Nuclear recoil corrections to the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state energy of hydrogen-like and high Z lithium -like atoms in all orders in Z

A N Artem yev, V M Shabaev, and V A Yerokhin

D epartm ent of Physics, St.P etersburg State University, O ulianovskaya 1, Petrodvorets, St.P etersburg 198904, Russia

Nuclear recoil corrections ...

PACS number: 3130J

A bstract

The relativistic nuclear recoil corrections to the energy of the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of hydrogen-like and the $(1s)^22p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of high Z lithium-like atoms in all orders in Z are calculated. The calculations are carried out using the B-spline method for the D irac equation. For low Z the results of the calculation are in good agreement with the Z-expansion results. It is found that the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $(1s)^22p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ $(1s)^22s$ transition in lithium-like uranium constitutes $0.09\,\mathrm{eV}$.

1 Introduction

Till recently accurate QED calculations of the nuclear recoil corrections to atom ic energy levels were of interest mainly in connection with high precision measurements of the Lamb shift in hydrogen [1,2]. In this case the nuclear recoil corrections may be calculated in lowest orders in Z (is the ne structure constant, Z is the nuclear charge). However recent achievements in experimental investigations of highly charged ions [3] require calculations of the nuclear recoil corrections right up Z = 92. In the last case the parameter Z can no longer be considered small and, so, the calculations without expansion in Z are required.

In our previous paper [4] we calculated the nuclear recoil corrections in all orders in Z for the 1s; 2s; $2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states of hydrogen-like atom s and the $(1s)^2 2s$; $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states of high Z lithium-like atom s. We found that the nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ - $(1s)^2 2s$ transition in lithium-like uranium constitutes $0.07\,\mathrm{eV}$ and, so, is comparable with the uncertainty of the experimental value of the transition energy: $280.59\,(10)\,\mathrm{eV}$ [3]. We found also the nuclear recoil contribution, additional to Salpeter's one, to the Lamb shift (n = 2) of hydrogen to be $1.32\,(6)\,\mathrm{kH}$ z. This result is in good agreement with recent analytical calculations of the $\frac{m^2}{M}$ (Z) and $\frac{m^2}{M}$ (Z) corrections [5-8], according to which the term s of order $\frac{m^2}{M}$ (Z) ancel each other [5,6], the contribution of order $\frac{m^2}{M}$ (Z) is $0.77\,\mathrm{kH}$ z for the 2s state [7] and $0.58\,\mathrm{kH}$ z for the $2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ state [8].

In the present paper we extend the results of [4] to the case of the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of hydrogen-like and high Z lithium-like atom s.

The relativistic units h = c = 1 are used in the paper.

2 Hydrogen-like atom s

The complete Z-dependence expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections to the energy levels of hydrogen-like atoms were rst derived by one of the authors of the present paper [9] (a part of the expressions was found earlier by Braun [10]). These expressions consist of three contributions: the Coulomb contribution, the one-transverse-photon contribution, and the two-transverse-photon contribution. For a state a the Coulomb contribution is

given by

$$E_{c} = E_{c}^{(1)} + E_{c}^{(2)};$$
 (1)

$$E_{c}^{(1)} = haj \frac{p^{2}}{2M} jai; \qquad (2)$$

$$E_{c}^{(2)} = \frac{2 i^{\frac{1}{2}} 1}{M} d! + (!) haj[p; V_{c}]G(! + "_{a})[p; V_{c}]jai;$$
(3)

where jai is the unperturbed state of the Dirac electron in the Coulomb eld of the nucleus, $V_c = \frac{Z}{r}$ is the Coulomb potential of the nucleus, p is the m om entum operator, $\frac{1}{2}(!) = \frac{1}{2}(!+i0)^{-1}$, G(!) = $(!+i0)^{-1}$ is the relativistic Coulomb Green function, $H = p + m + V_c$. The onetransverse-photon contribution is

$$E_{tr(1)} = E_{tr(1)}^{(1)} + E_{tr(1)}^{(2)};$$
 (4)

$$E_{tr(1)}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2M} haj D(0)p + pD(0) jai;$$

$$E_{tr(1)}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{M} d! + (!) haj [p; V_c]G(! + "_a)D(!)$$
(5)

$$E_{tr(1)}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{M} \int_{1}^{2} d! + (!) haj [p; V_c]G (! + "_a)D (!)$$

