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#### Abstract

W e propose a displacem ent-operator approach to som e aspects of squeezed states for general m ultiphoton system s . The explicit displacem ent-operators of the squeezed vacuum and the coherent states are achieved and expresses as the ordinary exponential form. A s a byproduct the coherent states of the $q$-oscillator are obtained by the usual exponential displacem ent-operator.
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## 1 Introduction

It is well known that there are three equivalent de nitions of the coherent states of the harm onic oscillators, that is, (1) the displacem ent-operator acting on the vacuum states, (2) the eigenstates of the annihilation operator and (3) the minim um uncertainty states. $G$ eneralizations to the coherent states of arbitrary Lie groups were extensively studied in the literature [1], 2]. H ow ever, their extension to the squeezed states [3], which becam em ore and $m$ ore interesting in quantum optics [7] and gravitational wave detection 5] [, gave the equivalent results only for the harm onic oscillator. Them inim um unœertainty m ethod works well for both the coherent and squeezed states for any sym $m$ etry system $s$ 目, 7] and the ladder-operator squeezed states for general system $s$ are described in 8$]$. B oth $m$ ethods are
 to the displacem ent-operator squeezed states for the general system salthough there are som e works tow ard this goal [9].

In this letter we shall investigate squeezed states for the generalm ultiphoton multim ode system s (see Eqs. (2) , 园) and (47)). We rst form ulate the algebras ofm ultiphoton creation and annihilation operators, and then discuss the squeezed states from the ladder-operator de nition. For the zero squeezing case, we derive the explicit coherent state, which is w ritten as an ordinary exponentialdisplacem ent-operator acting on the vacuum state. T he squeezed vacuum of this system is also explicitly obtained as an exponential displacem ent-operator, which is the squeeze operator, acting on the vacuum state. H ow ever, the product of coherent and the squeeze operators does not bring us the squeezed states equivalent to those obtained by the ladder-operator $m$ ethod. As a byproduct, the coherent states of the $q$-deform ed oscillator [11] are obtained and expressed as the usual exponential displacem ent-operator acting on the vacuum states.

## 2 M ultịphoton algebra

W e rst recall the ladder-operator approach of $N$ ieto and $T$ ruax. They describe that the general ladder-operator squeezed states are the eigenstates of a linear com bination of the lowering and raising operators [G]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+A^{Y} j i=j i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and are complex constants, A and its hem itian conjugate $A^{y}$ are the lowering (annihilation) and raising (creation) operators, respectively. They satisfy the com mutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{A ; A^{y}}{ }^{i}=C ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $C$ is an operator to be speci ed later. W e consider the eigenvalue equation (il) in the param eter region $\dot{\mathcal{Z}} j=j=j<1$. This means that the operator $A+A{ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ has m ore annihilation than creation operators (see (24)). H ere we consider only the single $m$ ode case and generalization to $m$ ultim ode case will.be discussed in section 4. In quantum optics A is usually the multiphoton low ering operator in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{f}(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{m}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

h i
where $a$ and $a^{y}$ are the annihilation and creation operators of the photon satisfying $a ; a^{y}=$ $1, N=a^{y} a$, and $m$ is a positive integer. A s usual the Fock states of the oscillator $a$ and
 $T$ he function $f(\mathbb{N})$ speci es the intensity dependent coupling, which is in general com plex and we assum e that $f(x)$ does not have zeros at non-negative integer values of $x$. By using $a a^{Y}=N+1, a^{2}\left(a^{Y}\right)^{2}=(N+1)(N+2)$, etc, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {A A^{Y}}^{y}=(\mathbb{N}+1)(\mathbb{N}+2) \quad(\mathbb{N}+m) f(\mathbb{N}) ;  \tag{4}\\
& A^{Y} A=(\mathbb{N} m+1)(\mathbb{N} \quad m+2) \quad \mathbb{N} f(\mathbb{N} \quad m \in m): \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that we only need to restrict our discussions to the sector $S_{i}(i=0 ; 1$; ;m spanned by the Fock states jnm + ii ( n non-negative integers). Introducing the m ultiphoton num ber operator $N_{i} \quad N \quad(i=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; m \quad 1)$ in the sector $S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}(\mathbb{N} \quad \text { i); } \quad i=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{m} \quad 1 ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad(m N+1+i) \quad(m N+m+i) f(m N+i k f+i)$, we can recast the system (2), (4), (5) in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\mathrm{y}}=\underset{i}{ } \mathrm{~F}^{(N+1) ;} A^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N}) ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{A}\right]=\mathrm{A}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

