

Phase Controlled Continuously Entangled Two-Photon Laser with Double Scheme

C. H. Raymond Ooi

Department of Physics, KAIST, Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 305-701 Korea
Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, D-85748, Garching, Germany

(Dated: April 17, 2024)

We show that an absolute coherent phase of a laser can be used to manipulate the entanglement of photon pairs of two-photon laser. Our focus is on the generation of a continuous source of entangled photon pairs in the double (or Raman) scheme. We study the dependence of steady state entanglement on the phase and laser parameters. We obtain a relationship between entanglement and two-photon correlation. We derive conditions that give steady state entanglement for the Raman-EIT scheme and use it to identify region of steady state macroscopic entanglement. No entanglement is found for the double resonant Raman scheme for any laser parameters.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Entangled photon pairs is an integral asset to quantum communication technology with continuous variables [1]. A bright source of entangled photon pairs could be useful also for quantum lithography [2]. Transient entanglement of a large number of photon pairs has been shown to exist for cascade scheme [3], [4], and double Raman scheme [5]. The transient regime does not provide a continuous source of entangled photon pairs that could be as useful and practical as typical lasers in c.w. operation. One might wonder whether the entanglement still survives in the long time limit.

In this paper, we use the coherent phase of the controlling lasers to generate a large number (macroscopic) of entangled photon pairs in the steady state. This also shows the possibility of coherently controlling entanglement in the steady state. We focus on the Raman-EIT scheme (Fig. 1a) that has been shown to produce non-classically correlated photon pairs in single atom and many atom cases.

First, we discuss the physics of a two-photon emission laser using the master equation in Section II. The physical significance of each term in the master equation is elaborated and related to the quantities of interests (in Section III) such as two-photon correlation and Duan's [6] entanglement measure. In Section IV, we show the importance of laser phase for acquiring entanglement. In Section V, the steady state solutions for the photon numbers and correlation between photon pairs are given. We show that the laser phase provides a useful knob for controlling entanglement. We then use the results to derive a condition for entanglement in the double Raman scheme. By using proper values of cavity damping and laser parameters based on analysis of the condition, we obtain macroscopic number of entangled photon pairs in the steady state. We also analyze the double resonant Raman scheme but find no entanglement.




FIG. 1: a) Double Raman atom in doubly-resonant optical cavity. The atom is trapped by an optical dipole force and driven by a pump laser and a control laser. Raman-EIT (REIT) scheme ($\Omega_c \gg \Omega_p$; $\Omega_c = \Omega_p$) and double resonant Raman (DRR) scheme ($\Omega_c = \Omega_p$; $\Omega_c = \Omega_p = 0$) would be the focused for analysis. b) Four off-diagonal two-photon emission density matrix elements with their respective coefficients C_k .

II. PHYSICS OF TWO-PHOTON LASER

For simplicity, we consider single atom localized in the doubly resonant cavity. Using the usual approach [7] we obtain the master equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho} = & \mathcal{L}_{\text{loss1}} (\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger - \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1) + C_{\text{gain1}} (\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger) \\ & + C_{\text{loss2}} (\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger - \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2) + C_{\text{gain2}} (\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 - \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger) + \\ & e^{i\tau} (C_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 - C_2 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 + C_3 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 - C_4 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2) + \text{adj.}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with the effective phase τ . For double Raman scheme, $\tau = \tau_p + \tau_c$ ($\tau_s + \tau_a$). The phases $\tau = k_z z +$ of the lasers depend on both the position z of the atom and the controllable absolute phases of the lasers. So, $\tau_s = \tau_a = 0$. Since $k_p + k_c = k_s = k_a = 0$, the effective




FIG. 2: The $(\hat{u})^2 + (\hat{v})^2$ and $g^{(2)}(t)$ vary in a similar manner as a function of time with decoherence $\gamma_{bc} = 0.6\gamma_{ac}$ (thin line) and without decoherence $\gamma_{bc} = 0$ (thick line). Parameters used are $\gamma_s = \gamma_a = 0.001g_s$, $\gamma_p = 2g_s$; $\gamma_p = 40g_s$; $\gamma_c = 25g_s$; $\gamma_c = 0$. We have assumed $g_a = g_s$; with $\gamma_{ac} = \gamma_{dc} = \gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{db} = 0$.

phase becomes $\phi_t = \phi_p + \phi_c = 0$. The explicit expressions for C_{lossj} , C_{gainj} and C_k (where $j=1,2$ and $k=1,2,3,4$) are given in Appendix A.

