Sonolum inescence as quantum vacuum radiation

C laudia Eberlein

Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801-3080, U.S.A. (Subm itted M ay 30, 1995)

Sonolum inescence is explained in terms of quantum radiation by moving interfaces between media of dierent polarizability. It can be considered as a dynamic Casimir e ect, in the sense that it is a consequence of the imbalance of the zero-point uctuations of the electrom agnetic eld during the non-inertial motion of a boundary. The transition amplitude from the vacuum into a two-photon state is calculated in a Ham iltonian formalism and turns out to be governed by the transition matrix-element of the radiation pressure. Expressions for the spectral density and the total radiated energy are given.

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k, 11.10.-z, 42.50 Lc, 78.60 M q

sisted all attempts of explanation. A short and intense ash of light is observed when ultrasound-driven air or other gas bubbles in water collapse. This process has been known for more than 60 years to occur random ly when degassed water is irradiated with ultrasound [1]. Recently interest has been revived by the contriving of stable sonolum inescence [2, 3] where a bubble is trapped at the pressure anti-node of a standing sound-wave in a spherical or cylindrical container and collapses and re-expands with the periodicity of the sound. With a clock-like precision a light pulse is em itted during every cycle of the sound-wave; the jitter in the sequence of pulses is alm ost unm easurably small. Shining laser light upon the bubble and analyzing the scattered light on the basis of the M ie theory of scattering from spherical obstacles one has been able to record the tim e-dependence of the bubble radius [4]; these experim ents showed that the ash of light is emitted shortly after the bubble has collapsed, i.e. shortly after it has reached its minimum radius. This and the fact that the spectrum of the emitted light resembles radiation from a black body at several tens of thousands degree Kelvin have led to the conjecture that the light could be them al radiation from the highly compressed and heated gas contents of the bubble after the collapse [5]. It has also been argued that the experim entally observed spectrum would equally well be compatible with the idea of a plasm a form ing at the bubble centre after the collapse and radiating by means of bremsstrahlung [6]. An alternative suggestion has tried to explain the sonolum inescence spectrum as pressure-broadened vibration-rotation lines [7], but although this theory has been very successful in the case of random ly excited (multi-bubble) sonolum inescence seen in silicone oil it has been ine cacious for sonolum inescence in water.

Sonolum inescence is a phenom enon that has so far re- the total amount of energy to be absorbed corresponding to the observed number of photons above the absorption edge, one quickly convinces oneself that this would be far too much to leave no macroscopically discernible traces in the water, as for instance dissociation [8]; however, nothing the like is observed. Another very strong argum ent against all three of the above theories is that the processes involved in each of them are far too slow to yield pulse lengths of 10ps or less but which are observed. Moreover, if a plasm a were formed in the bubble, one should see at least rem nants of slow recombination radiation from the plasm a when the bubble re-expands. As to the theory involving vibration-rotation excitations, the line-broadening required to model the observed spectrum seem s rather unrealistic.

> In its concept the theory to be presented here has been loosely inspired by Schwinger's idea [9] that sonolum inescence m ight be akin to the Casim ire ect in the sense that the zero-point uctuations of the electrom agnetic eld m ight lie at the origin of the observed radiation. More closely related to this is the Unruh e ect well-known in eld theory [10]; its original statem ent is that a uniform ly accelerated m irror in vacuum em its photons with the spectral distribution of black-body radiation. However, the phenom enon is farm ore general than that and in particular not restricted to perfect m irrors. This kind of quantum vacuum radiation has been shown to be generated also by moving dielectrics [11]. Whenever an interface between two dielectrics or a dielectric and the vacuum moves noninertially photons are created. In practice this e ect is very feeble, so that it has up to now been far beyond any experim ental veri cation. Sonolum inescence m ight be the rst identi able manifestation of quantum vacuum radiation.

The mechanism by which radiation from moving di-All of the above theories have serious aws. Both black-electrics and mirrors in vacuum is created is understood body radiation and brem sstrahlung would make a substan- most easily by picturing the medium as an assembly of tial part of the radiated energy appear below 200nm where dipoles. The zero-point uctuations of the electrom agthe surrounding water would absorb it. If one estimates netic eld induce these dipoles and orient and excite them.

form ly moving such excitations remain virtual; real photionary dielectric; the second part is a motional correction tons are created only when the dielectric or mirror moves non-uniform ly, because then the uctuations get out of balance and no longer average to zero. Mechanical energy of the motion of the dielectric is dissipated into the

eld and a corresponding frictional force is felt by the dielectric. The uctuation-dissipation theorem predicts this frictional force [12] in terms of the force uctuations on the stationary dielectric or m irror [13]; it holds, however, no information on the state of the photon eld, i.e. the radiated spectrum cannot be evaluated from the uctuationdissipation theorem.

