

Experimental limit on the blue shift of the frequency of light implied by a q-nonlinearity

V. I. Man'ko¹ and G. M. Tino

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli "Federico II"
M. Ostra d'Oltremare, P. ad. 20 - 80125 Napoli, Italy

Abstract

We discuss the implications of an experiment in which the frequencies of two laser beams are compared for different intensities in order to search for a dependence of the frequency of light on its intensity. Since no such dependence was found it is possible to place bounds on a description of the electromagnetic field in terms of q-oscillators. We conclude that the value of the nonlinearity parameter is smaller than 10^{-17} .

1 Introduction

The q-oscillators [1], [2] have been introduced in the study of the mathematical structures of quantum groups [3] which are used to explain the peculiarities of the rotational spectra of nuclei [4]. The q-deformation changes the Brownian motion [5]. The physical nature of q-oscillators is not clear yet. It is related sometimes to the problem of fundamental length [6], [7] or to the discrete structure of time [8]. The quantum group structure may be related to nonlinear modifications of quantum mechanics [9], [10], [11], reviewed in [12]. The nonlinearity of vibrations produces also changes in the Bose distribution [13], [14]. In [15], [16] it was suggested an interpretation of the q-oscillators as usual nonlinear oscillators with specific exponential dependence of the oscillator frequency on the amplitude of vibrations. Such hypothesis leads to the same algebraic structure of the commutation relations in the quantum case and Poisson brackets in classical domain that q-oscillators of [1], [2] have. Some predictions have been formulated on the basis of this hypothesis. If q-nonlinear vibrations are considered as vibrations of the real electromagnetic field, they must be "visible" for high field intensity as for nonlinearities of any kind. In particular, a dependence of light frequency on its intensity has been proposed in [17]. It implies that for increasing electromagnetic field intensity the frequency increases, which is not the case, of course, for linear Maxwell electrodynamics. While the nonlinear phenomena in media electrodynamics are well known and they are the base for nonlinear optics, the q-nonlinearity considered in this work would be a property of the electromagnetic field vibration in vacuum.

In this paper, we discuss in the frame of the theory of q-nonlinearity the results of a simple experiment which allows to set an upper limit to a possible dependence of the frequency of light on the intensity. A heterodyne technique is used to compare the frequency of two laser beams as their intensity is changed. Two narrow-band diode lasers are phase-locked with a fixed frequency

¹On leave from Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.

oscillator set and a portion of their output is mixed in a fast photodiode. The measurement of the frequency of the beat note provides a very sensitive method to detect small frequency changes. Although the work is motivated by the interpretation of q{oscillators as describing the q{nonlinear vibrations of electromagnetic field, the accuracy of the experiment allows to set an upper limit to any dependence of the "colour" of light on the intensity.

In the next section, we describe shortly the theory of q{oscillators and review the results of [15], [16] and [17]. In Section 3, the experimental set-up is described. The results of the experiment are discussed in Section 4.

2 q{vibrations

The photon creation and annihilation operators a and a^\dagger satisfy boson commutation relations

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1 \quad (1)$$

The one-mode Hamiltonian describing the electromagnetic field oscillations is taken as the Hamiltonian of the usual harmonic oscillator

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega (a^\dagger a + a a^\dagger); \quad (2)$$

that yields the Heisenberg equation of motion for the amplitude a

$$\dot{a}(t) = -i\omega a(t); \quad (3)$$

The solution of this linear equation is

$$a(t) = a(0)e^{-i\omega t}; \quad (4)$$

and it is seen from this solution that for linear oscillations the frequency does not depend on the amplitude of vibrations.

