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A bstract
The correspondence principle is im portant in quantum theory on both the fundam entaland practical levels: it is needed to connect theory to experim ent, and for calculations in the technologically im portant dom ain lying betw een the atom ic and classical regim es. M oreover, a correspondenceprinciple part of the $S$-m atrix is nom ally separated out in quantum electrodynam ics in order to obtain a rem ainder that can be treated perturbatively. But this separation, as usually perform ed, causes an apparent breakdow $n$ of the correspondence principle and the associated polefactorization property. This breakdown is spurious. It is shown in this article, and a com panion, in the context of a special case, how to extract a distinguished part of the $S$-m atrix that $m$ eets the correspondenceprinciple and pole-factorization requirem ents. In a second companion paper the term s of the rem ainder are show $n$ to vanish in the appropriate $m$ acroscopic lim its. Thus th is work validates the correspondence principle and pole factorization in quantum electrodynam ics, in the special case treated here, and creates a needed com putational technique.
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## 1. Introduction

T he correspondence principle asserts that the predictions ofquantum theory becom e the sam e as the predictions of classicalm echanics in certain $m$ acroscopic lim its. This principle is needed to explain why classicalm echanics works in the m acroscopic dom ain. It also provides the logical basis for using the language and concepts of classical physics to describe the experim ental arrangem ents used to study quantum $-m$ echanicale ects.

It is prim arily within quantum electrodynam ics that the correspondence principle $m$ ust be veri ed. For it is quantum electrodynam ics that controls the properties of the $m$ easuring devioes used in these experim ental studies.

In quantum electrodynam ics the correspondence-principle has tw o aspects. $T$ he rst pertains to the electrom agnetic elds generated by the $m$ acroscopic $m$ otions ofparticles: these elds should correspond to the elds generated under sim ilar conditionsw ithin the fram ew ork ofclassicalelectrodynam ics. The second aspect pertains to $m$ otion of the charged particles: on the $m$ acroscopic scale these $m$ otions should be sim ilar to the $m$ otions of charged particles in classical electrom agnetic theory.

The pole-factorization property is the analog in quantum theory of the classical concept of the stable physical particle. This property has been con med in a variety of rigorous contexts ${ }^{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ for theories in which the vacuum is the only state of zero m ass. But calculations $\mathrm{s}^{4 ; 5 ; 6}$ have indicated that the property fails in quantum electrodynam ics, due to com plications associated w ith infrared divergences. Speci cally, the singularity associated with the propagation of a physical electron has been com puted to be not a pole. Y et if the $m$ ass of the physical electron were $m$ and the dom inant singularity of a scattering function at $p^{2}=m^{2}$ were not a pole then physical electrons would, according to theory, not propagate over laboratory distances like stable particles, contrary to the em pirical evidenc.

This apparent di culty w ith quantum electrodynam ics hasbeen extensively studied ${ }^{7,8 ; 9}$, but not fully clari ed. It is shown here, at least in the context of a special case that is treated in detail, that the apparent failure in quantum electrodynam ics of the classical-type spacetim e behaviour of electrons and positrons in the $m$ acroscopic regim e is due to approxim ations introduced to
cope w ith infrared divergences. Those divergences are treated by factoring out a correspondence-principle part, before treating the rem aining part perturbatively. It w illbe show $n$ here, at least w ithin the context of the case exam ined in detail, that if an accurate correspondence-principle part of the photonic eld is factored out then the required correspondence-principle and pole-factorization properties do hold. The apparent failure of these latter two properties in the cited references are artifacts of approxim ations that are not justi ed in the context of the calculation ofm acroscopic spacetim e properties: som e factors exp ikx are replaced by substitutes that introduce large errors for sm all $k$ but very large $x$.

The pole-factorization theorem, restricted to the sim plest $m$ assive-particle case, asserts the follow ing: Suppose the $m$ om entum -space scattering function for a process ( $1+2 \quad 3+x$ ) has a nonzero connected com ponent

$$
S_{c}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{x}\right)^{4}\left(p_{1}+p_{2} \quad p_{3} \quad p_{x}\right) ;
$$

and that the scattering function for a process ( $x+45+6$ ) has a nonzero connected com ponent

$$
S_{c}\left(p_{x} ; p_{4} ; p_{5} ; p_{6}\right) \quad{ }^{4}\left(p_{x}+p_{4} \quad p_{5} \quad p_{6}\right):
$$

Then, according to the theorem, the three-to-three scattering function

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{4} ; \mathrm{p}_{3} ; \mathrm{p}_{4} ; \mathrm{p}_{5}\right) \quad{ }^{4}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{4} \quad \mathrm{p}_{3} \quad \mathrm{p}_{5} \quad \mathrm{p}_{6}\right)
$$

$m$ ust have the form

$$
\frac{N\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{4} ; p_{3} ; p_{4} ; \mathrm{p}_{5}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{p}_{3}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~m}^{2}+i 0 ;
$$

where $m$ is the $m$ ass of particle $x$, and the residue of the pole is a (known) constant tim es

$$
S_{c}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; p_{x}\right) S_{c}\left(p_{x} ; p_{4} ; p_{5} ; p_{6}\right) \quad\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{4} \quad p_{3} \quad p_{5} \quad p_{6}\right) ;
$$

where $p_{x}=p_{1}+p_{2} \quad p_{3}=p_{5}+p_{6} \quad p_{4}$.
The physical signi cance of this result arises as follow s. Suppose we form wave packets ${ }_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)(i=1 ;:: ; 6)$ for the six (extemal) particles of the three-tothree process. Let these $m$ om entum -space wave packets be nonzero at a set of values $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that

$$
\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{1}+\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{2} \quad \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{3}=\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{x}}=\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{5}+\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{6} \quad \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{4}
$$

where $\left(\bar{p}_{x}\right)^{2}=m^{2}$. Suppose the corresponding free-particle coordinate-space wave packets $\sim_{i}\left(x_{i} ; t\right)$ for these six particles are all large at the origin of spacetim e. N ow translate the wave packets of particles 1,2 , and 3 by the spacetim e distance $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$, and let tend to in nity. Then ${ }^{3=2}$ tim es this 3 ! 3 transition am plitude m ust, according to the theorem, tend to a lim it that is a (known) constant tim es the product of the tw o scattering am plitudes,

$$
A_{D}={ }^{Z} d^{3} p_{1}^{z} d^{3} p_{2}^{z} d^{3} p_{3} \quad\left(p_{1}\right)_{2}\left(p_{2}\right) \quad 3\left(p_{3}\right) S_{C}\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3} ; \bar{p}_{x}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left.A_{P}=d^{3} p_{4} d^{3} p_{5} d^{3} p_{6}{ }_{4}\left(p_{4}\right)\right)_{5}\left(p_{5}\right) \quad{ }_{6}\left(p_{6}\right) S_{c}\left(\bar{p}_{x} ; p_{4} ; p_{5} ; p_{6}\right):
$$

This result has the follow ing physical interpretation: the transition am plitude $A_{P}$ is the am plitude for producing a particle $x$ of $m$ om entum $\bar{p}_{x}$, and the am plitude $A_{D}$ is the am plitude for detecting this particle. The fallo factor
${ }^{3=2}$ becom es $\quad{ }^{3}$ when one passes from am plitudes to probabilities, and this factor ${ }^{3}$ is what would be expected on purely geom etric grounds in classical physics, if the interm ediate particle x produced by the production process, and detected by the detection process travelled, in the asym ptotic regim e, on a straight line in spacetim e with four-velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{m}{ }^{\prime} \quad \overline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{x}}=\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{m}$.

This ${ }^{3}$ fallo property is also what is observed em pirically forboth neutral and charged particles travelling over large distances in free space. On the other hand, com putations ${ }^{4 ; 5 ; 6}$ in QED have shown that if quasi-classical parts are factored $o$ in the usualm om entum -space $m$ anner then in the rem ainder the singularies associated w th the propagation of charged particles have, instead of the pole form $\left(p^{2} m^{2}+i 0\right)^{1}$, rather a form $\left(p^{2} m^{2}+i 0\right)^{1}$, where is nonzero and of order $1=137$. Such a form would entail that electrons and positrons would not behave like stable particles: they would evoke weaker and w eaker detection signals (or seem sto disappear) for < 0, or evoke stronger and stronger detection signals for $>0$, as their distance from the source increases.

Such e ects are not observed em pirically. Hence must be zero (or at least close to zero), in apparent contradiction to the results of the cited QED calculations.

For the idealized case in which all particles have nonzero $m$ ass the polefactorization theorem has been proved in many ways. The sim plest \proof" is
sim ply to add up all of the Feynm an-graph contributions that have the relevant pole propagator ( $\left.p^{2} m^{2}+i 0\right)^{1}$, and observe that the residue has the required form. P roofs not relying on perturbation theory have been given in the fram ew orks of quantum eld theory ${ }^{1}$, constructive eld theory ${ }^{2}$, and $S$ m atrix theory ${ }^{3}$.

In quantum electrodynam ics if the particle x is charged then at least one other charged particle $m$ ust either enter or leave each of the tw o subprocess, in order for charge to be conserved. If there is a de ection of this charged particle in either of these tw o subprocesses then brem sstrahlung radiation w illbe em itted by that process. As ! 1 the num ber of photons radiated willtend to in nity. Thus in place of the two simple 2! 2 sub-processes considered in the exam ple discussed above one $m$ ust include in Q ED the brem sstrahlung photons radiated at each of the two subprocesses.

B rem sstruhlung photons w ere in fact taken into account in the earlier cited works ${ }^{12 ; 3}$. H ow ever, in those works it was assum ed, in e ect, that all of these photons were em itted from a neighborhood of the origin in spacetime. This im precision in the positioning of the sources of the brem sstrahlung radiation arose from the use of a basically m om entum-space approach.

It is clear that coordinate-space should provide a m ore suitable fram ework for accurately positioning the sources of the radiated photons. Indeed, it tums out that it is su cient to place the sources of the (real and virtual) brem sstrahlung photons at the physically correct positions in coordinate space in order to establish the validity of a pole-factorization property in QED, at least in the special case that we study in detail in this paper.

Exam ination of the work of $K$ ibble ${ }^{4}$ shows that there is, in the case he treated, also another problem. In that case som e of the charged-particle lines extend to plus orm inus in nity. At one point in the calculation, a factor ( $p=p$ k) ( $e^{i p x_{1}} \quad e^{i k x_{2}}$ ) initially associated with such a line, where $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ represent the two ends of the charged particle line, is replaced by a single one of the tw o term s : the other term, corresponding to the point $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, is sim ply dropped. Yet dropping this term alters the character of the behavior at $k=0$ : the original product of th is form $w$ th $k$ tends to zero as $k$ vanishes, but to plus or m inus unity if a term is dropped.

It tums out that this treatm ent of the contributions corresponding to points at in nity leads to serious am biguities. ${ }^{7}$ To avoid such problem s, and keep everything nite and well de ned in the neighborhood of $k=0$, we shall consider the case of a \con ned charge"; i.e., a case in which a charge travels around a closed loop in spacetim e, in the Feynm an sense: a badkward moving electron is interpreted as a forw ard $m$ oving positron. In particular, we shall consider an initial graph in which the charge travels around a closed triangular loop $L\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)$ that has vertioes at spacetim e points $x_{1}, x_{2} ;$ and $x_{3}$. These three vertioes represent points where \hard" photons interact. (A ctually, each $x_{i} w$ ill correspond to a pair of hard-photon vertioes, but we shall, in this introduction, ignore this slight com plication, and im agine the two hard photons to be attached to the sam e vertex of the triangle.) W e m ust then consider the e ects of inserting arbitrary num bers of \soft photon" vertioes into this hard-photon triangle in all possible ways. The three hard-photon vertioes $x_{i}$ are held xed during $m$ ost of the calculation. At the end one $m$ ust, of course, multiply this three-point coordinate-space scattering function by the coordinate-space wavefunctions of the extemal particles connected at these three spacetim e points, and then integrate over all possible values of $x_{1} ; x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$.

A $s$ in the tw o-vertex exam ple given above, we are interested in the behavior in the lim it in which $\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)$ is replaced by $\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)$ and tends to in nity. The physically expected fallo rate is now ( $\left.{ }^{3=2}\right)^{3}$, w ith one geom etric fallo factor ${ }^{3=2}$ for each of the three interm ediate charged-particle lines.

This ${ }^{9=2}$ fallo is exactly the coordinate-space fallo that arises from a Feynm an function corresponding to graph consisting ofextemal lines connected to the three vertioes of a triangle of intemal lines. T he singularity in $m$ om entum space corresponding to such a sim ple triangle graph is log ' , where

$$
\prime='\left(q_{1} ; q_{i} ; q_{3}\right)=0
$$

is the so-called Landau N akanishi (or, for short, Landau) triangle-diagram singularity surface. H ere the $q_{i}$ are the $m$ om enta entering the three vertioes, and they are sub ject to the $m$ om entum -energy conservation law $q_{1}+q_{2}+q_{3}=0$.

In close analogy to the single-pole case discussed earlier, the discontinuity of the full scattering function across this log' surface at' $=0$ is, in theories with no m assless particles, a (known) constant tim es a product of three scattering
functions, one corresponding to each of the three vertices of the triangle:

$$
\operatorname{discS} j=0=\text { const. } \quad S_{1} S_{2} S_{3}:
$$

It w ill be shown in these papers that this formula for the discontinuity around the triangle-diagram singularity surface' $=0$ holds also in quantum electrodynam ics to every order of the perturbative expansion in the nonclassical part of the photon eld. The situation is $m$ ore com plicated than in the $m$ assiveparticle case because now an in nite number of singularities of di erent types all coincide $w$ ith ' $=0$. It will be show $n$ that $m$ any of these do not contribute to the discontinuity at ${ }^{\prime}=0$, because the associated discontinuities contain at least one full power of ', and that all of the rem aining contributions are parts of the discontinuity function given above.

