## Superconvergent Perturbation M ethod in Q uantum M echanics

W olfgang Scherer
Institut fur Theoretische Physik A
TU Clausthal
Leibnizstr. 10
D {38678 Clausthal{Zellerfeld
Germany

## A bstract

An analogue of Kolm ogorov's superconvergent perturbation theory in classical mechanics is constructed for self-adjoint operators. It is dierent from the usual Rayleigh (Schrodinger perturbation theory and yields expansions for eigenvalues and eigenvectors in terms of functions of the perturbation parameter.

PACS Code: 03.65.-w, 31.15+q, 02.30 M v, 02.90.+p

1. Introduction. About a century ago Lindstedt [1], Poincare [2], and von Zeipel [3] developed a perturbation theory for Ham iltonian systems in classical mechanics (CM). The method was mainly used in celestial mechanics but in most cases failed to converge due to the appearance of small divisors. It was only in the flies that Kolmogorov [4] proposed a new quadratically convergent perturbation method for Ham iltonian systems in CM and this (nowadays called superconvergent) method was essential in A mold's proof of the KAM theorem [5].

In this letter an exact analogue of Kolmogorov's superconvergent method is constructed for self-adjoint operators. So far \superconvergent" is only a name for this new method and a detailed functional analytic investigation will have to determ ine how much the new perturbation theory constructed here is an improvement on existing schemes. There are, however, indications that this will be so: The rst comes from the fact that we have also constructed an analogue of the Poincare {von Zeipelperturbation theory for self adjoint operators and have shown that this analogue is identical to the usual Rayleigh { Schrodinger perturbation theory [6]. Since in CM the superconvergent method is a vast improvement on the Poincare {von Zeipelmethod we may expect the same in Quantum Mechanics (QM). The second comes from initial numerical studies.

2. General Algorithm of the Superconvergent M ethod. Let  $H_0^0$  be the unperturbed H am iltonian (CM: function on phase space, QM: operator) and let

$$H^{0}() = \frac{x^{1}}{p} + \frac{p}{p!} H^{0}_{p}$$
 (1)

be the perturbed H am iltonian where the H  $_{\rm p}^{\rm 0}$  do not depend on the perturbation param eter . M oreover, let

$$W^{n}() := \frac{x^{k}}{p!} W^{n}_{p+1}$$
 (2)

and let W () be generators (CM: functions on phase space, QM: operators) of (CM: canonical, QM: unitary) ows (  $^n$  ( ))  $^1$  with \time". This means that the transform ations  $^n$  ( ) satisfy the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{d}$$
 "() = adW"() "(); "(0) = id (3)

where in CM the  $^{\rm n}$  () act on any phase space function A , via

$$^{n}$$
 ()  $(A) = A$   $^{n}$  ()  $(4)$ 

and where in CM

$$adW^{n}()(A) = fW^{n}();Aq;$$
 (5)

and f ; g denotes the Poisson bracket. In QM self-adjoint operators W() generate one parameter groups of unitary transform ations  $(^n())^1$  such that the  $^n()$  solve the same initial value problem as given in (3) but where the  $^n()$  act on operators A via

$$^{n}()(A) = ^{n}()^{1}A^{n}()$$
 (6)

and where now

$$adW^{n}()(A) := \frac{i}{h}[W^{n}();A]$$
 (7)

and [ ; ] denotes the commutator. W ith the understanding that the appropriate denition (5) or (7) (depending on whether one is dealing with CM or QM) is chosen we proceed to present K olm ogorov's superconvergent method. In the following it will be useful to have an expansion for  $^n$  () in terms of operators  $T_p^n$  independent of . Writing

$${}^{n}() = \sum_{p=0}^{x^{2}} \frac{p}{p!} T_{p}^{n}$$
 (8)

one  $% \left( T_{p}^{n}\right) =T_{p}^{n}$  are recursively determined by  $T_{0}^{n}=% \left( T_{p}^{n}\right) =T_{p}^{n}$  and

$$T_{p+1}^{n} = \begin{cases} X^{p} & p \\ & p \\ & 1 \end{cases} \text{ adW } _{p+1}^{n} \quad T_{p+1}^{n} :$$
 (9)

In CM the  $T_p^n$  are di erential operators such that  $T_p^n$  (A) means application of  $T_p^n$  to the phase space function A whereas in QM they are operators acting on operators.