Equation (1) already includes the cavity damping Liouvillean $L^{\text{c}} = \sum_{j=1,2} \gamma_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + \sum_{j=1,2} \gamma_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger - 2\hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j$. We find that the relation holds

$$C_1 + C_3 = C_2 + C_4. \quad (2)$$

Note that Eq. (1) generalizes the master equation for the cascade scheme [8] in which $C_3 = C_{gain2} = 0$, and $C_{lossj} = \gamma_j$.

The C_{gainj} are due to the emissions processes of the atom in the excited levels and Raman process via the laser fields which provide gain. On the other hand, the C_{lossj} are due to cavity dissipation γ_j and absorption processes of the atom in the ground levels which create loss. The C_k coefficients correspond to squeezing. Each term gives the coherence between n_j and n_{j-1} such that the difference between the total photon number in the bra and in the ket is always 2. Figure 1b illustrates in two-dimensional photon number space the essence of each diagonal term in Eq. (1).

The consequence of this relation is that for large number of photons $n_j \gg 1$, the coherences due to $\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1$; $\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2$; $\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger$; $\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2$ and their adjoint are approximately equal. Hence, the contribution of the off-diagonal terms vanish and the master equation reduces to the rate equation. Since the off-diagonal terms give rise to entanglement (as we show below), we can understand that there will be no entanglement for very large n_j .

III. RELATION BETWEEN ENTANGLEMENT AND TWO-PHOTON CORRELATION

Two-photon correlation for Raman emission doublet (RED) (large detuning and weak pump) version of the double Raman scheme for single atom [9] and extended medium [10],[11] show nonclassical properties such as antibunching and violation of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

It is useful to show how the nonclassical correlation relates to entanglement. The normalized two-photon correlation at zero time delay is

$$g^{(2)}(t) = \frac{\langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle}{\langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle} + 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = n_1 n_2 (g^{(2)}(t) - 1). \quad (4)$$

Thus, the $g^{(2)}(t)$ does not provide phase information of the correlation $\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger$. We introduce the phase via

$$\hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger = \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \rangle e^{i\phi_{21}}. \quad (5)$$

Hence the Duan's parameter $D(t) = (\hat{u})^2 + (\hat{v})^2$ can be rewritten as

$$D(t) = 2[1 + n_1 + n_2 + 2 \frac{n_1 n_2 (g^{(2)}(t) - 1) \cos \phi_{21}}{\langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \rangle \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle}]. \quad (6)$$

The terms in the second line would be $\langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle \langle \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \rangle$ for an input coherent state. If $g^{(2)}(t) = 1$ we can have entanglement that is independent of the phase ϕ_{21}

$$D(t) = 2[1 + (n_1 + n_2)]. \quad (7)$$

We shall consider the vacuum state, in which they vanish. Clearly, the presence of inseparability or entanglement is entirely determined by the phase ϕ_{21} in Eq. (6). We now find the knob to control entanglement, i.e. $\cos \phi_{21}$ must be negative or $-1 < \cos \phi_{21} < 1$.

The condition for inseparability or entanglement $0 < (\hat{u})^2 + (\hat{v})^2 < 2$ can be re-expressed in terms of the phase and the two-photon correlation

$$\frac{n_1 + n_2 + 1}{2 n_1 n_2 (g^{(2)} - 1)} < \cos \phi_{21} < \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2 n_1 n_2 (g^{(2)} - 1)} \quad (8)$$

where the lower limit corresponds to maximum entanglement. The midpoint value $\cos \phi_{21} = \frac{n_1 + n_2 + 1}{2 n_1 n_2 (g^{(2)} - 1)}$ gives $D(t) = 1$. When $n_1 = n_2$, we have $\frac{1 + 1 + 2n}{g^{(2)} - 1} < \cos \phi_{21} < \frac{1}{g^{(2)} - 1}$. Note that for photon antibunching $g^{(2)} < 1$ the entanglement window for ϕ_{21} can be quite large, provided n_1, n_2 are small too.