A nother complication is that an in nite num ber of photons are radiated from each of the three vertices of the triangle. In our treatm ent these photons are contained in the (well-de ned) classical part of the photon eld. The contributions from these photons depend on the locations of the vertioes $x_{i}$, and are incorporated after the transform ation to coordinate space.

This focus on the triangle-graph process $m$ eans that we are dealing here speci cally w th the charge-zero sector. B ut the scattering fiunctions for charged sectors can be recovered by exploiting the proved pole-factorization property. It is worth em phasizing, in this connection, that a straight-forw ard application of perturbation theory in the triangle-graph case does not yield the polefactorization property, even though the triangle graph represents a process in the charge-zero sector. Just as in the charged sectors, it is still necessary to separate out the part corresponding to the classical photons. If one does not, then the rst-order perturbative term gives a singularity of the form ${ }^{10}\left(\mathrm{log}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$, instead of the physically required form log'. It is w orth em phasizing that we do not neglect \sm all" term s in denom inators, but keep everything exact. Indeed, it is im portant that we do so, because these sm all term s are essential to the validity of law of conservation of charge, which we use extensively.

In the foregoing discussion we have focussed on the pole-factorizationtheorem aspects of our work. But the paper contains much more. It provides the $m$ athem atical $m$ achinery needed to apply quantum electrodynam ics in the $m$ esoscopic and $m$ acrosoopic regin es where charged particles $m$ ove be-
tween interaction regions that are separated by distances large enough for the long-distance particle-type behaviours of these particles to begin to $m$ anifest them selves. That is, this paper establishes a form alism that allow s quantum electrodynam ics to be accurately applied to the transitional dom ain lying between the quantum and classical regim es.T he m achinery displays in a particularly sim ply and com putationally usefiul form the infrared-dom inant \classical" part of the electrom agnetic eld, while $m$ aintaining good $m$ athem atical control over the rem aining \quantum " part.

This work is based on the separation de ned in reference 11 of the electro$m$ agnetic interaction operator into its \classical" and \quantum " parts. This separation is $m$ ade in the follow ing way. Suppose we rst $m$ ake a conventional energy m om entum -space separation ofthe (realand virtualphotons) into \hard" and \soft" photons, w ith hard and soft photons connected at \hard" and \soft" vertioes, respectively. T he soff photons can have sm allenergies and $m$ om enta on the scale of the electron $m$ ass, but we shallnot drop any \sm all" term s. Suppose a charged-particle line runs from a hard vertex $x$ to a hard vertex $x^{+}$. Let soft photon $j$ be coupled into this line at point $x_{j}$, and let the coordinate variable $x_{j}$ be converted by Fourier transform ation to the associated $m$ om entum variable $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Then the interaction operator ie ${ }_{j}$ is separated into its \classical" and \quantum " parts by $m$ eans of the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ie }_{j}=C_{j}+Q_{j} ; \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}=i e_{z_{j}}^{z_{j}}{ }^{W_{j}} ; \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}^{+} \mathrm{x}$.
This separation of the interaction allow s a corresponding separation of soft photons into \classical" and \quantum " photons: a \quantum " photon has a quantum coupling on at least one end; all other photons are called \classical" photons. The full contribution from all classical photons is represented in an extrem ely neat and useful way. Specialized to our case of a single chargedparticle loop L ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}$ ) the key form ula reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{op}}\left(\mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\right)=: \mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\right) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{op}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\right):: \tag{1:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{F}_{\text {op }}\left(\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\right)$ is the Feynm an operator corresponding to the sum ofcontributions from allphotons coupled into the charged-particle loop L ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}$ ), and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{op}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{X}_{3}\right)\right)$ is the analogous operator if allcontributions from classical photons are excluded. The operators $F_{o p}$ and $F_{o p}^{0}$ are both norm al ordered operators: i.e., they are operators in the asym ptotic-photon H ilbert space, and the destruction operators of the incom ing photons stand to the right of the creation operators of outgoing photons. On the right-hand side of ( $1: 3$ ) all of the contributions corresponding to classical photons are included in the unitary-operator factor $U$ (L) de ned as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.U(L)=e^{\langle a} J(L)\right\rangle e^{\frac{1}{2}\langle J(L) J(L)\rangle} e^{\langle J(L) a\rangle} e^{i}(L): ~: \tag{1:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, for any $a$ and $b$, the sym bol < a b> is an abbreviation for the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b}>\frac{\mathrm{z}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{4}} 2 \quad\left(k_{0}\right) \quad\left(k^{2}\right) \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k})(\mathrm{g} \quad) \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{k}) ;} \tag{1:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $J(L ; k)$ is form ed by integrating exp $i k x$ around the loop $L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(L ; k) \quad d x e^{i k x}: \tag{1:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his classical current $J(L)$ is conserved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k J(L ; k)=0: \tag{1:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thea andain (1:4) are photon creation and destruction operators, respectively, and (L) is the classical action associated w ith the m otion of a charged classical particle along the loop L:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)={\left.\frac{(i e}{}\right)^{2}}_{8}^{L}{ }_{L} d x^{0} g{ }_{L}^{Z} d x^{\infty}\left(\left(x^{0} x^{\infty}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{1:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he operator $U(L)$ is pseudo unitary if it is written in explicitly covariant form, but it can be reduced to a strictly unitary operator using by (1:7) to elim inate all.but the two transverse com ponents of $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}) ; \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}) ; \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$, and $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$.

The colons in (1.3) indicate that the creation-operator parts of the norm alordered operator $F_{o p}^{0}$ are to be placed on the left of $U(L)$.

The unitary operator $U(L)$ has the follow ing property:

$$
U(L) \text { juac > }=\mathcal{X C}(L)>:
$$

Here juac $>$ is the photon vacuum, and $\mathcal{C C}(\mathrm{L})>$ represents the norm alized coherent state corresponding to the classical electrom agnetic eld radiated by a charged classical point particle moving along the closed spactim e loop L, in the Feynm an sense.

The sim plicity of ( 1.3 ) is worth em phasizing: it says that the com plete effect of all classical photons is contained in a sim ple multiplicative factor that is independent of the quantum -photon contributions: this factor is a well-de ned unitary operator that depends only on the (three) hard vertices $x_{1} ; x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$. It is independent of the rem aining details of $F_{o p}^{0}\left(L\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; C_{3}\right)\right)$, even though the classical couplings are originally interspersed in all possibly ways am ong the quantum couplings that appear in $F_{o p}^{0}\left(L\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)\right)$. The operator $U(L)$ supplies the classical brem sstrahlung-radiation photons associated w th the deections of the charged particles that occur at the three vertioes, $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}$; and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$.

Block and $N$ ordsiedk ${ }^{12}$ have already em phasized that the infrared divergences arise from the classical aspects of the elecrom agnetic eld. This classical com ponent is exactly supplied by the factor $U(L)$. O ne $m$ ay therefore expect the rem ainder $F_{o p}^{0}\left(L\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)\right)$ to be free of infrared problem $s$ : if we transform $F_{o p}^{0}\left(L\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)\right)$ into $m$ om entum space, then it should satisfy the usual pole-factorization property. A prim ary goal of this work is to show that this pole-factorization property indeed holds. To recover the physics one transform s $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{op}}^{0}$ to coordinate space, and then incorporates the real and virtual classical photons by using 1:3 and 1:4.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the follow ing section 2 rules are established for $w$ riting dow $n$ the functions of interest directly in $m$ om entum space. These rules are expressed in term $s$ of operators that act on $m$ om entum \{ space Feynm an functions and yield $m$ om entum \{space functions, w th classical or quantum interactions inserted into the charged-particle lines in any speci ed desired order.

It is advantageous alw ays to sum together the contributions corresponding to allways in which a photon can couple w ith C \{type coupling into each individual side of the triangle graph $G$. This sum can be expressed as a sum of just two term s . In one term the photon is coupled at one endpoint, $\mathrm{x}^{+}$, of this side
of $G$, and in the other term the photon is coupled into the other end point, $x$, of this side of $G$. Thus allC \{type couplings becom e converted into couplings at the hard \{photon vertioes of the original graph $G$.

This conversion introduces an in portant property. The charge\{ conservation (or gauge) condition $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{J}=0$ nom ally does not hold in quantum electrodynam ics for individual graphs: one m ust sum over all ways in which the photon can be inserted into the graph. But in the form we use, w ith each quantum vertex $Q$ coupled into the interior of a line of $G$, but each classical vertex $C$ placed at a hard\{photon vertex of $G$, the charge\{conservation equation (gauge invarianœ) holds for each vertex separately: $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{J}=0$ for each vertex.

In section 3 the $m$ odi cation of the charged \{particle propagator caused by inserting a single quantum vertex $Q$ into a charged-particle line is studied in detail. The resulting (double) propagator is re\{expressed as a sum of three term s. The rst two are \merom onphic" term shaving poles at $p^{2}=m^{2}$ and $p^{2}=m^{2} 2 p k k^{2}$, respectively, in the variable $p^{2}$. Because of the special form of the quantum coupling $Q$ each residue is of rst order in $k$, relative to what would have been obtained with the usual coupling. This extra power of $k$ will lead to the infrared convergence of the residues of the pole singularities.

The third term is a nonm erom onphic contribution. It is a di erence of tw o logarithm s. This di erence has a power of $k$ that renders the contribution infrared nite.

In section 4 the results just described are used to study the function corresponding to a graph $g$ that is form ed by inserting into the triangle graph $G$ a single quantum photon that has $Q$ \{type interactions at each end. In order to treat in a rigorousw ay the contribution from the neighborhood ofthe point $k=0$ we introduce polar coordinates k=r; $\sim{ }_{0}^{2}+\sim \sim=1$. For them erom or phic contributions it is found that the integrand of the integral that de nes the residue behaves like rdr near the end point $r=0$, and that the com pact dom ain of integration in the variable can be distorted aw ay from allsingularities. This show s that there is no infrared divergence. The tw o $m$ erom onphic contributions from each end of the photon line lead to four contributions to $F\left(D^{9}\right)$. O ne of them gives the norm allog' singularity on the Landau triangle\{diagram surface ' = 0, and the other three give weaker singularities. The contributions from
the nonm erom onphic contributions also give weaker singularities.
The aim of the rem aining sections is basically to prove that the analogous results hold for all graphs $g$ constructed from the original triangle graph $G$ by the addition of any num ber of quantum \{photon lines. In the process of proving this, we construct the foundation of an e cient generalm achinery for com puting, in quantum electrodynam ics, the physical-region singularity structure, or, equivalently, an accurate representation of the large-distance spacetim e behavior.

In section 5 we exam ine the generalized propagator that corresponds to charged-particle propagation between two hard\{photon vertices $x$ and $y w i t h$ an arbitrary num ber of $Q$ \{type insertions. The $m$ erom onphic part is exhibited explicitly: there is one pole term for each of the original energy denom inators. The residues factorize, and each of the two factors (unless it is unity) has one factor of $k_{i}$ beyond what would occur if the couplings were the original couplings. This single extra factor of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in each residue factor w ill lead to infrared convergence of the $m$ erom onphic parts.

This infrared convergence result, for any graph $g$ obtained by inserting a set of intemal quantum photons into the triangle graph $G$, is proved in sections 6 and 7 , subject to the assum ption that, in analogy to what occurred in the sim ple case treated in section 4, the contours can be distorted so as to avoid all singularities of the residue factors. This distortion assum ption reduces the problem to that of counting powers of $r$. H ow ever, it is not su cient $m$ erely to count overall pow ers of r. O ne m ust show that, for every possible way in which the variables $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can tend to zero, there is convergence of every sub-integral. O ur proof that this convergence property holds can be regarded as a system atization and con $m$ ation of the argum ent for infrared convergence given by $G$ ram $m$ er and Yennie ${ }^{13}$. The problem is non-trivial because for every $n>0$ there are term $s w$ ith $n$ factors of the form $d^{4} k_{i}=D_{i}$, where the denom inator $D_{i}$ is of fourth order in the $k_{i}$, for $s m$ all $k_{i}$, but there are at $m$ ost six extra num erator factors $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : the problem is to show that these few factors $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are enough to block all of the 4 n potential logarithm ic divergences. $T$ he problem is basically a topological one.

Section 8 gives a desciption of a result that asserts that the contours in
i-Space can be distorted aw ay from all singularities of the residue factors and photon propagators. The proof of this result is given in a com panion paper ${ }^{14}$.

In section 9 the results of the earlier sections are gathered together and extended to give the result that singularities on the triangle-diagram surface com ing from the $m$ erom orphic parts of the contributions arising from the quantum photons are no stronger than log'. References are $m$ ade to a second com panion paper ${ }^{15}$, which proves pertinent properties of som e integrals that occur in this work. In sections 10 and 11 the sim ilar results for the nonm erom onphic parts are obtained. Section 12 gives a com parison of the present work to recent related works.

