Exponential Asymptotics in a Singular Limit for n-Level Scattering Systems

A lain Joye
C entre de Physique Theorique
C N R S.M arseille
Lum iny Case 907
F-13288 M arseille Cedex 9
and
Phym at, Universite de Toulon et du Var
B P.132
83957 La Garde Cedex

June 28, 1995

A bstract

The singular lim it "! 0 of the S-m atrix associated with the equation i"d (t)=dt = H (t) (t) is considered, where the analytic generator H (t) 2 M $_{\rm n}$ (C) is such that its spectrum is real and non-degenerate for all t 2 R . Su cient conditions allowing to compute asymptotic form ulas for the exponentially small o -diagonal elements of the S-m atrix as "! 0 are explicited and a wide class of generators for which these conditions are verified is dened. These generators are obtained by means of generators whose spectrum exhibits eigenvalue crossings which are perturbed in such a way that these crossings turn to avoided crossings. The exponentially small asymptotic formulas which are derived are shown to be valid up to exponentially small relative error, by means of a joint application of the complex W KB method together with superasymptotic renormalization. The application of these results to the study of quantum adiabatic transitions in the time dependent Schrodinger equation and of the semiclassical scattering properties of the multichannel stationary Schrodinger equation closes this paper. The results presented here are a generalization to n-level systems, n 2, of results previously known for 2-level systems only.

CPT-95/P.3216 em ail: pye@cpt.univ-m rs.fr

1 Introduction

Several problems of mathematical physics lead to the study of the scattering properties of linear ordinary dierential equations in a singular limit

$$i^{"}(t) = H(t)(t); t2R; "!0;$$
 (1.1)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to t, $\,$ (t) 2 C $^{\rm n}$, H (t) 2 M $_{\rm n}$ (C), for all t. A system described by such an equation will be called a n-level system. Let us mention for example the study of the adiabatic limit of the time dependent Schrödinger equation or the semiclassical limit of the one-dimensional multichannel stationary Schrödinger equation at energies above the potential barriers, to which we will come back below. When the generator H (t) is well behaved at +1 and 1, the scattering properties of the problem can be described by means of a matrix naturally associated with equation (1.1), the so-called S-matrix. This matrix relates the behavior of the solution (t) as t! 1 to that of (t) as t! +1. Assuming that the spectrum (t) of H (t) is real and non-degenerate,

$$(t) = fe_1(t) < e_2(t) < f(t) = fe_1(t) < f(t) < f(t) = fe_1(t) < f(t) < f(t)$$

the S-m atrix is essentially given by the identity matrix

$$S = diag(s_{11}("); s_{22}("); nn(")) + O("^1); where s_{ij}(") = 1 + O(") as "! 0; (1.3)$$

provided H (t) is C 1 , see e.g. F1], F2], W]. M oreover, if H (t) is assumed to be analytic, it was proven in various situations that the o -diagonal elements s_{jk} of S are exponentially decreasing FF], W], F1], F2], [JKP], [JP4]

$$s_{jk} = 0 e^{-m}; 8j + k;$$
 (1.4)

as "! 0. See also [JP1], [N], [N], [Sj] for corresponding results in in nite dimensional spaces. Since the physical information is often contained in these o-diagonal elements, it is of interest to be able to give an asymptotic formula for s_{jk} , rather then a mere estimate.

For 2-level systems (or systems reducible to this case, see [JP 2], [J], M N]), the situation is now reasonably well understood, at least under generic circum stances. Indeed, a rigorous study of the S-m atrix associated with (1.1) when n=2, under the hypotheses loosely stated above, is provided in the recent paper [JP 4]. The treatment presented unities in particular earlier results obtained either for the time dependent adiabatic schrodinger equation, see e.g. [JP 3] and references therein, or for the study of the above barrier recexion in the semiclassical limit, see e.g. [FF], [0]. Further references are provided in [JP 4]. As an intermediate result, the asymptotic formula

$$s_{jk} = g_{jk}e^{-jk} = (1 + O(")); "! 0;$$
 (1.5)

for $j \in k \ 2$ f1;2g, with $g_{jk} \ 2$ C and Re $_{jk} > 0$ is proven in [JP 4]. As is well known, to get an asymptotic formula for s_{jk} , one has to consider (1.1) in the complex plane, in particular in the vicinity of the degeneracy points of the analytic continuations of eigenvalues e_1 (z) and e_2 (z). Provided the level lines of the multivalued function

Im
$$\int_{0}^{Z} e_{1}(z^{0}) e_{2}(z^{0})dz^{0} = cst;$$
 (1.6)

called Stokes lines, naturally associated with (1.1) behave properly in the complex plane, the so-called complex W KB method allows to prove (1.5). But more important, it is also shown

in [JP 4] how to improve (1.5) to an asymptotic formula accurate up to exponentially small relative error

$$s_{jk} = g_{jk} (")e^{-jk} (")=" 1+0 e^{-im} ; "! 0;$$
 (1.7)

with g_{jk} (") = g_{jk} + 0 (") and g_{jk} (") = g_{jk} + 0 ("²). This is achieved by using a complex WKB analysis jointly with the recently developed superasymptotic theory [Be], N], [JP2]. Note that when given a generator, the principal diculty in justifying formulas (1.5) and (1.7) is the veri cation that the corresponding Stokes lines (1.6) display the proper behavior globally in the complex plane, which may or may not be the case [JKP]. However, this condition is always satisted when the complex eigenvalue degeneracy is close to the real axis, as shown in [J]. See also [MN] and [R] for recent related results.

For n-level system s, with n 3, the situation is by no means as well understood. There are some results obtained with particular generators. In [D], [CH1], [CH2] and [BE], certain elem ents of the S-m atrix are computed if H (t) = H (t) depends linearly on t, H (t) = A + tB, for som e particular matrices A and B. The choices of A and B are such that all components (t) can be deduced from the rst one and an exact integral representation of the solution of this rst component can be obtained. The integral representation is analyzed by standard asymptotic techniques and this leads to results which are valid for any " > 0, as for the classical Landau-Zener generator. The study of the three-level problem when H (t) = H (t) 2 M $_3$ (R) is tackled in the closing section of the very interesting paper [$_{
m H}$ P]. A non rigorous and essentially local discussion of the behavior of the level lines Im ${}^{\kappa_z}_0 e_j(z^0) = e_k(z^0) dz^0$, $j \in k = 1; 2; 3$, is provided and justi es in very favorable cases an asymptotic formula for some elements of the S-matrix. See also the review [So], where a non rigorous study of (1.1) is made close to a complex degeneracy point of a group of eigenvalues by means of an exact solution to a model equation. However, no asymptotic formula for s_{ik} , $j \in k$, can be found in the literature for general n-level system s, n 3. This is due to the fact that the direct generalization of the method used successfully for 2-level systems may lead to seem ingly inextricable di culties for n = 3 already. Indeed, with three eigenvalues, one has to consider three sets of level lines $\text{Im} \quad {R_z \atop 0} e_j(z^0) \quad e_k(z^0) dz^0$ to deal with (1.1) in the complex plane, and the conditions they have to ful 11 in order that the lim it "! 0 can be controlled m ay be incompatible for a given generator, see [1], [2] and [HP]. It should be mentioned however, that there are specic examples in which this dicult problem can be mastered. Such a result was recently obtained in the sem iclassical study [Ba] of a particular problem of resonances for which similar considerations in the complex plane are required.

The goal of this paper is to provide som e general insight on the asymptotic computation of the S-m atrix associated with n-level systems, n 3, based on a generalization of the techniques which proved to be successful for 2-level systems. The content of this paper is twofold. On the one hand we set up a general fram ework in which asymptotic form ulas for the exponentially smallo-diagonal coe cients can be proven. On the other hand we actually prove such form ulas for a wide class of n-level system s. In the rst part of the paper, we give our de nition of the S-m atrix associated with equation (1.1) and explicit the symmetries it inherits from the symmetries of H (t), for t 2 R (proposition 2.1). Then we turn to the determ ination of the analyticity properties of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H (z), z 2 C, which are at the root of the asymptotic formulas we derive later (lemma 3.1). The next step is the formulation of su cient conditions adapted to the scattering situation we consider, under which a complex WKB analysis allows to prove a formula like (1.5) (proposition 4.1). The conditions stated are similar but not identical to those given in [JKP] or [HP]. As a nal step, we show how to improve the asymptotic formula (1.5) to (1.7) by means of the superasymptotic machinery (proposition 52 and lemma 52). Then we turn to the second

part of the paper, where we show that a wide class of generators to into our fram ework and satis es our conditions. These generators are obtained by perturbation of generators whose eigenvalues display degeneracies on the real axis, in the spirit of [J]. We prove that for these generators, in absence of any symmetry of the generator H (t), one element per column at least in the S-matrix can be asymptotically computed (theorem 6.1). This is the main technical section of the paper. The major advantage of this construction is that it is su cient to look at the behavior of the eigenvalues on the real axis to check if the conditions are satistical. The closing section contains an application of our general results to the study of quantum adiabatic transitions in the time dependent Schrodinger equation and of the semiclassical scattering properties of the multichannel stationary Schrodinger equation. In particular, explicit use of the symmetries of the S-matrix is made to increase the number of its elements for which an asymptotic formula holds. In the latter application, further specic symmetry properties of the S-matrix are derived (lemma 7.1).

A cknow ledgm ents: It is a great pleasure to thank Charles-Edouard P ster for many enlightening and fruitful discussions which took place in M arseille and Lausanne. The hospitality of the Institut de Physique Theorique de l'EPFL where part of this work was accomplished is acknowledged.

2 De nition and properties of the S-m atrix.

W e consider the evolution equation

$$i^{"}$$
 $(t) = H (t) (t); t 2 R; "! 0; (2.1)$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to t, $\,$ (t) 2 C $^{\rm n}$, H (t) 2 M $_{\rm n}$ (C), for all t. We make some assumptions on the generator H (t). The rst one is the usual analyticity condition in this context.

H 1There exists a strip

$$S = fz 2 C j g; > 0;$$
 (2.2)

such that H(z) is analytic for all $z \geq S$.

Since we are studying scattering properties, we need su cient decay at in nity.

H 2 There exist two nondegenerate matrices H (+); H () 2 M $_{\rm n}$ (C) and a > 0 such that

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \int_{j}^{1+a} \sup_{j \in j} kH (t+is) H (j) k < 1 :$$
 (2.3)

We nally give a condition which has to do with the physics behind the problem.