In the limit of large two-photon correlation (photon bunching) $g^{(2)} \gg 1$ and large photon numbers $n_1, n_2 \gg 1$ the range for entanglement becomes quite restrictive. Here, $\cos \phi_{21} \approx \frac{1}{g^{(2)} - 1}$ becomes very small in magnitude (but negative) and from Eq. (6), $D(t) \approx 2$ the entanglement is small. This explains the results in Fig. 2 where large transient correlation is accompanied by small entanglement.

In the long time limit Fig. 2 shows that the correlation vanishes (corresponds to antibunching) and there

is entanglement, $D \ll 2$. Thus, both the antibunching and entanglement are compatible quantum mechanical properties since they manifest in the same way. Duan's entanglement increases with time while the correlation decreases with time, thus both do not vary in the same way. This clearly shows that correlation should be disced from entanglement. However, as expected, the decoherence γ_{bc} tends to reduce the degree of entanglement and the magnitude of correlation.

IV. LASER PHASE FOR ENTANGLEMENT

Here, we show by using simple example from the resonant cascade work of Han et. al. [3] that the nonzero phase of the paired correlation $\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle$ is necessary for entanglement. Let us analyze the transient equation (written in their notations with zero laser phase)

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle}{dt} = \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle (\gamma_{22} - \gamma_{11} + \gamma_2 + \gamma_1) + \gamma_{21} \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle + \gamma_{12} \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The coefficients for resonant case are such that: γ_{11}, γ_{22} are real while $\gamma_{12} = i\gamma_{12}$ and $\gamma_{21} = i\gamma_{21}$ are purely imaginary. Clearly we have imaginary value for

$$\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle(t) = i \int_0^t e^{K(t-t')} f_{12} \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle(t') dt' +$$

$$\gamma_{21} \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle(t) + \gamma_{12} \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle(t) \quad (10)$$

where $K = \gamma_{22} - \gamma_{11} + \gamma_2 + \gamma_1$ and X is real whose expression is not important for the present discussion.

For initial condition $\langle \hat{a}_j \rangle(0) = 0$, the Duan's criteria

$$D = 2[1 + \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle] \quad (11)$$

clearly shows $D = 2 + 2f\langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle + iX$ $iX \gg 2$, there is no entanglement.

For finite phase associated to the pump laser, the correlation $\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle$ becomes $\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle e^{i\phi}$ but the photon numbers are not affected. The parameter becomes

$$D = 2(1 + \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle + \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle + 2X \sin \phi) \quad (12)$$

which gives maximum entanglement when $\phi = \pi/2$ or $3\pi/2$, and no entanglement when $\phi = 0$.

V. STEADY STATE ENTANGLEMENT

The master equation (1) is linear and do not include saturation. One might wonder whether steady state solutions. We find that there are steady state solutions when

the photon numbers n_j do not increase indefinitely. Parameters that give non steady state solutions manifest as negative value of D and should be disregarded. The study of entanglement via nonlinear theory will be published elsewhere.

In the case of initial vacuum, only $\frac{dn_1}{dt}, \frac{dn_2}{dt}, \frac{d\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle}{dt}, \frac{d\langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle}{dt}$ are sufficient to compute Duan's entanglement parameter, where $n_j = \langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \rangle$, $j = 1, 2$. From the master equation (1), we obtain the coupled equations

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = n_1 K_1 + e^{i\phi} (C_1 - C_2) \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle + e^{i\phi} (C_1 - C_2) \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle + C_{\text{gain}1} + C_{\text{gain}1}, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{dn_2}{dt} = n_2 K_2 + e^{i\phi} (C_3 - C_2) \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle + e^{i\phi} (C_3 - C_2) \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle + C_{\text{gain}2} + C_{\text{gain}2}, \quad (14)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - K_{12} \right) \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = e^{i\phi} [n_1 (C_3 - C_2) + n_2 (C_1 - C_2) - C_2] \quad (15)$$

where the gain coefficients/loss are

$$K_j = C_{\text{gain}j} + C_{\text{gain}j} - (C_{\text{loss}j} + C_{\text{loss}j}) \quad (16)$$

$$K_{12} = C_{\text{gain}2} + C_{\text{gain}1} - (C_{\text{loss}2} + C_{\text{loss}1}). \quad (17)$$