To get papers of $m$ anageable size we have separated the work into three articles, of which this is the rst. The second ${ }^{14}$ contains the proof that in the pole\{decom position functions that we have separated out the contours in the angular variables $i$ can be distorted aw ay from all singularities, with the exception of three Feynm an denom inators, one from each side $s$ of the original triangle graph $G$, and the end points of the radial integrations. This $m$ eans that each of the distinguished contributions corresponding to a separable graph $g$ is essentially the same as the usual triangle-graph function, multiplied by a bounded function of the variables $r_{i}$ and $i$ and integrated over a com pact dom ain in these variables. The factor $U$ ( $L$ ) supplies the quantum analog of the appropriate classical electrom agnetic eld.

The nalbut crucialpoint is then to show that the rem aining parts, which are speci ed by com pactly expressed integrals, give contributions that tend to zero in the $m$ acroscopic regim e, relative to distinguished part discussed above, $w$ hich $m$ eets the correspondence\{principle and pole\{factorization requirem ents. $T$ he required properties of these integrals are established in the third paper ${ }^{15}$. O ur original report ${ }^{16}$ contains all three parts in one place.
2. B asic M om entum \{Space Form ulas

The separation of the soft\{photon interaction into its quantum and classical parts is de ned in Eq. (1.1). This separation is de ned in a m ixed representation in which hard photons are represented in coordinate space and soft photons are represented in $m$ om entum space. In this representation one can consider a \generalized propagator". It propagates a charged particle from a hard \{photon vertex $y$ to a hard\{photon vertex $x$ w th, how ever, the insertion of soft\{photon interactions.

Suppose, for exam ple, one inserts the interactions with tw o soft photons of $m$ om enta $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ and vector indioes 1 and 2 . Then the generalized propagator is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{1 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{z}{(2)^{4}} e^{i p x+i\left(p+k_{1}+k_{2}\right) y} \tag{2:1}
\end{align*}
$$

The generalization of this form ula to the case of an arbitrary num ber of inserted soft photons is straightforw ard. The soft\{photon interaction $j$ is separated into its parts $Q_{j}$ and $C_{j}$ by $m$ eans of (1.1), w ith the $x$ and $y$ de ned as in (1.3).

This separation of the soff\{photon interaction into itsquantum and classical parts can be expressed also directly in $m$ om entum space. U sing (1.2) and (1.3), and the fam iliar identities

$$
\frac{1}{\varphi \mathrm{~m}} \frac{1}{\rho+\mathrm{m}}=\frac{1}{6 \mathrm{~m}} \frac{1}{\rho+\mathrm{m}} ;
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho}{\varrho p} \frac{1}{\varrho m}=\frac{1}{\varrho m} \frac{1}{\varrho m} ; \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains for the (generalized) propagation from $y$ to $x$, $w$ ith a single classical interaction inserted, the expression (w ith the sym bolm standing henceforth for m i0)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x ; y ; C ; k)=\frac{z}{(2)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} p}{6} \frac{i}{6+\pi} \frac{z}{z k+i o} e^{i p z+i k y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{z}{(2)^{4}} e^{\text {ipz }+i k y} \lim _{0}\left(\text { i) }{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d e^{i(z k+i)}\right. \\
& i \frac{@}{@ p} \frac{i}{\varrho m} \frac{i}{\varrho+\pi} \quad \text { ! } \\
& \left.=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \lim _{0}(i)_{0}^{Z} d e^{i(p} \quad k\right) z+i k y \\
& i \frac{\varrho}{\varrho p} \frac{i}{\varrho m} \frac{i}{\varrho+\pi}  \tag{2:4a}\\
& =\frac{z}{(2)^{4}} \lim _{0}\left(\text { i) } \int_{0}^{Z} d e^{i(p \quad k) z+i k y}\right. \\
& \frac{@}{\varrho p} \frac{i}{\varrho m} \frac{i}{\varrho+\infty} \\
& =\frac{z}{(2)^{4}} \lim _{0}\left(\text { i) }_{0}^{z} d \quad\left(e^{i(\rho}{ }^{k) z} \quad e^{i(\rho k} \quad k\right) z\right) e \\
& e^{i k y} \frac{@}{@ p} \frac{i}{\varrho \quad m}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} e^{\text {ipz+iky }} \int_{0}^{Z} d \frac{i}{\varphi+m_{1}} \frac{i}{\rho+\frac{1}{m}} \text { : } \tag{2:4b}
\end{align*}
$$

C om parison of the result (2.4b) to (2.1) show sthat the result in $m$ om entum
space of inserting a single quantum vertex $j$ into a propagator $i\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( }\end{array}\right)^{1}$ is produced by the action of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\complement_{j}\left(k_{j}\right)=Z_{0}^{z_{1}} d_{j} O\left(p!p+{ }_{j} k_{j}\right) \quad i \frac{@}{@ p{ }^{j}} \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

upon the propagator $i\left(\begin{array}{l}(\mathrm{m}\end{array}\right)^{1}$ that was present before the insertion of the vertex $j$. O ne must, of course, also increase by $k_{j}$ the $m$ om entum entering the vertex at $y$. The operator $O\left(p!p+{ }_{j} k_{j}\right)$ replaces $p$ by $p+{ }_{j} k_{j}$.

Suppose that there were already a soff\{photon insertion on the charged \{particle line L so that the propagator before the insertion of vertex $j$ were

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}\left(p ; k_{1}\right)=\frac{i}{\varrho m^{1}} \frac{i}{\varrho+\pi_{1} m}: \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd suppose the vertex $j$ is to be inserted in all possible ways into this line (i.e., on both sides of the already \{present vertex 1). Then the sam e argum ent as before, $w$ th (2.2) replaced by its generalization ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{6 \mathrm{~m}} \quad \frac{1}{6+\mathbb{W}_{1}} \mathrm{~m} \tag{2:7}
\end{align*}
$$

show $s$ that the ect in $m$ om entum space is again given by the operator do ${ }_{J}\left(k_{j}\right)$ de ned in (2.5).

This result generalizes to an arbitrary num ber of inserted classical photons, and also to an arbitrary generalized propagator: the $m$ om entum \{space result of inserting in all orders into any generalized propagator $\mathrm{P}_{1} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}\right.$; $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ la set of $N$ classically interacting photons with $j=n+1 ; \quad ; n+N$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1} ;{ }_{n} ;\left(p+a ; k_{1} ; \quad n j k\right. \tag{2:8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a=n+1 k_{n+1}+\quad \quad \frac{1}{1}+\mathrm{N} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{N}}$. The operations are com m utative, and one can keep each $j=0$ until the integration on $j$ is perform ed.

To obtain the analogous result for the quantum interactions we introduce the operator $\bar{B}_{j}\left(k_{j}\right)$ whose action is de ned as follow s:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{j} \quad\left(k_{j}\right) \frac{i}{\varnothing m}=\frac{i}{\varnothing m}{ }_{j}^{\infty} \frac{i}{\mathbb{W}_{j} m} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{i}{\varrho m}{ }^{j} \frac{i}{\varnothing+\mathbb{W}_{j}} m \quad{ }^{1} \frac{i}{\varnothing+\mathbb{W}_{j}+\mathbb{W}_{1} m} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

etc.

That is, $\hat{\theta}_{j}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ acts on any generalized propagator by inserting in all possible ways an interaction $w$ ith a photon ofm om entum $k_{j}$ and vector index $j$. Then one $m$ ay de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigotimes_{j}\left(k_{j}\right)=\theta_{j}\left(k_{j}\right) \quad \bigotimes_{j}\left(k_{j}\right): \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the result in $m$ om entum space of inserting in all possible ways (ie., in all possible orders) into any generalized propagator $P$ of the kind illustrated in (2.1) a set of $J$ quantum interactions and a set of $J^{0}$ classical interactions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j^{0} J^{0}}^{Y} \varliminf_{j}^{0}\left(k_{j^{0}}\right) \underbrace{Y}_{j J} \bigotimes_{j}\left(k_{j}\right) P: \tag{2:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsideration of $(2: 3)$ and $(2: 9)$ show $s$ that the operators $\varnothing_{i}$ and $\bigotimes_{i}$ appearing in $(2: 11)$ all com $m$ ute, provided we reserve until the end all integrations over the variables $i$, in order for the action of the operators $\theta_{i}$ to be well de ned.

O ne $m$ ay not $w$ ish to combine the results of $m$ aking insertions in all orders. To obtain the result of inserting the classical interaction at just one place, identi ed by the subscript j f1; ;ng, into a (generalized) propagator $P_{1}{ }_{n}\left(p ; k_{1} ; \quad n\right.$ )kabbreviated now by $P_{j}$, one begins as in (2.4) w ith $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{j} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in place of the quantity appearing in the bracket. How ever, one does not introduce (2 2), which led to the restriction of the integration to the range $1 \quad j \quad 0$. Then, provided $k_{j}^{2} \in 0$, equation (2.4a) gives for the result in m om entum space the result produced by the action of

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{j}\left(k_{j}\right) \\
&  \tag{2:12}\\
& \quad Z_{1} d_{j} O\left(p_{i}!p_{i}+{ }_{j} k_{j}\right) \quad \frac{@}{@ p^{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

upon $k_{j}{ }^{j} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
For $k_{j}^{2} \in 0$ this integral converges at the upper endpoint. The inde nite integral can then be de ned so that it vanishes at $=1$. We de ne $\mathbb{C}_{j}\left(k_{j}\right)$ at $k_{j}^{2}=0$ by then using uniform ly only the contribution from the lower endpoint
$=0$, as was entailed from the start by the initially nite value of in (2.4). (Strictly speaking, one should use a P auli-V illars regulator to de ne the integral in $p$ space | then no special treatm ent is needed for $k_{j}^{2}=0$ )

To obtain a form analogous to (2.12) for the quantum interaction one $m$ ay use the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& {k_{j}^{j}}^{Z_{1}} \quad d_{j} \frac{@}{@ p_{j}^{j}} P_{j}\left(p+{ }_{j} k_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=Z_{1} d_{j} \frac{@}{@_{j}} P_{j}\left(p+{ }_{j} k_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=P_{j}(p): \tag{2:13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the $m$ om entum \{space result produced by the insertion of a quantum coupling in $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \quad\right) k \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}$ at the vertex identi ed by j is generated by the action of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j}\left(k_{j}\right) \quad\left({ }_{j}^{j} k_{j}{ }^{j} \quad{ }_{j}^{j} k_{j}{ }^{j}\right) e_{j}\left(k_{j}\right) \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

upon $P_{j}$.

A $n$ analogous operator can be applied for each quantum interaction. Thus the generalized $m$ om entum \{space propagator represented by a line $L$ of $G$ into which $n$ quantum interactions are inserted in a xed order is

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{n} \frac{i}{\operatorname{\sigma }+6+\mathbb{K}_{1}+n^{\boxed{\pi}} \mathrm{m}} \text {; } \tag{2:15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a={ }_{1} k_{1}+{ }_{2} k_{2}+{ }_{n} k_{n}: \tag{2:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If som e of the inserted interactions are classical interactions then the corresponding factors ( ${ }_{j}^{j} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}} \quad{ }_{j}^{j} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{j}$ ) are replaced by ( ${ }_{j}^{j} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{j}$ ).

These basic $m$ om entum \{space form ulas provide the starting point for our exam ination of the analyticity properties in $m$ om entum space, and the closely related question of infrared convergence.

O ne point is w orth $m$ entioning here. It concems the conservation of charge condition $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{J} \mathrm{(k)} \mathrm{=} 0$. In standard Feynm an quantum electrodynam ic this condition is not satis ed by the individual photon \{interaction vertex, but is obtained only by sum $m$ ing over all the di erent positions where the photon interaction can be coupled into a graph. T his feature is the root ofm any of the di culties that arise in quantum electrodynam ics.

