JournalofRussian LaserResearch, Volum e 22, Number 5, 2001, p. 475-479.

H ID D EN VAR IABLES AND QUANTUM STAT IST IC S NATURE

T.F.Kamabv

Physics D epartm ent M oscow State O pened U niversity 107996 M oscow, 22, P.K orchagin str., Russia E-m ail: ykam alov@ ram bler.ru

It is shown that the nature of quantum statistics can be clari ed by assuming the existence of a background of random gravitational elds and waves, distributed isotropically in the space. This background is responsible for correlating phases of oscillations of identical microobjects. If such a background of random gravitational elds and waves is considered as hidden variables then taking it into account leads to the Bell-type inequalities that are fairly consistent with the experimental data.

Quantum theory is a statistical theory, which at the same time does not lend itself to investigation of its statistics nature, this problem being considered as being beyond its scope. Quantum theory does not deal with the causes of quantum phenomena; it postulates the classically inexplicable phenomena of a quantum microcosm observed in experiments as its axiom s. Such an approach, although not introducing errors, does not explain the experimentally observable phenomena, leaving them incomprehensible from the classical view point and giving rise to all sorts of paradoxes. Quantum theory lacks the classical logic and the classical causality, hence the classical axiom atics, which makes this theory, from the classical physics view point, rely on the method of indirect computations.

A re classical causality and classical logic absent in quantum theory only or in nature as well? The absence of classical causality and classical logic in the theory does not imply their absence in the nature.

Now, let us try to single out the basic classically incomprehensible concepts of quantum theory. First, it is the wave-particle dualism. Taking into account all the above-mentioned, a particle could acquire wave properties, being in uenced by a wave background. Second, it is Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. Due to the in uence of nonrem ovable background on a measurement, it is impossible to measure the values precisely. Third, it is the energy balance in an atom. From the classical physics view point, an electron moving in the electric eld of the nucleus should em it electrom agnetic radiation. C an we assume that the background of the whole spectrum of frequencies gives energy to the electron, the latter re-em itting it, and that the energy balance in the atom could then be m aintained? W e can complete quantum theory with hidden variables without altering the mathematical apparatus of quantum mechanics. Does a comprehensible theory result?

The issue of the necessity to complete the quantum theory was rst considered in the study by A.E instein, B.Podolsky and N.Rosen (hereinafter, EPR) [1]. Let us consider the EPR e ect. Two particles, A and B, at the initial moment interact and then scatter in opposite directions. Let the rst of them be described by the wavefunction $_A$, the other one by $_B$. The system of the two particles A and B is described by the wavefunction $_{AB}$. With this,

 $A \in AB, B \in AB, AB \in AB, OT, PAB \in PAP_B$.

For independent events $P_{\rm A}\,$ and $P_{\rm B}$, according to probability theory,

$$P_{AB} = P_A P_B$$
.

W here could the dependence of the object A on the object B and vice versa originate from, these objects A and B being considered as distant and noninteracting? The EPR authors arrived at the conclusion on the incom pleteness of the quantum {m echanical description. To solve this contradiction, an idea has been put forward in [1] on the existence of hidden variables that would m ake it possible to interpret consistently the results of the experiments w ithout altering the m athem atical apparatus of quantum m echanics.

Later, it has proved by von N eum ann [2] that quantum m echanics axiom atics does not allow the introduction of hidden variables. It is, however, in portant that the argument presented in [2] is not valid in certain cases, e.g., for pairwise observablem icroobjects (for Hilbert space with pairwise commutable operators) [3]. In 1964, J. S. Bell [4] formulated an experimental criterion enabling to decide, within the framework of the problem statement [1], on the existence of hidden variables. The essence of the experiment proposed by Bell is as follows.

Let us consider the experimental scheme of EPR. Let there be two photons that can have orthogonal polarizations A and B or A[°] and B[°], respectively. Let us denote the probability of observing a pair of photons with polarizations P and Q as $\frac{2}{PO}$. Bell introduced the quantity

$$jSij = \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{2}_{AB} + \; {}^{2}_{A^{0}B} + \; {}^{2}_{AB^{0}} \quad {}^{2}_{A^{0}B^{0}} ,$$

called the Bell's observable; it has been shown that if hidden variables do exist, then

The possibility of experimental veri cation of the actual existence of hidden variables has been demonstrated in [4]. The above inequality are called Bell's inequalities. A series of experiments has shown that there is no experimental evidence of the existence of hidden variables as yet, and the existing theories comprising hidden variables are indistinguishable experimentally. In quantum theories with hidden variables, the wavefunction

= (_i)

is a function of hidden variables $_{i}$.

Let us consider a physical model with gravity background (i. e., the background of gravity elds and waves) playing the role of hidden variables [5-8].

This is only one of many possible versions. We could consider as hidden variables, for example, the electrom agnetic background. We shall not discuss here the reasons for this version being unfounded, and we shall not consider it in the present study.

So, let us regard the gravitational background as hidden variables. The gravitational background could be considered negligible and not a ecting the behavior of quantum m icroob jects. Let us verify whether this is correct. The quantitative assessments of the gravitational background in uence on the quantum m icroob jects' behavior have not been performed due to the former having never been examined. The quantum e ects are small as well, but their quantitative limits are known and are determined by the Heisenberg inequalitie. Let us demonstrate the gravitational background being random and isotropic to a ect the phases of m icroob jects separated in the space and not interacting. Then we can calculate the correlation factor for these m icroob jects, hence, the Bell's observable S. Having determined the upper limit for S, we shall get the re ned Bell's inequalities taking into consideration the in uence of the gravitational background. C om paring these with the experimental data for the Bell's observable, we can verify the correctness of our approach.

