M ore on an exactly solvable position-dependent m ass Schrodinger equation in two dimensions: A lgebraic approach and extensions to three dimensions

C.Quesne

Physique Nucleaire Theorique et Physique M athem atique, Universite Libre de Bruxelles, C am pus de la Plaine CP229, Boulevard du Triom phe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium E-m ail: cquesne@ulb.ac.be

Abstract

An exactly solvable position-dependent mass Schrödinger equation in two dimensions, depicting a particle moving in a sem i-in nite layer, is re-examined in the light of recent theories describing superintegrable two-dimensional systems with integrals of motion that are quadratic functions of the momenta. To get the energy spectrum a quadratic algebra approach is used together with a realization in terms of deformed paraferm ionic oscillator operators. In this process, the importance of supplementing algebraic considerations with a proper treatment of boundary conditions for selecting physical wavefunctions is stressed. Some new results for matrix elements are derived. Finally, the two-dimensional model is extended to two integrable and exactly solvable (but not superintegrable) models in three dimensions, depicting a particle in a sem i-in nite parallelepipedal or cylindrical channel, respectively.

PACS:03.65.-w

K eywords: Schrodinger equation; Position-dependent mass; Q uadratic algebra

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanical systems with a position-dependent (e ective) mass (PDM) have attracted a lot of attention and inspired intense research activites during recent years. They are indeed very useful in the study of many physical problems, such as electronic properties of sem iconductors [1] and quantum dots [2], nuclei [3], quantum liquids [4], ³H e clusters [5], m etal clusters [6], etc.

Looking for exact solutions of the Schrodinger equation with a PDM has become an interesting research topic because such solutions may provide a conceptual understanding of som e physical phenomena, as well as a testing ground for some approximation schemes. A lthough mostly one-dimensional equations have been considered up to now, several works have recently paid attention to d-dimensional problems [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12].

In [9] (henceforth referred to as I and whose equations will be quoted by their number preceded by I), we have analyzed the problem of d-dimensional PDM Schrödinger equations in the framework of rst-order intertwining operators and shown that with a pair (H;H₁) of intertwined H am iltonians we can associate another pair (R;R₁) of second-order partial di erential operators related to the same intertwining operator and such that H (resp. H₁) commutes with R (resp. R₁). In the context of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM) based on an sl(1/1) superalgebra, R and R₁ can be interpreted as SUSY partners, while H and H₁ are related to the Casim ir operator of a larger gl(1/1) superalgebra.

In the same work, we have also applied our general theory to an explicit example, depicting a particle moving in a two-dimensional sem i-in nite layer. This model may be of interest in the study of quantum wires with an abrupt term ination in an environment that can be modelled by a dependence of the carrier elective mass on the position. It illustrates the in uence of a uniform ity breaking in a quantum channel on the production of bound states, as it was previously observed in the case of a quantum dot or a bend [13].

From a theoretical view point, our model has proved interesting too because it is solvable in two di erent ways: by separation of variables in the corresponding Schrodinger equation or employing SUSYQM and shape-invariance techniques [14]. The form er method relies upon the existence of an integral of motion L, while, as above-mentioned, the latter is based on the use of R. In other words, the three second-order partial di erential operators H, L and R form a set of algebraically independent integrals of motion, which means that the system is superintegrable. Let us recall that in classical mechanics [15], an integrable system on a d-dimensional manifold is a system which has d functionally independent (globally de ned) integrals of motion in involution (including the H am iltonian). Any system with more that d functionally independent integrals of motion is called superintegrable. It is maximally superintegrable if it adm its them axim um number 2d 1 of integrals of motion. The latter form a complete set so that any other integral of motion can be expressed in terms of them. In particular, the Poisson bracket of any two basic integrals, being again a constant of motion, can be written as a (in general) nonlinear function of them. Such results can be extended to quantum mechanics [16], so that for quantum counterparts of maximally superintegrable system s we get (in general) nonlinear associative algebras of algebraically independent observables, all of them commuting with H.

The simplest case corresponds to the class of two-dimensional superintegrable system s with integrals of motion that are linear and quadratic functions of the momenta. The study and classi cation of such system s, dating back to the 19th century and revived in the 1960ties [17], have recently been the subject of intense research activites and substantial progress has been made in this area (see [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] and references quoted therein). In particular, it has been shown that their integrals of motion generate a quadratic Poisson algebra (in the classical case) or a quadratic associative algebra (in the quantum one) with a Casim ir of sixth degree in the momenta and the general form of these algebras has been uncovered [22, 28]. A lgebras of this kind have many sim ilarities to the quadratic R acah algebra Q R (3) (a special case of the quadratic A skey-W ilson algebra QAW (3)) [19]. They actually coincide with QR (3) whenever one of their parameters vanishes. The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the superintegrable system Ham iltonian can be found from the nite-dimensional irreducible representations of these algebras. The latter can be determined by a ladder-operator method [19, 20] or through a realization [21, 22] in terms of (generalized) deform ed paraferm ionic operators [29], which are a nite-dimensional version of deformed oscillator operators [30].

Since our two-dimensional PDM model belongs to this class of superintegrable systems, it is interesting to analyze it in the light of such topical and innovative theories. This is one of the purposes of the present paper, which will therefore provide us with a third method for solving the PDM Schrödinger equation. In such a process, we will insist on the necessity of supplementing algebraic calculations with a proper treatment of the wavefunction boundary

3

conditions in posed by the physics of the problem | a point that is not always highlighted enough.

The other purpose of the present paper is to free ourselves from the restriction to a twodimensional model. We actually plan to show that an abrupt term ination of a quantum channel can also be minimized by some three-dimensional exactly solvable models.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the two-dimensional PDM model of I is brie y reviewed and some important comments on its mathematical structure are made in conjunction with the physics of the problem. The quadratic algebra approach to such a model is then detailed in Section 3. Two three-dimensional extensions of the model are presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 contains the conclusion.

