Strong Low Frequency Quantum Correlations From a Four-W ave M ixing Ampli er

C.F.McCormick, A.M.Marino, V.Boyer, and P.D.Lett

A tom ic Physics Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg MD 20899 USA

(D ated: A pril 1, 2022)

We show that a simple scheme based on nondegenerate four-wave mixing in a hot atom ic vapor behaves like a near-perfect phase-insensitive optical amplier, which can generate bright twin beams with a measured quantum noise reduction in the intensity di erence of more than 8 dB, close to the best optical parametric ampliers and oscillators. The absence of a cavity makes the system immune to external perturbations, and the strong quantum noise reduction is observed over a large frequency range.

PACS num bers: 42.50 G y, 42.50 D v

Two-mode squeezed beams have become a valuable source of entanglement for quantum communications and quantum information processing [1]. These applications bring specin requirements on the squeezed light sources. For instance, for squeeze light to be used as a quantum information carrier interacting with material system, as in an atom ic quantum memory, the light eld must be resonant with an atom ic transition and spectrally narrow to ensure an elight coupling between light and matter. In recent years, attention has also been brought to the problem of the manipulation of cold atom ic samples with non-classical elds in order to produce non-classical matter waves [2, 3, 4]. In this case, the slow atom ic dynam ics also requires squeezing at low frequencies.

The standard technique for generating nonclassical light elds is by param etric down-conversion in a crystal, with an optical param etric oscillator or an optical param etric am pli er , 6]. W hile very large am ounts of quantum noise reduction have been achieved in this way [7, 8], controlling the frequency and the linew idth of the light remains a challenge. Only recently have sources based on periodically-poled nonlinear crystals been developed at 795 nm to couple to the Rb D1 atom ic line [9, 10]. On the other hand, stimulated four-wavem ixing (4W M) naturally generates narrow-band light close to an atom ic resonance, but its developm ent as an e cient source of squeezed light has been hindered by fundam ental lim itations such as spontaneous emission. At the end of the 1990s, nondegenerate 4W M in a double-lambda scheme was identi ed as a possible workaround for these lim itations, as described in Ref. [11] and references therein. It was not until recently that such a scheme was in plemented in continuousmode in an e cientway in both the low [12, 13, 14] and the high [15, 16] intensity regimes, where it was shown to generate tw in beam swhere quantum correlations are not masked by competing e ects.

The double-lambda scheme gives rise to complex atom ic dynamics and propagation properties, such as slow-light e ects [7]. In this Letter, we show that in spite of this complexity, the quantum properties of the scheme can be accurately described as the combination of a perfect ampli er and a partial absorber. This model allow s us to optim ize the quantum noise reduction in the intensity di erence of the bright tw in beam s and to isolate the lim iting factors of this reduction. It also helps to identify regions of the parameter space where the system behaves like a perfect phase-insensitive amplier, opening the way to the generation of strong continuousvariable entanglement. Finally, we demonstrate the intrinsic robustness of our scheme by measuring large levels of squeezing in the audio range, at frequencies where technical noise usually represents a serious obstacle to the generation and the observation of quantum e ects.

The double-lambda scheme (Fig. 1a) is a 4W M process which, via the interaction with 4 atom ic levels, m ixes 2 strong pump elds with a weak probe eld in order to generate a fourth eld called the conjugate. The probe and conjugate elds (the twin beams) are crosscoupled and are jointly ampli ed, which leads to intensity correlations stronger than the standard quantum lim it (SQL). These correlations are the manifestation of two-m ode quadrature squeezing between opposite vacuum sidebands of the twin beam s.



FIG.1: (color online). Experim ental details. (a) Four-level double-lambda scheme in 85 Rb, P = pump, C = conjugate, Pr = probe. The width of the excited state represents the D oppler broadened pro le. (b) Experim ental setup, PBS = polarizing beam splitter, SA = spectrum analyzer.

As in the experiments presented in [16], we use a cw T iSapphire ring laser, to generate a strong (400 mW) pump beam near the D1 line of Rb (795 nm). From this we derive, using an acousto-optic modulator, a weak (100 W) probe beam tuned 3 GHz to the red of the pump. This results in very good relative phase stability of the probe with respect to the pump. The pump and probe beams are cross-linearly polarized, combined in a G lan-Taylor polarizer, and directed (at an angle of 0.3 degrees to each other) into a 12.5 mm vapor cell lled with isotopically pure 85 Rb (see Fig. 1). The cell, with no m agnetic shielding, is heated to 110 C. The windows of the cell are anti-re ection coated on both faces, resulting in a transm ission for the probe beam of 98% per window. The pump and probe are collimated with waists at the cell position of 650 m and 350 m (1/e² radius) respectively.

