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#### Abstract

The singular lim it " ! 0 of the $S$ matrix associated $w$ ith the equation $i$ "d ( $\mathbf{t}$ )=dt = H ( t ) ( t ) is considered, where the analytic generator $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t}) 2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (C) is such that its spectrum is realand non-degenerate for allt 2 R . Su cient conditions allow ing to com pute asym ptotic form ulas for the exponentially sm all $\circ$-diagonal elem ents of the $S$ m atrix as "! 0 are explicited and a wide class of generators for which these conditions are veri ed is de ned. These generators are obtained by $m$ eans of generators whose spectrum exhibits eigenvalue crossings which are perturbed in such a way that these crossings tum to avoided crossings. The exponentially sm all asym ptotic form ulas which are derived are shown to be valid up to exponentially sm all relative error, by means of a joint application of the com plex W KB $m$ ethod together $w$ ith superasym ptotic renorm alization. T he application of these results to the study of quantum adiabatic transitions in the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation and of the sem iclassical scattering properties of the $m$ ultichannel stationary Schrodinger equation closes this paper. The results presented here are a generalization to $n$-level system $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{n} \quad 2$, of results previously known for 2-level system s only.
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## 1 Introduction

Several problem s of $m$ athem atical physics lead to the study of the scattering properties of linear ordinary di erential equations in a singular lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{H} \text { (t) }(\mathrm{t}) ; \quad \mathrm{t} 2 \mathrm{R} ; \mathbf{" !} 0 \text {; } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prim e denotes the derivative $w$ th respect to $t$, ( $t$ ) $2 C^{n}$, $H$ ( $t$ ) $2 M_{n}$ (C), for all $t$. A system described by such an equation $w$ ill be called a $n$-level system. Let us mention for exam ple the study of the adiabatic $\lim$ it of the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation or the sem iclassical lim it of the one-dim ensionalm ultichannel stationary Schrodinger equation at energies above the potential barriers, to which we will come back below. W hen the generator $H(\mathrm{t})$ is wellbehaved at +1 and 1 , the scattering properties of the problem can be described by m eans of a m atrix naturally associated $w$ ith equation 1.1), the so-called $S m$ atrix. This $m$ atrix relates the behavior of the solution $\quad(t) a s t!1$ to that of ( $t$ ) as $t!+1$. A ssum ing that the spectrum $(t)$ of $H$ ( $t$ ) is real and non-degenerate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=f e_{1}(t)<e_{2}(t)<\quad \text { f }(t) g 2 R ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix is essentially given by the identity m atrix

$$
\left.S=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{s}_{11}(") ; \mathrm{s}_{22}(") ; \quad \mathrm{nn} \$^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\text { " }^{1}\right) ; \text { where } \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{jj}}(")=1+\mathrm{O}(\text { ") as "! } 0 \text {; (1, 3) }
$$

provided $H(t)$ is $C^{1}$, see e.g. F1], F2], N]. M oreover, if $H(t)$ is assum ed to be analytic, it was proven in various situations that the o -diagonal elem ents $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{jk}}$ of S are exponentially decreasing FF $], W$, F1], F2], JK P], JP 4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{jk}}=\mathrm{O} \mathrm{e}^{="} ; 8 \mathrm{j} \mathrm{k} \text {; } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as " ! 0. See also JP 1], N], M], Sji for corresponding results in in nite dim ensional spaces. Since the physical in form ation is often contained in these o -diagonalelem ents, it is of interest to be able to give an asym ptotic form ula for $S_{j k}$, rather then a $m$ ere estim ate.

For 2-level system s (or system s reducible to this case, see UP 2], G], M N]), the situation is now reasonably well understood, at least under generic circum stances. Indeed, a rigorous study of the $S$ m atrix associated w ith (1.1) when $n=2$, under the hypotheses loosely stated above, is provided in the recent paper JP 4]. T he treatm ent presented uni es in particular earlier results obtained either for the tim e dependent adiabatic schrodinger equation, see e.g JP 3] and references therein, or for the study of the above barrier re exion in the sem iclassical lim it, see e.g. FF], D]. Further references are provided in JP 4]. A s an interm ediate result, the asym ptotic form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j k}=g_{j k} e \quad{ }^{j k}="(1+O(")) ; "!0 \text {; } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \in k 2$ f1; $2 g$, w th $g_{j k} 2 C$ and Re ${ }_{j k}>0$ is proven in JP 4]. As is well known, to get an asym ptotic form ula for $s_{j k}$, one has to consider (1.1) in the com plex plane, in particular in the vicinity of the degeneracy points of the analytic continuations ofeigenvalues $e_{1}(z)$ and $e_{2}(z)$. P rovided the level lines of the $m$ ultivalued function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{e}_{1}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{2}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0}=\text { cst; } \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

called Stokes lines, naturally associated w ith (1.1) behave properly in the com plex plane, the so-called com plex W K B m ethod allow s to prove 1.5). But m ore im portant, it is also shown
in [JP 4] how to im prove (1.5) to an asym ptotic form ula accurate up to exponentially sm all relative error

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j k}=g_{j k}(") e^{j k}{ }^{(")="} 1+0 \quad e^{="} ; ~ "!~ 0 ; \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g_{j k}(")=g_{j k}+O(")$ and ${ }_{j k}(")={ }_{j k}+O\left({ }^{2}\right)$. This is achieved by using a complex W KB analysis jointly w ith the recently developed superasym ptotic theory B6], N], WP 2]. N ote that when given a generator, the principaldi culty in justifying form ulas ( $\mathbf{4}$. $)$ and ( 8.7 ) is the veri cation that the corresponding Stokes lines (1.6) display the proper behavior globally in the com plex plane, which $m$ ay or $m$ ay not be the case JK P]. H ow ever, this condition is alw ays satis ed when the com plex eigenvalue degeneracy is close to the real axis, as shown in [J]. See also MN] and R] for recent related results.

For $n$-level system $s$, w ith $n \quad 3$, the situation is by $n o m$ eans as well understood. There are som e results obtained with particular generators. In D], CH 1], CH2] and BE], crtain elem ents of the $S$ fm atrix are com puted if $H(t)=H \quad(t)$ depends linearly on $t, H \quad(t)=A+t B$, for som e particular $m$ atrioes $A$ and $B$. The choioes of $A$ and $B$ are such that all com ponents of the solution (t) can be deduced from the rst one and an exact integral representation of this rst com ponent can be obtained. The integral representation is analyzed by standard asym ptotic techniques and this leads to results which are valid for any " $>0$, as for the classicalLandau-Zener generator. The study of the three-levelproblem when $H \quad(t)=H \quad(t) 2$
 and essentially local discussion of the behavior of the level lines $\operatorname{Im}{ }_{0}^{z} e_{j}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{k}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0}$, $j \notin=1 ; 2 ; 3$, is provided and justi es in very favorable cases an asym ptotic form ula for som e elem ents of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. See also the review S], where a non rigorous study of 1.1) is $m$ ade close to a com plex degeneracy point of a group of eigenvalues by $m$ eans of an exact solution to a model equation. H ow ever, no asym ptotic form ula for $s_{j k}, j \notin k$, can be found in the literature for general $n$-level system $s, n \quad 3$. This is due to the fact that the direct generalization of the $m$ ethod used successfiuly for 2 -level system $s m$ ay lead to seem ingly inextricable di culties for $n \overline{\bar{R}}_{\mathrm{z}} 3$ already. Indeed, w ith three eigenvalues, one has to consider three sets of level lines $\operatorname{Im}{ }_{0}^{R_{z}} e_{j}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{k}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0}$ to dealw ith 1.1.) in the com plex plane, and the conditions they have to ful 11 in order that the lim it "! 0 can be controlled m ay be incom patible for a given generator, see F1] F FZ ] and HP$]$. It should be $m$ entioned how ever, that there are speci c exam ples in which this di cult problem can be m astered. Such a result was recently obtained in the sem iclassical study Bal of a particular problem of resonances for which sim ilar considerations in the com plex plane are required.

The goal of this paper is to provide som e general insight on the asym ptotic com putation of the $S$-m atrix associated with $n$-level system $s, n \quad 3$, based on a generalization of the techniques which proved to be successfiul for 2-level system $s$. The content of this paper is tw offld. O $n$ the one hand we set up a general fram ew ork in which asym ptotic form ulas for the exponentially sm all o -diagonal coe cients can be proven. On the other hand we actually prove such form ulas for a wide class ofn-level system s . In the rst part of the paper, we give our de nition of the $S$-m atrix associated $w$ ith equation 1.1) and explicit the sym $m$ etries it inherits from the symm etries of $H$ ( $t$ ), for $t 2 R$ (proposition 2.1). Then we tum to the determ ination of the analyticity properties of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z}), \mathrm{z} 2 \mathrm{C}$, which are at the root of the asym ptotic form ulas we derive later (lem m a 3.1). The next step is the form ulation of su cient conditions adapted to the scattering situation we consider, under which a com plex W K B analysis allows to prove a form ula like 1.5) (proposition 4.1.). The conditions stated are sim ilar but not identical to those given in JK P] or HP]. As a nal step, we show how to im prove the asym ptotic form ula (1.5) to (1.7) by m eans of the superasym ptotic $m$ achinery (proposition 52 and lem m a 5.2). Then we tum to the second
part of the paper, where we show that a w ide class of generators ts into our fram ew ork and satis es our conditions. These generators are obtained by perturbation of generators whose eigenvalues display degeneracies on the real axis, in the spirit of [J]. W e prove that for these generators, in absence of any sym $m$ etry of the generator $H$ ( $t$ ), one elem ent per colum $n$ at least in the $S$ m atrix can be asym ptotically com puted (theorem 6.1). This is the $m$ ain technical section of the paper. The $m$ ajor advantage of this construction is that it is su cient to look at the behavior of the eigenvalues on the realaxis to check if the conditions are satis ed. T he closing section contains an application of our general results to the study of quantum adiabatic transitions in the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation and of the sem iclassical scattering properties of the multichannel stationary Schrodinger equation. In particular, explicit use of the sym $m$ etries of the $S m$ atrix is $m$ ade to increase the num ber of its elem ents forw hich an asym ptotic form ula holds. In the latter application, further speci c sym $m$ etry properties of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix are derived (lem ma 7.1).
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## 2 D e nition and properties of the $S-m$ atrix.

W e consider the evolution equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \text { (t); t2R; "! } 0 \text {; } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prim e denotes the derivative $w$ ith respect to $t$, $(t) 2 C^{n}, H$ ( $t$ ) $2 M_{n}$ (C), for all $t$. We m ake som e assum ptions on the generator $H(t)$. The rst one is the usual analyticity condition in this context.
H 1 T here exists a strip

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=f z 2 C \text { jjim zj } \quad g ; \quad>0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $H(z)$ is analytic for all z 2 S .
Since we are studying scattering properties, we need su cient decay at in nity.
H 2 There exist two nondegenerate matrices $H(+) ; H() 2 M_{n}(C)$ and $a>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} \text { 抒+a } \sup _{\dot{j} j} \mathrm{kH} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{is} \text { ) } \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{k}<1: \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e nally give a condition which has to do w ith the physics behind the problem . H 3 For $t 2 R$, the spectrum of $H(t)$, denoted by $(t)$, is real and non-degenerate

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=f e_{1}(t)<e_{2}(t)<\quad \Gamma(t) g \quad R \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists $g>0$ such that

```
imifk
```