The steady state solution for the correlation is $\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = E e^{i\phi}$ where

$$E = \frac{[C_{32}(K_2 K_{12} + C_{12} C_{32}) - C_{12} C_{32}](C_{\text{gain}1} + C_{\text{gain}1}) + C_{12}(K_1 K_{12} + C_{12} C_{32}) - C_{12} C_{32}(C_{\text{gain}2} + C_{\text{gain}2}) + C_2(K_1 C_{12} C_{32} + K_2 C_{32} C_{12}) - C_2 K_1 K_{12}}{C_2 C_{32} C_{12} (K_1 + K_2) + \frac{1}{M}} \quad (18)$$

where

$$M = (K_1 K_{12} + K_2 K_{12})(C_{12} C_{32}) + c.c. - (C_{12} C_{32} - C_{12} C_{32})^2 - K_1 K_2 K_{12} K_{12}. \quad (19)$$

The steady state solutions for the photon numbers are

$$n_1 = (C_{\text{gain}1} + C_{\text{gain}1}) \frac{K_2 K_{12} K_{12} - (C_{12} C_{32} K_{12} + c.c.)}{M} + (C_{\text{gain}2} + C_{\text{gain}2}) C_{12} C_{12} \frac{K_{12} + K_{12}}{M} + C_2 C_{12} \frac{K_2 K_{12} + (C_{12} C_{32} - c.c.)}{M} + C_2 C_{12} \frac{K_2 K_{12} + (C_{12} C_{32} - c.c.)}{M}, \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n_2 = & (C_{\text{gain}2} + C_{\text{gain}1}) \frac{K_1 K_{12} K_{12} (C_{32} C_{12} K_{12} + c.c.)}{M} \\
& + (C_{\text{gain}1} + C_{\text{gain}2}) C_{32} C_{32} \frac{K_{12} + K_{12}}{M} \\
& + C_2 C_{32} \frac{K_1 K_{12} + (C_{12} C_{32} c.c.)}{M} \\
& + C_2 C_{32} \frac{K_1 K_{12} + (C_{12} C_{32} c.c.)}{M}. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (11), the necessary condition for entanglement is $E e^i + E e^{-i} < (n_1 + n_2)$. If E is real positive there would be no entanglement in the region $\cos \theta > 0$. Entanglement is still possible even if $\theta = 0$ provided $\text{real} E < 0$. Thus, the phase θ is not necessary for entanglement, but it provides an extra knob for controlling entanglement.

Let us search for entanglement conditions for the limiting cases of Ram an-E IT (RE IT) scheme which produces nonclassically correlated photon pairs, and the double resonant Ram an (DRR) scheme.

A. Ram an-E IT regime

For this scheme $c_i c_j (=) \gg p_i x$ ($x = ab; ac; db; dc; bc$ indices for decoherence rates) and $\theta = 0$. Thus, we have $p_{ba} = \frac{i c}{ab} (p_{bb} - p_{aa}) \neq 0$, $p_{cd} = -\frac{p}{c} = p_{dc}$. It follows from Appendix A that the only finite coefficients in the master equation are

$$C_{\text{loss}1} = s; C_{\text{loss}2} = a; C_2 = c; C_4 = i, \quad (22)$$

$$K_1 = 2s; K_2 = 2a; K_{12} = (s + a) \quad (23)$$

where

$$= g_a g_s \frac{p c}{(ac + bc + \frac{c^2}{2})}. \quad (24)$$

Here, we also have which are used to write the steady state solutions

$$n_1 = \frac{2a}{(s + a)[a s^{-2}]}, \quad (25)$$

$$n_2 = \frac{2s}{(s + a)[a s^{-2}]}, \quad (26)$$

$$\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = e^{i\theta} \frac{a s}{(s + a)[a s^{-2}]}. \quad (27)$$