A fter the cell we separate the pum p and probe beam s using a second polarizer, with 10⁵:1 extinction ratio for the pump. W ith the pump at $!_0$, tuned to a bnephoton detuning' of 800 MHz to the blue of the ⁸⁵Rb $5S_{1=2}F = 2$! $5P_{1=2}$, D1 transition, and the probe at ! , detuned 3040 M H z to the red of the pump ('twophoton detuning' of 4 M H z), we measure an intensity gain on the probe of 9. This gain is accompanied by the generation of the conjugate beam at !+, detuned 3040 MHz to the blue of the pump, which has the same polarization as the probe, and propagates at the pum p-probe angle on the other side of the pump so that it ful lls the phase-m atching condition. A fter the second polarizer we direct the probe and conjugate beam s into the two ports of a balanced, ampli ed photodetector. The output of this photodetector is fed into a radio frequency spectrum analyzer with a resolution bandwidth (RBW) of 30 kHz and a video bandwidth (VBW) of 300 Hz. In addition, we introduce a delay line into the conjugate beam path to com pensate for the di erential slow -light delay discussed in Ref. [17]. This results in a fraction of a dB improvem ent in the am ount of squeezing observed and increases the squeezing bandwidth up to 20 M Hz.



FIG.2: (color online). Intensity-di erence noise versus total optical power at 1 M Hz. Circles: SQL; red squares: 4W M. The ratio of the two slopes is 8:8 dB.

W e m easure 8:0 dB of intensity-di erence squeezing at an analysis frequency of 1 M H z w ithout com pensating for any system noise. This noise has contributions from the electronic noise of the detection system and the pum p light that scatters from the atom ic m edium. In order to determ ine the e ect of the system noise on the measured squeezing we vary the input probe power and we plot in Fig. 2 the intensity-di erence noise of the probe and conjugate beam s versus the total (probe plus conjugate) power incident on the detector, as well as the standard quantum limit (SQL), determined by measuring shotnoise-lim ited balanced beams of the same total power. The two curves t to straight lines, with a ratio of slopes equal to 0.131 =8:8 dB. The SQL curve has a zerointercept given by 82:9 dBm, while the zero-intercept of the probe-conjugate curve is higher, 79**:**6 dBm (due to the pump scattering). The optical path transm ission and photodiode e ciencies are (95.5 2)% and (94.5 2)%, respectively, resulting in a total detection e ciency of = (90 3)%; all uncertainties are estim ated 1 standard deviation. The squeezing value at the end of the atom ic medium, corrected for losses, is better than

11 dB. We turn to a simple model of distributed gain and loss in the medium [18, 19] to isolate the few physical concepts necessary to describe the 4W M process and to quantitatively explain the measured squeezing. Twomode squeezing is produced in an ideal medium of gain G, with negligible absorption, where the photon annihilation operators \hat{a} and \hat{b} for the probe and the conjugate

elds transform according to

$$a ! a^{p} \overline{G} b^{p} \overline{G} 1$$
(1)
$$b^{p} ! b^{p} \overline{G} a \overline{G} 1:$$
(2)

W hen the probe port a is seeded with a coherent state j i and the conjugate port b is fed with the vacuum, the output intensity-di erence noise is equal to the shot noise of j i, which gives a quantum noise reduction of $1=(2G \ 1)$ with respect to the output SQL.

In our experim ent the probe, unlike the conjugate, is tuned close enough to an atom ic resonance to experience som e absorption [see Fig. 1(a)]. The coherent coupling between probe and pump leads to a certain degree of electrom agnetically-induced transparency (EII) for the probe [11]. Im perfections such as stray m agnetic elds, the residual Doppler e ect, atom ic collisions and short atom ic transit time through the beam s, as well as the depumping due t the conjugate-pump lambda system limit this e ect. In addition, the atom ic susceptibility results in an o set of the gain maximum from the EIT absorption minimum [17]. Localized loss in the system can be modeled by a beam splitter of transmission T picking o a fraction of the probe and injecting the vacuum on the second input port (photon annihilation operator c), according to the transform ation:

$$a ! a T + c 1 T \qquad (3)$$

$$\hat{b}^{y}$$
 ! \hat{b}^{y} : (4)

Because of the vacuum noise injected into port c, such a transform ation applied to the squeezed probe and con-

jugate is expected to degrade the squeezing. In the actual m edium, gain and loss are distributed and can be m odeled by a succession of N 1 interleaved stages of elementary gain g and N stages of elementary transm ission t. The intrinsic gain G (probe transm ission T) of the whole stack is obtained by m aking t = 1 (g = 1), respectively. Finally, the total detection e ciency is also m odeled by a beam splitter of transm ission applied to both the probe and conjugate elds. In the calculations below we set N = 200.

