

# Röntgen interaction term makes dipole approximation more divergent

Marek Czachor\*

Research Laboratory of Electronics  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

Kazimierz Rzążewski

Centrum Fizyki Teoretycznej PAN,  
Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland

It is shown that inclusion of the Röntgen term in the interaction Hamiltonian leads to an additional divergency, which does not occur in the standard approach to the dipole approximation. The physical reason of this divergency is the too rapid growth with frequency of the “coupling constants” resulting from the Röntgen interaction. Therefore even in calculation of the radiation pattern one has to explicitly introduce a formfactor if the atomic mass  $M < \infty$ .

42.50.Vk, 42.50.Wm, 32.70.Fw, 32.80.Pj

The usage of the conventional dipole interaction  $-\vec{d} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})$  for moving atoms has been recently criticised by Wilkens [1,2], who argued that one has to include the Röntgen interaction term if one wants to describe the spontaneous emission of a moving atom trustworthy to first order in atomic velocity. Since the basic feature of the Röntgen term is the momentum dependence of the atom—field “coupling constants” it seems that its inclusion could modify a dependence of the spectrum of emitted radiation on the shape of the center of mass atomic wave packet.

The fact that such a dependence is present follows both from the calculations based on the standard  $-\vec{d} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})$  term [3] and the experiment of Robert *et al.* [4]. However, the dependence observed experimentally is much stronger than the one found in theoretical calculations.

The aim of this Brief Report is to investigate the role of the Röntgen interaction for spectral properties of light emitted spontaneously by an atomic wavepacket. We will see that the obtained modification of the spectrum does not significantly differ from the results obtained in the standard way provided one uses the infinite atomic mass limit. Otherwise the probability of spontaneous emission in a given direction is represented by a divergent integral.

We consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2M} + H_A + H_F + H_{AF} \quad (1)$$

where the first term is the atomic center-of-mass kinetic energy,

$$H_A = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_0 \sigma_3, \quad (2)$$

$$H_F = \sum_{k,\lambda} \hbar \omega_k a_{k\lambda}^\dagger a_{k\lambda}, \quad (3)$$

and the interaction Hamiltonian equals [2]

$$H_{AF} = -i \sum_{k,\lambda} g_{k\lambda}(\hat{p}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \hat{\vec{r}}} \sigma_+ a_{k\lambda} + \text{h.c.} \quad (4)$$

The operator valued coupling is

$$g_{k\lambda}(\hat{p}) = \mathcal{E}_k d \left\{ (\vec{e}_d \cdot \vec{e}_{k\lambda}) \left[ 1 - \vec{n}_k \cdot \hat{\vec{\beta}} + \hbar \omega_k / (2Mc^2) \right] + (\vec{e}_d \cdot \vec{n}_k) (\vec{e}_{k\lambda} \cdot \hat{\vec{\beta}}) \right\}, \quad (5)$$

with  $\hat{\vec{\beta}} = \hat{\vec{p}} / (Mc)$ ,  $\vec{e}_d$  the unit vector in the direction of the atomic dipole moment,  $\vec{e}_{k\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda = 1, 2$  the unit vectors in the polarization directions,  $d$  the value of the dipole moment, and  $\mathcal{E}_k = \sqrt{\hbar \omega_k / 2\epsilon_0 \mathcal{V}}$  the electric field strength per photon in the quantization volume  $\mathcal{V}$ . It should be stressed that now the “coupling constants” are given by *time dependent* operators (the recoil makes the atomic momentum time dependent).

Following the standard Weisskopf-Wigner procedure [3] we assume that the state of the atom—field system is

$$|\psi\rangle = \int d^3p \alpha(\vec{p}) |\vec{p}, +, 0\rangle + \sum_{k,\lambda} \int d^3p \beta(\vec{p}, \vec{k}, \lambda) |\vec{p} - \hbar \vec{k}, -, \vec{k}, \lambda\rangle \quad (6)$$

leading to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\dot{\alpha}(\vec{p}) = \left( \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 \right) \alpha(\vec{p}) - i \sum_{k,\lambda} g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p}) \beta(\vec{p}, \vec{k}, \lambda) \quad (7)$$

$$i\hbar\dot{\beta}(\vec{p}, \vec{k}, \lambda) = \left( \frac{(\vec{p} - \vec{k})^2}{2M} - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 + \hbar\omega_k \right) \beta(\vec{p}, \vec{k}, \lambda) + i g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p} + \hbar\vec{k}) \alpha(\vec{p}). \quad (8)$$

The solutions of the Schrödinger equation (in the single pole approximation) are

$$\alpha_t(\vec{p}) = \alpha_0(\vec{p}) e^{-z_0 t}, \quad (9)$$

$$\beta_t(\vec{p}, \vec{k}, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \alpha_0(\vec{p}) g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p} + \hbar\vec{k}) \frac{e^{-z_0 t} - e^{-z_k t}}{z_0 - z_k}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$z_0 = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 \right) + \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \quad (11)$$

$$z_k = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \frac{(\vec{p} - \hbar\vec{k})^2}{2M} - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0 + \hbar\omega_k \right) \quad (12)$$

with the Lamb shift included in the level spacing. The rate of spontaneous emission  $\gamma_0$ , in the infinite mass limit (and only then!), is the same as in ordinary theory.

