On the large order asymptotics of the wave function perturbation theory

0.Yu.Shvedov

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,

60-th O ctober Anniversary Prospect 7a, M oscow 117312, Russia

and

M oscow State University

Vorobievy gory, Moscow 119899, Russia

M arch 24, 2022

A bstract

The problem of nding the large order asymptotics for the eigenfunction perturbation theory in quantum mechanics is studied. The relation between the wave function argument x and the number of perturbation theory order k that allows us to construct the asymptotics by saddle-point technique is found: $x=k^{1=2} = const; k ! 1 . C$ lassical evalidean solutions starting from the classical vacuum play an important role in constructing such asymptotics. The correspondence between the trajectory end and the parameter $x=k^{1=2}$ is found. The obtained results can be applied to the calculation of the main values of the observables depending on k in the k-th order of perturbation theory at larges k and, probably, to the multiparticle production problem. It is well-known that the perturbation series in quantum mechanics, as well as in quantum eld theory, diverges [1] because of the factorial grow th of the coe cients at large orders. H igh order asym ptotics of the coe cients, which is in portant, for exam – ple, for the problem of the divergent series sum mation, has been discussed in many papers. For the quantities such as the ground state energy in quantum mechanics [2, 3] or G reen functions in quantum eld theory [4], the asym ptotics is determined by the euclidean nite action classical solution satisfying the following boundary conditions: when "euclidean time" tends to 1, the euclidean solution tends to the classical vacuum.

In this paper the role of classical euclidean solutions with other boundary conditions is discussed. It occurs that when the large order asym ptotics not for eigenvalue, but for eigenfunction in quantum mechanics is considered, one must treat the classical trajectory starting from the classical vacuum as ! 1 but nishing at some point Q as = 0.

N am ely, consider, as usual, the following dependence of the H am iltonian on the perturbation theory parameter g,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{g^2} V (gx); \qquad (1)$$

where is the Laplace operator, $x = (x_1; :::; x_n)$ is a set of coordinates, function V is a potential, which has a local minimum as its argument is equal to zero. W ithout loss of generality, suppose that $V(Q) = Q^2 = 2 + O(Q^3)$ as Q = 0. The dependence (1) was considered in [2, 3].

Consider the perturbation theory for the ground state eigenfunction. This perturbation theory can be treated in everal ways, namely, one can change the variable gx = Q and consider the wave function asymptotic expansion at xed Q; this expansion is a tunnel sem iclassical expansion because of the dependence (1), the analog of the P lanck constant is g^2 . Large order asymptotics of sem iclassical expansion can be also found [5], and the asymptotics of the ground state energy perturbation theory, which was obtained in [2, 3, 6, 7] for various cases can be checked [5].

N evertheless, consider in this paper the ordinary way of treating the eigenfunction perturbation theory,

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{k=0}^{\mathbf{x}^{k}} g^{k} (\mathbf{x}):$$
 (2)

The purpose is to nd the $_{k}$ asymptotics at large k. In order to do it, let us write $_{k}$ through the functional intrgral. Make use of the path integral representation of the ground state wave function,

(x) =
$$^{Z} D q exp = \frac{1}{q^{2}} S [gq] ;$$

where the integral is taken over the trajectories q() satisfying the boundary conditions, q(1) = 0; q(0) = x; and S is the euclidean action of the theory,

$$S[Q] = d[Q^{-2}=2 + V(Q)]:$$
 (3)

O ne can develop the perturbation theory for the ground state wave function with the help of the expansion of the integrand in the path integral representation into a series in g, the k-th order of this expansion can be written as a contour integral, so the k-th order of the wave function is given by

$$_{k}(\mathbf{x}) = \overset{\mathbf{Z}}{\operatorname{D}} \operatorname{q}^{\mathbf{I}}_{\operatorname{c}(\mathbf{q})} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{g}}{2 \operatorname{i}\mathbf{g}^{k+1}} \exp\left(\operatorname{S}\left[\operatorname{gq}\right] = \overset{2}{\mathbf{g}}\right); \tag{4}$$

the contour C (q) runs around the origin counterclockwise.

Let us transform the integral (4) to a saddle-point form . For this purpose rescale the variables q() and g in $\frac{p}{k}$ times:

$$g = \stackrel{p-p}{=} \frac{p}{k}; q() = () k;$$
 (5)

This substitution m eans that the boundary condition for $q_rq(0) = x$, must be rescaled in p_k times, too. Thus, the k asymptotics at large k and xed x is not of a saddlepoint type, while such asymptotics as

$$k ! 1 ; x = k ! _{0} = const$$
 (6)

is obtained from the rescaled integral with the help of saddle-point technique and is given by the following expression,

$$p_{k}(0, k)$$
 (k=2) exp(kA(0)); (7)

where the function A equaling to

A
$$(_{0}) = \frac{1}{-S} \begin{bmatrix} P - \\ P \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} (\ln \frac{1}{2} - 1)$$
 (8)

is taken in the extrem um point, is a trajectory starting from zero as ! 1 and nishing at the point $_0$ as = 0. Varying the trajectory (), one can obtain that the function Q () = () satisfies the classical euclidean equation of motion,

$$Q = r V (Q)$$
: (9)