Equation (2.14) show s that the conservation \{ law property holds for the individual quantum vertex: there is no need to sum over di erent positions. $T$ he classical interaction, on the other hand, has a form that allow s one easily to sum over all possible locations along a generalized propagator, even before m ultiplication by k . This sum $m$ ation converts the classical interaction to a sum of two interactions, one located at each end of the line associated with the generalized propagator. (See, for exam ple, Eq. (7.1) below). W e always perform this summ ation.T hen the classical parts of the interaction are shifted to the hard\{photon interaction points, at which $\mathrm{kJ}(\mathrm{k})=0$ holds.
3. The Q uantum Vertex

Suppose a single quantum interaction is inserted into a line of $G$. Then the associated generalized propagator is given by (2.11), (2.10), (2.9), (2.5) and (2.3) :

P (p; ; $; k$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{i}{\varrho m} \frac{i}{\varrho+\infty} \tag{3:1}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term in (3.1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{\rho} \frac{i}{\rho+\pi} \\
& =\frac{(\varnothing+m)}{p^{2} m^{2}} \frac{(\varnothing+\boxed{6}+m)}{(p+k)^{2} m^{2}} \\
& =(\varnothing+m)(\varnothing+\infty+m) \\
& \frac{1}{p^{2} m^{2}} \frac{1}{2 p k+k^{2}} \frac{1}{2 p k+k^{2}} \frac{1}{(p+k)^{2} m^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(p^{2} m^{2}\right)+(\rho+m)(2 p+\mathbb{1})}{\left(2 p k+k^{2}\right)\left(p^{2} m^{2}\right)} \\
& \frac{\left((p+k)^{2} m^{2}\right)+(2 p+2 k \quad)(\Phi+\pi m)}{\left(2 p k+k^{2}\right)\left(p^{2} m^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{2 p}{(\Phi \mathrm{~m})\left(2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)} \frac{2 \mathrm{p}+2 \mathrm{k}}{(\Phi+\sqrt{6})\left(2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)} \\
& +\frac{1}{(\varnothing \mathrm{~m})} \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\left(2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)}+\frac{\pi}{\left(2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{(\varnothing+\pi \mathrm{m})} \text {; } \tag{3:2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{fg}_{+}=2 \mathrm{p}$ has been used, and pk represents $\mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{i} 0$.
The second term in (3.1) can be com puted from standard integral tables. Then it can be cast into a form sim ilar to (32) by rst considering it to be a function of the variable $t=p^{2} m^{2}$, with $p k$ and $k^{2}$ regarded as param eters, next separating it into its $m$ erom onphic and nonm erom onphic parts in this variable $t$, and nally evaluating its $m$ erom orphic part as a sum of poles tim es residues.
$T$ his gives for the $m$ erom orphic part

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{2 p}{2 p k(6 m)} \frac{2 p+2 k}{2(p+k) k(6+\pi m)} ; \tag{3:3}
\end{align*}
$$

where a term not depending on ( $\rho^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) has been dropped from the last line.
$T$ he singularities of this function at $p k=0$ and $(p+k) k=0$ are artifacts of the separation into $m$ erom onphic and non $m$ erom onphic parts: their sum does not have singularities at generic points on these surfaces. T hus we m ay replace pk by $\mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{i} 0$ in both the $m$ erom orphic and non $m$ erom orphic parts and introduce the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{pk}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}} 1+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{pk}} \tag{3:4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{pk}+2 \mathrm{k}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}} \quad 1 \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{pk}+2 \mathrm{k}^{2}}: \tag{3:4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the com bination of (32) and (3.3) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
P & (p ; Q ; k)_{M \text { ero }} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 p k+k^{2}} \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{2 p k^{2}}{2 p k}\right. \\
& +\frac{(2 p+2 k) k^{2}}{2 p k+2 k^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{6+\sqrt{6}}: \tag{3:5}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his function is of zeroth order in $\mathrm{k} j$ w hereas the individual contributions (3 2) and (3.3) are each of order $\mathrm{kj}^{1}$.

The result (3.3) can be obtained also directly by inspection of the integral appearing on the left\{hand side, w ritten in the form

The singularities of this integral lying along the surface $p^{2}=m^{2}$ arise from the endpoint $=0$ ofthe dom ain of integration. Thus the analytic character of these
singularities is controlled by the character of the integrand in an arbitrarily sm all neighborhood of this endpoint. Positive powers of in the num erator dim inish the contributions from this endpoint, and lead to singularities on $p^{2}=m^{2}$ that are, in form, not as strong as the singularity com ing from the term $s$ that are of zeroth order in . Thus to nd the strongest singularity we may set the 's appearing in the num erator to zero. For sim ilar reasons we can set the ${ }^{2}$ term $s$ in the denom inator equal to zero, provided the coe cient 2 pk of the rst power of is nonzero. Thus the strongest singularity of the integral arising from the low er endpoint is

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{1} d & \frac{(\varphi+m)(\varphi+m)}{\left(p^{2} m^{2}+2 p k\right)^{2}} \\
\quad & \frac{(\wp+m)(\varnothing+m)}{2 p k\left(p^{2} m^{2}\right)}: \tag{3:6}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is just the result obtained from the fullcalculation. The other term in (3.3) com es from the other endpoint, $=1$. Because the strongest or dom inant singularities com ing from the two endpoints are poles any other singularities com ing from these endpoints belong to the nonm erom orphic part.

The fill nonm erom onphic part of $P(p ; Q ; k)$ is, by direct calculation,
P $\quad(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{k})_{\mathrm{N} \text { onm ero }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(\varnothing+m)(\varnothing+m) \frac{2 \mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\approx \frac{2\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p \frac{1}{d} \log @ \frac{1}{1+\frac{\frac{p-d}{d}_{2 p k_{d}}^{2 p k}}{2 p}}+\frac{2}{2 p k+2 \mathrm{k}^{2}} \quad \frac{2}{2 \mathrm{pk}} \tag{3:7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d=(2 p k)^{2} \quad 4 k^{2}\left(p^{2} \quad m^{2}\right)=(2(p+k) k)^{2} \quad 4 k^{2}\left((p+k)^{2} \quad m^{2}\right)$. The two non $\{\log$ terms in the nal square bracket cancel the pole singularity in

Figure 1: The singularities of $(p ; Q ; k)$ are con ned to the surfaces $p^{2} m^{2}=$ $0,(p+k)^{2} m^{2}=0$, and the branch of $d=0$ lying between $p k=0$ and $\mathrm{pk}=\mathrm{k}^{2}$.

$t=p^{2} \quad m^{2}$ at $d=0$ that would otherw ise arise from the $m$ all $d$ behavior of the log term s .
$T$ he singularity surfaces of $P$ ( $p ; Q$; $k$ ) are show $n$ in $F$ igure 'ī1.
$T$ he singularities ofP ( $p ; Q ; k$ ) are con ned to the surfaces $p^{2} m^{2}=0 ;(p+$ $k)^{2} \quad m^{2}=0$, and to the portion of the surface $d=0$ that lies between $\mathrm{pk}=0$ and $\mathrm{pk}=\mathrm{k}^{2}$. Except at points of contact betw een two of these three surfaces the function $P(p ; Q ; k)$ is analytic on the three surfaces $2 p k=0 ; 2 p k+k^{2}=0$, and $2 \mathrm{pk}+2 \mathrm{k}^{2}=0$, and has the form $\mathrm{d}^{3=2}$ on the singular branch of the surface $d=0$. It hasboth pole and logarithm ic singularities on the surfaces $p^{2} m^{2}=0$ and $(p+k)^{2} m^{2}=0$. The i0 rule associated with $d=0 m$ atches the i0 rules at $p^{2}=m^{2}$ and $(p+k)^{2}=m^{2}$ at their points of contact.

Them erom onphic and nonm erom onphic parts ofP ( $\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{Q} ; \mathrm{k}$ ) each separately have singularities on the surfaces $2 \mathrm{pk}=0,2 \mathrm{pk}+\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$ and $2 \mathrm{pk}+2 \mathrm{k}^{2}=0$.

The results of this section $m$ ay be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ : the insertion of a single quantum interaction into a propagator $i(\$ \mathrm{~m})^{1}$ associated $w$ ith $G$ converts it into a sum of three term s . The rst is a propagator $i(\$ \mathrm{~m})^{1}$ m ultiplied by a factor that is zeroth order in $r=j \mathrm{j} . \mathrm{T}$ he second is a propagator $i(\Phi+\sqrt{m})^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ultiplied by a factor that is zeroth order in $r$. The third is a vertex \{type term, whidh has logarithm ic singularities on the two surfaces $p^{2}$ $m^{2}=0$ and $(p+k)^{2} \quad m^{2}=0$. This latter term has a typical vertex $\{$ correction type of analytic structure even though it is represented diagram $m$ atically as (the nonm erom orphic part of) a sim ple vertex insertion.
4. Triangle $\{D$ iagram $P$ rocess

In the introduction we described a hard \{photon process associated w ith a triangle graph G. In this section we describe the corrections to it arising from a single soft photon that interacts $w$ ith $G$ in the way shown in $F$ igure $i_{i}$.

Each extemalvertex $v_{i}$ off ig. 1 represents the two vertices upon which the tw o extemal hard photons are incident, together $w$ ith the charged \{particle line that runs betw een them. T he $m$ om enta of the various extemal photons can be chosen so that the m om entum \{energy of this connecting charged \{particle line is far from the $m$ ass shell, in the regim e of interest. In this case the associated propagator is an analytic function. W e shall, accordingly, represent the entire contribution associated w ith each extemalvertex $v_{i}$ by the single sym bol $V_{i}$, and assum e only that the corresponding function is analytic in the regim e of interest. T he analysis will then cover also cases outside of quantum \{electrodynam ics.

In Fig. 2 the two solid lines w ith Q \{vertex insertions represent generalized propagators. W e consider rst the contributions that arise from the $m$ erom orphic or pole contributions to these two generalized propagators.

E ach generalized propagator has, according to (3.5), tw o pole contributions, one proportional to the propagator $i(\rho \mathrm{~m})^{1}$, the other proportional to $i(\Phi+6 \mathrm{~m})^{1}$. This gives four term s , one corresponding to each of the four graphs in Fig . 3. Each line of F ig. 3 represents a propagator $i\left(\Phi_{i} \mathrm{~m}\right)^{1}$ or $i\left(\varnothing_{i}+\mathbb{m}^{1}, w\right.$ ith $i=1$ or 2 labelling the tw o relevant lines. $T$ he singularities on the Landau triangle\{diagram surface' $=0$ arise from a conjunction of three such singularities, one from each side of the triangle in $F$ igure ${ }_{1} \bar{i}_{1}$.

The graph (a) represents, by virtue of (3.5), the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{a}={\frac{d^{4} p}{(2)^{4}}}_{k j}^{z} \frac{d^{4} k}{(2)^{4}} i\left(k^{2}+i 0\right)^{1} \\
& \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i(\varphi+m)}{\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \frac{\left(\mathscr{\rho}_{1}+\mathrm{m}\right)}{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \frac{2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{k}^{2}\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{k}\right)^{1}}{2 \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{2}} \\
& V_{2} \frac{2 p_{2} k^{2}\left(2 p_{2} k\right)^{1}}{2 p_{2} k+k^{2}} \quad \frac{\left(\rho_{2}+m\right)}{p_{2}^{2} m^{2}} V_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{1}=p+q_{1} ; p_{2}=p \quad q_{3} ; p_{i} k=p_{i} k+i 0$, and $q_{i}$ is the $m$ om entum \{energy carried out of vertex $v_{i}$ by the extemal hard photons incident upon it. The vector $p \quad p_{3}$ is the $m$ om entum \{energy ow ing along the intemal line that runs

Figure 2: G raph representing a soft\{photon correction to a hard\{photon triangle\{diagram process. H ard and soft photons are represented by dashed and wiggly lines, respectively.


F igure 3: G raphs representing the four contributions that arise from inserting into each of the two generalized propagators represented in $F$ ig. 2 the sum of the two $m$ erom onphic term $s$ given by (3.5).

(a)

(c)

(b)

(d)
from $v_{1}$ to $V_{3}$.
To give m eaning to the function $\left(k^{2}+i 0\right)^{1}$ at the point $k=0$ we introduce polar coordinates, $k=r$, and $w$ rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{k j}}{k^{2}+i 0} f(k)=\int_{0}^{Z} 2 r d r^{z} d^{4} \frac{\left(2_{0}^{2}+\sim^{2} 1\right)}{2+i 0} f(r) \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F_{a}$ becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{a}=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \int_{0}^{Z} 2 r d r r^{Z} \frac{d^{4}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{i\left({ }_{0}^{2}+\sim^{2} \quad 1\right)}{2+i 0} \\
& \operatorname{Tr} \frac{i(\Phi+m)}{p^{2} m^{2}} V_{1} \frac{\left(\Phi_{1}+m\right)}{p_{1}^{2} m^{2}} \frac{2 p_{1}{ }^{2}\left(2 p_{1}\right)^{1}}{2 p_{1}+r^{2}} \quad 6^{!} \\
& V_{2} \frac{2 p_{2}{ }^{2}\left(2 p_{2}\right)^{1} 6}{2 p_{2}+r^{2}} \frac{\left(p_{2}+m\right)}{p_{2}^{2} m^{2}} V_{3}: \tag{4:3}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{i}$ represents $p_{i}+i 0$.
The integrand of this function behaves near $r=0$ like rdr. Hence the integral is infrared nite.

W e are interested in the form of the singularity at interior points of the positive\{ branch of the Landau triangle\{diagram surface' $=0$. Let $q=$ $\left(q_{1} ; q_{2} ; q_{3}\right)$ be such a point on ${ }^{\prime}(q)=0$. The singularity at $q$ is generated by the pinching of the contour of integration in p\{space by the three surfaces $p_{i}^{2} m^{2}=0$. This pinching occurs at a point in the dom ain of integration where the three vectors $\left(p_{1} ; p_{2} ; p_{3}\right)$ lie at a point $\left(p_{1}(q) ; p_{2}(q) ; p_{3}(q)\right)$ that is determ ined uniquely by the value $q$ on ${ }^{\prime}=0$. At this point none of these vectors is parallel to any other one. C onsequently, in view of the i0 rules described in connection with F ig. 1., it is possible, in a su ciently sm all pispace neighborhood of $\left(p_{1}(q) ; p_{2}(q) ; p_{3}(q)\right)$, for su ciently $s m$ all , to shift the contour of integration in space sim ultaneously into the regions $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{p}_{1}>0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{p}_{2}>0$, and to $m$ ake thereby the denom inator factors $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}+r{ }^{2}$,for $i 2 f 1 ; 2 g$, all sim ultaneously nonzero, for allpoints on the contour. In this way the factors in (4.3) that contain these denom inator functions can all be m ade analytic in all variables in a full neighborhood of the pinching point. C onsequently, these factors can, for the punpose of exam ining the character of the singularity along $'=0$ be inconporated into the analytic factor $\mathrm{V}_{2}$.

The computation of the form of the singularity on ${ }^{\prime}=0$ then reduces to the usual one: the singularity has the form log', and the discontinuity is given by the Cutkosky rule, which instructs one to replace each of the three propagator\{poles i( $p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}$ ) by $2 \quad\left(p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}\right)$.

This gives $m$ ost of what we need in this special case: it rem ains only to be shown that the rem aining singularities on ' = 0 are weaker in form than $\mathrm{log}^{\prime}$.