A s a consequence of $H 3$, for each $t 2 R$, there exists a com plete set of projectors $P_{j}(t)=$ $P_{j}^{2}(t) 2 M_{n}(C), j=1 ; 2 ; \quad$; $n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{n} P_{j}(t) \quad I \\
& j=1  \tag{2.6}\\
& H \quad(t)={ }^{X^{n}} e_{j}(t) P_{j}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

and there exists a basis of $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$ of eigenvectors of $H(\mathrm{t}) . \mathrm{W}$ e determ ine these eigenvectors ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(\mathrm{t})$, $j=1 ; 2$; $\quad$ in uniquely (up to a constant) by requiring them to satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t)=e_{j}(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t)  \tag{2.8}\\
& P_{j}(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}^{0}(t) \quad 0 ; \quad j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; n: \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Explicitly, if $j(t), j=1 ; 2$; $\quad$ n form a com plete set of di erentiable eigenvectors of $H(t)$, the eigenvectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j}(t)=e^{R_{t}} 0_{j\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}}^{j}(t) ; \text { s.t. }{ }_{j}(0)=j(0) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t)=\frac{h_{j}(t) P_{j}(t){ }_{j}^{0}(t) i}{k_{j}(t) k^{2}} ; \quad j=1 ; \quad ; n \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

verify 2.9). That this choige leads to an analytic set of eigenvectors close to the real ax is will be proven below. W e expand the solution (t) along the basis just constructed, thus de ning unknow $n$ coe cients $c_{j}(t), j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; n$ to be determ ined,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=X_{j=1}^{X^{n}} C_{j}(t) e^{i}{ }_{0}^{R_{t}} e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}={ }^{\prime}, \quad j(t): \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phases e ${ }^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}} e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}="$ (see H 3) are introduced for convenience. By inserting 2.12) in 2.1) we get the follow ing di erential equation for the $c_{j}(t)$ 's

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}^{0}(t)=X_{k=1}^{X^{n}} a_{j k}(t) e^{i}{ }^{j k}(t)=" G_{k}(t) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j k(t)=\sum_{0}^{Z_{t}}\left(e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad e_{k}\left(t^{0}\right)\right) d t^{0} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j k}(t)=\frac{h_{j}^{\prime}(t) f_{j}(t)_{k}^{\prime 0}(t) i}{k_{j}^{\prime}(t) k^{2}}: \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here h $j$ i denotes the usual scalar product in ${ }^{n}$ C. O ur choice 2.9) im plies $a_{j j}(t) \quad 0$. It is also show $n$ below that the $a_{j k}(t)$ 's are analytic functions in a neighborhood of the real axis and that hypothesis H 2 im ply that they satisfy the estim ate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} \sup _{j \notin k} \mathrm{Jj} j^{1+a} \dot{a}_{j k}(t) j<1: \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of this last property and of the fact that the eigenvalues are real by assum ption, the follow ing lim its exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t 1} c_{j}(t)=C_{j}(1): \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are now able to de ne the associated $S m$ atrix, $S 2 M_{n}(C)$, by the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{0} C_{1}(1)^{1} \quad 0 \quad C_{1}(+1)^{1} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Such a relation $m$ akes sense because of the linearity of the equation (2.13). It is a w ell know $n$ result that under our general hypotheses, the $S$-m atrix satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=I+O("): \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the $j^{\text {th }}$ colum $n$ of the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix is given by the solution of 2.13) at $t=1$ sub jected to the initial conditions $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}(1)=j \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2$; $\quad$; .

In general, the $S m$ atrix de ned above has no particular properties besides that of being invertible. H ow ever, when the generator $H$ ( $(t)$ satis es som e sym m etry properties, the sam e is true for $S$. A s such properties are im portant in applications, we show below that if $H$ ( $(t)$ is self-adjoint w ith respect to som e inde nite scalar product, then $S$ is unitary $w$ ith respect to another inde nite scalar product. Let $J 2 M_{n}(C)$ be an invertible self-adjoint m atrix. W e de ne an inde nite $m$ etric on $C{ }^{n}$ by $m$ eans of the inde nite scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( } ; \mathrm{J})=\mathrm{h} \text { j丁 } \mathrm{i}: \tag{220}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to chedk that the adjoint A ${ }^{\#}$ of a $m$ atrix A $w$ ith respect to the ( ; j) scalar product is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\#}=J^{1} A \quad J: \tag{221}
\end{equation*}
$$

P roposition 2.1 Let H ( t ) satisfy H1,H2 and possess n distinct eigenvalues 8 t 2 R. Further assum e $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t}$ ) is self-adjoint w ith respect to the scalar product ( ; J )

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=H^{\#}(t)=J{ }^{1} H \quad \text { (t)J; 8t2R; } \tag{2,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenvectors ' ${ }_{j}(0)$ of $H$ ( 0 ) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{j}(0) i_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right)_{J}=j ; \quad \text { j } 2 \mathrm{f} 1 ; 1 \mathrm{~g} ; \quad 8 j=1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{n}: \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the eigenvalues of $H$ ( $t$ ) are real 8t $2 R$ and the $S-m$ atrix is unitary $w$ ith respect to the scalar product ( $; \mathrm{R}$ ) where $R=R=R^{1}$ is the realdiagonalm atrix $R=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 2 ; \quad n$ ir

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\#}=R S R=S^{1}: \tag{2,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem ark:
The condition $\left({ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) i^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)\right)_{J}=1$ can alw ays be satis ed by suitable renorm alization provided $\left({ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) i^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)\right)_{J} \in 0$.

The $m$ ain interest of this proposition is that $w$ hen the $S$ m atrix possesses sym $m$ etries, some of its elem ents can be deduced from resulting identities, without resorting to their actual com putations.

A sim ple proof of the proposition $m$ aking use of notions discussed in the next section can be found in appendix. T he above proposition can actually be used for the two $m$ ain applications we dealw ith in section 7. N ote that in speci c cases, further sym $m$ etry property can be derived for the $S$ m atrix, see section 4 .

## 3 A nalyticity properties

The generator $H(z)$ is analytic in $S$, hence the solution of the linear equation 2.1) ( $z$ ) is analytic in $S$ as well. H ow ever, the eigenvalues and eigenpro jectors of $H(z) m$ ay have singularities in $S$. Let us recall som e basic properties, the proofs of which can be found in K]. The eigenvalues and eigenprojectors of a $m$ atrix analytic in a region of the com plex

Figure 1: The paths , and 0 in $S \mathrm{n}$.
plane have analytic continuations in that region w ith possible singularities located at points $z_{0}$ called exceptionalpoints. In a neighbornood free ofexceptionalpoints, the eigenvalues are given by branches of analytic functions and their m ultiplicities are constant. O ne eigenvalue can therefore be analytically continued until it coincides at $z_{0} w$ ith one or several other eigenvalues. The set of such points de nes the set of exceptional points. The eigenvalues $m$ ay possess branching points at an exceptional $z_{0}$, where they are continuous, whereas the eigenpro jectors are also multivalued but diverge as z ! $z_{0}$. H ence, by hypothesis H 3, the $n$ distinct eigenvalues $e_{j}(t)$ de ned on the real axis are analytic on the real axis and possess m ultivalued analytic continuations in $S$, w ith possible branching points at the set of degeneracies, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=f z_{0} j e_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)=e_{k}\left(z_{0}\right) \text {; for som e } k ; j \text { and som e analytic continuationg : } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assum ption H2, is nite, by H3, $\backslash \mathrm{R}=$; and $=$, due to Schwarz's principle. Sim ilarly, the eigenprojectors $P_{j}(t)$ de ned on the realaxis are analytic on the real axis and possess multivalued analytic continuations in $S$, w ith possible singularities at. To see $m$ ore precisely what happens to these multivalued functions when we tum around a point $z_{0} 2$, we consider the construction described in gure $\ddagger$ Let $f$ be a multivalued analytic function in $S n$. We denote by $f(z)$ the analytic continuation of $f(0)$ along som e path 2 S n from 0 to z . Then we perform the analytic continuation of $f(z)$ along a negatively oriented loop based at $z$ around a unique point $\bar{z} 2$, and denote by $f(z)$ the function we get when we com e back at the starting point (if is positively oriented, the construction is sim ilar). For later purposes, we de ne 0 as the negatively oriented loop hom otopic to the loop based at the origin encircling $z_{0}$ obtained by follow ing from 0 to $z$, from $z$ back to $z$ and in the reverse sense from $z$ back to the origin. W e'll keep this notation in the rest of this section. It follow s from the foregoing that if we perform the analytic continuation of the set of eigenvalues $f e_{j}(z) g_{j=1}^{n}$, along a negatively oriented loop around $z_{0} 2$, we get the set $f e_{j}(z) g_{j=1}^{n} w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(z)=e_{0(j)}(z) ; j=1 ; \quad ; n ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: \text { f1;2; ;ng! f1;2; ;ng } \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a perm utation which depends on 0 . Sim ilarly, and w ith the sam e notations, we get for the analytic continuations of the projectors around $z_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{j}(z)=P_{0(j)}(z) ; j=1 ; \quad ; n: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider now the eigenvectors ' $j(t) . W$ e de ne $W$ ( $t$ ) as the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{0}(t)= & X^{\mathrm{n}} P_{j}^{0}(t) P_{j} \text { (t)W (t) }  \tag{3.5}\\
& K(t) W \quad \text { (t); } W \quad \text { (0) }=I ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $t 2 \mathrm{R}$. It is well known $K], \mathbb{K}]$, that $W$ ( t ) satis es the intertw ining identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad(t) P_{j}(0)=P_{j}(t) W \quad \text { (t); j=1;2; } \quad \text {; } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, if $f^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) g_{j=1}^{n}$ denotes a set of eigenvectors of $H(0)$, the vectors de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime_{j}(t)=W \quad(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are eigenvectors of $H$ ( $(t)$. M oreover, using the identity $Q$ ( $t$ ) $Q^{0}$ ( $t$ ) $Q$ ( $(t) \quad 0 \mathrm{w}$ hich is true for any di erentiable projector, it is easily checked that condition (2.9) is satis ed by these vectors. $T$ he generator $K(t)$ is analytic on the real axis and can be analytically continued in $S n$. A ctually, $K(z)$ is single valued in $S n$. Indeed, let us consider the analytic continuation of $K(z)$ around $z_{0} 2$. We get from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}^{0}(z)=P_{0(j)}^{0}(z) ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
(z) & =X_{j=1}^{n} P_{j}^{0}(z) P_{j}(z)=X_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{0(j)}^{0}(z) P_{0(j)}(z) \\
& =X_{k=1}^{n} P_{k}^{0}(z) P_{k}(z)=K(z): \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, $W$ ( $t$ ) can be analytically continued in $S \mathrm{n}$, where it is multivalued and satis es both (3.5) and 3.6) w ith $z 2 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{n}$ in place of t 2 R . M oreover, the relation betw een the analytic continuation $W(z)$ from 0 to some point $z 2 S n$ and the analytic continuation $(z)$ is given by a m onodrom $y m$ atrix $W$ ( 0 ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 南 }(z)=W \quad(z) W \quad(0) \text {; } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 is the negatively oriented loop based at the origin which encircles $z_{0} 2$ only, (see gure fi). N ote also that the analytic continuation $W(z)$ is invertible in $S n$ and $W^{1}(z)$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W} \quad{ }^{10}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{W}^{1}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{z}) ; \quad \mathrm{W}^{1}(0)=\mathrm{I}: \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a consequence, the eigenvectors 3.7) possess multivahed analytic extensions in S n . C onsider the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(z)=e_{j}(z)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(z) ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by analytic continuation from 0 to some point z 2 S n . W hen analytically continued along a negatively oriented loop around $z_{0} 2$, it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If }(z)^{\prime} e_{j}(z)=H(z)^{\prime} e_{j}(z)=e_{j}(z)^{\prime} e_{j}(z)=e_{0(j)}(z)^{\prime} e_{j}(z): \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus ${ }^{\prime} e_{j}(z)$ is proportional to ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{0(j)}(z)$ and we introduce the quantity $j_{j}(0) 2$ C by the de nition

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(z)=e^{i_{j}(0),} \quad 0(j)(z) ; \quad j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; n: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is equivalent to (see 3.19))

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(0)^{\prime} e_{j}(0)=e^{i_{j}(0) r} \quad 0(j)(0): \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the couplings 2.15). U sing the de nition (3.7), the invertibility of $W$ ( $t$ ) and the identity (3.6), it's not di cult to see that we can rew rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j k}(t)=\frac{h_{j}^{\prime}(0) P_{j}(0) W(t){ }^{1} K(t) W \quad(t)^{\prime}{ }_{k}(0) i}{k_{j}^{\prime}(0) k^{2}} ; t 2 R \text {; } \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is analytic on the real axis and can be analytically continued in $S \mathrm{n}$, where it is multivalued. Thus, the same is true for the coe cients $c_{j}(t)$ which satisfy the linear di erential equation (2.13) and their analytic continuations satisfy the sam e equation w ith z 2 S n in place oft 2 R . W e now com e to the m ain identity of this section, regarding the coe cients $c_{j}(z)$. Let us denote by $c_{j}(z)$ the analytic continuation of $c_{j}(0)$ from 0 to som $e$ $z 2 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{W}$ e perform the analytic continuation of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{z})$ along a negatively oriented loop around $z_{0} 2$ and denote by $e_{j}(z)$ the function we get when we com e back at the starting point $z$.

