

Teleportation of arbitrary n-qudit state with multipartite entanglement

Zhan-jun Zhang^{a,b}

^a Department of Physics and Center for Quantum Information Science,
National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan

^b School of Physics & Material Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

We propose a protocol D_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with the tensor product state (TPS) of n generalized Bell states (GBSs) as the quantum channel. We also put forward explicit protocol D_n^0 and D_n^{00} for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n-qudit state with two classes of 2n-qudit GESs as the quantum channel, where the GESs are a kind of genuine entangled states we construct and can not be reducible to the TPS of n GBSs.

I. Introduction

No-cloning theorem forbids a perfect copy of an arbitrary unknown quantum state. How to interchange different resources has ever been a question in quantum computation and quantum information. In 1993, Bennett et al. [1] first presented a quantum teleportation scheme T_1 . In the scheme, an arbitrary unknown quantum state in Alice's qubit can be teleported to a distant qubit B with the aid of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) pair. Suppose Alice has a qubit x in an arbitrary unknown normalized state

$$|x\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle; \tag{1}$$

where α and β are complex. Alice and a remote Bob share an EPR pair $(a;b)$, say, in the state

$$|0\rangle_{ab} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{j=0}^1 (|jj\rangle)_{ab}; \tag{2}$$

This teleportation between Alice and Bob can be seen intuitively from the following equation,

$$|x\rangle |0\rangle_{ab} = \sum_{i=0}^1 |i\rangle_{ax} |b^{(i)}\rangle; \tag{3}$$

where $|i\rangle_{ab} = \sum_b^{(i)} |0\rangle_{ab}$, $|b^{(0)}\rangle = \alpha|1\rangle + \beta|0\rangle$, $|b^{(1)}\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, $|b^{(2)}\rangle = \alpha|1\rangle - \beta|0\rangle$ and $|b^{(3)}\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle - \beta|1\rangle$. Bennett et al's work showed in essence the interchangeability of different quantum resources [2].

The teleportation of multi-qubit teleportation has been studied by Lee et al [3] and Yang et al [4]. Suppose that the arbitrary n ($n \geq 2$)-qubit state Alice wants to teleport to Bob is written as

$$|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n\rangle = \sum_{m_1=0}^1 \dots \sum_{m_n=0}^1 C_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_n} |m_1\rangle_{x_1} |m_2\rangle_{x_2} \dots |m_n\rangle_{x_n}; \tag{4}$$

where C 's are complex coefficients and $|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n\rangle$ is assumed to be normalized. Alice and Bob share in advance N same Bell states, say, $|0\rangle_{a_n b_n} \dots |0\rangle_{a_2 b_2} |0\rangle_{a_1 b_1}$. The n qubits a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and a_n is in Alice's site. The n qubits b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n in Bob's site are used to "receive" the teleported state from Alice. Hence, the initial joint state is

$$|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n\rangle |0\rangle_{a_n b_n} \dots |0\rangle_{a_2 b_2} |0\rangle_{a_1 b_1}; \tag{5}$$

It can be rewritten as [5]

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |i_{a_n} x_n\rangle \langle i_{a_{n-1}} x_{n-1}| \dots \langle i_{a_1} x_1| U_{i_1 i_{n-1} i_1 b_1 b_{n-1} b_2 b_1} |i_{b_1} b_2 \dots b_{n-1} b_n\rangle \quad (6)$$

If Alice performs n Bell-state measurements on the qubit pairs $(a_n; x_n), \dots, (a_1; x_1)$ and publishes a $2n$ -bit classical message corresponding to her measurement outcomes on the qubit pairs, then conditioned on Alice's information, Bob can recover the arbitrary state $|\psi\rangle$ by performing at most $2n$ single-qubit operations. To our knowledge, as far as the multipartite quantum state teleportation is concerned, only protocols for n -qubit state teleportation are proposed [3-9], and so far there does not exist any protocol for teleporting an arbitrary n -qubit state though teleportation for one-qubit state has ever been studied by Zubairy [10], Stenholm and Bardro [11], and Roa et al [12]. In this paper we will extend such studies. We will directly consider the general case of teleporting an arbitrary n -qubit state with the tensor product state (TPS) of n generalized Bell states (GBSs) as the quantum channel.