These are the starting relations of this letter, which we call general intensity dependent $m$ photon algebra. [] W ith these de nitions, the operator $C$ in (B) is $C=F(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad F(\mathbb{N})$. N ote that the rh.s. of ( 5 ) vanishes on the Fock states jini for $0 \quad n \quad m \quad 1$, which implies $\mathrm{F}(0)=0$ in each sector.

The system ( 7 ) is general enough to cover $m$ any interesting ${ }_{p} \frac{\text { exam ples: }}{2 k+N}(k) 0$ we case $m=1$ and $f(\mathbb{N})=1$ is the harm onic oscillator; form $=1$ and $f(\mathbb{N})=\overline{2 k+N}(k \quad 0)$ we recover the H olstein P rim ako realization of the su $(1 ; 1)$ algebra (12] by identifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{+} \quad A^{y} ; \quad K \quad A ; K^{0} \quad K+N \text { : } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square realization of $s u(1 ; 1)$ corresponds to: $m=2$ and $f(\mathbb{N})=1=2$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{K}^{+} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)^{2} ; \mathrm{K} \\
\mathrm{~K}^{0} & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}+\frac{1}{2}=\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} ; \\
\mathrm{N}_{0}+\frac{1}{4} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{1}+\frac{3}{4} \\
\text { in } S_{0} ; \\
\text { in } S_{1}:
\end{array} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

B oth realizations (8) and (G) satisfy the su $(1 ; 1)$ de ning relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{K}}^{+} ; \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{i}} & =2 \mathrm{~K}^{0} ; \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{h}} ; \mathrm{K}^{+}=\mathrm{K}^{+} ;  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{h}} ; \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{i}} & =
\end{align*}
$$

It is convenient to introduce an orthonorm albasis for $S_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Z} i=q \frac{1}{F(n)!} A^{y}{ }^{n} j 0 i ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $\mathrm{j} D \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{ji}$ is the vacuum state of sector $S_{i}$ satisfying $\mathrm{A} j \mathrm{O} i=N \quad \mathrm{j} O \mathrm{i}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{n})$ ! F ( n$) \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{n} 1) \quad \mathrm{F}(1) ; \mathrm{F}(0)!1.0 \mathrm{n}$ this basis we have
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{n}+1)} \mathfrak{j} \mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{i} ; \\
& \mathrm{A} \text { 肌 } i=F(n) \mathfrak{j n} 1 i ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

It is very tem pting to apply the idea of the system (7) to the multiphoton ( $m$-photon) coherent states of the q-deform ed oscillator. Let us choose (q is a realdeform ation param eter)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \frac{1}{(\mathbb{N}+1)}\left(\mathbb{N}+\frac{\mathrm{Nm}}{\mathrm{~N}}+1^{)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ;\right. \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x] \quad\left(q^{x} \quad q^{x}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & q^{1}\end{array}\right)$, and de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{f}(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{m}} ; \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{y}}=\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{f}(\mathbb{N}) ; \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\frac{i}{\mathrm{~m}}: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by using (4) and (5) we would obtain form ally the follow ing relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{(\mathbb{N}+1)(\mathbb{N}+2)}{(\mathbb{N}+1)(\mathbb{N}+2)} \quad(\mathbb{N}+\underset{\sim}{\mathbb{N}})^{(N+}{ }^{( }+1\right] \\
& \left.=\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{q}}+1\right] ;  \tag{15}\\
& \left.\left.b_{q}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{(\mathbb{N} \quad m+1)(\mathbb{N} \quad m+2)}{(\mathbb{N} \quad m+1)(\mathbb{N}} \quad \mathrm{m}+2\right) \quad \mathbb{N}_{N^{q}}^{\mathbb{N}}\right] \\
& \left.=\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{q}}\right] ;  \tag{16}\\
& \left.\left.\mathbb{N}_{q} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad\right]=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \quad \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}\right]=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}}: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs.(15),(16),(17) are in fact a multiphoton realization of the q-oscillator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{q} b_{q}^{y} \quad \mathrm{qb}_{q}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}=q^{N_{q}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T he case $m=1$ was found in 13]. Se also [16], 17] and 18].) It should be rem arked that the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are not integers except for the $\mathrm{i}=0$ sector.

