# The "freely" falling tw o-level atom in a running laser w ave 
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#### Abstract

The time evolution of a two-level atom which is sim ultaneously exposed to the eld of a running laser w ave and a hom ogeneous gravitational eld is studied. The result of the coupled dynam ics of intemal transitions and center-ofm ass $m$ otion is worked out exactly. $N$ eglecting spontaneous em ission and perform ing the rotating wave approxim ation we derive the com plete tim e evolution operator in an algebraical way by using com $m$ utation relations. The result is discussed w ith respect to the physical im plications. In particular the long time and short tim e behaviour is physically analyzed in detail. $T$ he breakdow $n$ of the $M$ agnus perturbation expansion is show. $n$.


PACS: $42.50 . \mathrm{Vk}$

## 1 Introduction

O ver the last ten years the $m$ anipulation of neutral atom $s$ by laser light $w$ as dram atically im proved and has led to the new eld of atom optics (see Ref. ill and references therein). It includes in particular atom ic interferom etry and laser cooling of atom $s$, i.e., the preparation of a dense cloud of atom $s w$ th a narrow m om entum distribution. Thewidth of the atom ic velocity distribution can be m ade as sm all as $1 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ 自]. $T$ his is of interest for atom ic interferom etry because the possibility to use slow atom beam s enlarges the phase shifts caused by a broad class of extemal potentials [3]. It is obvious that for atom s m oving w th a velocity of a few centim eters or $m$ eters per second for a tim e period of severalm illiseconds or $m$ ore the in uence of the earth's acceleration becom es im portant and cannot be neglected. For this reason it is of interest to study the tim e evolution of an atom $m$ oving in the gravitational eld of the earth and the eld of a laser beam.

This time evolution is the sub ject of this paper. In order to have a clear theoretical model which is exactly solvable we restrict to the case of a two-level atom $m$ oving in a running laser wave. Despite its relative sim plicity the tw o-levelm odel is well suited for the description of œertain experim ents such as R am sey spectroscopy [4] or atom ic interferom etry [6] [6]. B ut this exact solvable $m$ odel is not only accessible to experim ental investigation. It $m$ ay also serve as a reference for $m$ ore com plicated atom $s w i t h$ ore than tw o levels which cannot be solved rigorously. N um erical m ethods used in this context can be tested with the tw o-levelm odel. A nother im portant advantage is the detailed physical discussion which can (and will) be $m$ ade using exact solutions. The e ect of every term in the $H$ am iltonian can easily be identi ed.

We describe the dynam ical behaviour of the two-level atom under the sim ultaneous in uence of the running laser wave and the earth's acceleration in the Schrodinger picture by $m$ eans of the unitary time evolution operator

$$
j(t) i=U(t) j \quad(0) i:
$$

[^0]which is in our case a 22 m atrix. It is m ethodically essential for the follow ing that we follow an algebraical approach to derive $U(t)$. This approach $m$ akes only use of com $m$ utation relations and tums out to be particularly transparent as far as a continuous com pelling physical interpretation is concemed. Because of its independence of the initial state $j$ oi the generality of the approach is evident. M oreover, it tums out that the resulting exact expressions for $U(t)$ are very com pact. $W$ e $m$ ention that the algebraic $m$ ethod has already been used in the context of atom ic interferom etry to calculate the phase shift induced by extemal potentials inchuding gravity for very general interferom eter geom etries 7]. The gravitationalphase shift was also calculated by B orde 8] w ith a sim ilar m ethod.

To get a clear picture of what is going to happen it m ay be usefiul to refer to the equivalence principle. It states that the in uence of a hom ogeneous gravitational eld on the atom $m$ oving in a laser wave can be sim ulated by constant acceleration. This $m$ eans that the follow ing situation is physically equivalent to our original set up: A two-level atom is at rest or $m$ oving $w$ ith constant velocity relative to an inertial system. T he laboratory $w$ ith the laser attached to it $m$ oves $w$ ith constant acceleration. The consequence is that the laser wave reaches the atom w ith D oppler shifted frequency. B ecause of the acceleration this shiff changes in time. It acts as a tim e dependent detuning. The intemal transitions of the atom in the lim it of vanishing acceleration and accordingly constant D oppler shift are essentially known. For not too large detuning they are described as R abioscillations. In our case, because of the tim e dependent detuning, the intemal behaviour of the atom in tim e m ust be worked out anew. This is the central task of this paper. $N$ ote that there is no further in uence of gravity on the intemaldynam ics of the atom, because the fact that reference is $m$ ade to an accelerated reference fram $e$ can have no $m$ easurable consequences in this regard.

Tuming to the center-ofm ass $m$ otion of the atom we know that in the rotating $w$ ave approxim ation the transitions betw een the two intemal states are related to the absonption or em ission of one photon. T he corresponding energy and $m$ om entum transferby recoilm ust show up in the nalstate $j$ ( $t$ )i. It is contained in a sim ple way in the transition $m$ atrix elem ents $U_{12}(t)$ and $U_{21}(t)$.
$F$ inally, there rem ains the $m$ otion of the atom relative to the accelerated reference fram e (or from the other point of view, the free fall in the gravitational eld). W e will separate this center-ofm ass motion from the intemaldynam ics and the recoile ects in factorizing $U$ ( $t$ ) $w$ ith $\exp \left(i H_{c m}: t=h\right.$ ) as a factor on the left. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ : is the center-ofm ass part of the H am iltonian. C learly this factor drops out if one calculates the probability of nding the atom in the excited state. This probability is not related to the actualposition and m om entum of the atom. It is in uenced by gravity and acceleration only via the fact that the atom registers the laser $w$ ave during the tim e $t$ w ith a tim e dependent $D$ oppler shift. A s stated above, the additional fact that the description refers to an accelerated reference fram e does not in uence intemal atom ic processes.

The paper is organized as follow s . In section 2 we w ill introduce the m odel H am iltonian and perform a unitary transform ation to get a tim e-independent $H$ am iltonian. The exact result for the tim e evolution operator $U(t) w i l l$ be derived in section 3. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to a sm all in uence of gravity and the long tim e lim it, respectively. A surprising and not com $m$ only known breakdown of perturbation theory w illbe dem onstrated in section 6 . In section 7 we w ill conclude the $m$ ain results and discuss possible applications.