W hen one varies the quantity , the following equation for it can be obtained:

$$=2 = d [V (Q) Q r V (Q) = 2];$$
(10)

where 2 (1;0]. We can treat the parameter (10) as a function of the end Q(0) = Q of the classical trajectory Q() satisfying eq.(9). So we obtain the following relations connecting the point Q and the parameter $_0$ in eq.(6),

$$Q = (Q) = _{0}:$$
 (11)

W hen one searches for the asymptotic formula for $_{k}$ under conditions (6), one must rst nd the boundary condition for the classical euclidean solution at = 0 from eq.(11), then nd the classical trajectory arriving at this euclidean time moment in point Q and, nally, substitute the classical euclidean action on the trajectory and the parameter to eq.(7). Notice that the function in eq.(11) can be multivalued. The correspondence (11) is non-trivial and interesting. N am ely, suppose the trajectory Q () to spend all euclidean time in the vicinity of the point Q = 0, so that the coordinates of the trajectory end are sm all. Then the parameter is of order O (Q³). Therefore, the corresponding value of $_0$ is large. Thus, if one makes an attempt to nd the behaviour of the asymptotics at large $_0$, trajectories closed to the path Q = 0 must be taken into account, so one is in need only of know ledge of the rst non-vanishing term of the di erence V $Q^2=2$ at sm all Q. This statement can be illustrate as follows. In the each order of the perturbation theory the wave function is expressed as a product of the 3k-th order polynom ial in x function by the G aussian exponent. It is the term of order x^{3k} expressed only through the third derivatives $e^{3}V=eQ_{1}eQ_{2}eQ_{k}$ of the potential V at Q = 0 that becomes the main one at large $_{0}$.

We have seen that classical euclidean solutions starting at ! 1 from zero correspond to large order behaviour of k, while the parameter 0 in the condition (6) depends on the coordinates of the trajectory end. We can consider all such points in Q -space that the discussed classical trajectory passes through them . A coording to eq.(11), each such point Q can be associated with the corresponding point in $_0$ space by shifting of the parameter to a constant in order to make the euclidean time m on ent at which the trajectory passes through the point Q to be equal to zero. Therefore, each trajectory in Q -space is related with the trajectory in $_0$ -space (q.1), $_{0}() = Q() = Q()$ (): Focus the attention on the distinction between this path and the trajectory () = Q () = (Q(0)): A coording to the previous discussion, the trajectory $_0()$ starts from in nity, while the trajectory () starts from the origin. Another interesting feature of the path $_0$ () is that the derivative of the function A with respect to is written in the form, $A_{-}(0) = 0^{-1}$, where $0 = Q_{-}^{-1}$. The form is analogous to the form ula dS = P dQ.

If the trajectory in Q-space nishes as ! + 1 at zero then the corresponding

curve in $_0$ -space nishes in the point $_0 = 0$ as ! + 1, too, because the integral (10) becomes the classical action at larges , which is not equal to zero. Thus, when one makes an attempt to nd the asymptotics at small $_0$, one must consider the classical trajectory closed to the loop-like euclidean solution (g.1) with the action S $_0$. Since the function is approximately equal to 2S $_0$ up to 0 (Q³), the function A is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \ln S_0 = \frac{Q^2}{4S_0}$ to the same accuracy. The $_k$ asymptotics as kj $\frac{3}{2} = 1$; kj $\frac{2}{2} = 1$ is, therefore, given by $(k=2)S_0^{-k=2}e^{k^2=2}$. Notice that the pre-exponential factor in the

 $_{k}$ asymptotics, which is om itted in this formula, diverges. Namely, it is connected with the determinant of uctuations near the classical solution. When Q is small and the trajectory is closed to the loop-like solution, we come across the "almost zero mode" of the solution: when we shift the parameter to a large constant, Q (0) is shifted to the small quantity. So the determinant is small. Therefore, we can expect the following form of the $_{k}$ asymptotics at xed x and large k,

$$\frac{(k=2)}{S_0^{k=2}} (x);$$
(12)

where (x) is not square integrable because of its exponential growth at larges x, (x) e^{x^2-2} .

Since the ground state eigenvalue is de ned by the behaviour of the eigenfunction near the point $_0 = 0$, large order asymptotics of perturbation theory for it has the form similar to (12) up to a pre-exponential factor. This form ula coincides with the results obtained in [2, 6, 3, 7].

