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#### Abstract

A generalanalysis of squeezing transform ations for tw om ode system s is given based on the four dim ensional real sym plectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$. W thin the fram ew ork of the unitary $m$ etaplectic representation of this group, a distinction betw een com pact photon num ber conserving and noncom pact photon num ber nonconserving squeezing transform ations is made. W e exploit the $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<) \quad S O(3 ; 2)$ local isom orphism and the $U(2)$ invariant squeezing criterion to divide the set of all squeezing transform ations into a tw o param eter fam ily of distinct equivalence classes w ith representative elem ents chosen for each class. Fam iliar tw o m ode squeezing transform ations in the literature are recognized in our fram ew ork and seen to form a set ofm easure zero. E xam ples of squeezed coherent and them al states are w orked out. T he need to extend the heterodyne detection schem e to encom pass all of $(2)$ is em phasized, and known experim entalsituationsw here allU (2) elem ents can be reproduced are brie y described.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

The theoretical analysis [1] and experim ental [0], [6], [4] realization of squeezed states of radiation continue to receive a great deal of attention. W hile much of the work so far has concemed itselfw th single m ode situations 国], 目] som e analysis oftw ofm ode states has also been presented [7], [8]. O ther nonclassicale ects of radiation beyond second order have also received attention in the literature [9]. M ore recently, a general invariant squeezing criterion for $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ ode system s has been developed by som e of us elsew here [10].

The purpose of the present paper is to study squeezing transform ations for tw o-m ode system $s$, and to develop a classi cation schem e for them $m$ otivated by the above $m$ entioned invariant squeezing criterion. Basic to all such discussions is the four-dim ensional real sym plectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$, of real linear hom ogeneous canonical transform ations, and the unitary $m$ etaplectic representation of this group acting on the $H$ ilbert space of states for a twofm ode quantum system. The structure of the noncom pact group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ ( and its $n-m$ ode counterpart $S p(2 n ;<))$ leads to a natural separation of its elem ents into passive (com pact), and active (noncom pact) types. H ere the adjectives passive and active $m$ ean total photon num ber conserving and nonconserving respectively. This group theoretical fram ew ork gives us an unam biguous way of de ning precisely the fam ily of squeezing transform ations; they are the active elem ents of $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ and they do not form a subgroup. The action of the $m$ axim alcom pact (passive) subgroup $U(2)$ of $S p(4 ;<)$ on the set of squeezing transform ations by conjugation leads to a naturalequivalence relation, leading to the em ergence of equivalence classes and convenient representative elem ents as well. In studying the physical properties of a state sub jected to squeezing, therefore, we are able to isolate the dependence on intrinsic squeezing param eters and separate them from other passive factors. A s m ight be expected, the single squeeze factor encountered in the studies of single $m$ ode states gets enlarged here to two independent intrinsic squeeze factors; and it tums out that the two $m$ ode squeezing transform ations so far studied in the literature form a very sm all subset of all the independent available possibilities.

The $m$ aterial in this paper is arranged as follow $s$ : Section $\mathbb{H}$ sets up the basic kine$m$ atics for two m ode system $s$, the Fock and Schrodinger representations and the actions of $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ on the canonical variables and states. T he variance $m$ atrix for a general state and its change under $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ are derived. A fter identifying the $m$ axim al com pact or passive $U$ (2) subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, the $\mathrm{U}(2)$ invariant squeezing criterion for two m ode system s is discussed. Section II introduces the generators for the m etaplectic representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ and brings out the connection to the $S O(3 ; 2) \mathrm{L}$ ie algebra. The photon num ber conserving com pact generators and the rem aining noncom pact ones are clearly identi ed. B ased on the polar decom position theorem for general elem ents of $\operatorname{sp}(4 ;<)$ we are then led to a precise de nition of squeezing transform ations: T hese are single exponentials of linear com binations of the noncom pact $m$ etaplectic generators. W e then proceed to break up the set of all squeezing transform ations into equivalence classes under $U$ (2) action. W e nd that these classes form a continuous tw o param eter fam ily describable by points in an octant in a two dim ensional plane. T he fam ilies of $C$ aves-Schum aker transform ations and essentially single $m$ ode transform ations correspond to one dim ensional lines bounding this octant and so are of $m$ easure zero. Section $\mathbb{I V}$ applies our form alism to two mode squeezed coherent and therm al states. In Section $V$ we see how the heterodyne detection schem e ts into our analysis. W e argue that it is necessary to experim entally realise all elem ents of the $U$ (2) subgroup of $S p(4 ;<)$; the heterodyne schem e only handles a one param eter subset of $U(2)$. Two exam ples of twom ode situations where all elem ents of $U$ (2) can be experim entally realised are brie y described. Section VI contains som e concluding rem arks.
II. SYMPLECTIC GROUP FOR TWOMODESAND THESQUEEZING CRITERION

W e consider two orthogonal modes of the radiation eld, with annihilation operators $a_{j} i j=1 ; 2$, and corresponding creation operators $a_{j}^{y}$. These tw o m odes could, for exam ple, be tw o di erent frequencies for the sam e ordi erent propagation directions and polarizations,
tw o di erent propagation directions at a com $m$ on frequency, tw o di erent polarization states of plane w aves degenerate in frequency and direction of propagation, etc. W e arrange these operators in the form of a four-com ponent colum $n$ vector:
$0 \quad 1$


$$
\begin{equation*}
a=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4: \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he superscript (c) indicates that the entries here are com plex, ie., nonherm itian, operators. For discussion of quadrature squeezing, how ever, we need to also deal w th the hem itian quadrature com ponents of these operators. Therefore we de ne another colum $n$ vector w th four herm itian entries, related to ${ }^{(c)}$ by a xed num ericalm atrix, as follow s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{j}=\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left(a_{j}+a_{j}^{y}\right) ; p_{j}=\frac{p^{i}}{2}\left(a_{j} \quad a_{j}^{y}\right) ; \\
& { }^{(c)}=\quad ; \quad=0^{1}{ }^{(c)} ; \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

The canonical com $m$ utation relations can now be written either in term sof or (in two ways) in term sof ${ }^{(c)}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[a ; b]=i_{a b} ;} \\
{\left[{ }_{a}^{(c)} ;{ }_{b}^{(c)}\right]=a b ;}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left[{ }_{a}^{(c)} ;{ }_{b}{ }^{(c)}{ }_{y}\right]={ }_{0}^{( }{ }^{3}\right)_{a b} ; \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

A general real linear hom ogeneous transform ation on the $q^{\prime} s$ and $p^{\prime} s$ is described by a 44 realm atrix $S$ acting as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
!\quad{ }^{0} & =S \\
{ }_{a}^{0} & =S_{a b b}: \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If the ${ }_{a}^{0}$ are to satisfy the sam e commutation relations as the ${ }_{a}$, the condition on $S$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad S^{T}=: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the de ning property for the elem ents of the group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$, which is a noncom pact group:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)={ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~S}=4 \quad 4 \text { realm atrix } \quad \mathrm{S} \quad S^{\mathrm{T}}={ }^{\circ}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that $={ }^{1}$ itself is an elem ent ofSp $(4 ;<)$ whereas 3 is not. Further, $\operatorname{S~} 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ imples $S ; S^{T} ; S^{1}=S^{T}{ }^{T} 2 S p(4 ;<)$ and detS $=1$ for every $S 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$.

The action of $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$ on the nonherm itian operators ${ }_{a}{ }^{(c)}$ is by a (generally) complex $m$ atrix $S^{(c)}$ related to $S$ by conjugation with :

$$
\begin{align*}
S 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<): \quad S^{0} & =S \quad S^{\text {(c) } 0} \quad{ }^{\text {(c) }} \\
S^{(c)} & =S \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e denote the H ilbert space on which and ${ }^{(c)}$ act by H. Since the herm iticity propenties and commutation relations of the a are $m$ aintained by the transform ation (2.4) for any $S 2 \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, and since the a act irreducibly on $H$, it follows from the Stone-von N eum ann theorem 11] that it should be possible to construct a unitary operator $U$ (S) on H im plem enting (2.4) via conjugation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S 2 S p(4 ;<): S_{a b}=U(S)^{1} a U(S) ; \\
U(S)^{y} U(S)=1 \text { on } H: \tag{2.8}
\end{array}
$$

This U (S ), for each $S$, is clearly arbitrary upto (and only upto by virtue of irreducibility) an S-dependent phase. Even after $m$ aking use of this freedom, how ever, it tums out that we cannot choose the operators $U(S)$ for various $S$ so as to give us a true unitary representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ on H. R ather, after $m$ axim um simpli cation, they give us a two-valued representation of this group 12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1} ; S_{2} 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<): U\left(S_{1}\right) U\left(S_{2}\right)=U\left(S_{1} S_{2}\right): \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ltematively we can regard the $U(S)$, chosen so as to obey this com position law, asproviding a true and fathfulunitary representation of the four-dim ensionalm etaplectic group M p (4), which in tum is a two-fold cover of $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$. In the literature the operators $U(S)$ are often said to provide the $m$ etaplectic representation of $S p(4 ;<)$. The connection between Mp (4) and $S p(4 ;<)$ has som e sim ilarity w ith the one betw een SU (2) and SO (3) fam iliar in angular m om entum theory.