2 Exactly solvable and superintegrable PDM model in a two-dimensional sem i-in nite layer

In I we considered a particle moving in a two-dimensional sem i-in nite layer of width =q, parallel to the x-axis and with impenetrable barriers at the boundaries. The variables x, y vary in the domain

D:
$$0 < x < 1$$
; $\frac{1}{2q} < y < \frac{1}{2q}$; (2.1)

and the wavefunctions have to satisfy the conditions

$$(0;y) = 0;$$
 x; $\frac{1}{2q} = 0:$ (2.2)

The mass of the particle is m (x) = m $_0M$ (x), where the dimensionless function M (x) is given by

$$M(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sech}^{2} q \mathbf{x} :$$
 (2.3)

In units wherein $\sim = 2m_0 = 1$, the Ham iltonian of the model can be written as

$$H^{(k)} = \theta_{x} \frac{1}{M(x)} \theta_{x} - \theta_{y} \frac{1}{M(x)} \theta_{y} + V_{e}^{(k)}(x); \qquad (2.4)$$

where

$$V_{e}^{(k)}(x) = q^{2} \cosh^{2} qx + q^{2} k (k - 1) \cosh^{2} qx$$
 (2.5)

is an elective potential including terms depending on the ambiguity parameters (see Eq. (I2.3)). In (2.5), the constant k is assumed positive and we have set an irrelevant additive constant v_0 to zero.

Both the operators

$$L = \theta_{y}^{2}$$
 (2.6)

and

$$R^{(k)} = ^{(k)y (k)}$$

$$= \cosh^{2} qx \sin^{2} qy \theta_{x}^{2} + 2 \sinh qx \cosh qx \sin qy \cos qy \theta_{xy}^{2} \quad \sinh^{2} qx \cos^{2} qy \theta_{y}^{2}$$

$$+ q \sinh qx \cosh qx (1 \quad 4 \sin^{2} qy) \theta_{x} + q (1 + 4 \sinh^{2} qx) \sin qy \cos qy \theta_{y}$$

$$+ q^{2} (\sinh^{2} qx \quad \sin^{2} qy \quad 3 \sinh^{2} qx \sin^{2} qy) \quad q^{2} k (1 + \cosh^{2} qx \sin^{2} qy)$$

$$+ q^{2} k^{2} \cosh^{2} qx \sin^{2} qy; \qquad (2.7)$$

where

commute with H $^{(k)}$, although not with one another. Hence one may diagonalize either H $^{(k)}$ and L or H $^{(k)}$ and R $^{(k)}$ simultaneously. This leads to two alternative bases for the Ham iltonian eigenfunctions, corresponding to the eigenvalues

$$E_{N}^{(k)} = q^{2} (N + 2) (N + 2k + 1); \qquad N = 0; 1; 2; ...; \qquad (2.10)$$

with degeneracies

$$\deg(N) = \frac{N}{2} + 1; \qquad (2.11)$$

where [N = 2] stands for the integer part of N = 2.

The rst basis is obtained by separating the variables x, y in the PDM Schrödinger equation and its members, associated with the eigenvalues $(l + 1)^2 q^2$ of L, read

with $N = 2n + l_r$

$${}^{(k)}_{n;l} = N_{n;l}^{(k)} (\tanh qx)^{k} (\operatorname{sech} qx)^{l+2} P_{n}^{(k \frac{1}{2};l+1)} (1 \ 2 \tanh^{2} qx); \qquad (2.13)$$

$${}^{8}_{(x)} = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} & \frac{2q}{2q} \cos[(l+1)qy] \\ & \frac{2q}{2q} \cos[(l+1)qy] \end{array}} & \text{for } l = 0;2;4;\dots;$$

$${}^{2}_{(2)} = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} & \frac{2q}{2q} \sin[(l+1)qy] \\ & \frac{2q}{2q} \sin[(l+1)qy] \end{array}} & \text{for } l = 1;3;5;\dots;$$

and $N_{n;l}^{(k)}$ given in Eq. (I3.18).

The second basis, resulting from the intertwining relation

$$^{(k)}H^{(k)} = H_1^{(k)} {}^{(k)}; \qquad H_1^{(k)} = H^{(k+1)} + 2q^2k;$$
 (2.15)

and its Herm itian conjugate, can be built by successive applications of operators of type $^{(k)y}$,

on functions $\binom{(k+)}{N_0,N_0}$ (x;y), annihilated by $\binom{(k+)}{N}$ and given in Eqs. (B.28), (B.32) and (B.34). In (2.16), N₀ runs over 0, 2, 4,..., N or N 1, according to whether N is even or odd, while , de ned by = N N₀, determ ines the R $\binom{(k)}{N}$ eigenvalue

$$r^{(k)} = q^2 (+ 2k); = 0;1;2;...;$$
 (2.17)

A lthough an explicit expression of the norm alization coe cient $N_{N,N_0}^{(k)}$ is easily obtained (see Eq. (B.41)), this is not the case for $N_{N,N_0}^{(k)}$ (x;y) (except for some low values of N and N_0), nor for the expansion of $N_{N,N_0}^{(k)}$ (x;y) into the rst basis eigenfunctions $N_{n,1}^{(k)}$ (x;y), which is given by rather awkward form ulas (see Eqs. (B.46), (B.51), (B.55) and (B.56)).

Before proceeding to a quadratic algebra approach to the problem in Section 3, it is worth making a few valuable observations.

M athem atically speaking, the separable Schrödinger equation of our m odel adm its four linearly independent solutions obtained by combining the two independent solutions of the second-order di erential equation in x with those of the second-order di erential equation in y. Am ong those four functions, only the combination $\binom{(k)}{n;l}(x;y)$, considered in (2.12), satis es all the boundary conditions and is norm alizable on D. It is indeed clear that the alternative solution to the di erential equation in x is not norm alizable, while that to the di erential equation in y,

$$sin[(l+1)qy] for l= 0;2;4;...; (2.18)$$

$$cos[(l+1)qy] for l= 1;1;3;5;...;$$

violates the second condition in Eq. (22). Hence the three remaining combinations provide unphysical functions.

