Quantum Computers and Computing, 2006, v. 6, n. 1, p. 125-136.

Probabilistic Simulation of Quantum Computation

T F.K am abv¹ and YuP.Rybakov²

¹Physics Department, Moscow State Opened University

²Theoretical Physics Department, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia E-mail: ¹ykam abv@ ram bler.ru, qubit@ mail.ru

² soliton 4@ mail.ru

Special stochastic representation of the wave function in Q uantum M echanics (Q M), based on soliton realization of extended particles, is suggested with the aim to model quantum states via classical computer. Entangled solitons construction being introduced in the nonlinear spinor eld model, the Einstein | Podolsky | Rosen (EPR) spin correlation is calculated and shown to coincide with the quantum mechanical one for the spin {1=2 particles in the singlet state. The concept of stochastic qubits is used for quantum computing modelling.

K eywords: stochastic simulation of qubits, entangled solitons, random Hilbert space.

PACS:03.65.Ud

1 Geometric Quantum Mechanics and its Stochastic Representation

$$h_1 j_2 i = G(_1;_2) \{ (_1;_2); (1)$$

where G is a Riemannian inner product on H and G is a symplectic form, that is

G
$$(1; 2) = (u_1; u_2) + (v_1; v_2);$$
 $(1; 2) = (v_1; u_2)$ $(u_1; v_2);$

with (;) denoting standard inner product. The sym plectic form revealed in (1) can acquire its dynamical content if one uses the special stochastic representation of QM suggested in [2,3,4].

As a $\,$ rst m otivation for introducing stochastic representation of the wave function let us consider the de B roglie plane wave

$$= A e^{\{kx\}} = A e^{\{t t + \{(kx)\}\}}$$
 (2)

for a free particle with the energy ! , m om entum $\,\,k$, and m ass m , when the relativistic relation

$$k^2 = !^2 k^2 = m^2$$

holds (in natural units $\sim = c = 1$).

Now it is worth-while to underline the remarkable fact behind this research [7], namely, the possibility to represent the de Broglie wave (2) as the sum of solitons located at nodes of a cubic lattice with the spacing a $^{\circ}_{0}$:

A e
$$^{(kx)} = {X \atop d} u(t;r+d);$$
 (3)

where d marks the positions of lattice nodes. To show the validity of (3) one can take into account the asymptotic behavior of the soliton in its tail region:

$$u(x) = d^4ke^{-(kx)}g(k) (k^2 m^2)$$

and then use the well-known formula

$$X = e^{\{(k d)\}} = \frac{2}{a} = {k};$$

im plying that

$$A = \frac{2}{a} \frac{3 g (m)}{2m}$$
:

The form ula (3) gives a simple illustration of the wave particle dualism, show ing that the de Broglie wave characterizes the assemblage of particles solitons.

The shortest way to get the stochastic representation of quantum mechanics is modify the formula (3). This can be easily performed if one admits that the locations of solitons' centers are not regular nodes of the cubic lattice but some random ly chosen points. To realize this prescription, suppose that the real

eld describes n particles solitons and has the form

$$(t;r) = X^n$$
 (k) $(t;r);$ (4)

w here

$$supp^{(k)} \setminus supp^{(k^0)} = 0; k \in k^0;$$

and the same for the conjugate momenta

where L stands for the Lagrangian density of the $\ \, \text{eld} \,\,$. Let us de ne the auxiliary functions

$$f(k)$$
 (t;r) = $\frac{1}{P} \frac{1}{2} (k^{(k)} + (k^{(k)} = k))$ (5)

with the constants k satisfying the normalization condition

$$z \sim = d^3x \dot{J}^{(k)} \dot{J}: \qquad (6)$$

Now we de ne the analog of the wave function in the congurational space R^{3n} 3 $x = fr_1; :::; r_n q$ as

$$_{N}$$
 (t; r_{1} ;:::; r_{n}) = (n $_{N}$) $_{1=2}^{1}$ $_{j=1}^{k}$ $_{k=1}^{k}$ (t; r_{k}); (7)

where N 1 stands for the number of trials (observations) and $r_{j}^{(k)}$ is the one-particle eld function for the j{th trial.