By close inspection, how ever, one nds that the relation (16)

$$
\left.b_{q}^{y} b_{q}=\mathbb{N}_{q}\right]
$$

is not true on the vacuum in each sector $S_{i}$ ( $i \quad 1$ and $m>1$ ). Obviously the vacuum of the $i$-th sector $\mathcal{j} 0 i=\ddot{j} i$ vanishes when applied by $b_{q}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{q} \mathcal{j} D i=f(\mathbb{N}) a^{m} \ddot{j} i=0 ; \quad i=0 ; 1 ;::: ; m \quad 1: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, as rem arked above, $\left.\mathbb{N}_{q}\right] j \mathrm{j} i=\left[\frac{i}{m}\right] \bar{j} \mathrm{Di}$ is non-vanishing for $i \quad$ 1. This apparent inconsistency is caused by $0=0=1$ in (16), since $N \quad i$ in the num erator and denom inator vanish on $\mathrm{jDi}=\mathrm{ji}$. To sum up, the relations (18) and (16) are broken only by the vacuum expectation value and all the other relations are correct. It would be very interesting if one could nd physical applications of the \spontaneously broken" q-deform ed m ulti-photon coherent states. [6]
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## 3 Squeezed states

N ow we shall solve the eigenvalue equation (1). W e expand the state $j$ i as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i={ }_{n=0}^{x_{n}} C_{n} \nexists n i ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (1) leads to the follow ing recursion relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{n+1}=z^{\text {u }} \frac{\mathrm{U}}{\frac{F(n)}{F(n+1)}} C_{n 1}+\frac{q}{F(n+1)} C_{n} ; \\
& C_{1}=-Q_{F(1)} C_{0} ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}=\quad=. \mathrm{W}$ e have not been able to obtain a closed expression of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for the general case. W e now consider som e special cases.

### 3.1 Squeezed vacuum and squeeze operator

Let us rst consider the squeezed vacuum jvi annihilated by $A+A$ y

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+A^{y} j v i=0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and express it in term $s$ of an exponential displacem ent-operator (squeeze operator) acting on the vacuum state. In this case $w$ th $=0$, the $C_{n}$ 's are easily obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 k+1}=0 ; \quad C_{2 k}=C_{0} z^{\mathrm{k} k} \frac{\mathrm{u}}{\underline{\underline{t}}} \frac{\overline{F(2 k} 1)!!}{F(2 k)!!} ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{k})!!=\mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{F}\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{k} & 2) \\ \mathrm{F}\end{array} \mathrm{F}(2), \mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{k} \quad 1)!!=\mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{k} \quad 1) \mathrm{F}(2 \mathrm{k} \quad 3) \quad \mathrm{F}(1)\right.$ and $F(0)!!=F(1)!!\quad 1 . T$ hen we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& j v i=C_{0}{ }_{k=0}^{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{L}}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{kt}} \overline{\mathrm{M}(2 k \quad 1)!!} \overline{F(2 k)!!} j 2 k i \\
& =C_{0}{ }_{k=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}}{z^{k}}_{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{k}}}}^{\mathrm{F}(2 k)!!} \mathrm{JDi}: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that the above in nite series converges if $\dot{k} j<1$ under $m$ ild assum ptions on the asym ptotic behavior of $f(x)$, e.g., $f(x)^{\prime} x$ for $x!1$. By making use of the follow ing identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A^{y 2}}}{ }^{!{ }_{k}}=A^{y^{2} k} \frac{N+2}{F(N+2)} \frac{N+2 k}{F(\mathbb{N}+2 k)} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can rew rite 24) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =C_{0} \exp \frac{z N}{2 F(N)} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{y}^{2}} \mathrm{jDi}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Follow ing the term inology of the oscillator, the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(z)=C_{0} \exp \frac{z N}{2 F(\mathbb{N})} A^{\mathrm{y}^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is referred to as the generalized squeeze operator.

