M arc-Thierry Jaekely and Serge Reynaudz

yLaboratoire de Physique Theorique de l'Ecole Norm ale Superieure<sup>1</sup> (CNRS), 24 rue Lhom ond, F 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France zLaboratoire Kastler-Brossel<sup>2</sup> (UPM C-ENS-CNRS), case 74, 4 place Jussieu, F 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

#### Abstract

Vacuum eld uctuations exert a radiation pressure which induces m echanicale ects on scatterers. The question naturally arises whether the energy of vacuum uctuations gives rise to inertia and gravitation in agreement with the general principles of mechanics. As a new approach to this question, we discuss the mechanical elects of quantum eld uctuations on two mirrors building a Fabry-Perot cavity. We

rst put into evidence that the energy related to C asim ir forces is an energy stored on eld uctuations as a result of scattering time delays. We then discuss the forces felt by the m irrors when they m ove within vacuum eld uctuations, and show that energy stored on vacuum uctuations contributes to inertia in conform ity with the law of inertia of energy. As a further consequence, inertial m asses exhibit quantum uctuations with characteristic spectra in vacuum.

PACS num bers: 12.20 Ds 03.70 42.50 Lc

LPTENS 95/4 M ay 1995

Proceedings of NATO -ASIC onference "Electron Theory and Quantum Electrodynamics - 100 years later", September 5-16, 1994, Edime, Turkey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unite propre du Centre National de la Recherche Scienti que, associee a l'Ecole Norm ale Superieure et a l'Universite de Paris Sud.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unite de l'Ecole Norm ale Superieure et de l'Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, associee au Centre National de la Recherche Scienti que.

#### 1 Introduction

Fundamental problems are raised by the mechanical elects associated with radiation pressure uctuations in vacuum. The instability of motions when radiation reaction is taken into account, and the existence of "runaway solutions" [1], can be avoided for mirrors by recalling that they are actually transparent to high frequencies of the eld [2]. However, partially transmitting mirrors, and cavities, introduce scattering time delays which result in a temporary storage of part of the scattered vacuum uctuations [3]. In particular, the energy related to C asim ir forces [4] identi es with the energy of eld uctuations stored in the cavity [3]. This revives the questions of the contribution of vacuum uctuations to inertia and gravitation [5], and of its consistency with the general principles of equivalence and of inertia of energy.

Vacuum uctuations of quantum elds have been known for long to correspond to an in nite energy density [6], or at least to a problem atically high energy density, if only frequencies below P lanck frequency are considered [7]. A common way to escape the problems raised by consequent gravitational e ects, exploits the fact that only di erences of energy are involved in all other interactions. Vacuum energy is set to zero by de nition, a prescription which is embodied in normal ordering of quantum elds. In such a scheme, variations of vacuum energy, like the energy associated with C asim ir forces [4], hardly give rise to inertia and gravitation. Furtherm ore, norm allordering cannot be implemented as a covariant prescription and leads to ambiguities in de ning the gravitational elects of quantum elds [8]. Then, the question naturally arises of the compatibility of the mechanical elects induced by quantum eld uctuations with the general principles which govern the laws of mechanics.

As a new approach to this question, we discuss the mechanical e ects of quantum eld uctuations on two mirrors building a Fabry-Perot cavity. We rst put into evidence that the energy related with C asim ir forces is an energy stored on eld uctuations as a result of scattering time delays. We then discuss the forces felt by the mirrors when they move within vacuum eld uctuations, and in particular the contribution of C asim ir energy to inertia.