By now, hundreds of experim ental studies have been perform ed on m easurement of the Bell's observable. It can be positively stated that the experim ental value of the Bell's observable has been determ ined to comply with the expression $\frac{1}{2}$.

Relative oscillations i, i = 0;1;2;3 of two particles in gravity elds are described by the deviation equations. In this particular case, the deviation equations are converted into the oscillation equations for two particles:

$$r^{*1}$$
 + $c^2 R_{010}^1 r^1 = 0; ! = c R_{010}^1$:

It should be noted that relative oscillations of m icro objects A and B do not depend on the m asses of these, but rather on the R iem ann tensor of the gravity eld. This is important, since in the m icrocosm we are deal with sm all m asses. Taking into account the gravity background, the m icroobjects A and B shall be correlated. It is essential that in compliance with the gravity theory, the deviation equation only m ake sense for two objects, and it is senseless to consider a single object. Therefore, the gravity background complements the quantum {m echanical description and plays the role of hidden variables. On the other hand, the von N eum ann theorem on impossibility of introduction hidden variables into quantum m echanics is not applicable for pairw ise commuting quantities (G udder's theorem [3]). The introduction of hidden variables in the space with pairw ise commuting operators is appropriate.

The solution of the above equation has the form

$$1 = 0 \exp(k_a x^a + i! t), a = 1;2;3,$$

were we assume the gravity background to have a random nature and to be described, similarly to quantum $\{m \text{ echanical quantities, w ith probabilistic observations. Each gravity eld or wave with the index n and R iem ann tensor R (n) and random phase$

$$(n) = ! (n)t = c \frac{p}{R_{0101}^{1}(n)t},$$

should be m atched by a quantity i(n). Therefore, taking into account the gravity background, i. e. the background of gravity elds and waves, the particles take on properties described by i(n).

In the present study, we consider only the gravity elds and waves, which are so small that alter the variables of microobjects x and p beyond the Heisenberg inequality x p h. Strong elds are adequately described by the classical gravity theory, so we do not consider them here. Let us emphasize that the assumption on existence of such a negligibly small background is quite natural. With this, we assume the gravity background to be isotropically distributed over the space.

Regarding the quantum m icroob jects in the curved space, we must take into account the scalar product g A B of two 4-dimensional vectors A and B, where for weak gravitational elds it is possible to employ the value h, which is the solution of E instein equations for the case of weak gravitational eld in harm onic coordinates and having the form :

where the value h is called the metrics disturbance, and e , the polarization. Therefore, we shall consider the hidden variables h as being the disturbances of the metrics as distributed in the space with the yet unknown distribution function = (h). Hereinafter, the indices ; ; possess values 0,1,2,3.

Then the coe cient of correlation M of projections of unit vector i of the hidden variables onto directions a^k and b^n specified by the polarizers is

$$M = hABi = h^{i}a^{k}g_{ik} {}^{m}b^{n}g_{mn}i$$

were i;k;m;n possess 0,1,2,3 and

$$= a^{\dagger}b^{\dagger}, = a^{\dagger}b^{\dagger}, = a^{\dagger}b^{\dagger}, = a^{\dagger}b^{\dagger}, = a^{\dagger}b^{\dagger}b^{\dagger},$$

and thus

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \frac{R_2}{0} d \cos \cos(t + t) = \cos t$$

Then, for $= \frac{1}{4}$, we obtain the maximum value of the Bell's observable S

$$D E D E D E D E B = \frac{1}{2} [ABi + A^{B} + AB^{B} + AB^{B} A^{B}] = \frac{1}{2} [\cos(\frac{1}{4}) + \cos(\frac{1}{4}) + \cos(\frac{1}{4}) \cos(\frac{3}{4})] = \frac{p}{2},$$

which agrees fairly with the experim ental data.

The Bell-type inequality in our assumptions (in view of taking into account the gravitational background) should have the form

Therefore, we have shown that the classical physics with the gravitational background gives a value of the Bell's observable that m atches both the experim ental data and the quantum mechanical value of the Bell's observable. To sum up, the description of microobjects by the classical physics accounting for the e ects brought about by the gravitational background is equivalent to the quantum -mechanical descriptions, both agreeing with the experimental data.

From the experiment viewpoint, both of these descriptions are equivalent; however, employing the quantum -m echanical descriptions dem ands using the quantum mechanical axioms. In addition, plausible arguments should be given that these predictions and interpretation are experimentally distingvishable from existing know lage.

- [1] A.Einstein, B.Podolsky, N.Rosen, Phys. Rev., 1935, 47, 777.
- [2] J. von Neumann, The Mathematical Foundation of Quantum Mechanics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1953).
- [3] S.P.Gudder, J. of M ath. Phys., 1970, v. 11, 2, 431.
- [4] J.S.Bell, Physics, 1964, No. 3, v. 1, 195.
- [5] T.F.Kamalov, Nature of Quantum Statistics, Deponent VINITI, 1989, 4615-B89.
- [6] T.F.K am abv, Background of random gravitation waves, D eponent V IN IT I, 1989, 4615-B89.
- [7] T F K am alov, Q uantum M echanic with Hidden Variables as Background R andom G ravitation waves, D eponent V IN IT I, 1983, 092–145.
- [8] T F K am alov, B ell's U nequalities and C urved Space, C onference "O n the 25 years B ell's Inequalities", M oscow, 1990, p.137.