hp} ; q \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{D}} \hat{D}_{q}} & \text { if } d \text { is even }
\end{array}
$$

(to prove this form ula w rite $p=q+d u$ and then use Eq. (95) ). It is therefore often convenient to restrict ourselvesto values ofp lying in the set $Z_{d}^{2}=f\left(p_{1} ; p_{2}\right): p_{1} ; p_{2}=$ $0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{d} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$. G iven arbitrary $\mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Z}^{2}$ let $[\mathrm{p}]$ be the unique elem ent of $Z_{d}^{2}$ such that $[\mathrm{p}]=\mathrm{pmod} \mathrm{d}$. It is also convenient to de ne

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
p & q=[p+q] \\
p & q=[p r \\
& q
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
{[p]} \tag{103}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}=\begin{array}{ll}
( & \text { if } \mathrm{d} \text { is odd }  \tag{104}\\
(1)^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{hp} ;[\mathrm{p}] i} & \text { if } \mathrm{d} \text { is even }
\end{array}
$$

W e then have, for allp; q $2 Z_{d}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{D_{p}^{y}} & =S_{p} \hat{D_{p}}  \tag{105}\\
\hat{D_{p}} \hat{D_{q}} & =S_{p+q} \hat{h p ; i^{i}} \hat{D_{p}} \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

It is also easily veri ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \hat{D}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \hat{D}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{pq}} \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

for allp, q $2 Z_{d}^{2}$. This $m$ eans that, relative to the $H$ ibert-Schm idt inner product, the operators $\hat{\beta}_{\bar{d}}^{1} \hat{D}_{p}$ are an orthonorm albasis for the $d^{2}$ com plex dim ensional space $L(H)$ consisting of all $d \quad d$ com plex $m$ atrioes. So an arbitrary matrix A 2 L (H) can be expanded

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}=\underbrace{A_{p} \hat{D_{p}}}_{p 2 z_{d}^{2}} \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expansion coe cients are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}=\frac{1}{d} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{D_{p}} \hat{A^{\prime}} \hat{A} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from Eqs. (105), (108) and (109) that $\hat{A}$ is Hem itian if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}=s_{p} A_{p} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $p 2 Z_{d}^{2}$.
Let $\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)=f p 2 Z_{d}^{2}: p \not(0 ; 0) g$. The fact that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{D_{p}}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
d & \text { if } p=0(\mathrm{~m} \text { od } \mathrm{d})  \tag{111}\\
0 & \text { otherw ise }
\end{array}
$$

$m$ eans that $\hat{A} 2 \mathrm{su}(\mathrm{d})$ if and only if it has an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A^{\prime}}=\underbrace{X}_{p 2\left(Z_{d}^{2}\right)} \hat{A_{p} \hat{D_{p}}} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cients satisfy Eq. (110) .
The follow ing lem m a tells us how to calculate the expansion coe cients and traces of double and triple products:

Lem ma 7. Let $\hat{A} ; \hat{B} ; \hat{C} 2 \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{H})$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{A B_{p}}=\begin{array}{l}
X \\
S_{p} \quad q{ }^{h q p^{i}} A_{q} B_{p} \quad q
\end{array}  \tag{113}\\
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \\
& \hat{A B C} \hat{C}{ }_{q} X_{q ; r 2 Z_{d}^{2}}^{X_{p}} \quad q \quad r^{h q+r ; p i+h q ; r i} A_{q} B_{r} C_{p} \quad q \quad r \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(\hat{A B} \hat{B})_{p}=(1=d) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{D_{p}} \hat{A B} \hat{B}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{A_{B C}} \hat{C}\right)_{p}=(1=d) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{D_{p}} \hat{\mathrm{AB}^{\hat{B C}}} \hat{C}\right)$ are the expansion coe cients as given by Eq. (109). Traces are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B}) & =d^{X}{ }^{q 2 Z_{2}^{d}}{ }_{q} A_{q} B_{q}  \tag{115}\\
\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A B} \hat{B C} \hat{X}) & =d_{q ; r 2 z_{2}^{d}} S_{q} r^{h q ; r i} A_{q} B_{r} C_{q} r
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{A} ; \hat{B} ; \hat{C}$ are $H$ erm itian we can alternatively write

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B}) & =d{ }^{X}{ }^{q 2 Z_{2}^{d}} A_{q} B_{q}  \tag{117}\\
\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{B} \hat{C}) & =d_{q ; r 2 Z_{2}^{d}}^{X} S_{q+r}{ }^{h q ; r i} A_{q} B_{r} C_{q} r
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\hat{A} ; \hat{B} 2 \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{H})$. It follow from Eq. (108) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{A B B}=\hat{X} \quad A_{q} B_{r} \hat{D}_{q} \hat{D}_{r} \\
& \underset{X}{q ; r 2} Z_{d}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{X}{q ; 2} Z_{d}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{p}} \quad q^{\mathrm{hq} ; \mathrm{p}} \quad q^{i} A_{q} B_{p} \quad{ }_{q} \hat{D_{p}} \\
& q \text { p } 2 z_{d}^{2} \\
& \text { X X } \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last line we used the fact that $S_{q+p} \quad q{ }^{h q ; p} q_{i}=S_{p} q^{h q ; i p i}$. Eq. (113) is now immediate.

