

# On the Stronger Statement of Levinson's Theorem for the Dirac Equation

Zhong-Qi Ma

CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100081, P. R. of China

and

Institute of High Energy Physics, P.O.Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, P. R. of China

**Abstract.** Recently a stronger statement of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation was presented, where the limits of the phase shifts at  $E = \pm M$  are related to the numbers of nodes of radial functions at the same energies, respectively. However, in this letter we show that this statement has to be modified because the limits of the phase shifts may be negative for the Dirac equation.

Levinson's theorem <sup>[1]</sup> is one of the fundamental theorem in quantum scattering theory. For the Schrödinger equation, it gives a quantitative relation between the limit of the phase shift at zero energy  $\delta_\ell(0)$  and the number of bound states  $N_\ell$ :

$$N_\ell = \{1/\pi\} \{ \delta_\ell(0) - \delta_\ell(\infty) \} - \{1/2\} \sin^2(\delta_\ell) \quad (1)$$

where the subscript  $\ell$  denotes the angular momentum. As is well know, there is degeneracy of states for the magnetic quantum number due to the spherical symmetry. Usually, this degeneracy is not explicitly expressed in the statement of Levinson's theorem. In (1) the phase shifts are determined by comparing them with the phase shift at high energy in order to remove their indetermination of a multiple of  $2\pi$ . The indetermination can also be removed by comparing them with the phase shifts of the free particle, that may be defined as zero<sup>[2,3]</sup> so that  $\delta_\ell(\infty)$  in (1) can be removed. In fact, the experimental observation for Levinson's theorem shows the jump of the phase shift at low energy in terms of changing the potential<sup>[4]</sup>. In this letter we will use this convention for phase shifts. The second term with the sine square in (1) stands for the half bound state, that was first shown by Newton<sup>[5]</sup>.

From the Sturm-Liouville theorem, the number of nodes of the radial function at zero energy is equal to the number of the bound states for the Schrödinger equation with a non-singular spherically symmetric potential, so that it is related to the phase shift through Levinson's theorem.

Barthélémy<sup>[6]</sup> first discuss Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation by the generalized Jost function. He stated that Levinson's theorem is valid for positive and negative energies separately as in the non-relativistic case. But later this statement was found incorrect <sup>[7,3]</sup>. The correct statement of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation is <sup>[7,3]</sup>:

$$N_\kappa = \{1/\pi\} \{ \delta_\kappa(M) + \delta_\kappa(-M) \} - \{1/2\} \{ \sin^2(\delta_\kappa(M)) + \sin^2(\delta_\kappa(-M)) \} \quad (2)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the standard angular momentum parameter that denotes both the total angular momentum  $j$  and the orbital angular momentum  $\ell$ :  $\kappa = j + 1/2$  when  $\ell =$

$j + 1/2$ , and  $\kappa = -(j + 1/2)$  when  $\ell = j - 1/2$ .  $N_\kappa$  and  $\delta_\kappa(E)$  are the number of bound states and the phase shift at energy  $E$  for the given angular momentum  $\kappa$ , respectively. The key point of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation is that the limits of the phase shifts at the thresholds  $E = \pm M$  (zero momentum) may be positive, zero or negative. For example, as the attractive potential becomes strong enough, a scattering state of positive energy may change to a bound state, and furthermore, change to a scattering state of negative energy. In this case the limit  $\delta_\kappa(-M)$  of phase shift at  $E = -M$  becomes negative. On the other hand, the limit of the phase shift at zero energy for the Schrödinger equation only can be zero or positive, if the spherically symmetric potential is continuous and finite.

Recently, Poliatzky<sup>[8,9]</sup> transformed the Dirac equation into couple of effective Schrödinger-type equations near the thresholds  $E = \pm M$  and showed a stronger statement of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation:

$$n_\kappa(\pm M) = \delta_\kappa(\pm M)/\pi - \{1/2\} \sin^2(\delta_\kappa(\pm M)) \quad (3)$$

where  $n_\kappa(\pm M)$  denote the numbers of nodes for the radial functions with energies  $\pm M$ , respectively<sup>[11]</sup>. In Ref.[8]  $n_\kappa(\pm M)$  are explained as the numbers of bound states of the effective Schrödinger-like equations, that are not easy to count. For the potential  $|V(r)| < 2M$ , two numbers are equal to each other due to the Sturm-Liouville theorem.