Some mathematical considerations might also lead to another choice than L and R^(k) for the basic integrals of motion complementing H^(k). First of all, instead of L, one might select the operator $p_y = iQ_y$, which obviously satisfies the condition $[H^{(k)}; p_y] = 0$. This would result in a linear and a quadratic (in the momenta) integrals of motion, generating a much simpler quadratic algebra than that to be considered in Section 3. It should be realized, however, that the eigenfunctions $e^{im y}$ (m 2 Z) of p_y , being linear combinations of the physical and unphysical functions (2.14) and (2.18), are useless from a physical view point. We are therefore forced to consider the second-order operator L instead of p_y .

Furtherm ore, it is straightforward to see that another pair of rst-order di erential operators

intertwines with H $^{(k)}$ and H $_1$ (k), i.e., satis es the relation

$$^{(k)}$$
 H $^{(k)}$ = H $^{(k)}_{1}$ $^{(k)}$; H $^{(k)}_{1}$ = H $^{(k+1)}$ + 2q²k; (2.21)

and its Herm itian conjugate. Such operators correspond to the choice a = c = g = 0, b = d = 1 in Eq. (I2.29).

As a consequence of (2.21), the operator

$$R^{(k)} = ^{(k)y (k)}$$

$$= \cosh^{2} qx \cos^{2} qy \theta_{x}^{2} \quad 2 \sinh qx \cosh qx \sin qy \cos qy \theta_{xy}^{2} \quad \sinh^{2} qx \sin^{2} qy \theta_{y}^{2}$$

$$+ q \sinh qx \cosh qx (1 \quad 4 \cos^{2} qy) \theta_{x} \quad q (1 + 4 \sinh^{2} qx) \sin qy \cos qy \theta_{y}$$

$$+ q^{2} (\sinh^{2} qx \quad \cos^{2} qy \quad 3 \sinh^{2} qx \cos^{2} qy) \quad q^{2} k (1 + \cosh^{2} qx \cos^{2} qy)$$

$$+ q^{2} k^{2} \cosh^{2} qx \cos^{2} qy; \qquad (2.22)$$

commutes with H $^{(k)}$ and is therefore another integral of motion. It can of course be expressed in term s of H $^{(k)}$, L and R $^{(k)}$, as it can be checked that

$$H^{(k)} = L + R^{(k)} + R^{(k)} + 2q^{2}k; \qquad (2.23)$$

However, we have now at our disposal three (dependent) integrals of motion L, R^(k) and R^(k) in addition to H^(k), so that we may ask the following question: what is the best choice for the basic integrals of motion from a physical view point?

This problem is easily settled by noting that the zero modes of (k),

$$!_{s}^{(k)}(x;y) = (tanh qx)^{k} (sech qx)^{s+1} (sin qy)^{s}; \qquad (2.24)$$

violate the second condition in Eq. (2.2) for any real value of s and therefore lead to unphysical functions. This contrasts with what happens for the zero m odes $!_{s}^{(k)}(x;y)$ of $^{(k)}$, given in (I3.28), which are physical functions for s > 0 and can therefore be used to

build the functions $\binom{(k)}{N, \frac{N}{N_0}}$ (x;y) considered in (2.16), as it was shown in (I3.32). We conclude that the physics of the model in poses the choice of L and R $^{(k)}$ as basic integrals of motion.

3 Quadratic algebra approach to the PDM model in a two-dimensional sem i-in nite layer

3.1 Quadratic associative algebra and its classical lim it

It has been shown [22, 28] that for any two-dimensional quantum superintegrable system with integrals of motion A, B, which are second-order dimensional operators, one can construct a quadratic associative algebra generated by A, B, and their commutator C. This operator is not independent of A, B, but since it is a third-order dimensial operator, it cannot be written as a polynomial function of them. The general form of the quadratic algebra commutation relations is

$$[A;B] = C; (3.1)$$

$$[A;C] = A^{2} + fA;Bg + A + B + ; \qquad (32)$$

$$[B;C] = aA^2 B^2 fA;Bg+dA B+z: (3.3)$$

Here fA; Bg AB + BA,

$$= (H) = _{0} + _{1}H; = (H) = _{0} + _{1}H; = (H) = _{0} + _{1}H + _{2}H^{2};$$

d = d(H) = d₀ + d₁H; z = z(H) = z₀ + z₁H + z₂H²; (3.4)

and , , a, $_{i}$, $_{i}$, $_{i}$, $_{i}$, $_{i}$, $_{i}$ are some constants. Note that it is the Jacobi identity [A; B; C] = [B; A; C] that in poses some relations between one cients in (32) and (33).

Such a quadratic algebra closes at level 6 [28] or, in other words, it has a Casim ir operator which is a sixth-order di erential operator [22],

$$K = C^{2} + \frac{2}{3}aA^{3} + \frac{1}{3}fA; A; Bg + \frac{1}{3}fA; Bg + \frac{2}{3}^{2} + d + \frac{2}{3}a + A^{2} + \frac{1}{3}fA; Bg + \frac{2}{3}^{2} + B^{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}a + \frac{1}{3}d + 2z + A + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}a + \frac{1}{3}a + \frac{1}{3}d + 2z + A + \frac{1}{3}a + \frac{2}{3}a + \frac{2}{3}a + \frac{1}{3}a +$$

where k_i are some constants and fA; B; Cg ABC + ACB + BAC + BCA + CAB + CBA.

For our two-dimensional PDM model, described by the Hamiltonian de ned in Eqs. (2.3) { (2.5), we shall take

$$A = R; B = L;$$
 (3.6)

where, for simplicity's sake, we dropped the superscript (k) because no confusion can arise outside the SUSYQM context.