Lem ma 3.1 For any j=1; ;n, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(z) e^{i^{R}} e_{j}(u) d u=" e^{i j(0)}=c_{0(j)}(z) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0, j(0)$ and $0(j)$ are de ned as above.
Proof:
It follow s from hypothesis H 1 that $(z)$ is analytic in $S$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}(z) e^{i_{o}^{R_{z}} e_{j}(u) d u={ }^{\prime},}{ }_{j}(z)={ }_{j=1}^{X^{n}} e_{j}(z) e^{i{ }_{i}^{R_{z} q_{j}(u) d u="} e_{j}(z)=} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

W e conclude by the fact that $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{j}(z) g_{j=1}^{n}$ is a basis.
Rem ark:
It is straightforw ard to generalize the study of the analytic continuations around one singular point of the functions given above to the case w here the analytic continuations are perform ed around several singular points, since is nite. The loop 0 can be rew ritten as a nite succession of individual loops encircling one point of only, so that the perm utation 0 is given by the com position of a nite num ber of individual perm utations. Thus the factors $e^{i_{j}(0)}$ in 3.14) should be replaced by a product of such factors, each associated w ith one individual loop and the sam $e$ is true for the factors $\exp \left(i{ }^{R} e_{j}(z) d z="\right)$ in lem ma 3.1. This process is perform ed in the proof of theorem 6.1.

## 4 C om plex W K B analysis

This section is devoted to basic estim ates on the coe cients $c_{j}(z)$ in certain dom ains extending to in nity in both the positive and negative directions inside the strip $S$. W e rst consider what happens in neighborhoods of 1 . It follow sfrom assum ption H 1 by a direct application of the $C$ auchy form ula that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} \sup _{\text {jsj }} \mathrm{fj} \mathrm{j}^{+\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{kH}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{is}) \mathrm{k}<1: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2: The path of integration for ${ }^{e^{j k}}(z)$ (the $x ' s$ denote points of ).
$H$ ence the sam $e$ is true for the single valued $m$ atrix $K(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1!} \sup _{\text {jsj }} \mathrm{fj}^{\text {+ }}+\mathrm{ak}(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{is}) \mathrm{k}<1: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<T 2 R$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{z 2}{\mathrm{~m}} \text { in } \mathrm{Rez}>\quad \mathrm{T} ; \quad \text { and } \underset{\mathrm{z} 2}{\mathrm{~m}} \operatorname{ax} \operatorname{Rez}<+\mathrm{T}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

All quantities encountered so far are analytic in $S \backslash f z j R e z j>T g$, and we denote by a "e" any analytic continuation in that set. A s notioed earlier
so that it follow from (4.2) that the lim its

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} \text { 雷 }(t+\text { is })=\frac{f}{f}(1) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist uniform ly in s 2 ] ; [. C onsequently, see 3.16),

Finally, for よj> $T$, we can w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Im} e_{j k}(t+i s) & =\operatorname{Im} \quad e_{j}(z) d z \quad e_{k}(z) d z \\
& +{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{s}} \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j(j)}\left(t+i s^{0}\right) \quad e_{j(k)}\left(t+i s^{0}\right)\right) d s^{0} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where this equation is obtained by deform ing the path of integration from 0 to $z=t+$ is into a loop based at the origin, whidh $m$ ay encircle points of, follow ed by the real axis from 0 to Rez and a vertical path from $\operatorname{Rez}$ to $z$, see gure 2. H ence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\backslash \mathrm{fz} \mathrm{~T} R \mathrm{R}>\mathrm{Tg}} \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{e}_{j k}(\mathrm{z})<1 ; \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with 4.6) yields the existence of the lim its

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} e_{j}(t+i s)=e_{j}(1) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniform ly in s 2] ; [. W e now de ne the dom ains in which useful estim ates can be obtained.

Figure 3: The path along which the analytic continuation of $j k(t)$ in $D_{j}$ is taken.

De nition: Let j2 f1; ;ng be xed. A dissipative dom ain for the index ${ }^{j}$, DS n, is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z 2 D_{j}} \operatorname{Rez}=1 ; \inf _{z 2 D_{j}} \operatorname{Rez}=1 ; \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is de ned by the property that for any z $2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and any k $2 \mathrm{f1}$; $\quad$; ng , there exists a path
${ }^{k} \quad D_{j}$ param eterized by u2] 1 ; t ] which links 1 to $z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} \operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{u})=1 ;{ }^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{z} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z 2 D_{j u 2} \sup _{1, t]}} \frac{d}{d u}{ }^{k}(u)<1 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satis es the $m$ onotonicity condition
Im $e_{j k}\left({ }^{k}(u)\right)$ is a non decreasing function of $\left.\left.u 2\right] \quad 1 ; \mathrm{t}\right]$ :
Such a path is a dissipative path for f f kg . H ere ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{j k}(\mathrm{z})$ is the analytic continuation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
j k(t)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad e_{k}\left(t^{0}\right)\right) d t^{0} ; \quad t 2 R ; \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $D_{j}$ along a path described in gure $\mathbb{\beta}$ going from 0 to $T 2 R$ along the real axis and then vertically up or down until it reaches $D_{j}$, where $T>0$ is chosen as in 4.3).
Rem ark:
The niteness of insures the existence of such a path .
Let $\epsilon_{k}(z), k=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; n, z 2_{j}$, Ibe the analytic continuations of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})$ along the same path which are solutions of the analytic continuation of 2.13) in $D_{j}$ along

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}^{0}(z)=\int_{l=1}^{x^{n}} e_{k 1}(z) e^{i e_{k 1}(z)="} e_{1}(z): \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take as initial conditions in $\mathrm{D}_{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{Re}!} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{z})=\lim _{\mathrm{t}!} \lim _{1} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})=j \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}=1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{n}: \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{z})=e_{k}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} e_{j \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{z})="} ; \quad \mathrm{z} 2 \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{j}=1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{n}: \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lem m a 4.1 In a dissipative dom ain for the index $j$ we get the estim ates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{\sup _{j}} \dot{x}_{j}(z) \quad 1 j=O(")  \tag{4.18}\\
& \sup _{z 2 D_{j}} \dot{x}_{k}(z) j=O(") ; \quad 8 k \in j: \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Rem ark:
$T$ he real axis is a dissipative dom ain for all indioes. In this case we have $e_{j}(t) \quad C_{j}(t)$. H ence we get from the application of the lem m a for all indices successively that

$$
S=I+O("):
$$

The estim ates we are looking for are then just a direct corollary.
Proposition 4.1 A ssum e there exists a dissipative dom ain $D_{j}$ for the index $j$. Let $j$ be a loop based at the origin which encircles all the degeneracies betw een the real axis and $D_{j}$ and let $j$ be the perm utation of labels associated with $j$, in the spirit of the rem ark ending the previous section. T he loop $j$ is negatively, respectively positively, oriented if $D_{j}$ is above, respectively below, the realaxis. T hen the solution of 2.13) subjected to the initialconditions $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}(1)=\mathrm{jk}$ satis es

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{j(j)}(+1)=e^{i_{j}\left(j_{j}\right)} e_{i}^{R_{j}^{R}} e_{j(z) d z="}(1+O(")) \\
& C_{j(k)}(+1)=0 e^{I m} e_{j}^{e_{j}(z) d z="+h_{j}\left(e_{j(j)}(+1) e_{j(k)}(+1)\right)="} \tag{4,22}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\left.h_{j} 2 \mathbb{H}{ }_{j} ; H_{j}^{+}\right]$, where $H_{j}$ is the $m$ axim um, respectively $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$, im aginary part of the points at +1 in $D_{j}$

$$
H^{+}=\lim _{t!+1} \sup _{s t+i s 2 D_{j}} s ; \quad H \quad=\lim _{t!+1} \inf _{s t+i s 2 D_{j}} s:
$$

Thus we see that it is possible to get the (exponentially sm all) asym ptotic behavior of the elem ent $s_{j(j) ; j}$ of the $S m$ atrix, provided there exists a dissipative dom ain for the index $j$. $T$ he di cult part of the problem is of course to prove the existence of such dom ains $D$ j, which do not necessarily exist, and to have enough of them to com pute the asym ptotic of the whole $S \rightarrow m$ atrix. $T$ his task is the equivalent for $n$-level system $s$ to the study of the global behavior of the Stokes lines for 2-level system $s$. W e postpone this aspect of the problem to the next section. N ote that we also get from this result an exponentialbound on the elem ents $S_{j}(k) ; j$ of the $S m$ atrix, $k \in j, w h i c h n$ ay or $m$ ay not be usefiul. If $j$ encircles no point of , we cannot get the asym ptotic behavior of ${ }_{j(j) ; j}$ butwe only get the exponentialbounds. Since ourm ain concem is asym ptotic behaviors, we call the corresp onding dissipative dom ain trivial.
Rem ark:
In contrast w ith the 2-level case, see JP 4], we have to work w ith dissipative dom ains instead of working w ith one dissipative path for all indices. Indeed, it is not di cult to convince oneself $w$ ith speci c 3-level cases that such a dissipative path $m$ ay not exist, even when the eigenvalue degeneracies are close to the real axis. In retum, we prove below the existence of dissipative dom ains in this situation.
Proof:
The asym ptotic relation is a direct consequence of lem m a 3.1, 4.9), 4.17) and the rst part
of the lem ma. The estim ate is a consequence of the sam e equations, the second estim ate of the lem m a and the identity, for $\mathrm{t}>\mathrm{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \\
& \operatorname{Im} e_{j k}(t+i s)=\operatorname{Im}_{Z_{s}} \quad e_{j}(z) d z \quad e_{j}(z) d z \\
& +\int_{0} \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j(j)}\left(t+i s^{0}\right) \quad e_{j(k)}\left(t+i s^{0}\right)\right) d s^{0}:
\end{align*}
$$

The path of integration from 0 to $z$ for ${ }^{e_{j k}}(z)$ is deform ed into the loop $j$ follow ed by the real axis from 0 to Rez and a vertical path from $R e z$ to $z$. It rem ains to take the lim it t! +1.

2
P roof of lem m a 4.1:
$W$ e rew rite equations 4.15) and 4.16) as an integral equation and perform an integration by parts on the exponentials

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{k}(z)=\quad j k \quad i^{\prime \prime} X_{l=1}^{n} \frac{e_{k l}(z)}{e_{k}(z) \quad e_{1}(z)} e^{i e_{k 1}(z)="} e_{1}(z) \\
& +i^{\text {" }^{n}}{ }_{l=1}^{Z_{z}} \frac{e_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right)}{e_{k}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{1}\left(z^{0}\right)} \quad e^{i e_{k 1}\left(z^{0}\right)="} e_{1}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0} \\
& +i^{\prime \prime}{ }_{l i m=1}^{X^{n}} Z_{z} \frac{a_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right) e_{l m}\left(z^{0}\right)}{e_{k}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{l}\left(z^{0}\right)} e^{i e_{k m}\left(z^{0}\right)="} e_{m}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0}: \tag{425}
\end{align*}
$$

Since alleigenvalues are distinct in $S \mathrm{n}$, the denom inators are alw ays di erent from 0 . D ue to equation (4.9), the height above or below the real axis at which we start the integration is irrelevant, so that we can use the symbol 1 as low er integration bound. N ote that the integrated term vanishes at $1 . W$ e have also used the identity

$$
e_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right)+e_{\operatorname{lm}}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{k m}\left(z^{0}\right):
$$

In term $s$ of the functions $x_{k}$ we get, using the sam e identity,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{k}(z)=\quad j k \quad i^{\prime \prime} X^{X^{n}} \frac{e_{k l}(z)}{e_{k}(z) \quad e_{1}(z)} x_{l}(z) \\
& +i^{i^{n}} \quad X_{z=1}^{Z_{z}} \frac{a_{k l}\left(z^{0}\right)}{e_{k}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{1}\left(z^{0}\right)} \quad e^{i\left(e_{j k}(z) \quad e_{j k}\left(z^{0}\right)\right)="} x_{1}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0} \tag{427}
\end{align*}
$$

W e introduce the quantily
and consider for each $k$ the equation 4.27) along the dissipative path ${ }^{k}$ ( $u$ ) described in the de nition of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}}$, such that

$$
e^{i\left(e_{j k}\left({ }^{k}(t)\right) \quad e_{j k}\left({ }^{k}(u)\right)\right)="} \quad 1
$$

when $u$ talong that path. D ue to the integrability of the $a_{k l}(z)$ at in nity and the uniform boundedness of $d^{k}(u)=d u$, we get the estim ate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{k}(z) \quad k j j \quad \text { " } \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e constant A uniform in z $2 D_{j}$, hence

C onsequently, for " sm all enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { : } 2 \text {; jin xiju } \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nd the result follow s.