If one were to try to deal in the sam e way w ith the function represented by Fig. 2, but with the original vertioes rather than $Q$, then (32) would be used instead of (3.5) and the integration over $r$ in the expression replacing (4.3) would becom e infrared divergent. The de nition of $\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{i} 0$ embodied in (42) is insu cient in this case. A proper treatm ent ${ }^{10}$ show sthat the dom inant singularity on the surface' $=0$ would in this case be $\left(\mathrm{log}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$.

The graph (b) of F ig. 3 represents the function

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{b}}= & { }^{z} \frac{d^{4} p^{z}}{(2)^{4}}{ }_{0}^{2 r d r}{ }^{z} \frac{d^{4}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{i\left({ }_{0}^{2}+\sim^{2} 1\right)}{2+i 0} \\
& \operatorname{Tr} \frac{\left(\frac{i(\varnothing+m)}{p^{2} m^{2}} V_{1} \frac{\left(2 p_{i}+2 r\right)^{2}\left(2 p_{1}+2 r^{2}\right)^{1} 6}{2 p_{1}+r^{2}}\right.}{} \\
& \frac{\left(\rho_{1}+r 6+m\right)}{\left(p_{1}+r\right)^{2} m^{2}} V_{2} \\
& \frac{2 p_{2}{ }^{2}\left(2 p_{2}\right)}{2 p_{2}+r^{2}} 6  \tag{4:4}\\
p_{2}^{2} m^{2} & V_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{i}$ represents $p_{i}+i 0$. This integral also is free of infrared divergences. It is shown in ref. 15 that its singularity on ${ }^{\prime}=0$ has the form $r^{2} \mathrm{log}{ }^{\prime}$. The sam e result is obtained for graphs (c) and (d) of Fig. 3.
$T$ he rem aining contributions to the process represented in F ig. 2 involve the nonm erom orphic parts of at least one of the tw o generalized propagators. T hese nonm erom eorphic parts are given by (3.7). This expression gives logarithm ic singularities on $p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}=0$ and $\left(p_{i}+r\right)^{2} \quad m^{2}=0$, for $i=1$ and 2 . It gives singularities also on $p_{i}=0$ and $p_{i}+r^{2}=0$, and a $d_{i}{ }^{3=2}$ singularity on the portion of the surface $d_{i}=0$ that lies between $p_{i}=0$ and $p_{i}+r{ }^{2}=0$.

For $p$ in a sm all neighborhood of the xed pinching point one can again, for su ciently sm all, distort the contour sim ultaneously into the upper\{half
planes ofboth $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, and thereby avoid sim ultaneously the zeros of $p_{i}$, $p_{i}=r{ }^{2}$, and also those of

$$
d_{i}(2 r)^{2}=\left(p_{i}\right)^{2} \quad\left(p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}\right)^{2}:
$$

Thus for every point on the contour the nonm erom orphic part of the propagator associated w ith line i takes, near the pinching point, the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} \frac{1}{r} \log \frac{\left(p_{i}+r\right)^{2} m^{2}}{p_{i}^{2} m^{2}} ; \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ is analytic in all variables.
If we com bine the two factors (4.5), one from each end of the photon line, then the two displayed powers of $r^{1}$ join $w$ ith rdr to give $d r=r$. C onsequently, if each of the twologarithm ic factors in (4.5) were treated separately then an infrared divergence would ensue. H ow ever, the entire (4.5), taken as a unit, is of zeroth order in $r$, and it gives no such divergence. It is therefore necessary in the treatm ent of the nonm erom onphic part to keep together those contributions com ing from various logarithm ic singularities, such as the two logarithm ic singularities of (4.5), that are naturally tied together by a cut. By contrast, in the $m$ erom orphic part it was possible to treat separately the contributions from the two di erent pole singularities associated w th each of the two sides $i=1$ and $i=2$ of the triangle: for the $m$ erom orphic part each of the four term $s$ indicated in Fig. 3 is sparately infrared convergent.

The product of the two factors (4.5) gives an integrand factor of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{d r}{r}\left(\log \frac{\left(p_{1}+r\right)^{2} m^{2}}{p_{1}^{2} m^{2}}\right)\left(\log \frac{\left(p_{2}+r\right)^{2} m^{2}}{p_{2}^{2} m^{2}}\right): \tag{4:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dom inant singularity on ${ }^{\prime}=0$ generated by this combination is shown in ref. 15 to be of the form ${ }^{\prime 2}\left(\mathrm{log}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. If one com bines the nonm erom orphic part from one end of the soft\{photon line w ith the $m$ erom orphic part from the other end then the resulting dom inant singularity on ' $=0$ has the form ' log '. Replacem ent of one of the two $Q$ \{type interactions in $F$ ig. 2 by a $C$ \{type interaction does not $m$ aterially change things. The results are described in ref. 15.

W e now tum to the generalization of these results to processes involving arbitrary num bers of soft photons, each having a $Q$ \{type interaction on at least one end.
5. R esidues of $P$ oles in $G$ eneralized $P$ ropagators

C onsider a generalized propagator that has only quantum \{interaction insertions. Its general form is, according to (2.15),
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a={ }_{1} k_{1}+\quad+k_{n}: \tag{5:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The singularities of (5.1) that arise from the multiple end\{point $1_{2}=$ $n=0$ lie on the surfaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2} ; \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now (in contrast to earlier sections)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}+\quad \quad \mathrm{i}: \mathrm{k} \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a point lying on only one of these surfaces the strongest of these singularities is a pole. A s the rst step in generalizing the results of the preaeding section to the general case we com pute the residues of these poles.

The Feynm an function appearing in (5.1) can be decom posed into a sum of poles tim es residues. At the point $a=0$ this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& =X_{i=0}^{n} \frac{N_{1 i}}{D_{1 i}} \frac{i\left(\wp_{i}+m\right)}{p_{i}^{2} m^{2}} \frac{N_{2 i}}{D_{2 i}} ; \tag{5:5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here for each $i$ the num erator occurring on the right \{hand side ofthis equation is identical to the num erator occurring on the left\{hand side. The denom inator factors are

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1 i}=\sum_{j<i}^{Y}\left(2 p_{i} k_{i j}+\left(k_{i j}\right)^{2}+i 0\right) ; \tag{5:6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2 i}={\underset{j>i}{Y}\left(2 p_{i} k_{i j}+\left(k_{i j}\right)^{2}+i 0\right) ; ~}_{\text {in }} \tag{5:bb}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\left.\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{ij}\left[\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\right.\right. & \text { f) } \mathrm{k} & \left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\right. \tag{5:7}
\end{array} \quad \text { it }\right)\right] \mathrm{k}
$$

The sign ij $=$ in (5.7) is speci ed in the follow ing way: in order to $m$ ake the pole-residue formula well de ned each quantity $p_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is replaced by $p_{s} k_{i}+i_{i} w_{i}$ th ${ }_{i}>{ }_{1+1}>0$, for the ordering (6.1). Thus each $i$ is taken to be much larger than the next one, so it that it dom inates over any sum of sm aller ones. Thism akes each di erence ofdenom inators that occurs in the poleresidue decom position well de ned, w ith a well-de ned nonvanishing im aginary part. Then the sign $i j$ in (5.7), is xed so as to make the im aginary part of the $(i ; j)$ factor in (5.6) positive. Then the lim it where all $i_{i}!0$ is concordant $w$ ith (5.6).

Since the singularities in question arise from the multiple endpoint ${ }_{1}=$
$\mathrm{n}=0$ it is su cient for the determ ination of the analytic character of the singularity to consider an arbitrarily sm all neighborhood of this endpoint. $W$ e shall consider, for reasons that $w i l l$ be explained later, only points in a closed dom ain in the variables $k_{j}$ upon which the param eters $p_{i} k_{j}$ and $2 p_{i} k_{i j}+\left(k_{i j}\right)^{2}$ are all nonzero. Then the factors $D_{1 i}{ }^{1}$ and $D_{2 i}{ }^{1}$ are analytic functions of the variables $j$ in a su ciently sm all neighborhood of the point $\quad 1=\quad \overline{\bar{n}}=0$. H ence a pow er series expansion in these variables can be introduced.

The dom inant singularity com ing from the multiple end point ${ }_{1}=$ $\mathrm{n}=0$ is obtained by setting to zero allthe ${ }_{j}$ com ing from either the num erators $\mathrm{N}_{1 \mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2 \mathrm{i}}$ or the power series expansion of the factors $\mathrm{D}_{1 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{i}}{ }^{1}$. Then the only rem aining $j$ 's are those in the pole factor $\left(\left(p_{i}+a\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)^{1}$ itself.

C onsider, then, the term in (5.1) com ing from the ith term in (5.5). And consider the action of the rst operator, $j=1$, in (5.1). This integral is essentially the one that occurred in section 3. C om parison w ith (2.3), (3.6), and (3.3) show s that the dom inant singularity on $p_{i}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}=0$ is the function obtained by sim ply $m$ aking the replacem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{j} \frac{@!}{@ p^{j}}\left(\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{p}+{ }_{j} k_{j}\right)\right)!\mathrm{p}_{i_{j}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{j}\right)^{1}: \tag{5:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each value of $j$ can be treated in this way. Thus the dom inant singularity of the generalized propagator (5.1) on $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}=0$ is

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{Y}^{n} & \mathrm{~h} \\
\begin{array}{l}
j=1
\end{array}{ }_{j}^{j} k_{j}^{j} \quad{ }_{j}^{j} k_{j}^{j} p_{i}\left(p_{i} k_{j}\right)^{1} \\
& \frac{N_{1 i} i\left(\wp_{i}+m\right) N_{2 i}}{D_{1 i}\left(p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}\right) D_{2 i}}: \tag{5:9}
\end{array}
$$

The num erator in (5.9) has, in general, a factor

$$
\begin{align*}
& =i\left(\mathscr{\Phi}_{i} \mathbb{\Phi}_{i}+m\right) \quad \underset{i}{i}\left(\left(\mathscr{\Phi}_{i}+m\right) i\left(2 p_{i+1}+\quad{ }_{i+1} \mathbb{W}_{i+1}\right)\right. \\
& +i\left(\wp_{i} \mathbb{W}_{i}+m\right) \quad{ }_{i} \quad{ }_{i+1}\left(p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}\right) \\
& =i\left(2 p_{i_{i}} \mathbb{W}_{i}\right) i(\varphi+m) i\left(2 p_{i+1}+{ }_{i+1} \mathbb{W}_{i+1}\right) \\
& +i\left(p_{i}^{2} m^{2}\right) i_{i}\left(2 p_{i+1}+{ }_{i+1}{ }_{i+1}\right) \\
& +i\left(\wp_{i} \sigma_{i}+m\right){ }_{i} \quad{ }_{i+1}\left(p_{i}^{2} \quad m^{2}\right) \tag{5:10}
\end{align*}
$$

The last two term $s$ in the last line of this equation have factors $p_{i}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. C onsequently, they do not contribute to the residue of the pole at $p_{i}^{2} m^{2}=0$. $T$ he term $s$ in (5.10) w ith a factor $2 p_{i_{i+1}}$, taken in conjunction $w$ ith the factor in (5.9) com ing from $j=i+1$, give a dependence $2 p_{i j} 2 p_{i j}$. This dependence upon the indices $j$ and $j$ is sym $m$ etric under interchange of these two indioes. B ut the other factor in (5.9) is antisym $m$ etric. Thus this contribution drops out. $T$ he contribution proportional to $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}_{i}}$ drops out for sim ilar reasons.

Om itting these term $s$ that do not contribute to the residue of the pole at $p_{i}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ one obtains in place of (5.10) the factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{i} \mathbb{W}_{i}\right) i\left(\mathscr{\sigma}_{i}+m\right)\left(i_{i+1} \mathbb{W}_{i+1}\right) \tag{5:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is rst\{order in both $\mathbb{W}_{i}$ and $\mathbb{W}_{i+1}$.
The above argum ent dealt w ith the case in which if 0 and if $n$ : ie., the propagator $i$ is neither rst nor last. If $i=0$ then there is no factor $k_{i}=k_{0}$ in (5.11) : in fact no such $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is de ned. If $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}$ then there is no factor $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ in (5.11) : in fact no such $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is de ned in the present context. T hus one or the
other of the two $k$ dependent factors drops out if propagator $i$ is the rst or last one in the sequence.

This result (5.11) is the generalization to the case $n>1$ of the result for $\mathrm{n}=1$ given in (3.5). To obtain the latter one m ust com bine (5.11) with (5.9). The e ect of (5.11) is to provide, in conjunction w ith these pole singularities, a \convergence factor" for the factors lying on either side of each pole factor in the pole\{residue decom position (5.5). That these \convergence factors" actually lead to infrared convergence is show $n$ in the follow ing sections.

## 6. In frared $F$ in iteness of $S$ cattering A $m$ plitudes.

Let $G$ be a hard\{photon graph. Let $g$ be a graph obtained from it by the insertion of soft photons. In this section we suppose at that each soft photon is connected on both ends into $G$ by a $Q$ \{type interaction.

Each charged \{particle line segm ent $L$ of $G$ is converted into a line $L^{0}$ of $g$ by the insertion of $n \quad 0$ soft\{photon vertices. The line $L^{0}$ of $g$ represents a generalized propagator. Let the symbols $L_{i}$, w ith i 2 f0;:::ng, represent the various line segm ents of $L$.