To determ ine their commutation relations, it is worth noting rst that their building blocks, the rst-order di erential operators Q_y , ^y and , generate another quadratic algebra together with the other set of intertwining operators ^y, , given in (2.19) and (2.20). Their commutation relations are indeed easily obtained as

$$[Q_{y};] = q; \quad [Q_{y};] = q; \quad [;] = qQ; \quad (3.7)$$

$$[; y] = 2q^{2}k(1+2);$$
 $[; y] = 2q^{2}k(1+2);$ $[; y] = q\theta_{y} + 2q^{2}k;$ (3.8)

and their Herm itian conjugates. In (3.8), we have de ned

$$= (2qk)^{1} (+ y) = csch qx sin qy; = (2qk)^{1} (+ y) = csch qx cosqy: (3.9)$$

Interestingly, Q_y , and (as well as Q_y , ^y and ^y) close an sl(2) subalgebra.

From these results, it is now straightforward to show that the operator C in (3.1) is given by

$$C = qf \theta_{v}; \quad y + y \quad g \tag{3.10}$$

and that the \cos cients in (32) and (33) are

$$= 8q^{2}; = 8q^{2} [q^{2} (2k \ 1) \ H]; = 16q^{4} (k \ 1) (k + 1);$$
$$= 8q^{4} (k \ 1) (2q^{2}k \ H); a = 0; d = 16q^{4}; z = 8q^{4} (2q^{2}k \ H):(3.11)$$

On inserting the latter in (3.5), we obtain for the value of the Casim ir operator

$$K = 4q^4 [2q^2 (7k \ 6) \ 3H] (2q^2 k \ H):$$
 (3.12)

It is worth noting that since a = 0 in (3.3), we actually have here an example of quadratic Racah algebra QR (3) [19].

An appropriate transform ation is

$$X = \sim x; \quad Y = \sim y; \quad P_X = i \sim 0_X; \quad P_Y = i \sim 0_Y; \quad Q = \frac{q}{\sim}; \quad K = \sim k:$$
(3.13)

On performing it on the Hamiltonian given in Eqs. (2.3) { (2.5), we obtain

$$H = \sim^{2} (\theta_{X} \cosh^{2} Q X \theta_{X} + \theta_{Y} \cosh^{2} Q X \theta_{Y}) \sim^{2} Q^{2} \cosh^{2} Q X + Q^{2} K (K \sim) \operatorname{csch}^{2} Q X;$$
(3.14)

yielding the classical H am iltonian

$$H_{c} = \lim_{n \to \infty} H = \cosh^{2} Q X (P_{X}^{2} + P_{Y}^{2}) + Q^{2} K^{2} \operatorname{csch}^{2} Q X :$$
(3.15)

A sim ilar procedure applied to the intertwining operators leads to

$$c = \lim_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ n \ge 0}}$$

= icoshQX sinQYP_x isinhQX cosQYP_y QK cschQX sinQY; (3.16)
$$c = \lim_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ n \ge 0}}$$

= icoshQX cosQYP_x + isinhQX sinQYP_y QK cschQX cosQY; (3.17)

together with $_{c} = \lim_{n \to 1} 0^{y}$ and $_{c} = \lim_{n \to 1} 0^{y}$, while the operators quadratic in the momenta give rise to the functions

$$L_{c} = \lim_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ n \ge 0}} L = P_{Y}^{2}; \qquad (3.18)$$

$$R_{c} = \lim_{\substack{n \ge 0 \\ n \ge 0}} R = \cosh^{2}QX \sin^{2}QYP_{X}^{2} \quad 2\sinh QX \cosh QX \sin QY \cos QYP_{X}P_{Y}$$

$$+ \sinh^{2}QX \cos^{2}QYP_{Y}^{2} + Q^{2}K^{2} \operatorname{csch}^{2}QX \sin^{2}QY; \qquad (3.19)$$

$$R_{c} = \lim_{n \to \infty} R = \cosh^{2} Q X \cos^{2} Q Y P_{X}^{2} + 2 \sinh Q X \cosh Q X \sin Q Y \cos Q Y P_{X} P_{Y}$$
$$+ \sinh^{2} Q X \sin^{2} Q Y P_{Y}^{2} + Q^{2} K^{2} \operatorname{csch}^{2} Q X \cos^{2} Q Y; \qquad (3.20)$$

satisfying the relation

$$H_{c} = L_{c} + R_{c} + R_{c}$$
: (3.21)

The quadratic associative algebra (3.1) { (3.5) is now changed into a quadratic Poisson algebra, whose de ning relations can be determined either by taking the lim it $\lim_{a \to 0} (ia)^{-1} [0;0^{-0}] = fO_c; O_c^{-0}g_P$ or by direct calculation of the Poisson brackets $fO_c; O_c^{-0}g_P$:

$$fA_{c};B_{c}g_{P} = C_{c};$$
 (3.22)

$$fA_{c};C_{c}g_{P} = {}_{c}A_{c}^{2} + 2_{c}A_{c}B_{c} + {}_{c}A_{c} + {}_{c}B_{c} + {}_{c}; \qquad (3.23)$$

$$fB_{c};C_{c}g_{P} = a_{c}A_{c}^{2} \qquad _{c}B_{c}^{2} \qquad 2 \ _{c}A_{c}B_{c} + d_{c}A_{c} \qquad _{c}B_{c} + z_{c}: \qquad (3.24)$$

Here

$$C_{c} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{C}{i_{n}} = 2Q P_{Y} (c_{c} + c_{c})$$
(3.25)

and

$$_{c} = _{c} = 8Q^{2};$$
 $_{c} = 8Q^{2}H_{c};$ $_{c} = 16Q^{4}K^{2};$ $_{c} = a_{c} = d_{c} = z_{c} = 0:$ (3.26)

Such a Poisson algebra has a vanishing Casim ir:

$$K_{c} = \lim_{n \to \infty} K = 0$$
: (3.27)

3.2 Finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the quadratic associative algebra

The quadratic algebra (3.1) { (3.5) can be realized in terms of (generalized) deformed oscillator operators N, b^{y} , b, satisfying the relations [30]

 $[N; b^{y}] = b^{y};$ [N; b] = b; $b^{y}b = (N);$ $bb^{y} = (N + 1);$ (3.28)

where the structure function (x) is a well-behaved' real function such that

$$(0) = 0;$$
 $(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$: (3.29)

This deform ed oscillator algebra has a Fock-type representation, whose basis states in i, m = 0, 1, 2, ...,¹ ful 1 the relations