H 3 For t 2 R, the spectrum of H (t), denoted by (t), is real and non-degenerate

$$(t) = fe_1(t) < e_2(t) < f(t) = fe_1(t) < f(t) < f(t) = fe_1(t) < f(t) <$$

and there exists g > 0 such that

$$\inf_{\substack{j \in k \\ t \ge R}} j e_j(t) = e_k(t) j \quad g: \tag{2.5}$$

As a consequence of H 3, for each t 2 R , there exists a complete set of projectors $P_j(t) = P_j^2(t) 2 M_n(C)$, j = 1;2; ;n such that

$$X^n$$
 $P_j(t)$ I
 $j=1$
(2.6)

H (t) =
$$\sum_{j=1}^{X^n} e_j(t) P_j(t)$$
 (2.7)

and there exists a basis of C^n of eigenvectors of H (t). We determ in these eigenvectors $'_j$ (t), j = 1;2;; nuniquely (up to a constant) by requiring them to satisfy

$$H(t)'_{j}(t) = e_{j}(t)'_{j}(t)$$
 (2.8)

$$P_{j}(t)'_{j}^{0}(t) = 1;2;$$
 ;n: (2.9)

Explicitly, if j(t), j = 1;2; ; n form a complete set of dierentiable eigenvectors of H (t), the eigenvectors

$$'_{j}(t) = e^{R_{t} \atop 0 j(t^{0})dt^{0}} _{j}(t); \quad s.t. '_{j}(0) = _{j}(0)$$
 (2.10)

with

$$_{j}(t) = \frac{h_{j}(t) \mathcal{P}_{j}(t) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{j}(t) \dot{\mathcal{P}}_{j}(t) \dot{\mathbf{i}}}{k_{j}(t) k^{2}}; \quad j = 1; \qquad ; n$$
 (2.11)

verify (2.9). That this choice leads to an analytic set of eigenvectors close to the real axis will be proven below. We expand the solution (t) along the basis just constructed, thus de ning unknown coe cients $c_i(t)$, j=1;2;; in to be determined,

(t) =
$$X^{n}$$
 c_{j} (t) $e^{\int_{0}^{R_{t}} e_{j} (t^{0}) dt^{0} = \mathbf{I}} c_{j}$ (t): (2.12)

The phases $e^{\int_0^R e_j(t^0)dt^0}$ (see H 3) are introduced for convenience. By inserting (2.12) in (2.1) we get the following differential equation for the c_j (t)'s

$$c_{j}^{0}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{n}} a_{jk}(t)e^{i_{jk}(t)} c_{k}(t)$$
(2.13)

w here

$$_{jk}(t) = \int_{0}^{Z} (e_j(t^0) - e_k(t^0))dt^0$$
 (2.14)

and

$$a_{jk}(t) = \frac{h'_{j}(t) \mathcal{P}_{j}(t)'_{k}^{0}(t)i}{k'_{j}(t)k^{2}}; \qquad (2.15)$$

Here h j i denotes the usual scalar product in ${}^{n}C$. Our choice (2.9) implies a_{jj} (t) 0. It is also shown below that the a_{jk} (t)'s are analytic functions in a neighborhood of the real axis and that hypothesis H 2 imply that they satisfy the estimate

$$\lim_{t = 1} \sup_{j \in k} \lim_{t \to j} \frac{1}{j} a_{jk}(t) j < 1 :$$
 (2.16)

As a consequence of this last property and of the fact that the eigenvalues are real by assumption, the following limits exist

$$\lim_{t \to 1} c_j(t) = c_j(1): \tag{2.17}$$

W e are now able to de ne the associated S-m atrix, S 2 M $_{\rm n}$ (C), by the identity

Such a relation makes sense because of the linearity of the equation (2.13). It is a well-known result that under our general hypotheses, the S-m atrix satis es

$$S = I + O$$
 ("): (2.19)

Note that the jth column of the S-matrix is given by the solution of (2.13) at t = 1 subjected to the initial conditions $q_k(1) = q_k$, k = 1; 2; ; n.

In general, the S-m atrix de ned above has no particular properties besides that of being invertible. However, when the generator H (t) satisfies some symmetry properties, the same is true for S. As such properties are important in applications, we show below that if H (t) is self-adjoint with respect to some indenite scalar product, then S is unitary with respect to another indenite scalar product. Let J 2 M $_{\rm n}$ (C) be an invertible self-adjoint matrix. We denote an indenite metric on C $^{\rm n}$ by means of the indenite scalar product

$$(;_{J}) = h \quad \forall J \quad i:$$
 (2.20)

It is easy to check that the adjoint $A^{\#}$ of a matrix A with respect to the (; $_{\rm J}$) scalar product is given by

$$A^{\#} = J^{-1}A J$$
: (2.21)

Proposition 2.1 Let H (t) satisfy H1, H2 and possess n distinct eigenvalues 8t2 R. Further assume H (t) is self-adjoint with respect to the scalar product ($;_{I}$)

$$H(t) = H^{\#}(t) = J^{-1}H(t)J; 8t2R;$$
 (2.22)

and the eigenvectors $'_{i}$ (0) of H (0) satisfy

$$('_{\dot{1}}(0);'_{\dot{1}}(0))_{J} = _{\dot{1}}; _{\dot{1}} 2 f 1;1g; 8j = 1; ;n:$$
 (2.23)

Then the eigenvalues of H (t) are real 8t 2 R and the S-m atrix is unitary with respect to the scalar product (;_R) where $R = R = R^{-1}$ is the real diagonal matrix $R = \text{diag}(_1;_2;_n)$,

$$S^{\#} = RS R = S^{-1}$$
: (2.24)

Remark:

The condition $('_{j}(0);'_{j}(0))_{J} = 1$ can always be satisfied by suitable renormalization provided $('_{j}(0);'_{j}(0))_{J} \in 0$.

The main interest of this proposition is that when the S-matrix possesses symmetries, some of its elements can be deduced from resulting identities, without resorting to their actual computations.

A simple proof of the proposition making use of notions discussed in the next section can be found in appendix. The above proposition can actually be used for the two main applications we deal with in section 7. Note that in special cases, further symmetry property can be derived for the S-matrix, see section 7.

3 A nalyticity properties

The generator H (z) is analytic in S , hence the solution of the linear equation (2.1) (z) is analytic in S as well. However, the eigenvalues and eigenprojectors of H (z) m ay have singularities in S . Let us recall some basic properties, the proofs of which can be found in [K]. The eigenvalues and eigenprojectors of a matrix analytic in a region of the complex

Figure 1: The paths , and $_0$ in S n .

plane have analytic continuations in that region with possible singularities located at points z_0 called exceptional points. In a neighborhood free of exceptional points, the eigenvalues are given by branches of analytic functions and their multiplicities are constant. One eigenvalue can therefore be analytically continued until it coincides at z_0 with one or several other eigenvalues. The set of such points de nest he set of exceptional points. The eigenvalues may possess branching points at an exceptional z_0 , where they are continuous, whereas the eigenprojectors are also multivalued but diverge as z! z_0 . Hence, by hypothesis H 3, then distinct eigenvalues e_j (t) dened on the real axis are analytic on the real axis and possess multivalued analytic continuations in S, with possible branching points at the set of degeneracies, given by

=
$$fz_0 je_i(z_0) = e_k(z_0)$$
; for som e k; j and som e analytic continuationg: (3.1)

By assumption H2, is nite, by H3, R = 1, and R = 1, due to Schwarz's principle. Sim ilarly, the eigenprojectors P_i (t) dened on the real axis are analytic on the real axis and possess multivalued analytic continuations in S , with possible singularities at . To see m ore precisely what happens to these multivalued functions when we turn around a point z_0 2 , we consider the construction described in gure 1. Let f be a multivalued analytic function in S n . We denote by f(z) the analytic continuation of f(0) along some path 2 S n from 0 to z. Then we perform the analytic continuation of f (z) along a negatively based at z around a unique point z₀ 2 , and denote by f²(z) the function oriented loop we get when we can e back at the starting point (if is positively oriented, the construction is sim ilar). For later purposes, we de ne $_0$ as the negatively oriented loop hom otopic to the loop based at the origin encircling z_0 obtained by following from 0 to z, in the reverse sense from z back to the origin. We'll keep this notation in the rest of this section. It follows from the foregoing that if we perform the analytic continuation of the set of eigenvalues fe $_{j}(z)g_{j=1}^{n}$, along a negatively oriented loop around z_{0} 2 , we get the set $fe_j(z)g_{j=1}^n$ with

$$e_{j}(z) = e_{0,(j)}(z); j = 1;$$
 ;n; (3.2)

w here

is a permutation which depends on $_{0}$. Sim ilarly, and with the same notations, we get for the analytic continuations of the projectors around z_{0}

$$\mathbb{P}_{j}^{c}(z) = P_{0,(j)}(z); \quad j = 1; \quad ;n:$$
(3.4)

Let us consider now the eigenvectors $^{\prime}$ $_{\rm j}$ (t). We de neW (t) as the solution of

$$W^{0}(t) = \begin{cases} X^{n} \\ P_{j}^{0}(t)P_{j}(t)W(t) \\ j=1 \end{cases}$$

$$K(t)W(t); W(0) = I;$$
(3.5)

where t 2 R . It is well known [K], [Kr], that W (t) satisfes the intertwining identity

$$W(t)P_{j}(0) = P_{j}(t)W(t); j = 1;2;$$
 ;n; 8t2 R; (3.6)

so that, if $f'_{j}(0)g_{j=1}^{n}$ denotes a set of eigenvectors of H (0), the vectors de ned by

$$'_{\dot{1}}(t) = W_{\dot{1}}(0)$$
 (3.7)

are eigenvectors of H (t). M oreover, using the identity Q (t)Q 0 (t)Q (t) 0 which is true for any di erentiable projector, it is easily checked that condition (2.9) is satis ed by these vectors. The generator K (t) is analytic on the real axis and can be analytically continued in S n . A ctually, K (z) is single valued in S n . Indeed, let us consider the analytic continuation of K (z) around z_0 2 . We get from (3.4) that

$$\mathcal{P}_{i}^{0}(z) = \mathcal{P}_{0}^{0}(z);$$
 (3.8)

so that

$$\stackrel{f}{\mathbb{R}}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \mathbb{P}_{j}^{0}(z) \mathbb{P}_{j}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \mathbb{P}_{0(j)}^{0}(z) \mathbb{P}_{0(j)}(z)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{X^{n}} \mathbb{P}_{k}^{0}(z) \mathbb{P}_{k}(z) = \mathbb{K}(z) : \tag{3.9}$$

Consequently, W (t) can be analytically continued in S n , where it is multivalued and satisfies both (3.5) and (3.6) with z 2 S n in place of t 2 R . Moreover, the relation between the analytic continuation W (z) from 0 to some point z 2 S n and the analytic continuation $\hat{\mathbb{W}}$ (z) is given by a monodrom y matrix W (0) such that

where $_0$ is the negatively oriented loop based at the origin which encircles z_0 2 only, (see gure 1). Note also that the analytic continuation W (z) is invertible in S n and W 1 (z) satisfies

$$W^{-10}(z) = W^{-1}(z)K(z); W^{-1}(0) = I;$$
 (3.11)

As a consequence, the eigenvectors (3.7) possess multivalued analytic extensions in S $\,$ n . Consider the relation

$$H(z)'_{\dot{1}}(z) = e_{\dot{1}}(z)'_{\dot{1}}(z);$$
 (3.12)

obtained by analytic continuation from 0 to some point z 2 S n . When analytically continued along a negatively oriented loop around z_0 2 , it yields

$$\mathbb{P}(z) e_{i}(z) = H(z) e_{i}(z) = e_{i}(z) e_{i}(z) = e_{0}(i)(z) e_{i}(z) :$$
(3.13)

Thus $e_j(z)$ is proportional to $'_{0(j)}(z)$ and we introduce the quantity $_{j}(0)$ 2 C by the denition

$$e_{j}(z) = e^{i_{j}(0)}, \quad j = 1;2;$$
 ;n: (3.14)

This is equivalent to (see (3.10))

$$W (_{0})'e_{j}(0) = e^{i_{j}(_{0})'}, _{_{0}(j)}(0):$$
 (3.15)

Let us consider the couplings (2.15). Using the denition (3.7), the invertibility of W (t) and the identity (3.6), it's not discult to see that we can rewrite

$$a_{jk}(t) = \frac{h'_{j}(0) p_{j}(0) W(t)^{-1} K(t) W(t)'_{k}(0) i}{k'_{j}(0) k^{2}}; t2 R;$$
 (3.16)

which is analytic on the real axis and can be analytically continued in S n , where it is multivalued. Thus, the same is true for the coe cients $c_j(t)$ which satisfy the linear di erential equation (2.13) and their analytic continuations satisfy the same equation with z 2 S n in place of t 2 R . We now come to the main identity of this section, regarding the coe cients $c_j(z)$. Let us denote by $c_j(z)$ the analytic continuation of $c_j(0)$ from 0 to some z 2 S n . We perform the analytic continuation of $c_j(z)$ along a negatively oriented loop around z_0 2 and denote by $e_j(z)$ the function we get when we come back at the starting point z.