## 5 Superasym ptotic im provem ent

A ll results above can be substantially im proved by using the so-called superasym ptotic renorm alization m ethod $B \in], \mathbb{N}]$, JP 2]. The joint use of com plex W K B analysis and superasym ptotic renorm alization is very pow erfiul, as dem onstrated recently in JP 4] for 2-level system S ,
 brie $y$ show how to achieve this im provem ent in the case of $n$-level system $s$.

Let H (z) satisfy H 1, H 2 and H 3 in $S$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oiint=S \mathrm{n}\left[r=1 ; \quad\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{r}\left[\overline{J_{r}}\right) ;\right.\right. \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $J_{r}$ is an open dom ain containing one point of only in the open upper half plane. Hence, any analytic continuation $e_{j}(z)$ of $e_{j}(t), t 2 R$, in $\oint$ is isolated in the spectrum of $H(z)$ so that $e_{j}(z)$ is analytic and multivalued in $\oint$, and the sam $e$ is true for the corresponding analytic continuation $P_{j}(z)$ of $P_{j}(t), t 2 R$. Let $r$ be the perm utation associated w th the loop $r$ based at the origin which encircles $J_{r}$ once, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(z)=e_{r(j)}(z) ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the convention of section 3. The $m$ atrix $K(z)$ is analytic and single valued in $\oint$. $C$ onsider the single valued analytic $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(z ; ")=H(z) \quad i " K(z) ; \quad \text { z } 2 \oint: \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For" sm allenough, the spectrum of $H_{1}(z ; ")$ is non degenerate $8 z 2$ so that its eigenvalues $e_{j}^{1}(z ; ")$ and eigenpro jectors $P_{j}^{1}(z ; ")$ are $m$ ultivahed analytic functions in $\oint$. M oreover, for " sm all enough, the analytic continuations of $e_{j}^{1}(z ; ")$ and $P_{j}^{1}(z ; ")$ around $J_{r}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{j}^{1}(z) & =e_{r(j)}^{1}(z)  \tag{5.4}\\
\mathbb{P}_{j}^{1}(z) & =P_{r(j)}^{1}(z) ; \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

as can be easily deduced from 5.2) by perturbation theory. C onsequently the m atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(z ; ")=X_{j=1}^{x^{n}} P_{j}^{10}(z ; ") P_{j}^{1}(z ; ") \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic and single valued in $\oint$. De ning the single valued $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{i}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z} ;{ }^{\prime \prime}\right) ; \quad \text { z } 2 \text { § } \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can repeat the argum ent, for " sm all enough. By induction we set for any $q 2 \mathrm{~N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{q}(z ; ") & =H(z) \quad i^{\prime \prime} K_{q 1}(z ; ")  \tag{5.8}\\
K_{q 1}(z ; ") & =X_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}^{q 10}(z ; ") P_{j}^{q}(z ; ") ; \quad z 2 \$ \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for " is sm all enough. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{q}(z ; ")=X_{j=1}^{x^{m}} e_{j}^{q}(z ; ") P_{j}^{q}(z ; ") ; \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the eigenvalues and eigenprojections are $m$ ultivalued in $\oint$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{j}^{q}(z ; ") & =e_{r(j)}^{q}(z ; ")  \tag{5.11}\\
P_{j}^{q}(z ; ") & =P_{r(j)}^{q}(z ; ") ; j=1 ; \quad ; n ; \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the notations of (5.2). W e quote from JP 4], JP 2] the m ain proposition regarding th is construction.

Proposition 5.1 LetH (z) satisfy H1,H2 andH3in S and let $\$$ be de ned as above. Then there exist constants $c>0, ">0$ and a real function $b(t) w$ ith $\lim _{t!} 1 \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})<1$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{q}(z ; ") \quad K_{q 1}(z ; ") k \quad b(\operatorname{Rez}){ }^{q} C^{q} q \text { ! }  \tag{5.13}\\
& \mathrm{kK}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{z} \boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{Re} \mathrm{z}) \text {; } \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for all z $2 \oint$, all " < " and allq $q$ (") [1=ec"], where $[y]$ denotes the integer part of $y$ and $e$ is the basis of the neperian logarithm .

W e can deduce from this that in $\oint$

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{j}^{q}(z ; ") & =e_{j}(z)+O\left({ }^{2} b(\operatorname{Rez})\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
P_{j}^{q}(z ; ") & =e_{j}(z)+O("(\operatorname{Rez})) ; \quad 8 q \quad q("): \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e introduce the notation $f^{q(")} \quad f$ for any quantity $f^{q}$ depending on the index $q$ and we drop from now on the " in the argum ents of the functions we encounter. W e de ne the m ultivalued analytic matrix $W$ (z) for z $2 \S$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}^{0}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{K} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{z}) ; \quad \mathrm{W} \quad(0)=\mathrm{I}: \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the above observations and proposition 5.1, $\mathrm{W} \quad(z)$ en joys all properties $W$ ( $z$ ) does, such as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W} \quad(z) P_{j}(z)=P_{j}(0) W \quad(z)  \tag{5.18}\\
& \text { f } \quad(z)=W \quad(z) W \quad\left({ }_{r}\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and, uniform ly in $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t 1} W \quad(t+i s)=W \quad(1): \tag{5,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we de ne for any z $2 \oint$ as set of eigenvectors of $H \quad(z)$ by

$$
{ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(z)=W \quad(z)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H \quad(0)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)=e_{j}(0)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) ; \quad j=1 ; \quad ; n \text {; }, ~
\end{array}
$$

and which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(0)=e^{i_{j}(x)} r_{r(j)}(0) ; \tag{5,23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(r)=(r)+O(") 2 C: \tag{524}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us expand the solution of (2.1) on this multivalued set of eigenvectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)={ }_{j=1}^{x^{n}} C_{j}(z) e^{e_{i}^{R_{z}} e_{j}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0}="}{ }_{j}(z): \tag{525}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the analyticity properties of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $H \quad(z)$ are the sam e as those en joyed by the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z})$, we get as in lem m a 3.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(z) e^{i^{R}} e_{r}(u) d u=" e^{i_{j}(r)}=c_{r(j)}(z) ; \quad 8 z 2 \Phi: \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting 523) in 2.1), we see that the multivalued coe cients $c_{j}(z)$ satisfy in $\oint$ the di erentialequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}^{0}(z)={ }_{k=1}^{X^{n}} a_{j k}(z) e^{i}{ }^{j k}(z)={ }^{\prime \prime} C_{k}(z) \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j k(z)=\int_{0}^{z} e_{j}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad e_{k}\left(z^{0}\right) d z^{0} \tag{5,28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j k}(z)=\frac{h_{j}^{\prime}(z)(0) P_{j}(z)(0) W \quad(z)^{1}\left(K_{q} \quad 1(z) \quad K_{q}(z)\right) W \quad(z)_{k}^{\prime}(0) i}{k^{\prime}(0) k^{2}} ; \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be com pared w ith 3.16). The key point of this construction is that it follows from proposition 5.1 w ith $q=q$ (") that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\not}_{j \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{j} \quad 2 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{Re} \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{="} ; \quad 8 \mathrm{z} 2 \oint \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1=e c>0$, and from 5.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \quad j \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{z})=\operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{jk}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{I}^{2}\right) ; \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniform ly in z $2 \Phi$. Thus, we deduce from 5.30) that the lim its

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} c_{j}(t+i s)=c_{j}(1) ; j=1 ; \quad ; n ; \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist for any analytic continuation in $\oint$. M oreover, along any dissipative path ${ }^{k}$ (u) for fjkg, as de ned above, we get from (5.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{i\left(e_{j k}(k(t))\right.} e_{j k}\left(^{k}(u)\right)\right)="=O(1) \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, reproducing the proof of lem m a 4.1 we have
Lem ma 5.1 In a dissipative dom ain $D_{j}$, if $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}(1)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}(1)={ }_{k j}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{j}(z)=1+0\left(e^{="}\right)  \tag{5.34}\\
& e^{i e_{j k}(z)} e_{k}(z)=0 \quad e^{="} ; 8 k \not j ; \tag{5.35}
\end{align*}
$$

uniform ly in z 2 §.
$T$ his lem m a yields the im proved version of our m ain result.
Proposition 5.2 U nder the conditions of proposition 4.1 and $w$ ith the sam e notations. If $C_{k}(1)=j k$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{j(j)}(+1)=e^{i_{j}\left({ }_{j}\right)} e^{i^{R} e_{j}(z) d z="} 1+0 e^{="}  \tag{5.36}\\
& C_{j(k)}(+1)=0 e^{="=} e^{I m}{ }_{j}^{R} e_{j}(z) d z="+h_{j}\left(e_{j(j)}(+1) e_{j(k)(+1))="}\right. \tag{5,37}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that $w e m$ ay or $m$ ay not replace $e_{j}(z)$ by $e_{j}(z)$ in the estim ate $w$ ithout altering the result. It rem ains to $m$ ake the link betw een the $S m$ atrix and the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}(+1$ )'s of the proposition explicit. W e de ne j by the relations ( $\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{z})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z})$ coincide at 1 ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j}(1)=e^{i_{j} \quad \prime_{j}(1): ~} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By com parison of (525) and (2.12) we deduce the lem m a
Lemma 5.2 If $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{t})$ satisfy $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}(1)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}(1)={ }_{\mathrm{jk}}$, then, the elem ent kj of the $S-m$ atrix is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{k j}= & \left.q_{k}(+1)=e^{i\left({ }_{k}^{+}\right.} j^{\prime}\right) e^{R_{0}^{R_{+1}}} e_{k}\left(t^{0}\right) e_{k}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}=" \\
& e^{i_{k j} G_{k}(+1) ;} \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

with $j^{2}=O(")$ and $R_{1} e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}="=O(")$, i.e. $e^{i}{ }_{k j}=1+O(")$.
Rem arks:
i) P roposition 52 together $w$ ith lem ma5.2 are the $m$ ain results of the rst part of th is paper.
ii) A s a direct consequence of these estim ates on the real axis we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{jk}}=0 \quad e^{="} ; 8 \mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{j} ; \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j j}=e^{i}{ }_{j j} 1+O e^{="}: \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) It should be clear from the analysis just perform ed that all results obtained hold if the generator $H(z)$ in 2.1) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H }(z ; ")=H_{0}(z)+O(" b(\operatorname{Rez})) ; \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{t}^{1+a}\right)$, provided $\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{z})$ satis es the hypotheses we assum ed.