In this section we shall be concemed only w ith the contributions com ing from the pole parts of the propagator described in section 5. In this case each generalized propagator is expressed by (5.9) as a sum of pole term $s$, each w ith a factorized residue en joying property (5.11).

O ne class of graphs is of special interest. Suppose for each charged line $L^{0}$ of $g$ there is a segm ent $L_{i}$ such that the cutting of each of these segm ents $L_{i}$, together perhaps w ith the cutting of som e hard \{photon lines, separates the graph $g$ into a set of disjoint subgraphs each of which contains precisely one vertex of the original graph $G$. In this case the soft\{photon part of the com putation decom poses into several independent parts: all dependence on the $m$ om entum $k_{j}$ of the soft photon $j$ is con ned to the functional representation of the subgraph in which the line representing this photon is contained.

The purpose of this section is rst to prove infrared convergence for the special case of separable graphs de ned by two conditions. The rst condition is that the graph $g$ separate into subgraphs in the way just described. W e then consider for each line $L^{0}$ of $g$ a single term in the corresponding generalized propagator (5.9). The second condition is that in this term of (5.9) the factor $i(6$ $\left.p_{i}+m\right)\left(p_{i}^{2} m^{2}\right)^{1}$ correspond to the line segm ent of $L_{i}$ that is cut to produce the separation into subgraphs. Then each subgraph will contain, for each charged \{ particle line that either enters it or leaves 辻, a halff line $h$ that contains either the set of vertioes $j \quad i$, or, altematively, the set of vertioes $j<i$, of that charged \{particle line.

It is also assum ed that the graph $G$ is sim ple: at $m$ ost one line segm ent (i.e., edge) connects any pair of vertioes of $G$.

The contributions associated w ith graphs of this kind are expected to give
the dom inant singularities of the full function on the Landau surface associated $w$ th $D$. If the functions associated $w$ ith all the various subgraphs are well de ned when the $m$ om enta associated $w$ th all lines of $D$ are placed on $\{m$ ass $\{$ shell then the discontinuity of the full function across this Landau surface will be a product of these well de ned functions. By virtue of the spactim e fall\{o properties established in paper I these latter functions can then be identi ed w ith contributions to the scattering fiunctions for processes involving charged extemal particles. The pupose of this section is to prove the infrared niteness of these contributions to the scattering functions.

Each subgraph can be considered separately. Thus it is convenient to introduce a new labelling of the set of, say, $n$ soft photons that couple into the subgraph under consideration. To do this the dom ain of integration $0 \quad k_{j} j$
; j2 f1;:::;ng, is rst decom posed into $n$ ! dom ains according to the relative sizes of the Euclidean $m$ agnitudes $k_{j} j$. Then in each of these separate dom ains the vectors $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are labelled so that $\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{j}$ : :: $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j} \quad 0$. A generalized polar coordinate system is then introduced:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{r}_{1} 1 \\
& \mathrm{k}_{2}=\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{2} \quad 2 \\
& \vdots \\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{2} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{f}: \tag{6:1}
\end{align*}
$$


The factors in $D_{i}(a=0)$, as de ned in (5.6), are $2 p_{i} k_{i j}+\left(k_{i j}\right)^{2}$. H ow ever, the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are no longer given by (5.7). W ith our new labelling the form ula (5.7) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i j}={\underset{j}{ }{ }^{0} 2 J(i ; j)}_{x}^{k_{j} 0} ; \tag{6:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the signs are the sam e as the signs in (5.7): only the labelling of the vectors is changed.

Let $j(i ; j)$ be the $s m$ allest number in the set of numbers $J(i ; j)$. Then singling out this term in $k_{i j}$ one $m$ ay write

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p_{i} k_{i j}+\left(k_{i j}\right)^{2}=r_{1} r_{2} \quad j(i ; f)\left(2 p_{i} \quad j(i ; j)+R\right) \tag{6:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is bounded.
The zeros of the factors $\left(2 p_{i} j(i ; j)+R\right)$ play an im portant role in the integration over space. H ow ever, our ob jective in this section is to prove the convergence of the integrations over the radial variables $r_{j}$, under the condition that the contours can be distorted so as to keep all of these \{dependent factors nite, and hence analytic. The validity of this distortion condition is discussed in Section 8, and proved in ref. 14.

To prove infrared convergence under this condition it is su cient to show, for each value of $j$, that if the di erential $d r_{j}$ is considered to be of degree one in $r_{j}$ then the full integrand, including the di erential $d r_{j}$, is of degree at least tw o in $r_{j}$. This willensure that the integration over $r_{j}$ is convergent near $r_{j}=0$.

The power counting in the variables $r_{j} 0$ is conveniently perform ed in the follow ing way: the factor $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}$ arising from $\mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}^{2}+\mathrm{i} 0$ gives, according to (6.1), a factor that has, in each variable $r_{j 0}$, the degree of $\left(r_{1} \quad{ }_{j}\right)^{2} r$ This factor $m$ ay be separated into tw $o$ factors ( $r_{1} \quad j$ ), rone for each end of the photon line. $T$ hen each individual generalized propagator can be considered separately: for each coupling of a photon $j$ carrying $m$ om entum $k_{j}=r_{1} \quad j \quad f$ into a half\{line $h$ we assign to $h$ one of the two factors ( $r_{1} \quad j$ ) m entioned above. Thus each half\{ line $h$ w ill have one such num erator factor for each of the photon lines that is incident upon it, and this num erator factor can be associated with the vertex upon which the photon line is incident. On the other hand, (6.3) entails that there is a dom inator factor $r_{1} \quad j$ (i; $;$ ) associated $w$ ith the $j$ th interval of $h$. Finally, if the photon incident upon the endpoint of $h$ that stands next to the interval that was cut is labelled by e then there is an extra num erator factor $r_{1} \quad$ : it com es from the factor $\mathbb{W}_{i+1}$ (or $\mathbb{W}_{i}$ ) in (5.11).

W e shall now show that these various num erator and denom inator factors com bine to produce for each $j$, and for each half\{ line upon which the soft photon $j$, is incident, a net degree in $r_{j}$ of at least one, and for every other half line a net degree of at least zero.

C onsider any xed $j$. To count powers ofr $r_{j}$ we rst classify each soff photon $j^{0}$ as \nondom inant" or \dom inant" according to whether $j^{0} \quad j$ or $j^{0}<j$. Any line segm ent of $h$ along which ow sthem om entum $k_{j}$ of a dom inant photon $j^{0}$ $w$ ill, according to (6.3), not contribute a denom inator factor $r_{j}$.

Thus the denom inator factors that do contribute a power of $r_{j}$ can be displayed graphically by rst considering the line $h$ that starts at the in itial
 that runs to the right. Soft photons are em itted from the succession of vertioes on $h$, and som e of these photons can be reabsorbed further to the right on $h$. In such cases the part of $h$ that lies to the right of the vertex where a dom inant photon is em itted but to the left of the point where it is reabsorbed $m$ ay be contracted to a point: according to (6.3) none of these contracted line segm ents of $h$ carry a denom inator factor of $r_{j}$. If a dom inant soft photon is em itted but is never reabsorbed on $h$ then the entire part of the line $h$ lying to the right of its point ofem ission can be contracted to this point.

If the line obtained by $m$ aking these tw o changes in $h$ is called $h^{0}$ then, by virtue of (6.3), there is exactly one denom inator factor $r_{j}$ for each line segm ent of ${ }^{0}$.

Selffenergy and vertex corrections are to be treated in the usual way by adding counterterm s . T hus self\{energy \{graph insertions and vertex \{correction graphs should be om 呌ed: the residualcorrections do not a ect the pow er counting. This $m$ eans that every vertex on $h^{0}$, excluding the last one on the right end, w illbe either:

1. A $n$ original vertex from which a single nondom inant photon is either em itted or absorbed; or
2. A vertex form ed by a contraction. A ny vertex of the latter type must have at least two nondom inant soft photons connected to it, due to the exclusion of selffenergy and vertex corrections.

The rst kind of vertex $w i l l$ contribute one power of $r_{j}$ to the num erator, whereas the second kind of vertex will contribute at least two pow ers of $r_{j}$.

Every line segm ent of ${ }^{0}$ has a vertex standing im $m$ ediately to its left. Thus each denom inator power of $r_{j}$ w ill.be cancelled by a num erator pow er associated with this vertex. This cancellation ensures that each half\{ line will be of degree at least zero in $r_{j}$.

If the soft\{photon e incident upon the left\{hand end of $h^{0}$ is nondom inant then one extra pow er of $r_{j} w$ illbe supplied by the factor ${ }_{\text {d }}$ com ing from (5.11).

If the soft photon $e$ is dom inant then there are two cases: either the leff \{m ost vertex of $h^{0}$ is the only vertex on $h^{0}$, in which case there are no denom inator factors of $r_{j}$, but at least one num erator factor for each $k_{j}$ vertex incident on $h$; or the left $m$ ost vertex of $h^{0}$ di ens from the rightm ost one, and is form ed by contraction, in which case at least two nondom inant lines $m$ ust be connected to it. These two lines deliver two powers of $r_{j}$ to the num erator and hence the extra pow er needed to produce degree one in $r_{j}$.
$T$ his result for the individual half lines $m$ eans that for the fiull subgraph the degree in $r_{j}$ is at least one for every $j$. $H$ ence the function is in frared convergent.

The argum ent given above covers speci cally only the special class of separable graphs $g$. H ow ever, the argum ent applies essentially unchanged to the general case. The restriction to separable graphs xed the directions that the photon loops owed along the half-line h under consideration: each photon loop i incident upon $h$ owed aw ay from the pole line-segm ent $s$ that lies on one end of $h$. This entails that for any line segm ent $j$ lying in $h$ the associated denom inator function $f_{j}$ contains a term $2 p_{s} k_{i}$ if and only if the follow ing condition is satis ed: exactly one end of the photon loop i that carries $m$ om entum $k_{i}$ is incident upon the half-line $h$ in the interval lying betw een the (open) segm ent $j$ and the (open) segm ent $s$ that lies on the end of $h$.

This key property off $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ follow s in general, how ever, directly from the form ula

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{j} & =j s\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & { }_{j}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =j s\binom{j}{j}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j & s
\end{array}\right) \\
& =j s\left(2 p_{s}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j & s
\end{array}\right)+\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
j
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{6:4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j_{j}=p_{s}+K_{j}$ and $s_{s}=p_{s}+K_{s}$. The di erence $K_{j} \quad K_{s}$ consists, apart from signs, of the sum of the $k_{i}$ associated $w$ ith the photon loops $i$ that are incident upon $h$ precisely once in the interval between the segm ents $j$ and $s$. This entails the key property that was obtained in the separable case from the separability condition, which is consequently not needed: the argum ents in this section pertaining to the powers of the $r_{i}$ cover also the non-separable case.

## 7. Inclusion of the $C$ lassical Interactions

The power\{counting argum ents of the preceeding section dealt w ith processes containing only $Q$ \{type interactions. In that analysis the order in which these $Q$ \{type interactions were inserted on the line $L$ of $G$ was held xed: each such ordering was considered separately.

In this section the e ects of adding $C$ \{type interaction are considered. Each C \{type interactions introduces a coupling $\mathrm{k}=6 \times$. C onsequently, the W ard identities, illustrated in (2.7), can be used to sim plify the caloulation, but only if the contributions from all orders of its insertion are treated together. This we shalldo. T hus for $C$ \{type interactions it is the operator de ned in (2.5) that is to be used rather than the operator $\mathrm{e}^{\text {e }}$ de ned in (2.12).

C onsider, then, the generalized propagator obtained by inserting on som e line L of a a set ofn interactions of Q \{type, placed in som e de nite order, and a set of N C \{type interactions, inserted in all orders. Them erom orphic part of the function obtained after the action of the $n$ operators $\mathscr{Q}_{j}$ is given by (5.9). The action upon this of the $N$ operators do of (2.5) is obtained by argum ents sim ilar to those that gave (5.9), but di ering by the fact that (2.5) acts upon the propagator present before the action of ${ }_{j}$, and the fact that now both lim its of integration contribute, thus giving for each $\varliminf_{j}$ tw o term $s$ on the right\{hand side rather than one. Thus the action of $N$ such $\varliminf_{j}$ 's gives $2^{N}$ term $s$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { " } n_{Y} \mathrm{~N} \text { \# } \\
& { }^{n+N}{ }^{N}\left(k_{j}\right) P_{1} \quad\left(D ; Q ; k_{1} ; Q ; k_{2} ; \quad Q \sum k\right. \\
& j=n+1 \quad \text { M ero }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{lll}
8^{8} y^{n} \\
\underbrace{}_{j=1} & { }_{j}^{j} k_{j}^{j} & { }_{j}^{j} k_{j}^{j} \quad \frac{p_{i_{j}}}{p_{i} k_{j}} \quad \text { ! \#9 } \\
=
\end{array} \\
& \frac{N_{1 i}}{D_{1 i}} \frac{i\left(\Phi_{i}+m\right)}{\left(p_{i}\right)^{2} m^{2}} \frac{N_{2 i}}{D_{2 i}} ; \tag{7:1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(n+1 ; \quad \dot{n}+\mathrm{N}) ; \\
j & =+1 \text { or } 0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sgn}() & =(1)^{n+1}(1)^{n+2} & \left(\mathbb{I}^{n}\right)^{N} \\
p_{i} & =p_{i}+{ }_{n+1} k_{n+1}+ & \hbar_{n+N} k_{n+N} ; \\
p_{i} & =p+k_{1}+\quad \quad \text { 立; k } &
\end{align*}
$$

and the superscript on the $N$ ' $s$ and $D$ 'sm eans that the argum ent $p_{i}$ appearing in (5.5) and (5.6) is replaced by $p_{i}$. N ote that even though the action of ${ }_{j}{ }_{j}$ and $Q_{j}$ involve integrations over and di erentiations, the m erom orphic parts of the resulting generalized propagators are expressed by (7.1) in relatively sim ple closed form . These $m$ erom onphic parts tum out to give the dom inant contributions in the $m$ esosoopic regim $e$, as we shall see.