N
$$jn i = m jn i;$$

 $b^{y} jn i = p (m + 1) jn + 1i; m = 0;1;2;...;$
 $bj0i = 0;$
 $bjn i = p (m) jn 1i; m = 1;2;...;$
(3.30)

We shall be more specially interested here in a subclass of deformed oscillator operators, which have a (p + 1)-dimensional Fock space, spanned by \dot{p} ; mi \dot{m} i, m = 0, 1, ..., p, due to the following property

$$(p+1) = 0 \tag{3.31}$$

of the structure function, im plying that

$$(b^{\gamma})^{p+1} = b^{p+1} = 0$$
: (3.32)

These are so-called (generalized) deform ed paraferm ionic oscillator operators of order p [29]. The general form of their structure function is given by

$$(x) = x (p + 1 x) (a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \frac{1}{p} a^{p 1}); \qquad (3.33)$$

where $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1}$ may be any real constants such that the second condition in (3.29) is satis ed for $x = 1, 2, \ldots, p$.

A realization of the quadratic algebra (3.1) { (3.5) in terms of deformed oscillator operators N, b^y , b reads [22]

$$A = A(N); \qquad (3.34)$$

$$B = (N) + b^{V} (N) + (N)b; \qquad (3.35)$$

where A (N), (N) and (N) are some functions of N, which, in the $\frac{1}{2}$ 0 case, are given by

$$A(N) = \frac{1}{2}(N+u)^2 \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2};$$
 (3.36)

$$(N) = \frac{1}{4} (N + u)^2 \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2^2}{2^2} \frac{2^2 + 4^2}{4^4} \frac{1}{(N + u)^2 \frac{1}{4}}; (3.37)$$

$${}^{2}(\mathbb{N}) = \frac{1}{3 \; \frac{1}{2} \; ^{8}(\mathbb{N} + u)(\mathbb{N} + u + 1)[2(\mathbb{N} + u) + 1]^{2}}; \qquad (3.38)$$

 ^{1}W e adopt here the unusual notation jm i in order to avoid confusion between the number of deform ed bosons and the quantum number n introduced in (2.12).

with the structure function

$$(\mathbf{x}) = 3072 \ {}^{6}\mathbf{K} \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 1 \ 1^{2}$$

$$48 \ {}^{6}(\ {}^{2} + \mathbf{a} \ d^{2}) \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 3 \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 1 \ 1^{4} \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) + 1 \ 1$$

$$+ \ {}^{8}(3 \ {}^{2} + 4\mathbf{a} \) \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 3 \ 3^{2} \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 1 \ 1^{4} \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) + 1 \ 1^{2}$$

$$+ \ 768(\ {}^{2} \ 2 + 4^{2} \)^{2}$$

$$+ \ 32 \ {}^{4}(3 \ {}^{2} \ 2 \ 6 \ + 2a \ {}^{2} + 2 \ {}^{2} \ 2 \ 4d \ {}^{2} \ + 8 \ {}^{3}z + 4 \ {}^{2} \)$$

$$\mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 1 \ 1^{2} \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 1 \ 1$$

$$256 \ {}^{2}(3 \ {}^{2} \ 3 \ 9 \ {}^{2} + a \ {}^{3} + 6 \ {}^{2} \ 2 \ 3d \ {}^{2} \ {}^{2} + 2 \ {}^{4} \ {}^{2} + 2d \ {}^{4} \ + 12 \ {}^{3} \ z$$

$$4 \ {}^{5}z + 12 \ {}^{2} \ 12^{3} \ + 4 \ {}^{4} \) \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{u}) \ 1^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$(3.39)$$

These functions depend upon two (so far undeterm ined) constants, u and the eigenvalue of the Casim ir operator K (which we denote by the same symbol).

Such a realization is convenient to determ ine the representations of the quadratic algebra in a basis wherein the generator A is diagonal together with K (or, equivalently, H) because the form er is already diagonal with eigenvalues A (m). The (p + 1)-dimensional representations, associated with (p + 1)-fold degenerate energy levels, correspond to the restriction to deform ed paraferm ionic operators of order p [22]. The rst condition in (3.29) can then be used with Eq. (3.31) to compute u and K (or E) in terms of p and the H am il-tonian parameters. A choice is then m ade between the various solutions that emerge from the calculations by in posing the second restriction in (3.29) for x = 1, 2, ..., p.

In the present case, for the set of parameters (3.11), the complicated structure function (3.39) drastically simplifies to yield the factorized expression

$$\begin{aligned} (x) &= 3 \quad 2^{2^{0}}q^{2^{0}} (2x + 2u + k \quad 1) (2x + 2u + k \quad 2) (2x + 2u \quad k) (2x + 2u \quad k \quad 1) \\ & 2x + 2u \quad \frac{1}{2} + \qquad 2x + 2u \quad \frac{3}{2} + \qquad 2x + 2u \quad \frac{1}{2} \\ & 2x + 2u \quad \frac{3}{2} \qquad ; \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.40)$$

where

$$= k \frac{1}{2}^{2} + \frac{E}{q^{2}}: \qquad (3.41)$$

Furtherm ore, the eigenvalues of the operator A become

A (m) =
$$q^2 (2m + 2u + k) (2m + 2u + k)$$
: (3.42)

Since A = R is a positive-de nite operator, only values of u such that $A \pmod{0}$ of form = 0, 1, ..., p should be retained.