N ow we consider physical states of the two-m ode system, the action ofsp ( $4 ;<$ ) on them, and the statem ent of a suitable squeezing criterion. Let be the density operator of any (pure or $m$ ixed) state of the twom ode radiation eld. W ith no loss of generality we $m$ ay assume that the $m$ eans $\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }_{\text {a }}\right)$ of a vanish in this state. (A ny non-zero values for these $m$ eans can alw ays be reinstated by a suitable phase space displacem ent which has no e ect on the squeezing properties). Squeezing involves the set of all second order noise $m$ om ents of the quadrature operators $q_{j}$ and $p_{j}$. To handle them collectively we de ne the variance or noise $m$ atrix $V$ for the state as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V} & =\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}}\right) ; \\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}} & =\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ba}}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}} ;{ }_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~g}\right): \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This de nition is valid for a system with any number ofm odes. For a two-m ode system it can be written explicitly in term $s$ of $q_{j}$ and $p_{j}$ as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h q_{1} p_{2} i \quad \frac{1}{2} h f q_{2} ; p_{2} g i \quad h p_{1} p_{2} i \quad h p_{2}^{2} i
\end{aligned}
$$

This $m$ atrix is real sym $m$ etric positive de nite and obeys additional inequalities expressing the $H$ eisenberg unœertainty principle of quantum $m$ echanics [10].
$W$ hen the state is transform ed to a new state ${ }^{0}$ by the unitary operator $U(S)$ for som e $S 2 \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, we easily from eqs 2.8, 2.19) that the variance m atrix V undergoes a sym $m$ etric sym plectic transform ation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.S 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<):{ }^{0}=U(S) \quad U(S)^{1}\right) \\
V^{0}=S V S^{T}: \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

This transform ation law for $V$ preserves all the properties $m$ entioned at eq. 2.11) .
Towards setting up a squeezing criterion, we identify an im portant subgroup ofSp(4; $<$ ), nam ely its $m$ axim al com pact subgroup $K \quad U(2)$. This consists of $m$ atrices $S 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ having a speci c block form determ ined by two-dim ensional unitary matrioes belonging to U (2) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=U(2)=\int_{0}^{n} S(X ; Y) \underset{1}{2} \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<) \quad U=X \quad \text { iY } 2 U(2)^{\circ} \quad \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<) \text {; } \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

JH ere $X$ and $Y$ are the real and im aginary parts of $U$; and the unitary condition $U{ }^{Y} U=1$ on $U$ ensures that $S(X ; Y)$ obeys the sym plectic condition 2.5). W e also recognise that
this subgroup $K$ is the intersection of the sym plectic and the orthogonalgroups in four real dim ensions, nam ely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{U}(2)=\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<) \backslash O(4): \\
& S(X ; Y)(\text { or } 1) S(X ; Y)^{T}=\text { or } 1: \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The com plex form $S^{(c)}(X ; Y)$ corresponding to $S(X ; Y)$, and which acts on ${ }^{(c)}$, is rather sim ple; it is given by

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
S^{(c)}(X ; Y) & S_{0}^{(c)}(U) & & 1  \tag{2.15}\\
& e_{0}^{B} X & \text { iY } & 0 & C \\
& 0 & X+i Y
\end{array}
$$

$T$ hus the $m$ axim alcom pact subgroup of $\operatorname{sp}(4 ;<) m$ ixes $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ unitarily, but does not $m$ ix the $a_{j} w$ th the $a_{j}^{y}$. This fact $m$ ay be explained by saying that the subgroup $U(2)$ of $\operatorname{sp}(4 ;<)$ consists of passive, or total photon num ber conserving, transform ations.

W e see that the physical requirem ent that the total num ber of photons be conserved singles out a unique m axim al com pact subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ; R)$ out ofm any equivalent ones. In contrast, elem ents ofsp $(4 ;<)$ outside the subgroup $U(2)$ are non-com pact elem ents which do not conserve totalphoton num ber, and so describe active transform ations. (T hese properties of com pact and non-com pact elem ents of $\operatorname{sp}(4 ;<)$ w illbecom e transparent when we identify their generators in the follow ing Section).

A s has been discussed in detailelsew here [10], [13], for a m ultim ode system it is physically reasonable to set up a de nition of squeezing which is invariant under the subgroup of passive transform ations of the fill sym plectic group. For the present case of two-m ode system s, we evidently need a U (2)-invariant squeezing criterion. That is, our de nition $m$ ust be such that if a state $w$ ith variance $m$ atrix $V$ is found to be squeezed, then the state $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})) \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y}))^{1} \mathrm{w}$ th variance m atrix $\mathrm{V}^{0}=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y}) \mathrm{V} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y})^{\mathrm{T}}$ m ust also be squeezed, for any $U=X \quad$ iY $2 U(2)$.

C onventionally a state is said to be squeezed if any one of the diagonal elem ents of V is less than $1 / 2$. The diagonal elem ents correspond, of course, to uctuations in the \chosen"
set of quadrature com ponents of the system. The U (2)-invariant de nition is as follow s: the state is a quadrature squeezed state if either som e diagonal elem ent of $V$ is less than $1 / 2$ (and then we say that the state is $m$ anifestly squeezed), or som e diagonal elem ent of $V^{0}=S(X ; Y) V S(X ; Y)^{T}$ for some $U=X \quad$ iY $2 U(2)$ is less than $1 / 2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { is a squeezed state , } \\
& \text { S }(X ; Y) \text { V S }(X ; Y)^{T}<\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for some a and someX iY 2 U (2):

That is, running over $S(X ; Y) 2 K$ is the sam e as nunning over allpossible sets ofquadrature com ponents. W e may say that since any elem ent of $U$ (2) passively $m$ ixes the two modes, the appropriate $S(X ; Y) 2 K$ which achieves the above inequally for som a a (assum ing the given $V$ perm its the sam e) just chooses the right combination of quadratures to $m$ ake the otherw ise possibly hidden squeezing $m$ anifest.

To im plem ent this de nition in practioe, it would appear that even if a state is intrinsically squeezed, we m ay have to explicitly nd a suitable U (2) transform ation which when applied to $V \mathrm{~m}$ akes the squeezing m anifest. This how ever could be com plicated. H ere the point to be noticed and appreciated is that diagonalisation of a noise $m$ atrix $V$ generally requires a real orthogonal transform ation belonging to $S O$ (4) which may not lie in $\mathrm{U}(2)=O(4) \backslash S p(4 ;<)$. It is therefore rem arkable that, as show $n$ in 10], the $U(2)$-invariant squeezing criterion 2.16) can be expressed in term $s$ of the spectrum of eigenvalues of $V$, nam ely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { is a squeezed state , } \quad(N)=\text { least eigenvalue ofV }<\frac{1}{2} \text { : } \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, while the diagonalization of $V$ is in general not possible $w$ thin $K=U(2)$ which is a proper subgroup of $O$ (4), any one particular (and hence the sm allest) eigenvalue of V can be $m$ ade to becom $e$ one of the diagonal elem ents of $V$ transform ed by an appropriate $S(X ; Y) 2 K$. In other words any quadrature com ponent can be taken to any other quadrature com ponent by a suitable elem ent of U (2). W e shall in the sequel work w th the

U (2)-invariant squeezing criterion 2.16, 2.17) .

```
III.THESP(4;<) SO(3;2)CONNECTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF
    TW O-MODE SQUEEZING TRANSFORMATIONS
```

We have shown in the previous Section that the group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ of linear canonical transform ations contains two kinds of elem ents: passive total photon-num ber conserving elem ents belonging to the $m$ axim al com pact subgroup $K=U(2)$; and active noncom pact elem ents lying outside this subgroup, and which do not conserve total photon num ber. It is clear from the $U(2)$-invariant squezing criterion 2.16,2.17) that the form er elem ents cannot produce squeezing. This is because the corresponding changes in the variance $m$ atrix, $V^{0}=S(X ; Y) V S(X ; Y)^{T}$, being sim ilarity transform ations preserve the eigenvalue spectrum of $V$; hence ' $V$ ) $1=2$ implies ' $\left(V^{0}\right) \quad 1=2$ and conversely for every $S(X ; Y) 2 U(2)$. T he noncom pact elem ents ofSp $(4 ;<)$, on the other hand, while they do not form a subgroup, have the potential to produce a squeezed state starting from a nonsqueezed state. T hus they $m$ ay be called squeezing transform ations. T he follow ing questions then naturally arise: what are the really distinct squeezing transform ations whidh are not related to each other by just passive transform ations, and how can they be invariantly labelled or param etrised?

