Squeezed States for General Multiphoton Systems: Towards the Displacement-operator Formalism

 ${\rm H\ ong\text{--}C\ hen\ Fu}^1\ {\rm and\ R\ yu\ Sasak\ i}^2$

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, K yoto University K yoto 606-01, Japan

A bstract

We propose a displacement-operator approach to some aspects of squeezed states for general multiphoton systems. The explicit displacement-operators of the squeezed vacuum and the coherent states are achieved and expresses as the ordinary exponential form. As a byproduct the coherent states of the q-oscillator are obtained by the usual exponential displacement-operator.

PACS numbers: 03.65.w, 02.20.-a, 42.50.-p

keywords: squeezed states, coherenr states, squeezed vacuum, generalm ultiphoton systems, displacem ent-operator, q-oscillator

¹ JSPS Fellow.On leave of absence from Institute of Theoretical Physics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, PRChina.E-mail: hcfu@yukawakyoto-uac.p

² Supported partially by the grant-in-aid for Scienti c Research, Priority Area 231 \In nite Analysis" and General Research (C) in Physics, Japan M inistry of Education.

1 Introduction

It is well known that there are three equivalent de nitions of the coherent states of the harm onic oscillators, that is, (1) the displacement-operator acting on the vacuum states, (2) the eigenstates of the annihilation operator and (3) the minimum uncertainty states. Generalizations to the coherent states of arbitrary Lie groups were extensively studied in the literature [1, 2]. However, their extension to the squeezed states [3], which became more and more interesting in quantum optics [4] and gravitational wave detection [5], gave the equivalent results only for the harm onic oscillator. The minimum uncertainty method works well for both the coherent and squeezed states for any symmetry systems [6, 7] and the ladder-operator squeezed states for general systems are described in [8]. Both methods are closely connected as discussed in [8]. Now the exception is that there is no general approach to the displacement-operator squeezed states for the general systems although there are some works toward this goal [9].

In this letter we shall investigate squeezed states for the general multiphoton multim ode systems (see Eqs.(2), (7) and (47)). We rest formulate the algebras of multiphoton creation and annihilation operators, and then discuss the squeezed states from the ladder-operator de nition. For the zero squeezing case, we derive the explicit coherent state, which is written as an ordinary exponential displacement-operator acting on the vacuum state. The squeezed vacuum of this system is also explicitly obtained as an exponential displacement-operator, which is the squeeze operator, acting on the vacuum state. However, the product of coherent and the squeeze operators does not bring us the squeezed states equivalent to those obtained by the ladder-operator method. As a byproduct, the coherent states of the q-deformed oscillator [11] are obtained and expressed as the usual exponential displacement-operator acting on the vacuum states.

2 Multiphoton algebra

We rst recall the ladder-operator approach of Nieto and Truax. They describe that the general ladder-operator squeezed states are the eigenstates of a linear combination of the lowering and raising operators [8]

$$A + A^{y} ji = ji; (1)$$

where and are complex constants, A and its herm itian conjugate A y are the lowering (annihilation) and raising (creation) operators, respectively. They satisfy the commutation relation

$$\begin{array}{ll}
h & i \\
A; A^{Y} = C;
\end{array} (2)$$

in which C is an operator to be specified later. We consider the eigenvalue equation (1) in the parameter region j, j = j < 1. This means that the operator $A + A^y$ has more annihilation than creation operators (see (24)). Here we consider only the single mode case and generalization to multimode case will be discussed in section 4. In quantum optics A is usually the multiphoton lowering operator in the form

$$A = f(N)a^{m}; (3)$$

h i

where a and a^y are the annihilation and creation operators of the photon satisfying $a; a^y = 1$, $N = a^y a$, and m is a positive integer. As usual the Fock states of the oscillator a and

 a^y are denoted by jni, n=0;1;:::, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a Di=0, a D Di=0, a Di=0, a

$$AA^{y} = (N + 1)(N + 2)$$
 $(N + m)f(N)(Mf);$ (4)