## 6 A voided crossings

W e now com e to the second part of the paper in which we prove asym ptotic form ulas for the o -diagonal elem ents of the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix, by $m$ eans of the general set up presented above. To start $w$ th, we de ne a class of $n$-level system $s$ for $w$ hich we can prove the existence of one non trivial dissipative dom ains for all indices. T hey are obtained by $m$ eans of system $s$ exhibiting degeneracies of eigenvalues on the real axis, hereafter called real crossings, which we perturb in such a way that these degeneracies are lifted and tum to avoided crossings on the real axis. $W$ hen the perturbation is $s m$ all enough, this process $m$ oves the eigenvalue degeneracies o the real axis but they rem ain close to the place where the real crossings
occurred. This m ethod was used successfully in (ु] to dealw ith 2-level system s . W e do not attem pt to list all cases in which dissipative dom ains can be constructed by $m$ eans of th is technique but rather present a w ide class of exam ples which are relevant in the theory of quantum adiabatic transitions and in the theory of $m$ ultichannel sem iclassical scattering, as described below .
Let $H$ ( $t$; ) $2 M_{n}(C)$ satisfy the follow ing assum ptions.
H 4 For each xed $2[0 ; d]$, the matrix $H(t ;)$ satis es H 1 in a strip $S$ independent of and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z}$; ) , $@=@ \mathrm{zH}(\mathrm{z} ;)$ ) are continuous as a functions of two variables ( z ; ) $2 \mathrm{~S} \quad[0 ; \mathrm{d}]$. M oreover, it satis es H2 uniform ly in 2 [0;d], with lim ting values H ( ; ) which are continuous functions of $2[0 ; \mathrm{d}]$.
H 5 For each t $2 R$ and each $2[0 ; d]$, the spectrum of $H(t ;)$, denoted by ( $t$; ), consists in n real eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t ;)=f e(t ;) ; e_{2}(t ;) ; \quad n\left(t_{e}\right) g \quad R \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are distinct when $>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}\left(t_{;}\right)<e_{2}\left(t_{;}\right)<\quad n_{n}\left(t_{\boldsymbol{f}}\right): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen $=0$, the functions $e_{j}(t ; 0)$ are analytic on the real axis and there exists a nite set of crossing points ft $t_{1} \quad t_{2} \quad p g \ell R, p \quad 0$, such that
i) $8 t<t_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}(t ; 0)<e_{2}(t ; 0)<\quad \text { గ }(t ; 0): \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) $8 j<k 2$ f1;2; ;ng, there exists at $m$ ost onewtith

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}\left(t_{\Psi} ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left(t_{\Psi} ; 0\right)=0 ; \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if such a $t_{x}$ exists we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}\left(e_{j}\left(t_{r} ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left(t_{r} ; 0\right)\right)>0: \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 to $j$ or all inferior to $j$.
Rem arks:
i) T he param eter can be understood as a coupling constant controlling the strength of the perturbation.
ii) T he eigenvalues $e_{j}(t ; 0)$ are assum ed to be analytic on the real axis, because of the degeneracies on the real axis. H ow ever, if $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t} ; ~)$ is self adjoint for any $2[0 ; \mathrm{d}]$, this follow s from a theorem of Rellich, see K].
iii) W e give in gure 4 an exam ple of pattem of crossings $w$ th the corresponding pattem of avoided crossings for whidh the above conditions are ful lled.
iv) $T$ he crossings are assum ed to be generic in the sense that the derivative of $e_{j} \quad e_{k}$ are non zero at the crossing $t_{r}$.
v) The crossing points $f t_{1} ; t_{2}$; pgineed not be distinct, which is im portant when the eigenvalues possess sym $m$ etries. H ow ever, for each $j=1$; ;n, the eigenvaluflt ${ }^{\prime}$ ) experiences avoided crossings with $e_{j+1}\left(t_{i}\right)$ and/or $e_{1}\left(t_{i}\right)$ at a subset of distinct points $f t_{r_{1}} ; \quad r_{j}$ gt $f t_{1} ; t_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{t}$

W e now state the m ain lem m a of this section regarding the analyticity properties of the perturbed levels and the existence of dissipative dom ains for all indiges in this perturbative context.

F igure 4: A pattem ofeigenvalue crossings (bold curves) w ith the corresponding pattem of avoided crossings ( ne curves) satisfying H 5.

Lem ma 6.1 Let H ( t ; ) satisfy H 4 and H 5 . W e can choose $>0 \mathrm{sm}$ allenough so that the follow ing assertions are true for su ciently sm all $>0$ :
i) Let $f \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}}$; $\quad \mathrm{r}_{j}$ gtbe the set of avoided crossing points experienced by $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t} ;), \mathrm{j}=1$; $\quad$; n .

For each $j$, there exists a set of distinct dom ains $J_{r} 2 S$, where r $2 \mathrm{fr}_{1}$; $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{r}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{r}=f z=t+\text { isj0 } \quad t \quad t_{r} j<L ; 0<g<s<0^{0} ; \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $L$ smallenough, ${ }^{0}<$ and $g>0$ such that $e_{j}(1 ;)$ can be anakytically continued in

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{j}=S \operatorname{n}\left[r=r_{1} ; \quad j ;\left(J_{r}\left[\overline{J_{r}}\right):\right.\right. \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) Let $t_{r}$ be an avoided crossing point of $e_{j}(t ;)$ with $e(t ;), k=j 1$. Then the analytic continuation of $e_{j}\left(t_{r} ;\right.$ ) along a bop based at $t_{r} 2 R$ which encircles $J_{r}$ once yields $e_{j}\left(t_{r} ; ~\right)$ back at $t_{\text {r }}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}\left(t_{x} ;\right)=e_{k}\left(t_{ \pm} ;\right): \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) For each $j=1$; ; $n$, there exists a dissipative dom ain labove or below the real axis in $S \backslash f z=t+i s j j j j \quad 0 \quad$. The perm utation $j$ associated $w$ ith these dissipative dom ains (see proposition 4.1) are all given by $j=$ where is the perm utation which $m$ aps the index of the $k^{\text {th }}$ eigenvaliee $e_{j}(1 ; 0)$ num bered from the lowest one on $k$, for all $k 2 f 1 ; 2 ; \quad$; 2 .

## Rem arks:

i) In part ii) the sam e result is true along a loop encircling $\overline{J_{r}}$.
ii) The dissipative dom ains $D_{j}$ of part iii) are located above (respectively below ) all the sets $J_{r}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\overline{J_{r}}\right), r=1 ; \quad ; p$.
iii) The m ain interest of this lem m a is that the su cient conditions required for the existence of dissipative dom ains in the com plex plane can be deduced from the behavior of the eigenvalues on the realaxis.
iv) W e em phasize that $m$ ore general types of avoided crossings than those described in H 5 $m$ ay lead to the existence of dissipative dom ains for œertain indioes but we want to get dissipative dom ains for all indioes. For exam ple, if part iii) of H 5 is satis ed for certain indices only, then part iii) of lem ma 6.1 is satis ed for those indices only.
v) N ote also that there are pattems of eigenvalue crossings for which there exist no dissipative dom ain for som e indiges. For exam ple, if $e_{j}(t ; 0)$ and $e_{k}(t ; 0)$ display two crossings, it is not di cult to see from the proof of the lem m a that no dissipative dom ains can exist for $j$ ork.

W e postpone the proof of this lem $m$ a to the end of the section and go on $w$ ith its consequences. By applying the results of the previous section we get

Theorem 6.1 Let H ( $t$; ) satisfy H 4 and H5. If $>0$ is smallenough, the elem ents ( $j$ ) j of the $S-m$ atrix, with ( $j$ ) de ned in lem m 6 , 1 , are given in the $\lim$ it "! 0 for all $j=1$; in, by

$$
S_{(j) j}={ }_{k=j}^{(\mathbf{q})} e^{i_{k}(k)} e^{i_{k}^{R}} e_{k}(z ;) d z=" \quad(1+O(")) ; \quad(j) \quad \begin{align*}
& >j  \tag{6.9}\\
& <j
\end{align*}
$$

where, for (j) > j (respectively (j) < j), k, k = j; ; (j) 1, denotes a negatively (resp. positively) oriented loop based at the origin which encircles the set $J_{r}$ (resp. $\overline{J_{r}}$ porresponding to the avoided crossing between $e_{k}\left(t_{;}\right)$and $e_{+1}\left(t_{;}\right)\left(r e s p . e e_{1}(z ;)\right)$ at $t_{r}$,
${ }_{k} e_{k}(z ;) d z$ denotes the integral along $k$ of the analytic continuation of $e_{k}(0 ;)$ and $k(k)$ is the corresponding factor de ned by (3.14), see gure5.
$M$ ore accurately, w ith the notations of section 5, we have the im proved form ula

The elem ent (l) j, lf j, are estim ated by
where $h$ is strictly positive (resp. negative) for ( $j$ ) $>j$ (respectively ( $j$ ) $<j$ ).
Rem arks:
i) A s the eigenvalues are continuous at the degeneracy points, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!} e^{i_{k}^{R}} e_{k}(z ;) d z=0 ; \quad 8 k=1 ; \quad ; p: \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) The rem ainders $O$ (") depend on but it should be possible to get estim ates which are valid as both " and tend to zero, in the spirit of [ G$]$, M N] and [ $\mathbb{R}$ ].
iii) $T$ his result show $s$ that one o -diagonal elem ent per colum $n$ of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix at least can be com puted asym ptotically. H ow ever, it is often possible to get $m$ ore elem ents by $m$ aking use of sym $m$ etries of the $S m$ atrix. M oreover, if there exist dissipative dom ains going above or below other eigenvalue degeneracies further aw ay in the com plex plane, other elem ents of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix can be com puted.
iv) F inally, note that all starred quantities in 6.1d) depend on ".
$P$ roof: The rst thing to determ ine is whether the loops $k$ are above or below the realaxis. Since the form ulas we deduce from the com plex W KB analysis are asym ptotic form ulas, it su œes to choose the case whidh yields exponential decay of $s(j) j$. It is readily checked in the proof of lem m a 6.1 below that if ( $j$ ) $>j_{j} D_{j}$ is above the real axis and if ( $j$ ) < j, $D_{j}$ is below the real axis. Then it rem ains to explain how to pass from the loop $j$ given in proposition 4.1 to the set of loops $k, k=j ; \quad$; (j) $1 . W$ ebrie $y$ deal $w$ ith the case

Figure 5: The loops $j$ and $k, k=j ;$; (j) 1 .
( $j$ ) > $j$, the other case being sim ilar. It follow sfrom lem ma6.1 that we can deform $j$ into the set of loops ${ }_{k}$, each associated with one avoided crossing, as described in gure 5. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z} \\
& =\begin{array}{l}
(\dot{X}) 1 Z \\
k=j
\end{array}, k \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for the decay rate and, see 3.19,

$$
\left.W\left({ }_{j}\right)=W\left(\begin{array}{c}
(j) \tag{6.14}
\end{array}\right) \quad W_{j+6}\right) W\left({ }_{j}\right)
$$

for the prefactors. Let $j$ be a negatively oriented loop based at $t_{r}$ which encircles $J_{r}$ as described in lem ma 6.1. C onsider now the loop $j$ associated with this avoided crossing and deform it to the path obtained by going from 0 to $t_{r}$ along the real axis, from $t_{r}$ to $t_{x}$ along $j$ and back from $t_{r}$ to the origin along the real axis. By the point ii) of the lem $m$ a we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(0 ; ~)=e_{+1}(0 ; ~) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

along $j$, and, accordingly (see 3.14)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}(0 ;)=e^{i_{j}(j) r}{ }_{j+1}(0 ;): \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This justi es the rst factor in the formula. By repeating the argum ent at the next avoided crossings, keeping in $m$ ind that we get $e_{j+1}(0 ;)$ at the end of ${ }_{j}$ and so on, we get the nal result. The estim ate on $s_{(1) j}$ is obtained by direct application of lem $m$ a 6.1.