Lem m a 3.1 For any j = 1; ;n, we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{j}(z)e^{i \cdot 0} e^{j \cdot (u)du = u} e^{i \cdot j \cdot (0)} = c_{0 \cdot (j)}(z)$$
(3.17)

where 0, $\frac{1}{1}(0)$ and 0 (j) are de ned as above.

Proof:

It follows from hypothesis H 1 that (z) is analytic in S so that

2

W e conclude by the fact that $f'_{j}(z)g_{j=1}^{n}$ is a basis.

Remark:

It is straightforward to generalize the study of the analytic continuations around one singular point of the functions given above to the case where the analytic continuations are performed around several singular points, since is nite. The loop $_0$ can be rewritten as a nite succession of individual loops encircling one point of only, so that the permutation $_0$ is given by the composition of a nite number of individual permutations. Thus the factors $e^{i_j(0)}$ in (3.14) should be replaced by a product of such factors, each associated with one individual loop and the same is true for the factors $\exp(i_0 e_j(z)dz = 0)$ in lemma 3.1. This process is performed in the proof of theorem 6.1.

4 Complex W KB analysis

This section is devoted to basic estimates on the coe cients $c_j(z)$ in certain domains extending to in nity in both the positive and negative directions inside the strip S. We rst consider what happens in neighborhoods of 1. It follows from assumption H 1 by a direct application of the C auchy formula that

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \sup_{s \to 1} t^{1+a} k H^{0}(t+is)k < 1 :$$
 (4.1)

Figure 2: The path of integration for $e_{ik}(z)$ (the x's denote points of).

Hence the same is true for the single valued matrix K (z)

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \sup_{i \in I} j^{1+a} kK (t+is)k < 1 :$$
 (4.2)

Let 0 < T 2 R be such that

$$\min_{z} Rez > T$$
; and $\max_{z} Rez < +T$: (4.3)

All quantities encountered so far are analytic in $S \setminus fzjRezj > Tg$, and we denote by a "e" any analytic continuation in that set. As noticed earlier

so that it follows from (4.2) that the lim its

$$\lim_{t \to 1} \vec{w} (t + is) = \vec{w} (1)$$
 (4.5)

exist uniform ly in s 2]; [. Consequently, see (3.16),

$$\lim_{\substack{t = 1 \\ j \neq j}} \frac{1}{j} + a \sup_{j \neq j} \frac{1}{j} + a \sup_{j \neq j}$$

Finally, for tj> T, we can write

where this equation is obtained by deforming the path of integration from 0 to z=t+ is into a loop—based at the origin, which may encircle points of , followed by the real axis from 0 to Re z and a vertical path from Re z to z, see gure 2. Hence we have

$$\sup_{z2S \fzjRez \Rightarrow Tg} Im^{e}_{jk}(z) < 1;$$
 (4.8)

which, together with (4.6) yields the existence of the lim its

$$\lim_{t \to 1} e_j(t + is) = e_j(1)$$
 (4.9)

uniform ly in s 2]; [. We now de ne the domains in which useful estimates can be obtained.

Figure 3: The path along which the analytic continuation of $_{jk}$ (t) in D $_{j}$ is taken.

De nition: Let j 2 f1; ; ng be xed. A dissipative domain for the index j, DS n, is such that

$$\sup_{z \ge D_{j}} \text{Re } z = 1 ; \quad \inf_{z \ge D_{j}} \text{Re } z = 1 ;$$
 (4.10)

and is de ned by the property that for any z 2 D_j and any k 2 f1; ;ng, there exists a path k D $_j$ param eterized by u 2] 1;t] which links 1 to z

$$\lim_{u \to 1} Re^{-k}(u) = 1$$
; $k(t) = z$ (4.11)

with

$$\sup_{z \ge D_j \le 2} \sup_{t \ge 1, t \le 1} \frac{d}{du} k(u) < 1$$
 (4.12)

and satis es the monotonicity condition

Im
$$e_{ik}(k(u))$$
 is a non decreasing function of $u = 2$ 1; t]: (4.13)

Such a path is a dissipative path for fjkg. Here e_{jk} (z) is the analytic continuation of

$$_{jk}(t) = \int_{0}^{Z_{t}} (e_{j}(t^{0}) - e_{k}(t^{0}))dt^{0}; \quad t \ge R;$$
 (4.14)

in D $_{\rm j}$ along a path described in gure 3 going from 0 to T 2 R along the real axis and then vertically up or down until it reaches D $_{\rm j}$, where T > 0 is chosen as in (4.3). Remark:

The niteness of insures the existence of such a path

Let $e_k(z)$, k = 1;2;; $n, z 2_j$, the the analytic continuations of $c_k(t)$ along the same path which are solutions of the analytic continuation of (2.13) in D_j along

$$\mathbf{e}_{k}^{0}(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{X^{n}} \mathbf{e}_{kl}(z) e^{i\mathbf{e}_{kl}(z) = \mathbf{e}_{l}(z)}$$
(4.15)

W e take as initial conditions in D $_{\dot{1}}$

$$\lim_{R \in \mathbb{Z}!} e_k(z) = \lim_{t = 1} c_k(t) = j_k; \quad k = 1; \quad ;n:$$
 (4.16)

and we de ne

$$x_k(z) = e_k(z)e^{ie_{jk}(z)="}; z 2 D_j; k = 1;$$
 ;n: (4.17)

Lem m a 4.1 In a dissipative domain for the index j we get the estimates

$$\sup_{z \ge D_{+}} \dot{x}_{j}(z) \quad 1j = 0 \text{ (")}$$

$$\sup_{z \ge D_{j}} j_{k}(z) j = O("); 8k \notin j:$$
 (4.19)

Remark:

The real axis is a dissipative domain for all indices. In this case we have e_j (t) c_j (t). Hence we get from the application of the lemma for all indices successively that

$$S = I + O$$
 ("): (4.20)

The estimates we are looking for are then just a direct corollary.

P roposition 4.1 Assume there exists a dissipative domain D $_j$ for the index j. Let $_j$ be a loop based at the origin which encircles all the degeneracies between the real axis and D $_j$ and let $_j$ be the permutation of labels associated with $_j$, in the spirit of the remark ending the previous section. The loop $_j$ is negatively, respectively positively, oriented if D $_j$ is above, respectively below, the real axis. Then the solution of (2.13) subjected to the initial conditions c_k (1) = $_{jk}$ satis es

$$c_{j(j)}(+1) = e^{i_{j(j)}} e^{i_{j(j)}} e^{i_{j(z)}dz = r} (1 + O(r))$$
 (4.21)

$$R = \sum_{j \in (k)} (k+1) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad R = \sum_{j \in (k)} (k+1) = \sum_{j \in (k)} (k+1) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (4.22)$$

with h_j 2 [H $_j$; H $_j^+$], where H $_j$ is the maximum, respectively m in im um, imaginary part of the points at +1 in D $_j$

$$H^{+} = \lim_{t! + 1} \sup_{s_{j}^{+} \text{ is } 2D_{j}} s_{j}^{*} H = \lim_{t! + 1} \inf_{s_{j}^{+} \text{ is } 2D_{j}} s_{j}^{*}$$
 (4.23)

Thus we see that it is possible to get the (exponentially small) asymptotic behavior of the element $s_{j(j);j}$ of the S-matrix, provided there exists a dissipative domain for the index j. The discult part of the problem is of course to prove the existence of such domains D $_j$, which do not necessarily exist, and to have enough of them to compute the asymptotic of the whole S-matrix. This task is the equivalent for n-level systems to the study of the global behavior of the Stokes lines for 2-level systems. We postpone this aspect of the problem to the next section. Note that we also get from this result an exponential bound on the elements $s_{j(k);j}$ of the S-matrix, $k \in j$, which may or may not be useful. If j encircles no point of , we cannot get the asymptotic behavior of $s_{j(j);j}$ but we only get the exponential bounds. Since our main concern is asymptotic behaviors, we call the corresponding dissipative domain trivial.

Remark:

In contrast with the 2-level case, see [JP 4], we have to work with dissipative domains instead of working with one dissipative path for all indices. Indeed, it is not dicult to convince oneself with speci c 3-level cases that such a dissipative path may not exist, even when the eigenvalue degeneracies are close to the real axis. In return, we prove below the existence of dissipative domains in this situation.

Proof:

The asymptotic relation is a direct consequence of lemma 3.1, (4.9), (4.17) and the rst part

of the lemma. The estimate is a consequence of the same equations, the second estimate of the lem m a and the identity, for t > T,

$$Z Z Z !$$

$$Im e_{jk}(t+is) = Im e_{j}(z)dz e_{k}(z)dz E_{k}$$

The path of integration from 0 to z for $e_{jk}(z)$ is deformed into the loop $_{j}$ followed by the real axis from 0 to Rez and a vertical path from Rez to z. It remains to take the limit t! + 1.

Proof of lem m a 4.1:

We rewrite equations (4.15) and (4.16) as an integral equation and perform an integration by parts on the exponentials

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{e}_{k}\left(z\right) & = & \underset{jk}{\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{N}}} & \underbrace{\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{N}}}_{k} & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z)}_{\mathbf{e}_{k}} \mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z) = \mathbf{"}}_{\mathbf{e}_{1}} \mathbf{e}_{1}(z) \\ & + & \mathrm{i}^{\mathbf{N}} & \overset{Z}{z} & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z^{0})}_{\mathbf{e}_{k}} & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z^{0}) = \mathbf{"}}_{\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z^{0}) = \mathbf{"}}_{\mathbf{e}_{1}} \mathbf{e}_{1}(z^{0}) \mathrm{d}z^{0} \\ & + & \mathrm{i}^{\mathbf{N}} & \overset{Z}{z} & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z^{0})\mathbf{e}_{1}(z^{0})}_{\mathbf{e}_{k}} & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{e}_{k1}(z^{0}) = \mathbf{"}}_{\mathbf{e}_{n}} \mathbf{e}_{1}(z^{0}) \mathrm{d}z^{0} \\ & + & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{N}}}_{\mathbf{e}_{n}} & \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{N}}}_{\mathbf$$

Since alleigenvalues are distinct in S $\,$ n $\,$, the denom inators are always dierent from $\,$ 0. Due to equation (4.9), the height above or below the real axis at which we start the integration is irrelevant, so that we can use the symbol 1 as lower integration bound. Note that the integrated term vanishes at 1. We have also used the identity

$$e_{k1}(z^0) + e_{lm}(z^0) = e_{km}(z^0)$$
 (4.26)

In term s of the functions x_k we get, using the same identity,

$$x_{k}(z) = \int_{jk} i^{m} \frac{x^{n}}{e_{k}(z)} \frac{e_{k1}(z)}{e_{k}(z) - e_{1}(z)} x_{1}(z)$$

$$+ i^{m} \int_{l=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{e_{k1}(z)}{e_{k}(z) - e_{1}(z)} x_{1}(z)$$

$$+ i^{m} \int_{l=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{e_{k1}(z^{0})}{e_{k}(z^{0}) - e_{1}(z^{0})} e^{i(e_{jk}(z) - e_{jk}(z^{0})) = m} x_{1}(z^{0}) dz^{0}$$

$$+ i^{m} \int_{l_{m}=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{e_{k1}(z^{0}) e_{1}(z^{0})}{e_{k}(z^{0}) - e_{1}(z^{0})} e^{i(e_{jk}(z) - e_{jk}(z^{0})) = m} x_{m}(z^{0}) dz^{0}$$

$$(4.27)$$

W e introduce the quantity

$$\underset{\stackrel{z \in D}{\text{ii}}}{\text{ii}} = \sup_{\stackrel{z \in D}{\text{i}}} \dot{x}_{1}(z) \dot{j} \tag{4.28}$$

and consider for each k the equation (4.27) along the dissipative path k (u) described in the de nition of D i, such that

$$e^{i(e_{jk}(k(t)) - e_{jk}(k(u))) = u}$$
 1 (4.29)

when u talong that path. Due to the integrability of the $e_{kl}(z)$ at in nity and the uniform boundedness of d k (u)=du, we get the estimate

$$\mathbf{\dot{y}}_{k}(\mathbf{z})$$
 $\mathbf{\dot{y}}_{j}\mathbf{\dot{y}}$ $\mathbf{\ddot{y}}_{j}\mathbf{\dot{y}}$ $\mathbf{\ddot{y}}_{j}\mathbf{\dot{y}}$ (4.30)

for some constant A uniform in z 2 D i, hence

$$jjkjjj 1 + "jjkjjj A:$$
 (4.31)

Consequently, for "small enough

$$jjkjjj$$
 2: (4.32)

And the result follows.