The q{oscillator is described by the creations and annihilations operators a_q, a_q^\dagger which satisfy the following relation [1], [2]

$$a_q a_q^\dagger - q a_q^\dagger a_q = q^{-n}; \quad (5)$$

Here the real number $q = \exp \theta$, the parameter θ is considered as the nonlinearity parameter [15], and the operator $\hat{n} = a^\dagger a$ is the operator of the photon number in the mode. In [15], [16] and [17] it was suggested using the nonlinear relations

$$a_q = a \frac{\sinh(a^\dagger a)}{a^\dagger a \sinh \theta}; \quad a_q^\dagger = \frac{\sinh(a^\dagger a)}{a^\dagger a \sinh \theta} a^\dagger; \quad (6)$$

which give relation (5). The modified Hamiltonian (2) has the form

$$H_q = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega (a_q^\dagger a_q + a_q a_q^\dagger) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2 \sinh \theta} f \sinh[(a^\dagger a + 1)\theta] + \sinh(a^\dagger a)g; \quad (7)$$

It describes nonlinear vibrations given by the Heisenberg equation of motion

$$\dot{a}(t) = -i\omega[n]a(t); \quad a(0) = a; \quad (8)$$

The solution to this equation has the form

$$a(t) = a(0) \exp[-i(\omega + \chi |a|^2) t]; \quad (9)$$

The frequency of vibration ω depends on the amplitude and for large field intensities ($|a| \gg 0$) it has the form

$$\omega = \omega_0 \frac{\cosh n}{\sinh n}; \quad (10)$$

In the limit of small nonlinearity, $\chi \rightarrow 0$ and the frequency $\omega \rightarrow \omega_0$:

The nonlinearity parameter χ is supposed to be small and the "blue shift effect" [16][17] which is interpreted as a change of the frequency due to increasing number of photons in the mode is described by

$$\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \chi n^2; \quad \omega = \omega_0 + \chi n^2; \quad (11)$$

which is the approximate expression (10) for $n \gg 1$, $n \gg 0$ and $n \gg 1$. This relation allows to check experimentally whether there is a change of light frequency if one increases the photon number in the mode (field intensity). A null result in the search for a frequency shift provides an upper bound for the nonlinearity parameter χ .

3 Experiment

The basic idea of this experiment is to search for a change of the frequency of laser radiation when its intensity is varied. In this experiment, this was done by comparing the frequencies of two laser beams using a heterodyne scheme. The output of two narrow-band semiconductor diode lasers was mixed in a fast photodiode. By varying the intensity of the beams, a dependence of the frequency of the beat note on the intensity was searched for. A very high accuracy was obtained by phase-locking one of the lasers to the other. In this way, the frequency difference at the output of the two laser sources was extremely stable and small changes could be observed.

A simplified scheme of the apparatus used for the measurements reported in this paper is shown in Fig. 1. Two diode lasers emitting at 850 nm were used as radiation sources. In order to reduce their linewidth, they were mounted in an extended-cavity configuration using optical feedback from an external grating [18]. This allowed to reduce the linewidth to about 50 kHz. The output of the lasers was the zero-order reflection from the grating. The output beam of each laser passed through an optical isolator, to avoid feedback to the laser, and through an anamorphic prism pair to correct the elliptical cross-section of the beam. At the output of the prisms the beam had a near-circular cross-section with a diameter of 8 mm.

The two diode lasers were phase-locked with a frequency offset of 9 GHz using the scheme described in [19]. When two lasers are phase-locked, the frequency difference becomes equal to the frequency of the reference signal. The relative linewidth of the locked lasers is therefore negligible and is only limited by the linewidth of the frequency generators and by vibrations of optical components. In the set-up we used for this experiment, under locked conditions the measured phase error variance was less than $4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ rad}^2$:

The frequency difference between two laser beams, each coming from one laser, was measured by measuring the frequency of the beat note obtained at the output of the photodetector (PD2)

on which the two beams were superposed. The two beams were combined on a beam splitter and focused on the photodetector using a 3 cm focal length lens. Before reaching the beam splitter, each beam passed through a variable attenuator formed by a half-wave plate and a polarizing cube. The maximum power of each beam at the photodiode was 2 mW. The photodetector was a commercial InGaAs Schottky diode with a diameter of 25 micrometers. The conversion gain was 5V/W. With an intensity of 0.1 mW per beam, the beat signal at the output of the photodetector was -78 dBm, limited by the not perfect matching of the two beams at the detector.

The beat signal from photodetector PD2 was observed with an rf spectrum analyzer. The resolution bandwidth was 10 Hz. The peak frequency could be determined with a sensitivity of 1 Hz. After proper thermal stabilization of the apparatus, the fluctuations of the values obtained for the frequency of the beat signal were reduced to less than 1 Hz.