D (!)G (! +
$$^{"}_{a}$$
)[p;V_c] †ai; (6)

w here

$$D_{m}(!) = 4 Z_{1}D_{lm}(!);$$
 (7)

 $_1$ (1 = 1;2;3) are the D irac m atrices, D $_{\rm lm}$ (!) is the transverse part of the photon propagator in the Coulomb gauge. In the coordinate representation it is

$$D_{ik}(!;r) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\exp(ij! jr)}{r}_{ik} + r_{i}r_{k} \frac{\exp(ij! jr)}{!^{2}r} :$$
 (8)

The two-transverse-photon contribution is

$$E_{tr(2)} = \frac{i}{2 M} \int_{1}^{2} d! ha \mathcal{D}(!) G(! + \mathbf{"}_{a}) D(!) \dot{g}ai:$$
 (9)

The terms E $_{\rm c}^{~(1)}$ and E $_{\rm tr\,(1)}^{(1)}$ are leading at low Z . These terms can easily be calculated by using the virial relations for the D irac equation [11-13]. Such a calculation gives [9]

$$E^{(1)}$$
 $E^{(1)}_{c} + E^{(1)}_{tr(1)} = \frac{m^2 \frac{m^2}{a}}{2M}$: (10)

The Z-expansion of this term is given in [4].

The term s E $_{\rm c}^{(2)}$; E $_{\rm tr\,(1)}^{(2)}$; and E $_{\rm tr\,(2)}$ are given in the form that allows one to use the relativistic C oulom b G reen function for their calculation. (A s was found by Pachucki and G rotch [7], this form is convenient for the Z-expansion calculations as well.) In [4] we transformed these equations to the form that is more convenient for calculations using the nite basis set methods [14] and calculated them for the 1s, 2s, and $2p_{\frac{1}{2}}$ states by using the B-spline method for the D irac equation [15,16]. The calculation for the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state considered here was carried out in the same way.

Table 1 shows the results of the numerical calculation for the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state expressed in terms of the function P ($\rm Z$) dended by

$$E^{(2)} = E_{c}^{(2)} + E_{tr(1)}^{(2)} + E_{tr(2)} = \frac{m}{M} \frac{(Z)^{5}}{r^{3}} P(Z) m c^{2}$$
 (11)

The functions P_c , $P_{tr(1)}$, and $P_{tr(2)}$ correspond to the contributions $E_c^{(2)}$, $E_{tr(1)}^{(2)}$, and $E_{tr(2)}$, respectively. For comparison, the Salpeter's contribution [17] is

$$P_{S}(Z) = \frac{8}{3}0.030017 \frac{7}{18} = 0.30884$$
: (12)

The uncertainties given in the table correspond only to errors of the numerical calculation. In addition, there is an uncertainty due to deviation from the point single particle model of the nucleus used here.

To make a more detailed comparison with the $\, Z \,$ -expansion calculations we represent the function P (Z) in the form

$$P(Z) = a_1 + a_2 Z + a_3 (Z)^2 + a_4 (Z)^3$$
 (13)

The coe cients a $_{\rm i}$ can be calculated from our numerical results for the P (Z)-function. Such a calculation using the values of the P (Z)-function for Z = 1,2,3,5,10,15 gives

$$a_1 = 0.30883; \quad a_2 = 1.040:$$
 (14)

The coe cient a_1 is in good agreement with the Salpeter's result given by the equation (12). The coe cient a_2 coincides, within errors of the numerical procedure, with the corresponding coe cient ($a_2 = 1:047$) obtained by Golosov et al. [8] (the related coe cient from the contribution (10) is equal to zero).

A coording to our num erical calculations the contribution of the di erence between E $^{(2)}$ and Salpeter's correction for the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of hydrogen constitutes 0:42 (2) kH z. A nalytical result for the $\frac{m^2}{M}$ (Z) 6 correction found in [8] gives 0:423 kH z. (In addition to this correction, in [8] the correction of order $\frac{m^2}{M}$ 2 (Z) 4 is calculated to be 0:014 kH z.)