2
Proof of lem m a.1: In the sequelwe shall denote " $\frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{et}}$ " by a " 0 ". W e have to consider the analyticity properties of $e_{j}(z ;)$ and de ne dom ains in which every point $z$ can be reached from 1 by means of a path (u), u 2] 1 ; t], $(t)=z \operatorname{such}$ that $\operatorname{Im} e_{j k}(u)$; ) is non decreasing in $u$ for œertain indioes $j \in k$ when $>0$ is xed. $N$ ote that by Schwarz's principle if (u) is dissipative for $f j \mathrm{~kg}$, then $(\mathrm{u})$ is dissipative for $f k j g$. When $(\mathrm{u})={ }_{1}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{i}_{2}(\mathrm{u})$ is di erentiable, saying that (u) is dissipative for fjkg is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}((u) ;) e_{k}((u) ;)\right)_{2}(u)+\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j}((u) ;) e_{k}((u) ;)\right)_{1}(u) \quad 0 \\
& 8 u 2] 1 ; t] \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where "_" denotes the derivative w ith respect to u. M oreover, if the eigenvalues are analytic in a neighborhood of the real axis, we have the relation in that neighborhood

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j}(t+i s ;) \quad e_{k}(t+i s ;)\right)=\int_{0}^{Z} \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}^{0}\left(t+i s^{0} ;\right) e_{k}^{0}\left(t+i s^{0} ;\right)\right) d s ; \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a consequence of the $C$ auchy $R$ iem ann identity. W e proceed as follow $s$. W e construct dissipative dom ains above and below the realaxis when $=0$ and we show that they rem ain dissipative for the perturbed quantities ${ }^{e_{j k}}(z ;)$ provided is sm all enough. W e introduce som equantities to be used in the construction. Let $C_{r} 2 \mathrm{f1}$; $\quad$ rolenote the set ofdistinct couples of indices such that the corresponding eigenvalues experience one crossing at $t=t_{r}$. Sim ilarly, N 2 f1; ;ndenotes the set of couples of indiaes such that the corresponding eigenvalues never cross.
Let $\left.I_{r}=t_{r} \quad L ; t_{r}+L\right] 2 R, r=1 ; \quad ; p, w$ ith $L$ so sm all that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{r 2 f 1 ;} \min _{f j j_{j} g 2 C_{r} ; j<k t 2 I_{r}} \inf _{j}\left(e_{j}^{0}(t ; 0) \quad e_{k}^{0}(t ; 0)\right) \quad 4 c>0: \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation de nes the constant $c$ and we also de ne b by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{f j k g 2 N ; j<k t 2 R}{\inf } \operatorname{jem}_{j}(t ; 0) \quad e_{k}(t ; 0) j \quad 4 b>0: \tag{620}
\end{align*}
$$

W e further introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=f z=t+\text { ist } 2 I_{r} ; \dot{\lessgtr} j \quad g ; \quad r=1 ; \quad ; p: \tag{622}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we choose sm all enough so that the only points of degeneracy of eigenvalues in $S$ are on the real axis and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{f j k g 2 N ; j<k z 2 S}{\inf } \inf _{-R e\left(e_{j}(z ; 0) \quad e_{k}(z ; 0)\right) j>2 b>0: ~}^{\text {in }} \tag{624}
\end{align*}
$$

That this choice is alw ays possible is a consequence of the analyticity of $e_{j}(z ; 0)$ close to the real axis and of the fact that we can work essentially in a com pact, because of hypothesis H 4. Let a ( $t$ ) be integrable on $R$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a(t)}{2}>\max _{j<k 2 f 1 ;} \sup _{i \Re g} \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}^{0}(t+i s ; 0) \quad e_{k}^{0}(t+i s ; 0)\right): \tag{626}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from H 4 that such functions exist.
Let r 2 f1; ;pg andl(u) be a solution of
$\left.\stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \__{2}(u)=\frac{2(u) a(u)}{b} u 2\right] \quad 1$; $\left.t_{r} \quad L\right]$
$? 2_{2}(u)=0 \quad$ u 2$] t_{r} \quad L ; t_{r}+L[$
$3 \quad 2(u)=+\frac{2(u) a(u)}{b}$ u $2 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{L} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { i }} 1$ [
$w$ th $2\left(t_{r}\right)>0$. Then $2(u)>0$ for any $u$, since
$\stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \quad 2(u)=2\left(t_{r}\right) e^{R_{u}} t_{r} \quad$ a $\left.\left.\left.u^{0}\right) d u^{0}=b \quad u 2\right] \quad 1 ; t_{r} \quad L\right]$
$\left.\geqslant \quad 2(u)=2\left(t_{x}\right) R_{u} \quad u 2\right] t_{r} \quad L ; t_{r}+L[$
and since a (u) is integrable, the lim its

$$
\lim _{1} 2(u)=2(1)
$$

exist. M oreover, we can always choose $2\left(t_{r}\right)>0$ su ciently sm all so that ${ }^{r}(u) \quad u+$ $i_{2}(u) 2 \mathrm{~S}$, for any realu. Let us verify that this path is dissipative for allfjkg $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}$; $\mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$. Foru2] 1 ; tr L], we have, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}(z ; 0) \quad e_{k}(z ; 0)\right)<2 b<0 ; 8 z 2 S \quad \text { fzRez } t_{r} \quad L g \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
j \mathrm{~lm}\left(e_{j}(t+i s ; 0) \quad e_{k}(t+i s ; 0)\right) j<\underset{s^{0} j}{\operatorname{j}} \sup _{\left.s^{0} ; s\right]} \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}^{0}\left(t+i s^{0} ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}^{0}\left(t+i s^{0} ; 0\right)\right) ;(6.31)
$$

(see 6.18)), and the de nition 6.26

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right)_{2}(u)+\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right)_{1}(u)= \\
& \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right) \frac{2(u) a(u)}{b}+\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right)> \\
& 22_{2}(u) a(u) \quad 2(u) a(u)=2>\quad 2(u) a(u)>0: \tag{6.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, when u $t_{r}+L$ we get, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}(z ; 0) \quad e_{k}(z ; 0)\right)>2 b>0 ; 8 z 2 S \quad \text { fzRez } \quad t_{x}+L g ; \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right) \_2(u)+\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{1}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right) \_(u)= \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right) \frac{2(u) a(u)}{b}+\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right)> \\
& 22_{2}(u) a(u) \quad 2(u) a(u)=2>2(u) a(u)>0: \tag{6.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, fors 2 t $\left.t_{x} \quad L ; t_{r}+L\right]$, we have $w$ ith 6.23)

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}\left({ }^{r}(u) ; 0\right)\right)=_{0}^{Z} \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}^{0}\left(t^{0}+i s ; 0\right) \quad e_{k}^{0}\left(t^{0}+i s ; 0\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(u) 2 c>2(u) c>0: \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, ${ }^{r}(u)$ is dissipative for all fjkg $2 C_{r} ; j<k$. N ote that the last estim ate show $s$ that it is not possible to nd a dissipative path for fjkg $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathbf{i j} \mathrm{j} k \mathrm{k}$ below the realaxis.

C onsider now fjkg $2 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$ and let 2 (u) be a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.2(\mathrm{u})=\frac{2(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{u})}{\mathrm{b}} ; \quad 2(0)>0 \text {; u } 2\right] \quad 1 ;+1[\text {; } \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(u)=2(0) e^{R_{u}}{ }_{0} a\left(u^{0}\right) d u^{0}=b \text {. } \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above, we have $2(u)>0$ for any $u$ and we can choose $2(0)>0 \mathrm{sm}$ all enough so that

+ (u) $u+i_{2}(u) 2 S$ for any $u 2 R$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}(z ; 0) \quad e_{k}(z ; 0)\right)>\quad 2 b \quad 8 z 2 S \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

we check by a com putation analogous to 6.32) that ${ }^{+}$(u) is dissipative for fjkg $2 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$. Sim ilarly, one veri es that if $2(u)$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\ldots(u)=\frac{2(u) a(u)}{b} ; \quad 2(0)<0 ; \text { u } 2\right] \quad 1 ;+1[ \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 6: The dissipative dom ain $D$ and som e dissipative paths.
$w$ ith $j_{2}(0) j s m$ all enough, the path (u) $u+i_{2}(u)$ below the realaxis is in $S$ for any u 2 R and is dissipative for fjkg $2 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{k}$ as well.

Finally, the com plex con jugates of these paths yield dissipative paths above and below the real axis for fjkg $2 \mathrm{~N} ; j>\mathrm{k}$.
$W$ e now de ne the dissipative dom ains by m eans of their borders. Let ${ }^{+}$(u) and (u), u $2 R$, two dissipative paths in $S$ de ned as above with $j_{2}(0) j$ su ciently sm all so that
is below ${ }^{+}$. W e set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=f z=t+i s j 0<\quad 2 \text { (t) } s \quad{ }_{2}^{+} \text {(t); t2 R g: } \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let z 2 D , and j 2 f ; ;ng be xed. By assum ption H5, the setj Xf indices $k$ such that fjkg $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}$ for somer 2 fl ; ;pg consists in values $k$ satisfying $j<k$ or it consists in values $k$ satisfying $j>k$. Let us assume the rst altemative takes place. $N$ ow for any k 2 f1; ;ng, there are three cases.

1) If $k 2 X_{j}$, then there exists a dissipative path ${ }^{r} 2 \mathrm{D}$ for fjkg $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}$; $j<\mathrm{k}$ constructed as above which links 1 to $z$. It is enough to select the initial condition $2\left(t_{r}\right)$ suitably, see gure 6.
2) Sim ilarly, if $j<k 区 X_{j}$, there exists a dissipative path ${ }^{+} 2 \mathrm{D}$ for fjkg constructed as above which links 1 to $z$ obtained by a suitable choice of 2 ( 0 ).
3) $F$ inally, if $k>j$, we can take as a dissipative path for fjkg, the path - 2 D constructed as above which links 1 to z w ith suitable choice of $2(0)$. Hence $D$ is dissipative for the
 argum ent w ith the com plex con jugates of the above paths show s that the dom ain $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ below the real axis is dissipative for $j$ when $=0$.

Let us show that these dom ains rem ain dissipative when $>0$ is not too large. W e start by considering the analyticity properties of the perturbed eigenvalues $e_{j}(z ;)$, $>0$. Let $0<0<$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}{ }^{0} \backslash(D[\bar{D})=; ; 8 r=1 ; \quad ; p: \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analytic eigenvalues $e_{j}(z ; 0), j 2 f 1$;
;ng, are isolated in the spectrum of $H(z ; 0)$ for any z $2 \mathbb{S}$,where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S n\left[r=1 ; \quad I_{10}{ }^{0}:\right. \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $j=1 ; \quad ; n$ we get from perturbation theory $\mathbb{K}]$, that the analytic continuations $e_{j}(z ;)$ of $e_{j}\left(t_{1} L ;\right)$ in $\mathscr{S}$ are alldistinct in $\mathscr{S}$, provided is small enough. This is due
to the fact that assum ption H 5 implies the continuly of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{z} ;)$ in uniform ly in z 2 S , as is easily veri ed. M ore precisely, for any xed index $j$, the eigenvalue $e_{j}\left(t_{;}\right)$experiences avoided crossings at the points $\mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}}$; $\quad r_{j}$ gt W e can assum e w ithout loss of generality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \backslash \mathrm{I}_{1}^{0}=; ; 8 \mathrm{k} \not 12 \mathrm{fr}_{1} ; \quad \text { jgr } \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $>0 \mathrm{sm}$ all enough, the analytic continuation $\mathrm{e}_{j}(\mathrm{z} ;)$ is isolated in the spectrum of $H(z ;)$, uniform ly in $z 2 S n\left[r=r_{1} ;{ }_{j}{ }^{F_{r}}{ }^{0}\right.$. Since by assum ption $H 5$ there is no crossing of eigenvalues on the real axis when $>0$, there exists a $0<g<0$, which depends on , such that $e_{j}(z ;)$ is isolated in the spectrum of $H(z ;)$, uniform ly in $z 2 S$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{j}=S n\left[r=r_{1} ; \quad j ;\left(J_{r}\left[\overline{J_{r}}\right)\right.\right. \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{r}=I_{r}{ }^{0} \backslash \text { fzjIm } z>g g ; \quad r=1 ; \quad ; p: \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence the singularities of $e_{j}(z ;)$ are located in $\left[r=r_{1} ;{ }_{j}\left(J_{r}\left[\overline{J_{r}}\right)\right.\right.$, which yields the rst assertion of the lem ma.