## 2 Casim ir energy

As a result of the radiation pressure of eld quantum uctuations in which they are immersed, two mirrors at rest in vacuum feelamean Casimir force which depends on their distance q. For partially transmitting mirrors, characterised by their frequency dependent relation coecients ( $r_1$  and  $r_2$ ), the Casimir force takes a simple form (written here for a cavity in two-dimensional space-time immersed in the vacuum of a scalar eld; similar

expressions hold in four-dimensional space-time, and for electrom agnetic and also thermal elds) [3]:

$$F_{c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{h!}{c} f1}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{p!}{c} f1} g[!]g$$

$$g[!] = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{p!}{c!} \frac{p!}{q=c}}{\frac{1}{2}} r[!]e^{\frac{1}{2}i!}q=c} r[!] = r_{1}[!]r_{2}[!]$$

The rst part of this expression corresponds to the energy-momentum of incoming vacuum eld uctuations (h is Planck constant, and c the light velocity). The second part describes the elect of the cavity on the modes: g[!] describes an enhancement of energy density for modes inside the resonance peaks of the cavity, and an attenuation for modes outside.

This mean force can be seen as the variation of a potential energy, more precisely, as the length dependent part of the energy of the cavity immersed in eld uctuations:

$$dE_c = F_c dq$$

One easily derives the well-known phase-shift representation of Casim ir energy [4], whose expression in the present case takes the simple following form :

$$E_{c} = \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{d!}{2} hf \quad [!]g$$

$$2 \quad [!] = iLog \frac{1 \quad r[!]e^{2i!q=c}}{1 \quad r[!]e^{2i!q=c}}$$

$$detS = detS_{1}detS_{2}e^{2i}$$

[!] is the frequency dependent phase-shift introduced by the cavity on the propagation of eld m odes, as given by the scattering m atrix (S) of the cavity (m ore precisely, its de nition divides by the individual scattering m atrices of the m incors, whose contributions to the total energy are length independent).

The frequency dependent phase shift corresponds to time delays in the propagation of elds through the cavity:

This time delay [9] describes the time lag undergone by a wave packet around frequency ! and is the sum of several contributions:

$$[!] = f1 \quad g[!]gf = \frac{1}{2}e_{!}'g + \frac{1}{2}e_{!}'g + g[!]sin(2!\frac{q}{c} + ')\frac{e_{!}}{1-2}$$

$$r[!] = [!]e^{i'[!]} \quad (1)$$

The main contribution identies with the length of the cavity (divided by c), modied by the function g describing energy densities within the cavity. O ther contributions are corrections due to the frequency dependence of the mirrors' relation coecients, i.e. delays introduced during relation on the mirrors them selves.

Casim in energy can be rew ritten in terms of these scattering time delays, integrating by parts and noting that boundary terms vanish in particular because of high frequency transparency:

$$E_{c} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} h! \quad [!]$$

The result takes a simple form, as an integral over all modes of the product of the spectral energy density of quantum eld uctuations by the corresponding time delay. In particular, the length dependent part of C asim ir energy is negative, corresponding to a binding energy, so that negative time delays contribute in m a jority [10]. As time delays are indeed relative to free propagation, i.e. in absœnce of cavity, the retardation elect of the cavity on resonant modes is thus dominated by the opposite elect on modes outside resonance peaks.

It can be shown that the same expressions remain valid for C asim ir force and energy of a cavity immersed in thermal elds, provided the spectral energy density for thermal quantum uctuations is substituted (T is the temperature)  $[\beta]$ :

$$F_{c} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} 2 \frac{h!}{c} f \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{h!} = T_{1}} gf1 \quad g[!]g$$
$$E_{c} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} 2h! f \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e^{h!} = T_{1}} g[!]$$

To the contribution of zero-point uctuations, one must add the contribution due to the mean number of photons as given by Planck's formula. In all cases, C asim ir energy appears as part of the energy of quantum eld uctuations which is stored inside the cavity, as a consequence of scattering time delays.