On the other hand, recently Rigolin [6] has proposed a protocol for teleporting an arbitrary two-qubit state with a four-particle generalized Bell state as a genuine quantum teleportation channel and a four-particle joint measurement. However, the multipartite state in the Rigolin's protocol is just a tensor product state of two Bell states in essence, not a genuine multipartite entangled state [7]. As a consequence, the Rigolin's protocol [6] is equivalent to the Yang-Guo protocol [4] for teleporting an arbitrary multipartite state in principle. Very recently, Yeo and Chua [8] have presented an explicit protocol for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary two-qubit state via a genuine 4-qubit entangled state they constructed. They think it is an important consideration because the four-qubit entangled state, in addition to two Bell states, could be a likely candidate for the genuine four-partite analogue to a Bell state. Soon later, Cheng, Zhu and Guo [9] presented a general form of genuine multipartite entangled quantum channels for arbitrary qubit-state teleportation. In this paper we will present an explicit protocol for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n -qubit state with two classes of $2n$ -qubit GESs, where GES is referred to as a kind of genuine entangled states we construct and can not be reducible to the TPS of n GBSs.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II we will propose a faithful teleportation protocol D_n of multipartite n -qubit state with the TPS of n GBSs as the quantum channel. In section III we will present an explicit protocols D_n^0 and D_n^{00} for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary multipartite n -qubit state with two classes of GESs. A brief summary is given in section IV.

II. Protocol D_n for teleporting arbitrary n -qubit state using TPS of n GBSs

Teleportation for one-qubit state has ever been studied by Zubairy [10], Stenholm and Bardro [11], and Roa et al [12]. However, so far there does not exist any protocol concerning the teleportation of an arbitrary n -qubit state. In this section we will focus on this issue and propose a faithful teleportation protocol D_n of multipartite n -qubit state with the TPS of n GBSs as the quantum channel.

Suppose Alice has n qubits $|x_1; x_2; \dots; x_n\rangle$ in the state of

$$\sum_{j_1=0}^{x_1-1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{x_2-1} \dots \sum_{j_n=0}^{x_n-1} C_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} |j_1 j_2 \dots j_n\rangle_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \quad (7)$$

where C 's are complex coefficients and $\sum_{j_1=0}^{x_1-1} \dots \sum_{j_n=0}^{x_n-1} |j_1 j_2 \dots j_n\rangle_{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n}$ is assumed to be normalized. Moreover, Alice and Bob share in advance n generalized Bell states (GBSs) in the form

$$\sum_{i_1=0}^{a_1-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{a_2-1} \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^{a_n-1} |i_1 i_2 \dots i_n\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n} = \sum_{i_1=0}^{a_1-1} |i_1\rangle_{A_1 B_1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{a_2-1} |i_2\rangle_{A_2 B_2} \dots \sum_{i_n=0}^{a_n-1} |i_n\rangle_{A_n B_n} \quad (8)$$

where

$$|j\rangle_{00} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |ij\rangle_{i=0} \quad (9)$$

Alice has the n qudits $|A_1; A_2\rangle_{A_1 A_2}$, while Bob has the n qudits $|B_1; B_2\rangle_{B_1 B_2}$. Hence the state of the $3n$ -qudit system is

$$|j\rangle_{X_1 X_2} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_2 B_2} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_n B_n} \quad (10)$$

Alice performs 2-qudit state projective measurements on the qudit pairs $(X_1; A_1)$, $(X_2; A_2)$, respectively. The 2-qudit state set $|f\rangle_{k_1 A_1} = U_A^{(k_1)} |j\rangle_{00 A_1} = V_B^{(k_1)} |j\rangle_{00 A_1}$; $k_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$; $|g\rangle_{k_2 A_2}$ is a complete orthonormal basis set in d -dimensional Hilbert space for two qudits, where

$$U^{(k_1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e^{2\pi i j k_1 / d} |j\rangle_{A_1} \langle j| \quad (11)$$

$$V^{(k_1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e^{2\pi i j k_1 / d} |j+1\rangle_{A_1} \langle j| \quad (12)$$

$$|j+1\rangle = (|j+1\rangle) \text{ mod } d \quad (13)$$

Incidentally, since $|f\rangle$ -states can be transformed into each other via the local unitary operations, the quantum channel linking Alice and Bob can also be other TPSs such as $|j\rangle_{k_1 A_1 k_2 A_2} = |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2}$ instead of the TPS $|j\rangle_{0000} = |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} |j\rangle_{k_1 A_1 k_2 A_2} &= U_{A_1}^{(k_1)} U_{A_2}^{(k_2)} |j\rangle_{0000} = U_{A_n}^{(k_1)} |j\rangle_{0000} \\ &= V_{B_1}^{(k_1)} V_{B_2}^{(k_2)} |j\rangle_{0000} = V_{B_n}^{(k_1)} |j\rangle_{0000} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