The entanglement criteria can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\frac{a s}{(s + a)} 2 \sin \theta}{a s} < 0. \quad (28)$$

For negative detuning $\theta < 0$, there are two possibilities: a) if $a s < s^2$ entanglement occurs in the region $\frac{a s}{(s + a)} 2 \sin \theta > 0$, b) if $a s > s^2$ we have entanglement in $\frac{a s}{(s + a)} 2 \sin \theta < 0$. Similarly, for positive detuning $\theta > 0$: a) if $a s < s^2$ then we need

$\frac{a s}{(s + a)} 2 \sin \theta > 0$ and b) if $a s > s^2$ then we need $\frac{a s}{(s + a)} 2 \sin \theta < 0$.

To obtain large entanglement, we tune the cavity damping such that $j_a s - C_2 C_2$ is small and $\sin \theta \approx 1$. Note that the sign of the detuning θ in Eq. (24) is important for entanglement generation. We can arrange the signs of these quantities such that Eq. (28) is satisfied.

Figure 3 is plotted using $a = s = 1.01 (C_2 C_2)$ and $\theta = 40^\circ$ for $\theta = 90^\circ$. We find that the region θ gives a large entanglement, but the photon numbers are minimum. This prevents having a steady state macroscopic entanglement. We verify that if we change to a negative detuning $\theta = 40^\circ$ there is no entanglement.

The region of maximum entanglement occurs around $\theta = 90^\circ$. Entanglement can occur at a wide range of large θ . However, the photon numbers n_j decrease with the increase of θ .

Figure 4 shows that it is possible to obtain a continuous bright source of entangled photons. We realize that the number of nonclassical photon pairs in RE IT scheme is limited by the weak pump field. Thus, by increasing the pump field we can generate more Stokes photons (Fig. 4a). At the same time, the detuning is increased as well to ensure that the scheme remain in the non-classical Ram an-E IT regime. By applying the derived condition Eq. (28) we further obtained a larger number of entangled photon pairs (Fig. 4b).

B. Resonant Case

It seems that steady state entanglement in the double resonant Ram an case ($c_i c_j (=) p_i x$ ($x = p; c = 0$)) is hardly possible. In the following, we investigate this analytically. Here, we find $C_{ac} p_{ac}; C_{ac} p_{bd}; C_{bd} p_{ac}; C_{bd} p_{bd}$ are real and positive while $C_{ac} p_{ad}; C_{ac} p_{bc}; C_{bd} p_{ad}; C_{bd} p_{bc}$ are purely imaginary (positive or negative). Since $p_{cd} = i p_{dc}; p_{ba} = i p_{ab}$ all $C_1; C_2; C_3; C_4; C_{\text{loss}j}$ and $C_{\text{gain}j}$ are real and could be negative. So, $K_j = 2C_{\text{gain}j} - 2C_{\text{loss}j}$ and $K_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(K_1 + K_2)$.

For symmetric system, $p_i c_j$ we find $p_{ab} = p_{ba} = p_{dc} = p_{cd}, p_{cc} = p_{bb}$ and $p_{aa} = p_{dd}$ [12]. Then, $C_{ac} p_{ac} = C_{bd} p_{bd}$ and $C_{ac} p_{bd} = C_{bd} p_{ac}$. If we take $T_{ac} = T_{dc} = T_{ab} = T_{db} =$ (spontaneous decay rate) with $T_{bc} = T_{bc}$ and $T_{ad} = 2$ we further have $C_{bd} p_{ad} = C_{ac} p_{ad}; C_{bd} p_{bc} = C_{ac} p_{bc}$.

The steady state solutions for DRR scheme can be written as

$$n_1 = n_2 = \frac{C_{\text{gain}} (C_{\text{gain}} - C_{\text{loss}}) + \frac{1}{2} C_2 C_{12}}{C_{12}^2 (C_{\text{gain}} - C_{\text{loss}})^2}, \quad (29)$$

$$\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = e^{i\theta} \frac{C_1 C_{\text{gain}} - \frac{1}{2} C_2 (C_{\text{gain}} + C_{\text{loss}})}{C_{12}^2 (C_{\text{gain}} - C_{\text{loss}})^2} \quad (30)$$