Consider now, for simplicity, the probability of emitting a photon whose wave-vector is perpendicular to the atomic dipole moment. For  $t \gg 1/\gamma_0$  we find

$$P_k = \frac{d^2}{2\epsilon_0 \hbar \mathcal{V}} \int d^3p |\alpha_0(\vec{p})|^2 \frac{\omega_k (1 - \vec{n}_k \cdot \vec{\beta} - \hbar\omega_k/(2Mc^2))^2}{(\omega_0 - \omega_k (1 - \vec{n}_k \cdot \vec{\beta}) - \hbar\omega_k^2/(2Mc^2))^2 + \gamma_0^2/4}. \quad (13)$$

It is interesting that the same dependence on the atomic wave packet would be found if instead of the atomic pure state we considered a mixed state density matrix corresponding to the same initial probability distribution of atomic momenta. This is one way of seeing that the modification of the radiation pattern does not result from an interference of light but rather from the distribution of the Doppler shifts in the initial wavepacket.

The recoil term in the numerator in (13) (as opposed to the one in the denominator) is a direct consequence of the Röntgen interaction. It appears because of two reasons. First, the identical term is present already in the coupling (5) and represents the recoil contribution to the coupling. However, even if we eliminated this term from the very beginning by assuming the infinite mass of the atom, the term would reappear through the Doppler part of (5) since, additionally,  $\beta_t(\vec{p}, \vec{k}, \lambda)$  is proportional to  $g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p} + \hbar\vec{k})$  and the momentum shift  $\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} + \hbar\vec{k}$  would lead to an analogous contribution but twice bigger and with opposite sign. All these additional expressions do not occur in calculations based on the ordinary dipole interaction, where we do not find the momentum shift in the coupling (the Doppler contribution), and the recoil modification is simply absent. Now, if we consider the probability of emission in a given direction,

$$\frac{\mathcal{V}}{(2\pi c)^3} \int_0^\infty d\omega_k \omega_k^2 P_k,$$

we find that the integral is divergent. The divergence follows from the obvious fact that now the rank of the polynomial in the numerator is greater than in the denominator. To eliminate this pathology one must put  $\hbar\omega_k^2/(2Mc^2) = 0$  in (5) and  $g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p} + \hbar\vec{k})$ , which can be obtained in a mathematically consistent way only by elimination of the first additional two terms in (5), or by a formfactor, but then both the shape of the emission line and the atomic lifetime would explicitly depend on its choice. The last part of the Röntgen term will not cause problems here, as the momentum shift is in the direction perpendicular to the polarization vectors.

It is interesting to compare (13), which follows from the Schrödinger equation, with the calculations based on the Fermi golden rule chosen in [2] in the form

$$d\Gamma_p = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{k,\lambda} |g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p})|^2 \delta \left\{ \hbar\omega_k \left[ 1 - \frac{\vec{n}_k \cdot \vec{p}}{Mc} + \frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2Mc^2} \right] - \hbar\omega_0 \right\} \delta(\vec{n} - \vec{n}_k) d^2\vec{n}. \quad (14)$$

Now the limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$  in (14) and (5) is essentially equivalent to neglecting the recoil terms in (13). But the rule that follows from the solution (10) would be rather

$$d\Gamma_p = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{k,\lambda} |g_{k\lambda}(\vec{p} + \hbar\vec{k})|^2 \delta \left\{ \hbar\omega_k \left[ 1 - \frac{\vec{n}_k \cdot \vec{p}}{Mc} + \frac{\hbar\omega_k}{2Mc^2} \right] - \hbar\omega_0 \right\} \delta(\vec{n} - \vec{n}_k) d^2\vec{n}. \quad (15)$$

We can now put  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , but this must be done *before evaluation of the sum over momenta*. Otherwise the expression will lead to the discussed divergency. (15) shows again that putting  $1/M = 0$  in (5) will not eliminate the difficulty.

Summarizing, the Röntgen term can be applied to infinitely heavy atoms, provided one knows at which stage of the calculations to neglect the terms involving  $1/M$ .

The authors would like to thank Martin Wilkens for his comments.

---

\* Permanent address: PDiPO, Wydział Fizyki Technicznej i Matematyki Stosowanej, Politechnika Gdańska, 08-952 Gdańsk, Poland

- [1] M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 671 (1993).
- [2] M. Wilkens, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 570 (1994).
- [3] K. Rzążewski and W. Żakowicz, J. Phys. B **25**, L319 (1992).
- [4] J. Robert *et al.*, J. Physique II **2**, 601 (1992).