After Alice's measurements, the system's state collapses to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} |j\rangle_{X_1 X_2} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_n B_n} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} |j\rangle_{X_1 X_2} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2} \otimes |j\rangle_{A_n B_n} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} (U_{A_1}^{(k_1)})^\dagger (U_{A_2}^{(k_2)})^\dagger |j\rangle_{0000} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} (U_{A_1}^{(k_1)})^\dagger (U_{A_2}^{(k_2)})^\dagger |j\rangle_{0000} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} (U_{A_1}^{(k_1)})^\dagger (U_{A_2}^{(k_2)})^\dagger |j\rangle_{0000} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} (U_{A_1}^{(k_1)})^\dagger (U_{A_2}^{(k_2)})^\dagger |j\rangle_{0000} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} (U_{A_1}^{(k_1)})^\dagger (U_{A_2}^{(k_2)})^\dagger |j\rangle_{0000} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2} |k_1\rangle_{A_1 X_1} \langle k_1|_{A_1 X_1} \sum_{k_2} |k_2\rangle_{A_2 X_2} \langle k_2|_{A_2 X_2} \sum_{k_n} |k_n\rangle_{A_n X_n} \langle k_n|_{A_n X_n} (U_{A_1}^{(k_1)})^\dagger (U_{A_2}^{(k_2)})^\dagger |j\rangle_{0000} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

This means that if Alice gets the state $|j\rangle_{k_1 A_1 k_2 A_2} = |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2}$ via her measurement, then the state of Bob's qudits $|B_1; B_2\rangle_{B_1 B_2}$ collapses to the state $V_{B_1}^{(k_1)} V_{B_2}^{(k_2)} |j\rangle_{00 B_1 B_2}$. Further, if Alice tells Bob her results (i.e., (k_1, k_2)) via public channel, then Bob can recover the state $|j\rangle$ in his qudits $|B_1; B_2\rangle_{B_1 B_2}$ by performing the local unitary operations $V_{B_1}^{(k_1)}$, $V_{B_2}^{(k_2)}$, and $V_{B_n}^{(k_n)}$, respectively. Up to now, we have presented the protocol D_n for teleporting arbitrary

n -qudit state using TPS of n GBSs. By the way, when the dimensionality d of the qudit state in our protocol D_n is 2, then the present protocol becomes the Yang-Guo protocol [4]. Further, if n is equal to 2, then the present protocol becomes the Lee-Minh protocol in Ref. [3].

III. Protocols D_n^0 and D_n^{∞} using two classes of GESs

In the last section we have shown a protocol D_n for teleporting arbitrary n -qudit state using TPS of n GBSs. Now we consider the teleportation of the same n -qudit state using another entangled quantum channel between Alice and Bob as follows,

$$|j\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} |00\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n} = |A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n} \quad (16)$$

where $|A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\rangle$ is a global unitary operator acting on the n qudits $A_1; A_2; \dots; A_n$ and can not be reducible to n local operators acting the n qudits. The state of the $3n$ qudits $X_1; X_2, \dots; A_1; B_1; A_2; B_2, \dots; A_n; B_n$ is

$$|j\rangle_{X_1 X_2 \dots X_n} |A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n\rangle = |j\rangle_{X_1 X_2 \dots X_n} |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n} \quad (17)$$

The $2n$ -qudit state set $\{|j\rangle_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n} |A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n\rangle = |A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\rangle_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} |j\rangle_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n} |A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n\rangle; k_x; k_x \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ is another complete orthonormal basis set for $2n$ qudits. Different states can be transformed into each other via local unitary operations. Hence, other states can also be used as the quantum channel instead of the state $|j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n}$. Alice performs the $|j\rangle$ -state projective measurement on the qubits $X_1; X_2; \dots; A_1; A_2; \dots; A_n$ her site,

$$\begin{aligned} & |j\rangle_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n} |A_1 X_1 A_2 X_2 \dots A_n X_n\rangle \langle k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n | j\rangle_{X_1 X_2 \dots X_n} |A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n\rangle \\ &= |j\rangle_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n} |A_1 X_1 A_2 X_2 \dots A_n X_n\rangle \langle A_1 X_1 A_2 X_2 \dots A_n X_n | k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n \rangle \langle j |_{A_1 A_2 \dots A_n} \\ &= |j\rangle_{X_1 X_2 \dots X_n} |A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\rangle |j\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{d^n} |j\rangle_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n} |A_1 X_1 A_2 X_2 \dots A_n X_n\rangle V_{B_1}^{(k_1 k_1)Y} V_{B_2}^{(k_2 k_2)Y} \dots V_{B_n}^{(k_n k_n)Y} |j\rangle_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n} \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that if Alice obtains the state $|j\rangle_{k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n} |A_1 X_1 A_2 X_2 \dots A_n X_n\rangle$ via her measurement, then the state of Bob's n qudits $B_1; B_2; \dots; B_n$ collapses to $V_{B_1}^{(k_1 k_1)Y} V_{B_2}^{(k_2 k_2)Y} \dots V_{B_n}^{(k_n k_n)Y} |j\rangle_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n}$. Further, if Alice informs Bob of her results (i.e., $(k_1 k_2 k_3 \dots k_n)$) via public channel, then Bob can recover the state $|j\rangle$ in his n qudits $B_1; B_2; \dots; B_n$ by performing the local unitary operations $V_{B_1}^{(k_1 k_1)}$, $V_{B_2}^{(k_2 k_2)}$, and $V_{B_n}^{(k_n k_n)}$, respectively. Since $|A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\rangle$ is a global unitary operator and can not be reducible to n local operators acting on the n qudits $A_1; A_2; \dots; A_n$, the states can not be reducible to the states. Hence, states is different from the TPSs of n GBSs and is referred to as a kind of genuine entangled states for it is also a candidates for teleporting an arbitrary n -qudit state.