K olm ogorov's m ethod consists of nding the generators  $W^n$  ( ) in such a way that

$$K^{n 1}() = n^{1}() \quad n^{2}() \quad (10)$$

has an expansion in

$$K^{n-1}() := \sum_{p=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{p}{p!} K_{p}^{n-1}$$
 (11)

with \integrable" terms up to order  $2^{n-1}-1$  (whereas in CM the term integrable has a well defined meaning this fails to be the case in QM; however, we only use the notion of integrability to motivate the superconvergent method, all its equations are well defined in CM without any need to define integrability and will be well defined operator equations in QM even though the term integrability is not). Then a new perturbed Hamiltonian  $H^{n-1}() = K^{n-1}()$  is defined such that its unperturbed part  $H^{n-1}_0$  consists of the integrable part of  $K^{n-1}$  and its perturbation is of the order  $2^{n-1}$ . We can summarize the iterative procedure as follows (for a more detailed discussion we refer the reader to [7] with the warming that our notation differs from theirs):

result of n 1:	choice of ":	result of ":
H <sup>n 1</sup>	W <sup>n</sup>	H <sup>n</sup>
H 0 1	$W_{p}^{n} = 0$ , $1 p < 2^{n-1}$	$H_0^n = H_0^{n-1}$
(int. up to 0 $(2^{n-1} - 1)$ )	$\operatorname{adW}_{p}^{n}(\operatorname{H}_{0}^{n-1}) =$	$     \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	$H_{p}^{n-1}$ $H_{p}^{n-1}$ , $2^{n-1}$ $p < 2^{n}$	(int. up to 0 $(2^n 1)$ )
$H_p^{n-1} = 0, 1 p < 2^{n-1}$	with adH $_{0}^{n-1}$ (H $_{p}^{n-1}$ ) = 0	
(no perturbation)	$W_{p}^{n} = 0, \qquad 2^{n}  p$	
	leads to	$H_p^n = 0,   1   p < 2^n$
$H_{p}^{n 1} = K_{p}^{n 1}, 2^{n 1}$ p	$K_0^n = H_0^{n-1}$	(no perturbation)
	$K_{p}^{n} = 0,$	
	$K_{p}^{n} = \overline{H_{p}^{n}}, \qquad 2^{n} \qquad p < 2^{n}$	$H_{p}^{n} = K_{p}^{n}, \qquad 2^{n}  p$
	$K_{p}^{n} = H_{p}^{n}$	
	$+ \begin{array}{c} P^{p} p & p & 1 \\ j=1 & j & 1 \end{array}$ adW $_{j}^{n}$ (K $_{p}^{n}$ )	
	$+T_{pj}^{n}(H_{j}^{n})$ , $2^{n}$ p	

The m eaning of is to be understood as follows. Assume H  $^{n-1}$  is of the form given in the left column and choose W  $_p^n=0$  for  $1-p<2^{n-1}$ . Then one nds rst K  $_0^n=H_0^{n-1}$ ; K  $_p^n=0$ , for  $1-p<2^{n-1}$  and

$$K_{p}^{n} = adW_{p}^{n} (H_{0}^{n}) + H_{p}^{n}; 2^{n} p < 2^{n}; (12)$$

Since  $H_0^{n-1}$  is already integrable up to 0 ( $2^{n-1}$  1) and n should in prove this, one would like to have that the  $K_p^n$  for  $2^{n-1}$   $p < 2^n$  are integrable. Consequently the crucial point

in this procedure becomes the construction of the W  $_{\rm p}^{~n}$  and some  $\overline{H~_{\rm p}^{~n-1}}~$  for  $2^{n-1}$ such that

$$adW_{p}^{n}(H_{0}^{n-1}) = \overline{H_{p}^{n-1}} \quad H_{p}^{n-1}$$
 (13)

where  $\overline{H_{p}^{n-1}}$  is such that

$$adH_{p}^{n} (\overline{H_{p}^{n}}) = 0:$$
 (14)