5 Superasym ptotic im provem ent

All results above can be substantially in proved by using the so-called superasym ptotic renormalization method [Be], [N], [JP2]. The joint use of complex WKB analysis and superasym ptotic renormalization is very powerful, as demonstrated recently in [JP4] for 2-level systems, and allows, roughly speaking, to replace all remainders 0 (") by 0 (e = "), where > 0. We brie y show how to achieve this improvement in the case of n-level systems.

Let H (z) satisfy H1, H2 and H3 in S and let

where each J_r is an open domain containing one point of only in the open upper half plane. Hence, any analytic continuation $e_j(z)$ of $e_j(t)$, t 2 R, in \r is isolated in the spectrum of H(z) so that $e_j(z)$ is analytic and multivalued in \r , and the same is true for the corresponding analytic continuation $P_j(z)$ of $P_j(t)$, t 2 R. Let $_r$ be the permutation associated with the loop $_r$ based at the origin which encircles J_r once, such that

$$\mathbf{e}_{i}(z) = \mathbf{e}_{r(i)}(z); \tag{5.2}$$

with the convention of section 3. The matrix K (z) is analytic and single valued in $^{\mbox{$^\circ$}}$. Consider the single valued analytic matrix

$$H_1(z;") = H_1(z) \quad i"K_1(z); \quad z \ge 9 :$$
 (5.3)

For "sm allenough, the spectrum of H $_1$ (z;") is non degenerate 8 z 2 \$ so that its eigenvalues e_j^1 (z;") and eigenprojectors P_j^1 (z;") are multivalued analytic functions in \$. Moreover, for "sm allenough, the analytic continuations of e_j^1 (z;") and P_j^1 (z;") around J_r satisfy

$$e_{j}^{1}(z) = e_{r(j)}^{1}(z)$$
 (5.4)

$$\mathcal{P}_{j}^{1}(z) = P_{r(j)}^{1}(z); \tag{5.5}$$

as can be easily deduced from (52) by perturbation theory. Consequently the matrix

$$K_{1}(z;") = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{j}^{10}(z;")P_{j}^{1}(z;")$$
(5.6)

is analytic and single valued in 9 . De ning the single valued matrix

$$H_2(z;") = H_2(z) \quad i"K_1(z;"); \quad z \ge 9;$$
 (5.7)

we can repeat the argum ent, for " sm all enough. By induction we set for any $q \ 2 \ N$,

$$H_{\alpha}(z;") = H(z) \quad i"K_{\alpha 1}(z;")$$
 (5.8)

$$K_{q 1}(z;") = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{j}^{q 1^{0}}(z;")P_{j}^{q 1}(z;"); z 2$$
 (5.9)

for " is small enough. We have

$$H_{q}(z;") = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} e_{j}^{q}(z;")P_{j}^{q}(z;");$$
 (5.10)

where the eigenvalues and eigenprojections are multivalued in \$ and satisfy

$$e_{j}^{q}(z;") = e_{r(j)}^{q}(z;")$$
 (5.11)

$$P_{j}^{q}(z;") = P_{r(j)}^{q}(z;"); j = 1; ;n;$$
(5.12)

with the notations of (5.2). We quote from [JP 4], [JP 2] the main proposition regarding this construction.

Proposition 5.1 Let H (z) satisfy H1, H2 and H3 in S and let 9 be de ned as above. Then there exist constants c>0, " > 0 and a real function b(t) with $\lim_{t \to 1} \frac{1}{t} + ab(t) < 1$, such that.

$$kK_{q}(z;") K_{q} (z;")k b(Rez)^{q}c^{q}q!$$
 (5.13)

$$kK_{\alpha}(z;")k \quad b(Rez);$$
 (5.14)

for all z 2 \$, all " < " and all q q (") [1=ec"], where [y] denotes the integer part of y and e is the basis of the neperian logarithm.

We can deduce from this that in \$

$$e_{i}^{q}(z;") = e_{j}(z) + O("^{2}b(Rez))$$
 (5.15)

$$e_{j}^{q}(z;") = e_{j}(z) + O("^{2}b(Rez))$$
 (5.15)
 $P_{j}^{q}(z;") = e_{j}(z) + O("(Rez)); 8q q(");$ (5.16)

We introduce the notation f^{q} (") f for any quantity f^{q} depending on the index q and we drop from now on the " in the argum ents of the functions we encounter. We de ne the multivalued analytic matrix W (z) for z 2 \$ by

$$W^{0}(z) = K(z)W(z); W(0) = I;$$
 (5.17)

Due to the above observations and proposition 5.1, W (z) enjoys all properties W (z) does, such as

$$W (z)P_{j}(z) = P_{j}(0)W(z)$$
 (5.18)

$$\overline{\Psi} \quad (z) = W \quad (z)W \quad (r) \tag{5.19}$$

and, uniform ly in s,

$$\lim_{t \to 1} W (t + is) = W (1):$$
 (5.20)

Thus we de ne for any z 2 \$ as set of eigenvectors of H (z) by

$$'_{j}(z) = W_{j}(0);$$
 (5.21)

w here

H
$$(0)'_{\dot{1}}(0) = e_{\dot{1}}(0)'_{\dot{1}}(0); \quad \dot{j} = 1;$$
 ;n; (5.22)

and which satisfy

$$e_{j}(0) = e^{i_{j}(r)}, \quad (j)(0);$$
 (5.23)

with

$$_{i}(_{r}) = (_{r}) + O(") 2 C:$$
 (5.24)

Let us expand the solution of (2.1) on this multivalued set of eigenvectors as

$$(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} c_{j}(z) e^{\int_{0}^{R_{z}} e_{j}(z^{0}) dz^{0} = \mathbf{Y}} \int_{0}^{R_{z}} (z) z^{0} dz^{0} = \mathbf{Y}$$
(5.25)

Since the analyticity properties of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of H (z) are the same as those enjoyed by the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of H (z), we get as in H m a 3.1

$$e_{j}(z)e^{i r e_{j}(u)du="}e^{i j (r)} = c_{r(j)}(z); 8z 2$$
 : (526)

Substituting (5.25) in (2.1), we see that the multivalued coe cients $c_j(z)$ satisfy in b the dierential equation

$$c_{j}^{0}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{n}} a_{jk}(z)e^{i_{jk}(z)} c_{k}(z)$$
(5.27)

w here

$$_{jk}(z) = \int_{0}^{Z} e_{j}(z^{0}) e_{k}(z^{0})dz^{0}$$
 (5.28)

and

$$a_{jk}(z) = \frac{h'_{j}(z)(0) \mathcal{P}_{j}(z)(0) W(z)^{-1}(K_{q-1}(z) K_{q}(z))W(z)'_{k}(0)i}{k'_{j}(0)k^{2}};$$
 (5.29)

to be compared with (3.16). The key point of this construction is that it follows from proposition 5.1 with q = q (") that

$$j_{a_{jk}}(z)j$$
 2b(Rez)e ="; 8z2 \$9 (5.30)

where = 1=ec > 0, and from (5.15) that

Im
$$_{ik}(z) = Im _{ik}(z) + O("^2);$$
 (5.31)

uniform ly in z 2 9 . Thus, we deduce from (5.30) that the lim its

$$\lim_{t! \to 1} c_j(t+is) = c_j(1); \quad j=1; \qquad ;n;$$
 (5.32)

exist for any analytic continuation in $^{\circ}$. M oreover, along any dissipative path k (u) for fjkg, as de ned above, we get from (5.31)

$$e^{i(e_{jk}(k(t)))} = e_{jk}(k(u)) = 0$$
 (1)

so that, reproducing the proof of lem m a 4.1 we have

Lem m a 5.1 In a dissipative domain D_i , if e_k (1) = c_k (1) = k_i , then

$$e_{j}(z) = 1 + 0 (e^{-u})$$
 (5.34)

$$e^{ie_{jk}(z)} e_{k}(z) = 0 e^{-ie_{jk}(z)}$$
; 8k f j; (5.35)

uniformly in z 2 \$.

This lem mayields the improved version of our main result.

Proposition 5.2 Under the conditions of proposition 4.1 and with the same notations. If c_k (1) = c_k , then

$$c_{j(j)}(+1) = e^{i_{j}(j)}e^{i_{j}e_{j}(z)dz} = 1+0 e^{-i_{j}}$$
 (5.36)

$$C_{j(k)}(+1) = O e^{-m \cdot lm} e_{j(z)dz = m + h_{j}(e_{j(j)}(+1)) e_{j(k)}(+1)) = m}$$

$$(5.37)$$

Note that we may or may not replace $e_j(z)$ by $e_j(z)$ in the estimate without altering the result. It remains to make the link between the S-matrix and the $c_k(+1)$'s of the proposition explicit. We dene $e_j(x)$ by the relations (H $e_j(x)$) and H $e_j(x)$ 0 coincide at $e_j(x)$ 1,

$$'_{i}(1) = e^{i_{j}}'_{i}(1)$$
: (5.38)

By comparison of (5.25) and (2.12) we deduce the lem ma

Lem m a 5.2 If c_k (t) and c_k (t) satisfy c_k (1) = c_k (1) = c_k , then, the element kj of the S-m atrix is given by

$$s_{kj} = c_k (+1) = e^{i(k^+ - j^-)} e^{i(k^+ - j^-)} e^{i(k^0 - k^-)} e_k (t^0) e_k (t^0) dt^0 = e^{i(k^0 - k^-)} e_j (t^0) e_j (t^0) dt^0 = c_k (+1)$$

$$e^{i(k^0 - k^-)} c_k (+1); \qquad (5.39)$$

with
$$_{j} = 0$$
 (") and $_{1}^{R_{0}} e_{j}$ (t⁰) e_{j} (t⁰) dt⁰=" = 0 ("), i.e. $e^{i_{kj}} = 1 + 0$ (").

Remarks:

i) P roposition 52 together with lem m a 52 are the main results of the rst part of this paper.
ii) As a direct consequence of these estimates on the real axis we have

$$s_{jk} = 0 e^{-1}$$
; $8k \in j$; (5.40)

and

$$s_{ij} = e^{i} jj + 0 e^{-i}$$
: (5.41)

iii) It should be clear from the analysis just perform ed that all results obtained hold if the generator H (z) in (2.1) is replaced by

$$H(z;") = H_0(z) + O("b(Rez));$$
 (5.42)

with b(t) = 0 (1= t^{1+a}), provided H₀(z) satisfies the hypotheses we assumed.

6 A voided crossings

We now come to the second part of the paper in which we prove asymptotic formulas for the o-diagonal elements of the S-matrix, by means of the general set up presented above. To start with, we de neaclass of n-level systems for which we can prove the existence of one non trivial dissipative domains for all indices. They are obtained by means of systems exhibiting degeneracies of eigenvalues on the real axis, hereafter called real crossings, which we perturb in such a way that these degeneracies are lifted and turn to avoided crossings on the real axis. When the perturbation is small enough, this process moves the eigenvalue degeneracies of the real axis but they remain close to the place where the real crossings

occurred. This method was used successfully in [J] to deal with 2-level systems. We do not attempt to list all cases in which dissipative domains can be constructed by means of this technique but rather present a wide class of examples which are relevant in the theory of quantum adiabatic transitions and in the theory of multichannel semiclassical scattering, as described below.