The experimentally accessible parameters are the eective (m easured) probe gain of the medium G_e , de ned as the probe power out of the cell divided by the probe power in (corrected for window losses), and the ratio r of conjugate-to-probe output powers. Both parameters depend on the intrinsic gain the loss of the probe in the medium. From G $_{\rm e}$ and r, we use the model to num erically determ ine G and T without any free param eters. Experim entally, the param eter space is probed by scanning the one-photon detuning from 0.4 to 1.4 GHz. This e ectively changes both the gain and the transm ission of the probe in a coupled manner. Figure 3 shows the theoretical intensity-di erence squeezing obtained from the m odel described above as well as the measured squeezing (corrected for the system noise) as a function of the probe transm ission T, and the gain G. The main result is that, as shown in Fig. 3, the experimental data points agree very well with the simple gain/loss model.

The theoretical surface of Fig. 3 shows that for a given probe transmission there is an optimum gain. At lower gain the intensity-di erence squeezing is limited by the power in balance between the probe and the conjugate, which originates from the input probe power. At larger gain the squeezing becomes limited by the amplication of the noise introduced by the loss on the probe. In th same way, for a given gain there is an optimum transm ission value, sm aller than 1, corresponding to this trade-o between power balancing and absorption-induced quantum noise. In order to increase the squeezing, it would be necessary to both reduce the probe absorption and increase the gain. Experimentally, the absorption and the gain both depend on the cell tem perature (both increase with the atom ic density) and the pump detuning, and they are not independently controllable. The best squeezing of 8:8 dB is obtained over the transmission range of 0.85{0.95, and gains of 9{15. This best value can be achieved over a range of several degrees in tem perature.

An interesting feature revealed by the data in Fig.3 is that at large one-photon detunings, the probe transm ission becomes unity for an intensity-di erence squeezing of about 7 dB. It is therefore possible to operate the system as an ideal ampli er with a gain up to about 6, producing, in principle, a pure entangled state which could be a valuable resource for som e quantum inform ation protocols.



FIG. 3: (color online). Simulated and measured intensitydi erence squeezing as a function of the probe transmission ${\tt T}$ and medium gain ${\tt G}$. The theory takes into account the detection e ciency (= 0.9). The squeezing (corrected for the system noise) measured at 1 M H z is shown for di erent cell tem peratures, 109 C (diam onds), 112 C (squares), and 114 C (circles), as the one-photon detuning of the pump laser is scanned. The crosses indicate the projection of the measured squeezing onto the theoretical surface while the lines connecting the spheres and crosses give an indication of the vertical distance between them . M ost of the points are very near the surface. The projection onto the x y plane shows contour lines of the theoretical squeezing at 2 dB intervals from +4 to 8 dB, and the projections of the data points. The arrow indicates the direction of increasing one-photon detuning.

In addition to looking at the level of noise reduction obtained at a xed frequency, we can also investigate the frequency spectrum of the noise reduction. Since there is no fundam ental lim itation on the low -frequency response of the system [11, 17], it will be established by technical noise on the pump and probe lasers. The small number of optical components and particularly the lack of a cavity m in im izes the coupling to the environm ent. To explore this, we record the intensity-di erence noise spectrum at low analysis frequencies with the detunings xed at 800 M H z for the one-photon detuning and 4 M H z for the two-photon detuning. The probe (conjugate) output powers are equal to 305 (290) W, and the RBW and the VBW are reduced (see Fig. 4). The intensity-di erence noise signal is 8.0 dB below the SQL and alm ost at, with the exception of a few resonance peaks, all the way down to 4.5 kHz. At this point the technical noise of the pump and probe lasers starts to dom inate, resulting in the loss of the intensity-di erence squeezing at frequencies below 2.5 kHz. W hile making these measurements we found

that the frequency stabilization of the T isapphire laser adds am plitude noise to the beam, which in turn prevents squeezing from being observed below 70 kHz. The data in Fig. 4 were taken with the active frequency stabilization of the laser turned o . The observation of squeezing in the kHz range makes our system suitable for applications such as the transfer of optical squeezing onto matter waves [2].