It is obvious that we only need to restrict our discussions to the sector S_i (i=0;1;; m=1) spanned by the Fock states jnm + ii (n non-negative integers). Introducing the multiphoton number operator N $_i$ N (i=0;1;; m=1) in the sector S

$$N = \frac{1}{m} (N \quad i); \quad i = 0;1; \quad ;m \quad 1;$$
 (6)

and F(N + 1) (mN + 1 + i) (mN + m + i)f(mN + i)f(mN + i), we can recast the system (2), (4), (5) in the following form

$$A A^{y} = F (N + 1); \quad A^{y}A = F (N);$$

 $N ; A^{y} = A^{y}; \quad [N ; A] = A :$ (7)

These are the starting relations of this letter, which we call general intensity dependent m-photon algebra. 3 W ith these de nitions, the operator C in (2) is C = F(N + 1) - F(N). Note that the r.h.s. of (5) vanishes on the Fock states jni for 0 - n - m - 1, which implies F(0) = 0 in each sector.

The system (7) is general enough to cover m any interesting examples: The case m=1 and f(N)=1 is the harm onic oscillator; for m=1 and $f(N)=\frac{2k+N}{2k+N}$ (k 0) we recover the Holstein-Primako realization of the su (1;1) algebra [12] by identifying

$$K^{+} A^{y}$$
; $K A$; $K^{0} k + N$: (8)

The square realization of su (1;1) corresponds to: m = 2 and f(N) = 1=2

$$K^{+} \qquad \frac{1}{2} (a^{y})^{2}; \qquad K \qquad \frac{1}{2} a^{2};$$

$$K^{0} \qquad \frac{1}{2} N + \frac{1}{2} = N_{0} + \frac{1}{4} \text{ in } S_{0};$$

$$N_{1} + \frac{3}{4} \text{ in } S_{1};$$
(9)

Both realizations (8) and (9) satisfy the su(1;1) de ning relations

It is convenient to introduce an orthonorm albasis for $S_{\rm i}$

$$jni = \frac{1}{F(n)!} A^{y} j0i;$$
(11)

³A sim ilar algebra appeared in Heisenberg's theory of non-linear spinor dynamics [14], Eq.(9.6). We thank D. Fairlie for calling our attention to this paper.

where j0i = jii is the vacuum state of sector S_i satisfying A j0i = N j0i = 0 and F (n)! F (n)F (n 1) F (1); F (0)! 1. On this basis we have

$$A^{y}jni = \frac{q}{F(n+1)}jn + 1i;$$

$$Ajni = F(n)jn 1i;$$

$$Njni = njni:$$
 (12)

It is very tempting to apply the idea of the system (7) to the multiphoton (m-photon) coherent states of the q-deform ed oscillator. Let us choose (q is a real deform at ion parameter)

f(N)
$$\frac{1}{(N+1)} \frac{N}{(N+mn)} + 1;$$
 (13)

where [x] $(q^x q^x)=(q q^1)$, and de ne

$$b_{q}$$
 $A = f(N) a^{m}; b_{q}^{y}$ $A^{y} = (a^{y})^{m} f(N);$

$$N_{q} N + \frac{i}{m}; \qquad (14)$$

Then by using (4) and (5) we would obtain formally the following relations

$$b_{q}b_{q}^{y} = \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{(N+m)}{(N+m)} + 1$$

$$= N_{q} + 1;$$

$$b_{q}^{y}b_{q} = \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{(N+1)(N+2)} + \frac{N}{N}$$

$$= N_{q};$$
(15)

$$[N_q; b_q^y] = b_q^y; [N_q; b_q] = b_q;$$
 (17)

Eqs.(15),(16),(17) are in fact a multiphoton realization of the q-oscillator,

$$b_{q}b_{q}^{y}$$
 $qb_{q}^{y}b_{q} = q^{N_{q}}$: (18)

(The case m = 1 was found in [15]. See also [16], [17] and [18].) It should be remarked that the eigenvalues of N_g are not integers except for the i = 0 sector.