Let H (t;) 2 M_n (C) satisfy the following assumptions.

H 4 For each xed 2 [0;d], the matrix H (t;) satisfies H 1 in a strip S independent of and H (z;), @=@zH (z;) are continuous as a functions of two variables (z;) 2 S [0;d]. M oreover, it satisfies H 2 uniform ly in 2 [0;d], with limiting values H (;) which are continuous functions of 2 [0;d].

 $H \ 5 \ For \ each \ t \ 2 \ R \ and \ each \ 2 \ [0;d], \ the \ spectrum \ of \ H \ (t; \), \ denoted \ by \ (t; \), \ consists \ in \ n \ real \ eigenvalues$

$$(t;) = f_{Q}(t;);_{Q}(t;);_{n}(t;_{Q}(t$$

which are distinct when > 0

$$e_1(t;) < e_2(t;) < frac{1}{2}$$
 (62)

When = 0, the functions e_j (t;0) are analytic on the real axis and there exists a nite set of crossing points ft_1 t_2 pg t R, p 0, such that i) $8t < t_1$,

$$e_1(t;0) < e_2(t;0) < f(t;0)$$
: (6.3)

ii) 8 j < k 2 f1;2; ;ng, there exists at most onewtith

$$e_{i}(t_{r};0) \quad e_{k}(t_{r};0) = 0;$$
 (6.4)

and if such a t_r exists we have

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta_{\pm}}$$
 (e_j (t_r;0) e_k (t_r;0)) > 0: (6.5)

iii) 8 j 2 f1;2; ;ng, the eigenvalue(to) crosses eigenvalues whose indices are all superior to j or all inferior to j.

Remarks:

- i) The parameter can be understood as a coupling constant controlling the strength of the perturbation.
- ii) The eigenvalues e_j (t;0) are assumed to be analytic on the real axis, because of the degeneracies on the real axis. However, if H (t;) is self-adjoint for any 2 [0;d], this follows from a theorem of Rellich, see [K].
- iii) We give in gure 4 an example of pattern of crossings with the corresponding pattern of avoided crossings for which the above conditions are fullled.
- iv) The crossings are assumed to be generic in the sense that the derivative of e_j e_k are non zero at the crossing t_r .
- v) The crossing points $ft_1;t_2;$ pg threed not be distinct, which is important when the eigenvalues possess symmetries. However, for each j=1; ;n, the eigenvalue(top) experiences avoided crossings with $e_{j+1}(t;)$ and/or $e_{j-1}(t;)$ at a subset of distinct points $ft_{r_1};$ pgt $ft_1;t_2;$ pgt

We now state the main lemma of this section regarding the analyticity properties of the perturbed levels and the existence of dissipative domains for all indices in this perturbative context.

Figure 4: A pattern of eigenvalue crossings (bold curves) with the corresponding pattern of avoided crossings (ne curves) satisfying H 5.

Lem m a 6.1 Let H (t;) satisfy H 4 and H 5. W e can choose > 0 sm all enough so that the following assertions are true for su ciently sm all > 0:

i) Let ft_{r_1} ; r_j gibe the set of avoided crossing points experienced by e_j (t;), j=1; ;n. For each j, there exists a set of distinct domains J_r 2 S , where r 2 fr_1 ; jg_jr

$$J_r = fz = t + isj0$$
 † $t_r j < L; 0 < g < s < {}^{0}g;$ (6.6)

with L small enough, 0 < and g > 0 such that $e_i(1; and g) > 0$ such that $e_i(1; and g) > 0$

$$S^{j} = S n \left[_{r=r_{1}}; _{j}; \mathcal{J}_{r} \left[\overline{J_{r}} \right) \right]$$
 (6.7)

ii) Let t_r be an avoided crossing point of e_j (t;) with e_k (t;), k=j-1. Then the analytic continuation of e_j (t_r ;) along a loop based at t_r 2 R which encircles J_r once yields e_j (t_r ;) back at t_r with

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathsf{i}}(\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{r}};\;) = \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{i}}(\mathsf{t}_{\mathsf{r}};\;)$$
 (6.8)

iii) For each j=1; ;n, there exists a dissipative dom aing Blove or below the real axis in $S \setminus fz = t + isjjsj$ og. The permutation j associated with these dissipative dom ains (see proposition 4.1) are all given by j=1 where j=1 is the permutation which maps the index of the k^{th} eigenvalue e_j (1;0) numbered from the lowest one on k, for all $k \ge f1$;2; ;ng.

Remarks:

- i) In part ii) the same result is true along a loop encircling $\ensuremath{\mathtt{J}}_r$.
- ii) The dissipative domains D_j of part iii) are located above (respectively below) all the sets J_r (resp. J_r), r = 1; ;p.
- iii) The main interest of this lemma is that the su cient conditions required for the existence of dissipative domains in the complex plane can be deduced from the behavior of the eigenvalues on the real axis.
- iv) We emphasize that more general types of avoided crossings than those described in H5 may lead to the existence of dissipative domains for certain indices but we want to get dissipative domains for all indices. For example, if part iii) of H5 is satistic ed for certain indices only, then part iii) of lemma 6.1 is satistic ed for those indices only.

v) Note also that there are patterns of eigenvalue crossings for which there exist no dissipative domain for some indices. For example, if e_j (t;0) and e_k (t;0) display two crossings, it is not discult to see from the proof of the lem m a that no dissipative domains can exist for j or k.

We postpone the proof of this lem mato the end of the section and go on with its consequences. By applying the results of the previous section we get

Theorem 6.1 Let H (t;) satisfy H 4 and H 5. If > 0 is small enough, the elements (j) j of the S-matrix, with (j) de ned in lemma 6.1, are given in the limit! 0 for all j = 1; ;n, by

$$s_{(j)j} = \begin{cases} (j)^{1} & 1 & R \\ e^{j} & e^{j}$$

where, for (j) > j (respectively (j) < j), $_k$, $_k = j;$; (j) 1, denotes a negatively (resp. positively) oriented loop based at the origin which encircles the set $_Jr$ (resp. $_Tr$ corresponding to the avoided crossing between $_k$ (t;) and $_{k+1}$ (t;) (resp. $_k$ $_1$ (z;)) at $_tr$, $_k$ $_k$ (z;)dz denotes the integral along $_k$ of the analytic continuation of $_k$ (0;) and $_k$ ($_k$) is the corresponding factor de ned by (3.14), see gure 5.

M ore accurately, with the notations of section 5, we have the improved formula

$$s_{(j)j} = e^{i (j)j} \begin{cases} (j) & 1 & R \\ e^{i_k(k)} e^{i_k e_k(z;)dz} \end{cases} 1 + 0 e^{-i_k(j)} \begin{cases} (j) & 1 \\ (j) & < j \end{cases}$$
 (6.10)

The element (1) j, $1 \in$ j, are estimated by

$$s_{(1)j} = 0 \ ^{\text{0}} \ ^{\text{h} (e_{(j)} (1;) e_{(1)} (1;)) = \text{"}} \ ^{\text{c}} \ ^{\text{im}} \ ^{\text{R}} \ ^{\text{e}_{k} (z;) dz = \text{"}} A; \ ^{\text{c}} \ ^$$

where h is strictly positive (resp. negative) for (j) > j (respectively (j) < j).

Remarks:

i) As the eigenvalues are continuous at the degeneracy points, we have that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} e^{\int_{t}^{R} e_{k}(z;)dz} = 0; \quad 8k = 1; \qquad ;p:$$
 (6.12)

- ii) The remainders O (") depend on but it should be possible to get estimates which are valid as both " and tend to zero, in the spirit of [J], [M,N] and [R].
- iii) This result shows that one o -diagonal element per column of the S-matrix at least can be computed asymptotically. However, it is often possible to get more elements by making use of symmetries of the S-matrix. Moreover, if there exist dissipative domains going above or below other eigenvalue degeneracies further away in the complex plane, other elements of the S-matrix can be computed.
- iv) Finally, note that all starred quantities in (6.10) depend on ".

Proof: The rst thing to determ ine is whether the loops k are above or below the real axis. Since the form what we deduce from the complex WKB analysis are asymptotic form what, it su ces to choose the case which yields exponential decay of $s_{(j)j}$. It is readily checked in the proof of lemma 6.1 below that if (j) > j, D_j is above the real axis and if (j) < j, D_j is below the real axis. Then it remains to explain how to pass from the loop k given in proposition 4.1 to the set of loops k, k = k; k (k) 1. We brie y deal with the case

Figure 5: The loops
$$_{j}$$
 and $_{k}$, $k = j$; $;$ (j) 1.

(j) > j, the other case being sim ilar. It follows from $\mbox{lem m a 6.1}$ that we can deform \mbox{j} into the set of loops \mbox{k} , each associated with one avoided crossing, as described in gure 5. Thus we have

for the decay rate and, see 3.10,

$$W (j) = W ((j)_1) W_{j+1}(W) (j)$$
 (6.14)

for the prefactors. Let $_j$ be a negatively oriented loop based at t_r which encircles J_r as described in lemma 6.1. Consider now the loop $_j$ associated with this avoided crossing and deform it to the path obtained by going from 0 to t_r along the real axis, from t_r to t_r along $_j$ and back from t_r to the origin along the real axis. By the point ii) of the lemma we get

$$\mathbf{e}_{j}(0;) = \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(0;)$$
 (6.15)

along j, and, accordingly (see (3.14)),

$$e_{j}(0;) = e^{i_{j}(j)'}_{j+1}(0;):$$
 (6.16)

This justi es the rst factor in the form ula. By repeating the argument at the next avoided crossings, keeping in m ind that we get $e_{j+1}(0; \cdot)$ at the end of j and so on, we get the nal result. The estimate on s $(j)_j$ is obtained by direct application of lemma 6.1. 2 Proof of lemma 6.1: In the sequel we shall denote $\frac{0}{0}$ by a 0. We have to consider the analyticity properties of $e_j(z; \cdot)$ and de ne domains in which every point z can be reached from 1 by means of a path (u), $u \ge 1$; t], (t) = z such that $\lim_{t \to 0} e_{jk}(u)$; is non decreasing in unforcertain indices $j \in k$ when i = 0 is xed. Note that by Schwarz's principle if i = 0 is dissipative for fjkg, then i = 0 is dissipative for fkjg. When i = 0 is dissipative for fkjg is equivalent to

Re
$$(e_{j}(u); e_{k}(u);)_{2}(u) + Im (e_{j}(u); e_{k}(u);)_{1}(u) 0$$

8u2] 1;t] (6.17)

where "_" denotes the derivative with respect to u. M oreover, if the eigenvalues are analytic in a neighborhood of the real axis, we have the relation in that neighborhood

Im
$$(e_j (t+is;) e_k (t+is;)) = \sum_{0}^{Z_s} Re (e_j^0 (t+is^0;) e_k^0 (t+is^0;)) ds^0;$$
 (6.18)

which is a consequence of the C auchy-R iem ann identity. We proceed as follows. We construct dissipative domains above and below the real axis when = 0 and we show that they remain dissipative for the perturbed quantities $e_{jk}(z;)$ provided is small enough. We introduce some quantities to be used in the construction. Let $C_r 2 f1;$ independent the set of distinct couples of indices such that the corresponding eigenvalues experience one crossing at $t=t_r$. Similarly, N 2 f1; independent the set of couples of indices such that the corresponding eigenvalues never cross.