Then it follows from (12) that  $K_p^n = \overline{H_p^{n-1}}$  and from (14) that they commute with the unperturbed H am iltonian H $_0^n$  of the previous step. The table sum m arizing the m ethod om its these intermediate steps and shows only the results once (13) and (14) have been solved.

In CM (13) and (14) can be satis ed with the help of the averaging method and  $\overline{H_p^{n-1}}$  turns out to be the average of  $H_p^{n-1}$  over the angle variables of the toriwhich are assumed to be compact. It should be noted, however, that the  $\frac{H^{k}}{p}$  in general depend such that (15) is actually an expansion in terms of functions of the perturbation param eter. Since H  $_0^{\rm n}$  is assumed to be integrable (14) in plies that  $\overline{{\rm H}_{\rm p}^{\rm n}}$  and hence H  $_0^{\rm n}$ are integrable as well.

Hence, after the nth transform ation one has a H am iltonian H $^{\rm n}$ () where

$$H_{0}^{n} = X^{n} \underbrace{Q}_{k=0}^{2^{k}} \underbrace{Q}_{p=2^{k-1}}^{1} \underbrace{P}_{p} \underbrace{H_{p}^{k-1}}_{p} A$$
(15)

is integrable and the perturbations H  $_{p}^{n}$  are of order  $2^{n}$  or higher.

3. Superconvergent M ethod for Self Adjoint Operators. With our previous notation equations (13) and (14) become operator equations in QM which have to be solved for each step. We can construct operators W  $_{p}^{n}$ ;  $\overline{H}_{p}^{n-1}$  satisfying (13) and (14) with the help of the following quantum analogue of the classical averaging procedure [6] which is a modied version of an idea used by Weinstein [8] in the context of pseudodi erential operators: Let A; B be self adjoint operators such that

$$B^{(A)}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{h_{T}}tA\right)B \exp\left(\frac{i}{h}tA\right)$$
 (16)

$$\overline{B}^{(A)} := \lim_{T \downarrow 1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} B^{(A)}(t) dt$$
 (17)

$$\overline{B}^{(A)} := \lim_{\substack{T \mid 1}} \frac{1}{T} \overline{B}^{(A)}(t) dt \qquad (17)$$

$$S^{(A)}(B) := \lim_{\substack{T \mid 1}} \frac{1}{T} \overline{d}^{(A)}(t) ds \quad B^{(A)}(s) \quad \overline{B}^{(A)}(s)$$

exist and

$$\lim_{T \,! \, 1} \, \frac{B^{(A)}(T) - B}{T} = 0 \tag{19}$$

then the following holds

$$\operatorname{ad}\overline{B}^{(A)}(A) = \frac{i}{h}\overline{B}^{(A)};A = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (20)$$

ad 
$$S^{(A)}(A) = \frac{i}{h}[S^{(A)}(B);A] = \overline{B}^{(A)}$$
 B: (21)

Proof:

ad 
$$\overline{B}^{(A)}$$
 (A) =  $\lim_{T! = 1} \frac{1}{T} \frac{Z_{T}}{0} \frac{\dot{1}}{h} B^{(A)}$  (t); A  $\int_{T! = 1}^{L} \frac{1}{T} \frac{Z_{T}}{0} \frac{d}{dt} B^{(A)}$  (t) dt =  $\lim_{T! = 1} \frac{B^{(A)}(T)}{T} = 0$ 

by assumption and thus

ad 
$$S^{(A)}(B)(A) = \lim_{T! \to 1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \int_{0}^{Z} ds \frac{i}{h} [B^{(A)}(s); A] = \lim_{T! \to 1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \int_{0}^{Z} ds \frac{d}{ds} B^{(A)}(s)$$

$$= \lim_{T! \to 1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{Z} dt B^{(A)}(s) B = \overline{B}^{(A)} B$$

which completes the proof. Setting now  $W_p^n = S^{(H_0^{n-1})}(H_p^{n-1})$  solves (13) and (14) in QM with  $\overline{H_p^{n-1}} = \overline{H_p^{n-1}}^{(H_0^{n-1})} = :\overline{H_p^{(n-1)}}$  where the last equation introduces a simplified notation.