### 3.2 M ultiphoton coherent states

Next let us consider the case $=\mathrm{z}=0$. In this case the equation (1) reduces to the eigenvalue equation of the annihilation operator $A$ and the resulting states are the coherent states. From Eq. (21) w th $z=0$ we can easily obtain the $C_{n}$ 's as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{C_{0}{ }^{n}}{F(n)!} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $==$. Then the eigenstate $j=i \quad j i$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=C_{0}^{X^{n}} \frac{n}{F(n)!}\left(A^{y}\right)^{n} j D i: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term softhe so-called deform ed exponentialfunction $\exp _{F}(x)={ }^{P}{ }_{n=0} \frac{x^{n}}{\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{n})!}$ one can express the coherent state as $j i=C 0 \exp _{F}\left(A^{y}\right) j 0 i$. H ere we would rather like to use the usual exponential displacem ent-operator. To do this, we use the follow ing relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A^{Y}}}{ }^{!}=\left(A^{Y}\right)^{n} \frac{N+1}{F(\mathbb{N}+1)} \quad \frac{N+n}{F(N+n)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and rew rite the Eq. 29) in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
j i & =C_{0}^{X} \frac{n}{n} \frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A}} A^{!}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{D} D i} \\
& =C_{0} \exp \frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A^{Y}}} \mathrm{JDi}: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D() \quad C_{0} \exp \frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})} A^{y} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the coherent displacem ent-operator in the form of the ordinary exponential function.
Let us rem ark that two displacem ent operators $\exp _{F}\left(A^{y}\right)$ and $\exp \frac{N}{F(N)} A^{y}$ are essentially di erent, although they give rise to the sam e coherent states by acting on the vacuum state.

W e would like to mention that the coherent state [31) is the sam e as that given in [10]. Indeed, one can easily show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A ; \frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A}}{ }^{\#}=1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A} ; D()]=D() ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to Eq. (31) im m ediately.
The next exam ple is the square realization of $\mathrm{su}(1 ; 1),(\mathrm{G})$. In this case $(\mathbb{N}=F(\mathbb{N})) A^{y}=$ $\frac{1}{N 1}\left(a^{Y}\right)^{2}$ in the sector $S_{0}$ and $\left.\mathbb{N}=F(\mathbb{N})\right) A^{Y}=\frac{1}{N}\left(a^{Y}\right)^{2}$ in the sector $S_{1}$. Then we obtain the well-known two-com ponent coherent states of su $(1 ; 1)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j^{0} \dot{i}_{0}=C_{0}{ }_{k=0}^{X^{1}}{ }_{(2 k)!}^{02 k}-2 k i ; & { }^{0}=\mathrm{P} \overline{2} ; \\
j^{0} \dot{i}_{1}=C_{0}^{0}{ }_{k=0}^{X^{X}} \frac{02 k+1}{(2 k+1)!} 2 k+1 i ; & C_{0}^{0}=C_{0}{ }^{01}: \tag{35}
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that in (35) we use the usualFock states.
It should be $m$ entioned that the coherent states of the $q$-deform ed oscillators ( $m=1$ case) can also be obtained by inserting (13) and (14) into the general form ula (31). W e have advanced the understanding of the problem on two points, that is, (i) the displacem entoperator is expressed by the usual exponential form 16], not the so-called q-deform ed exponential function 11, 16]; (ii) the q-deform ed oscillator adm its the m ulti-com ponent squeezed and coherent states through its multiphoton realization (14) but the relationship is broken by the vacuum expectation value. T he above presentation can also be applied to the case of the quantum algebra su $(1 ; 1)_{q}$.