## 2 The m odel

W e suppose the $H$ am iltonian of the two-level atom to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\quad E_{e} \quad i_{e}=2 E_{E_{g}} \quad i_{g}=2+1 H_{c m}: \quad đ E^{r} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $E_{e}\left(E_{g}\right)$ and $e(g)$ are the energy and the decay rate of the excited state $j e^{i}$ and the ground state $j \mathrm{~g}$ i, respectively. A though we have inserted the decay factors in a phenom enologicalw ay, a m ore com plete description of the spontaneous em ission would require a density $m$ atrix approach. For th is reason the validity of our $m$ odel is restricted to tim es shorter than the life tim e of the states, but this $m$ ay be quite long for $m$ etastable states. For convenience, we will set $i=0$ in the calculation. This is no loss of generality since they can be reintroduced by replacing $E_{i}$ by $E_{i} \quad i_{i}=2$ in all expressions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{c m}:=\frac{p^{2}}{2 M} \quad M a \quad x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the center-ofm ass part of the $H$ am iltonian $w i t h x, p$, and $M$ being the position and $m$ om entum operator and the $m$ ass of the atom . a denotes the constant gravitational acceleration acting on the atom. The interaction $w$ th the running laser $w$ ave is $m$ odeled by the dipole coupling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi E=h \cos \left[!_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{t} \quad \mathbb{K} \quad x+{ }^{\prime}\right]_{1}^{1} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $:=\pi E_{0}=h$ is Rabi's frequency. $E_{0}$ is the am plitude of the laser wave, ! $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ its frequency, its phase, and $\mathbb{K}$ its $w$ ave vector. $\mathbb{a}$ is the dipole $m$ om ent of the $t w$ o-levelatom.

In this form the $H$ am iltonian depends explicitly on the tim et. To get rid ofthis dependence thusm aking the dynam ics sim ple we m ake a unitary transform ation $w$ th the operator

$$
O(t)=\quad \exp \left[i\left(!_{L} t \quad \widetilde{k} \quad x+{ }^{\prime}\right)=2\right] \quad \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
i\left(!_{L} t\right. & \left.\widetilde{K} \quad x+{ }^{\prime}\right)=2 \tag{5}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the rotating wave approxim ation, i.e., after neglecting all term soscillating w th the frequency $2!_{\mathrm{L}}$, we nd for the transform ed H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{OHO}{ }^{1}$ ihOO $-^{1}$ the expression
where we have introduced the well known recoil shift

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{h \widetilde{K}^{2}}{2 M}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D}:=\frac{1}{M} p \widetilde{K} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is crucial for the follow ing calculations and their physical im plications. For an atom $m$ oving with velocity $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{M}$ it can be written as $\mathrm{w} \mathbb{K}$. Hence this operator represents the $D$ oppler shift of the laser frequency in the rest fram e of the atom. We will call it the D oppler operator. Strictly speaking we are in this context only allowed to argue w ith reference to the transform ed $m$ om entum operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{Op} 10^{1}=\mathrm{p} 1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}_{3}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 is the unit matrix in two dimensions and $i$ are the Paulim atrices. But with Eq. (9) it is easy to see that the term containing the D oppler operator can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D}_{3}=\hat{D}_{3} \quad 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the interpretation is the sam e apart from a trivial shift of the energy eigenvalues.
In addition to the fact that it im plies a tim e independent $H$ am ittonian, the unitary transform ation (5) has tw o im portant consequences. Them om entum transfer to the center-offm assm otion related to the absorption or em ission of a photon has been absonbed in $O(t)$. That the laser causes intemal transitions is re ected as usual by the term. The Ham iltonian ( 0 show $s$ very clearly that after separation of the $m$ om entum transfer the term containing the D oppler operator and therefore the operator p is the only one which couples the intemal degrees of freedom to the enter-ofm ass $m$ otion in a nontrivial way.

## 3 The exact tim e evolution operator

The $m$ ain advantage of the unitary transform ation (5) was to get rid of the explicit tim e dependence in the H am iltonian (after the rotating wave approxim ation). As a consequence the new evolution operator $U^{\prime}$ ( $t$ ) can sim ply be determ ined by calculating

$$
\begin{equation*}
O(t) U(t) O^{1}(0)=U(t)=\exp [\quad i \notin T=h]=: e^{A+B} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Q}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{P}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{1} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Q} & \left.=\frac{\text { it }}{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cm}}:+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{h}=2\right] \\
\mathrm{P} & =\frac{\text { it }}{2} \mathrm{f} \hat{\mathrm{D} g} \\
\mathrm{R} & =\frac{\text { it }}{2}: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he operators $A ; B ; P ; Q$; and $R$ are introduced to clarify the $m$ athem atical structure of the calculation below. In $P$ the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
=!\text { L } \quad!_{\text {eg }} \text { with }!_{\text {eg }}: \frac{E_{e} E_{g}}{h} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the detuning of the laser frequency $w$ ith respect to the atom ic transition.
To separate the free fall of the atom 's center ofm ass we factorize $\mathbb{U}$ ( $(t)$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{U}(t)=e^{A} W \quad \text { (t): } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To know the com plete tim e developm ent of the tw-level atom it now rem ains to determ ine the operator $W$ ( $t$ ). It contains the in uence of the gravitation on the intemal dynam ics. It is easy to recognize its structure. The factorization (15) will introduce in $W$ ( t ) the com $m$ utator $[A$; $B$ ] and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.[Q ; P]=\frac{\mathrm{t}^{2}}{2 h} \hat{D^{\prime}} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cm}}:\right]=\frac{i^{2}}{2} \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}} \quad \text { at: } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a c-num ber. The second equation shows that for $\widetilde{K} \quad a \in 0$ graviy causes a tim e dependent $D$ oppler shift. $T$ his is the central physicale ect. $W$ e introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{D_{t}}:=\hat{D}+\widetilde{K} \quad \text { at } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(芷 $m$ ay also be written as $\widetilde{K} \quad(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{at})$ ). The relevant tim e scale is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{j} \quad a j}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an optical laser w th $\tilde{\kappa} j \quad 10^{0} \mathrm{~m}^{1}$ and the earth's acceleration ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}=9: 81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ) a is about $10{ }^{4}$ seconds. Introducing