To answer these questions it is useful to work at the level of the Lie algebra $\underline{S p(4 ;<)}$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, and the herm itian generators of the unitary m etaplectic representation $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{S})$ acting on $H$. As is well known, these generators are basically all possible herm itian sym m etric quadratic expressions in the canonical variables $q$ and $p$. They $m$ ay be expressed $m$ ore transparently for our purposes in term s of a's and $a^{y \prime} s$. W hile it is possible to set up the generators in a uniform $m$ anner for all $\mathrm{Sp}(2 \mathrm{n} ;<)$, in the tw o-m ode case we can exploit the fact that the group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ is locally isom onphic to the de Sitter group $S O(3 ; 2)$ 14], as they share the same Lie algebra. W e therefore choose the basis for $\underline{S p(4 ;<)}$ to m ake this explicit; it helps us visualise geom etrically the analysis to follow [15]. W e de ne the ten generators $Q, J_{r}, K_{r}, L_{r}, r=1 ; 2 ; 3$ of $S p(4 ; R)$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{N}+1)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}^{y} a_{1}+a_{2}^{y} a_{2}+1\right) ;  \tag{3.1a}\\
& J_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}^{y} a_{2}+a_{2}^{y} a_{1}\right) ; \\
& J_{2}=\frac{i}{2}\left(a_{2}^{y} a_{1} \quad a_{1}^{y} a_{2}\right) ; \\
& J_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}^{y} a_{1} \quad a_{2}^{y} a_{2}\right) ;  \tag{3.1b}\\
& K_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left(a_{1}^{y_{2}}+a_{1}^{2} \quad a_{2}^{y_{2}} \quad a_{2}^{2}\right) ; \\
& K_{2}=\frac{i}{4}\left(a_{1}^{y 2} \quad a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{y 2} \quad a_{2}^{2}\right) ; \\
& K_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}^{Y} a_{2}^{Y}+a_{1} a_{2}\right) ;  \tag{3.1c}\\
& L_{1}=\frac{i}{4}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{y} 2} \quad a_{1}^{2} \quad a_{2}^{\mathrm{y} 2}+a_{2}^{2}\right) ; \\
& L_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{Y} 2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{\mathrm{Y} 2}+a_{2}^{2}\right) ; \\
& L_{3}=\frac{i}{2}\left(a_{1}^{y} a_{2}^{y} \quad a_{1} a_{2}\right): \tag{3.1d}
\end{align*}
$$

These particular quadratic expressions in $a_{j}$ and $a_{j}^{y}$ are chosen because the commutation relations have a suggestive sim ple form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[J_{r} ; J_{s}\right]=i_{r s t} J_{t} ;} \\
& \left.Q ; J_{r}\right]=0 ;  \tag{32a}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r}} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \text { or } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{rst}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{t}} \text { or } \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \text {; }} \\
& \text { Q; } \left.\mathrm{K}_{r} \quad \mathrm{i} \mathrm{~L}_{r}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{K}_{r} & \mathrm{i} \mathrm{~L}_{r}
\end{array}\right) \text {; }  \tag{3.2b}\\
& \left.\left.\mathbb{K}_{r} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{r}} ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{rst}} J_{t} ; \\
& \left.\mathbb{K}_{r} ; L_{s}\right]=i_{r s} Q: \tag{32c}
\end{align*}
$$

C om paring and com bining the above two sets of equations we see that the four operators $Q$ and $J_{r}$ are the photon-num ber conserving generators of $U(2)$ (being respectively the generators of the $U$ (1) and SU (2) parts of $U$ (2)); while the six operators $K_{r}$ and $L_{r}$ are the noncom pact generators of squeezing transform ations.

The connection to $S O(3 ; 2)$ becom es evident by regarding these generators as the various com ponents ofan antisym $m$ etric set $M_{A B}=M_{B A}$, where the indioes $A$; $B$ go over the range

1;2;:::;5:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{M}_{45} ; & \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{\mathrm{rst}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{st}} ; \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r} 4} ; & \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r} 5}: \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the com m utation relations (32) take on the de Sitter form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.M_{A B} ; M_{C D}\right] & =i\left(g_{A C} M_{B D} \quad g_{B C} M_{A D}+g_{A D} M_{C B} \quad g_{B D} M_{C A}\right) ; \\
g_{A B} & =\operatorname{diag}:(+1 ;+1 ;+1 ; 1 ; 1): \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In this picture, $Q ; J_{r}$ are generators of rotations in the 4-5 plane and in the 1-2-3 subspace respectively, togetherm aking up them axim alcom pact $S O$ (2) $S O$ (3) subgroup of $S O(3 ; 2)$. $W$ ith respect to the Fock or tw o m ode photon number basis $j_{1} ; n_{2} i$ for $H$ the situation is that all these vectors w ith a xed total num ber $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2},(\mathrm{n}+1)$ in all, are eigenvectors of $Q$ w th a comm on eigenvalue $(n+1)=2$; and they sim ultaneously provide the spin $n=2$ representation of the $S O$ (3) subgroup generated by $J_{r}$. On the other hand, the $K_{r}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} ; \mathrm{r}=1 ; 2 ; 3$ are noncom pact Lorentz boost generators in the r 4 and $r \quad 5$ planes respectively.

W ith this algebraic background, we go on to consider two-m ode squeezing transform ations. It is a well known fact [15] [16] that each m atrix $S 2 \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$ can be decom posed, globally and uniquely, into the product of two particular kinds of $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<) \mathrm{m}$ atrioes: one factor belongs to the subgroup $K$, the other to a subset de ned in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }^{n} \mathrm{~S} 2 \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<) S^{T}=S=\text { positive de nite }{ }^{\circ} \quad \operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<) \text { : } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e shall hereafter denote elem ents in by $P ; P^{0} ;:::$. The decom position $m$ entioned above is then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { S } 2 \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<): \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{P} S(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y}) ; \\
& \mathrm{P} 2 ; \\
& \mathrm{X} \quad \text { iY } 2 \mathrm{U}(2) ; \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith both factors being uniquely determ ined by $S$. This is the form in the present context of the fam iliar polar decom position form ula [17] for a generalm atrix. For the $m$ etaplectic operators $U(S)$ we have the corresponding statem ent

$$
\begin{align*}
U(S) & =U(P) U(S(X ; Y)) ; \\
U(P) & =\exp i(\text { real linear com bination of } K \text { and } L)^{i} ; \\
U(S(X ; Y)) & =\exp i(\text { real linear com bination of } Q \text { and } J)^{i}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e may now identify precisely the $m$ ost general squeezing transform ation $w$ thin the $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$ fram ew ork, as the operator $U(P)$ characterised by two num erical three-dim ensional vectors $\mathbb{K}, I$ appearing as coe cients of $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{I}$ in the exponent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\mathbb{K} ; \mathbb{I})=\exp ^{h} i(\mathbb{K} K+\mathcal{I})^{i}: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we reserve the nam e squezing transform ations for these elem ents of $w$ ithin $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, represented in the $m$ etaplectic representation by a single exponential factor. (It is well to keep in $m$ ind that is not a subgroup, so the product of two such single exponential squeezing transform ations is in general not a sim ilar single exponential. This is analogous to the well known fact that the product of two $\operatorname{SO}(3 ; 1)$ Lorentz boosts is not just a boost but a boost follow ed or preceded by a rotation called the $W$ igner rotation [18]).