The essential sim pli cation obtained by sum $m$ ing over all orders of the $C$ \{ type insertions is that after this sum $m$ ation each $C$ \{type interaction gives just two term s . The rst term is just the function before the action of d ${ }_{j} \mathrm{multiplied}$ by $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{j}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{1}$; the second is $m$ inus the sam $e$ thing $w$ ith $p_{i}$ replaced by $p_{i}+k_{j}$. Thus, apart from this simple factor, and, for one term, the overall shiff in $p_{i}$, the function is just the same as it was before the action of $\mathrm{C}_{j}$. C onsequently, the power\{counting argum ent of section 6 goes through essentially unchanged: there is for each classical photon $j$ one extra denom inator factor ( $p_{i} k_{j}$ ) com ing from the factor $\mathrm{ip}_{\mathrm{iu}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{1}$ just described, but the powers of the various $r_{i}$ in this denom inator factor are exactly cancelled by the num erator factor ( $r_{1} \quad j$ ) $r$ that we have associated $w$ ith the vertex $\varliminf_{j}$. Because of this exact cancellation the C -type couplings do not contribute to the power counting. H ence when C type couplings are allow ed the argum ents of section 6 lead to the result that the $m$ erom onphic part of the function $F$ associated with the quantum photons is of degree at least one in each of the variables $r_{j}$. $H$ ence it is infrared convergent.

## 8. D istortion of the $C$ ontours

The proof of infrared niteness given in sections 6 and 7 depends upon the assum ption that the contours can be shifted aw ay from all denom inator zeros in the residue factors of any term in the pole-residue decom position of the Feynm an function corresponding to the sim ple triangle graph, m odi ed by the insertion of an arbitrary num ber of soft-photon lines, each of which has a quantum coupling on at least one end and a quantum or classical coupling on the other. The proof that such a distortion of the contour is possible requires tw o generalizations of the available results about the locations of singularities occurring in the term s of the perturbative expansion in eld theory.

In the rst place, we m ust dealnot only w ith the Feynm an functions them selves, but also w th the functions obtained by decom posing, according to the pole-residue theorem, the generalized propagators associated $w$ ith the three sides of the triangle. For the usual Feynm an functions them selves there is available the usefill geom etric form ulation, in term $s$ of Landau diagram $s$, of necessary conditions for a singularity. In ref. 14 we have developed a generalization of the Landau-diagram condition that covers the $m$ ore general kinds of functions that arise in our work.

The second needed generalization pertains to the $m$ asslessness of photons. If the standard Landau-diagram $m$ om entum-space conditions are generalized to include $m$ assless particles then the e ect of contributions from points where $k_{i}=0$, for som e $i$, is to produce a severe weakening of the necessary conditions. But in ref. 14 the needed strong results are obtained in the variables ( $r_{i}$; i) introduced in section 6 to prove infrared niteness.
9. C ontributions of the $M$ erom orph ic $T$ erm $s$ to the $S$ ingularity on the Triangle-D iagram Surface' $=0$.

In this section we describe the contributions to the singularity on the triangle-diagram singularity surface' $=0$ arising from the $m$ erom onphic parts of the three generalized propagators.

The argum ents of sections 6, 7, and 8 show that in the typical pole-residue term (5.9) we can distort the contours in the $j$ variables so as to keep the residue factors analytic, even in the lim it when som e or all of the $r_{j}$ 's becom e zero. In that argum ent we considered separately an individualhalf-line, but the argum ent is ${ }^{\text {local': }}$ 计 carries over to the full set of six half-lines, with all the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} j$ ordered. Thus for each xed value of the set of variables ( $r_{i} ;::: ; r_{n} ; ~{ }_{1} ;::: ; n_{n}$ ) the integration over the rem aining variable of integration $p$ gives essentially a triangle-graph function: it gives a function w th the same log' type singularity that arises from the simple Feynm an triangle-graph function itself, w ith, how ever, the location of this singularity in the space of the extemal variables $\left(q_{i} ; q_{i} ; q_{3}\right)$ shifted by an am ount ( $K_{1} ; K_{2} ; K_{3}$ ), where the three vectors $K_{s}$ are related to the photon $m$ om enta ow ing along the three star lines of the original graph. Speci cally, if we re-draw the photon loops so that they pass through no star line of the original graph (or equivalently through no star line of the Landau diagram ), but pass, instead, out of the graph at a vertex $v_{1} ; v_{2}$ or $v_{3}$, if necessary, and then de ne the net $m$ om entum ow ing out of vertex $v_{s}$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s}=q_{s}(k)+K_{s} ; \tag{9:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{s}$ is the net $m$ om entum ow ing out of vertex $v_{s}$ along the new ly directed photon loops, then, for $x \in d k$, the function in ( $q_{1} ; q_{i} ; q_{3}$ ) space $w$ illhave a norm al log' triangle-diagram singularity along the surface' $\left(q_{1}(k) ; q_{( }(k) ; q_{3}(k)\right)=0$. For exam ple, the original singular point at the point of in ( $\left.q_{i} ; q_{E} ; q_{3}\right)$ space will
 extemal variables $q$ 's shifts the $m$ om entum ow ing along the three star lines to the values they would have if the photon $m$ om ents $k_{i}$ were all zero: it shifts the kinem atics back to the one where no photons are present.

It is intuitively clear that the sm earing of the location of this $\log { }^{\prime} \sin -$ gularity caused by the integration of the variables $k_{i}$ will generally produce a
weakening of the log singularity at ${ }^{\prime}(q)=0$. For, in general, only the endpoint $r_{1}=0$ of the $r_{1}$ integration $w$ ill contribute to the singularity at ${ }^{\prime}(q)=0$, and there is no divergence at $r_{1}=0$, by power counting, and hence no contribution from this set of $m$ easure zero in the dom ain of integration. T he only exception arises from the set of separable graphs. For in these graphs the $K_{s}$ are all zero, and hence the integrations produce no sm earing, and thus no weakening, of the log' singularity.

To convert this intuitive argum ent to quantitative form we begin by separating the set of photon lines into two subsets that enter di erently into the calculations. Let a bridge line in a graph $g$ that corresponds to a term in the pole-residue decom position (7:1) be a photon line $j$ that bridges' over a star line: any closed loop in $g$ that contains the photon line segm ent $j$, and is com pleted by charged-particle segm ents that lie on the triangle $G$, passes along at least one star line. Let ibe the sm allest $j$ such that photon line $j$ is a bridge line. (H ere we are using the ordering of the fullset $(1 ; 2 ;::: ; n)$ ofphoton labels that was speci ed in (6:1), not the ordering used in (7:1)). Thus each $k_{j}=j \quad j=r_{1}:: x_{j} \quad j$ that appears in a star-line denom inator, and hence in (9:1), contains a factor ${ }_{i}=r_{1}:: x_{i}$. Let the set of variables ( $k_{1} ;::: ; k_{i} 1$ ) be denoted by $k_{a}$, and let the set of variables $\left(k_{i} ;::: ; k_{n}\right)$ be denoted by $k_{b}$. A nd let $r_{a}$ and $r_{b}$, and $a$ and $b$ be de ned analogously. Then the function represented by $g$ can be wrilten in the form

$$
F(q)=y_{j=i}^{y^{n} Z} e_{j=1}^{z} d_{j}^{Z_{j}^{1}} r_{j}^{e_{j}} d r_{j} G\left(q ; \quad b ; r_{b}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{s=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{3}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \quad \mathrm{b} ; r_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}=+\mathrm{i} 0} \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \quad \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}} ; \quad \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a}}\right): \tag{9:3}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere $R$ is the product of the three residue factors.
The integrations in (92) weaken the logarithm ic singularities: it is shown in ref. 15 that the singularity on the surface ${ }^{\prime}(q)=0$ is contained in a nite sum ofterm sof the form $A_{m}$ ' $\left(\log { }^{\prime}\right)^{m}$, where $m$ is a positive integer that is no greater than the num ber of photons in the graph, and $A_{m}$ is analytic.
10. O perator Form alism .

W e have dealt so far $m$ ainly $w$ th the $m$ erom onphic contributions. In or der to treat the nonm erom onphic rem ainder it is convenient to decom pose the operator $\bigotimes_{i}$ into its $\backslash m$ erom orphic and \nonm erom onphic" parts, $\bigotimes_{i}^{\infty}$ and $\varliminf_{i}^{N}$.

The operator $\varliminf_{i}$ is de ned in (2.5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~F}(\rho)={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}} \frac{@}{\varrho \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{p}) \tag{10:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p+{ }_{i} k_{i}: \tag{10:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(p)=A(p) B(p) ; \tag{10:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(p)$ is analytic and $B(p)$ is $\left(p^{2} \quad m^{2}\right)^{1}$. An integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& i^{\oplus}{ }_{i} A B={ }_{0}{ }^{Z_{1}} d_{i}\left(@_{i} A\right) B+A\left(@_{i} B\right) \\
& ={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{i}\left(@_{i} A\right) \quad\left(@ A=@_{i}\right)^{Z} @_{i} \\
& \left.+A\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(i & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(i_{i}\right)\right)^{Z} @_{i} B \text {; } \tag{10:4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the di erence of delta functions, ( $\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 1\end{array}\right)(i)$ ) indicates that one is to take the di erence of the integrand at the two end points.

The inde nite integral, com puted by the $m$ ethods used to com pute (3:3), (3:6), and (3:7), is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{2 p_{i}}{2 p k_{i}} B \quad \frac{4\left(p_{i} k_{i}^{2} \quad k_{i_{i}} p_{i}\right)^{\prime}{ }^{z}}{d}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~B}+{\frac{1}{p k_{i}}}^{\#}: \tag{10:5}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the factor in front of the square bracket in $(10: 5)$ is independent of ${ }_{i}$ one can use a second integration by parts (in reverse) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{AB}= \\
& \left.Z_{1} d_{i} \quad @_{i} A\right) \quad \underset{@_{i}}{@} A \frac{2 p_{i}}{2 p k_{i}} \\
& \left.+A\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(i & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(i)\right) \frac{2 p_{i}}{2 p k_{i}} \\
& \frac{4\left(p_{i} k_{i}^{2} \quad k_{i_{i}} p k_{i}\right)}{d} A \\
& +\frac{4\left(p_{i} k_{i}^{2} \quad k_{i_{i}} \mathrm{pk}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}} \frac{k_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \mathrm{A}^{\#}}{\left(\mathrm{p} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~B} \text {; } \tag{10:6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the nal term com es from the $1=\mathrm{pk}_{\mathrm{i}}$ term in the square bracket in ( $10: 5$ ) and has no singularity at $\left(p^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) \quad B^{1}=0$ for $\mathrm{pk}_{\mathrm{i}} \in 0$.

Since all of the ${ }_{i}$ dependence in $A$ is in $p=p+{ }_{i} k_{i}$ we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ A=@_{i}=\left(@_{i} A\right) k^{i}: \tag{10:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence the rst tw o term s on the right side of (10.6) cancel, and one is left w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{i}=\complement_{i}^{M}+\complement_{i}^{\infty}+\complement_{i}^{R} ; \tag{10:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.i_{i}^{\infty}{ }_{i}^{M} A B={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{i} \frac{2 p_{i}}{2 p k_{i}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(i)\right) A B  \tag{10:8a}\\
& \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AB}=\frac{4\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pk}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}}}{\mathrm{~d}}{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{AB}  \tag{10:8b}\\
& \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{R} A B=\frac{4\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{k} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pk}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{Z}}{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{"} \frac{@}{@_{i}} A \\
& \text { A( } \left.\begin{array}{cc}
(i & 1
\end{array} \quad(i)\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{pk}_{\mathrm{i}}} \\
& =\frac{4\left(p_{i} k_{i}^{2} k_{i_{i}} p k_{i}\right)^{2}}{d}{ }_{0}^{1} d{ }_{i} \frac{k_{i}^{2}\left(p^{2} m^{2}\right)}{\left(p k_{i}\right)^{2}} A B: \tag{10:8c}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ otice that the contribution $\varliminf_{i}^{R}$ cancels the pole at $d=0$ of the contribution $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{N}}$.