By close inspection, however, one nds that the relation (16)

$$b_{\lambda}^{d}b^{d} = [N^{d}]$$

is not true on the vacuum in each sector S_i (i 1 and m > 1). Obviously the vacuum of the i-th sector jDi = jii vanishes when applied by b_a ,

$$b_{\alpha}$$
 $\ddot{\beta}$ $\dot{\beta}$ $\dot{\beta}$

On the other hand, as remarked above, $[N_q]j0i = [\frac{1}{m}]j0i$ is non-vanishing for i 1. This apparent inconsistency is caused by 0=0=1 in (16), since N i in the numerator and denominator vanish on j0i=jii. To sum up, the relations (18) and (16) are broken only by the vacuum expectation value and all the other relations are correct. It would be very interesting if one could not physical applications of the \spontaneously broken q-deformed multi-photon coherent states. 4

 $^{^4}$ If we introduce the intensity and sector-dependent multiphoton coupling then we can obtain the q-deformed oscillator in each sector. Namely, if we do not a $a_q = \frac{N+1}{N+1} a^m$ in each sector, then it is easy to see that $a_q a_q^{\gamma} = N+1$ and $a_q^{\gamma} a_q = N$ are satisfied as operator equations.

3 Squeezed states

Now we shall solve the eigenvalue equation (1). We expand the state jias

$$j i = \sum_{n=0}^{x^{1}} C_{n} j_{n} i;$$
 (20)

Then equation (1) leads to the following recursion relations

$$C_{n+1} = z^{\frac{V}{U}} \frac{\overline{F(n)}}{F(n+1)} C_{n+1} + \frac{q}{\overline{F(n+1)}} C_{n};$$

$$C_{1} = \frac{q}{F(1)} C_{0};$$
(21)

where z = 0. We have not been able to obtain a closed expression of C $_n$ for the general case. We now consider some special cases.

3.1 Squeezed vacuum and squeeze operator

Let us $\,$ rst consider the squeezed vacuum $\,$ jvi annihilated by $\,$ A + $\,$ A y

$$A + A^{y} \dot{y}i = 0;$$
 (22)

and express it in terms of an exponential displacem ent-operator (squeeze operator) acting on the vacuum state. In this case with = 0, the C $_{\rm n}$'s are easily obtained as

$$C_{2k+1} = 0; \quad C_{2k} = C_0 z^{kt} \frac{W}{F(2k-1)!!};$$
 (23)

where F (2k)!! = F(2k)F(2k 2) F (2), F (2k 1)!! = F(2k 1)F(2k 3) F (1) and F (0)!! = F(1)!! 1. Then we have

$$jvi = C_0 \sum_{k=0}^{x^k} z^{k} \frac{\overline{F(2k-1)!!}}{F(2k)!!} j z^k i$$

$$= C_0 \sum_{k=0}^{x^k} z^k \frac{A^{y2}}{F(2k)!!} j 0 i; \qquad (24)$$

It is easy to check that the above in nite series converges if jzj < 1 under m ild assumptions on the asymptotic behavior of f(x), e.g., f(x) ' x for x! 1. By making use of the following identity

$$\frac{N}{F(N)}A^{y^2} = A^{y^2} \stackrel{k}{=} \frac{N+2}{F(N+2)} \frac{N+2k}{F(N+2k)};$$
(25)

we can rewrite (24) as

$$jyi = C_0 \sum_{k=0}^{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{z}{2} A^{y^2} \sum_{k=0}^{x} \frac{(N+2)}{F(N+2)} \frac{(N+2k)}{F(N+2k)}$$

$$= C_0 \exp \frac{zN}{2F(N)} A^{y^2} j0i; \qquad (26)$$

Following the term inology of the oscillator, the operator

$$S(z) = C_0 \exp \frac{zN}{2F(N)} A^{y^2}$$
 (27)

is referred to as the generalized squeeze operator.

3.2 Multiphoton coherent states

Next let us consider the case = z = 0. In this case the equation (1) reduces to the eigenvalue equation of the annihilation operator A and the resulting states are the coherent states. From Eq.(21) with z = 0 we can easily obtain the C_n 's as

$$C_n = \frac{C_0^n}{F(n)!}; \tag{28}$$

where = = . Then the eigenstate j = i ji is obtained as

$$j i = C_0 \sum_{n=0}^{x^2} \frac{n}{F(n)!} (A^y)^n j Di$$
: (29)