We may relate the decom position 3.7) of a general m etaplectic transform ation to a generalquadratic H am iltonian quite directly. A ny such H am iltonian containing both photon conserving and nonconserving term sw ith possibly tim e dependent coe cients would lead via the Schrodinger equation to a unitary nite tim e evolution operator which can be uniquely decom posed into the product form 3.7) . Thus, integration of the Schrodinger equation leads in general to a speci c passive factor and another speci c squeezing transform ation. In case the H am iltonian is tim e independent and a combination only of the generators $K$ and I , this evolution operator is already of the form $U(P)$.

Since we have a U (2)-invariant squeezing criterion, as we have seen, elem ents of $U$ (2) have no e ect on the squeezed or nonsqueezed status of any given state. This m eans that
the $U$ (2) transform, by conjugation, of a squeezing transform ation is another squeezing transform ation which should be regarded as equivalent to the rst one. It is clear that, in any case, any equivalence relation am ong squeezing transform ations as de ned by us above should be based on processes which take one squeezing transform ation to another.
$N$ ow from the com m utation relations $32 \mathrm{2b}$ ) we can see that the generators K , I , and the squeezing transform ations $U(\mathbb{K}$;I) de ned in eq. (3.8), behave as follows under conjugation by elem ents of U (2):

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i Q} K \quad i \leftarrow e^{i Q}=e^{i} K \quad \text { } \mathbb{T} ; \\
& e^{\mathrm{i} \sim} \mathcal{J}\left(K_{r} \text { or } L_{r}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} \sim} \mathcal{J}=R_{s r}(\sim)\left(K_{s} \text { or } L_{s}\right) \text {; }  \tag{3.9a}\\
& e^{i} Q_{U}(\widetilde{K} ; I) e \quad{ }_{0}^{i} Q=\underset{1}{U}\left(K^{0} ; I^{0}\right) ; \quad 10 \quad 1 \tag{3.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i \sim} \mathcal{J}_{U}(\tilde{K} ; I) e^{i \sim} \quad \mathcal{J}=U\left(\mathbb{K}^{\infty} ; \mathcal{I}^{0}\right) ; \\
& k_{r}^{\infty} \text { or } l_{r}^{\infty}=R_{r s}(\sim)\left(k_{s} \text { or } l_{s}\right) ;  \tag{3.9c}\\
& R_{r s}(\sim)={ }_{r s} \operatorname{COS}+r_{s} \frac{1 \quad \operatorname{COS}}{2}+{ }_{r s t} t \frac{\sin }{} \text {; } \\
& =j j: \tag{3.9d}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have listed separately the e ects of $U$ (1) and $S U(2)$ within $U(2)$ on the generators and the squeezing transform ations. The questions raised at the start ofthis Section can now be posed $m$ ore precisely: If the set of squeezing transform ations $U(\mathbb{K} ; I)$ is separated into distinct nonoverlapping equivalence classes based on the $U$ (2) action (3.9), how can we conveniently choose U (2)-invariant param eters to label these classes, and then pidk a convenient representative elem ent from each class? We answ er these questions in this sequence.

It is clear that we need to construct a com plete set of independent expressions in $\widetilde{K}$ and $\mathbb{I}$, invariant under both $U(1)$ and $S U(2)$ actions (3.9b, 3.9d). W e begin by de ning the $m$ atrix $M$ of scalar products am ong $\widetilde{K}$ and $I$, which is then $S U$ (2) invariant:

$$
M(\widetilde{K} ; I)=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & 1  \tag{3.10}\\
B_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} & \widetilde{K} & \widetilde{K} & \widetilde{K} & I_{C}^{C} \\
& \widetilde{K} & I & I & I^{A}
\end{array}:
$$

$T$ his is a real, sym $m$ etric positive sem i-de nite $m$ atrix. $W$ ith respect to $U$ (1) action, we see from eq. 3.9b) that $M$ ( $\mathfrak{k}$;I) undergoes a sim ilarity transform ation by the rotation $m$ atrix of angle :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.M\left(\mathbb{K}^{0} ; \mathcal{I}^{0}\right)=\underset{0}{R}() M \mathbb{K} ; I\right) R()^{1} ; \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne now sees that there are two independent $U$ (2) invariants that can be form ed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={ }_{1}(\widetilde{k} ; I)=\operatorname{det} M(\widetilde{k} ; I)=\tilde{k}^{\wedge} \mathcal{I} f ; \\
& ={ }_{2}(\widetilde{k} ; I)=\operatorname{TrM}(\widetilde{k} ; I)=\tilde{k} \hat{\jmath} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and it is easily checked that there are no other invariants independent of these.
Next let us tadkle the problems of nding convenient param eters and representative squeezing transform ations for the $U$ (2) equivalence classes, one for each class. W e see from eq. 3.12) that if $=_{1}>0$ (i.e. $={ }_{1} \in 0$ ) then the two vectors $\mathbb{K}$ and $I$ are both nonzero and nonparallel; while if $=_{1}=0$ they are parallel (and one of them could vanish). These are therefore clearly di erent geom etrical situations. Starting with the $m$ atrix $M$ ( $\mathbb{K} ; \mathbb{I}$ ), we see from its $U$ (1) transform ation law 3.11) that by a suitable choice of the angle we can arrange the transform ed $m$ atrix $M\left(\widetilde{K}^{0} ; \mathcal{I}^{1}\right)$ to be diagonal, and in the case of unequal eigenvalues to place the larger eigenvalue in the rst position. This means that in each equivalence class of squeezing transform ations there certainly are elem ents $U$ ( $\widetilde{K}$;I) for which $\widetilde{K} I=0$ and $\tilde{\kappa} \mathfrak{j} \quad \mathbb{H} j$. This still leaves us the freedom of action by $S U(2)$. W e may now exploit this freedom to put the ( $m$ utually perpendicular) vectors $\widetilde{k}$ and I into a convenient geom etrical con guration. A look at the form s of the noncom pact generators $K$ and $I$ in eq. (3.1) suggests that we choose $\mathbb{K}$ and $I$ as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K}=(0 ; a ; 0) ; \quad I=(b ; 0 ; 0) ; a \quad b: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A further reason for this choice will em erge shortly). $={ }_{1}$ and $=2$ can now be evaluated in term s of $a ; b$ to obtain the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ={ }_{1}(\mathbb{K} ; \mathbb{I})=a^{2} b^{2} ; \\
& ={ }_{2}(\mathfrak{K} ; \mathbb{I})=a^{2}+b^{2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a b } 0 ;(a ; b)(0 ; 0): \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th this param etrisation we can now say: there is a two-fold in nity of distinct equivalence classes of squeezing transform ations for two-m ode system $s$, each class corresponding uniquely and unam biguously to a point (a;b) in the octant $a b 0$ in the reala bplane, exchuding the origin. D i erent points in the octant correspond to intrinsically distinct equivalence classes. W ithin an equivalence class determ ined by a point ( $a ; b$ ), of course, one can connect di erent squeezing transform ations U ( $\mathbb{K}$;I) by conjugation with suitable U (2) ele$m$ ents. Given a squeezing transform ation P $2 \quad \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$ its class ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) is determ ined by solving the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{P})=2\left[\cosh \frac{(a \quad b)}{2}+\cosh \frac{(a+b)}{2}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)=2[\cosh (a \quad b)+\cosh (a+b)] \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

sub ject to the conditions on a and b appearing in eq. (3.14).
Then we have the follow ing convenient tw o-m ode squeezing transform ation representing the equivalence class (a;b):

$$
\begin{align*}
U^{(0)}(a ; b) & =U^{(0)}(a ; 0) U^{(0)}(0 ; b) ; \\
U^{(0)}(a ; 0) & =\exp \left(i a K_{2}\right) \\
& =\exp \frac{i a}{2}\left(q_{1} p_{1}+p_{2} q_{E}\right) ; \\
U^{(0)}(0 ; b) & =\exp \left(i b L_{1}\right) \\
& =\exp \frac{i b}{2}\left(q p_{1} \quad q p_{2}\right): \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The two factors $U^{(0)}(a ; 0)$ and $U^{(0)}(0 ; b)$ com $m$ ute and $m$ ay be written in either order, since according to eq. 32d) the noncom pact generators $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ com $m$ ute.