Now we intend to show that the quantity

$$_{N} = \frac{1}{(4_{n})^{n}} \sum_{(4_{n})^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}}^{\mathbb{Z}} d^{3n} \times j_{N} j^{2};$$

where 4 is the elementary volume which is supposed to be much greater than the proper volume of the particle $b^3 = b - 4$, plays the role of the coordinate probability density. To this end let us calculate the following integral:

Z
$$(4_{-})^{n} \times d^{3n} \times j_{N} j^{2} = (\sim^{n} N)^{1} \times a_{ii} + a_{ij} A;$$

$$(4_{-})^{n} \times d^{3n} \times j_{N} j^{2} = (\sim^{n} N)^{1} \times a_{ii} + a_{ij} A;$$

where the denotation is used

$$a_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{k=14} \frac{R}{L} d^3x$$
 '_i '(k), '(k) _j + '_j '(k), '(k) _i :

Taking into account (6) one gets

$$(4_{j})^{n} = (\sim^{n} N)^{-1} (\sim^{n} 4 N + S); S = X_{i \in j}$$
 (8)

with 4 N standing for the number of trials for which the centers of particles solitons were located in $(4_)^n$. It is worth-while to remark that due to independence of trials and arbitrariness of initial data and, in particular, of the phases of the functions $i_i^{(k)}$, one can consider the entities a_{ij} for $i \in j$ as independent random variables with zero mean values. This fact permits to use the Chebyshev's inequality [8] to estimate the probability of the events for which $i \in j$ surpasses $i \in j$ N:

On the other hand, in view of trials' independence one gets

$$S^{2} = X \qquad a_{ij}^{2} : \qquad (10)$$

Now one can take into account that the wave packets $^{\prime}$ is are expected are ectively overlapped if their centers belong to the proper volume domain $_{-0}$. This property perm its to deduce from (6) and (10) the estimate

$$S^{2} \qquad {}^{n} \sim {}^{2n} \frac{4 \text{ N}}{(4 \text{ } \underline{)}^{n}} = {}^{n} 4 \text{ N}; \qquad (11)$$

where 1 is the \packing" factor for the nearest neighbors. Inserting (11) into (9) one nds the following estimate:

P (
$$\sharp j > \sim^n 4 N$$
) < (__0 =4__)ⁿ 1: (12)

Applying the estimate (12) to (8) one can state that with the probability close to unity the following relation holds:

$$(4_{n})^{n} = 4 N = N;$$
 (13)

signifying that the construction (7) plays the role of the probability amplitude for the coordinate distribution of solitons' centers, with $_{\rm N}$ in (13) being the corresponding probability density. Now let us consider the measuring procedure for some observable A corresponding, due to E. Noether's theorem, to the sym metry group generator $\P_{\rm A}$. For example, the momentum P is related with the generator of space translation $\P_{\rm P}=\{5$, the angular momentum L is related with the generator of space rotation $\P_{\rm L}=J$ and so on. As a result one can represent the classical observable A $_{\rm J}$ for the j{th trial in the form

The corresponding mean value is

$$E(A) \qquad \frac{1}{N} \stackrel{X^{N}}{=} A_{j} = \frac{1}{N} \stackrel{X^{N}}{=} X^{n} \stackrel{Z}{=} d^{3}x \stackrel{\prime}{=} i^{(k)} \stackrel{(k)}{\neq} A^{(k)} \stackrel{(k)}{=} i^{(k)}$$

$$= d^{3n}x \stackrel{N}{=} A_{j} = \frac{1}{N} \stackrel{X^{N}}{=} X^{n} \stackrel{Z}{=} i^{(k)} \stackrel{(k)}{=} i^{(k)} \stackrel{(k)}{=}$$

where the Herm itian operator AP reads

Thus, up to the terms of the order $_0$ =4 $_-$ 1, we obtain the standard quantum mechanical rule (14) for the calculation of mean values. It is interesting to underline that the solitonian scheme in question contains also the well-known spin | statistics correlation [4]. Namely, if $_j^{(k)}$ is transformed under the rotation by irreducible representation D $_j^{(J)}$ of SO (3), with the weight J, then the transposition of two identical extended particles is equivalent to the relative 2 {rotation of $_j^{(k)}$, that gives the multiplication factor ($_j^{(k)}$) in $_j^{(k)}$. To show this property, suppose that our particles are identical, i.e. their proles $_j^{(k)}$ may dier in phases only. Therefore, the transposition of the particles with the