The surface of an air bubble in water is such an interface between two dielectric media. When the bubble collapses, the motion of the interface is highly non-linear; the acceleration and higher derivatives of the velocity attain values that are high enough to make quantum vacuum radiation a non-negligible process.

The present model describes the bubble as a spherical cavity in a uniform dielectric medium. The refractive index of water is roughly 1.3 in the visible spectrum, and the air inside the bubble has a refractive index of practically 1 even if strongly compressed. The assumption of the uniform ity of the water is of course unrealistic, but the variation of the refractive index in the vicinity of the bubble surface is of secondary in portance for the problem of vacuum radiation. For the present purposes the bubble can to a very good approximation be described by a step in the dielectric function

"(r;R) =
$$1 + (n^2 1)$$
 (r R): (1)

Here n is the refractive index of water which is for sim plicity assumed to be constant and non-dispersive, and the refractive index of the bubble contents has been set to 1.

The step in " im poses continuity conditions on the com ponents of the electric displacement vector D and the magnetic eld B at the bubble surface; this provides the coupling between the elds and the motion of the bubble. The latter is described by the time-dependence of the bubble radius R (t) which is in the present model taken to be an externally prescribed param eter; the hydrodynam ics of the bubble motion is not the concern of this letter. However, an expression for the frictional force that is due to the momentum transfer from the mechanical degrees of freedom of the bubble motion into the eld is obtained as one of the end results and ought to be taken into consideration in the hydrodynam ic equations of motion of the bubble [14].

The dynamics of the electrom agnetic elds is classically as well as quantally described by the H am iltonian

$$H = {^{2}} d^{3}r \frac{1}{2} \frac{D^{2}}{"} + B^{2} + {^{"}} \frac{1}{"} (D^{5} B)_{r} ; (2)$$

where is the velocity of the bubble surface in units of the speed of light; the subscript r denotes the radial component of a vector with respect to the centre of the bubble. the zero-point uctuations of the eld. As discussed above

However, as long as the dielectric stays stationary or uni- The rst part of H is the usual Hamiltonian for a stawhich is small by virtue of being small. This Ham iltonian has been derived from considerations of Lorentz invariance [15]; acceleration stresses have been neglected, and so have term s of order $\,^2$ and higher.

> The transition amplitude for the photon eld to go from its initial vacuum to an excited state is calculated by solving the Schrodinger equation

$$ih \frac{d}{dt} j i = H j i$$
 (3)

with the initial condition j (t_0)i = $\mathfrak{D}i$. A perturbative solution of this equation to storder in the interface veis called for. This poses a non-trivial problem, since the Hamiltonian H depends not only explicitly on but via " also param etrically on R (t). To handle R-(t) this task a judicious combination of standard perturbation theory and Pauli's theory of adiabatic approximation has been devised [16, 15]; its application yields for the transition amplitude from the initial vacuum Di into a two-photon state k; k⁰i to rst order in

$$hk;k^{0}j i = \frac{1}{! + !^{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{Z_{t}} d \quad () e^{i(! + !^{0})(-t)} hk;k^{0}jF_{r} \mathcal{D}i_{R(-)} : \quad (4)$$

where the matrix element of F_r has to be taken at the bubble radius R (). The operator F_r is de ned by

$$F_r = 1 \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{R^2}{2}^{I} d$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{n^2} D_r^2 + B_r^2 B^2 B^2 : (5)$$

One of the most intriguing results of this calculation is that F_r is not merely a shorthand for an integral over squared eld com ponents, but turns out to have a physical meaning; it is the radial component of the force exerted by the eld onto the interface. This shows that the emission of photons by a moving dielectric is indeed intrinsically related to the zero-point uctuations of the radiation pressure. This relation can be made even more transparent by considering the mean-square deviation of the force on the surface of a stationary bubble.

$$4 F_{r}^{2} = h0 f_{Z}^{2} p_{i} h0 f_{r} p_{i}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} dk dk^{0} h0 f_{r} k; k^{0} j^{2} : (6)$$

The last expression is derived by inserting an identity operator decom posed into the complete set of photon eigenstates; as Fr is an operator that is quadratic in the elds only two-photon states give non-zero matrix elements. These virtual two-photon states become real when the system is perturbed, which in this case means when the dielectric starts moving. Eq. (4) reveals that the spectrum of the emitted photons is determined by the spectrum of the uctuation-dissipation theorem underlies this fundamental interrelation, although it does not exhaust it.