F inally one can easily calculate the sym plecticm atrix $S^{(0)}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}) 2 \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, corresponding to the $m$ etaplectic operator $U^{(0)}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})$, by using eq. 2.8). The result is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U^{(0)}(a ; b)^{1} U^{(0)}(a ; b)=S^{(0)}(a ; b) ; \\
S^{(0)}(a ; b)=\text { diag. } . e^{(a \quad b)=2} ; e^{(a+b)=2} ; e^{(a \quad b)=2} ; e^{(a+b)=2} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{array}
$$

N ow we can clarify that the particular choice (3.13) was dictated by the desire to have $S^{(0)}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})$ diagonal. This elem ent of $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$ describes independent reciprocal scalings of the standard quadrature com ponents ofeach $m$ ode. This am ounts to show ing geom etrically that it is possible to diagonalise every $P 2$ using conjugation by $U$ (2).

We illustrate our classi cation schem e of two-m ode squeezing transform ations by giving two exam ples. The extensively studied C aves-Schum aker [G] transform ation uses the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}^{(C-S)}(\mathrm{z})=\exp \quad \mathrm{z} a_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} a_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{z}^{?} \mathrm{a}_{1} a_{2} \quad: \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By appearance, this attem pts to involve or entangle the two m odes maxim ally. In our notation this squeezing transform ation corresponds to the generator com bination

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{z} a_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} a_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{z}^{?} a_{1} a_{2} & =i(\mathbb{K} \mathrm{~K}+I \mathbb{I}) ; \\
\widetilde{K} & =2(0 ; 0 ; \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{z}) ; \\
I & =2(0 ; 0 ; R e z): \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the invariant param eters $a$ and $b$ have values

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=2 \dot{k} \dot{j} ; \quad b=0: \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

The C aves-Schum aker squeezing transform ations, and their U (2) con jugates, all taken together, form a one-param eter fam ily or one-dim ensional line, in the a boctant. In that sense they are a set ofm easure zero.

A nother interesting case is a squeezing transform ation that refers essentially to a single m ode but $m$ asquerades as a two-m ode transform ation:

$$
\begin{align*}
U(z ; ~ ; ~)= & \exp ^{h} z\left(? a_{1}^{y}+? a_{2}^{y}\right)^{2} \quad z^{?}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2^{i}} ; \\
& j j^{2}+j \jmath^{2}=1: \tag{321}
\end{align*}
$$

A fter som e sim ple algebra we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
U(\mathrm{z} ; \quad ; \quad & =\exp i(\widetilde{K} K+I \mathbb{I})^{i} ; \\
\widetilde{K}+i \simeq & =2 z \quad i\left({ }^{? 2} \quad{ }^{? 2}\right) ;\left({ }^{? 2}+{ }^{? 2}\right) ; 2 i^{?} \quad: \tag{322}
\end{align*}
$$

The associated invariants and param eters are

$$
\begin{align*}
={ }_{1}=16 \dot{\mathfrak{z}} \dot{j}^{4} ; & ={ }_{2}=8 \dot{\mathfrak{k}} \mathfrak{j} ; \\
a & =\mathrm{b}=2 \dot{\mathrm{k}} \dot{j}: \tag{323}
\end{align*}
$$

T hese equivalence classes thus lie along the line $a=b$ in the octant, again a one-param eter fam ily of zero $m$ easure. O ur results are depicted in $F$ igure 1 .

W e note here that the size of an equivalence class depends sensitively upon the point (a;b). Since for $a ; 0 ; b \in 0$ and $a \in$ b none of the generators of $U(2)$ or a linear com bination of them com m ute $w$ th $a K_{2}+\mathrm{bL}_{1}$, we have a fill four param eter equivalence class. For the cases $a \in 0 ; b=0$, and $a=b$, respectively, the vanishing of the com $m$ utators $\left[J_{2} ; K_{2}\right]$ and Q $\left.+\mathrm{J}_{3} ; \mathrm{K}_{2}+\mathrm{L}_{1}\right]$ leads to reduction of the dim ensionality of the equivalence class to three.

W e conclude this Section w ith a few com $m$ ents. Each point (a;b) in the octant denotes an equivalence class of squeezing transform ations, whose dependences on a and bw ould be of physical signi cance and would show up in a variety of $U$ (2)-invariant properties. The tw ofm ode transform ations so far discussed in the literature lie basically along the two lines show $n$ in $F$ igure1. In this sense, $m$ ost of the intrinsically distinct tw ofn ode transform ations, their e ects on various states, etc., rem ain to be explored. Those equivalence classes (a;b) for which $a>b$ involve the two modes in an essential way. W e m ay say purely qualitatively that the distance of the point $(a ; b)$ from the line $a=b$, or perhaps better the expression (1 $b=a$ ), is a m easure of the extent to which two independent $m$ odes are involved in the transform ation. In this sense, as rem arked earlier, the C aves-Schum aker transform ations involve two modes $m$ axim ally.

```
IV.SQUEEZED COHERENT AND THERMALSTATES FOR TWOMODES
```

The general two m ode coherent state $w$ th com plex two-com ponent displacem ent $\sim=$ $(1 ; 2)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
j \sim i & =\exp \sim \quad \sim^{3} \quad \text { a } j 0 ; 0 i \\
& =\exp \quad \frac{1}{2} j_{1} \jmath^{\jmath} \quad \frac{1}{2} j_{2} \jmath^{\jmath} \quad \exp \quad{ }_{1} a_{1}^{y}+{ }_{2} a_{2}^{y} \quad j 0 ; 0 i: \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For this state the $m$ eans of the quadrature com ponents a do not vanish in general:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h~j j~i }={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{\left(\operatorname{Re}_{1} ; \operatorname{Re}_{2} ; \operatorname{Im} \quad{ }_{1} ; \operatorname{Im} \quad{ }_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}: ~}^{\text {: }} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variance $m$ atrix is how ever independent of $\sim$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \quad(j \sim i)=V \quad \jmath 0 i=\frac{1}{2} 1_{4}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The most general squeezed coherent state is obtained by applying $U(P)$ for som e $P 2$ $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ to $j \sim i$ for som $e \sim$. This $U(P)$ is conjugate, via som e $U(2)$ elem ent, to $U{ }^{(0)}(a ; b)$ for som e a;b. N ow the e ect of a $U$ (2) transform ation on $j \sim i$ is to give us another coherent state $j \sim 0_{i,} \sim^{0}$ being the $U(2)$ transform of $\sim$. But the variance $m$ atrix is in any case $\sim-$ independent. To exam ine the U (2)-invariant squeezing condition, therefore, it su ces to exam ine the particular class of squeezed coherent states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { j~;a;bi }=U^{(0)}(a ; b) j \sim i: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From eqs 2.12, 3.17), the calculation of the variance $m$ atrix for this state is trivial, and it is in fact diagonal:

$$
\begin{align*}
V(j \sim ; a ; b i) & =S^{(0)}(a ; b) V(j \sim i) S^{(0)}(a ; b) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} S^{(0)}(2 a ; 2 b) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \text { diag. } e^{(a \quad b)} ; e^{(a+b)} ; e^{(b a)} ; e^{(a+b)}: \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since a and b are nonnegative, and in addition $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}>0$, we see that the least eigenvalue of this variance $m$ atrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(V(j \sim ; a ; b i))=\frac{1}{2} e^{(a+b)}<\frac{1}{2}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These states are thus alw ays squeezed.
If we apply any passive $U(2)$ transform ation $S(X ; Y)$ to any one of the states j~; a;bi de ned above, the variancem atrix willin generalchange as $V!V^{0}=S(X ; Y) V S(X ; Y)^{T}$; but its eigenvalue spectrum, and in particular ' $(V)$, rem ains unaltered. $T$ hus all the states sym bolically w rilten as U (U (2)) j~;a;bi, for various U (2) elem ents, are squeezed to the sam e extent as j~;a;bi, and have ' $(V)$ given by eq. (4.6) •

T he Schrodinger wave functions for the subfam ily of squeezed coherent states (4.4) are particularly sim ple, since they are products of single m ode squeezed coherent state wavefunctions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
h q_{1}^{0} ; q_{2}^{0} j \sim ; a ; b i={ }^{(0)}\left(q_{1}^{0} ; 1 ; a \text { b) }{ }^{(0)}\left(q_{2}^{0} ; 2 ; a+b\right)\right. \\
(0)\left(q^{0} ; \quad ; a\right)=\frac{e^{a=4}}{1=4} \exp i \operatorname{lm} \frac{1}{2} q^{0} e^{a=2} p_{2}^{2}: \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

(For a general state U(U (2)) j~; a;bi, we do not expect such a product form ). W hen we set $\mathrm{b}=0$ ( C aves-Schum aker lim it), both factors show the sam e am ount of squeezing; while when we set $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$ (essentially single mode situation) we see squeezing only in the factor referring to the second mode. These features are as we would have expected.