In term softhe so-called deform ed exponential function $\exp_F(x) = \frac{P}{n=0} \frac{x^n}{F(n)!}$ one can express the coherent state as $j i = C_0 \exp_F(A^y) j 0i$. Here we would rather like to use the usual exponential displacement-operator. To do this, we use the following relation

$$\frac{N}{F(N)}A^{Y} = (A^{Y})^{n} \frac{N+1}{F(N+1)} \frac{N+n}{F(N+n)};$$
(30)

and rewrite the Eq.(29) in the following form

$$ji = C_0 \frac{X^{\frac{1}{N}}}{n!} \frac{n}{F(N)} A^{\frac{1}{N}} jDi$$

$$= C_0 \exp \frac{N}{F(N)} A^{\frac{1}{N}} jDi; \qquad (31)$$

The operator

D()
$$C_0 \exp \frac{N}{F(N)} A^{\gamma}$$
 (32)

is the coherent displacem ent-operator in the form of the ordinary exponential function.

Let us rem ark that two displacem ent operators \exp_F (A y) and $\exp_F \frac{N}{F(N)}$ A y are essentially dierent, although they give rise to the same coherent states by acting on the vacuum state.

We would like to mention that the coherent state (31) is the same as that given in [10]. Indeed, one can easily show that

$$A; \frac{N}{F(N)} A^{Y} = 1$$
 (33)

and therefore

$$[A; D()] = D();$$
 (34)

which leads to Eq.(31) im mediately.

The next example is the square realization of su (1;1), (9). In this case (N =F (N))A $^y = \frac{1}{N-1}$ (a y) 2 in the sector S_0 and (N =F (N))A $^y = \frac{1}{N}$ (a y) 2 in the sector S_1 . Then we obtain the well-known two-component coherent states of su (1;1):

$$\dot{j}^{0} \dot{i}_{0} = C_{0} \frac{\dot{x}^{k}}{k=0} \frac{0^{2k}}{(2k)!} \mathcal{D}_{k} \dot{i}; \qquad ^{0} = ^{p} \frac{1}{2};$$

$$\dot{j}^{0} \dot{i}_{1} = C_{0}^{0} \frac{\dot{x}^{k}}{k=0} \frac{0^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \mathcal{D}_{k} + 1 \dot{i}; \quad C_{0}^{0} = C_{0}^{0} \stackrel{0}{:} : \qquad (35)$$

Note that in (35) we use the usual Fock states.

It should be mentioned that the coherent states of the q-deform ed oscillators (m=1 case) can also be obtained by inserting (13) and (14) into the general form ula (31). We have advanced the understanding of the problem on two points, that is, (i) the displacement-operator is expressed by the usual exponential form [16], not the so-called q-deformed exponential function [11,16]; (ii) the q-deformed oscillator admits the multi-component squeezed and coherent states through its multiphoton realization (14) but the relationship is broken by the vacuum expectation value. The above presentation can also be applied to the case of the quantum algebra su $(1;1)_q$.

3.3 Squeezed states

We have constructed the coherent displacement-operator D () and the squeeze operator S (z). For the oscillator, we know that the state D ()S (z) $\hat{D}i$

is just the squeezed state, which is also an eigenstate of $a + a^y$. However, for the general case here, the state D ()S (z)jDi are not the squeezed state equivalent to the ladder-operator de nition, namely, it is not an eigenstate of $A + A^y$. The coherent displacement-operator D () is a good operator in the sense that it enjoys the following property

$$D ()AD () = A + ;$$
 (37)

which can be easily obtained from the following identity

$$e^{P}Qe^{P} = Q + P;Q + \frac{2}{2!}P;P;Q + \vdots$$
(38)

However, the squeeze operator S(z) does not keep the Holstein-Primako /Bogoliubov transform ation, namely

$$S^{1}(z)AS(z) \in A + A^{y}$$
: (39)

This is why the state D ()S (z)j \bar{D} i is not an eigenstate of A + A \bar{P} , as argued in the paper [9].