On taking this into account, the rst condition in (3.29) can be satised by choosing either u = k=2 or u = (k + 1)=2, yielding

$$A(m) = 4q^2m(m + k)$$
 (3.43)

or

A (m) =
$$4q^2 m + \frac{1}{2} m + k + \frac{1}{2}$$
; (3.44)

respectively. For u = k=2, Eq. (3.31), together with the second condition in (3.29), can be fullled in two dimensions corresponding to $= 2p + k + 1 = \frac{1}{2}$ or

$$E = q^{2} 2p + \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 2p + 2k + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.45)$$

The resulting structure function reads

$$(x) = 3 \quad 2^{38}q^{20}x (p+1 \quad x) \quad x \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad p+1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad x \quad x+k \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad (x+k \quad 1)$$

$$x+p+k+\frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad x+p+k \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad :$$

$$(3.46)$$

Similarly, for u = (k + 1)=2, we obtain

$$E = q^{2} 2p + \frac{5}{2} \frac{1}{2} 2p + 2k + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$
(3.47)

and

$$(x) = 3 \quad 2^{8}q^{20}x(p+1 \quad x) \quad x + \frac{1}{2} \quad p+1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad x \quad (x+k) \quad x+k \quad \frac{1}{2}$$

$$x+p+k+\frac{5}{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad x+p+k+\frac{3}{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad :$$

$$(3.48)$$

O ur quadratic algebra approach has therefore provided us with a purely algebraic derivation of the eigenvalues of H and R in a basis wherein they are simultaneously diagonal. It now remains to see to which eigenvalues we can associate physical wavefunctions, i.e., normalizable functions satisfying Eq. (2.2). This will imply a correspondence between \dot{p} ; m i and the functions N_{xN} (x; y), de ned in (2.16).

On comparing A (m) to the known (physical) eigenvalues r of R, given in (2.17), we note that the rst choice (3.43) for A (m) corresponds to even = 2m (hence to even N), while the second choice (3.44) is associated with odd = 2m + 1 (hence with odd N).

Appropriate values of p leading to the level degeneracies (2.11) are therefore p = N = 2 and p = (N = 1)=2, respectively. W ith this identi cation, both Eqs. (3.45) and (3.47) yield the same result

$$E = q^{2} N + \frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} N + 2k + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.49)$$

C on parison with (2.10) shows that only the upper sign choice in (3.49) leads to physical wavefunctions $_{N,N}$ (x;y).

Restricting ourselves to such a choice, we can now rewrite all the results obtained in this subsection in terms of N and instead of p and m. In particular, the two expressions (3.46) and (3.48) for the structure function can be recast in a single form (m) ! , where

$$= 3 \frac{3^{2}}{2} q^{2^{0}} (1)(+2k 1)(+2k 2)(N + +2k)(N + +2k+1)(N + 2)(N + 3);$$
(3.50)

M ore importantly, our quadratic algebraic analysis provides us with an entirely new result, namely the matrix elements of the integral of motion L in the basis wherein H and R are simultaneously diagonal. On using indeed the correspondence p;mi!, as well as the results in Eqs. (3.30), (3.35), (3.37), (3.38) and (3.50), we obtain

$$L_{N,N} = _{N,N} + _{N,N+2} + _{+2 N,N-2}; \qquad (3.51)$$

where we have reset (m) ! , (m) ! and de ned = s 2^{p} . The explicit form of the coe cients on the right-hand side of (3.51) is given by

$$= \frac{q^{2}}{2(+ k + 1)(+ k + 1)} f (+ k + 1)^{2} (+ k + 1)^{2} + N^{2} + (2k + 3)N + 2k^{2} + 2k + 1](+ k + 1)(+ k + 1) k(k + 1)(N + k + 1)(N + k + 2)g; (3.52)$$

$$= \frac{q^{4}}{16(+ k + 2)(+ k + 1)(+ k + 2)} (+ 1)(+ 2k + 1)(+ 2k + 2) (N + 2)(N + 3)(N + + 2k)(N + + 2k + 1): (3.53)$$

Note that is determined up to some phase factors depending on the convention adopted for the relative phases of $_{N,N}$ and $_{N,N}$ + 2.

For N = 4, for instance, runs over 0, 2, 4, so that Eqs. (3.51) { (3.53) become

$$L_{4;0} = \frac{q^2}{k+3} (13k+21)_{4;0} + 3s_4 \frac{2(k+1)(2k+3)(2k+9)}{k+2}_{4;2}; (3.54)$$

$$L_{4;2} = q^2 \frac{3s_4}{k+3} \frac{r}{r} \frac{2(k+1)(2k+3)(2k+9)}{k+2}_{4;0} + \frac{17k^2 + 76k + 39}{(k+1)(k+3)}_{4;2} + \frac{s_2}{k+1} \frac{10(k+3)(2k+1)(2k+7)}{k+2}_{k+2}_{4;4}; (3.55)$$

$$L_{4;4} = \frac{q^2}{k+1} s_2^{-1} \frac{10(k+3)(2k+1)(2k+7)}{k+2} s_{4;2} + 5(k+3) s_{4;4} : (3.56)$$

As a check, these results can be compared with those derived from the action of L on the expansions of $_{4;0}$, $_{4;2}$ and $_{4;4}$ in terms of the rst basis eigenfunctions $_{0;4}$, $_{1;2}$ and $_{2;0}$ (see, e.g., Eqs. (I3.61) and (I3.49) for $_{4;0}$ and $_{4;4}$, respectively). This leads to the phase factors $s_2 = s_4 = 1$.

To conclude, it is worth m entioning that had we made the opposite choice in Eq. (3.6), i.e., A = L and B = R, we would not have been able to use the deform ed paraferm ionic realization (3.34), (3.35) to determ ine the energy spectrum. The counterpart of the paraferm ionic vacuum state would indeed have been a function annihilated by L and therefore constructed from the unphysical function $_1$ (y) of Eq. (2.18).

4 Exactly solvable PDM models in three dim ensions

In the present section, we plan to show that the H am iltonian (2.4) on the two-dimensional dom ain (2.1) can be easily extended to three dimensions in such a way that the dom ain keeps its essential characteristic of abrupt term ination while the H am iltonian remains exactly solvable. The latter will still be integrable with three independent integrals of motion H, L and M, but the superintegrability of the two-dimensional model will be lost. This generalization can be carried out in two dimensions.