C onsider a path $r$ from $t_{r} \quad L$ to $t_{r}+L$ which goes above $J_{r}$, where $t_{r}$ is an avoided crossing betw een $e_{j}\left(t_{;}\right)$and e ( $t_{;}$) , $k=j$ 1. By pertunbation theory again, $e_{j}\left(t_{r} L ; ~\right)$ and $e_{k}\left(t_{r} L ; ~\right)$ tend to $e_{j}\left(t_{r} L ; 0\right)$ and $e_{k^{0}}\left(t_{r} L ; 0\right)$ as ! 0 , for some $f_{i} \mathrm{k}^{0} 21$; ; whereas $e_{j}\left(t_{r}+L ;\right)$ ande $\left(t_{x}+L ; ~\right)$ tend to e $0\left(t_{x}+L ; 0\right)$ and $e_{j} 0\left(t_{x}+L ; 0\right)$ as ! 0 , see gure 4. Now, the analytic continuations of $e_{j}\left(t_{r} L ; ~\right) ~ a n d e\left(t_{r} L ; ~\right) a l o n g r, e_{j}(z ;)$ and $e_{k}(z ;)$ tend to the analytic functions $e_{j 0}(z ; 0)=e_{j 0}(z ; 0)$ and $e_{k^{0}}(z ; 0)=e_{k^{0}}(z ; 0)$ as
! 0, for all z 2 r . Thus, we deduce that for sm allenough

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}\left(t_{x}+L ;\right) \quad e\left(t_{x}+L ;\right) ; \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we know that $e_{j}\left(t_{r}+L ;\right)=e_{(j)}\left(t_{r}+L ;\right)$, for som e perm utation.. Hence the point iii) of the lem m a follow s.
$N$ ote that the analytic continuations $e_{j}(z ;)$ are single valued in $\mathscr{E}$. Indeed, the analytic continuation of $e_{j}\left(t_{r} L ; ~\right)$ along $r$, denoted by $e_{j}(z ;), 8 z 2 \bar{r}_{r}$, is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}\left(t_{x}+L ;\right)=\overline{e_{j}\left(t_{x}+L ;\right)}=e_{j}\left(t_{x}+L ;\right)=e\left(t_{x}+L ;\right) ; \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to Schw arz's principle. W e further require to be su ciently sm all so that the follow ing estim ates are satis ed

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{\substack{f j k g 2 N \\
j<k}}^{\inf } \inf \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{j}(z ;) \quad e_{k}(z ;)\right) j>b>0 \tag{6.49}
\end{align*}
$$

and, in the com pacts $E_{r}=I_{r} n I_{r}{ }^{0}$,

T he sim ultaneous requirem ents (626) and 6.50) ism ade possible by the continuily properties of $H^{0}(z ;)$ and the uniform ity in of the decay at 1 of $H(z ;)$ assum ed in $H 4$ together w ith the fact that $a(t)$ can be replaced by a multiple of a ( $t$ ) if necessary, to satisfy both estim ates. The condition on is given by the rst inequalities in (6.51) and (6.52), whereas the second ones are just recalls.

Then it rem ains to check that the paths ${ }^{r}$; ${ }^{+}$and de ned above satisfy the dissipativity condition 6.17) for the corresponding indices. T his is not di cult, since the above estim ates are precisely designed to preserve inequalities such as 6.32), 6.34) and 6.35). H ow ever, it should not be forgotten that in the sets $I_{r}{ }^{0}$ the eigenvalues $m$ ay be singular so that 6.18) cannot be used there. So when checking that a path param eterized as above by $u 2 R$ is dissipative, it is necessary to consider separately the case $u 2 R n\left(\left[r=1 ; \quad I_{r d}\right)\right.$, where we proceed as above w th 6.48), 6.49), 6.50) and 6.18) and the case $u$ [ 2 r=1; $I_{p p}$ where we use use 6.51) and 6.52) instead of 6.18) as follows. If u $2 I_{r}$ for $r$ such that $t_{r}$ is a crossing point for $e_{j}(t ; 0)$ and $e_{k}(t ; 0)$, one takes 6.51) to estim ate $\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j 0}(z ;) \quad e_{k} 0(z ;)\right)$ for the corresponding indiges $j^{0}$ and $k^{0}$, and if $t_{r}$ is not a crossing point for $e_{j}(t ; 0)$ and $e_{k}(t ; 0)$, one uses 6.52) to estim ate $\operatorname{Im}\left(e_{j 0}(z ;) \quad e_{k} 0(z ;)\right)$. C onsequently, the dom ains $D$ and $\bar{D}$ de ned above keep the sam e dissipativity properties when $>0$ is sm all enough.

Let us nally tum to the determ ination of the associated perm utation . As notioed earlier, the eigenvalues $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{z} ;$ ) are continuous in , uniform ly in z $2 \mathscr{S}$. Hence, since the eigenvalues $e_{j}(z ; 0)$ are analytic in $S$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!} e_{j}(1 ;)=e_{g}(1 ; 0) \quad j=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; \mathrm{n}: \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hereas we have along the real axis (see gure 4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!} e_{(j)}(1 ;)=e_{j}(1 ; 0) ; \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

with de ned in the lem m a, from which the result follow s .

## 7 A pplications

Let us consider the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation in the adiabatic lim it. $T$ he relevant equation is then 2.1) where $H \quad(t)=H \quad(t)$ is the tim e-dependent self-adjoint ham iltonian. $T$ hus we can take $J=I$ in proposition 2.1 to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad(t)=H \quad(t)=H^{\#}(t): \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm of an eigenvector being positive, it rem ains to im pose the gap hypothesis in H 3 to $t$ in the fram ew ork and we deduce that the $S m$ atrix is unitarity, since $R=I$. In this context, the elem ents of the $S m$ atrix describe the transitions betw een the di erent levels betw een $t=1$ and $t=+1$ in the adiabatic $\lim$ it.
$W$ e now specify a liltle $m$ ore our concem and consider a three-level system, i.e. $H$ ( $t$ ) $=$ H (t) $2 \mathrm{M}_{3}$ (C).W e assum e that $H$ ( t ) satis es the hypotheses of corollary 6.1 w th an extra param eter which we om it in the notation and displays two avoided crossings at $t^{4}<t_{2}$, as show $n$ in gure 7. The corresponding perm utation is given by

$$
(1)=3 ; \quad(2)=1 ; \quad(3)=2:
$$

By corollary 6.1, we can com pute asym ptotically the elem ents $s_{31} ; s_{12} ; s_{23}$ and $s_{j j} \boldsymbol{j}=1 ; 2 ; 3$. $U$ sing the unitarity of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix, we can get som em ore inform ation. Introducing

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\operatorname{Im} \quad e_{j}(z) d z ; j=1 ; 2 \text {; } \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 7: The pattem of avoided crossings in the adiabatic context.
where $j$ is in the upper half plane, w ith the notation of section 因, it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{31}=0 \quad e^{\left.\left(1^{1+}\right)^{2}\right)="} ; s_{12}=0 \quad e^{1="} ; s_{23}=0 \quad e^{2="} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j j}=1+O(") ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3: \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the fact that the rst and second colum ns as well as the second and third row s are orthogonal, we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{21}=\overline{s_{12}} \frac{s_{11}}{s_{22}} 1+0 e^{2_{2}="}  \tag{7.6}\\
& s_{32}=\overline{s_{23}} \frac{s_{33}}{s_{22}} 1+0 e^{2_{1}="}: \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$F$ inally, the estim ate in corollary 6.1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.s_{13}=0 \quad \text { "e }{ }^{\text {thj }\left(e_{2}(1 ;)\right.} e_{1}(1 ;)\right)=" e^{2="}=0 e^{\left(2+2^{2+K}\right)="} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K>0$, since we have that $j_{j} 0$ as ! 0 . Hence we get

where all $s_{j k}$ above can be com puted asym ptotically up to exponentially sm all relative error, using 6.19).

The sm allest asym ptotically com putable elem ent $s_{13}$ describes the transition from $e_{1}(1$; ) to $e_{3}(+1 ;)$. The result we get for this elem ent is in agreem ent $w$ th the rule of the thum $b$ claim ing that the transitions take place locally at the avoided crossings and can be considered as independent. A ccordingly, we can only estim ate the sm allest elem ent of all, $\mathrm{s}_{13}$, which describes the transition from $e_{3}(1 ;)$ to $e(+1$; ), for which the avoided crossings are not encountered in "right order", as discussed in HP]. It is possible how ever to get an asym ptotic expression for this elem ent in som e cases. W hen the unperturbed levels $e_{2}(z ; 0)$ and $e_{3}(z ; 0)$ possess a degeneracy point in $S$ and when there exists a dissipative dom ain for
the index 3 of the unpertunbed eigenvalues going above this point, one can convince oneself that $s_{13}$ can be com puted asym ptotically for $s m$ allenough, using the techniques presented above.

O ur second application is the study of the sem i-classical scattering properties of the $m$ ultichannel stationnary Schrodinger equation $w$ ith energy above the potential barriers. $T$ he relevant equation is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
"^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \quad(t)+V(t) \quad(t)=E \quad(t) ; \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where t $2 R$ has the meaning of a space variable, ( t ) $2 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$ is the wave function, " ! 0 denotes P lanck's constant, $V(t)=V \quad(t) 2 M_{m}(C)$ is the $m$ atrix of potentials and the spectral param eter $E$ is kept xed and large enough so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(t) \quad E \quad V(t)>0: \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\quad i^{\prime \prime} \stackrel{(t)}{(t)} \quad 2 C^{2 m} \text {; } \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we cast equation (7.19) into the equivalent form 2.1) for ( $t$ ) w ith generator

$$
H(t)=\begin{array}{cc}
O & I  \tag{7.13}\\
U(t) & O
\end{array} \quad 2 M_{2 m}(C):
$$

It is readily veri ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad(t)=J \quad{ }^{1} H \quad \text { (t) } J \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
J=\begin{array}{cc}
O & I  \tag{7.15}\\
I & O
\end{array}
$$

C onceming the spectrum of $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t})$, it should be rem arked that if the real and positive eigenvalues of $U(t), k_{j}^{2}(t), j=1 ; \quad ; m$ associated $w$ ith the eigenvectors $(\mathbb{t}) 2 C^{m}$ are assum ed to be distinct, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t})<\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2}(\mathrm{t})<\quad \underset{\mathrm{m}}{2}(\mathrm{k}) ; \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the spectrum of the generator $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t}$ ) given by 7.13) consists in 2 m real distinct eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{t})<\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{1} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right)<\quad<{ }_{1} \text { (妙 }<\mathrm{k}_{1} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right)<\mathrm{k}_{2} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right)<\quad \text { ता ( } \mathrm{t}\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated w the the 2 m eigenvectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& j(t)=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{7.18}
\end{align*} \quad 2 \mathrm{C}^{2 \mathrm{~m} ;} ;
$$

W e check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(_{j}(0) ;{ }_{j}(0)\right)_{J}=2 k_{j}(0) k u_{j}(0) k \not 0 ; \quad j=1 ;::: ; m \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{ku}_{\mathrm{j}}(0) \mathrm{k}$ is com puted in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$, so that proposition 2.1 applies. Before dealing with its consequences, we further explicit the structure of S. Adopting the notation suggested by 7.17) and 7.18) we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(t)=\int_{j=1}^{x^{n}} k_{j}(t) P_{j}^{+}(t) \sum_{j=1}^{x^{n}} k_{j}(t) P_{j}(t)  \tag{7.20}\\
& (t)={ }_{j=1}^{X^{n}} C_{j}^{+}(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}^{+}(t) e^{R_{i}{ }_{0} k_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}="}+{ }_{j=1}^{X^{n}} C_{j}(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t) e^{i{ }^{R_{t}}{ }_{0} k_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}="} \tag{721}
\end{align*}
$$

and introduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{0} C_{1}(t)^{1} \tag{7.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have the block structure
where $S \quad 2 \mathrm{Mm}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{C})$, ; $2 \mathrm{f}+$; g .
Let us tum to the sym $m$ etry properties of.$W$ e get from 7.19) and proposition 2.1 that

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
S_{++} & S_{+} & 1  \tag{7.24}\\
S+ & I & O & S_{++} & S_{+} & I & O & S_{++} & S \\
S_{+} & & O & I & S_{+} & S & O & I & & S_{+} & S
\end{array}
$$

In term s of the blocks $S$, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{++} S_{++} S_{+} S_{+}=I  \tag{725}\\
& S_{++} S_{+} S_{+} S^{=}=0  \tag{726}\\
& S^{S} S_{+} S_{+}=I: \tag{7.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The block $S_{++}$describes the transm ission coe cients associated with a wave traveling from the right and $S+$ describes the associated re exion coe cients. Sim ilarly, $S$ and $S_{+}$are related to the transm ission and re exion coe cients associated w ith a wave incom ing from the left. It should be noted that in case of equation 7.10) another convention is often used to de ne an $S$ m atrix, see F1], for instance. This gives rise to a di erent $S$ m atrix with sim ilar interpretation. H ow ever it is not di cult to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the two de nitions. If the $m$ atrix of potentials $V(t)$ is real sym $m$ etric, we have further sym $m$ etry in the $S$ - $m$ atrix.