In principle the transition amplitude (4) allows one to calculate all physically signicant quantities concerning the radiation process. Experimentally most important is the angle-integrated spectral density

$$Z_{T}$$
 I Z_{1}

P(!) = !³ dt d_k d³k⁰ jk;k⁰j ij : (7)

P (!) is a functional of the trajectory R (t) of the bubble surface. Its direct analytical determ ination is hindered by the multiple occurrence of R () in hk; $k^0j\,F_{\,\rm r}\,j\!Di_{\rm R\,(\,)}$ and by the complicated structure of this matrix element which comprises products of spherical Bessel functions and their derivatives [17]. To estimate the spectral density P (!) one can adopt a model prole for the time-dependence of the bubble radius about the collapse

$$R^{2}$$
 (t) = R_{0}^{2} R_{0}^{2} $R_{m \text{ in}}^{2}$ $\frac{1}{(t=)^{2}+1}$: (8)

 $R_{\,0}$ and $R_{\,m}$ in are the initial and m inimum radii, respectively; the parameter—describes how fast the collapse happens or, in other words, characterizes the time-scale of the turm-around of the velocity—(t)— R_{-} (t) at $R_{\,m}$ in . A ssum ing for feasibility furtherm ore that the bubble radius R is much greater than the wavelengths of the light em itted [17], one can derive for the spectral density

$$P(!) = \frac{(n^2 - 1)^2}{64 n^2} \frac{h}{c^4} R_0^2 R_{m in}^2 !^3 e^{-2}! : (9)$$

This is a result of great signi cance as it shows that the spectrum of the emitted light resembles a black-body spectrum although zero-temperature quantum eld theory is being dealt with. The reason for that lies in the nature of the zero-point uctuations of the electrom agnetic eld. Since the Ham iltonian is quadratic in the elds, the photons are always created in pairs. The spectral density, however, is determined in a single-photon measurement which involves the tracing over the other photon in the pair; such tracing is known to make pure two-mode states look like themmally distributed single-mode states [18].

A nother quantity of interest is the total energy W radiated during one acoustic cycle. In the short-wavelengths \lim it [17] one obtains

$$W = \frac{(n^2 - 1)^2}{n^2} \frac{h}{480 c^3} \sum_{0}^{Z-T} d \frac{(0^5 R^2)}{(0^5 R^2)} R(1) (1) : (10)$$

From this the dissipative force acting on the moving bubble surface is seen to behave like R 2 $^{(4)}$ in its leading term . Such a proportionality to the fourth derivative of the velocity is also found in calculations of frictional forces on moving plane perfect mirrors [19]. The emission of photons is thus not predominantly in uenced by the acceleration of the interface, which retrospectively justiles the disregard of acceleration stresses in the present model.

A reckoning based on the model trajectory (8) yields the estimate

$$W = 2 10^{13} J for 1 fs; (11)$$

which corresponds roughly to the observed number of photons. One fem to second seems a very short time-scale for the turn-around of the velocity, but numerical calculations [15] indicate that the photon emission is substantially enhanced by resonances in the regime kR 1, i.e. when the photon wavelengths are comparable to the bubble radius, so that in practice a turn-around time of the order of 100fs is presumably su cient to yield the above amount of energy per burst.

In conclusion, it can be said that at this crude level of inspection the theory of vacuum radiation seems to agree rem arkably well with the experimental results on sonolum inescence. The am ount of the radiation and the therm allike spectrum are returned by the theory and further num erical investigations will uncover m ore details. Likew ise one has no di culties explaining the shortness of the observed pulses. The pulse length is dictated by the time it takes for the zero-point uctuations to correlate around the bubble and by the tum-around time of the velocity about the collapse; both are much shorter than 10ps. Another major point that is clarifed by this theory is that there are practically no photons created in the UV and at even higher energies, as water has no appreciable polarizability there. Hence no radiation has to be absorbed by the surrounding water.