and the entanglement condition with initial vacuum as

$$n_1 + n_2 < 2 \cos \theta \quad (31)$$




FIG. 3: Entanglement for Ram an-EIT scheme in a) wide view, b) magnified view of focused region (square box) in a), and c) mean photon numbers n_1 (solid line) and n_2 (dots), where $p = p_{ac}$; $p = 40 p_{ac}$; $c = 0$. Cavity damping values $\gamma_a = \gamma_s = \sqrt{1.01} (C_2 C_2)$ ensures a minimum value of the denominator of the condition in Eq. (28). We have assumed $g_a = g_s$; $b_c = 0$ with $\gamma_{ac} = \gamma_{dc} = \gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{db} = \gamma$.




FIG. 4: Macroscopic entanglement for a) larger $p = 10 p_{ac}$; $p = 400 p_{ac}$ cavity damping and other parameters the same as Fig. 3, b) $p = 10 p_{ac}$; $p = 400 p_{ac}$ but cavity damping $\gamma_a = \gamma_s = \sqrt{1.001} (C_2 C_2)$. This gives larger mean photon numbers and macroscopic entangled photon pairs.

where Δ is the term in the bracket (:::).

In order to determine whether Eq. (31) can be met we consider a simpler case where $b_c = 0$. From Appendix B, we have $C_{loss} = C_{gain}$ and $C_{12} = \frac{g^2}{4} (p_{cc} - p_{aa})$, $C_1 = \frac{g^2}{4} p_{aa}$, $C_2 = \frac{g^2}{4} (2p_{aa} - p_{cc})$, $C_{loss} = (\frac{g^2}{2} p_{bb} + \dots)$, $C_{gain} = \frac{g^2}{2} p_{cc}$. These results are used to rewrite Eqs. (29) and (30) as

$$n_j = \frac{g^2}{2} \frac{p_{cc} + \frac{g^2}{4} (2p_{aa} - p_{cc}) (p_{cc} - p_{aa})}{[\frac{g^2}{4} (p_{cc} - p_{aa})]^2} \quad (32)$$

$$\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = e^{i\tau} \frac{g^2}{2} \frac{(2p_{aa} - p_{cc}) (\frac{g^2}{2} 2p_{cc} + \dots)}{[\frac{g^2}{4} (p_{cc} - p_{aa})]^2} \frac{g^2 p_{aa} p_{cc}}{2} \quad (33)$$

For strong eld, $p_{cc} \gg p_{aa} = 0.25$. The steady solutions become $n_1 = n_2 = \frac{g^2}{8}$, $\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = \frac{g^2}{8} e^{i\tau}$ and $D = 2(1 + \frac{g^2}{2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \tau)$, i.e. no entanglement.

For weak eld, $p_{cc} \ll 0.5$; $p_{aa} \gg 0$. The steady solutions are $n_1 = n_2 = \frac{g^2}{4} \frac{1}{\frac{g^2}{2}}$, $\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle = \frac{g^2}{4} \frac{e^{i\tau}}{\frac{g^2}{2}}$ with $\tau > \frac{g^2}{2}$ and hence $D = 2(1 + \frac{2g^2}{g^2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \tau)$, again no entanglement. In the weak eld regime, the cavity damping has to be sufficiently large to ensure the existence of steady state solutions, i.e. $\tau > g^2 = 2$. If the cavity damping is small $\tau < g^2 = 2$, regions with negative values of D and n_j would appear which reflect the non-steady state regime.

Thus, we have shown that there is no steady state entanglement for DRR scheme in both weak and strong elds regimes. This is compatible with its classical two-photon correlation $G^{(2)}$ [13]. However, the REIT photon pairs, which are nonclassically correlated, are also entangled in the steady state.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have shown that two-photon laser can produce a continuous source of entangled photon pairs based on the steady state solutions and an entanglement criteria. We have obtained a relationship between entanglement and two-photon correlation, and find that both do not vary with time in the same manner. We have derived a condition for steady state entanglement in the Ram an-EIT (REIT) schemes and showed that steady state macroscopic entanglement is possible. We find that a large steady state entanglement occurs at the expense of smaller photon numbers. We showed that the double resonant Ram an (DRR) does not generate steady state entangled photon pairs for any laser parameters.