Notice that the presented m ethod can be applied to high order behaviour of perturbation theory not only for the ground state wave function but also for the ground state density m atrix equaling to the product = (x) (y) because of the reality of the ground state wave function. The density m atrix and the k-th order for it can be presented in a form analogous to (4). We can change the variables like eq.(5) and nd the k asymptotics as

$$k ! 1 ; x = k ! _{1} ; y = k ! _{2};$$
 (13)

which is given by

where ⁽¹⁾ and ⁽²⁾ are the trajectories satisfying the boundary conditions ⁽¹⁾ (0) = 1; ⁽²⁾ (0) = 2. We can also obtain that the trajectories $Q_1 = {}^{(1)}{}^{p-}$ and $Q_2 = {}^{(2)}{}^{p-}$ are classical, while the parameter =2 is equal to the sum of the integrals (10) corresponding to these two euclidean solutions. Notice that eq.(14) can be also obtained by making use of the $_{k}$ asymptotics under conditions (6), but the derivation is not so trivial, because one is in need of estimation of the sum ${}^{P}{}_{n=0}{}_{n=0}{}_{n}$ (x) $_{k=n}$ (y) under conditions (13).

Consider the bop-like trajectory Q () shown in g.1. Let $Q_1() = Q($ $_0); Q_2() = Q(_0); < 0$: This set of trajectories contributes to the asymptotics (14) at following values of $_1; _2: _1 = _2 = Q(0) = \frac{p}{2S_0};$ while the parameter is equal to $2S_0$, so that the asymptotics (14) at coinciding arguments takes the form $(k=2)S_0^{k=2}$. Thus, we see that for noting the density matrix large order asymptotics at coinciding arguments which is necessary for obtaining the asymptotics of the main values of the operators like $x_{i_1}::x_{i_m}$ ("G reen functions") one is in need of making use of classical solution Q (), and the corresponding asymptotics has the form analogous to the ground state energy large order behaviour. This result is in agreement with the technique developed in [4].

But the results obtained in the present nt paper allow us to nd the asymptotic behaviour of the k-th order of perturbation theory for the main values of the observables \hat{O} being the operators with the kernels O(x;y;k) depending on k as follows, $O(x;y;k) = f(x = \frac{p}{k};y = \frac{p}{k}) \exp(kg(x = \frac{p}{k};y = \frac{p}{k}))$. Namely, these quantities being

the integrals R dxdy $_{k}$ (x;y)O (x;y;k) can be approximately calculated by making use of eq.(14) and applying the Laplace method.

O ne can also calculate other quantities. N am ely, the k-th order of perturbation theory for the wave functions is presented as a product of a polynom ial function $P^{P} a_{i_{1}} ::::_{n}} x_{1}^{i_{1}} :::x_{n}^{i_{n}}$ by the G aussian exponent e $x^{2}=2$. It occurs that the obtained result (7) allow s us to nd the $a_{i_{1}}:::_{n}}$ asym ptotics as $i_{1}=k$; :::; $i_{n}=k$ tend to constants, because these coe cients can be written through the contour integrals having the saddle-point form under mentioned conditions.

The investigation of the wave function large order asymptotics gives us a possibility to substitute it to perturbative recursive relations, to check the exponential approximation (7) and to nd the pre-exponential factor, as well as the corrections, not only by calculating the determ inant of uctuations in the path integral approach, but also by direct analysis of recursive relations, which allows us to consider the cases of singular quantum corrections [7] to the H am iltonian and of instanton-antiinstanton pair [6]. For sem iclassical expansion such analysis was made in [5]. From the recursive relations research one can also con m the asymptotics (12) and nd the function in it.

I hope that the suggested m ethod of constructing asymptotics can clarify the problem : what classical solutions contribute to the large orders of perturbation theory and, in particular, nd the correspondence between the existence of this contribution and the parity of a number of negative m odes around the euclidean solution. The correspondence was found in [7] for the ground state energy and con m ed in [5] for the large orders of sem iclassical expansion.

Probably, the suggested method can give rise to a new vision of a multiparticle production problem considered recently in many papers, see, for example, [8, 9, 10, 11]. For considering this problem it is important to know the behaviour of Feynman

7

diagram m swith a large num ber of the external lines and a large num ber of vertices, i.e. the m ain values of the observables depending on k in the k-th order of perturbation theory at larges k which has been already discussed.

The author is indebted to V A Rubakov for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by ISF, grant # MKT000.

References

- [1] F J D yson Phys. Rev. 85 (1952) 631.
- [2] E Brezin, J.C. Le Guoillou, J.Zinn-Justin Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1558.
- [3] J.Zinn-Justin Phys.Rep.70 (1981) 109.
- [4] LN Lipatov. Sov. Phys. JETP 45 (1977) 216.
- [5] O.Yu.Shvedov, quant-ph,# 9504012, submitted to Yademaya Fizika
- [6] E Brezin, G Parisi, J Zinn-Justin Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 408.
- [7] V A Rubakov, O Yu Shvedov. Nucl Phys. B 434 (1995) 245.
- [8] M B.Voloshin.Nucl.Phys.B 383 (1992) 233.
- [9] L.S.Brown.Phys.Rev.D 46 (1992) 4125.
- [10] E N Argyres, R K leiss, C G Papadopoulos. Nucl. Phys. B 391 (1993) 42.
- [11] M.V.,Libanov, V.A.Rubakov, D.T.Son, S.V.Troitskii Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 7553.

F igure caption F ig.1. Classical euclidean solution Q () and corresponding curve in $_{\rm 0}\mbox{-space}.$

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/quant-ph/9504014v1