### 3.3 Squeezed states

W e have constructed the coherent displacem ent-operator D ( ) and the squeeze operator S (z). For the oscillator, we know that the state D ( )S (z) joi

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { j;zi } \quad D() S(z) j 0 i=C 0 e^{a^{y}} e^{\frac{z}{2} a^{y 2}}-j 0 i \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

is just the squeezed state, which is also an eigenstate of $a+a^{y}$. How ever, for the general case here, the state D ( )S (z) jDi are not the squeezed state equivalent to the ladder-operator de nition, nam ely, it is not an eigenstate of $A+A^{y}$. The coherent displacem ent-operator D () is a good operator in the sense that it en joys the follow ing property

$$
\begin{equation*}
D() A D()=A+; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be easily obtained from the follow ing identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.e^{P} Q e^{P}=Q+\mathbb{P} ; Q\right]+\frac{2}{2!} \mathbb{P} ; \mathbb{P} ; Q\right]\right]+\quad: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, the squeeze operator $S(z)$ does not keep the $H$ olstein $-P$ rim ako /B ogoliubov transform ation, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1}(z) A S(z) \not A+A^{y}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is why the state $D() S(z) j D i$ is not an eigenstate of $A+A{ }^{y}$, as argued in the paper [].

N ow let us consider another special case, $=2$ or $z=12$. In this case it is easy to se that the coe cient $C_{n}$ can be written as $C_{n}=C^{\infty}(n \text {; })^{n}$ and the d ( $n$; ) satisfying
the follow ing relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { d. }(1 ;)=\quad \mathrm{F} \overline{\mathrm{~F}(1)}{ }^{1} \text { ¢ }(0 \text {; ); } \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{\text {® }}(0 ;) \quad C_{0}$ is a constant independent of . $N$ ow we introduce a new sym bolby

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(n ;) \quad \text { 也 }(n ;)^{q} \overline{F(n)!} ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $B(n$; $)$ is determ ined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(n+1 ;)=1 \quad B(n ;)+F(n) B(n \quad 1 ;) ; \\
& B(1 ;)={ }^{1} C_{0}: \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The squeezed state j;i is obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{\substack{n=0 \\
X^{X}}(n ;) \frac{n}{F(n)!}\left(A^{y}\right)^{n} j 0 i}^{X_{n}} \\
& =x_{n=0}^{X^{2}} \frac{1}{n!} \quad \frac{N}{F(N)} A^{A^{Y}} B(\mathbb{N}+n ;) j 0 i \\
& ={ }_{n=0}^{X^{\mathbb{1}}} \frac{1}{n!} B(\mathbb{N} ;) \quad \frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A^{y}}}{ }^{!n} j D i \\
& =\Phi(\mathbb{N} ;) \exp \frac{N}{F(\mathbb{N})^{A}} A^{y} j 0 i \\
& =\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{N} ;) \mathrm{D}() \mathrm{JDi} \mathrm{i}: \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that the above in nite series converges for $j=j<1$ and $j<1$ under about the sam e assum ptions on the asym ptotic behavior off $(x)$ asbefore. It is interesting to note for $F(n)=n^{2}$ and $=1$, the recursion relations can be solved explicitly: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n} ; 1)=\mathrm{C}_{0}=$ $n$ !. In this case the creation part of the operator $A+A^{y}\left(={ }^{2}\right)$ can be considered as a sm all perturbation to the annihilation part.

Recall that for the oscillator, the squeezed state can also be w ritten as
j;zi= D ( )S (z) j0i S ( )D ( ) j0i;
where $=\cosh r \quad e^{i} \sinh r\left(z=r e^{i}\right)$. The operator $\bar{D}(\mathbb{N} ;)$ seem $s$ to play the role of a squeeze operator, but not exactly. It contains only the num ber operator N . In com parison w ith the oscillator case, one nds that $\bar{\square}(\mathbb{N}$; ) is obviously di erent from the squeeze operator $S(z)$.

A though the states D ( )S (z) j0i and S (z)D ( ) jDi are not the eigenstates of A + A Y, they $m$ ight be im portant quantum states in quantum optics. Investigation of their nonclassical properties will be of signi cance. We shall consider this problem in a separate paper.