#### 3 M otional C asim ir forces

The Casim ir forces felt by two mirrors at rest result from the radiation pressure exerted by the uctuating quantum elds in which they are immersed. Hence, these forces also uctuate and their uctuations can be characterised by their correlations (i; j = 1;2 label the two mirrors):

$$< F_{i}(t)F_{j}(0) > < F_{i} > < F_{j} > = C_{F_{i}F_{j}}(t)$$

For a stationary state of the eld, correlations are equivalently characterised by spectral functions [11]:

$$C_{F_{i}F_{j}}(t) = \int_{1}^{2} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!t} C_{F_{i}F_{j}}[!]$$
(2)

The uctuating forces induce random motions of the mirrors around their mean positions which can be described as quantum Brownian motions. As a consequence of general principles governing motion in a uctuating environment [12], when set into motion mirrors feel additional forces which depend on their motions. For small displacements (q), these forces are conveniently described by motional susceptibilities:

$$F_{i}[!] > = X _{F_{i}F_{j}}[!] q_{i}[!]$$
 (3)

The motional forces can be obtained using motion dependent scattering matrices [13]. The scattering matrix of a mirror in its rest frame leads to a scattering matrix in the original frame which depends on the mirror's motion, and can easily be obtained up to rst order in the mirror's displacement. Radiation pressures and forces exerted on the mirrors are thus obtained up to the same order [11]. (For perfect mirrors, forces have been obtained exactly for arbitrary motions of the mirrors [14]).

A coording to linear response theory [15], uctuation-dissipation relations identify the imaginary (or dissipative) part of a susceptibility with the com – mutator of the corresponding quantity with the generator of the perturbation. In the case of m irrors' displacements, the generators are the forces exerted on the m irrors:

$$F_{i}F_{j}[!] = \frac{i}{h} fC_{F_{i}F_{j}}[!] = C_{F_{j}F_{i}}[!] = C_{F_{j}F_{i}}[!]$$

Thus, uctuation-dissipation relations provide a check for the results one obtains independently for force uctuations (2) and form otional susceptibilities (3).

A though rather com plex in their total generality, explicit expressions for m otional forces induced by vacuum uctuations on partially transm itting m irrors satisfy som e general interesting properties [11]. As expected, the m otional forces present m echanical resonances for frequencies which coincide w ith optical m odes of the cavity:

$$! = n \frac{c}{q}$$

A lthough motional Casim ir forces are naturally small, much smaller than static Casim ir forces, resonance properties might be used to compensate their smallness using cavities with very high quality factors, thus possibly leading to experimental evidence. O ther interesting properties of these forces appear at the quasistatic lim it, i.e. at the lim it of very slow motions [16]. For displacements which vary slow ly in time, one can use a quasistatic expansion (expansion around zero frequency ! 0) of the expressions for motional susceptibilities (3) (a dot stands for time derivative):

< 
$$F_{i}[!] > = \sum_{j}^{X} f_{F_{i}F_{j}}[0] q_{i}[!] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{F_{i}F_{j}}^{\infty}[0]!^{2} q_{i}[!] + :::g$$
  
<  $F_{i}(t) > = \sum_{j}^{X} f_{ij} q_{j}(t) + \sum_{ij}^{\alpha} q_{j}(t) + :::g$ 

The rst term, described by  $_{ij}$  ( $_{F_iF_j}[0]$ ), just reproduces the variations of the static Casim ir force when the length of the cavity is changed. The further terms correspond to new forces which emerge when the mirrors are accelerated in vacuum and which exhibit peculiar features. These forces are proportional to the m irrors' accelerations and are conveniently expressed under the form of a mass matrix  $_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)_{F_iF_j}^{\infty} [0]$ . Diagonal terms are corrections to the mirrors' masses. They show that each mirror's mass is modied by the presence of the other mirror, with a correction which depends on the distance between the two m irrors. But non diagonal term s are also present, corresponding to the energence of an inertial force for one m irror when the other m irror is accelerated. These properties of the inertial forces induced by vacuum uctuations are rem in iscent of M ach's principle of relativity of inertia. They indeed satisfy the requirements that Einstein [17] stated in his analysis of M ach's conception of inertia and in the context of gravity. They strongly suggest a relation between modi cations of vacuum elds and gravitationale ects [18].