To prove Eq. (114) we apply Eq. (113) tw ice:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\hat{A B A C})_{p}=X_{p} \quad{ }^{h q}{ }^{\text {pi}} A_{q}(\hat{B C} \hat{C})_{p} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{X}}^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \\
& =\underbrace{X}_{q ; r 2 Z^{2}} \quad S_{p} \quad q S_{p} \quad q \quad r^{h q ; i+h r ; p} \quad{ }^{\text {i }} A_{q} B_{r} C_{p} \quad q \quad r \\
& \underset{X}{q ; r 2} Z_{d}^{2} \\
& =\quad S_{p} \quad q \quad r^{h q+r i p i+h q ; r i} A_{q} B_{r} C_{p} \quad q \quad r \tag{120}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last line we used the identity $S_{p} \quad q S_{p} \quad q \quad r^{h r i p} \quad q i=S_{p} \quad q \quad r^{h r} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{qi}$.
To prove Eqs. (115) and (116) set p $=0$ in Eqs. (113) and (114) and use the fact that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{M^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{dM}$ ofor all $\hat{M} 2 \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{Eq}$. (117) follows from Eq. (115). Eq. (118) follow from Eq. (116) and the identity $S_{p+q} S p q=S p q$.

## References

[1] J M. Renes, R.B lum e-K ohout, A .J. Scott and C M. C aves, J. M ath. P hys. 45, 2171 (2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0310075.
[2] C A. Fuchs, Q uantum Inform ation and Computation 4, 467 (2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0404122.
[3] W K.W ootters, quant-ph/0406032.
[4] I. Bengtsson, quant-ph/0406174.
[5] M . Grassl, in Proceedings ERATO C onference on Quantum Inform ation Science 2004 (T okyo, 2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0406175.
[6] I. Bengtsson and A. E ricsson, 0 pen Sys. and In form ation D yn. 12, 187 (2005). A lso available as quant-ph/0410120.
[7] D M . A ppleby,J. M ath. Phys. 46, 052107 (2005). A lso available as quant-ph/0412001.
[8] M . G rassl, E lectron ic $N$ otes in D iscrete M athem atics 20,151 (2005).
[9] A. K lappenecker and M.R otteler, quant-ph/0502031.
[10] A . K lappenecker, M . R otteler, I. Shparlinski and A . W interhof, quant-ph/0503239.
[11] A J. Scott, quant-ph/0604049.
[12] S.T . F lam m ia, quant-ph/0605050.
[13] I.H . K im , quant-ph/0608024.
[14] E. P rugovecki, Int. J. T heor. P hys. 16, 321 (1977).
[15] F E. Schroeck, Int. J. T heor. Phys. 28, 247 (1989).
[16] P. Busch, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 30,121 (1991).
[17] P. Busch, M . G rabow ski and P.J. Lahti, O perational Q uantum Physics (Springer, Lecture N otes in Physics m 31, 1995).
[18] C M . C aves, C A.Fuchs and R. Schack, J. M ath. Phys. 43, 4537 (2002). A lso available as quant-ph/0104088.
[19] C A. Fuchs, quant-ph/0205039.
[20] G M . d'A riano, P. Perinotti and M F. Sacchi,, J. O pt. B : Q uantum and Sem icl. O ptics, 6, S487 (2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0310013.
[21] S.G.H oggar, G eom . D edic. 69 (1998).
[22] G. Zauner, \Quantum designs| foundations of a non-commutative theory of designs" (in Gem an), PhD. thesis, University of Vienna, 1999. A vailable online at http://www m at.univie.ac.at/~neum /papers/physpapers.htm l.
[23] J. . H arrim an, P hys. Rev. A 17, 1249 (1978).
[24] G. M ahler and V A. W eberruss, Q uantum N etworks: D ynam ics of O pen Nanostructures (Springer, B erlin, 1995).
[25] L. Jakobczyk and M . Siennicki, Phys. Lett. A 286, 383 (2001).
[26] G. K im ura, P hys. Lett. A 314, 339 (2003). A lso available as quant-ph/0301152.
[27] M.S. Byrd and N. K haneja, Phys. Rev. A 68, 062322 (2003). A lso available as quant-ph/0302024.
[28] S.G . Schirm er, T. Zhang and J.V . Leahy, J. Phys. A 37, 1389 (2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0308004.
[29] G. K im ura and A. K ossakow ski, O pen Sys. In form ation D yn. 12, 207 (2005). A lso available as quant-ph/0408014.