So far, we have presented the protocol D_n^0 for teleporting arbitrary n -qudit state using a class of GESs. In the special case of $n = 2$, $d = 2$ and $\langle j | \langle 0 | i \rangle \langle 0 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 1 | i \rangle \langle 0 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 0 | i \rangle \langle 1 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 1 | i \rangle \langle 1 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 0 | i \rangle \langle 1 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 1 | i \rangle \langle 0 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 0 | i \rangle \langle 0 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 1 | i \rangle \langle 1 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 0 | i \rangle \langle 1 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 1 | i \rangle \langle 0 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 0 | i \rangle \langle 0 | j \rangle + \langle j | \langle 1 | i \rangle \langle 1 | j \rangle$ the present protocol D_n^0 is exactly the Yeo-Chua protocol in Ref. [8]. By the way, if $|A_1 A_2 \dots A_n\rangle$ can be reducible to n local operators acting on the n qudits $A_1; A_2; \dots; A_n$, then the protocol D_n^0 is transformed into the protocol D_n .

Now let us present our protocol D_n^{∞} for teleporting an arbitrary n -qudit state using another class of GESs as quantum channel. The entangled quantum channel between Alice and Bob is as follows,

$$|j\rangle_{0000 \dots 00} |A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n\rangle = |A_1 A_2 \dots A_n B_1 B_2 \dots B_n\rangle |j\rangle_{0000 \dots 00} |A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n\rangle \quad (19)$$

where $|B_1 B_2 \dots B_n\rangle$ is a global unitary operator acting on the n qudits $B_1; B_2; \dots; B_n$ and can not be reducible to n local operators acting the n qudits. Obviously, this entangled quantum channel

is different from $\rho_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 \dots A_n B_n}^0$ used in the protocol D_n^0 . However, since the n qudits $B_1; B_2; \dots; B_n$ are in Bob's site, before teleportation he can perform the unitary operation $U_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n}^Y$. After his performance, the quantum channel is transformed into the first class of GESs. Surely, the teleportation can be realized. Hence the protocol D_n^{00} is only a slight variation of the protocol D_n^0 but using different quantum channels. One can easily see that, the Chen-Zhu-Guo protocol is only our present protocol D_n^{00} in the special case of $d = 2$. By the way, if $U_{B_1 B_2 \dots B_n}^Y$ can be reducible to n local operators acting the n qudits $B_1; B_2; \dots; B_n$, then the protocol D_n^{00} is transformed into the protocol D_n^0 with other state as quantum channel.

4 Summary

To summarize, in this paper we have presented a protocol D_n for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n -qudit state with the TPS of n GBs as the quantum channel. Moreover, we have also put forward explicit protocols D_n^0 and D_n^{00} for faithfully teleporting an arbitrary n -qudit state with two classes of $2n$ -qudit GESs as the quantum channel, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 60677001 and 10304022, the science-technology fund of Anhui province for outstanding youth under Grant No.06042087, the general fund of the educational committee of Anhui province under Grant No.2006KJ260B, and the key fund of the ministry of education of China under Grant No.206063.

References

- [1] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993).
- [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [3] J. Lee, H. Min, and S. D. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 66, 052318 (2002).
- [4] C. P. Yang and G. C. Guo, Chin. Phys. Lett. 17, 162 (2000).
- [5] Z. J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A 351, 55 (2006).
- [6] G. Rigolin, Phys. Rev. A 71, 032303 (2005).
- [7] F. G. Deng, Phys. Rev. A 72, 036301 (2005).
- [8] Y. Yeo and W. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 060502 (2006).
- [9] P. X. Chen, S. Y. Zhu and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A 74, 032324 (2006).
- [10] M. S. Zubairy, Phys. Rev. A 58, 4368 (1998).
- [11] S. Stenholm, P. J. Bardro, Phys. Rev. A 58, 4373 (1998).
- [12] L. Roa, A. Delgado, and I. Fuentes-Guridi, Phys. Rev. A 68, 022310 (2003).