Inertial forces acting on the cavity as a whole are related with global motions of the cavity, i.e. identical motions of the two mirrors (in linear approximation for displacements):

The total force acting on the cavity moving in vacuum elds then contains a component which dom inates for slow motions and which is proportional to the cavity's acceleration:

< F (t) > = < F<sub>1</sub> (t) + F<sub>2</sub> (t) > = f q(t) + ::g  
= 
$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ & ij \\ & ij \end{bmatrix}$$

Explicit computation [16] shows that the corresponding mass correction for the cavity is proportional to the length of the cavity and to the C asim ir force between the two m irrors:

$$c^2 = 2F_cq \tag{4}$$

This correction appears to be proportional to the contribution of the intracavity elds to the C asim ir energy, i.e the energy stored on vacuum uctuations due to the propagation delay inside the cavity (see (1)). For a cavity built with perfect m irrors in particular, this corresponds to C asim ir energy:

$$E_c = F_c q$$

A lthough not quite obvious at rst sight, the factor 2 is in fact the correct one in the present case. Indeed, it was already shown by E instein [19], that for a stressed rigid body Lorentz invariance in plies a relation for the mass (), ie the ratio between momentum and velocity, that not only involves the internal energy of the body ( $E_c$ ) but also the stress ( $F_c$ ) exerted on the body:

$$c^2 = E_c F_c q$$

W hen comparing the total momentum with the velocity of the center of inertia of the whole system, i.e. taking into account not only the masses of the two mirrors but also the energy stored in the elds inside the cavity, this relation leads to the usual equivalence between mass and energy. Thus, the energy of vacuum eld uctuations stored inside the cavity contributes to inertia in conform ity with the law of inertia of energy.

However, for partially transm itting m irrors, the energy stored according to time delays due to rejection upon the m irrors (see (1)) is m issing in the m ass correction (4). The inertial forces obtained for a cavity moving in vacuum satisfy the law of inertia of energy for the energy of vacuum uctuations stored inside the cavity, but not for the energy stored in the m irrors them – selves. This result must be compared with a previous computation of the force exerted on a single, partially transm itting, m irror m oving in vacuum elds, which appeared to vanish for uniform ly accelerated m otion [13]. This discrepancy with the general equivalence between m ass and energy rejects a defect in the representation of the interaction of the m irror with the eld. W e shall now discuss, using an explicit m odel of interaction between m irror and eld, how this representation can be improved.

### 4 M odel of a pointlike scatterer

We consider the case of a scalar eld interacting with a pointlike m irror, located at q, in two-dimensional space-time  $((x \ )_{=0;1} = (t;x))$ , described by the following manifestly relativistic Lagrangian (from now on, c = 1) [20]:

$$A = \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1})^{2} d^{2}x \qquad m \qquad m \qquad \frac{1}{1} \qquad \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}} dt$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (Q_{1})^{2} \qquad m \qquad \frac{q_{1}}{1} \qquad \frac{q_{1}}{q_{1}} (x \qquad q) \qquad (5)$$

$$m = m_b + (q)^2$$
 (6)

The two terms are the usual Lagrangians for a free scalar eld and a free particle, except that them ass of the particle is assumed to also contain a contribution which depends on the eld. Such contribution generally describes a relativistically invariant interaction term for the eld and the sources which are located on the mirror. In order to facilitate comparison with the sim plied representation in terms of a 2 2 scattering matrix, the interaction is further assumed to be quadratic in the eld. is the inverse of a proper time characterising eld scattering. Equations for the eld involve the scatterer's position and result in highly non linear coupling:

$$Q^2 = 2 \frac{q}{1 q} (x q)$$
(7)

However, if one considers as a stapproximation that the mirror remains at rest at a xed position q, then (7) becomes a linear equation describing propagation in presence of a pointlike source. The eld on both sides of the scatterer decomposes on two components which propagate freely in opposite directions and which can be identiated with incoming and outcoming elds. The scattering matrix which relates outcoming and incoming modes is obtained from equation (7), and identiates with a simple symmetric 2 2 matrix determined by the following frequency dependent diagonal (s[!]) and non diagonal (r[!]) elements:

This corresponds to the simple model of partially transmitting mirror, with a relection time delay having a Lorentzian frequency dependence:

$$[!] = \frac{1}{2^2 + 1^2} \tag{9}$$

Simple computation shows that the energy stored on eld uctuations due to this relection time delay indeed identi es with the mass term describing the interaction with the eld (6). The mean mass is determined by the correlations of the local eld, which can be expressed in terms of incoming correlations and of the scattering matrix. For incoming elds in vacuum:

< (q) 
$$^{2} > = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} {Z} & 1 \\ 0 & {2 \\ 2 \\ \end{array}} \frac{d!}{2} h!$$
 [!] (10)

A ctually, the expression thus obtained for the mean value of the scatterer's mass in vacuum is in nite, as a result of a diverging contribution of high frequency uctuations. In fact, the approximation of a scatterer staying at rest, on which expression (9) for the time delay relies, cannot remain valid for su ciently high frequencies. At eld frequencies which become comparable with the scatterer's mass, recoil of the scatterer cannot be neglected, so that the simpli ed 2 2 scattering matrix and its associated rejust of a scatterer delay relies.

fail to be good approximations. A lthough consistent with the approximation which neglects the scatterer's recoil for all eld frequencies, the result of an in nite stored energy does not correspond to the general case.

For a nite m ass scatterer, the scatterer's recoil m ust be taken into account. This is described by the equations of m otion for the scatterer which are derived from Lagrangian (5):

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = F = 2 \frac{q}{1 \frac{q}{2}} (q)$$

$$p = \left(\frac{p}{1 \frac{q}{2}}; \frac{mq}{1 \frac{q}{2}}\right)$$
(11)

These correspond to New ton equation, with a force depending on the local eld. Recalling the equations of motion for the eld (7), the force identi es with the radiation pressure exerted by the scattered eld. An important feature of the equations characterising the scatterer's recoil is that the mass involved in the relation between the force and the scatterer's acceleration includes the mass correction (6), that is the energy stored by the scatterer on incoming eld uctuations. A sexem pli ed by this sim plem odel, a correct treatment of the interaction between eld and a partially transmitting mirror leads to an energy stored on vacuum eld uctuations due to relation time delays which also satis es the universal equivalence between mass and energy.

As shown by equations (11), the energy and momentum of the scatterer satisfy the usual relations:

$$p_0^2 \qquad p_1^2 = m^2 \qquad \qquad p^1 = p^0 q_{\underline{}}$$

W hen submitted to the uctuating radiation pressure of the eld, the scatterer undergoes a relativistic stochastic process which remains causal, i.e.with a velocity never exceeding the light velocity. W hen elds with frequencies much smaller than the scatterer's mass (h! < m >) are rejected, recoil can be neglected and the scattering matrix is well approximated by the linear 2 2 matrix (8). However, for frequencies of the order of the scatterer's mass, recoilm ust be taken into account and the frequency dependence of scattering time delays di ers signi cantly from the dependence at low frequencies (9). A complete and accurate treatment should then consistently provide a nite stored energy for a nite mass scatterer.

Integration of the stored energy in the inertial mass in a consistent way leads to interesting new consequences. It directly results from their expressions in terms of quantum eld uctuations (for instance (10)), that stored energies not only possess a mean value but also uctuations. Hence, the inertial mass is a uctuating quantity, with a characteristic noise spectrum :

< m (t)m (0) > < m ><sup>2</sup> = 
$$\frac{d!}{2} e^{i!t} C_{mm}$$
 [!]

For the pointlike scatterer just described, the inertial mass correction is quadratic in the local eld, and mass uctuations are derived from incom - ing eld uctuations and the scattering matrix. For frequencies well below the scatterer's mass, recoil can be neglected and the mass noise spectrum in vacuum is readily obtained form (8):

$$C_{mm} [!] = 2h^{2} (!)^{2} \frac{d!^{0}}{2}!^{0} [!^{0}](! !^{0}) [! !^{0}] (m + m > 1)$$

This spectrum shows the characteristic positive frequency dom ain of vacuum uctuations. It also corresponds to a convolution (a direct product in time dom ain) of two expressions equal to the m ean m ass correction, a consequence of the gaussian property of local eld uctuations (at this level of approximation). Inertial m ass thus exhibits properties of a quantum variable.