FIG.4: (color online). Low -frequency squeezing. Noise spectra (RBW = 0.3 kH z, VBW = 3 H z) for the electronic noise, the pump scattering, the intensity-di erence, and the standard-quantum limit. The inset shows a larger frequency span (RBW = 1 kH z, VBW = 10 H z).

In addition to making the system insensitive to environm entalnoise, the lack of a cavity allows the system to operate as a multi-spatial-mode phase-insensitive am pli-

er 20], making it an ideal source for quantum imaging experiments [21]. When coupled to the low-frequency squeezing capability of our system, multimode operation could nd an interesting application in phototherm al spectroscopy, which measures the de ection of a beam at frequencies of the order of 1 kH z, and is currently nearly limited by the shot-noise [22].

A n important property of twin beam s is the presence of continuous-variable EPR (E instein-Podolsky-Rosen) entanglement [23]. In its current conguration, in which the probe and conjugate are 6 GHz apart in frequency, the presence of entanglement can be veried through the use of two diement local oscillators, or a bichromatic local oscillator [24]. In addition, the reciprocity between the beams involved in the 4W M process should allow the pumping to occur at the two frequencies $!_+$ and $!_-$, in order to generate frequency degenerate twin beams at frequency ! or to realize a phase-sensitive amplier.

We have demonstrated a simple and robust source of intensity-di erence-squeezed light based on four-wave mixing in a hot atom ic vapor capable of producing a quantum noise reduction in the intensity di erence of more than 8 dB over a large frequency range. The system provides a narrow band non-classical source near an atom ic transition and is well-suited for use in light-atom interaction experiments. In addition, we have shown that under certain conditions the system behaves as an ideal phase-insensitive ampli er, opening the way to the generation of pure entangled states. This realization of a high-quality source of non-classical light may nd a place in a variety of applications.

This work was supported in part by the IC postdoctoral program . We thank Luis O rozco and Ennio A rim ondo for helpful discussions.

E lectronic address: paul.lett@ nist.gov

- [1] S.L.Braunstein and P.van Loock, Rev.M od.Phys.77, 513 (2005).
- [2] S.A.Haine, M.K.Olsen, and J.J.Hope, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 133601 (2006).
- [3] P.D.Lett, J.M od. 0 pt. 51, 1817 (2004).
- [4] S.A.Haine and J.J.Hope, Phys. Rev. A 72, 033601 (2005).
- [5] A.Heidm ann et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2555 (1987).
- [6] S. Feng and O. P ster, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 203601 (2004).
- [7] J.Laurat, L.Longcham bon, C.Fabre, and T.Coudreau, Opt.Lett., 30, 1177 (2005).
- [8] H.Vahlbruch et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 033602 (2008).
- [9] T. Tanimura, D. Akamatsu, Y. Yokoi, A. Furusawa, and M. Kozuma, Opt. Lett. 31, 2344 (2006).
- [10] G.Hetet et al, J.Phys.B 40, 221 (2007).
- [11] M.D.Lukin, P.R.Hemmer, and M.O.Scully, Adv.At. Mol Opt. Phys. 42, 347 (2000).
- [12] V.Balic, D.A.Braje, P.Kolchin, G.Y.Yin, and S.E. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 183601 (2005).
- [13] P.Kolchin, S.Du, C.Belthangady, G.Y.Yin, and S.E. Hanris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 113602 (2006).
- [14] J.K. Thompson, J.Simon, H.Loh, and V.Vuletic, Science 313, 74 (2006).
- [15] C.H. van der W alet al, Science 301, 196 (2003).
- [16] C.F.M cCommick, V.Boyer, E.Arimondo, and P.D. Lett, Opt.Lett. 32, 178 (2007).
- [17] V.Boyer, C.F.McCormick, E.Arimondo, and P.D. Lett, Phys.Rev.Lett., 99, 143601 (2007).
- [18] J.R. Je ers, N. Im oto, and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. A 47, 3346 (1993).
- [19] M. Fiorentino, J.E. Sharping, P.K um ar, and A. Porzio, Opt. Express 10, 128 (2002).
- [20] V.Boyer, A.M. Marino, and P.D.Lett, arX iv:0711.3439 [quant-ph] (2007).
- [21] M. I. Kolobov, ed., \Quantum Imaging" (Springer, New York, 2007).
- [22] M.A.Owens, C.C.Davis, and R.R.Dickerson, Anal. Chem. 71, 1391 (1999).
- [23] M.D.Reid, Phys.Rev.A 40, 913 (1989).
- [24] A.M.Marino et al, J.Opt.Soc.Am.B, 24, 335 (2007).