APPENDIX A : COEFFICIENTS FOR DOUBLE RAMAN SCHEME

The coefficients in Eq. 1 for double Raman scheme are

$$C_{\text{loss1}} = \mathcal{H}_s \mathcal{J}^2 (C_{\text{bd;ad}} p_{\text{ab}} + C_{\text{bd;bd}} p_{\text{bb}}) + s; \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

$$C_{\text{gain1}} = \mathcal{H}_s \mathcal{J}^2 f C_{\text{bd;bd}} p_{\text{dd}} + C_{\text{bd;bc}} p_{\text{dc}} g; \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

$$C_{\text{loss2}} = \mathcal{H}_a \mathcal{J}^2 (C_{\text{ac;ac}} p_{\text{cc}} + C_{\text{ac;ad}} p_{\text{cd}}) + a; \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

$$C_{\text{gain2}} = \mathcal{H}_a \mathcal{J}^2 f C_{\text{ac;ac}} p_{\text{aa}} + C_{\text{ac;bc}} p_{\text{ba}} g; \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

$$J_1 = C_{\text{bd;ac}} p_{\text{cc}} + C_{\text{ac;bd}} p_{\text{dd}} + (C_{\text{bd;ad}} + C_{\text{ac;bc}}) p_{\text{cd}} \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

$$J_2 = C_{\text{bd;ac}} p_{\text{aa}} + C_{\text{ac;bd}} p_{\text{dd}} + C_{\text{bd;bc}} p_{\text{ba}} + C_{\text{ac;bc}} p_{\text{cd}} \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

$$J_3 = C_{\text{bd;ac}} p_{\text{aa}} + C_{\text{ac;bd}} p_{\text{bb}} + (C_{\text{bd;bc}} + C_{\text{ac;ad}}) p_{\text{ba}} \quad (\text{A } 7)$$

$$J_4 = C_{\text{bd;ac}} p_{\text{cc}} + C_{\text{ac;bd}} p_{\text{bb}} + C_{\text{bd;ad}} p_{\text{cd}} + C_{\text{ac;ad}} p_{\text{ba}} \quad (\text{A } 8)$$

where $J_k = \frac{C_k}{g_a g_s}$, g_a, g_s are atom-field coupling strengths, $C_{a;b;c;d}$ are complex coefficients that depend on decoherence rates, laser detunings, and Rabi frequencies. The $p_{a;b;c;d}$ are steady state populations and coherences.

$$C_{\text{ac;ac}} = \frac{T_{\text{ad}} T_{\text{bc}} T_{\text{db}} + I_p T_{\text{ad}} + I_c T_{\text{bc}}}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 9)$$

$$C_{\text{ac;ad}} = i p \frac{T_{\text{bc}} T_{\text{db}} + I_p I_c}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 10)$$

$$C_{\text{ac;bc}} = i c \frac{T_{\text{ad}} T_{\text{db}} + I_p I_c}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 11)$$

$$C_{\text{ac;bd}} = c p \frac{T_{\text{bc}} + T_{\text{ad}}}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 12)$$

$$C_{\text{bd;ac}} = p c \frac{T_{\text{bc}} + T_{\text{ad}}}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 13)$$

$$C_{\text{bd;ad}} = i c \frac{T_{\text{ac}} T_{\text{bc}} + I_p I_c}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 14)$$

$$C_{\text{bd;bc}} = i p \frac{T_{\text{ac}} T_{\text{ad}} + I_p I_c}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 15)$$

$$C_{\text{bd;bd}} = \frac{T_{\text{ac}} T_{\text{ad}} T_{\text{bc}} + I_p T_{\text{bc}} + I_c T_{\text{ad}}}{Z} \quad (\text{A } 16)$$

$$Z = T_{\text{ac}} T_{\text{ad}} T_{\text{bc}} T_{\text{db}} + I_p T_{\text{ac}} T_{\text{ad}} + I_p T_{\text{bc}} T_{\text{db}} + I_c T_{\text{ac}} T_{\text{bc}} + I_c T_{\text{ad}} T_{\text{db}} + (I_p - I_c)^2 \quad (\text{A } 17)$$

where $I_p = \frac{2}{p}$ and $I_c = \frac{2}{c}$.