4. Interpretation of the Algorithm for SelfAdjoint Operators. The results of section 3 show that we can execute Kolmogorov's superconvergent perturbation algorithm in QM as well. But what has been gained? By construction  $H^n$  () and the original perturbed Ham iltonian  $H^0$  () are unitarily equivalent:

$$H^{n}() = {}^{n}()^{1} {}^{1}()^{1} H^{0}()^{1}() {}^{n}()$$
 (22)

and thus have identical spectra. Since, after the set transformation  $[H_0^0; \overline{H_1}^{(0)}] = 0$ , we can diagonalize  $H_0^0$  and  $\overline{H_1}^{(0)}$  simultaneously which permits us to diagonalize  $H_0^1$  :=  $H_0^0 + \overline{H_1}^{(0)}$ . After the second transformation we have

$$\mathbb{H}_{0}^{1};\overline{H_{2}}^{(1)}] = 0 = \mathbb{H}_{0}^{1};\overline{H_{3}}^{(1)}]$$
 (23)

and thus we can diagonalize

$$H_0^2 = H_0^0 + \overline{H_1}^{(0)} + \frac{2}{2!} \overline{H_2}^{(1)} + \frac{3}{3!} \overline{H_3}^{(1)}$$
 (24)

etc. In this way we arrive after n transform ations at a diagonal H  $_0^n$  () whose eigenvalues E  $_j^n$  () approximate those of H  $^n$  () and thus of H  $^0$  (). The eigenvectors jji  $^n$  of H  $_0^n$  are known by construction and they approximate those of H  $^n$ . Because of (22) it follows that  $^1$  ()  $^n$  ()jji are the appropriately approximated eigenvectors of the original perturbed H  $^0$  (). In formulae: If H  $^0$  () jji () = E  $_{\rm j}$  () jji () then

$$E_{j}() = E_{j}^{n}() + O(2^{n})$$
 (25)

$$jji() = {}^{1}() {}^{n}()jji^{n} + O(2^{n});$$
 (26)

Since the  $\overline{H_p}^{(n)}$  depend on  $\$  the E  $_j^n$  ( ) are no longer a pure power series expansion but should be viewed as an expansion in terms of functions of . These functions them selves have in general in nite series expansions in so that already low orders  $E_{i}^{n}$  () contain contributions of all orders in . The example treated in the next section will make this point clearer.

5. An Example: Discrete and Nondegenerate Spectrum. It remains to show that the m ethod constructed her is truly distinct from the usualRayleigh (Schrodinger perturbation theory. For this purpose we sketch the results for the case of a Hamiltonian  $H_0^0$  = j jjiE  $_{j}^{0}$  hjjw ith purely discrete and nondegenerate spectrum and a perturbation only linear in , i.e. H  $_{1}^{0}$  =  $_{j,k}^{0}$  jjiV  $_{jk}$  hk jand H  $_{p}^{0}$  = 0 for p 2. In this case the eigenvectors jji of H  $_{0}^{1}$  = H  $_{0}^{0}$  +  $_{1}^{0}$   $_{1}^{0}$  and jji of H  $_{0}^{0}$  coincide because H  $_{0}^{0}$  and  $_{1}^{0}$  commute and H  $_{0}^{0}$ has nondegenerate spectrum . Continuing this argument inductively it is easy to see that  $H_0^0$  and all  $H_0^n$  have the same eigenvectors jji. By straightforward calculation one nds