A relatively $\sin p$ le experiment to discriminate the present from other theories of sonolum inescence is to look for photons radiated in the X-ray transparency window of water [8]; whereas both black-body and brem sstrahlung theories predict a perceptible number of photons radiated into this window, the theory of vacuum radiation forbids them.

A second, not too di cult distinguishing experiment is to measure the angular distribution of the light em itted from an elongated rather than spherical sonolum inescent bubble achieved by anisotropic ultrasound. The present theory, unlike others, predicts an anisotropic sonolum inescence intensity, as the number of photons em itted into a certain direction is roughly proportional to the cross-section of the bubble perpendicular to this direction.

Vacuum radiation m ight strike one as a strange explanation for the light seen in sonolum inescence, since one offen tends to think of low-energy photons as em itted by atom s. How ever, the present case forces one to give up this lax point of view, as atom ic transitions are about a thousand times slower than a sonolum inescence pulse. On the level of quantum electrodynamics radiation comes from moving charges and it lies within one's discretion whether one groups these charges in atoms, in dipoles to make up a dielectric, or in yet another structure. For sonolum inescence it seems most convenient to think in terms of a dielectric as a whole in order to account for the cooperative response of charges to the zero-point uctuations of the electrom agnetic eld.

It is a pleasure to thank Peter W . M ilonni for drawing my attention to sonolum inescence. Stimulating discussions with G abriel B arton, Paul M . G oldbart, N igel D . G oldenfeld, Peter L . K night, A nthony J. Leggett, E frat Shim shoni, and Shiva ji L . Sondhi are gratefully acknow ledged. D avid K . C am pbell is thanked for his encouraging interest in this work. This research has been supported through the John D . and C atherine T . M ac A rthur Foundation.

R eferences

- [1] H. Frenzel and H. Schultes, Z. Phys. Chem., Abt. B 27, 421 (1934).
- [2] D.F.Gaitan, L.A.Crum, C.C.Church, and R.A. Roy, J.Acoust.Soc.Am.91, 3166 (1992).
- [3] B.P.Barber and S.J.Putterm an, Nature 352, 318 (1991); B.P.Barber, R.Hiller, K.Arisaka, H.Fetterman, and S.J.Putterman, J.Acoust.Soc.Am.91, 3061 (1992).
- [4] B.P.Barber and S.J.Putterm an, Phys.Rev.Lett. 69, 3839 (1992).
- [5] R. Hiller, S. J. Putterm an, and B. P. Barber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1182 (1992).
- [6] C.C.W u and P.H.Roberts, Proc.R.Soc.Lond.A 445, 323 (1994).
- [7] E.B. Flint and K.S. Suslick, Science 253, 1397 (1991), and references therein.
- [8] K.S. Suslick (private communication).

- [9] J. Schwinger, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 4091 (1992); 89, 11118 (1992); 90, 958 (1993); 90, 2105 (1993); 90, 4505 (1993).
- [10] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 14, 870 (1976); N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge University Press, 1982).
- [11] G. Barton and C. Eberlein, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 227, 222 (1993).
- [12] C. Eberlein, J. Phys. I (France) 3, 2151 (1993).
- [13] G. Barton, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24, 991 (1991); 24, 5533 (1991); C. Eberlein, ibid. 25, 3015 (1992); 25, 3039 (1992).
- [14] R.Lofstedt, B.P.Barber, and S.J.Putterm an, Phys. Fluids A 5, 2911 (1993).
- [15] C. Eberlein (preprint in preparation).
- [16] C. Eberlein, D. Phil. thesis, Sussex University, 1993.
- [17] Calculations concerning photon scattering in spherical coordinates involve spherical Bessel functions j.(kR) and y.(kR). In the short-wavelengths limit, i.e.when kR 1, dominant contributions come from large angularmomenta 'but' kR, so that uniform asymptotic expansions can be employed. If, however, kR 1 these approximations are rendered invalid and estimations can be conducted only numerically.
- [18] S.M. Barnett and P.L.Knight, J.Opt.Soc.Am.B
 2,467 (1985); S.M. Barnett and P.L.Knight, Phys.
 Rev.A 38,1657 (1988).
- [19] P.A.M aia Neto, J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27, 2167 (1994).