$$
=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{K} & a \tag{19}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we can rew rite Eq. 16) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[P ; P]=\frac{i}{2} \frac{t^{2}}{a_{a}^{2}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To work out W (t) of Eq. [15) we em ploy a m ethod which was used by Lutzky 9 ] in the context of the B aker-C am pbell-H ausdor form ula. W e consider the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G():=\exp [(A+B)]=: e^{A} W(): \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and restrict to $=1$ at the end. D i erentiation ofEq. (41 w th respect to leads to the di erentialequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d W}{d}=e^{A} B e^{A} W() \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the initial condition $\mathrm{W}(=0)=1 . \mathrm{U}$ sing the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{A} B e^{A}={ }_{n=0}^{X} \frac{n}{n!} K_{n} \quad ; \quad K_{0}: B ; K_{n+1}: \mathbb{K}_{n} ; A\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for any tw o operators A; B one can sim plify Eq. 22) in our case to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d W}{d}=\left(B \quad[; ;]_{3}\right) W(): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It $m$ ay be written as a m atrix equation,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\mathrm{dW}  \tag{25}\\
11 & =\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{dW} & 12=\mathrm{d} \\
\mathrm{dW} \\
21 & =\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{dW} & 22=\mathrm{d}
\end{array} \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{P}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R} \\
\mathrm{R}
\end{gathered}
$$

$T$ his is an operator-vahed system of di erentialequations, but it contains only com $m$ uting operators (since Q ; $P$ ] is a c-num ber) so that we can treat it as an ordinary di erential equation.

Inserting the equation for $d W_{11}=\mathrm{d}$ (for $\mathrm{dW}{ }_{22}=\mathrm{d}$ ) into the equation for $\mathrm{dW}{ }_{21}=\mathrm{d}$ (for $\mathrm{dW}{ }_{12}=\mathrm{d}$ ) one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\frac{d^{2} W_{11}}{d^{2}}=f R^{2} \quad Q ; P\right]+(Q ; P] \quad P\right)^{2} g W_{11} \\
& \left.\left.\frac{d^{2} W_{22}}{d^{2}}=f R^{2}+Q ; P\right]+(Q ; P] \quad P\right)^{2} g W_{22}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

A fter the introduction of the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{R^{2}}{2[; P]}=i \frac{2}{4 \widetilde{K}} a \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the change to the variable $y:(Q ; P] \quad P)^{p} \overline{2=[Q ; P]}$ Eq. 26) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2} W_{11}}{d y^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{y}^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{2} W_{11}(\mathrm{y})  \tag{28}\\
& \frac{d^{2} W_{22}}{d y^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{y}^{2}}{4}++\frac{1}{2} W_{22}(\mathrm{y}) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the in itial conditions $\mathrm{W}_{11}(=0)=\mathrm{W}_{22}(=0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d W_{11}}{d y}=0 \quad \frac{d W_{22}}{d y}=0 \quad \frac{P}{2} \frac{\overline{2}}{[Q ; P]}=\frac{P^{P}}{2^{\bar{i}}} a^{\wedge}{ }_{0} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\hat{0}_{0}: \quad \hat{D}\right)$. W e used the opportunity to introduce the operator of the tim e dependent D oppler shifted detuning

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\wedge}_{t}:=\hat{D_{t}}=\hat{D} \quad \widetilde{K} \quad \text { at } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the e ect of acceleration is already included.
The solution ofEq. 28) and Eq. 29) is a linear com bination of parabolic cylinder functions. A ll relations betw een these functions used in the follow ing are taken from chapter 19 of R ef. 19]. T he standard solutions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(; y)=P-e y^{2}=4 \quad \frac{F_{1}\left(=2+1=4 ; 1=2 ; y^{2}=2\right)}{2^{=2+1=4}(=2+3=4)} \quad \frac{Y_{1} F_{1}\left(=2+3=4 ; 3=2 ; y^{2}=2\right)}{2^{=2} 1=4(=2+1=4)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(; y)=\frac{(+1=2)}{} f \sin (\quad) U(; y)+U(; \quad y) g \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(; \quad ; y)$ is the con uent hypergeom etric function. For $\mathrm{W} \quad 11$ we have to set $=1=2+$, and for $W_{22}$ we have $=1=2+$. The linear coe cients can be derived from the initial conditions. By using the W ronskian relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \frac{d V}{d y} \quad \frac{d U}{d y} V={ }^{r} \frac{\underline{2}}{\underline{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d U}{d y}(; y)+\frac{1}{2} y U(; y)+\left(+\frac{1}{2}\right) U(+1 ; y) & =0 \\
\frac{d V}{d y}(; y)+\frac{1}{2} y V(; y) V(+1 ; y) & =0 \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

one deduces (and from now on we set $=1$ )
$T$ he tim e dependence is contained in ${ }^{\wedge}$.
W ith Eq. 36) the total operator $U(t)$ is given by

$$
U(t)=\exp \quad \text { it } \frac{E_{e}+E_{g}}{2 h}+\frac{-}{4}+\frac{1}{h} H_{c m}: \quad \begin{array}{lll}
W_{11}(\rho) & W_{12}(\rho)  \tag{37}\\
W_{21}(\rho) & W_{22}(\rho)
\end{array}:
$$

It rem ains to cancel the initial unitary transform ation $O$ ( $t$ ) in Eq. 11) to obtain the exact expression for the tim e developm ent operator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(t)=O^{1}(t) U(t) O(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ his is the $m$ ain result of the paper. The argum ent $p \quad h \widetilde{K}=2$ in the operators $W{ }_{i j}$ denotes that the operator $p$ has to be replaced by this expression wherever it occurs in $W$ ij of Eq. 36).