A few viewpoints in [8] and [9] have drawn some discussions. Newton<sup>[10]</sup> criticized the modification of the usual quasi-orthogonality of the scattering wave function. Poliatzky's explanation<sup>[11]</sup> that "it is merely a short-hand description of two equations" is acceptable.

Newton's another criticism is that the limit of phase shift at the threshold cannot be  $\pi/4$  in addition to a multiple of  $\pi$ . In the reply paper<sup>[11]</sup> Poliatzky agreed that there is a certain constraint to rule out this surprising case<sup>[12]</sup>. However, he still stated that this case cannot be ruled out completely in a conventional proof of Levinson's theorem. As a matter of fact, in the proof of Levinson's theorem by the Sturm-Liouville theorem the surprising case has been ruled out completely. (see (15b) in Ref.[2] and (14) in Ref.[3])

It is easy to see that the stronger statement (3) of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation is not generally correct. The main point is that the limit of the phase shifts at thresholds for the Dirac equation may be negative, but the number of nodes, or the number of bound states, is a non-negative integer. Why may the limit of the phase shift be negative for an effective Schrödinger-type equation? The reason is that the effective potential in the effective Schrödinger-type equations is singular when the potential  $V$  is finite, but strong enough (see Eqs.(22) and (23) in [8] when  $V = \pm 2M$ ). For those equations Levinson's theorem is not effective. In the same reason, Poliatzky's proof for the statement that the sum of the numbers of nodes,  $n_\kappa(M) + n_\kappa(-M)$ , is equal to the number of bound states  $N_\kappa$  is wrong. Besides, there are two radial functions for the wave function of the Dirac equation. The numbers of two radial functions may not be same. One has to determine which radial function the nodes counted in (3) belong to. It seems that Poliatzky assumed<sup>[8]</sup> that  $n_\kappa(M)$  is the number of nodes of  $u_{1M\kappa}$ , and  $n_\kappa(-M)$  is that of  $u_{2-M\kappa}$ .

Now, we are going to study this problem in more detail. Discuss the Dirac equation with a spherically symmetric potential  $V(r)$ . For simplicity, we assume the potential

is cutoff:  $V(r) = 0$ , if  $r > r_0$ . Let

$$\Psi_{\kappa m E}(r) = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} i f_{\kappa E}(r) \phi_{\kappa m}(\theta, \varphi) \\ g_{\kappa E}(r) \phi_{-\kappa m}(\theta, \varphi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where  $\phi_{\kappa m}(\theta, \varphi)$  is the two-component spherical spinor<sup>[7]</sup> and the radial functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the radial equation:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{\kappa E} + \frac{\kappa}{r} f_{\kappa E} &= - (E - V + M) g_{\kappa E}, \\ g'_{\kappa E} - \frac{\kappa}{r} g_{\kappa E} &= (E - V - M) f_{\kappa E} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the radial functions  $f$  and  $g$  are proportional to  $u_1$  and  $-u_2$  used in [8], respectively.

The solution with  $-\kappa$  and  $E$  can be obtained from that with  $\kappa$  and  $-E$  by exchange  $f_{-\kappa E} \leftrightarrow g_{\kappa-E}$  and by the replacement  $V \rightarrow -V$ . In the following we only discuss the solution with positive  $\kappa$ . Assume  $\kappa > 1$  if without notification. Near the origin there is only one physically admissible solution so that the ratio of two radial functions at  $r = r_0$  is determined.

In the region  $r > r_0$  we have  $V = 0$ . There are two oscillatory solutions when  $|E| > M$ . Through appropriate combination they can meet the match condition at  $r = r_0$ :

$$\left. \frac{f_{\kappa E}(r)}{g_{\kappa E}(r)} \right|_{r_0-} \equiv A_{\kappa}(E) = \left. \frac{f_{\kappa E}(r)}{g_{\kappa E}(r)} \right|_{r_0+} \quad (6)$$