Now let us consider another special case, = 2 or z = 1 2 . In this case it is easy to see that the coe cient C $_n$ can be written as $C_n = ^b$ (n;) n and the b (n;) satisfying

the following relation

$$e^{b}(n+1;) = \frac{1}{e^{b}} \frac{\overline{F(n)}}{F(n+1)} e^{b}(n-1;) + \frac{1}{\overline{F(n+1)}} e^{b}(n;);$$

$$e^{b}(1;) = \frac{q}{F(1)} e^{b}(0;); \qquad (40)$$

where $^{\circ}$ (0;) $^{\circ}$ C $^{\circ}$ is a constant independent of . Now we introduce a new symbol by

then B (n;) is determined by

$$\mathfrak{S} (n + 1;) = {}^{1} \mathfrak{S} (n;) + \mathfrak{F} (n) \mathfrak{S} (n 1;) ;$$

$$\mathfrak{S} (1;) = {}^{1} \mathfrak{C}_{0} : \tag{42}$$

The squeezed state j; i is obtained as

It is easy to show that the above in nite series converges for jl=j<1 and jj<1 under about the same assumptions on the asymptotic behavior of f(x) as before. It is interesting to note for $F(n)=n^2$ and $f(n)=n^2$ and $f(n)=n^2$ and $f(n)=n^2$ are the creation part of the operator $f(n)=n^2$ can be considered as a small perturbation to the annihilation part.

Recall that for the oscillator, the squeezed state can also be written as

$$j; zi = D ()S (z) \forall i S ()D () \forall i;$$
(44)

where = $\cosh r$ $e^i \sinh r$ ($z = re^i$). The operator θ (N;) seems to play the role of a squeeze operator, but not exactly. It contains only the number operator N. In comparison with the oscillator case, one nds that θ (N;) is obviously dierent from the squeeze operator S(z).

Although the states D ()S (z)jDi and S (z)D ()jDi are not the eigenstates of $A + A^y$, they might be important quantum states in quantum optics. Investigation of their non-classical properties will be of signicance. We shall consider this problem in a separate paper.

4 Multimode generalization

The above form alism can be easily generalized to the multim ode case. For simplicity we consider only the two-mode case [19]. Generalization to multimode is straightforward. Consider the two-mode photon eld described by two independent modes

$$[a;a^{y}] = 1; \quad [b;b^{y}] = 1;$$
 (45)

and introduce a two-mode multiphoton annihilation operator

$$A = f(N_1; N_2)a^m b^n;$$
 (46)

where $N_1 = a^y a$; $N_2 = b^y b$, f is an arbitrary function with $f(n_1; n_2) \in 0$ for $n_1; n_2$ non-negative integers. Note that f is not necessarily written as $f(N_1; N_2) = f_1(N_1) f_2(N_2)$. Then we have

$$AA^{y} = F (N_{1} + 1; N_{2} + 1); \quad A^{y}A = F (N_{1}; N_{2});$$

 $[N_{1}; A^{y}] = A^{y}; \quad [N_{1}; A] = A; \quad (i = 1; 2);$
(47)

w here

This algebra is de ned on a subspace S_{ij} of the sector S_{ij} . A convenient orthonormal basis of S_{ij} is given by (k = 0;1;2;

$$\frac{1}{\text{F }(k;k)!} (A^{y})^{k} ji; ji / jkm + i; kn + ji:$$
(49)

The representation on S_{ij} is

$$A^{y}jki = \begin{cases} q & \underline{\qquad} \\ F(k+1;k+1)jk+1i; \\ q & \underline{\qquad} \\ Ajki = F(k;k)jk-1i; \end{cases}$$

$$N_{1}jki = N_{2}jki=kjki:$$
 (50)

W e consider the eigenvalue equation

$$A + A^{y} ji = ji$$
: (51)

These states are degenerate. The degeneracy can be lifted by assuming that the (m + n) photons are either created or annihilated together. This means the following conservation law

$$(N_1 N_2) j i = 0:$$
 (52)

In the representation (50) the condition is ful led automatically.

By identifying F (k;k) here with F (k) in section 2, the representation (50) takes the same form as (12). So, form ally, the squeezed states can be investigated in the same manner as those in section 2.

5 Conclusion

So far we have described a displacem ent-operator form alism of the squeezed states for the general sym m etry system. The coherent displacem ent-operator and the squeeze operator are explicitly constructed. A lithough these two operators are equivalent to the ladder-operator de nition, their product does not bring us the squeezed states consistent with the ladder-operator de nition, due to the lack of Holstein-Primako /Bogoliubov transform ation. However, we can expect that the states D ()S (z)jDi and S (z)D ()jDi play important roles in quantum optics and it is a good challenge to study their non-classical properties.