[30] K . D ietz, quant-ph/0601013.
[31] I.D. Ivanovic, J. Phys. A 14, 3241 (1981).
[32] W K . W ootters, A nn. Phys. (N .Y.) 176, 1 (1987).
[33] W K . W ootters and B D.F ields, A nn. Phys. (N.Y.) 191, 363 (1989).
[34] S. B andyopadhyay, P .O . B oykin, V . R oychow dhury and F . Vatan, quant-ph/0103162.
[35] A.O. P ittenger and M H. Rubin, Linear A lg. A ppl. 390, 255 (2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0308142.
[36] A . K lappenecker and M . R otteler, quant-ph/0309120.
[37] C. A rcher, quant-ph/0312204.
[38] T . D urt, quant-ph/0401046.
[39] K .S.G ibbons, M .J.H O m an, W .K.W ootters P hys. Rev. A 70, 062101 (2004). A lso available as quant-ph/0401155.
[40] M . Saniga, M . P lanat and H. R osu, J. O pt. B: Q uantum and Sem icl. O ptics, 6, L19 (2004). A lso available as m ath-ph/0403057.
[41] D M . A ppleby, to appear.
[42] C. M iquel, J. P. Paz and M . Saraceno, Phys. Rev. A 65, 062309 (2002). A lso available as quant-ph/0204149.
[43] A. V ourdas, Rep. P rog. P hys. 67, 267 (2004).
[44] S. C haturvedi, E. E rcolessi, G. M arm O, G. M orandi, N . M ukunda, R . Sim on, P ram ana 65, 981 (2006). A lso available as quant-ph/0507094.
[45] D. G ross, quant-ph/0602001.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ su (d) is the Lie algebra for the special unitary group SU (d). This group theoretical fact is highly relevant to the problem of characterizing the geom etry of quantum state space [24, 25, 26, 27, $28,29,30]$. H ow ever, it will play no part in the considerations of this paper.
    ${ }^{2} W$ hat we are calling $B$ loch vectors are of course $m$ atrices. Som e authors introduce a standard basis for $s u(d)$ at this point and reserve the term $\backslash B$ loch vector" for the com ponents of $\hat{B}$ in that basis (as has been the long-standing practice in the 2 dim ensional case| see Eq. (3) above). $H$ ow ever, it appears to $u$ s that th is $m$ akes the notation needlessly com plicated.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ To see this note that Eq. (46) im plies that the corresponding B loch vectors satisfy Eq. (40). It follow s that the $B$ loch vectors span su ( $d$ ) (because if $M$ is the $\left(d^{2} \quad 1\right)\left(d^{2} 11\right) m$ atrix with elem ents $M_{r s}=h \hat{B}_{r} ; \hat{B}_{s}$ i for $r ; s=1 ; 2 ;::: ; d^{2} \quad 1$ then $D$ etM $\left.=d^{2}\left(d^{2} \quad 2\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d^{2} & 1\end{array}\right)^{d^{2}} 1 \in 0\right)$ and consequently that $\sum_{r=1}^{d^{2}} \hat{B}_{r}=0$ (by the sam e argum ent that led to Eq. (42). The claim is now im $m$ ediate.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that G rassl [8] has constructed a counter-exam ple in dim ension 12 to con jecture C of ref. [7]. H ow ever, his exam ple is still invariant under a canonical order 3 unitary. Speci cally $h$ is $m$ atrix $T_{12}$ is a representative of the $C$ li ord operation $\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 3 \\ 9 & 7\end{array}\right) ;\binom{3}{6}\right]$, which it will be seen has C li ord trace $=1$ (notation and term inology as in ref. 7]). H is exam ple is therefore consistent with con jecture A of ref. [7].

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ In the notation of ref. [7] $\hat{\mathrm{U}}$ is a representative of the C li ord operation $\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) ;\binom{0}{0}\right]$. Its action on the standard basis used to de ne the operators $\hat{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (see Eqs. (91) and 920) is $\hat{U}$ jri $=$ jri. So $\hat{U}$ can be thought of as a discrete parity operator.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ The reason for de ning $=e^{i=d}$ rather than $=e^{i=d}$ is that it $m$ eans $d^{2}=1$ for all $d$.