4.1 Exactly solvable PDM model in a sem i-in nite parallelepipedal channel

In (2.4), let us replace the operator ℓ_y^2 by the two-dimensional Laplacian in cartesian ∞ -ordinates $\ell_y^2 + \ell_z^2$ and assume that z varies in the same range as y. This leads us to the

H am iltonian

$$H = (\theta_x \cosh^2 qx) (\theta_x \cosh^2 qx) (\theta_y^2 + \theta_z^2) + (q^2 \cosh^2 qx) + (q^2 k) (k + 1) \cosh^2 qx; \quad (4.1)$$

de ned on the sem i-in nite parallelepipedal dom ain

D:
$$0 < x < 1$$
; $\frac{1}{2q} < y; z < \frac{1}{2q};$ (4.2)

with wavefunctions satisfying the conditions

$$(0;y;z) = 0;$$
 x; $\frac{1}{2q};z = 0;$ x;y; $\frac{1}{2q} = 0:$ (4.3)

Such a H am iltonian com m utes with the operators

$$L = Q_{y}^{2}; \qquad M = Q_{z}^{2}: \qquad (4.4)$$

Their simultaneous normalizable eigenfunctions $_{n;l,m}$ (x;y;z), fullling (4.3), can be easily obtained along the lines detailed in Section 3.1 of I. They can be written as

$$n_{j,l,m} (x; y; z) = n_{j,l,m} (x) _{l} (y) _{m} (z); \qquad (4.5)$$

where $_1(y)$ is given in (2.14), $_m(z)$ can be expressed in a similar way with m and z substituted for l and y, respectively, while

$$n_{r,l_{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = N_{n,l_{m}}(\tanh q \mathbf{x})^{k}(\operatorname{sech} q \mathbf{x})^{1+} P_{n}^{\left(k - \frac{1}{2}; \right)} (1 - 2 \tanh^{2} q \mathbf{x});$$
 (4.6)

with

$$= \frac{P}{(1+1)^2 + (m+1)^2}; \qquad (4.7)$$

$$N_{n;lm} = \frac{2q \ 2n + k + \frac{1}{2} + n! \ n + k + \frac{1}{2} +}{(n + 1 +) \ n + k + \frac{1}{2}} \qquad : \qquad (4.8)$$

The simultaneous eigenvalues of L, M and H are $(l+1)^2q^2$, $(m + 1)^2q^2$ and

$$E_{n,lm} = q^2 (2n + 1 +) (2n + 2k +); \qquad (4.9)$$

where n, l, m = 0, 1, 2, The only remaining degeneracies are those connected with the (l;m) exchange, i.e., $E_{n;l;m} = E_{n;m;l}$ for $l \in m$, as well as some 'accidental' degeneracies, such as $E_{n;l;8} = E_{n;5;6}$ corresponding to $= \frac{p}{85}$.

4.2 Exactly solvable PDM model in a sem i-in nite cylindrical channel

A lternatively, we may replace Q_y^2 in (2.4) by the two-dimensional Laplacian in polar coordinates $Q^2 + \frac{1}{2}Q + \frac{1}{2}Q_r^2$ and assume that varies in a nite domain, on the boundary of which wavefunctions vanish. In this way, we get the Ham iltonian

$$H = \theta_{x} \cosh^{2} qx \theta_{x} \quad \cosh^{2} qx \quad \theta^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{x} + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{x}^{2} \qquad q^{2} \cosh^{2} qx + q^{2}k \ (k \quad 1) \ \cosh^{2} qx;$$
(4.10)

de ned on the sem i-in nite cylindrical dom ain

D:
$$0 < x < 1$$
; $0 < R$; $0' < 2$; (4.11)

with wavefunctions such that

$$(0; ;') = 0; \qquad (x;R;') = 0; \qquad (x; ;2) = (x; ;0): \qquad (4.12)$$

The two operators commuting with H are now

L =
$$(\theta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2)$$
; M = $i\theta$; (4.13)

The simultaneous normalizable eigenfunctions of H, L and M can be written as

$$n_{m,s}(x; ;') = n_{ij}(x) _{js}(x) _{m}('):$$
 (4.14)

Here

$$_{m}$$
 (') = $\frac{p}{2}e^{im}$ (4.15)

corresponds to the eigenvalues m = 0; 1; 2; ::: of M. Furtherm ore,

$$j_{n j s}() = N_{j_{n} j s} J_{j_{n} j}(j_{n} j_{s}); \qquad j_{n j s} = \frac{j_{j_{n} j s}}{R}; \qquad (4.16)$$

where $J_{jn}(z)$ is a Bessel function, the symbol $j_{jn}(z)$, s = 1, 2, ..., conventionally denotes [31] its real, positive zeros, and [32]

$$N_{jn js} = \frac{p_{-}}{2} R J_{jn j+1} (j_{jn js})^{-1}; \qquad (4.17)$$

provides norm alized solutions to the eigenvalue equation

$$L_{jn js}()_{m}(') = \frac{2}{jn js} j_{js}()_{m}('); \qquad (4.18)$$

which satisfy the second condition in (4.12).² F inally, $_{n;jn;js}(x)$ and the energy eigenvalues $E_{n;jn;js}$ are still given by the right-hand sides of Eqs. (4.6) and (4.9), but with now dened by

$$= \frac{jn}{q} = \frac{jm}{qR} = \frac{jm}{qR}$$
(4.19)

This time the only level degeneracy left is that connected with the sign of m.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have revisited the exactly solvable PDM model in a two-dimensional sem i-in nite layer introduced in I. Here we have taken advantage of its superintegrability with two integrals of motion L and R that are quadratic in the momenta to propose a third method of solution in the line of some recent analyses of such problem s.

We have st determ ined the explicit form of the quadratic associative algebra generated by L, R and their commutator. We have shown that it is a quadratic Racah algebra QR (3) and that its C asim is operator K is a second-degree polynomial in H. We have also obtained the quadratic Poisson algebra arising in the classical limit.