Data were taken by measuring the frequency of the beat note with the spectrum analyzer while varying the intensity of the laser beams between 0.1 mW and 2 mW using the variable attenuators. No dependence of the beat signal frequency on the light intensity was observed at the accuracy level set by the spectrum analyzer nor any change of the signal shape.

It is worth stressing that the sensitivity of this method is extremely high. A fractional change of the frequency of 10^{-14} would have been detected in this experiment. In fact, using essentially the same scheme illustrated here, the accuracy can still be improved by several orders of magnitude by electronical down-conversion of the beat note and FFT analysis. Also, a higher laser power and better mode-matching on the photodiode would increase the significance of the results.

4 Discussion

The null result of this experiment can be translated into an upper bound for the nonlinearity parameter $\chi^{(3)}$. Assuming ideal conditions in which all the photons are in a single mode, at the maximum laser power of 2 mW one obtains a photon number $n \approx 10^0$. Using Eq. (2) and considering the minimum frequency change $\frac{1}{f} \approx 10^{-14}$ detectable in this experiment, one obtains 10^{-17} . This value is obtained under the ideal conditions mentioned above and assuming that the frequency of a mode depends only on the number of photons in that mode. A different bound for the parameter $\chi^{(3)}$ is obtained, of course, if a different model is assumed in the interpretation of the experimental result.

Several improvements of the method proposed here are possible. A possibility already mentioned above is to increase the sensitivity of the apparatus by electronical down-conversion of the heterodyne signal and FFT analysis. Since the significance of the results increases with increasing power, the use of a higher laser power would be desirable. For high laser power, however, a different photodetector should be used. Such detectors are typically slow and this would force to work at a smaller frequency difference. Reduction of the noise would then require a long integration time. As suggested in [15], other types of experiments can be envisaged in order to test the possibility of describing electrodynamics using q-oscillators. An accurate test could be performed using interferometric methods. Another possibility is to study the dependence of the time correlation function of the q-oscillator on the intensity.

Acknowledgements

One of us (V.I.M.) would like to acknowledge the University of Naples and INFN, Section of Naples, for kind hospitality. G.M.T. acknowledges useful discussions with M. Prevedelli about the experiment.

References

- [1] L.C. Biedenharn, J. Phys. A 22, L873 (1989).
- [2] A.J. Macfarlane, J. Phys. A 22, 4581 (1989).
- [3] M. Jimbo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4, 3759 (1989).
- [4] R.N. Alvarez, D. Bonatsos, and Yu.F. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. A 50, 1088 (1994).
- [5] V.I. Man'ko and R.V. Mendes, Phys. Lett. A 180, 39 (1993).
- [6] S.V. Shabanov, Quantum and Classical Mechanics and q-deformed Systems, Preprint BUTP 92/24 (1992).
- [7] E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti, and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2056 (1991).
- [8] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, and H. Ruegg, Phys. Lett. B 293, 344 (1992).
- [9] M.D. Kostin, J. Chem. Phys. 57, 3589 (1973).
- [10] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 485 (1989).
- [11] H.-D. Doebner and G.A. Goldin, Phys. Lett. A 162, 397 (1992).
- [12] V.V. Dodonov and S.S. Mizrahi, Ann. Phys. 237, 226 (1995).
- [13] G. Su and M. Ge, Phys. Lett. A 173, 17 (1993).
- [14] J.A. Tuszynski, J.L. Rubin, J. Meyer and M. Kibler, Phys. Lett. A 175, 173 (1993).
- [15] V.I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, S. Solimeno, and F. Zaccaria, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8, 3577 (1993).
- [16] V.I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, and F. Zaccaria, Phys. Lett. A 191, 13 (1994).
- [17] V.I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, S. Solimeno, and F. Zaccaria, Phys. Lett. A 176, 173 (1993); "Q {nonlinearity of Electromagnetic Field and Deformed Planck Distribution," in: Technical Digests of EQEC '93-EQUAP '93, Firenze, September 10-13, 1993, eds. P. De Natale, R. Meucci, and S. Pelli, vol. 2 (1993).
- [18] G.M. Tino, Physica Scripta, T51, 58 (1994).
- [19] G. Santarelli, A. Clairon, S.N. Lea, and G.M. Tino, Opt. Commun. 104, 339 (1994).