The phase shift is determined from this condition (see (14) in [3]):

$$\tan \delta_{\kappa}(E) \sim - \frac{\pi (kr_0)^{2\kappa-1}}{(2\kappa-1)!!(2\kappa-3)!!} \frac{A_{\kappa}(E) + 2Mr_0/(2\kappa+1)}{A_{\kappa}(E) + 2M(2\kappa-1)/(k^2r_0)}, \quad (7a)$$

when  $E > M$  and  $E \sim M$ , and

$$\tan \delta_{\kappa}(E) \sim - \frac{\pi (kr_0)^{2\kappa-1}}{(2\kappa-1)!!(2\kappa-3)!!} \frac{A_{\kappa}(E) - k^2r_0/\{2M(2\kappa+1)\}}{A_{\kappa}(E) - \frac{2\kappa-1}{2Mr_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{k^2r_0^2}{(2\kappa-1)(2\kappa-3)} \right]}, \quad (7b)$$

when  $E < -M$  and  $E \sim -M$ , where  $k = (E^2 - M^2)^{1/2}$ . Therefore, the phase shift is monotonic with respect to the ratio  $A_{\kappa}(E)$  (see (15) in [3]):

$$\left. \frac{\partial \delta_{\kappa}(E)}{\partial A_{\kappa}(E)} \right|_E < 0 \quad \text{when } E > M, \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\partial \delta_{\kappa}(E)}{\partial A_{\kappa}(E)} \right|_E > 0 \quad \text{when } E < -M \quad (8)$$

From (7) we see that the limits of the phase shifts at  $E = \pm M$  are multiples of  $\pi$  when  $\kappa > 1$ . When  $A_{\kappa}(M)$  decreases (or increases) across infinity ( $g_{\kappa M}(r_0) = 0$ ),  $\delta_{\kappa}(M)$  jumps by a  $\pi$  (or  $-\pi$ ), and when  $A_{\kappa}(-M)$  decreases (or increases) across the value  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_1 = (2\kappa-1)/(2Mr_0)$ ,  $\delta_{\kappa}(-M)$  jumps by a  $-\pi$  (or  $\pi$ ). When  $\kappa = 1$  and  $A_1(-M)$  decreases (or increases) to the value  $\rho_1$ ,  $\delta_1(-M)$  jumps by a  $-\pi/2$  (or  $\pi/2$ ). For this critical case where  $A_1(-M) = \rho_1$ , there is a finite solution at  $E = -M$ , called half

bound state (see (16) for  $\kappa = 1$ ). The case that  $\delta_\kappa(M)$  or  $\delta_\kappa(-M)$  is equal to  $\pi/4$  in addition to a multiple of  $\pi$  is ruled out by (7).

On the other hand, when  $|E| < M$  there is only one convergent solution in the region  $r > r_0$  so that the match condition (6) is not always satisfied. When the condition (6) is satisfied, a bound state appears at this energy. The generalized Sturm-Liouville theorem says (see (17) in [3]) that as the energy  $E$  or  $-V$  increases, the ratio  $A_\kappa(E)$  decreases monotonically, and as the energy  $E$  increases, the ratio  $f_{\kappa E}(r_0+)/g_{\kappa E}(r_0+)$  with  $|E| < M$  increases monotonically. From the solution in the region  $r > r_0$  we have (see (18b) in [3]):

$$\left. \frac{f_{\kappa E}(r)}{g_{\kappa E}(r)} \right|_{r_0+} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } E = M \\ \frac{2\kappa - 1}{2Mr_0} \equiv \rho_1 & \text{if } E = -M \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

If  $V = 0$ , we have (see (18a) in [3]):

$$A_\kappa(M) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2Mr_0}{2\kappa + 1} \equiv -\rho_2 & \text{if } E = M \\ 0 & \text{if } E = -M \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see from (9) and (10) that there is no overlap for two varying ranges of two ratios such that there is no bound state for the case  $V = 0$ . When  $V$  decreases from zero,  $f_{\kappa E}(r_0+)/g_{\kappa E}(r_0+)$  with  $|E| < M$  does not change, but  $A_\kappa(E)$  does decrease. Each time  $A_\kappa(M)$  decreases across infinity ( $g_{\kappa E}(r_0) = 0$ ), a new overlap for two ranges occurs, namely, a scattering state of positive energy becomes a bound state. At the same time  $\delta_\kappa(M)$  jumps by  $\pi$ . On the other hand, each time  $A_\kappa(-M)$  decreases across the value  $\rho_1$ , an overlap disappears, so that a bound state becomes a scattering state of negative energy,  $\delta_\kappa(-M)$  jumps by  $-\pi$ . If  $V$  increases from zero (repulsive potential), the process goes conversely, namely, if  $A_\kappa(-M)$  increases across the value  $\rho_1$ , a scattering state of negative energy changes to a bound state and  $\delta_\kappa(-M)$  jumps by  $\pi$ , and if  $A_\kappa(M)$  increases across infinity, a bound state changes to a scattering state of positive energy and  $\delta_\kappa(M)$  jumps by  $-\pi$ . For  $\kappa = 1$  we have to consider the possible half bound state.