For practical calculations it is usefiul to rew rite the factor expf $i t H_{c m m}$ : $=$ hg in Eq. (38) w ith the aid of the B aker-C am pbell-H ausdor form ula. D oing so one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \frac{i t}{h} \frac{p^{2}}{2 M} \exp \frac{i t}{h} M a \quad x \exp \frac{i t^{2}}{2 h} a \quad p \exp \frac{i t^{3}}{3 h} M a^{2} \quad: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his form is especially convenient for the interpretation. The rst exponential in Eq. 30) describes the ordinary kinetic energy of the atom. T he second term describes the $m$ om entum gain $M$ at of the accelerated atom since the operator $\exp (i \mathbb{K} \quad x$ ) for any $c$-num ber vecto $\widetilde{K}$ am ounts in adding $h \widetilde{K}$ to the $m$ om entum. $T$ he third term is responsible for the displacem ent of the atom by the am ount $a t^{2}=2$, and the last term is a tim e dependent c-num ber phase factor which corrects the "error" that we have $m$ ade in writing all factors of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ : in separated exponentials.

Tuming to the discussion of the result (38) we note that the $m$ om entum transfer to the atom related to the absonption or em ission of a photon can be read o from $U_{12}$ and $U_{21}$ (see the exponential factor on the right). The tim e dependence of $W$ goes solely back to the tim e dependent $D$ oppler operator $\hat{D}_{t}$ contained in $\hat{t}_{t}$ which modi es the detuning. $\hat{D}_{t}$ introduces thereby a dependence from the center-ofm ass state of the atom via the m om entum operator. Those who are fam iliar $w$ th the evolution of a two-level atom in a running laser $w$ ave $m$ ay $m$ iss the recoil shift in ${ }^{\wedge} t$ wich norm ally com es $w$ ith the detuning 64, 3]. It enters ${ }_{t}$ only after the retransform ation of $U$ in Eq. 38). The replacem ent of $p$ by $p \quad h \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}=2$ in $W$ ij ( $\rho \quad h \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}=2$ ) am ounts in replacing ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{t}$ in by ${ }^{{ }^{t}} \quad$ so that the $m$ issing recoil shift is reproduced. Rabi's frequency appears in the param eter in the form $a$. It grow $s w$ th the intensity of the laser wave in relation to the characteristic time a.

A $n$ instructive consistency check is to tum o the laser by setting and hence to zero. In this case the freely falling two-level atom should be recovered. $=0 \mathrm{implies}$ im m ediately $\mathrm{W}_{12}=\mathrm{W}_{21}=0$. For the evaluation of the $W$ ii it is necessary to use the identity 10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(1=2 ; y)=e^{y^{2}=4} \quad ; \quad V(1=2 ; y)=\overline{\underline{2}}^{e^{y^{2}}=4}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting this into Eq. (36) and com bining the result w ith Eq. 38) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t)=\exp \frac{\text { it }_{h}}{h} H_{c m}: \quad e^{i E_{e} t=h} e^{i E_{\mathrm{g}} t=h} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

as was to be expected. The rst term describes the free fall of the atom, and the second term contains the intemaloscillations. By using Eq. 49) it is also possible to derive an expansion ofEq. 38) for sm all. But since the result contains various com binations of $E$ rror functions and is not $m$ uch better to intenpret as the com plete result we will om it it here.

W ew ill close this section w ith a $m$ athem aticalnote. In the de nition of ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{i}}$ the square root of com plex factor appears. Since ${ }^{P} \bar{z}$ for com plex $z$ is a multivalued function we should decide which branch has to be taken. Fortunately, this not a big problem since the variable y in Eqs. 32) and 33) (and hence i in Eq. (37)) is squared in the argum ent of the function ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$. There is only one linear factor of $y$ in Eq. 32) which can give an additional sign.

## 4 The lim it of sm all gravitational in uence

W e now consider the lim it of very large a or m ore precisely sm all $Q ; P]$. The results w ill thereby be valid for all tim es $t w$ ith $t$ a so that the atom $w$ ill be able to perform $m$ any Rabioscillations. The physical $m$ eaning of the lim it is quite clear. Very large a $m$ eans very $s m a l l \widetilde{k} \quad a, i . e .$, the $m$ om entum transfer from the laser beam to the atom is only slightly altered by the acceleration because the latter is very sm all or nearly perpendicular to the laser beam. The technical details of this lim it are som ew hat involved and are explained in A ppendix A. The resulting expansion of $W_{i j}(\Theta)$ up to linear powers of $\widetilde{K} \quad a$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{11}(\theta)=\cos (\hat{\ell}=2)+\underset{\hat{\wedge_{0}}}{\hat{\jmath}} \sin (\uparrow t=2)+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{12}(\Theta)=\frac{i}{\uparrow} \sin (\uparrow t=2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{k} \quad \text { at } \quad 1+\frac{i t}{2} \wedge^{2} \quad \cos (\uparrow t=2) \quad \frac{\sin (\uparrow t=2)}{\uparrow t=2} \\
& W_{21}(\theta)=\frac{i}{\uparrow} \sin (\uparrow t=2)+\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{K} \quad \text { at } \quad 1 \quad \frac{i t}{2} \wedge_{0} \quad \cos (\uparrow t=2) \quad \frac{\sin (\uparrow t=2)}{\uparrow t=2} \\
& W_{22}(\varphi)=\cos (\uparrow t=2) \quad \hat{i}_{\hat{\imath}}^{\hat{\imath}} \sin (\uparrow t=2)+ \\
& \frac{i}{4} \widetilde{K} \quad \text { at } \frac{\wedge_{0}^{2}}{\uparrow^{2}} \cos (\uparrow t=2) \quad \frac{\sin (\uparrow t=2)}{\uparrow t=2} \quad \hat{i}_{\hat{0}}^{n} \sin (\uparrow t=2)+\frac{\sin (\uparrow t=2)}{\uparrow t=2} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have de ned the frequency operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\uparrow:=\quad 2+\left(\wedge_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which incorporates the well known fact that the frequency of the R abioscillations is altered when the laser frequency is detuned versus the atom ic transition frequency. N ote that also the e ect of the D oppler shift is inchuded in ${ }_{0}$. The additional " $\left\{\right.$ " sign before ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ indicates that the negative branch of the square root has to be taken if is set equal to zero.
$T$ he analysis ofEq. (42) is relatively sim ple. $F$ irst, it is easy to show that for $\widetilde{K} \quad a=0$ Eq (42) describes the ordinary R abioscillations of a tw o levelatom in a running laser wave. To see this we solve Eq. (24) w ith Q ; P$]=0$ which corresponds to $\mathbb{K} \quad a=0$. The obvious solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\operatorname{expf} \mathrm{Bg}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since in the operator $B=P \quad 3+R{ }_{1}$ the operators $P$ and $R$ com mute one can apply the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{expf} \text { is } \sim g=1 \cos \overline{\left(s^{2}\right)} \quad \frac{s}{\overline{s^{2}}} \tilde{\sin }\left(\overline{s^{2}}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