centers at r_1 and r_2 m eans the {rotation of 2{particle con guration around the median axis of the central vector line r_1 p. However, due to extended character of the particles, to restore the initial con guration, one should perform additional proper {rotations of the particles. The latter operation being equivalent to the relative 2 {rotation of particles, one concludes that it results in aforem entioned multiplication of $_{
m N}$ by $(1)^{2J}$. Under the natural supposition that the weight J is related with the spin of particles solitons, one infers that the many {particles wave function (7) should be symmetrical under the transposition of the two identical particles if the spin is integer, but antisymm etrical if the spin is half-integer (the Pauli principle). Thus, we conclude that in the solitonian scheme the spin statistics correlation stems from the extended character of particles | solitons. However, the particles in quantum mechanics being considered as point-like ones, it appears inevitable to include the transpositional symmetry of the wave function as the rst principle (cf. Hartree Fock receipt for Ferm ions). Now it is worth-while to discuss the evidence of wave properties of particles in solitonian scheme. To verify the fact that solitons can really possess wave properties, the gedanken di raction experiment with individual electrons | solitons was realized. Solitons with some velocity were dropped into a rectilinear slit, cut in the im perm eable screen, and the transverse m om entum was calculated which they gained while passing the slit, with the width of the latter signi cantly exceeded the size of the soliton. As a result, the picture of distribution of the centers of scattered solitons was restored on the registration screen, by considering their initial distribution to be uniform over the transverse coordinate. It was claried that though the center of each soliton fell into a de nite place of the registration screen (depending on the initial soliton pro le and the point of crossing the plane of the slit by the soliton's center), the statistical picture in m any ways was similar to the well-known di raction distribution in optics, i.e. the Fresnel's picture at short distances from the slit and the Fraunhofer's one at large distances [9].

2 Random Hilbert space

As a result we obtain the stochastic realization (7) of the wave function $_{\rm N}$ which can be considered as an element of the random H ilbert space H $_{\rm rand}$ with the inner product

$$(\ _1; \ _2) = M \ (\ _1 \ _2);$$
 (16)

with M standing for the expectation value. As a rude simplication one can admit that the averaging in (16) is taken over random characteristics of particles solitons, such as their positions, velocities, phases, and so on. It is important to underline once more that the correspondence with the standard quantum mechanics is retained only in the point{particle limit (4 _ ____0) for N ! 1 . To show this [2] one can apply the central limit theorem stating that for N ! 1 the wave function N (t;x) behaves as the Gaussian random eld with the variance

$$^{2} = (t; x); \quad x \ 2 \ R^{3n}; \quad (17)$$

where (t;x) stands for the probability density (partition function) of solitons' centers in R^{3n} . Random Hilbert spaces being widely exploited in mathematical statistics, for quantum applications they were rst used by N.W iener in [10].

To illustrate the line of W iener's argument, we recall the general scheme of introducing various representations in quantum mechanics. Let j i be a state vector in the Hilbert space H and \cancel{R} be a self-conjugate operator with the spectrum (\cancel{R}) . Then the a{representation is given by the wave function

$$(a) = haj i;$$

w here

In particular, the fam ous Schrodinger coordinate q{representation is given by the wave function \mathbf{x}

$$(q) = hqj i = X$$
 hqjnihnj i; (18)

with jni being som e complete set of state vectors in H . W inner considered the real B rownian process x (s;) in the interval [0;1] 3 s, where 2 [0;1] is the generalized index of the B rownian trajectory and the correlation reads

To obtain the quantum $\,$ m echanical description, $\,$ W iener de $\,$ ned the $\,$ complex $\,$ B rownian process

$$z(sj;) = \frac{1}{p-2} [x(s;) + {y(s;)}; ; 2 [0;1];$$
 (20)

and using the natural m apping R^3 ! [0;1], for the particle in R^3 , constructed the stochastic representation of the w ave function along sim ilar lines as in (18):

with the obvious unitarity property

$$Z^{1}$$
 ZZ $dsj (s)j^{2} = d d jh ; j ij^{2}$ 0 $[0;1]^{2}$

stem ming from (19).