We have then studied the nite-dimensional inreducible representations of our algebra in a basis wherein K (or H) and R are diagonal. For such a purpose, we have used a simple procedure, proposed in [22], consisting in mapping this basis onto deform ed paraferm ionic oscillator states of order p. Am ong the results so obtained for the energy spectrum, we have selected those with which physical wavefunctions can be associated. This has illustrated once again the well-known fact that in quantum mechanics the physics is determined not only by algebraic properties of operators, but also by the boundary conditions in posed on wavefunctions. O ur analysis has provided us with an interesting new result, not obtainable in general form in the SUSYQM approach of I, nam ely the matrix elements of L in the basis wherein H and R are simultaneously diagonal.

In the last part of our paper, we have extended our two-dimensional model to three dimensions in two diment ways by considering either a sem i-in nite parallelepipedal channelor a sem i-in nite cylindrical one. Both resulting models remain integrable and exactly solvable, but the superintegrability of the two-dimensional model is lost. From a physical

²For the solution of a sim ilar problem see [33].

viewpoint, they illustrate the generation of bound states in a quantum channel when the uniform ity is broken by an abrupt term ination.

As a nalpoint, it is worth observing that the procedure used here to construct the irreducible representations of QR (3) is not the only one available. In particular, the ladder-operator method employed in [19, 20] would allow us to express the transform ation matrix elements between the bases $\binom{(k)}{n;l}$ and $\binom{(k)}{N;N_0}$ (denoted by $Z_{N_0,n;l}^{(k)}$ in I) in terms of Racah-W ilson polynomials.

References

- [1] G.Bastard, W ave M echanics Applied to Sem iconductor H eterostructures, Editions de Physique, Les U lis, 1988.
- [2] L. Serra, E. Lipparini, Europhys. Lett. 40 (1997) 667.
- [3] P.Ring, P.Schuck, The Nuclear M any Body Problem, Springer, New York, 1980.
- [4] F.Arias de Saavedra, J.Boronat, A.Polls, A.Fabrocini, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 4248.
- [5] M.Barranco, M.Pi, SM.Gatica, E.S.Hemandez, J.Navarro, Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) 8997.
- [6] A. Puente, Ll. Serra, M. Casas, Z. Phys. D 31 (1994) 283.
- [7] G.Chen, Z.Chen, Phys. Lett. A 331 (2004) 312.
- [8] S.H.Dong, M.Lozada-Cassou, Phys. Lett. A 337 (2005) 313.
- [9] C.Quesne, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 321 (2006) 1221.
- [10] O.Mustafa, S.H.Mazharim ousavi, J.Phys. A 39 (2006) 10537;
 O.Mustafa, S.H.Mazharim ousavi, Phys. Lett. A 358 (2006) 259.
- [11] G.-X. Ju, Y. Xiang, Z.-Z. Ren, The localization of s-wave and quantum e ective potential of a quasi-free particle with position-dependent mass, quant-ph/0601005.
- [12] B.Gonul, M.Kocak, J.M ath. Phys. 47 (2006) 102101.
- [13] O.Olendski, L.Mikhailovska, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 035331;
 V.Gudmundsson, C.-S.Tang, A.Manolescu, Phys. Rev. B 72 (2005) 153306.
- [14] F.Cooper, A.Khare, U.Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. 251 (1995) 267.
- [15] H.Goldstein, Classical Mechanics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1980.
- [16] P A M .D irac, The Principles of Q uantum M echanics, O x ford University P ress, O x ford, 1981.

- [17] I. Fris, V. Mandrosov, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhlir, P. W internitz, Phys. Lett. 16 (1965) 354;
 P.W internitz, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhlir, I. Fris, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 4 (1967) 444;
 A A. Makharov, Ya A. Smorodinsky, Kh. Valiev, P. W internitz, Nuovo C in ento A 52 (1967) 1061.
- [18] J.Hietarinta, Phys. Rev. C 147 (1987) 87.
- [19] Ya.I.G ranovskii, IM .Lutzenko, A S. Zhedanov, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 217 (1992) 1;
 A S. Zhedanov, Theor. M ath. Phys. 89 (1991) 1146.
- [20] Ya.I.G ranovskii, A.S. Zhedanov, IM. Lutsenko, Theor. M ath. Phys. 91 (1992) 474; Ya.I.G ranovskii, A.S. Zhedanov, IM. Lutsenko, Theor. M ath. Phys. 91 (1992) 604.
- [21] D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis, K. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. A 50 (1994) 3700.
- [22] C.Daskaloyannis, J.M ath. Phys. 42 (2001) 1100.
- [23] C.Daskaloyannis, K.Ypsilantis, J.Math.Phys. 47 (2006) 042904;
 C.Daskaloyannis, Y.Tanoudes, Classi cation of quantum superintegrable systems with quadratic integrals on two dimensional manifolds, math-ph/0607058.
- [24] P. Letoumeau, L. Vinet, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 243 (1995) 144.
- [25] M.F.Ramada, J.M. ath. Phys. 38 (1997) 4165;
 M.F.Ramada, M. Santander, J.M. ath. Phys. 40 (1999) 5026.
- [26] P. Tem pesta, A.V. Turbiner, P.W internitz, J.M ath. Phys. 42 (2001) 4248.
- [27] E.G.Kalnins, W.Miller, Jr., G.S.Pogosyan, J.M ath. Phys. 38 (1997) 5416;
 E.G.Kalnins, W.Miller, Jr., Y.M. Hakobyan, G.S.Pogosyan, J.M ath. Phys. 40 (1999) 2291.
- [28] E.G. Kalnins, JM. Kress, W. Miller, Jr., J.M ath. Phys. 46 (2005) 053509;
 E.G. Kalnins, JM. Kress, W. Miller, Jr., J.M ath. Phys. 46 (2005) 053510;
 E.G. Kalnins, JM. Kress, W. Miller, Jr., J.M ath. Phys. 47 (2006) 093501.
- [29] C.Quesne, Phys. Lett. A 193 (1994) 245.

- [30] C.Daskaloyannis, J.Phys.A 24 (1991) L789.
- [31] M .Abram ow itz, IA.Stegun, H andbook of M athem atical Functions, D over, N ew York, 1965.
- [32] I.S.G radshteyn, IM.Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, Academ ic Press, New York, 1980.
- [33] S.Flugge, Practical Quantum Mechanics I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, p. 155.