Let $I_r = [t_r \ L; t_r + L] 2 R, r = 1;$; p, with L so small that

m in m in inf
$$(e_j^0(t;0))$$
 $e_k^0(t;0)$) $4c > 0$: (6.19)

This relation de nes the constant c and we also de ne b by

m in m in inf
$$je_j(t;0) = e_k(t;0)j$$
 4b > 0 (6.20)
 $r2 f1;$ $fpjkg2Cr;j < kt2R nIr$

W e further introduce

$$I_r = fz = t + is \pm 2 I_r; j = g; r = 1; ;p;$$
 (6.22)

Then we choose small enough so that the only points of degeneracy of eigenvalues in S are on the real axis and

r2 f1; fightg2
$$C_r$$
; $j < k z2 I_r$

m in m in inf $j \in (e_j(z;0)) = (c_k(z;0)) =$

rzii; ppgz2Cr;j< k z2S nlr
m in inf
$$\Re e (e_j(z;0) - e_k(z;0))$$
 j> 2b> 0: (6.25)
fjkg2N;j< k z2S

That this choice is always possible is a consequence of the analyticity of e_j (z;0) close to the real axis and of the fact that we can work essentially in a compact, because of hypothesis H4. Let a (t) be integrable on R and such that

$$\frac{a(t)}{2} > \max_{j < k2 f1;} \sup_{Reg} Re (e_j^0 (t + is; 0)) e_k^0 (t + is; 0)) :$$
 (6.26)

It follows from H4 that such functions exist.

Let r 2 f1; ;pg and(u) be a solution of

$$\begin{array}{l}
8 \\
\geq _{-2}(u) = \frac{_{2}(u)a(u)}{b} \quad u \; 2 \;] \quad 1 \; ; t_{r} \quad L \;] \\
\geq _{-2}(u) = 0 \quad u \; 2 \;] t_{r} \quad L \; ; t_{r} + L \; [\\
\geq _{-2}(u) = + \frac{_{2}(u)a(u)}{b} \quad u \; 2 \;] t_{r} + L \; ; 1 \; [\end{array}$$
(6.27)

with $_2(t_r) > 0$. Then $_2(u) > 0$ for any u, since

8
$$R_{u} = {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r})e^{-t_{r} L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\geq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r})e^{-t_{r} L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\geq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) R_{u}$$

$$\geq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) e^{-t_{r} + L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\geq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) e^{-t_{r} + L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\leq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) e^{-t_{r} + L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\leq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) e^{-t_{r} + L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\leq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) e^{-t_{r} + L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\leq {}_{2}(u) = {}_{2}(t_{r}) e^{-t_{r} + L} {}_{a}(u^{0})du^{0} = b}$$

$$\leq {}_{3}(u) = {}_{4}(u) = {}_{4}($$

and since a (u) is integrable, the lim its

$$\lim_{u = 1} \lim_{u = 1} u(u) = u(u)$$
 (6.29)

exist. Moreover, we can always choose $_2(t_r) > 0$ su ciently small so that $^r(u) = u + i_2(u) 2 S$, for any realu. Let us verify that this path is dissipative for all f jkg $2 C_r$; j < k. For $u \ge 1$, $t_r = L$, we have, using

Re
$$(e_1(z;0)) = (z;0)$$
 $(z;0)$ $(z;0)$ $(z;0)$ $(z;0)$ $(z;0)$ $(z;0)$

and

(see (6.18)), and the de nition (6.26)

Re
$$(e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0))_{2}(u) + \text{Im } (e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0))_{1}(u) =$$

Re $(e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0)) = \frac{2(u)a(u)}{b} + \text{Im } (e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0)) > 2(u)a(u) = 2 > 2(u)a(u) > 0;$

(6.32)

Sim ilarly, when u + L we get, using

Re
$$(e_{i}(z;0) e_{k}(z;0)) > 2b > 0; 8z 2 S \ fz \Re e z t_{r} + Lg;$$
 (6.33)

Re
$$(e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0))_{2}(u) + \text{Im } (e_{1}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0))_{1}(u) =$$

Re $(e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0)) \frac{2(u)a(u)}{b} + \text{Im } (e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0)) >$

2 2 (u)a(u) 2 (u)a(u)=2 > 2 (u)a(u) > 0: (6.34)

Finally, for s 2 $[t_r L;t_r+L]$, we have with (623)

Im
$$(e_{j}(^{r}(u);0) e_{k}(^{r}(u);0)) = \sum_{0}^{Z_{2}(u)} Re (e_{j}^{0}(t^{0} + is;0) e_{k}^{0}(t^{0} + is;0))$$

$$(6.35)$$

Thus, r (u) is dissipative for all fjkg 2 C_{r} ; j < k. Note that the last estimate shows that it is not possible to nd a dissipative path for fjkg 2 C_{r} ; j < k below the real axis.

Consider now fjkg 2 N; j < k and let $_2$ (u) be a solution of

$$_{2}(u) = \frac{_{2}(u)a(u)}{b}; \quad _{2}(0) > 0; u 2] \quad 1; + 1 \quad [;$$
 (6.36)

ie.

$$R_u = \frac{R_u}{2} (0) e^{-a (u^0) du^0 = b}$$
 (6.37)

As above, we have $_2(u) > 0$ for any u and we can choose $_2(0) > 0$ small enough so that $_2(u) = u + i_2(u) = 0$ for any u 2 R. Since

Re
$$(e_{\dagger}(z;0) e_{k}(z;0)) > 2b 8z 2 S$$
 (6.38)

we check by a computation analogous to (6.32) that $^+$ (u) is dissipative for fjkg 2 N; j < k. Sim ilarly, one veri es that if $_2$ (u) is the solution of

$$_{2}(u) = \frac{_{2}(u)a(u)}{b}; \quad _{2}(0) < 0; u 2] \quad 1; + 1 [$$
 (6.39)

Figure 6: The dissipative domain D and some dissipative paths.

with $j_2(0)j$ sm all enough, the path (u) $u + i_2(u)$ below the real axis is in S for any $u \ge R$ and is dissipative for fjkg $\ge N$; j < k as well.

Finally, the complex conjugates of these paths yield dissipative paths above and below the real axis for fjkg $2\ N$; j > k.

We now do not the dissipative domains by means of their borders. Let $^+$ (u) and $^-$ u 2 R, two dissipative paths in S do not as above with j 2 (0) jsu ciently small so that is below $^+$. We set

$$D = fz = t + is \mathfrak{D} < 2 (t) s 2 (t); t2 Rg:$$
 (6.40)

Let z 2 D, and j 2 f1; ;ng be xed. By assumption H5, the set X6 indices k such that fjkg 2 C_r for some r 2 f1; ;pg consists in values k satisfying j < k or it consists in values k satisfying j > k. Let us assume the rst alternative takes place. Now for any k 2 f1; ;ng, there are three cases.

- 1) If k 2 X $_{\rm j}$, then there exists a dissipative path $^{\rm r}$ 2 D for fjkg 2 C $_{\rm r}$; j < k constructed as above which links 1 to z. It is enough to select the initial condition $_{\rm 2}$ (t $_{\rm r}$) suitably, see qure 6.
- 2) Sim ilarly, if $j < k \ 2 \ X_j$, there exists a dissipative path $^+$ 2 D for fjkg constructed as above which links 1 to z obtained by a suitable choice of $_2$ (0).
- 3) Finally, if k > j, we can take as a dissipative path for fjkg, the path 2 D constructed as above which links 1 to z with suitable choice of z (0). Hence D is dissipative for the index j, when z = 0. If z = 0 is such that the set z = 0 consists in points z = 0 is dissipative for the argument z = 0 is dissipative for the argument z = 0 is dissipative for z = 0.

Let us show that these domains remain dissipative when > 0 is not too large. We start by considering the analyticity properties of the perturbed eigenvalues e_j (z;), > 0. Let 0 < 0 < 0 be such that

$$I_r^{\circ} \setminus \langle D \mid \overline{D} \rangle = ;; 8r = 1; ;p:$$
 (6.41)

The analytic eigenvalues $e_j(z;0)$, j 2 f1; ;ng, are isolated in the spectrum of H (z;0) for any $z 2 \$, where

$$\mathfrak{S} = S \ n \left[r = 1; \quad I_{RO}^{0} \right]$$
 (6.42)

For any j=1; ;n we get from perturbation theory [K], that the analytic continuations $e_j(z;)$ of $e_j(t_1 L;)$ in S are all distinct in S, provided is small enough. This is due

to the fact that assum ption H 5 implies the continuity of H (z;) in uniform ly in z 2 S, as is easily veri ed. M ore precisely, for any xed index j, the eigenvalue e_j (t;) experiences avoided crossings at the points ft_{r_1} ; r_1 gt. W e can assum e w ithout loss of generality that

$$I_k^{\circ} \setminus I_1^{\circ} = ;; 8k \in 12 \text{ fr}_1; jgr$$
 (6.43)

Hence, for > 0 sm allenough, the analytic continuation $\mathbf{e}_j(z;)$ is isolated in the spectrum of H (z;), uniform ly in z 2 S n [$_{r=r_1}; _{j} \mathbf{I}_{rr}^{0}$. Since by assumption H 5 there is no crossing of eigenvalues on the real axis when > 0, there exists a 0 < g < 0, which depends on , such that $\mathbf{e}_j(z;)$ is isolated in the spectrum of H (z;), uniform ly in z 2 S^j , where

$$S^{j} = S n \left[r_{r_{1}}, j \right] \left(J_{r} \left[\overline{J_{r}} \right] \right)$$
 (6.44)

and

$$J_r = I_r^0 \setminus fzjIm z > gg; r = 1;$$
 ;p: (6.45)

Hence the singularities of \mathbf{e}_j (z;) are located in $[\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{y}_T]$ ($[\mathbf{J}_r]$), which yields the rst assertion of the lem ma.

Consider a path $_{r}$ from t_{r} L to t_{r} + L which goes above J_{r} , where t_{r} is an avoided crossing between e_{j} (t;) and e_{k} (t;), k=j 1. By perturbation theory again, e_{j} (t_{r} L;) and e_{k} (t_{r} L;) tend to e_{j} (t_{r} L; 0) and e_{k} (t_{r} L; 0) as ! 0, for some f_{j} ; e_{k} 2 1; ; n, whereas e_{j} (t_{r} + L;) and e_{k} (t_{r} + L;) tend to e_{k} (t_{r} + L; 0) and e_{j} (t_{r} + L; 0) as ! 0, see gure 4. Now, the analytic continuations of e_{j} (t_{r} L;) and e_{k} (t_{r} L;) along $_{r}$, e_{j} (t_{r}) and e_{k} (t_{r} L;) tend to the analytic functions e_{j} (t_{r} L;) and t_{r} (t_{r} L;) and t_{r}

$$e_{i}(t_{x} + L_{i}) = (6.46)$$

since we know that e_j ($t_r + L$;) = $e_{(j)}$ ($t_r + L$;), for some permutation . Hence the point iii) of the lemma follows.