The next exam ple we look at is the case of a twom ode therm al state sub jected to squeezing. The $m$ otivation in $m$ aking this choige is that the starting density operator is explicitly U(2) invariant. The norm alized density operator corresponding to inverse tem perature $=\mathrm{h}!=\mathrm{kT}$ is described in the Fock and Schrodinger representations by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& o()=(1 \quad e)^{2} \exp ^{h} \quad\left(a_{1}^{y} a_{1}+a_{2}^{y} a_{2}\right)^{i} \\
& =(1 \text { e })^{2} e_{n_{1}, n_{2}=0}^{x^{1}} e^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)} \dot{\eta_{1} ; n_{2} i n_{1} ; n_{2} j ;}  \tag{4.8a}\\
& 0\left(q_{1}^{0} ; q_{2}^{0} ; q_{1}^{\infty} ; q_{2}^{\infty} ;\right)=\frac{2}{-} \tanh ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{1}{2} \tanh \frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{coth}_{\#}^{2} \quad q_{1}^{02}+q_{2}^{02}+q_{1}^{02}+q_{2}^{\infty} \\
& \tanh \frac{-}{2} \quad \text { coth } \frac{1}{2} \quad\left(q_{1}^{0} q_{1}^{\infty}+q_{2}^{0} q_{2}^{\infty}\right) ; \tag{4.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith U (2) invariance expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i Q} 0() e^{i Q}=e^{i \sim \mathcal{J}} 0() e^{i \sim} \mathcal{J}=0(): \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it su ces to exam ine the properties of the density operator obtained by conjugating $o()$ with $U\left({ }^{(0)}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})\right.$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{U}^{(0)}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}) \quad 0() \mathrm{U}^{(0)}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})^{1}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ ost general squeezed therm al state is evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{U}(2))(; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{U}(2))^{1} \text {; } \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

but this has the sam e squeezing properties as ( ; a;b).
For the them al state $o()$ the variance $m$ atrix is well know n 10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{V}(0())=\frac{1}{2} \text { ooth } \frac{1}{2} 1_{4} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

T herefore for the particular set of squeezed therm al states 4.19), we have diagonal variance $m$ atrioes:

$$
\begin{align*}
V((; a ; b)) & =S^{(0)}(a ; b) V(0()) S^{(0)}(a ; b)^{T} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{coth} \frac{-}{2} S^{(0)}(2 a ; 2 b) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2} \text { diag. } e^{(a b)} ; e^{(a+b)} ; e^{(b)} ; e^{a b}: \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

T he least eigenvalue is evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(N)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2} e^{(a+b)} ; \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

so for a given tem perature, squeezing sets in when

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b>\ln \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2}: \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ igure 1 1, this region consists of all points in the a b octant to the right of the line $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}=\ln$ coth $=2$, which is a line perpendicular to the line $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$ and at a distance ln coth $(=2)={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}$ from the origin.

```
V. DETECTION SCHEMESAND ROLEOFU(2)TRANSFORMATIONS
```

W e have so far not speci ed in any detail the two orthogonalm odes of radiation being sub jected to squeezing. Let us at this point consider a situation w ell studied experim entally by the heterodyne detection schem e 19]. H ere the tw o m odes di er only slightly in frequency, but are otherw ise sim ilar. In this kind of experim ental arrangem ent, what is actually measured is the uctuation of a certain photocurrent, and this in tum gives the uctuation or variance of the q-quadrature com ponent of a particular (passive) com bination of the original $m$ odes. The com binations of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ that are involved form the one-param eter fam ily

$$
\begin{equation*}
a()=p_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) e^{i=2} ; 0<4: \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be regarded as the rst com ponent of a $U$ (2)-transform ed pair $a_{1}^{0}$, $a_{2}^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}^{0} \quad a(): \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

T he herm itian quadrature com ponent whose uctuation is m easured is

$$
\begin{align*}
q() & =p_{\overline{2}}^{1} a()+a()^{y} \\
& =p_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) \cos \frac{1}{2}+p_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \sin \frac{1}{2}: \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The only experim entally adjustable param eter here is the angle . The fam ily of U (2) elem ents realised in the heterodyne schem $e$ is thus only the one-param eter set given in eq. (52)) param etrised by , and belonging to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad 1 \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

W e notige that this is not a one-param eter subgroup of $U(2)$; in particular even the identity elem ent of the group is not contained here.

W ith this description of the heterodyne setup in our fram ew ork, let us see to w hat extent it can be used to detect $U$ (2)-invariant squeezing. N ow a general twom ode state with
variance $m$ atrix $V$, even if it is squeezed in the intrinsic sense of eq. 2.17), $m$ ay not be m anifestly squeezed. That is, it m ay happen that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{aa}} \quad 1=2$ for all $\mathrm{a}=1 ;::: ; 4$. A s our discussion in Section (\#) show S , we need to be able to experim entally realise a generalU (2)transform ation applied to the state, and change its variance $m$ atrix to a form where one of its diagonalentries (say the leading one) becom es less than $1 / 2$. H ow ever the heterodyne $m$ ethod is generally unable to do this job for us, as it can only realise the one-param eter subset of $S U(2)$ transform ations $U_{H}()$ for $0<4$.

In the tw o exam ples of squeezed coherent states and squeezed therm al states studied in the previous Section, we have a fam ily of states related to each other by conjugation w ith U (2) for each point in the a bplane. Each equivalence class has appropriate dim ensionality depending upon the point ( $a ; b$ ) as explained in Section $\Psi$. It tums out that for each ( $a ; b$ ) the heterodyning schem e can detect squeezing in only a one param eter subset of the fam ily of states. A though heterodyning detection covers the whole a bplane it does not reach all the states corresponding to each point in the a b plane. For exam ple, in the representative chosen in eqs 4.4,4.19), for which the variance $m$ atrix is already diagonal, the squeezing cannot be detected by this schem e because of the absence of the identity in $U_{H}$ ! It should be possible to detect squeezing in these states by a suitably m odi ed schem e. W e w ish to em phasize that there is a de nite need to be able to experim entally im plem ent the m ost generalelem entofU (2). This w ould allow the experim enter to detect the degree of squeezing unam biguously, if the state is squeezed, w ithout any prior know ledge of the elem ents of the initial variance $m$ atrix.
$H$ aving stressed the need to im plem ent arbitrary $U(2)$ transform ations on the two $m$ odes of radiation in order to reach the proper quadrature to exhibit squeezing, we now describe how it can be achieved in som e situations. W e discuss two particular cases of the tw o m odes involved, the rst when the two modes have the sam e frequency but di erent directions of propagation, and the second when the $m$ odes have the sam e frequency and direction of propagation but di erent polarisations.

The experim ental setup for the rst case is shown in $F$ igure 1 . W e achieve an anbitrary

U (2) transform ation on the two m odes by using a M ach-Zehnder interferom eter w th two $50: 50$ beam splitters ( $B S_{1}$ and $B S_{2}$ ) and appropriate phase shifters 20]. The input $m$ odes w ith annihilation operators $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are sub jected to equal and opposite phase shifts by angles and , then the $m$ odes are $m$ ixed in the beam splitter $B S_{1}$, the $m$ ixed $m$ odes again undergo equal and opposite phase shifts by angles and and further m ixing through the beam splitter $\mathrm{BS}_{2}$. Finally they undergo unequal phase shifts by angles 1 and 2 . If the annihilation operators at the output are $a_{1}^{0}$ and $a_{2}^{0}$ then all the above operations when com bined are im plem ented through the transform ation
relating the two sets of annihilation operators. The above $m$ atrix is the $m$ ost general $U$ (2) transform ation $m$ atrix. $W$ e note here that if $2_{2} \quad 1$ then the transform ation $m$ atrix is the m ost general $S U(2)$ transform ation. G oing from $S U(2)$ to $U(2)$ is just a $m$ atter of overall phase and can also be achieved by free propagation.