APPENDIX B : COEFFICIENTS FOR RRD SCHEME

From Appendix A, we obtain the coefficients for RRD scheme:

$$C_1 = g_a g_s \frac{2}{Z} 2 f T_{\text{bc}} p_{\text{cc}} + T_{\text{ad}} p_{\text{aa}} g \quad (\text{B } 1)$$

$$C_2 = g_a g_s \frac{2}{Z} 2 f T_{\text{bc}} p_{\text{aa}} + T_{\text{ad}} (2 p_{\text{aa}} - p_{\text{cc}}) g \quad (\text{B } 2)$$

$$C_{12} = C_1 - C_2 = C_3 - C_2 = g_a g_s \frac{2}{Z} \frac{T_{\text{bc}} + T_{\text{ad}}}{2} 2 (p_{\text{cc}} - p_{\text{aa}}) \quad (\text{B } 3)$$

$$Z = f T_{\text{ad}} T_{\text{bc}} + 2 I (T_{\text{ad}} + T_{\text{bc}}) g. \quad (\text{B } 4)$$

Taking $s = s = w$ we also have $C_{\text{loss1}} = C_{\text{loss2}}, C_{\text{gain1}} = C_{\text{gain2}}$ and $K_2 = K_1 = K_{12} = 2(C_{\text{gain}} - C_{\text{loss}})$, so

$$C_{\text{loss}} = \mathcal{H}_s \mathcal{J}^2 \left(\frac{I T_{\text{bc}}}{Z} p_{\text{aa}} + T_{\text{ad}} \frac{T_{\text{bc}} + I}{Z} p_{\text{bb}} \right) + ; \quad (\text{B } 5)$$

$$C_{\text{gain}} = \mathcal{H}_s \mathcal{J}^2 f T_{\text{bc}} \frac{T_{\text{ad}} + I}{Z} p_{\text{dd}} + \frac{I T_{\text{ad}}}{Z} p_{\text{cc}} g; \quad (\text{B } 6)$$

- [1] S. L. Braunstein and P. van Loock, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 513 (2005).
- [2] A. G. N. Boto et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2733 (2000).
- [3] H. Xiong, M. O. Scully, and M. S. Zubairy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 023601 (2005).
- [4] H.-T. Tan, S.-Y. Zhu, and M. S. Zubairy, Phys. Rev. A 72, 022305 (2005); L. Zhou, H. Xiong, and M. S. Zubairy, Phys. Rev. A 74, 022321 (2006).
- [5] M. K. Iner et. al. (to be published). I thank Prof. M. Suhail Zubairy for making the draft of this paper available while this work was nearly completed.
- [6] L.-M. Duan, G. Giedke, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2722 (2000).
- [7] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, Quantum optics (Cambridge University Press, 1997).
- [8] N. A. Ansari, J. Gea-Banacloche, and M. S. Zubairy, Phys. Rev. A 41, 5179 (1990); C. A. Blockley and D.

- F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A 43, 5049 (1991).
- [9] M. O. Scully and C. H. Raymond Ooi, Journal of Optics B: Quantum Semiclassical Optics 6, S816 (2004).
- [10] C. H. Raymond Ooi et. al, Phys. Rev. A 75, 013820 (2007).
- [11] V. Balic, D. A. Braje, P. Kolchin, G. Y. Yin, and S. E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 183601 (2005).
- [12] When the fields are weak, the populations are distributed only in the lower levels, so $p_{\text{cc}}; p_{\text{bb}} = 0.5$ and $p_{\text{aa}}; p_{\text{dd}} = 0$. When the fields are very large, all levels are equally populated; $p_{\text{aa}} = p_{\text{bb}} = p_{\text{cc}} = p_{\text{dd}} = 0.25$. So, the ranges $0.25 \leq p_{\text{cc}}; p_{\text{bb}} \leq 0.5$ and $0 \leq p_{\text{aa}}; p_{\text{dd}} \leq 0.25$ apply for the double resonant Raman scheme (DRR).
- [13] Results of two-photon correlation for double resonant Raman will be published as a sequel to ref. [10].