to reproduce just the a-independent part of Eq. (42). This result describes in operator form what has been obtained in previous calculations [4, 第] where the tim e evolution was derived (for certain wave packets) in m om entum space. It is interesting to see the e ect of the D oppler shift on the atom ic evolution. It not only alters the frequency $\uparrow$ of the R abi oppings. A large $D$ oppler shift also dam ps the transition probabillity because of the $1=\uparrow$ dependence of the $m$ atrix elem ents $W_{12}(\rho)$ and $W_{21}(\rho)$, and it is (together $w$ ith the detuning ) responsible for the im aginary part of $W 11$ and $W 22$.

Since in ourm odelgravity alone cannot cause transitions, the corrections to the transition $m$ atrix elem ents $W_{12}$ and $W_{21}$ have to vanish if the in uence of the laser disappears, and this is exactly what happens in Eq. (42). W e have seen this already above. It $m$ ay be surprising $w$ hy we have in this lim it corrections at all, because for the alm ost freely falling atom the in uence of the hom ogeneous gravitational eld is already included in the factor of expf $\quad i t H_{c m}:=$ hg in Eq. 38). The rem aining corrections are indeed only necessary


Like the unperturbed part the corrections for $s m$ all $\widetilde{K}$ a are oscillating $w$ ith the frequency $\hat{r}=2$. Further$m$ ore, it is not di cult to see that allcorrections are bounded functions of the operator $\uparrow$. T his enables us to study their tim e evolution. Fort $=0$ they vanish. For sm all the corrections to the diagonalm atrix elem ents of $W$ grow like $t^{2}$, and the non-diagonal corrections grow $w$ th $t^{3}$. For m oderate $t$ this cubic dependence becom es a linear envelope of an oscillating function. T he corrections are all suppressed if the R abi frequency
becom es large. In this case the force caused by the laser beam is much larger than the gravitational force so that the form er dom inates the evolution. N ote that the corrections in $W_{11}$ and $W_{22}$ do not vanish for large D oppler shifted detunings. This is a reasonable result since a large detuning $m$ eans that the laser is out of resonance and does not a ect the atom ic evolution anym ore. At the sam e tim e the in uence of gravity is not altered so that there $m$ ust rem ain som e e ect of gravity even when the detuning is large.

A nothere ect of gravity can be anticipated by exam ining $W_{12}$. For vanishing corrections this is a purely im aginary operator. Sw itching gravity on we see that it develops a real part which can become large if $t$ grow $s$. This is an indication that the acceleration causes additional tim e dependent phase factors. W e w ill look at this $m$ ore closely in the next section.

## 5 The evolution for long tim es

$T$ he results of the previous section have been obtained in discussing the w eak gravity lim it of the exact result. $T$ hey could have also been worked out within an approxim ation schem e which starts w ith the gravitationfree case and perturbs it by a small $\tilde{k} \quad a$. In this section we focus on a result which is of non-perturbative character: the behaviour of the atom at late tim es $t$. The form al condition which we will use is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{j}_{t} j=\hat{j}_{0} \quad \widetilde{k} \quad \text { atj } \frac{1}{a}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we can apply the asym ptotic form of the functions $U$ and $V$ in Eq. 36) which depend on ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{t}$. Since ${ }^{\wedge} t$ is an operator it would be m ore precise to require $\hbar^{\wedge}{ }_{t}{ }^{i j} \quad 1={ }_{a}$ and to consider only wavepackets for which the standard deviation of ${ }^{\wedge} t$ rem ains su ciently sm all. Because any tim e dependence of $W$ ij is enclosed in ${ }^{\wedge_{t}}$ it is easy to see that the condition 46) is equivalent to $t \quad a$ (if ${ }^{\wedge} 0$ is not too large). P hysically this m eans that we consider the case when the D oppler shifted detuning becom es large if it was initially (this is ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{0}$ ) not very large. It would be a di erent physical situation if the detuning is in itially very large and becom es sm alldue to the D oppler e ect of the accelerated atom. Thiswill not be considered here.
$T$ he $m$ ain ingredients of the lim iting process in question are explained in A ppendix $B$. The $m$ atrix $W$ is found to be


Them ost striking feature of these operators is that (to low est order) only their phase varies w ith ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{t}$ and hence w ith $t$. $T$ his is not exactly true because term $s$ containing ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{t}$ are operator valued and can alter also the shape of a wavepacket. But for su ciently narrow wavepackets in $m$ om entum space the factors containing ${ }^{t}$ in Eq. 47) sim ply produce an additionalphase shift $w$ ith logarithm ic tim e dependence (rem em ber that $y^{\text {ic }}=\exp (i c \ln y)$ ). From Eq. (36) we then can conclude that $w$ ith grow ing tim $e$ the $m$ atrix elem ents of the totalevolution operator $U(t)$ vary also only in their phases. $W$ e will discuss this tim e dependence rst and tum to the am plitudes thereafter.