Lem m a 7.1 Let $S$ given by 7.23) be the $S-m$ atrix associated with 7.19) under condition 7.11). If we further assum $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})=\overline{\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{t})}$, then, taking ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) 2 R^{2 m}, j=1$; $\quad ; \mathrm{m}$, in (7.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{++}=\bar{S} ; S_{+}=\overline{S_{+}}: \tag{728}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding results for the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix de ned in F1] are derived in MN]. The proof of this lem m a can be found in appendix. $W$ e consider now 7.1d) the case $U(t)=U \quad(t)=$ $\bar{U}(t) 2 M_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, which describes a two-channel Schrodinger equation. $W$ e assum $e$ that the four-level generator $H$ ( $t$ ) displays three avoided crossings at $t_{1}<t_{2}$, tw o of which take place at the sam e point $t_{1}$, because of the sym $m$ etry of the eigenvalues, as in gure B. By lem ma

## F igure 8: The pattem of avoided crossings in the sem iclassical context.

7.1, it is enough to consider the blocks $S_{++}$and $S_{+}$. The transitions corresponding to elem ents of these blocks which we can com pute asym ptotically are from level $1^{+}$to level $2^{+}$ and from level2 to level1 ${ }^{+}$. They correspond to elem ents $\mathrm{s}_{21}^{++}$and $\mathrm{s}_{12}^{+}$respectively. W ith the notations

$$
j=\operatorname{Im}{ }_{j}^{z} k_{1}(z) d z ; \quad j=1 ; 2 \text {; }
$$

where $j$ is in the upper half plane, we have the estim ates

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{21}^{++}=O e^{1="} ; S_{12}^{+}=O e^{\left(1_{2}+2\right)="} ; S_{j j}^{++}=1+O(") ; \quad j=1 ; 2: \tag{7.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow $s$ from 726) and lem ma.7 that the $m$ atrix $S_{+} S_{+}^{T} \quad$ is sym $m$ etric. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{11}^{++} \mathrm{s}_{21}^{+}+\mathrm{s}_{12}^{++} \mathrm{s}_{22}^{+}=\mathrm{s}_{21}^{++} \mathrm{s}_{11}^{+}+\mathrm{s}_{22}^{++} \mathrm{s}_{12}^{+} ; \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas we get from 725)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{11}^{++} \overline{s_{21}^{++}}+s_{12}^{++} \overline{s_{22}^{++}}=s_{11}^{+} \overline{s_{21}^{+}}+s_{12}^{+} \overline{s_{22}^{+}}: \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only usefiul estim ate we get w ith corollary 6.1 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{22}^{+}=0 \quad e^{\left(1_{1}+2+K\right)="} ; K>0 ; \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields together with 7.39) in 7.31)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{21}^{+}=s_{21}^{++} s_{11}^{+}=s_{11}^{++}+O e^{\left(1^{+}{ }_{2}\right)="}: \tag{7.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from 7.32) and (5.40) for $\mathrm{s}_{11}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{12}^{++}=\overline{\mathrm{s}_{21}^{++}} \frac{\mathrm{s}_{11}^{++}}{\overline{\mathrm{s}_{22}^{++}}} 1+\mathrm{O} \mathrm{e}^{="} ; \tag{7.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \ll m \operatorname{in}(1 ; 2): \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sum $m$ arizing, we have

$$
\mathrm{S}_{++}=0 \begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \mathrm{~S}_{11}^{++} & \overline{\mathrm{S}_{21}^{++}} \stackrel{\mathrm{s}_{11}^{++}}{\mathrm{s}_{22}^{++}} & 1+\mathrm{O} & \mathrm{e}^{="}  \tag{7.37}\\
\mathrm{~S}_{21}^{++} & & \mathrm{S}_{22}^{++} & & \\
\mathrm{A} \\
& & &
\end{array}
$$

and
where all elem ents $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ can be asym ptotically com puted up to exponentially sm all relative corrections using 6.10). W e get no inform ation on the rst colum $n$ of $S_{+}$but the estim ate (5.40) where necessarily, 7.36) holds. H ow ever, if there exists one or several other dissipative dom ains for certain indices, it is then possible to get asym ptotic form ulas for the estim ated term s.

## A Proof of proposition 2.1

A direct consequence of the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=H^{\#} \quad(t)=J^{1} H \quad \text { (t) J } \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the relation $(H(t))=\overline{(H(t))}$. Thus, if (H(0)) R, then (H (t)) R, for all $t 2 R$, since the analytic eigenvalues are assum ed to be distinct and nondegenerate for all $t 2 \mathrm{R}$. Let $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}(0)$ be the eigenvalue associated w th ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)$. Then, due to the property $\mathrm{H}(0)=\mathrm{H}^{\text {\# }}(0)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0) ; H(0)_{k}(0)\right)_{J}=e_{k}(0)\left(r_{j}(0) i^{\prime}{ }_{k}(0)\right)_{J}=\overline{e_{j}(0)}\left(_{j}^{\prime}(0) i^{\prime}{ }_{k}(0)\right)_{J} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $j ; k=1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{n}$. For $j=k$ we get from the assum ption $\left.\left.\mathrm{n}_{j}(0)\right)_{j}{ }_{j}(0)\right)_{\mathrm{J}} \notin 0$ that $e_{j}(0) 2 R$ and from the fact that the eigenvalues of $H$ ( 0 ) are distinct

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{j}(0) i_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right)_{J}=0 ; j \notin k: \tag{A..}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting reality of $e_{j}(t)$ for all $t 2 R$ and $j=1 ; \quad$; $y$ ields together $w$ th $A$.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}(t)=J{ }^{1} P_{j}(t) J: \tag{A.A}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using the fact that the $P_{j}$ are projectors,

$$
\begin{align*}
K(t) & ={ }_{j=1}^{x^{n}} P_{j}^{0}(t) P_{j}(t)=X_{j=1}^{x^{n}}\left(J{ }^{1} P_{j}(t) J\right)^{0} J{ }^{1} P_{j}(t) J=J{ }_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{j}^{0}(t) P_{j}(t) J \\
& =J{ }_{j=1}^{1^{n}} P_{j}(t) P_{j}^{0}(t) J=J{ }^{1} K \quad(t) J: \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let ; $2 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and W (t) be de ned by (see 3.5))

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{0}(t)=K(t) W \quad(t) ; W(0)=I: \tag{A..6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& =h K(t) W(t) j J W(t) i+h W(t) j J K(t) W(t) i \\
& \left.=\mathrm{hW}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{J}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~K} \quad(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{J}+\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{t})\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i} \quad 0 \text { : } \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, in the inde nite $m$ etric, the scalar products of the eigenvectors of $H(t),{ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t)=$ W (t)' $\left.{ }_{j}(0)(\sec 3.7)\right)$, are constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t) i^{\prime}{ }_{k}(t)\right)_{J} \quad\left(r_{j}(0) i_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right)_{J}: \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can then norm alize the ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{j}^{j}(t) i_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{J}=\left(r_{j}(0) i_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right)_{J}=j k j \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with j 2 ft 1 ; 1g. Let $(\mathrm{t})$ and $(\mathrm{t})$ be two solutions of 2.1). By a argum ent sim ilar to the one above using (A.1), we deduce

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
(t) ; & (t))_{J} & (0) ; & (0))_{J}: \tag{A.10}
\end{array}
$$

Inserting the decom positions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (t) }=\underbrace{X^{n}}_{j=1} C_{j}(t) e^{i}{ }_{0}^{R_{t}} e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}={ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r}_{j}(t)  \tag{A.11}\\
& \text { (t) }=X_{j=1}^{X^{n}} d_{j}(t) e^{i_{t}^{R_{t}} e_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}=", r_{j}(t)}
\end{align*}
$$

in this last identity yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& j ; k=1 \quad j \\
& X_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \bar{d}_{j}(0){ }_{j} C_{j}(0)={ }_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \bar{d}_{j}(1){ }_{j} C_{j}(1): \tag{A.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the initial conditions for the coe cients

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}(1)=j k ; d_{j}(1)=j 1 \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

im ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j}(+1)=s_{j k} ; \quad d_{j}(+1)=s_{j 1} ; \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get from A.13), introducing the $m$ atrix $R=\operatorname{diag}\left({ }_{1} ; 2 ; \quad n \geqslant 2 M_{n}(C)\right.$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=S R S \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the assertion $S^{1}=R S R$.

## B Proof of lem m a 7.1

Let $G=G=G^{1}$ be gi̇ven in block structure by

$$
G=\begin{array}{lll}
I & O & 2 M_{2 m}(C)  \tag{B.1}\\
O & I & (C)
\end{array}
$$

and $H(t)$ be given by 7.13) w ith $U(t)=\overline{U(t)}=U \quad(t) . S$ ince

$$
\begin{equation*}
G H \quad(t) G=H(t) ; \quad \overline{H(t)}=H \quad(t) ; \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenvalues of $H$ ( t ) are real, it is readily veri ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G P_{j}(t) G=P_{j}(t) ; \quad \overline{P_{j}(t)}=P_{j}(t) ; j=1 ; \quad ; m: \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t)=\underbrace{X_{j}^{m}}_{\substack{j=1 \\=}} P_{j}^{0}(t) P_{j}(t)=\overline{K(t)}=G K \quad(t) G ; \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which follow s that the solution $W$ ( $t$ ) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{0}(t)=K \quad(t) W \quad(t) ; \quad W \quad(0)=I \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad(t)=\overline{W \quad(t)}=G W \quad \text { ( } t) G: \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s$ the $m$ atrix of potentials $U(0)$ is realsym $m$ etric, its eigenvectors $u_{j}(0) m$ ay be chosen real, so that we can assum e that

$$
r_{j}(0)=\quad \begin{gather*}
u_{j}(0)  \tag{B.7}\\
k_{j}(0) u_{j}(0)
\end{gather*} \quad 2 R^{2 m}:
$$

Thus it follow sfrom the foregoing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{j}(t)=W \quad(t)_{j}^{\prime}(0) 2 R^{2 m} \quad 8 t 2 R \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t)=G W \quad(t) G G^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)=W \quad(t) G^{\prime}{ }_{j}(0)=\prime_{j}(t): \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, the $m$ ain consequence of $B$ ) is that if $(t)$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{\prime \prime}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{H} \quad \text { (t) } \quad(\mathrm{t}) ; \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then ' $(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G} \overline{(\mathrm{t})}$ is another solution, as easily veri ed. T hus we can w rite w ith (721), (8.8) and B. ) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ' }(t)=x^{x^{m}} d_{j}^{+}(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}^{+}(t) e^{R_{i}{ }_{0} k_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}="}+{ }^{x^{m}} d_{j}(t)^{\prime}{ }_{j}(t) e^{i}{ }_{0}^{R_{t}} k_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}=" \\
& \text { x }_{j=1}^{m=1} \quad R_{t} \quad x^{m=1} \quad R_{t} \\
& =X_{j=1}^{X^{m}} \overline{C_{j}^{+}(t)},{ }_{j}(t) e^{R_{t}^{R_{t}} k_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}="}+X_{j=1}^{X_{j}^{m}} \overline{C_{j}(t)}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}^{+}(t) e^{{ }_{i}^{R_{t}}{ }_{0} k_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}=" ; ~} \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{j}^{+}(t)=\overline{c_{j}(t)} \\
& d_{j}(t)=\overline{c_{j}^{+}(t)} ; \quad 8 j=1 ; \quad ; m ; 8 t 2 R: \tag{B.12}
\end{align*}
$$

$F$ inally, using the de nition (723) and the above property for $t=1$, we get for any d (1) $2 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
d^{+}(+1)  \tag{B.13}\\
d(+1)
\end{array}=\begin{array}{l}
S_{++} \\
S_{+} \\
S_{+}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
d^{+}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array}\right) \\
d(1)
\end{array} \quad \overline{S_{+}} \overline{S_{+}} d^{+}(1)\right) ;
$$

from which the result follow s.
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