3 Entangled solitons and EPR correlations

In the sequel we shall consider the special case of two {particle con gurations (n = 2), corresponding to the singlet state of two spin {1=2 particles. In quantum mechanics these states are described by the spin wave function of the form

$$_{12} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \text{ "i } 2 \text{ #i } 1 \text{ #i } 2 \text{ "i)}$$
 (22)

and are known as entangled states. The arrows in (22) signify the projections of spin 1=2 along some xed direction. In the case of the electrons in the fam ous Stem | Gerlach experiment this direction is determined by that of an external magnetic eld. If one chooses two dierent Stem | Gerlach devices, with the directions a and b of the magnetic elds, denoted by the unit vectors a and b respectively, one can measure the correlation of spins of the two electrons by projecting the spin of the rst electron on a and the second one on b. Quantum mechanics gives for the spin correlation function the well-known expression

$$P(a;b) = {}^{+}_{12}(a) (b)_{12};$$
 (23)

where stands for the vector of Paulim atrices i, i = 1; 2; 3. Putting (22) into (23), one easily gets

$$P(a;b) = (ab):$$
 (24)

The form ula (24) characterizes the spin correlation in the Einstein | Podolsky | Rosen entangled singlet states and is known as the EPR {correlation. As was shown by J.Bell [11], the correlation (24) can be used as an elicient criterium for distinguishing the models with the local (point-like) hidden variables from those with the nonlocalones. Namely, for the local-hidden-variables theories the EPR {correlation (24) is broken. It would be interesting to check the solitonian model shortly described in the beforehand points by applying to it the EPR {correlation criterium. To this end let us rst describe the spin {1=2 particles as solitons in the nonlinear spinor model of Heisenberg | Ivanenko type considered in the works [12, 13]. The soliton in question is described by the relativistic 4{spinor eld of stationary type

$$' = {u \over v} e^{\{!\,t\}};$$
 (25)

satisfying the equation

$$\{ {}^{k}Q_{k} \quad {}^{0}_{0} + {}^{0}_{0} = 0;$$
 (26)

where u and v denote 2{spinors, k runs M inkowsky space indices 0, 1, 2, 3; `o stands for som e characteristic length (the size of the particle| soliton), is the self-coupling constant, $^{\prime\prime}$ $^{\prime\prime}$ $^{\prime\prime}$ are the D irac m atrices. The stationary solution to the equation (26) can be obtained by separating variables in spherical coordinates r, #, via the substitution

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} f(r)$$
 $\frac{1}{0}$; $v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} g(r)$ r $\frac{1}{0}$; (27)

where $_{\rm r}$ = (r)=r. Inserting (27) into (26) one nds

$$\frac{!}{c}u + \{(5)v \quad \int_{0}^{1}u + \frac{1}{4} f^{2} \quad \mathring{g} u = 0;$$

$$\frac{!}{c}v + \{(5)u \quad \int_{0}^{1}v + \frac{1}{4} f^{2} \quad \mathring{g} v = 0:$$

In view of (27) one gets

$$\{(5)v = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} g^0 + \frac{2}{r}g \frac{1}{0};$$

F inally, one derives the following ordinary dierential equations for the radial functions f(r) and g(r):

$$g^{0} + \frac{2}{r}g = \frac{!}{c} \cdot \int_{0}^{1} f + \frac{1}{4} f^{2} \cdot g \cdot f;$$

 $f^{0} = \frac{!}{c} + \int_{0}^{1} g + \frac{1}{4} f^{2} \cdot g \cdot g \cdot g;$

As was shown in the papers [12, 13], these equations adm it regular solutions if the frequency parameter! belongs to the interval

$$0 < ! < c = '_0 :$$
 (28)

The behavior of the functions f(r) and g(r) at r! 0 is as follows:

$$g(r) = C_1 r; f = C_2; f^0! 0;$$

where C_1 , C_2 denote some integration constants. The behavior of solutions far from the center of the soliton, i.e. at $r \,! \, 1$, is given by the relations:

$$f = \frac{A}{r}e^{-r}$$
; $g = \frac{f^0}{R}$;

w here

$$=$$
 ${}^{1}_{0}$ 2 ${}^{1}_{2}$ 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 2 3

If one chooses the free param eters $_0$ and of the model to satisfy the normalization condition (similar to $_0$)