Note that the analytic continuations \mathbf{e}_j (z;) are single valued in \$. Indeed, the analytic continuation of \mathbf{e}_j (t_r L;) along $\overline{}_r$, denoted by \mathbf{e}_j (z;), 8z 2 $\overline{}_r$, is such that

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}(t_{r} + L;) = \overline{\mathbf{e}_{1}(t_{r} + L;)} = \mathbf{e}_{1}(t_{r} + L;) = \mathbf{e}_{1}(t_{r} + L;) = \mathbf{e}_{1}(t_{r} + L;); \tag{6.47}$$

due to Schwarz's principle. We further require to be su ciently small so that the following estimates are satisfed

max sup Re
$$(e_{j}^{0}(z;) e_{k}^{0}(z;)) < a(Rez)$$
: (6.50) $j < k2f1; im zjz2$

and, in the compacts $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{r} = \mathbf{I}_{r} \, \mathbf{n} \mathbf{I}_{r}^{0}$,

2 max max sup
$$\lim_{c \to c} (e_j(z;0)) e_k(z;0)$$
) $= \lim_{c \to c} (e_j(z;0)) = \lim_{c \to c} (e_j(z;$

The simultaneous requirements (626) and (6.50) is made possible by the continuity properties of $H^0(z; \cdot)$ and the uniformity in of the decay at 1 of $H^0(z; \cdot)$ assumed in $H^0(z; \cdot)$ as $H^0(z; \cdot)$ as

Then it remains to check that the paths r ; $^{+}$ and $^{-}$ de ned above satisfy the dissipativity condition (6.17) for the corresponding indices. This is not discult, since the above estimates are precisely designed to preserve inequalities such as (6.32), (6.34) and (6.35). However, it should not be forgotten that in the sets I_r^{-0} the eigenvalues may be singular so that (6.18) cannot be used there. So when checking that a path parameterized as above by u.2 R is dissipative, it is necessary to consider separately the case u.2 R n([$_{r=1}$; I_{rp}), where we proceed as above with (6.48), (6.49), (6.50) and (6.18) and the case u.2 [$_{r=1}$; I_{rp} , where we use use (6.51) and (6.52) instead of (6.18) as follows. If u.2 I_r for r such that t_r is a crossing point for e_j (t;0) and e_k (t;0), one takes (6.51) to estimate Im (e_{j^0} (z;) e_{k^0} (z;)) for the corresponding indices j^0 and k^0 , and if t_r is not a crossing point for e_j (t;0) and e_k (t;0), one uses (6.52) to estimate Im (e_{j^0} (z;) e_{k^0} (z;)). Consequently, the domains D and D de ned above keep the same dissipativity properties when e_{j^0} 0 is small enough.

Let us nally turn to the determ ination of the associated permutation . As noticed earlier, the eigenvalues ${\bf e}_{\rm j}$ (z;) are continuous in , uniformly in z 2 § . Hence, since the eigenvalues ${\bf e}_{\rm j}$ (z;0) are analytic in S , we have

$$\lim_{\substack{j=0\\1}} \mathbf{e}_{j} (1 ; j) = \mathbf{e}_{j} (1 ; 0) \quad j = 1; 2; \qquad ; n:$$
 (6.53)

2

W hereas we have along the real axis (see gure 4),

$$\lim_{\substack{1 \\ 0}} e_{(j)} (1;) = e_{j} (1; 0); \tag{6.54}$$

with de ned in the lem ma, from which the result follows.

7 Applications

Let us consider the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation in the adiabatic lim it. The relevant equation is then (2.1) where H (t) = H (t) is the tim e-dependent self-adjoint ham iltonian. Thus we can take J = I in proposition 2.1 to get

$$H(t) = H(t) = H^{\#}(t)$$
: (7.1)

The norm of an eigenvector being positive, it remains to impose the gap hypothesis in H 3 to t in the framework and we deduce that the S-matrix is unitarity, since R = I. In this context, the elements of the S-matrix describe the transitions between the dierent levels between t = 1 and t = +1 in the adiabatic limit.

We now specify a little more our concern and consider a three-level system, i.e. H (t) = H (t) 2 M $_3$ (C). We assume that H (t) satisfies the hypotheses of corollary 6.1 with an extra parameter which we omit in the notation and displays two avoided crossings at $t_1 < t_2$, as shown in gure 7. The corresponding permutation is given by

$$(1) = 3;$$
 $(2) = 1;$ $(3) = 2;$ (7.2)

By corollary 6.1, we can compute asymptotically the elements s_{31} ; s_{12} ; s_{23} and s_{jj} , j=1;2;3. Using the unitarity of the S-matrix, we can get some more information. Introducing

$$j = \text{Im} \qquad e_{j}(z)dz \; ; \; j = 1;2;$$
 (7.3)

Figure 7: The pattern of avoided crossings in the adiabatic context.

where i is in the upper half plane, with the notation of section 6, it follows that

$$s_{31} = 0$$
 e $(1+2)=$ "; $s_{12} = 0$ e (7.4)

and

$$s_{jj} = 1 + 0 \text{ (")}; \quad j = 1;2;3:$$
 (7.5)

Expressing the fact that the rst and second columns as well as the second and third rows are orthogonal, we deduce

$$s_{21} = \overline{s_{12}} \frac{s_{11}}{s_{22}} + 0 e^{2} =$$
 (7.6)

$$s_{32} = \overline{s_{23}} \frac{s_{33}}{\overline{s_{22}}} + 0 e^{2} =$$
 (7.7)

Finally, the estimate in corollary 6.1 yields

$$s_{13} = 0$$
 "e $f^{1}j(e_{2}(1;)) e_{1}(1;)) = e^{2} = 0$ e $f^{2}e^{2} = 0$ (7.8)

where K > 0, since we have that j! 0 as ! 0. H ence we get

where all s_{jk} above can be computed asymptotically up to exponentially small relative error, using (6.10).

The sm allest asymptotically computable element s_{13} describes the transition from e_1 (1;) to e_3 (+1;). The result we get for this element is in agreement with the rule of the thumb claiming that the transitions take place locally at the avoided crossings and can be considered as independent. Accordingly, we can only estimate the smallest element of all, s_{13} , which describes the transition from e_3 (1;) to e_4 (+1;), for which the avoided crossings are not encountered in "right order", as discussed in [HP]. It is possible however to get an asymptotic expression for this element in some cases. When the unperturbed levels e_2 (z;0) and e_3 (z;0) possess a degeneracy point in S and when there exists a dissipative domain for

the index 3 of the unperturbed eigenvalues going above this point, one can convince oneself that s_{13} can be computed asymptotically for sm allenough, using the techniques presented above.

Our second application is the study of the sem i-classical scattering properties of the multichannel stationnary Schrodinger equation with energy above the potential barriers. The relevant equation is then

where t 2 R has the meaning of a space variable, (t) 2 C $^{\rm m}$ is the wave function, "! 0 denotes P lanck's constant, V (t) = V (t) 2 M $_{\rm m}$ (C) is the matrix of potentials and the spectral parameter E is kept xed and large enough so that

U (t) E V (t) > 0:
$$(7.11)$$

Introducing

(t) =
$$\frac{\text{(t)}}{\text{i" (t)}}$$
 2 C 2m ; (7.12)

we cast equation (7.10) into the equivalent form (2.1) for (t) with generator

It is readily veri ed that

$$H(t) = J^{-1}H(t)J$$
 (7.14)

with

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ T & O \end{pmatrix} : \tag{7.15}$$

Concerning the spectrum of H (t), it should be remarked that if the real and positive eigenvalues of U (t), k_j^2 (t), j=1; ;m associated with the eigenvectors (tt) 2 C m are assumed to be distinct, i.e.

$$0 < k_1^2 (t) < k_2^2 (t) < \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} (t); \end{cases}$$
 (7.16)

then the spectrum of the generator H (t) given by (7.13) consists in 2m real distinct eigenvalues

$$k_{m}$$
 (t) < k_{m-1} (t) < < k_{1} (t) < k_{2} (t) < k_{2} (t) < k_{2} (t) < (7.17)

associated with the 2m eigenvectors

$$_{j} (t) = \begin{array}{c} u_{j}(t) \\ k_{j}(t)u_{j}(t) \end{array} 2 C^{2m};$$

$$H (t) _{j}(t) = k_{j}(t) _{j}(t);$$
 (7.18)

W e check that

$$(_{j}(0);_{j}(0))_{J} = 2k_{j}(0)ku_{j}(0)k \in 0; j = 1; :::;m$$
 (7.19)

where ku_j (0)k is computed in C m , so that proposition 2.1 applies. Before dealing with its consequences, we further explicit the structure of S. A dopting the notation suggested by (7.17) and (7.18) we write

$$H (t) = \begin{cases} X^{n} & X^{n} \\ k_{j}(t)P_{j}^{+}(t) & k_{j}(t)P_{j} (t) \end{cases}$$

$$(7.20)$$

and introduce

$$c (t) = \begin{cases} 0 & c_1 & (t) \\ 0 & c_2 & (t) \\ 0 & c_2 \end{cases} c_2 c^m :$$

$$c_m (t) (7.22)$$

Hence we have the block structure

where S $2 M_m$ (C), ; 2 f+; g.

Let us turn to the sym m etry properties of S. W e get from (7.19) and proposition 2.1 that

In term s of the blocks S , this is equivalent to

$$S_{++}S_{++} S_{+} S_{+} = I$$
 (7.25)

$$S_{++}S_{-+}S_{+}S_{-}=O$$
 (7.26)

$$S \quad S \quad S \quad + S \quad = I; \tag{7.27}$$

The block S_{++} describes the transm ission coe cients associated with a wave traveling from the right and S_{+} describes the associated relexion coe cients. Similarly, S_{-} and S_{+} are related to the transm ission and relexion coe cients associated with a wave incoming from the left. It should be noted that in case of equation (7.10) another convention is often used to de nean S_{-} m atrix, see [F1], for instance. This gives rise to a dierent S_{-} m atrix with similar interpretation. However it is not dicult to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the two denitions. If the matrix of potentials V_{-} (t) is real symmetric, we have further symmetry in the S_{-} m atrix.

Lem m a 7.1 Let S given by (7.23) be the S-m atrix associated with (7.10) under condition (7.11). If we further assume V (t) = \overline{V} (t), then, taking $'_j$ (0) 2 R 2m , j = 1; ;m, in (7.21), we get

$$S_{++} = \overline{S} \quad ; \quad S_{+} = \overline{S_{+}} : \tag{7.28}$$

The corresponding results for the S-m atrix de ned in [F1] are derived in [M N]. The proof of this lem m a can be found in appendix. We consider now (7.10) the case U (t) = U (t) = [U (t)] 2 M₂ (R), which describes a two-channel Schrodinger equation. We assume that the four-level generator H (t) displays three avoided crossings at [U (t)] two of which take place at the same point [U (t)] because of the symmetry of the eigenvalues, as in gure 8. By lem ma

Figure 8: The pattern of avoided crossings in the sem iclassical context.

7.1, it is enough to consider the blocks S_{++} and S_{+} . The transitions corresponding to elements of these blocks which we can compute asymptotically are from level 1^+ to level 2^+ and from level 2 to level 1^+ . They correspond to elements s_{21}^{++} and s_{12}^{+} respectively. With the notations

$$z$$
 $j = Im k_1(z)dz; j = 1;2;$
(7.29)

where $\ \ _{j}$ is in the upper half plane, we have the estimates

$$s_{21}^{++} = 0$$
 e $s_{12}^{+-} = 0$ e $s_{12}^{+-} = 0$ e $s_{12}^{+-} = 0$; $s_{13}^{++} = 1 + 0$ ("); $s_{13}^{+-} = 1 + 0$ (7.30)

It follows from (7.26) and lem m a 7.1 that the matrix $S_{++} S_{+}^{T}$ is sym metric. Hence

$$s_{11}^{++} s_{21}^{+} + s_{12}^{++} s_{22}^{+} = s_{21}^{++} s_{11}^{+} + s_{22}^{++} s_{12}^{+};$$
 (7.31)

whereas we get from (7.25)

$$s_{11}^{++} \overline{s_{21}^{++}} + s_{12}^{++} \overline{s_{22}^{++}} = s_{11}^{+} \overline{s_{21}^{+}} + s_{12}^{+} \overline{s_{22}^{+}} :$$
 (7.32)

The only useful estimate we get with corollary 6.1 is

$$s_{22}^{+} = 0 e^{(1+2+K)=*}$$
; K > 0; (7.33)

which yields together with (7.30) in (7.31)

$$s_{21}^{+} = s_{21}^{++} s_{11}^{+} = s_{11}^{++} + 0 \quad e^{(1+2)}$$
: (7.34)

Thus, from (7.32) and (5.40) for $\boldsymbol{s}_{11}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$,

$$s_{12}^{++} = \overline{s_{21}^{++}} \frac{s_{11}^{++}}{s_{22}^{++}} 1 + 0 e^{-\mathbf{r}} ;$$
 (7.35)

with

$$0 < \min_{i} (1; 2):$$
 (7.36)

Sum m arizing, we have

$$S_{++} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{11}^{++} \\ s_{21}^{++} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s_{21}^{++}} & 1 + 0 & e & = 1 \\ \overline{s_{22}^{++}} & \overline{s_{22}^{++}} & 1 + 0 & e & = 1 \\ S_{++} & S_{22}^{++} & S_{22}^{++} & S_{22}^{++} \end{pmatrix}$$
(7.37)

and

$$S_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ 0 & e & = & & S_{12}^{+} & & A \\ 0 & e & = & & O & e & (1 + 2 + K) = & A \end{pmatrix};$$
 (7.38)

where all elements s_{jk} can be asymptotically computed up to exponentially small relative corrections using (6.10). We get no information on the rst column of S_+ but the estimate (5.40) where necessarily, (7.36) holds. However, if there exists one or several other dissipative domains for certain indices, it is then possible to get asymptotic formulas for the estimated terms.