$$W_{p}^{n} = \frac{h}{i} \frac{X}{j + k} j j i \frac{h j H_{p}^{n-1} k i}{E_{j}^{n-1} E_{k}^{n-1}} h k j$$
 (27)

$$W_{p}^{n} = \frac{h}{i} \frac{X}{j \in k} j j i \frac{h j H_{p}^{n-1} j k i}{E_{j}^{n-1} E_{k}^{n-1}} h k j$$

$$\overline{H_{p}}^{(n-1)} = X_{j}^{n-1} j j j i h j j$$
(28)

$$E_{j}^{n} = E_{j}^{0} + E_{j}^{0} + \frac{X^{n}}{2X^{n}} + \frac{2X^{n}}{2X^{n}} + \frac{1}{1!} E_{j}^{(1)} A$$
(29)

the algorithm presented in section 2 one obtains

$$H_{2}^{1} = \frac{i}{h} W_{1}^{1}; \overline{H_{1}}^{(0)} + H_{1}^{0}]$$
 (30)

$$H_{3}^{1} = \frac{\dot{1}}{h} W_{1}^{1}; W_{1}^{1}; \overline{H}_{1}^{(0)} + 2H_{1}^{0}]$$
(31)

$$H_{4}^{2} = \frac{\dot{1}}{h} {}^{3} \mathbb{W}_{1}^{1}; \mathbb{W}_{1}^{1}; \mathbb{W}_{1}^{1}; \overline{H}_{1}^{(0)} + 3H_{1}^{0}]]] + 3\frac{\dot{1}}{h} \mathbb{W}_{2}^{2}; \overline{H}_{2}^{(1)} + H_{2}^{1}];$$
(32)

The appearance of the denominators  $E_j^{n-1}$   $E_k^{n-1}$  in  $W_p^n$  leads to a perturbation theory substantially dierent from the usual Rayleigh (Schrodinger theory. However, this di erence only shows up in the fourth and higher order terms since in H  $_2^1$  and H  $_3^1$  only com m utators with W  $_1^1$  appear which contains only the usual Rayleigh {Schrodinger denom inator  $E_i^0$   $E_k^0$ . Indeed, one nds

$$E_{j}^{(1)} = V_{jj} \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{1}{2!} E_{j}^{(2)} = X_{j \in k} \frac{J y_{jk} J}{E_{j}^{0} E_{k}^{0}}$$
(34)

$$\frac{1}{3!} E_{j}^{(3)} = \frac{X}{m \in j \in k} \frac{V_{jk} V_{km} V_{mj}}{(E_{j}^{0} - E_{k}^{0}) (E_{j}^{0} - E_{m}^{0})} = \frac{X}{m \in j \in k} \frac{J V_{jk} J V_{jj}}{(E_{j}^{0} - E_{k}^{0})^{2}}$$
(35)

showing that up to 0 (3) the new method coincides with the usual Rayleigh {Schrodinger perturbation theory. But H $_4^2$  contains W $_2^2$  with denominators of the form E $_j^1$  E $_k^1$  = E $_j^0$  E $_k^0$  + ( $V_{jj}$   $V_{kk}$ ) which are functions of and which appear as denominators in