Z
$$d^3x'^+' = drr^2 f^2 + g^2 = \sim$$
 (29)

then the spin of the soliton reads

$$S = d^{3}x'^{+}J' = -\frac{2}{2}e_{z};$$
 (30)

where \textbf{e}_{z} denotes the unit vector along the Z {direction, J stands for the angular momentum operator

$$J = \{ [r5] + \frac{1}{2} \}_{0};$$
 (31)

and $_0$ is the unit 2 $_2$ {m atrix. Now let us construct the two{particles singlet con guration on the base of the soliton solution (25). First of all, in analogy with (22) one constructs the entangled solitons con guration endowed with the zero spin:

$$'_{12} = \frac{1}{P_{\frac{1}{2}}} \stackrel{h}{'_{1}} \stackrel{"}{'_{2}} \stackrel{"}{'_{1}} \stackrel{"}{'_{2}} ;$$
 (32)

where ' $_1^{"}$ corresponds to (27) with r = r_1, and ' $_2^{\#}$ emerges from the above solution by the substitution

that corresponds to the opposite projection of spin on the Z {axis. In virtue of the orthogonality relation for the states with the opposite spin projections one easily derives the following normalization condition for the entangled solitons conguration (32): $_{\rm Z}$ $_{\rm Z}$

Z
$$d^{3}x_{1} d^{3}x_{2}'_{12}^{+}'_{12} = \sim^{2}: (33)$$

Now it is not discult to $\$ nd the expression for the stochastic wave function (7) for the singlet two{solitons state:

$$_{N}$$
 (t; r_{1} ; r_{2}) = $_{\sim}^{2}N$ $_{1=2}^{1=2}$ X^{N} $_{12}^{(j)}$; (34)

where $\prime_{12}^{(j)}$ corresponds to the entangled soliton cong uration in the j{th trial. Our next step is the calculation of the spin correlation (23) for the singlet two{soliton state. In the light of the fact that the operator in (23) corresponds to the twice angular momentum operator (31) one should calculate the following expression:

$$Z$$
 Z
 $P^{0}(a;b) = M$ $d^{3}x_{1}$ $d^{3}x_{2}$ $^{+}_{N} 2 (J_{1}a)$ $2 (J_{2}b)$ $_{N}$; (35)

where M stands for the averaging over the random phases of the solitons. Inserting (34) and (31) into (35), using the independence of trials $j \in j^0$, and taking into account the relations:

$$J_{+}''' = 0;$$
 $J_{3}''' = \frac{1}{2}''';$ $J_{+}''' = 0;$ $J_{3}''' = \frac{1}{2}''';$ $J_{+}''' = 0'';$

where $J = J_1 \{J_2, \text{ one easily } \text{ nds that }$

C om paring the correlations (36) and (24) one rem arks their coincidence, that is the solitonian model satis α es the EPR (correlation criterium.

4 Conclusion. Simulation of qubits by probabilistic bits

Now we intend to explain how stochastic qubits introduced previously could be simulateded by standard probabilistic bits [14]. To this end one should dene the random phase $_j$ for the j{th trial in our system of n solitons | particles. Let $^{\prime}$ (r) denote the standard (etalon) prole for the k{th soliton. The most probable position $d_j^{(k)}$ (t) of the k{th soliton's center for j{th trial can be found from the following variational problem:

$$Z$$
 $d^3x'_j$ $^{(k)}$ (t;r) $'$ $^{(k)}$ r $d_j^{(k)}$! max;

thus giving the random phase structure:

$$j = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{n}} \arg \left(d^{3}x'_{j} \right)^{(k)} (t;r)'^{(k)} r d_{j}^{(k)} :$$
 (37)

The random phase (37) can be used for simulating quantum computing via generating the following K random dichotom ic functions:

$$f_s(s) = sign [cos(s + s)]; s = \overline{1;K};$$
 (38)

 $\mbox{w ith }_{\mbox{\sc s}}$ being arbitrary $\mbox{\sc xed}$ phases. Now recall that the qubit is identified with the state vector

corresponding to the superposition of two orthogonal states $\mathfrak D$ i and $\mathfrak J$ i, as for instance, two polarizations of the photon, or two possible spin{1=2 states. It is worth-while to compare the standard EPR {correlation (24) with the random phases one for the case of n = 2 particles:

$$E(f_1 f_2) = 1 - \frac{2}{4} + \frac{1}{3}$$
 (39)

where 4 = 1 2. The sim ilarity of these two functions (39) and (24) of the angular variable seems to be a good motivation for the K qubits simulation by the dichotom ic random functions (38) popularized in the paper [15]. This very simple model of stochastic qubits simulation can be employed for simulating Biphotons, EPR states and other entanglement states. We hope that this model will be useful for the Shor's and G rover's Q uantum Algorithms realization.