A sexpected, mass uctuations become extremely small for ordinary time scales, i.e. for low frequencies. For frequencies below the relection cut-o, the mass noise spectrum grows like !<sup>3</sup>:

$$C_{mm}$$
 [!]  $' \frac{h^2}{6^2}$  (!)!<sup>3</sup>

The inertialm ass remains practically constant in usualm echanical situations. For high frequencies however, mass uctuations become important and cannot be neglected at very short time scales. As an illustration (of course, recoil should be accounted for at such frequencies), the same expression exhibits mass uctuations which become comparable with the mean mass (for  $m_b = 0$ ):

$$C_{mm}$$
 (t = 0) = < m<sup>2</sup> > < m ><sup>2</sup> = 2 < m ><sup>2</sup>

# 5 Conclusion

Scattering time delays lead to a temporary storage of quantum eld uctuations by scatterers. Vacuum quantum eld uctuations induce stored energies and inertial masses which satisfy the universal equivalence between mass and energy, including for their uctuations. Vacuum uctuations result in mechanical e ects which conform with general principles of mechanics. It can be expected that energies stored on quantum eld uctuations should also lead to gravitation, in conform ity with the principle of equivalence. Moreover, mass uctuations due to vacuum eld uctuations could play a signi cant role in a complete and consistent form ulation of gravitational e ects.

# References

- [1] F. Rohrlich, "Classical Charged Particles" (Addison-W esley, Reading, MA, 1965).
- [2] M.T.Jaekeland S.Reynaud, Phys.Lett.A 167 (1992) 227.
- [3] M.T. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, J. Phys. I France 1 (1991) 1395.
- [4] H B G . Casim ir, Proc. K . Ned. A kad. W et. 51 (1948) 793;
   G . Plunien, B . M uller and W . G reiner, Phys. Rep. 134 (1986) 87.
- [5] W .Nemst, Verh.Deutsch.Phys.Ges.18 (1916) 83.
- [6] C P.Enz, in "Physical Reality and M athem atical D escription" C P.Enz and J.M ehra eds. (Reidel, D ordecht-H olland, 1974).
- [7] P.S.W esson, A strophys. J. 378 (1991) 466.
- [8] N.D. Birell and P.C.W. Davies, "Quantum Fields in Curved Space" (Cambridge, 1982);
   S.A. Fulling, "A spects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime" (Cambridge, 1989).
- [9] E P W igner, Phys. Rev. 98 (1955) 145.
- [10] E L. Bolda, R.Y. Chiao, G.C. Garrison, Phys. Rev. A 48 (1993) 3890.
- [11] M.T. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, J. Phys. I France 2 (1992) 149.
- [12] A.Einstein, Phys.Z.18 (1917) 121.
- [13] M.T. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, Quantum Optics 4 (1992) 39.
- [14] G.T.Moore, J.Math.Phys.11 (1970) 2679.
- [15] R.Kubo, Rep.Prog.Phys.29 (1966) 255;
   L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifschitz, Cours de Physique Theorique, Physique Statistique, premiere partie (Mir, Moscou, 1984) ch.12.
- [16] M.T. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, J. Phys. I France 3 (1993) 1093.
- [17] A.Einstein, "The Meaning of Relativity" (Princeton Univ. Press, 1946).
- [18] A D. Sakharov, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 177 (1967) 70 [Sov. Phys. Doklady 12 (1968) 1040].
- [19] A.Einstein, Jahrb.Radioakt.Elektron.4 (1907) 411;5 (1908) 98 [translated by H M.Schwartz, Am. J.Phys. 45 (1977) 512, 811, 899].
- [20] M.T. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, Phys. Lett. A 180 (1993) 9.