W e interpret the result as follow s: A tom s exposed to gravity and a laserbeam $m$ ay have been in resonance $w$ ith the laser at som e earlier stage of their evolution. In this stage they perform a num ber ofR abioscillations. But since the $m$ om entum dissipation due to spontaneous em ission is neglected in ourm odel, the atom $s$ loose during each Rabicycle the sam $e$ am ount of $m$ om entum as they gain, the net e ect being zero. D uring the Rabi oscillations the atom s are accelerated by the earth's gravity so that their velocity increases. Because of the D oppler e ect the atom s are then driven out of resonance w th the laser beam so that the Rabi oscillations are vanishing w ith increasing tim e. The transition betw een excited and ground state is frozen. $T$ his is re ected by the fact that the absolute value of the $W_{i j}$ is alm ost constant for long tim es.

Looking $m$ ore closely to the particular $m$ atrix elem ent $U_{11}$ of Eq . 38) we can write the tim e dependent phase factor as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the factor of ${ }^{\wedge}$ tand keeping only tim e dependent term $s$ leads us to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \quad i \frac{E_{e}}{h} \exp \quad i \frac{1}{h} H_{c m}:\left[\quad a\left(\hat{h}_{t}+\right)\right]^{i}{ }_{a}^{2}{ }_{a}^{2}=4 \text { : } \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we see that each phase factor (but the last) is linear in $t$. The tim e dependence of $U_{12}$ agrees in this $\lim$ it $w$ ith the one of $U_{11}$. This is reasonable because both $m$ atrix elem ents correspond to atom $s$ which are excited at the tim et. The result for $U_{21}$ and $U_{22}$ can be read o from Eq. 49) by replacing $E_{e}$ by $E_{g}$ (these $m$ atrix elem ents describe atom $s w h i c h$ are in the ground state at timet) and $\left[a\left({ }_{t}+\right)^{i}{ }^{2}{ }_{a}^{2}=4\right.$ by [ $\quad\left({ }^{\wedge}\right) \quad f^{2}{ }_{a}^{2}=4$. Each factor in Eq. 49) allow s a proper physical intenpretation. T he rst exponential describes just the intemal energy of the excited state. T he second is the tim e evolution of a free point particle in a hom ogeneous gravitational eld. H ence we see that the atom is essentially freely falling if the third term is neglected.

N evertheless，this slow ly（logarithm ically）varying phase is of physical interest since it contains both and $a$ and is therefore the only rem aining tim e dependent e ect arising from both gravity and laser light． Because it contains its origin $m$ ust be the term in the $H$ am iltonian（ $($ ）which induces transitionsbetw een ground and excited state．But since it is only a phase factor it does not describe such transitions（which w ould be characterized by a population transferbetw een $j$ i and $j$ gi，i．e．，in a tim edependent change ofthe absolute values of $W_{i j}$ ）．Seen in this $w$ ay the result seem $s$ to contradict our intuition，but this contradiction can be resolved by a com parison of the present situation $w$ ith $R$ am an transitions（see，e．g．，$R$ ef．11］）．$R$ am an transitions are possible in a system with two lower and one upper state（＂system＂）．In this system one can induce direct transitions betw een the two low er states $w$ thout populating the upper state by applying a laser eld w ith a large detuning versus the transition frequency betw een a low er and the upper state．This is sim ilar to our case：we also have a large detuning and no population transfer to the upper state，the only di erence is that we have as a form of degeneration only one lower state．H ence，we interpret the third term in Eq．49）as a kind of Ram an transition in a two－level system forwhich the large detuning prevents the population of the upper state during a Rabi cycle．T he peculiar logarithm ic tim e dependence of the phase is a consequence of the tim e dependent detuning．$W$ e should $m$ ention that because we have neglected spontaneous em ission the argum ent does not only apply to Rabicycles of the form jgi！jei！j gibut also to cycles of the form jei！jgi！jei．
$W$ e tum now to the discussion of the tim e independent part of $W_{i j}$ ．The phase of this part is a rapidly oscillating function of ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{0}$ ．To get a feeling for the absolute values w e consider the case of a narrow w avepacket of atom sw ith an initialvelocity so that ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{0}$ vanishes，i．e．，the D oppler shifted detuning is initially zero．W ith the aid of the form ulae in appendix B（especially Eq．68））it is not di cult to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { おN } 12\left(\hat{\wedge}_{0}=0\right) j=\quad \ddagger \mathrm{N}_{21}\left(\hat{\wedge}_{0}=0\right) j=\quad q \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad e^{{ }^{2}{ }_{a}^{2}=4}\right)} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is a surprisingly sim ple expression with several interesting features．First，it only depends on the absolute value of $\widetilde{K}$ a since the variable is absent．This is reasonable since the appearance of $2{ }_{a}^{2}$ in the exponent would allow im aginary absolute values of $W_{12}$ and $W_{21}$ ．Second，for vanishing laser intensity
 beam any intemal transition is im possible．For high laser intensity（ 1）we get a com plete m ixing：打 $\mathrm{ij} j=1=\overline{2}$ ．This can be understood as a consequence of the large frequency of the Rabi cycles．For
！ 1 there is an in nite num ber of Rabi cycles per unit time．This is not a well de ned result．But one can replace these quick oscillations by there average，and this procedure results of course in an equal probability for ground and excited state as indicated by $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{J}^{\rho}=1=2$ ．In general the transition am plitude depends on the variable ${ }^{2}{ }_{a}^{2}$ which can be interpreted as representing the in uence of the laser（because of ）acting for the tim e a after which the atom s are essentially out of resonance．

## 6 The breakdow $n$ of the $M$ agnus expansion

W e now have nished the physical discussion of the atom ic dynam ics in a hom ogeneous gravitational eld and a running laser wave．This last section of the paper is of purely theoretical interest．W e w ill show here that the application of the $M$ agnus perturbation expansion［12］w ould lead to unphysical results for the evolution operator．This fact was already exam ined in the literature（see R ef．［13］and references therein）， $m$ ostly for the harm onic oscillators and sim ple tw o－levelsystem s．A further analysis for the falling tw o－level atom in a running laser wave has the advantage that it is possible to use the Schr＂odinger picture and that we can com pare the result of the $M$ agnus expansion $w$ ith the exact solution obtained above．