## 4 M ultim ode generalization

T he above form alism can be easily generalized to the $m$ ultim ode case. For sim plicity we consider only the tw ofm ode case [19]. G eneralization to m ultim ode is straightforw ard. C onsider the twom ode photon eld described by two independent modes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a ; a^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1 ; \quad\left[b ; b^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1 ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce a two-m ode m ultiphoton annihilation operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=f\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} ; N_{2}\right) a^{m} b^{n} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $N_{1}=a^{y} a ; N_{2}=b^{y} \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{f}$ is an arbitrary function w th $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \in 0$ for $\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}$ nonnegative integers. $N$ ote that $f$ is not necessarily w ritten as $f\left(N_{1} ; N_{2}\right)=f_{1}\left(N_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(N_{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A A^{Y}=F\left(\mathbb{N}_{1}+1 ; N_{2}+1\right) ; \quad A^{Y} A=F\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} ; N_{2}\right) ; \\
& \left.\left.\mathbb{N}_{i} ; A^{Y}\right]=A^{Y} ; \quad \mathbb{N}_{i} ; A\right]=\quad A ; \quad(i=1 ; 2) ; \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(\mathbb{N}_{1}+1 ; N_{2}+1\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{N}_{1}+1\right) \quad 1(\mathbb{N} m)\left(\mathbb{N}_{2}+1\right) \quad 2\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} ; N_{2}\right) f\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \\
& \left(m N_{1}+i+1\right) \quad(m i+m)\left(n N_{2}+j+1\right) \\
& (n \mathbb{N} j+n) f\left(m N_{1}+i ; n N_{2}+j\right) f\left(m N_{1}+i ; n N_{2}+j\right): \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

This algebra is de ned on a subspace $S_{i j}$ of the sector $S_{i j}$. A convenient orthonorm albasis of $S_{i j}$ is given by $(k=0 ; 1 ; 2$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jki } \quad \frac{1}{F(k ; k)!}\left(A^{y}\right)^{k} \text { j̈; ji / jkm }+i ; k n+j i: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he representation on $S_{i j}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{y} \mathfrak{j} k i & =\frac{q}{F(k+1 ; k+1)} \bar{j} k+1 i ; \\
A \bar{j} k i & =\frac{F(k ; k)}{\bar{j} k} \quad 1 i ; \\
N_{1} \mathfrak{j} k i & =N_{2} \vec{j} k i=k \mathfrak{j} k i: \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

W e consider the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+A^{y} j i=j i: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

These states are degenerate. The degeneracy can be lifted by assum ing that the ( $m+n$ ) photons are either created or annihilated together. This m eans the follow ing conservation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} \quad N_{2}\right) j i=0: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the representation (50) the condition is fill lled autom atically.
By identifying $F(k ; k)$ here $w$ ith $F(k)$ in section 2 , the representation (50) takes the sam e form as (12). So, form ally, the squeezed states can be investigated in the sam e $m$ anner as those in section 2.

## 5 C onclusion

So far we have described a displacem ent-operator form alism of the squeezed states for the general sym $m$ etry system. T he coherent displacem ent-operator and the squeeze operator are explicitly constructed. A lthough these two operators are equivalent to the ladder-operator de nition, their product does not bring us the squeezed states consistent with the ladderoperator de nition, due to the lack of H olstein P rim ako /B ogoliubov transform ation. H ow ever, we can expect that the states D ( )S (z) j0i and S (z)D ( ) jDi play im portant roles in quantum optics and it is a good challenge to study their non-classical properties.

A s a special case of this form alism, we obtain the coherent displacem ent-operator of the $q$-deform ed oscillator, which takes the form of the usual exponential function form .

This form alism can also be applied to the system $s w$ ith self-sim ilar potentials 20]. In these system $s$, the dynam ical sym $m$ etry algebra (called $q$-ladder algebra) belongs to the general category (7). In the isospectral oscillator ham iltonian systems 21], the creation and the annihilation operators also en joy the algebraic structure 7) and therefore their coherent states can be studied as a special case of this letter. In particular, by making use of the techniques in section 3, som e interesting results on the relation of this coherent and squeezed states w ith those of the oscillator can be found. The concrete properties of the various coherent states arising from them will be published in a separate paper.
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