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A bstract

T he problem of nding the large order asym ptotics for the eigenfunction perturbation theory in quantum $m$ echanics is studied. T he relation between the wave function argum ent $x$ and the num ber ofperturbation theory order $k$ that allow sus to construct the asym ptotics by saddle-point technique is found: $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{k}^{1=2}=$ const; $\mathrm{k}!1$. C lassical eualidean solutions starting from the classical vacuum play an im portant role in constructing such asym ptotics. The correspondence betw een the trajectory end and the param eter $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{k}^{1=2}$ is found. The obtained results can be applied to the calculation of the $m$ ain values of the observables depending on $k$ in the $k$-th order of perturbation theory at larges $k$ and, probably, to the $m$ ultiparticle production problem .

It is well-know $n$ that the perturbation series in quantum $m$ echanics, as well as in quantum eld theory, diverges 皿 because of the factorial grow th of the coe cients at large orders. H igh order asym ptotics of the coe cients, whid is im portant, for exam $p l e$, for the problem of the divergent series sum $m$ ation, has been discussed in $m$ any papers. For the quantities such as the ground state energy in quantum mechanics [ 0 , 3] or G reen functions in quantum eld theory 目], the asym ptotics is determ ined by the euclidean nite action classicalsolution satisfying the follow ing boundary conditions: when "euclidean tim e" tends to 1 , the euclidean solution tends to the classical vacuum .

In this paper the role of classical euclidean solutions w ith other boundary conditions is discussed. It occurs that when the large order asym ptotics not for eigenvalue, but for eigenfunction in quantum $m$ echanics is considered, one $m$ ust treat the classical trajectory starting from the classical vacuum as ! 1 but nishing at som e point Q as $=0$.

N am ely, consider, as usual, the follow ing dependence of the H am ittonian on the perturbation theory param eter $g$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~g}^{2}} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{gx}) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the Laplace operator, $\mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is a set of coordinates, function V is a potential, which has a localm inimum as its argum ent is equal to zero. W ithout loss of generality, suppose that $V(Q) \quad Q^{2}=2+O\left(Q^{3}\right)$ as $Q!0 . T$ he dependence (1) was considered in [ $0^{2}$, 3$]$.
$C$ onsider the perturbation theory for the ground state eigenfunction. This perturbation theory can be treated in several ways, nam ely, one can change the variable $g x=Q$ and consider the wave function asym ptotic expansion at xed $Q$; this expansion is a tunnel sem iclassical expansion because of the dependence (1), the analog of the $P$ landk constant is $g^{2}$. Large order asym ptotics of sem iclassical expansion can be
also found [5], and the asym ptotics of the ground state energy perturbation theory, which was obtained in [ $2,[3,[6,[7]$ for various cases can be chedked 国].

N evertheless, consider in this paper the ordinary w ay oftreating the eigenfunction perturbation theory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{x^{1}} g^{k} k(x): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The purpose is to nd the $k$ asym ptotics at large $k$. In order to do it, let us write $k$ through the functional intrgral. $M$ ake use of the path integral representation of the ground state wave function,

$$
(x)=\begin{aligned}
& z \\
& D
\end{aligned} \operatorname{qexp} \quad \frac{1}{g^{2}} S[g q] ;
$$

where the integral is taken over the trajectories $q()$ satisfying the boundary conditions, $q(1)=0 ; q(0)=x$; and $S$ is the euclidean action of the theory,