To perform the M agnus expansion we go back to Eq．（24）and ask whether it is possible to treat Q ；P ］ as a sm all term and to apply a perturbation expansion instead of solving Eq．24）exactly．The M agnus expansion consists of an expansion of the exponential of an operator．Setting $W=\exp (F$（））we may try to calculate $F$ to rst order in $Q$ ；$P$ ］．This can be done by using the equation（see，e．g．，Ref．14］）

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} e^{F()}=X_{l=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{(1+1)}}{1!} K_{1} e^{F} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{K}_{1}:=\mathrm{dF}=\mathrm{d}$ and $\left.\mathrm{K}_{1+1}:=\mathbb{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}\right]$ which is exactly valid for any operator $F$ provided the exponential m akes sense. Eq. 51) has the sam e structure as Eq. 24). The strategy to solve Eq. 24) perturbatively is therefore to nd an operator $F$ such that the sum in the rh.s. ofEq. (51) reproduces, to rst order in Q ;P ], just the prefactor of $W$ in the rh.s. of Eq. 24). In view of this prefactor wem ake the follow ing guess for $F$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F=B \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} Q ; P\right]_{3}+Q ; P_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{n} n+2}{(n+1)!} b_{n} R_{n} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are num bers, $\mathrm{R}_{1}:=\left[{ }_{3} ; \mathrm{B}\right]$, and $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}+1}:=\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{B}\right]$. Inserting this in Eq. 51) and com paring the result (to rst order in $Q ; P$ ]) w ith Eq. 24) leads to the condition

$$
(\mathrm{n}+2) \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{x}^{2} & \mathrm{n}+1  \tag{53}\\
\mathrm{l}=0
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{l}+2 \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 11=0 ; \mathrm{n} \quad 2:
$$

It is easy to check that the num bers $b_{n}$ are related to the B emoulli num bers $B_{n}$ by $b_{n}=B_{n+1}$ by using the relation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
X^{1} & \mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{l}=0 & \mathrm{l} \tag{54}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{1}=0:
$$

A closed expression for $F$ can be found by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=(\text { it } \uparrow)^{2 \mathrm{n}} \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}=(\text { it } \uparrow)^{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{R}_{1} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $B_{2 n+1}=0$ hold. Putting everything together one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F()=B \quad \frac{2}{2}[Q ; P]_{3}+Q ; P \mathbb{R}_{1} \frac{}{t^{2} \uparrow^{2}} \frac{i t \uparrow}{e^{i t!} 1} \quad 1+\frac{\text { it! }}{2}+O(Q ; P]^{2}\right): \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approxim ate solution of our problem is obtained if is set to 1 . It is obvious that this solution becom es singular whenever the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}=2 \mathrm{~N} \quad ; \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{~N} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulled (this is possible if we neglect the decay rates $e$ and $g$; if they are non-zero the solution $F$ () contains an unphysical resonanœ).

The approxim ation should be good for $j$; ; P j j 1, ie., for $t \quad a$. But $\uparrow$ is for small detunings essentially identical to R abi's frequency. . Hence the rst singularity occurs at $t=2=$ which ismuch less than a for not too sm all. This illustrates that a perturbation approach based on the M agnus expansion fails to describe our system properly. This fact was explained as a consequence of a nite convergence radius of the $M$ agnus expansion [13]. It $m$ ay be that the reason lies in the splitting of the right hand side of Eq. 51) into one factor $e^{F}$ which contains any power of $\left.Q ; P\right]$, and in the sum over lwhich in our exam ple is calculated only to rst order in Q ; P ].

## 7 C onclusions and Outlook

In this paper we have exactly determ ined and discussed the tim e evolution of a two-level atom falling in a hom ogeneous gravitational eld under the in uence of a running laserwave. Thetim e evolution operatorhas been w orked out in an algebraicalway. For neglected spontaneous em ission the D oppler e ect was show $n$ to be the origin of the characteristic new physical features. A $n$ atom which is in itially in resonance w ith the laser beam will rst perform Rabioscillations. The hom ogeneous gravitational eld accelerates sim ultaneously the center-ofm assm otion. D uring this acceleration the D oppler shift causes the laser frequency to drive out of resonance $w$ ith the atom. Thus the $R$ abioscillations are fading aw ay until only a slow variation of the phase rem ains, no population transfer appears for large tim es. T his situation is sim ilar to $R$ am an transitions in system $s$.

The treatm ent of the $m$ odel described above $m$ ay be regarded as a rst step tow ards the inclusion of the in uence of gravity in situations of greater practical im portance. That there is (alm ost) no net mom entum
transfer of the laser to the atom relies on the neglection of the spontaneous em ission. A s long as the laser is in resonance with the atom each R abicycle w ill be com pleted, no totalm om entum transfer occurs. But if spontaneous em ission is included R abioscillations can be incom plete. The resulting m om entum transfer from the laser to the atom $m$ ay be exploited to construct a gravitationalatom storage if it is adjusted so that it can cancel the acceleration by the hom ogeneous gravitational eld. The interruption of the Rabicycles can also be m anaged in a coherent way, e.g., by using a three level " " schem ew ith two hyper ne ground states. In this case a m agnetic eld (see, e.g., Ref. 15]) or a m icrow avem ay be used to carry the atom sback in their in itial state. It should be $m$ entioned that there is already a gravitationalatom trap 16] which was theoretically studied by W allis, D alibard, and C ohen-T annoud $\ddot{1}$ [17]. The di erence to our proposal is that we use the sim ultaneous action of gravity and laser forces whereas in the existing devioe these forces act in di erent tim e periods.
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## A