It is the essence of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation.

Now, we give a calculable example to show the relations between the phase shifts and the numbers of nodes explicitly. Consider a square well potential:

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} -\lambda & \text{if } r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } r > r_0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The potential  $V$  is attractive when  $\lambda > 0$ , and is repulsive when  $\lambda < 0$ . Solve (5) at the energies  $E = \pm M$ .

i)  $E = M$

In the region  $r_0 < r$  where  $V = 0$ , the solution for  $E = M$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\kappa M}(r) &= f_{\kappa M}(r_0) \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-\kappa} - \frac{2Mr_0}{2\kappa + 1} g_{\kappa M}(r_0) \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{\kappa+1} - \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-\kappa} \right\} \\ g_{\kappa M}(r) &= g_{\kappa M}(r_0) \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In this region there is no node for  $g_{\kappa M}(r)$ , unless  $g_{\kappa M}(r_0) = 0$ . In the latter case  $g_{\kappa M}(r) = 0$  for  $r \geq r_0$ . For this case we say  $g_{\kappa M}(r)$  has a node at  $r = r_0$  as usual.  $f_{\kappa M}(r)$  has one node in the region  $r > r_0$  if  $A_{\kappa}(M) > 0$ , and no node if  $A_{\kappa}(M) \leq 0$ . Obviously,  $f_{\kappa M}(r)$  has a node at  $r_0$  when  $A_{\kappa}(M) = 0$ . When  $A_{\kappa}(M)$  goes to infinity, ( $g_{\kappa M}(r_0) = 0$ ) we have a bound state of energy  $M$ :

$$f_{\kappa M}(r) = f_{\kappa M}(r_0) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\kappa}, \quad g_{\kappa M}(r) = 0, \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0 \quad (13)$$

From the solutions for  $E = M$  in the region  $0 \leq r \leq r_0$  [3], we obtain the ratio  $A_{\kappa}(M)$  that decreases monotonically as  $\lambda$  increases:

$$A_{\kappa}(M) = \begin{cases} -\left\{\frac{2M + \lambda}{\lambda}\right\}^{1/2} \frac{J_{\kappa+1/2}(p_1 r_0)}{J_{\kappa-1/2}(p_1 r_0)}, & \text{if } \lambda \geq 0 \\ \left\{\frac{2M - |\lambda|}{|\lambda|}\right\}^{1/2} \frac{i J_{\kappa+1/2}(i p_2 r_0)}{J_{\kappa-1/2}(i p_2 r_0)}, & \text{if } 0 > \lambda > -2M \\ \left\{\frac{|\lambda| - 2M}{|\lambda|}\right\}^{1/2} \frac{J_{\kappa+1/2}(p_3 r_0)}{J_{\kappa-1/2}(p_3 r_0)}, & \text{if } \lambda \leq -2M \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where  $p_1 = \{|\lambda|(|\lambda| + 2M)\}^{1/2}$ ,  $p_2 = \{|\lambda|(2M - |\lambda|)\}^{1/2}$  and  $p_3 = \{|\lambda|(|\lambda| - 2M)\}^{1/2}$ , and  $J_{\ell}(r)$  is the Bessel function.

ii)  $E = -M$

The solution in the region  $r_0 < r$  for  $E = -M$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\kappa-M}(r) &= f_{\kappa-M}(r_0) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\kappa} \\ g_{\kappa-M}(r) &= g_{\kappa-M}(r_0) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\kappa} + \frac{2Mr_0}{2\kappa - 1} f_{\kappa-M}(r_0) \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\kappa+1} - \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{\kappa} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In this region there is always a node at infinity for  $f_{\kappa-M}(r)$ . When  $f_{\kappa-M}(r_0) = 0$ ,  $f_{\kappa-M}(r) = 0$  in the region  $r \geq r_0$ .  $g_{\kappa-M}(r)$  has no node if  $A_{\kappa}(-M) < \rho_1$  and one node if  $A_{\kappa}(-M) \geq \rho_1$ . When  $A_{\kappa}(-M) = \rho_1$  we have a bound state of energy  $-M$ :

$$f_{\kappa-M}(r) = f_{\kappa-M}(r_0) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\kappa}, \quad g_{\kappa-M}(r) = g_{\kappa-M}(r_0) \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^{-\kappa+1} \quad (16)$$