$$
\left.S Q]={ }^{z} \quad d \quad \mathbb{Q}^{2}=2+V(Q)\right]:
$$

O ne can develop the perturbation theory for the ground state wave function w ith the help of the expansion of the integrand in the path integral representation into a series in $g$, the $k$-th order of this expansion can be w ritten as a contour integral, so the $k$-th order of the wave function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=\mathrm{Dq}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{q})}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dg}}{2 \mathrm{ig}^{k+1}} \exp \left(\mathrm{~S}[g q]=g^{2}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the contour C (q) runs around the origin counterclockw ise.
Let us transform the integral (4) to a saddle-point form. For this purpose rescale the variables $q()$ and $g$ in ${ }^{p} \bar{k}$ tim es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\stackrel{p}{=} \bar{p} \bar{k} ; q()=\quad\left(\frac{p}{)} \bar{k}:\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his substitution $m$ eans that the boundary condition for $q, q(0)=x, m$ ust be rescaled in ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}$ tim es, too. Thus, the k asym ptotics at large k and xed x is not of a saddle-
point type, while such asym ptotics as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}!1 ; \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}}!\quad 0=\text { const } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained from the rescaled integral w the help of saddle-point technique and is given by the follow ing expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.k_{k}^{p} \bar{k}\right) \quad(k=2) \exp (k A(0)) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $A$ equaling to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(0)=\frac{1}{-} S\left[{ }^{p}-\quad\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\ln \frac{1}{2} \quad 1\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is taken in the extrem um point, is a trajectory starting from zero as ! 1 and nishing at the point 0 as $=0$. Varying the trajectory ( ), one can obtain that the function $Q()=(\stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{O}}$ - satis es the classical euclidean equation ofm otion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=r V(Q): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen one varies the quantity, the follow ing equation for it can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2={ }^{z} d[V(Q) \quad \operatorname{QrV}(Q)=2] ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 ( 1 ;0]. We can treat the param eter (10) as a function of the end $Q(0)=Q$ of the classicaltrajectory $Q(\quad)$ satisfying eq. ( $\ddagger$ ) . So we obtain the follow ing relations connecting the point $Q$ and the param eter 0 in eq.(G),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{q}{(Q)}=0: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen one searches for the asym ptotic form ula for $k$ under conditions (6), onem ust rst nd the boundary condition for the classical euclidean solution at $=0$ from eq. (11), then nd the classical trajectory arriving at this euclidean tim emom ent in point $Q$ and, nally, substitute the classical euclidean action on the trajectory and the param eter to eq. (7). N otige that the function in eq.(11) can be multivalued.

The correspondence 11) is non-trivial and interesting. N am ely, suppose the trajectory $Q(\quad)$ to spend alleuclidean tim $e$ in the vicinity of the point $Q=0$, so that the coordinates of the trajectory end are sm all. Then the param eter is of order $O\left(Q^{3}\right)$. Therefore, the corresponding value of 0 is large. Thus, if one $m$ akes an attem pt to nd the behaviour of the asym ptotics at large 0 , trajectories closed to the path $\mathrm{Q}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be taken into account, so one is in need only of know ledge of the rst non-vanishing term of the di erence $V \quad Q^{2}=2$ at $s m$ all $Q$. This statem ent can be illustrate as follow s. In the each order of the perturbation theory the wave fiunction is expressed as a product of the 3 k -th order polynom ial in x function by the G aussian exponent. It is the term of order $\mathrm{x}^{3 k}$ expressed only through the third derivatives $@^{3} V=@ Q_{i} Q Q_{j} @ Q_{k}$ of the potential $V$ at $Q=0$ that becom es the $m$ ain one at large 0 .

W e have seen that classical euclidean solutions starting at ! 1 from zero correspond to large order behaviour of $k$, while the param eter 0 in the condition (6) depends on the coordinates of the tra jectory end. W e can consider all such points in $Q$-space that the discussed classical tra jectory passes through them. A ccording to eq. (11), each such point Q can be associated w ith the corresponding point in $0^{-}$ space by shifting of the param eter to a constant in order to $m$ ake the euclidean tim emom ent at which the trajectory passes through the point $Q$ to be equal to zero. Therefore, each tra jectory in $Q$-space is related w ith the tra jectory in 0 -space ( $g .1$ ), $O()=Q()^{q} \overline{(Q())}$ : Focus the attention on the distinction between this path and the trajectory ()$=Q()=\overline{Q(0))}$ : A coording to the previous discussion, the trajectory $0($ ) starts from in nity, while the trajectory ( ) starts from the origin. A nother interesting feature of the path $o()$ is that the derivative of the function $A$ $w$ ith respect to is written in the form, $A(0())=0 \rightarrow$ where $0=Q={ }^{p}$. The form is analogous to the form ula $d S=P d Q$.