$$\begin{split} E_{j}^{(4)} = & 24 & \frac{x}{j^{2}} \frac{j^{2} (V_{11} V_{2j})^{2}}{(E_{j}^{0} E_{1}^{0})^{2} (E_{j}^{1} E_{1}^{1})} \\ + & 6 & \frac{x}{j^{2}} \frac{V_{j1} V_{1k} V_{km} V_{mj}}{E_{j}^{1} E_{k}^{1}} \frac{1}{E_{j}^{0} E_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{E_{1}^{0} E_{k}^{0}} \frac{1}{E_{k}^{0} E_{k}^{0}} \frac{1}{E_{k}^{0} E_{0}^{0}} \frac{1}{E_{k}^{0} E_{0}^{0}} \\ + & 12 & \frac{x}{j^{2}} V_{j1} V_{1k} V_{kj} \frac{V_{11} V_{kk}}{(E_{j}^{0} E_{1}^{0}) (E_{1}^{0} E_{k}^{0}) (E_{k}^{0} E_{j}^{0})} \\ + & \frac{V_{11} V_{kk}}{(E_{j}^{0} E_{1}^{0}) (E_{1}^{1} E_{k}^{0}) (E_{k}^{0} E_{j}^{0})} \\ + & \frac{V_{11} V_{jj}}{(E_{j}^{0} E_{1}^{0}) (E_{j}^{1} E_{k}^{1})} \frac{1}{E_{1}^{0} E_{k}^{0}} \frac{1}{E_{k}^{0} E_{j}^{0}} \\ + & \frac{V_{kk} V_{jj}}{(E_{j}^{0} E_{k}^{0}) (E_{j}^{1} E_{k}^{1})} \frac{1}{E_{j}^{0} E_{1}^{0}} \frac{1}{E_{1}^{0} E_{k}^{0}} \\ + & \frac{x}{k^{6}} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{j^{6}} \frac{9}{16} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{1$$

As an example we quote the result for the ground state correction up to  $E_0^{(4)}$  for the quartic perturbation  $H_1^0 = x^4$  of the harm onic oscillator  $H_0^0 = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2$  (with h = 1)

$$E_0^0()_{SU} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{21}{16}^2 + \frac{333}{64}^3 + \frac{3(1317760 + 12935472 + 36433368^2 + 25183305^3)}{2048(4 + 9)(4 + 15)(4 + 21)}^4 + O(^5)$$

which approximates the numerically computed eigenvalues [9] much better than the standard fourth order Rayleigh (Schrödinger correction

$$E_0^0()_{RS} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{21}{16}^2 + \frac{333}{64}^3 + \frac{30885}{1024}^4 + 0 (5)$$
: (36)

This example also illustrates the statements made earlier that the lower order corrections  $E_{j}^{(n)}$  already contain in nite power series of which may be the reason for improved convergence.

6. Conclusion. It should be emphasized that the method presented here is very general and in principle applicable to any self-adjoint operator A and perturbation B

provided they (and the higher order operators) satisfy the conditions needed to construct  $\overline{B}^{(A)}$  and  $S^{(A)}$  (B) in (17) and (18). It is also designed to include analytic perturbations which are not necessarily only linear in the perturbation parameter. Moreover, it gives the corrections as integrals of the form  $\overline{B}^{(A)}$  which, if desired, can be exhibited as sum s over intermediate states as was done in section 5, but which may be evaluated directly circum venting the calculation of these sums which may sometimes be impossible. This was already shown to be an advantage of the formulation of the usual Rayleigh (Schrodinger theory as an analogue of the classical Poincare (von Zeipel perturbation method in [6].

The method will be presented in more detail in a forthcoming longer paper [10].

## R eferences

- [1] A. Lindstedt, Abh. K. Akad. Wiss. St. Petersburg 31, no. 4 (1882).
- [2] H. Poincare, Les Methodes Nouvelles de la Mecanique Celeste I, II, III (Reprint by Dover Publ., New York, 1957).
- [3] H. von Zeipel, Ark. Astron. Mat. Phys. 11, 12, 13, (1916-17).
- [4] A.N.Kolmogorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 98, 527 (1954).
- [5] V.I.A mold, Russian Math. Surveys 18, 9 (1963).
- [6] W .Scherer, Quantum Averaging I: Poincare (von Zeipelis Rayleigh (Schrodinger, TU-Clausthal preprint A SI-TPA / 16/94, to appear in J. Phys. A.
- [7] A. J. Lichtenberg and M. A. Liebermann, Regular and Stochastic Motion (Springer, New York, 1983).
- [8] A.W einstein, Duke Math. Joum. 44, 883 (1977).
- [9] A.Galindo and P.Pascal, Quantum Mechanics II (Springer, New York, 1991).
- [10] W. Scherer, Quantum Averaging II: Superconvergent Method, TU-Clausthal preprint ASI-TPA/18/94, in preparation.