4.1 Initialization of probabilistic bits

Let us consider N stochastic qubits constructed according to the above algorithm. Let us call qubit No. 1 the control or signal one. If the signal qubit is green then the value assigned will be jbi, if it is red the output will be jbi. Hence, at each output we shall get N initialized integral qubits.

4.2 Hadam ard transform for probabilistic bits

Let us consider the initial bit

$$\dot{p}i = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}(\dot{p}i + \dot{j}li);$$

with equal probabilities P = 1=2 being assigned to the states $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak P$ and $\mathfrak P$ sider now the H adam and transform H sending the states to the basis rotated over $\mathfrak P$:

H
$$\mathcal{D}i$$
! $\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{D}i + \mathcal{J}i)$; H $\mathcal{J}i$! $\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathcal{D}i - \mathcal{J}i)$:

Hence, having applied the Hadam and transform to the bit jqi one gets the nal bit

4.3 Logical CNOT for probabilistic bits

The result of application of the logical component CNOT depends on the target bit, two possible cases being considered:

- 1. If the controlbit has the value jli then the target bit is sent to the opposite value.
- 2. If the control bit has the value $\mathfrak D$ i then the value of the target bit is not changed.

A ll these elementary operations can be realized via classical computer through simulating the phase structure of realistic solitons by the generator of random numbers connected to the model solitons' generator, e.g. Kerr dielectric with the optical excitations or magnetic with the excitations of localized spin inversion domains.

- [1] D.C. Brody and L.P. Hughston.Geometric Quantum Mechanics // J. of Geometry and Physics. 2001, vol. 38.Pp.19{53.
- [2] Yu.P. Rybakov.On the Causal Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics // Found.of Physics. 1974, vol. 4, n. 2. Pp. 149{161.
- [3] Yu.P. Rybakov.La Theorie Statistique des Champs et la Mecanique Quantique //Ann.Fond.L. de Broglie.1977, t.2, n. 3.Pp.181{203.
- [4] Yu.P. Rybakov.Self-Gravitating Solitons and Nonlinear {Resonance Quantization Mechanism //Bulletin of Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Ser.Physics.1995, vol.3, n. 1.Pp.130 {137 (in Russian).
- [5] L. de Broglie. Les Incertitudes d'Heisenberg et l'Interpretation Probabiliste de la Mecanique Ondulatoire. Paris: Gauthier (Villars, 1982.
- [6] A. Einstein. Collected Papers. Moscow: Nauka, 1967.
- [7] Yu.P. Rybakov.TheBohm | VigierSubquantum Fluctuations and NonlinearField Theory //Int.J.Theor.Physics.1972, vol.5, n. 2.Pp.131{138.
- [8] W . Feller. An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. 1, 2. New York: John W iley & Sons, Inc., 1952.
- [9] Yu. P. Rybakov and M. Shachir. On Fresnel Di raction of Solitons in the Synge Model //Izvestia VUZov. Ser. Physics. 1982, vol. 25, n. 1. Pp. 36{38 (in Russian).
- [10] N. W iener.NonlinearProblems in Random Theory.New York: John W iley & Sons, Inc., 1958.
- [11] J.S. Bell.On Einstein | Podolsky | Rosen Paradox // Physics. 1964, vol. 1, n. 3.Pp.195{199.
- [12] R. Finkelstein, R. Lelevier, and M. Ruderman. Nonlinear Spinor Fields // Phys. Rev. 1951, vol. 83, n. 2. Pp. 326{332.

- [13] R. Finkelstein, C. Fronsdal, and P. Kaus. Nonlinear Spinor Field // Phys. Rev. 1956, vol. 103, n. 5. Pp. 1571 { 1579.
- [14] Yu.P. Rybakov and T.F. Kam alov. Stochastic Gravitational Fields and Quantum Correlations//Bulletin of Peoples' Friendship University of Russia. Ser. Physics. 2002, vol. 10, n. 1. Pp. 5{7 (in Russian).
- [15] N.V. Evdokim ov, D.N. Klyshko, V.P. Komolov, and V.A. Yarochkin. Bell's Inequalities and EPR | Bohm Correlations: A Working Classical Radio Model//Progress in Physics (Russia).1996, vol. 166, n. 1. Pp. 91 { 107.