If the tra jectory in $Q$-space nishes as ! +1 at zero then the corresponding
curve in 0 －space nishes in the point $0=0$ as ！＋1，too，because the integral（10） becom es the classical action at larges，which is not equal to zero．T hus，when one $m$ akes an attem pt to nd the asym ptotics at sm all 0 ，one $m$ ust consider the classical trajectory closed to the loop－like euclidean solution（g．1）w ith the action $S_{0}$ ．Since the function is approxim ately equal to $2 S_{0}$ up to $O\left(Q^{3}\right)$ ，the function $A$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \ln S_{0} \quad \frac{Q^{2}}{4 S_{0}}$ to the sam e accuracy．The $k$ asym ptotics as $\mathrm{kj} \mathcal{J} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{kj} \mathrm{j} \quad 1$ is， therefore，given by $(k=2) S{ }_{0}{ }^{k=2} e^{k}{ }^{2}=2$ ．N otioe that the pre－exponential factor in the $k$ asym ptotics，which is om itted in this form ula，diverges． N am ely，it is connected w ith the determ inant of uctuations near the classical solution．$W$ hen $Q$ is $s m$ all and the tra jectory is closed to the loop－like solution，we com e across the＂alm ost zero mode＂of the solution：when we shift the param eter to a large constant，$Q(0)$ is shifted to the sm all quantity．So the determ inant is sm all．Therefore，we can expect the follow ing form of the $k$ asym ptotics at $x e d x$ and large $k$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(k=2)}{S_{0}^{\mathrm{k}=2}} \quad(x) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)$ is not square integrable because of its exponential grow th at larges $x$ ， （x）$e^{2}=2$ ．

Since the ground state eigenvalue is de ned by the behaviour of the eigenfunction near the point $0=0$ ，large order asym ptotics of perturbation theory for it has the form sim ilar to（12）up to a pre－exponential factor．This form ula coincides $w$ th the results obtained in 目，［6，园，有］．
$N$ otioe that the presented $m$ ethod can be applied to high order behaviour of per－ turbation theory not only for the ground state wave function but also for the ground state density $m$ atrix equaling to the product $=(x)(y)$ because of the reality of the ground state wave function．The density $m$ atrix and the $k$－th order for it can be presented in a form analogous to（4）．We can change the variables like eq．（回）and
nd the $k$ asym ptotics as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}!\quad 1 ; \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}}!\quad 1 \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}}!\quad{ }_{2} \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}\left({ }_{1}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}_{2}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{k}=2) \exp \quad \mathrm{k} \frac{1}{\left.\left(\mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{p}^{(1)}\right]+\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{p}}-{ }_{(2)}\right]\right)+\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}^{!\#} ; ~} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{(1)}$ and (2) are the tra jectories satisfying the boundary conditions ${ }^{(1)}(0)=$ ${ }_{1}$ i $^{(2)}(0)=2 \cdot W$ e can also obtain that the trajectories $Q_{1}={ }^{(1)}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}-$ and $Q_{2}=$ (2) $^{\mathrm{P}}$ - are classical, while the param eter $=2$ is equal to the sum of the integrals 10) corresponding to these tw o euclidean solutions. N otioe that eq. (14) can be also obtained by m aking use of the $k$ asym ptotics under conditions (6), but the derivation is not so trivial, because one is in need of estim ation of the sum ${ }^{P}{ }_{n=0}^{k} \quad n(x) \quad k \quad n(y)$ under conditions 13).