For the second case when the tw o m odes di er only in polarisation we achieve the arbitrary $U$ (2) transform ation by using two quarter wave plates $\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)$ and a halfwave plate H as shown in F igure ${ }^{\text {B. }}$. The detailed discussion of this set up is given in 21]. It tums out that the con guration $Q+\mathcal{Q}$ is not the only one but $Q-Q H$ and $H-Q-Q$ also accom plish the sam e result, as show $n$ in 21]. $W$ e basically have three elem ents, a quarter w ave plate $Q_{1}$, a half wave plate $H$ and a quarter wave plate $Q_{2}$ all three of them being coaxially $m$ ounted and with their slow axes in the $x$ y plane $m$ aking angles of ; and respectively $w$ ith the $\hat{x}$ axis. The two $m$ odes having annihilation operators $a_{1}$ and $a_{2} m$ oving along the $\hat{z}$ direction pass through this arrangem ent. If the annihilation operators at the output are $a_{1}^{0}$ and $a_{2}^{0}$ then they are related to the operators at the input by an SU (2) transform ation given in term sof ; and . By changing these param eters one can reproduce any desired SU (2) elem ent. A s has been pointed out earlier going to $U$ (2) now is just a $m$ atter of free propagation.

In both the above cases, by going to the properU (2) elem ent we can $m$ ake the squeezing (if present) $m$ anifest and bring it to the leading diagonalelem ent of the variance $m$ atrix i.e. in the quadrature $q_{1}^{0}=p_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{1}^{y 0}\right)$. The squeezing in this quadrature can now be $m$ easured by any standard one $m$ ode detection $m$ ethod.

These rem arks show on the one hand the way the heterodyning scheme ts into our general analysis, and on the other hand the need to devise new schem es capable of realising all elem ents of U (2), tailored to the de nition of the tw o m odes involved.

## VI.CONCLUD ING REMARKS

W e have presented a classi cation schem e for tw orm ode squeezing transform ations, based on the structure of the real four dim ensional sym plectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$, and the separation of its elem ents into passive (com pact) and active (noncom pact) types. The structure and action of the $m$ axim alcom pact subgroup $U(2)$ in $S p(4 ;<)$, and the $U(n)$-invariant squeezing criterion form ulated elsew here for a general $n-m$ ode system, have guided our considerations. A ll our work is in the $m$ etaplectic unitary representation of $S p(4 ;<)$; and the local isom orphism $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$ SO $(3 ; 2)$ has led to a convenient geom etric picturization of the situation.

A s em phasized in Section the squeezing transform ations U (P), P $2 \quad \mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$, do not form a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(4 ;<)$. The breakup of these transform ations into equivalence classes, based on the e ect of conjugation by elem ents of $U$ (2) is the only natural available classi cation procedure. This is because the de nition of equivalence classes for any set of ob jects has to be based on an equivalence relation de ned on that set. Thus, we have treated two elem ents $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}^{0} 2$ as intrinsically equivalent if

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}=S(X ; Y) P S(X ; Y)^{T} \text { forsome } X \text { iY } 2 U(2): \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should however be realised that the detailed e ects of action by $U(P)$ and $U\left(P^{0}\right)$ on a general in itialtw om ode state 0 , as seen in the changes caused in the variancem atrix $\mathrm{V}(0)$, need not be identical. Since this is a subtle and im portant point we spell it out in detail. Starting from a general state o, action by a squeezing transform ation leads to a new state

$$
\begin{equation*}
=U(\mathbb{P}) \quad \circ U(\mathbb{P})^{1}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s seen in Section $\mathbb{M}$, any $U(P)$ is expressible in term s of a representative elem ent $U^{(0)}(a ; b)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(P)=U(S(X ; Y)) U^{(0)}(a ; b) U(S(X ; Y))^{1} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable $X$ iY $2 U(2)$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
=U(S(X ; Y)) U^{(0)}(a ; b) U(S(X ; Y))^{1} \quad 0 U(S(X ; Y)) U^{(0)}(a ; b)^{1} U(S(X ; Y))^{1}: \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads by eq. 2.12) to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=S(X ; Y) S^{(0)}(a ; b) S(X ; Y)^{T} V(0) S(X ; Y) S^{(0)}(a ; b) S(X ; Y)^{T} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

between the two variance $m$ atrioes. N ow the rst and last factors on the right hand side here have no in uence on the spectrum, and so on the least eigenvalue, of $V$ ( ). Therefore the squeezed or nonsqueezed nature of is actually determ ined by the least eigenvalue of the $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X ; Y)^{\mathrm{T}} V() S(X ; Y)=S^{(0)}(a ; b) S(X ; Y)^{T} V(0) S(X ; Y) S^{(0)}(a ; b): \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But now the right hand side is in general dependent not only on the invariant param eters $a ; b$ but also on $X ; Y$. In the exam ples studied in Section $\mathbb{I V}$, nam ely where 0 is a coherent state or an isotropic them al state, $V(0)$ happens to be a multiple of the identity $m$ atrix, so that on the right hand side of eq. (6.6) the dependence on X ; $Y$ cancels. But this need not happen in general. Thus for instance if we take for 0 an anisotropic therm al state $w$ ith unequal tem peratures for the tw o $m$ odes, we have only $U(1) \quad U(1)$, rather than $U$ (2), invariance for this o; so the least eigenvalue ' $(V())$ ofV ( ) will depend on a;b and on two out of the four $U(2)$ param eters present in $X$ iY. O ne can easily convince oneself that the only situation where $S(X ; Y)^{T} V(0) S(X ; Y)=V(0)$ independent of $X$ and $Y$ is when $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{o})$ is a m ultiple of the unit m atrix; and the isotropic therm alstates do reproduce all such
cases. Therefore a m ore detailed study of the e ect of a general squeezing transform ation on intial states 0 w th nontrivial $\mathrm{V}(0)$ is of considerable interest.

A related im portant question is the follow ing: Let us take tw o squeezing transform ations $P_{1} ; P_{2} 2$ belonging to equivalence classes $\left(a_{1} ; b_{1}\right),\left(a_{2} ; b_{2}\right)$ respectively, which could coincide. The product $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{w}$ ill in generalbe of the form $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y}) \mathrm{P} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y}) 2 \mathrm{U}$ (2) and P 2 . If P belongs to the equivalence class (a;b) we wish to determ ine this class in term $s$ of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. U sing the fact $\operatorname{Tr}\left(P^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(P_{1} P_{2}\right)\left(P_{1} P_{2}\right)^{T}\right)$ and eq. 3.15) we arrive at the follow ing relations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2[\text { oosh }(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b})+\cosh (\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})]=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
2[\cosh 2(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b})+\cosh 2(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})]=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{array}
$$

which can be solved to nd (a;b). W e note here that ( $a ; b$ ) do depend not only upon ( $a_{1} ; b_{1}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{a}_{2} ; \mathrm{b}_{2}$ ) but also on the actualelem ents chosen from each of these classes. So we do not have a notion of class multiplication am ong these equivalence classes.

F inally we call attention to our considerations in Section $V$ and to the need for being $a b l e$ to experim entally im plem ent or realize general passive elem ents of the subgroup $U$ (2) of $S p(4 ;<)$ for each given choige of the independent $m$ odes in a two-m ode system. O nce this is achieved, for any given state we can bring out in an explicit or manifest fashion its squeezing nature (provided it is squeezed) by altering its variance $m$ atrix and $m$ aking the least eigenvalue appear in the leading position on the diagonal. This also m eans that we would be able to experim entally $m$ easure the uctuation in the quadrature variable isolating the least eigenvalue. A s extensively discussed elsew here, these considerations which exploit the richness of the geom etry underlying the sym plectic group do not require com plete diagonalization of the variance $m$ atrix at all [10].

In the follow ing paper we shall exam ine $U$ (2)-invariant properties of tw o m ode squeezed states which go beyond the level of second order $m$ om ents of the quadrature operators.