A Proof of proposition 2.1

A direct consequence of the property

$$H(t) = H^{\dagger}(t) = J^{-1}H(t)J$$
 (A.1)

is the relation (H (t)) = $\overline{\text{(H (t))}}$. Thus, if (H (0)) R, then (H (t)) R, for all t 2 R, since the analytic eigenvalues are assumed to be distinct and nondegenerate for all t 2 R. Let e_j (0) be the eigenvalue associated with $'_j$ (0). Then, due to the property H (0) = H $^{\#}$ (0)

$$('_{j}(0); H_{(0)})_{j} = e_{k}(0) ('_{j}(0); '_{k}(0))_{J} = \overline{e_{j}(0)} ('_{j}(0); '_{k}(0))_{J};$$
 (A 2)

for any j;k=1; ;n. For j=k we get from the assum $ption_{j}(0);'_{j}(0))_{J} \in 0$ that $e_{j}(0)$ 2 R and from the fact that the eigenvalues of H (0) are distinct

$$('_{1}(0);'_{k}(0))_{,1} = 0; \quad j \in k:$$
 (A.3)

The resulting reality of e_i (t) for all t 2 R and j = 1; in yields together with (A.1)

$$P_{j}(t) = J^{1}P_{j}(t)J$$
: (A .4)

Hence, using the fact that the P_i are projectors,

$$K (t) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{j}^{0}(t) P_{j}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} (J^{1}P_{j}(t)J)^{0}J^{1}P_{j}(t)J = J^{1}\sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{j}^{0}(t)P_{j}(t)J$$

$$= J^{1}\sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} P_{j}(t)P_{j}^{0}(t)J = J^{1}K(t)J; \qquad (A.5)$$

Let ; $2 \, \text{C}^{\text{n}}$ and W (t) be de ned by (see (3.5))

$$W^{0}(t) = K(t)W(t); W(0) = I;$$
 (A.6)

Then we have

Thus, in the inde nite metric, the scalar products of the eigenvectors of H (t), $'_{j}$ (t) = W (t) $'_{j}$ (0) (see (3.7)), are constants

$$('_{1}(t);'_{k}(t))_{J} \qquad ('_{1}(0);'_{k}(0))_{J}:$$
 (A.8)

We can then normalize the $^{\prime}$ $_{\rm j}$ (0) in such a way that

$$('_{j}(t);'_{k}(t))_{J} = ('_{j}(0);'_{k}(0))_{J} = _{jk} _{j}$$
 (A.9)

with $_{\rm j}$ 2 f+1; 1g. Let (t) and (t) be two solutions of (2.1). By a argument similar to the one above using (A.1), we deduce

$$((t); (t))_J ((0); (0))_J :$$
 (A 10)

Inserting the decom positions

(t) =
$$d_j(t)e^{\int_0^1 e_j(t^0)dt^0=T}$$
, (A 12)

in this last identity yields

Since the initial conditions for the coe cients

$$c_{i}(1) = i_{k}; d_{i}(1) = i_{1}$$
 (A.14)

im ply

$$c_{i}(+1) = s_{ik}; d_{i}(+1) = s_{il};$$
 (A.15)

we get from (A.13), introducing the matrix $R = \text{diag}(_1;_2;_n) 2 M_n (C)$,

$$R = S RS;$$
 (A.16)

which is equivalent to the assertion $S^{-1} = RSR$.

B Proof of lem m a 7.1

Let $G = G = G^{-1}$ be given in block structure by

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & T \end{pmatrix} 2 M_{2m} (C)$$
 (B.1)

and H (t) be given by (7.13) with U (t) = \overline{U} (t) = U (t). Since

$$G H (t)G = H (t); \overline{H (t)} = H (t);$$

$$(B 2)$$

and the eigenvalues of H (t) are real, it is readily veri ed that

$$GP_{j}$$
 (t) $G = P_{j}$ (t); P_{j} (t) = P_{j} (t); $j = 1$; ;m: (B.3)

Hence

$$K (t) = \int_{\frac{j-1}{2}}^{x^{n}} P_{j}^{0}(t) P_{j}(t) = \overline{K(t)} = GK(t)G;$$
 (B.4)

from which follows that the solution W (t) of

$$W^{0}(t) = K(t)W(t); W(0) = I$$
 (B.5)

satis es

$$W (t) = \overline{W (t)} = GW (t)G:$$
 (B.6)

As the m atrix of potentials U (0) is real sym m etric, its eigenvectors u_j (0) m ay be chosen real, so that we can assume that

$$'_{j}(0) = \frac{u_{j}(0)}{k_{j}(0)u_{j}(0)} 2 R^{2m}$$
: (B.7)

Thus it follows from the foregoing that

$$'_{i}$$
 (t) = W (t) $'_{i}$ (0) 2 R ^{2m} 8t2 R (B.8)

and satis es

$$G'_{j}(t) = GW(t)GG'_{j}(0) = W(t)G'_{j}(0) = '_{j}(t)$$
: (B.9)

Finally, the main consequence of (B 2) is that if (t) is a solution of

$$i^{"}$$
 (t) = H (t) (t); (B 10)

then '(t) = G (t) is another solution, as easily veri ed. Thus we can write with (7.21), (B.8) and (B.9) that

$$'(t) = X^{n} d_{j}^{+}(t)'_{j}^{+}(t)e^{i\frac{R_{t}}{0}k_{j}(t^{0})dt^{0}="} + X^{n} d_{j}(t)'_{j}(t)e^{i\frac{R_{t}}{0}k_{j}(t^{0})dt^{0}="}$$

$$= X^{n} \frac{1}{c_{j}^{+}(t)'_{j}(t)e^{i\frac{R_{t}}{0}k_{j}(t^{0})dt^{0}="} + X^{n} \frac{1}{c_{j}(t)'_{j}(t)e^{i\frac{R_{t}}{0}k_{j}(t^{0})dt^{0}="}; (B.11)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \frac{1}{c_{j}^{+}(t)'_{j}(t)e^{i\frac{R_{t}}{0}k_{j}(t^{0})dt^{0}="}; (B.11)$$

ie.

$$d_{j}^{+}(t) = \overline{c_{j}^{+}(t)}$$
 $d_{j}(t) = \overline{c_{j}^{+}(t)}; 8j = 1;$
;m; 8t 2 R: (B.12)

Finally, using the de nition (7.23) and the above property for t = $\,$ 1 , we get for any d (1) 2 C $^{\rm m}$

$$\frac{d^{+}(+1)}{d(+1)} = \frac{S_{++}}{S_{+}} \frac{S_{+}}{S_{+}} \frac{d^{+}(1)}{d(1)} = \frac{\overline{S}}{S_{+}} \frac{\overline{S}_{+}}{\overline{S}_{++}} \frac{d^{+}(1)}{d(1)}; \quad (B.13)$$

from which the result follows.

R eferences

- Ba] H. Baklouti, A sym ptotique de Largeurs de Resonnances pour un Modele d'E et Tunnel Microlocal, These de l'Universite de Paris Nord (1995).
- [Be] M. V. Berry, Histories of Adiabatic Quantum Transitions, Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond.A 429 (1990) 61-72.
- BE] S. Brundobler, V. Elser, S-Matrix for generalized Landau-Zener Problem, J.Phys. A 26 (1993) 1211-1227.
- [CH1] C.E.Carroll, F.T. Hioe, Generalization of the Landau-Zener Calculation to Three-Level Systems J.Phys.A. 19 (1986) 1151-1161.
- [CH2] C.E. Carroll, F.T. Hioe, Transition Probabilities for the Three-Level Landau-Zener Model J.Phys. A 19 (1986) 2061-2073.
- Dem kov,
 A diabatic Perturbation of Discrete Spectrum States, Sov.Phys.Dokl. 11 (1966)
 138.
- [F1] M. Fedoriuk, "M ethodes A sym ptotiques pour les Equations D i erentielles O rdinaires Lineaires", M ir M oscou 1987.
- F2] M.V. Fedoryuk, Analysis I, in "Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences", vol.13, R.V. Gamkrelidze edt., Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1989
- FF] N.From an, P.O.From an, "JW KB Approximation, Contributions to the Theory", North Holland 1965.
- [HP] J.-T. Hwang, P. Pechukas, The Adiabatic Theorem in the Complex Plane and the Sem i-Classical Calculation of Non-Adiabatic Transition Amplitudes, J.Chem Phys.67 (1977) 4640-4653.
- [J] A. Joye, Proof of the Landau-Zener Form ula, A sym ptotic Analysis 9 (1994) 209–258.
- [JKP] A. Joye, H. Kunz, C. E. P ster, Exponential Decay and Geometric Aspect of Transition Probabilities in the Adiabatic Limit, Ann Phys. 208 (1991) 299-332.
- [JP1] A. Joye, C.E. P ster, Exponentially Small Adiabatic Invariant for the Shhrodinger Equation, Commun M ath Phys. 140 (1991) 15-41.
- [JP2] A. Joye, C.E. P ster, Superadiabatic Evolution and Adiabatic Transition Probability between Two Non-degenerate Levels Isolated in the Spectrum, JM ath Phys.34 (1993) 454-479.
- [JP3] A. Joye, C. E. P. ster, Quantum Adiabatic Evolution, in Leuven Conference Proceedings; On the Three Levels Micro-Meso- and Macro-Approaches in Physics, M. Fannes, C. Meas, A. Verbeure edts., Plenum, New York, (1994) 139-148.
- [JP 4] A. Joye, C. E. P ster, Sem i-Classical Asymptotics beyond All Orders for Simple Scattering Systems, to appear in SIAM JM ath Anal. 26 (1995).
- [K] T.Kato, "Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators", Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1980.
- [Kr] S.G. Krein, "Linear Di erential Equations in Banach Spaces", American Mathematical Society Vol.29, Providence 1971.
- M] A.M artinez, Precise Exponential Estimates in Adiabatic Theory, J.M ath.Phys. 35 (1994) 3889-3915.

- MN] PhA.Martin, G.Nenciu, Sem i-Classical Inelastic S-Matrix for One-Dimensional N-States Systems, Rev.Math.Phys. 7 (1995) 193-242.
- M] G.Nenciu, Linear Adiabatic Theory and Applications: Exponential Estimates, Commun Math Phys. 152 (1993) 479-496.
- [D] FW J.O Lver, General Connection Formulae for Liouville-Green Approximations in the Complex Plane, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 289 (1978) 501-548.
- R] T.Ramond, Semiclassical Study of Quantum Scattering on the Line, Preprint Universite Paris Nord (1994).
- [Sj] J. Sjostrand, Projecteurs Adiabatiques du Point de Vue Pseudodi erentiel, C.R. Acad.Sci.Parist.317 Ser.I 22 (1993) 217-220.
- [So] E A. Solov'ev, Nonadiabatic Transitions in Atomic Collisions, SovPhysUsp.32 (1989) 228-250.
- W .W asow, "A sym ptotic Expansions for Ordinary Dierential Equations", John Wiley-Intersciences New York 1965.