C onsider the loop-like trajectory $Q()^{\prime}$ shown in g.1. Let $Q_{1}()=Q($ $0) ; Q_{2}()=Q(0 \quad) ; \quad<0: T h i s$ set of tra jectories contributes to the asym $p-$ totics (14) at follow ing values of $1 ; 2:_{1}=2=\mathrm{Q}(0)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 S_{0}} ;$ while the param eter is equal to $2 S_{0}$, so that the asym ptotics 14 ) at coinciding argum ents takes the form $(k=2) S_{0}{ }^{k=2}$. Thus, we see that for nding the density $m$ atrix large order asym ptotics at coinciding argum ents which is necessary for obtaining the asym ptotics of the $m$ ain values of the operators like $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}:: \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad$ ("G reen functions") one is in need ofm aking use of classical solution Q ( ) , and the corresponding asym ptotics has the form analogous to the ground state energy large order behaviour. This result is in agreem ent w ith the technique developed in [4].

But the results obtained in the presem nt paper allow us to nd the asym ptotic behaviour of the $k$-th order of perturbation theory for the $m$ ain values of the observables $\hat{O}$ being the operators $w$ ith the kemels $O(x ; y ; k)$ depending on $k$ as follow $s$, $O(x ; y ; k)=f\left(x=\frac{P}{k} ; y=\frac{p}{k}\right) \exp \left(k g\left(x=\frac{p}{k} ; y=\bar{k}\right)\right)$. Nam ely, these quantities being
the integrals ${ }^{R} d x d y{ }_{k}(x ; y) O(x ; y ; k)$ can be approxim ately calculated by $m$ aking use of eq. (14) and applying the Laplace $m$ ethod.

O ne can also calculate other quantities. Nam ely, the k -th order of perturbation theory for the wave functions is presented as a product of a polynom ial function ${ }^{P} a_{i_{1}::: i_{n}} x_{1}^{i_{1}}:: x_{n}^{i_{n}}$ by the $G$ aussian exponent $e^{x^{2}=2}$. It occurs that the obtained result 7) allow sus to nd the $a_{i_{1}::: i_{n}}$ asym ptotics as $i_{1}=k ;::: ; i_{n}=k$ tend to constants, because these coe cients can be w ritten through the contour integrals having the saddle-point form under $m$ entioned conditions.

The investigation of the wave function large order asym ptotics gives us a possibility to substitute it to perturbative recursive relations, to check the exponential approxim ation (7) and to nd the pre-exponential factor, as well as the corrections, not only by calculating the determ inant of uctuations in the path integral approach, but also by direct analysis of recursive relations, which allows us to consider the cases of singular quantum corrections [] to the $H$ am iltonian and of instanton-antiinstanton pair [6]. For sem iclassical expansion such analysis was made in 5]. From the recursive relations research one can also con $m$ the asym ptotics (12) and nd the function in it.

I hope that the suggested $m$ ethod of constructing asym ptotics can clarify the problem : w hat classical solutions contribute to the large orders ofperturbation theory and, in particular, nd the correspondence between the existence of this contribution and the parity of a number of negative $m$ odes around the euclidean solution. The correspondence was found in [] for the ground state energy and con $m$ ed in [5] for the large orders of sem iclassical expansion.

P robably, the suggested $m$ ethod can give rise to a new vision of a multiparticle
 [1]. For considering this problem it is im portant to know the behaviour of Feynm an
diagram $m$ sw ith a large num ber of the extemal lines and a large num ber of vertioes, ie. the $m$ ain values of the observables depending on $k$ in the $k$-th order of perturbation theory at larges $k$ which has been already discussed.

The author is indebted to VA Rubakov for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by ISF, grant \# M K T 000 .
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Figure caption Fig.1. C lassicaleuclidean solution Q ( ) and corresponding curve in o-space.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from: http://arxiv.org/ps/quant-ph/9504014v1