When  $\kappa = 1$  it is a half bound state. From the solutions for  $E = -M$  in the region  $r \leq r_0$  [3] we obtain the ratio  $A_{\kappa}(-M)$  that decreases monotonically as  $\lambda$  increases:

$$A_{\kappa}(-M) = \begin{cases} \left\{\frac{|\lambda|}{|\lambda| + 2M}\right\}^{1/2} \frac{J_{\kappa+1/2}(p_1 r_0)}{J_{\kappa-1/2}(p_1 r_0)}, & \text{if } \lambda \leq 0 \\ -\left\{\frac{\lambda}{2M - \lambda}\right\}^{1/2} \frac{i J_{\kappa+1/2}(i p_2 r_0)}{J_{\kappa-1/2}(i p_2 r_0)}, & \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 2M \\ -\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 2M}\right\}^{1/2} \frac{J_{\kappa+1/2}(p_3 r_0)}{J_{\kappa-1/2}(p_3 r_0)}, & \text{if } \lambda \geq 2M \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Carefully counting the nodes of  $f_{\kappa \pm M}(r)$  and  $g_{\kappa \pm M}(r)$  when  $\lambda$  increases and decreases from zero, we reach the following conclusions:

a) (2) is the correct statement of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation.

b) As a stronger statement of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation, (3) is not general correct, especially for a very strong potential ( $|V| \geq 2M$ ).

c) In the example of a square well potential, (3) can be modified as follows. For positive  $\kappa$ , if  $\delta_\kappa(M) \geq 0$ ,  $\delta_\kappa(M)/\pi$  is equal to the number of nodes of  $g_{\kappa M}(r)$ , which is the same as that of  $f_{\kappa M}(r)$ ; if  $\delta_\kappa(M) < 0$ ,  $-\delta_\kappa(M)/\pi$  is equal to the number of nodes of  $g_{\kappa M}(r)$  in the region  $0 < r < r_0$ ; if  $\delta_\kappa(-M) \geq 0$ ,  $(\delta_\kappa(-M)/\pi) - \sin^2(\delta_\kappa(-M))/2$  is equal to the number of nodes of  $g_{\kappa-M}(r)$ ; and if  $\delta_\kappa(-M) < 0$ ,  $-(\delta_\kappa(-M)/\pi) + \sin^2(\delta_\kappa(-M))/2$  is equal to the number of nodes of  $g_{\kappa-M}(r)$  in the region  $0 < r < r_0$  subtracting the number of its nodes in the region  $r > r_0$ . However, it is still an open problem whether there is and what is the stronger statement of Levinson's theorem for the Dirac equation.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Professor Chen Ning Yang for drawing his attention to the papers [8] and [9]. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Grant No. LWTZ-1298 of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

## References

- [1] N. Levinson, K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Mat-fys. Medd. **25**, No.9 (1949).
- [2] Zhong-Qi Ma, J. Math. Phys. **26**, 1995 (1985).
- [3] Zhong-Qi Ma, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 2203 (1985).
- [4] R. G. Newton, *Scattering theory of waves and particles*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982, 2nd ed.
- [5] R. G. Newton, J. Math. Phys. **1**, 319, (1960).
- [6] M. -C. Barthélémy, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **A7**, 115 (1967).
- [7] Zhong-Qi Ma and Guang-Jiong Ni, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 1482 (1985).
- [8] N. Poliatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2507 (1993).
- [9] N. Poliatzky, Helv. Phys. Acta **66**, 241 (1993).
- [10] R. G. Newton, Helv. Phys. Acta **67**, 20 (1994).
- [11] N. Poliatzky, "Normalization of scattering states and Levinson's theorem: reply to a comment by R. G. Newton [Helv. Phys. Acta 67, 20 (1994)]", preprint hep-th/9411197.
- [12] M. Sassoli de Bianchi, J. Math. Phys. **35**, 2719 (1994).