To e ciently $m$ anipulate these operators their com $m$ utation relations are needed. Recall from section 2 that the operators $\varliminf_{i}$ com $m$ ute am ong them selves, as do the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varliminf_{i} ; \varlimsup_{j}\right]=0 \tag{10:9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbb{B}_{i} ; \dot{B}_{j}\right]=0: \tag{10:9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $\varliminf_{i}$ and $\bar{B}_{j}$, properly intenpreted, also com $m$ ute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\complement_{i} ; \mathscr{E}_{j}\right]=0: \tag{10:9c}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify (10.9c) note rst that $\bar{\Phi}_{j}$ acts on generalized propagators (See (2.9)), and, by linearity, on linear superpositions of such propagators. H ow ever, Eq. (2.3) show sthat the action on such an operand of the operator ( $\left.@=@ p_{i}\right)$ in $\varnothing_{i}$ is the same as a $\Xi_{i} w$ ith $k_{i}=0$. M oreover, the replacem ent $p!p+$ ${ }_{i} k_{i}$ com $m$ utes with $\tilde{B}_{j}$. Thus (10.9c) is con med, provided we stipulate that the integrations over the variables $i$ shall be reserved until the end, after the actions of all operators $\bar{B}_{i}$ and di erentiations. In fact, we see from (10:8)
 the integrations until the end then each of the operations is im plem ented by multiplying the integrand by a corresponding factor, and those operations com $m$ ute.
11. $N$ onm erom orph ic C ontributions
$T$ he $D$-ooupling part of a $Q$ type coupling is $m$ erom onphic. T hus each of the do - and $\varnothing$-type couplings can be expressed as by means of ( $10: 8$ ) as sum of of itsm erom orphic, nonm erom orphic, and residual parts. T hen the full function can be expanded as a sum of term s in which each coupling is either do type or Q-type, and is either merom onphic, nonm erom onphic, or residual. If any factor is residual then the term has no singularity at $\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)=0$, and is not pertinent to the question of the singularity structure on ${ }^{\prime}=0$. Thus these residual term $s$ can be ignored.

W e have considered previously the term $s$ in which every coupling is $m$ ero$m$ onphic. Here we exam ine the rem ainder. T hus term $s$ not having least one nonm erom onphic coupling ${\underset{i}{\mathrm{i}}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ or $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{N}$ are not pertinent: they can also be ignored.

A $l l$ couplings of the form din $_{i}^{M}$ can be shifted to the right of all others, and this product of factors ${\underset{i}{i}}_{M}^{\text {M }}$ can then be re-expressed in term $s$ of the couplings $\mathcal{Q}_{i}^{M}$. That is, the term $s$ corresponding to the di erent orderings of the insertions of the $m$ erom orphic couplings $Q_{i}^{M}$ into the charged-particle lines can be recovered by using (2:9), (2:15), and (5:8). The various couplings de ${ }_{i}^{N}$ are then represented, apart from the factor standing outside the integral in ( $10: 8 \mathrm{~b}$ ), sim ply by an integration from zero to one on the associated variable i.

In this paper we are interested in contributions such that every photon has a Q-type coupling on at least one end. In sections 6 and 7 the variables i's corresponding to photons i having a $Q_{i}^{M}$ type coupling on (at least) one end were expressed in term $s$ of the variables $r_{j}$, and it $w$ as show $n$ that the contributions from all of the $\mathscr{Q}_{i}^{M}$ type couplings lead to an $r_{j}$ dependence that is of order at least one in each $r_{j}$. The dem ${ }_{i}$ type couplings do not upset this result. Thus the general form of the expression that represents any term in the pole-residue expansion of the product ofm erom onphic couplings $\bigotimes_{i}^{M}$ and $\bigotimes_{i}^{m}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}^{Z}{ }_{j}^{e_{j}=1} d_{j}^{Y}{ }_{i}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{r_{i}^{e_{i}}} d r_{i} A B ; \tag{11:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $e_{i}$ are nonnegative integers, and $A$ and $B$ have the form speci ed in section 10, provided the contours are distorted in the way described in section 8 and ref. 14. (For convenience, the scale has been de ned so that the upper
lim it of the integration over $r_{1}$ is unity.)
For these $m$ erom onphic couplings the integrations over the variables ${ }_{i}$ have been elim inated by the factors ( $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} 1$ ) and ( $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ). But for any coupling døN there $w$ illbe, in addition to the integration from zero to one on the variable $r_{i}$, also an integration from zero to one on the variable ${ }_{i}$. It com es from ( $10: 8 \mathrm{~b}$ ).

These integrals are com puted in ref. 15, and it is show $n$ that the nonm ero$m$ orphic contributions lead to the singularities on the triangle diagram singularity surface' $=0$ that are no stronger than ${ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{log}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, where n is the num ber of photons in the graph. Even if the log factors from the graphs of di erent order in $e^{2}$ should com bine to give a factor like' ${ }^{(1=137)}$, this factor, when com bined with the form ' ( $\left.\mathrm{log}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{n}+1}$, would not produce a singularity as strong as the $\log { }^{\prime}$ singularity that arises from the separable graphs.
12. C om parison to $O$ ther $R$ ecent $W$ orks

B lock and N ordsieck ${ }^{12}$ recognized already in 1937 that a large part of the very soft photon contribution to a scattering cross-section was correctly predicted by classicalelectrom agnetic theory. T hey noted that the process therefore involves arbitrarily large num bers of photons, and that this renders perturbation theory inapplicable. They obtained nite results for the cross section for the scattering of a charged particle by a potential V by taking the absolute-value squared of the $m$ atrix elem ent of $V$ betw een initial and nal states in which each charged particle is \clothed" w ith a cloud ofbrem sstrahlung soft photons. The two key ideas of Block and N ordsieck are, rst, to focus on a physical quantity, such as the observed cross section, w ith a sum $m$ ation over unobserved very soft photons, and, second, to separate out from the perturbative treatm ent the correspondence\{principle part of the scattering function, whidh is also the dom inant contribution at very low energies.

T hese ideas have been developed and re ned in an enorm ous num ber of articles that have appeared during the $m$ ore than half-oentury follow ing the paper of $B$ lock and $N$ ordsieck. P articularly notable are the works of J. Schw inger ${ }^{17}$, Yennie, Frautschi, and Suura ${ }^{18}$, and K .T. M ahanthappa ${ }^{19}$. Schw inger's work was the rst m odem treatm ent of the infrared divergence problem, and he conjectured exponentiation. Yennie, Frautschi, and Suura, form ulated the problem in term $s$ of Feynm an's diagram atic $m$ ethod, and analyzed particular contributions in detail. T hey gave a long argum ent suggesting that their m ethod should work in all orders, but their argum ent was adm iltedly nonrigorous, and did not lend itself to easy rigorization. T he $m$ ain di culties had to do w ith the failure of their argum ents at points where the basic scattering function was singular. These points are precisely the focus of the present work, and our way of separating out the dom inant parts leads to rem ainder term s that are com pactly representable, and hence am enable to rigorous treatm ent. M ahanthappa considered, as do we, closed tim e loops, and split the photons into hard and soft photons, and constructed an electron G reen's function in closed form for the soft-photon part to do perturbation theory in term s of the hard part.

General ideas from these earlier works are inconporated into the present w ork. B ut our logicalpoint ofdeparture is the article of $C$ hung ${ }^{20}$ and ofK ibble ${ }^{4}$.

C hung was the rst to treat the scattering am plitudes directly, instead of transition probabilities, and to introduce, for this purpose, the coherent states of the electrom agnetic eld. K ibble rst exhibited the apparent break-dow n of the pole-factorization property in QED. The present work shows that this e ect is spurious: the non-pole form does not arise, at least in the case that we have exam ined in detail, if one separates o for nonperturbative treatm ent not the approxim ate representation of the correspondence-principle part used by C hung and $K$ ibble, but rather an accurate expression that is valid also in case the scattering process is $m$ acroscopic, and that therefore involves no replacem ent of factors exp ikx by anything else.

The works m entioned above are not directly com parable to present one because they do not address the question at issue here, which is the large-distance behaviour ofquantum electrodynam ics, and in particular the dom inance at large distances of a part that conform s to the correspondence principle and en joys the pole-factorization property. The validity of these principles in quantum elecrodynam ics is essential to the logical structure ofquantum theory: the relationship betw een theory and experim ent would becom e ill-de ned if these principles w ere to fail. These principles are im portant also at the practical level. The dom ain of physics lying between the atom ic and classical regim es is becom ing increasingly im portant in technology. $W$ e therefore need to form ulate the com putationalprocedures of quantum electrodynam ics in a way that allow s reliable predictions to be $m$ ade in this dom ain. M oreover, the related \problem ofm easurem ent" is attracting increasing attention am ong theorists and experim entalists. The sub ject of this work is precisely the subtle $m$ athem atical properties of this quantum classical interface in the physical theory that actually controls it. Finally, the problem of the e ects ofm assless particles in gauge theories is an issue ofm ounting theoretical im portance. Theorists need to have an adequate treatm ent of this $m$ athem atically delicate problem in our prem ier physical theory, quantum electrodynam ics, which serves as a m odel for all others.

Kulish and Faddeev ${ }^{21}$ have obtained a nite form of quantum electrodynam ics by $m$ odi ng the dynam ics of the asym ptotic states. For our punposes it is not su cient $m$ erely to $m$ ake the theory nite. $W$ e are interested in the nature of singularities, and the related question of the rates of fallo for large spacetim e separations. To obtain a su ciently well-controlled com putational procedure,
in which no term $s w$ ith spurious rates of fallo are introduced by an unphysical separation of the problem into parts, it was im portant, in our de nition of the classical part, to place the sources of the classical radiation eld, and of the classical \velocity" elds, at their correct locations. The needed inform ation about the locations of the scattering sites is not naturally contained in the asym ptotic states: the scattering events can involve both \in" and \out" particles together, and perhaps also intemal particles as well. W e bring in the correct locations of the scattering sites by rearranging the term s of the coordinated-space perturbative expansion of the full scattering operator itself, rather than by rede ning the initial and nal states of the S-m atrix.
d'Em ond M intchev ${ }^{22}$ have initiated an approach that is connected to the one pursued here. They have considered charged- eld operators that are nonlocal in that each one has an extra phase factor that is generated by an in nite line integral along a ray that starts at the eld point x. T heir form ula applied to the case of a product of three current operators located at the three vertices ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3}$ ) of our closed triangular loop could be m ade to yield precisely the phase that appears in Eq. (1.7) of ref. 11. H owever, that would involve $m$ aking the direction of the ray associated $w$ ith each eld operator ( $x$ ) depend upon the argum ent of the other eld operator in the coordinate-space $G$ reen's function $\mathrm{hT}(\mathrm{x})\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right) \mathrm{i}_{0}$ in which it appears.
d'Em ilio and M intchev do not follow that tack. Instead, they keep the direction of the ray associated w ith each eld operator ( x ) xed, then go to m om entum space, and then nd, for som e sim ple cases (charged-particle propagation and vertex correction), that reasonable results are obtained only if the directions of the rays associated w ith the charged-particle operators that create or destroy the electrons are set equal to the $m$ om enta of the particles that are created or destroyed.

O fcourse, charged-particle propagators generally occur under integral signs, whereas the directions of the rays are treated as constants. If these \constant" directions are allow ed to depend upon the $m$ om entum $p$ then the inverse Fourier transform would, of course, not yield the original coordinate-space Feynm an function.

A $n$ \intuitive" reason was given why the one particular choice of the direc-
tions of the rays gives reasonable answ ers. It relies on the idea of $\backslash$ the classical currents responsible for the em ission of soft photons". But classical-current argum ents ought to be form ulated in coordinate space.

Such a form ulation (i.e., a coordinate-space form ulation) would suggest letting the direction of the ray that occurs in the $d^{\prime}$ Em ilio -M intchev form ula be the direction of the line betw een the tw o argum ents $x$ and $x^{0}$ of the coordinate-space charged-particle propagator. T hen, due to a partial cancellation, the tw o in nite line integrals would collapse to a single nite line integral running betw een the tw o points x and $\mathrm{x}^{0}$. Then, in the case of our triangular closed loop, the phase factors associated w th the lines on the three sides of the triangle w ould com bine to give just the phase factors appearing in (1.7) of reference 11.

This coordinate-space procedure, which would seem to be the physically reasonable way to proceed, would bring the d'Em ilio M intchev form ulation to the rst stage of the work pursued here and in reference 11.

The problem of form ulating quantum electrodynam ics in an axiom atic eldtheoretic fram ew ork has been exam ined by Frohlich, M orchio, and Strocchi ${ }^{8}$ and by D. Buchholz ${ }^{9}$, w th special attention to the non-local aspects arising from G auss' law. Their m ain conclusion, as it relates to the present work, is that the energy-m om entum spectrum of the full system can be separated into two parts, the rst being the photonic asym ptotic free-eld part, the second being a rem ainder that: (1), is tied to charged particles; (2), is nonlocal relative to the photonic part; and (3), can have a discrete part corresponding to the electron/positron $m$ ass. This separation is concordant with the structure of the Q ED H am ittonian, which has a photonic free- eld part and an electron/positron part that inconporates the interaction term $\in A \mathrm{~J}$, but no added term corresponding to the non-firee part of the electrom agnetic eld. It is also in line with the separation of the classical electrom agnetic eld, as derived from the Lienard-W iechert potentials, into a \velocity" part that is attached (along the light cone) to the $m$ oving source particle, and an \acceleration" part that is radiated away. It is the \velocity" part, which is tied to the source particle, and which falls o only as $r{ }^{1}$, that is the origin of the \nonlocal" infraparticle structure that introduces peculiar features into quantum electrodynam ics, as com pared to sim ple local eld theories.

In the present approach, the quantum analog of this entire classical structure is inconporated into the form ula for the scattering operator by the unitary factor U (L ). It was shown in ref. 11, A ppendix C, that the non-firee \velocity" part of the electrom agnetic eld generated by $U(L)$ contributes in the correct way to the $m$ ass of the electrons and positrons. It gives also the \C oulom b" or \velocity" part of the interaction betw een di erent charged particles, which is the part ofthe electrom agnetic eld that gives them ain part ofG auss' law asym ptotically. Thus our form ulas supply in a com putationally clean way these \velocity eld" contributions that seem so strange when view ed from other points of view .
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