The aim of this appendix is to study the behaviour of $U(1=2 ; 10+")$ for $s m$ all

$$
\begin{equation*}
":=P \overline{2[Q ; P]} \frac{t}{a} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to derive the corresponding lim iting case of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. For brevity we have introduced the param eter $\mathrm{y}_{0}:=$ $i_{a} \wedge{ }_{0}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \dot{\mathrm{i}} . \mathrm{W}$ e start w th the observation that any derivative of $U(\quad 1=2 ; y) \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to $y$ can be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{(n)}(\quad 1=2 ; y)=X_{n}(\quad 1=2 ; y) U(\quad 1=2 ; y)+Y(\quad 1=2 ; y) U^{0}(\quad 1=2 ; Y) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the prim e denotes the derivative $w$ th respect to $y$ and the functions $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$ ful $1 l$

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1} & =X_{n}^{0}+\frac{Y^{2}}{4}+\quad 1=2 Y_{n} \\
Y_{n+1} & =Y_{n}^{0}+X_{n} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{X}_{0}=1$ and $Y_{0}=0$. This relation, which follow s directly from the di erential equations (28) and 29), w ill tum out to be useful for the Taylor expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(\quad 1=2 ; \check{y}+{ }^{2}\right)=\prod_{1=0}^{X^{1}} \prod_{1!}^{1} U^{(1)}\left(\quad 1=2 ; Y_{0}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

around $y_{0}$. For the expansion of $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$ in " it is of im portance to note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{0}=\frac{2 \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~m}} \quad ; \quad=\frac{\mathrm{R}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Bearing this in $m$ ind one can use Eq. 60) to proofby induction that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{2 n}=\quad P^{n}{ }^{2 n+3} n\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(\text { it } \uparrow=2)^{2 n} \quad 4 \quad \frac{(n \quad 2)^{1 \mathrm{~L}}}{2}(\text { it } \uparrow=2)^{2 n} \quad 6+O\left("^{4}\right) \\
& X_{2 n+1}=P^{n}{ }^{2 n+1} n^{2} \quad(\text { it } \uparrow=2)^{2 n} \quad 2 \quad \frac{(\mathrm{n} \quad 1)^{12}}{2}(\text { it } \uparrow=2)^{2 n} 4+O\left(\mathbf{n}^{4}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}="^{2 \mathrm{n}} \quad(\text { it } \uparrow=2)^{2 \mathrm{n}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{2}(\text { it } \uparrow=2)^{2 \mathrm{n}}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{" ~}^{4}\right) \quad: \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

is valid. H ere we used the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{2} t \uparrow=n \quad \frac{r}{+\frac{y_{0}^{2}}{4}}=p \overline{(P)^{2}+R^{2}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of ". The operator $\uparrow$ is de ned in Eq. 43), and the upper (lower) sign in Eq. 63) holds for $U(1=2 ; 10)$ and $U\left(+1=2 ; Y_{0}\right)$, respectively. N ote that the sam e expansion holds for $V(1=2 ; 10)$ and $V\left(+1=2\right.$; $y_{0}$ ) sim ply because they are also solutions of Eqs. 2g) and 29), respectively, and because these di erential equations are the only relation which were used to derive Eq. 60).

W e are now ready to calculate the expansion of ${ }_{12}(0)$ in term $s$ of ". W e have with Eq. 36)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{12}(\rho)=\frac{r-}{2} \frac{R}{n} f U(\quad 1=2 ; Y 0) V(\quad 1=2 ; 10+") \quad V(\quad 1=2 ; \forall) U(\quad 1=2 ; 10+") g
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =R_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{m^{n} 1}{n!} Y_{n}(\quad 1=2 ; 10) \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Eq. 59) for the rst step and the $W$ ronskian relation (34) for the second step. It is now straightforw ard to derive a closed expression for $W_{12}$ by exploiting Eq. 63) and the Taylor series of cos and $\sin$. The result is given in Eq. (42).
$T$ he derivation of the expansion for $W 21$ is sim ilar to the previous calculations and $w$ ill not be reproduced here. For $W_{11}$ and $W_{22}$ one further step has to be included since the functions $U$ and $V$ occurring in the corresponding expressions of Eq. (36) contain both the param eter $1=2$ and $+1=2$. It is therefore necessary to m ake use of Eq. (35) and to deduce expressions for the W ii where either only $1=2$ or only $+1=2$ occurs. A fter this has been done the calculations are the sam e as for $\mathrm{W}_{12}$. Inserting all de nitions one arrives at Eq. 42).

## B

In this appendix we w ill sketch the derivation of the long tim e behaviour for the operators $W_{i j}(p)$ in Eq. 36). This can be handled by using (Eq. 13.5.1 of Ref. 10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} F_{1}(; ; z) \quad \frac{()}{(\quad)} e^{i} z+\frac{()}{()} e^{z} z \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $z$ is a com plex num ber w ith $\dot{k} j 1$, and the upper sign holds for $=2<\arg z<3=2$ whereas the low er sign holds for $\quad 3=2<\arg z \quad=2$ W e apply this formula to the fiunction $U\left(1=2+; \dot{a}^{\wedge} t^{\prime}=\right.$ i $)$ and in the sam em anner to any other function in Eq. 36) which depends on ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{t}$ by inserting it into Eqs. (32) and (33). N ote that the lim it depends on since $=1$ determ ines arg $z$. W e assume $h a^{\wedge}{ }_{t i}$ to be a large positive num ber and choose arg $(i)==2$. H ence the "+ " sign in Eq. (66) holds for $=1$ and the " $\{$ " sign for $=1$.
$T$ he rest of the calculation is straightforw ard but long. It is usefulto apply $z \quad(z)=(z+1), \quad(1=2)=P-$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
(i x)(i x) & =j(i x) j^{2}==[x \sinh (x)] \\
(i x+1=2)(i x+1=2) & =j(i x+1=2) j^{2}=\cosh (x) \\
(2 z) & =(z)(z+1=2) 2^{2 z \quad 1=2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

(for realx, see chapter 6 ofR ef. 10]) to handle the various factors of the function arising in the derivation. For the case of vanishing detuning the follow ing equations are of use:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U(; 0)=\frac{p-}{2^{=2+1=4}(3=4+=2)} \\
& V(; 0)=\frac{2^{=2+1=4} \sin [(+1=2)=2]}{(3=4 \quad=2)}:
\end{align*}
$$
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