As a special case of this form alism, we obtain the coherent displacement-operator of the q-deformed oscillator, which takes the form of the usual exponential function form.

This form alism can also be applied to the systems with self-similar potentials [20]. In these systems, the dynamical symmetry algebra (called q-ladder algebra) belongs to the general category (7). In the isospectral oscillator ham iltonian systems [21], the creation and the annihilation operators also enjoy the algebraic structure (7) and therefore their coherent states can be studied as a special case of this letter. In particular, by making use of the techniques in section 3, some interesting results on the relation of this coherent and squeezed states with those of the oscillator can be found. The concrete properties of the various coherent states arising from them will be published in a separate paper.

A cknow ledgm ents

We thank D. Fairlie, P.Kulish, M. Pillin and C. Zachos for useful comments. H.C. Fu is grateful to Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) for the fellowship. He is also supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China.

R eferences

- [1] J.R.K lauder and B.S.Skagerstam, Coherent states | Applications in Physics and mathematical Physics (World Scientic, Singapore, 1985).
- [2] W.-M. Zhang, D.H. Feng and R.Gilmore, Rev.Mod.Phys.62 (1990) 867.
- [3] H.P.Yuen, Phys.Rev.A 13 (1976) 2226; J.N. Hollenhorst, Phys.Rev.D 19 (1979) 1669.
- [4] Workshop on squeezed states and Uncertainty Relations, eds D. Han, Y.S.Kim and W.W. Zachary, NASA Conference Publication No.3135 (NASA, Washington DC, 1992).
- [5] C.M.Caves, K.S.Thome, R.W.P.Drever, V.D.Sandbery and M.Zimmerman, Rev.Mod.Phys.52 (1980) 341.
- [6] M.M.Nieto and L.M.Simmons, Jr., Phys.Rev.Lett.41 (1978) 207; Phys.Rev.D 20 (1979) 1321.
- [7] M.M. Nieto and L.M. Simmons, Jr., Phys.Rev.D 20 (1979) 1332.
- [8] M.M. Nieto and D.R. Truax, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 2843.
- [9] M.M. Nieto and D.R. Truax, Preprint LA-UR-95-1996, quant-ph/9506025.

- [10] P.Shanta, S.Chaturvedi, V.Srinivasan and G.S.Agarwal, Phys.Rev.Lett.72 (1994) 1447.
- [11] L.C.Biedenham, J.Phys.A 22 (1989) L873; A.J.M acfarlane, J.Phys.A 22 (1989) 4581;
 C.P.Sun and H.C.Fu, J.Phys.A 22 (1989) L983.
- [12] T. Holstein and H. Primako, Phys. Rev. 58 (1940) 1098.
- [13] V.V.Dodonov, I.A.Malkin and V.I.Man'ko, Physica 72 (1974) 597.
- [14] H.Ram pacher, H.Stum pf and F.W. agner, Fortschritte der Physik 13 (1965) 385.
- [15] X.C.Song, J.Phys.A 23 (1990) 821.
- [16] P.P.Kulish and E.V.Damaskinsky, J.Phys.A 23 (1990) L415; M.Chaichian and P.Kulish Phys.Lett.B 234 (1990) 72; E.Celeghini, M.Rasetti and G.Vitiello, Phys.Rev.Lett.66 (1991) 2056; D.B.Fairlie and J.Nuyts, J.M ath.Phys.34 (1993) 4441.
- [17] C.Zachos, Contemp. Math. 134 (1992) 351.
- [18] M. Pillin, Preprint YITP/U-95-32, q-alg/9508014.
- [19] G.S.Prakash and G.S.Agarwal, Phys.Rev.A52 (1995) 2335.
- [20] S.Skorik and V.Spiridonov, in: Third International Workshop on squeezed states and Uncertainty relations, eds. D.Han, Y.S.Kim, N.H.Rubin and W.W.Zachary (NASA Conference Publications 3270, 1993).
- [21] B.M ielnik, J.M ath. Phys. 25 (1984) 3387; D.J. Fernandez, C.V. Hussin and L.M. Nieto, J. Phys. A 27 (1994) 3547.