## REFERENCES

? em ail arvind@ physics.isc.emet.in
y A lso at Jaw aharlal N ehru C entre for A dvanced Scienti c R esearch, Indian Institute of Science C am pus, B angalore - 560 012, India.
[1] D. Stoler, Physical Review D 1, 3217 (1970); H.P. Yuen, Physical R eview A 13, 2226 (1976); D .F.W alls, N ature 306, 141 (1983); J.N .H ollenhorst, P hysical R eview D 19, 1669 (1979).
[2] R.E.Shusher, L.W .H ollberg, B . Yurke, J.C.M ertz, and J.F .Valley, P hysical R eview Letters 55, 2409 (1985); R.M . Shelby, M .D.Levenson, S.H.Perm utter, R.G.DeV oe, and D.F.W alls, Physical Review Letters 57, 691 (1986); Ling-A n W u, J. H. K ím ble, J. L. H all, and H.W u, Physical Review Letters 57, 2520 (1986); M . W . M aeda, P. K um ar, and J. H. Shapiro, O ptics Letters 12, 161 (1987).
[3] B . L. Schum aker, S. H . Perlm utter, R.M. Shelby and M . D . Levenson, P hysical Review Letters 58, 357 (1987); M.G.Raizen, L. A. O rosco, M. X iao, T. L. B oyd and H . J. K im ble, Physical R eview Letters 59, 198 (1987); A. H eidm ann, R . J. H orow icz, S.Reynaud, E.G iacobino, C.Fabre, and G.Camy, Physical Review Letters 59, 2555 (1987); Z.Y.Ou, S.F.Pereira, H . J. K im ble and K.C.Peng, P hysical R eview Letters 68,3663 (1992).
[4] P. K um ar, O. A ytur and J. H uang, Physical Review Letters 64, 1015 (1990); A. Sizmann, R. J. H orow icz, E.W agner and G. Leuchs, Optics C om m unications 80, 138 (1990); M . R osenbluh and R.M. Shelby, Physical Review Letters 66, 153 (1991); K. Bergm an and H.A.Haus, O ptics Letters 16, 663 (1991); E. S. Polzik, R . C. C arri and H.J.K im ble, P hysical Review Letters 68, 3020 (1992).
[5] For recent review S, see, R. Loudon and P. L. K night, Joumal of M odem Optics 34, 709 (1987); M . C. Teich and B.E.A.Saleh, Q uatum Optics 1, 153 (1990), S. Rey-
naud, A. H eidm ann, E. G iacobino and C. Fabre, in Progress in Optics, Vol. 30, ed. E.W olf (N orth H olland, Am sterdam , 1992); C .Fabre, P hysics R eports 219, 215 (1992); H . J. K im ble, P hysics R eports 219, 227 (1992).
[6] C .M . C aves and B .L. Schum aker, P hysicalR eview A 31 , 3068 (1985); B .L. Schum aker and C.M . C aves, P hysicalR eview A 31, 3093 (1985); B .L. Schum aker, P hysics R eports 135, 317 (1986); C.M.Caves, Physical R eview D 23, 1693 (1981).
[7] G. S.A garw al, Physical R eview Letters 57, 827 (1986); G. S.A garw al, Joumal of the O ptical Society ofA m erica B 5, 1940 (1988).
[8] A . K . E ckert and P . L . K night, Am erican JoumalP hysics 57, 692 (1989); C . M . C aves, C. Zhu, G.J.M ilbum and W . Schleidh, Physical R eview A 43, 3854 (1991); M . Selvadoray, M . San jay K um ar and R . Sim on, preprint I. M . Sc. - 93/22 (1993).
[9] C . K . H ong and L. M andel, P hysical R eview Letters 54, 323 (1985); P hysical R eview A 32, 974 (1985); C.C.Gerry and P.J.M oyer, Physical R eview A 38, 5665 (1988); M.S.K im,F.A.M.de O liviera and P.L.K night, Physical R eview A 40, 2494 (1989); J. J. G ong and P. K . A ravind, Physical R eview A 46, 1586 (1992); M . H illery, P hysical Review A 36, 3796 (1987).
[10] R . Sim on, N.M ukunda and B.D utta, Physical R eview A 49, 1567 (1994).
[11] T . F . Jordan, Linear operators in quantum m echanics, (John W iley, N ew York, 1974); G. Lion and M . Vergne, The W eil Representation, M aslov Index, and Theta series, (B irkhauser, B asel, 1980) .
[12] A . W eil, A cta M athem atica 111, 143 (1964); V . G uillem in and S. Stemberg, Sym plectic Techniques in Physics, C am bridge U niversity P ress, (1984); R . Sim on and N .M ukunda, The two-dim ensional sym plectic and metaplectic groups and their universal cover in Sym m etries in Science V I: From the R otation G roup to Q uantum A lgebras, B . G nuber (ed.), P lenum P ress (to appear).
[13] N . M ukunda, C urrent Science 59, 1135 (1990); B . D utta, N . M ukunda, R . Sim on and A. Subram aniam, Joumal of the O ptical Society of Am erica B 10, 253 (1993).
[14] The connection between the groups $\operatorname{Sp}(4 ;<)$ and $S O(3 ; 2)$ has been notioed and exploited in $m$ any physical contexts for a very long time. These include the in nite com ponent relativistic wave equations constructed by M ajorana, the new D irac equation for a relativistic point particle with two intemal harm onic oscillator degrees of freedom, the theory of relativistic ob jects w ith intemal structure and classi cation of anisotropic Schell model beam $s$ in optics. See E. M ajorana, Nuovo Cim ento 9, 335 (1932); P. A. M . D irac, Joumal of M athem atical P hysics 4, 901 (1963); N . M ukunda, Physical R eview 183, 1486 (1969); E.C.G. Sudarshan and N.M ukunda, P hysical Review D 1, 576 (1970); P. A. M . D irac, P roceedings of the R oyal Society A 322, 435 (1971); ibid A 328, 1 (1972); N.M ukunda, H.van Dam and L. C. Biedenham, Relativistic $M$ odels of E xtended $H$ adrons obeying a $m$ ass spin trajectory, Lecture $N$ otes in Physics, Vol.165, Springer-V erlag 1982; R . Sim on, E.C.G .Sudarshan and N .M ukunda, Physical Review A 31, 2419 (1985); Physical Review A 36, 3868 (1987). A recent paper exploting these ideas for squeezing problem S is $\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{H}$ an, Y.S.K im , M.E.N oz and L. Yeh, Joumal of M athem atical Physics 34,5493 (1993).
[15] R . G im ore, Lie G roups, Lie A lgebras and som e of their applications, John W iley and Sons, New York USA (1974)
[16] C . L. Siegel, A m erican JoumalofM athem atics LX V ,1 (1943), reprinted as C . L . Siegel Sym plectic G eom etry, A cadem ic P ress (New York) 1964.
[17] A rvind, B.D utta, N.M ukunda and R.Sim on, The real sym plectic groups in quantum m echanics and optics, IISc preprint in preparation.
[18] E.P.W igner, Sym m etries and Re ections, Indiana U niversity P ress, 1967.
[19] H .P.Yuen and J.H . Shapiro, EEE Transactions on Inform ation Theory 24, 657 (1978);

M . D . Levenson, R . M . Shelby, A . A spect, M . R eid and D . F . W alls, P hysical R eview A 32, 1550 (1985); M.J.C ollett, R. Loudon and C.W . Gardiner, Joumal of M odem Optics 34,881 (1987).
[20] B. Yurke, S. L. M CC all and J. R. K lauder, Physical R eview A 33, 4033 (1986); R.A.Campos, B.E.A. Saleh and M.C.Teich, Physical R eview A 40, 1371 (1989); R . F . B ishop and A.Vourdas, Zeitschrift fur Phyzik 71, 527 (1988); C.T.Lee, Physical Review A 42, 4193 (1990); D.Han, Y.S.Kim and M.E.Noz, PhysicalR eview A 41, 6233 (1990).
[21] R . Sim on and N .M ukunda, P hysics Letters A 143, 165 (1990);


FIG.1. Equivalence classes of tw ofm ode squeezing transform ations, C aves-Schum aker ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ ) and single $m$ ode lim its, squeezed therm al region.


FIG.2. M ach-Zehnder Interferom eter im plem enting arbitrary U(2) transform ation on two $m$ odes at the sam e frequency but di ering in their direction of propagation. $B S_{1}$ and $B S_{2}$ are $50: 50$ beam splitters and thick lines are phase shifters by angles indicated. $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are the anninilation operators at the input port and $a_{1}^{0}, a_{2}^{0}$ are the anninilation operators at the output port


FIG.3. Im plem entation of arbitrary $S U(2)$ elem ent on two modes $w$ ith the sam efrequency and directions of propagation, but di erent polarisations. $H$ ere $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ are quarter $w$ ave plates and $H$ is the half wave plate. ; ; and are the angles which the slow axes of $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ake w th the $\hat{x}$ axis respectively. $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are the annihilation operators at the input port and $a_{1}^{0}, a_{2}^{0}$ are the annihilation operators at the output port