Let us consider the nuclear recoil corrections for hydrogen-like uranium . A coording to the form ula (10) the \mbox{rst} correction is

$$E_{2p_{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(1)} = 0.0664 \,\text{eV}$$
: (15)

The second correction de ned by (11) is

$$E_{2p_{\frac{3}{2}}}^{(2)} = 0.0014 \,\text{eV}$$
: (16)

In the next section we use these results to nd the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ 2s transition in lithium—like uranium.

3 High Z lithium -like atom s

The complete Z-dependence expressions for the nuclear recoil corrections to the energy levels of high Z few-electron atom swere derived in [18]. These corrections are the sum of one-and two-electron contributions. The one-electron corrections are obtained by sum m ing all the one-electron contributions over all the one-electron states that are occupied. The two-electron corrections for the case considered here are

$$E_{c}^{(int)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{X} ha\dot{p} \dot{n} ihn \dot{p} \dot{n} i; \qquad (17)$$

$$E_{tr(1)}^{(int)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{X} hap jn ihn p ("a "n) jai$$

$$+ hap ("a "n) jn ihn p jai ;$$

$$(18)$$

$$E_{tr(2)}^{(int)} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{X} ha p (n - n) jn in p (n - n) jai: (19)$$

The table 2 shows the results of the calculation of the corrections (17), (18), and (19) for the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state expressed in terms of the function Q (Z) dened by

E int E (int) + E (int) + E (int) =
$$\frac{2^9}{38} \frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{M}}$$
 (Z)²Q (Z): (20)

Here we have taken into account the known non-relativistic lim it of this correction [19]. W ithin the $\frac{m^2}{M}$ (Z) 4 approximation the function Q (Z) that we denote by Q_L (Z) is [20]

$$Q_L(Z) = 1 + (Z)^2 \frac{13}{48} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{27}{32}$$
: (21)

For comparison, this function is given in the table as well. The functions $Q_c(Z)$, $Q_{tr(1)}(Z)$, and $Q_{tr(2)}(Z)$ correspond to the corrections $E_c^{(int)}$, $E_{tr(1)}^{(int)}$, and $E_{tr(2)}^{(int)}$, respectively. In leading orders in Z they are

$$Q_{c}(Z) = 1 + (Z)^{2} \frac{17}{48} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{27}{32};$$
 (22)

$$Q_{tr(1)}(Z) = \frac{5}{8}(Z)^2;$$
 (23)

$$Q_{tr(2)}(Z) = \frac{25}{256}(Z)^4$$
: (24)

For low Z , in addition to the corrections considered here, the C oulomb electron-electron interaction corrections to the non-relativistic nuclear recoil contribution must be calculated separately. The main contribution from these corrections is of order $\frac{1}{Z}$ (Z) $^2\frac{m^2}{M}$.

Let us not the total nuclear recoil contribution to the energy of the $(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ (1s)^22s transition in lithium—like uranium. A coording to our calculation the term $E^{\rm int}$ contributes 0.0345 eV. Adding to this value the one-electron contribution de ned by (15) and (16) and using the related values for the 2s state from [4] we not

$$E_{(1s)^2 2p_{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
 $E_{(1s)^2 2s} = 0.094 \text{ eV}$:

This value is signicant enough to be included in accurate QED calculations of the transition energy.

A cnow ledgm ents

We wish to thank Dr. S.G. Karshenboim for stimulating discussions. Useful discussions with Dr. T. Beier are gratefully acknowledged. The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Grant No. NW U 300 from the International Science Foundation and Grant No. 95-02-05571a from the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Investigations. A. N. A. thanks I.V. Konovalov for nancial support.

R eferences

- [1] Pal'chikov V G, Sokolov Yu L and Yakovlev V P 1983 Pis'ma Zh Eksp. Teor Fiz. 38 347
- [2] Hagley E W and Pipkin F M 1994 PhysRevLett. 72 1172
- [3] Schweppe J, Belkacem A, Blumenfeld L, Claytor N, Feinberg B, Gould H, Kostroun V E, Levy L, Misawa S, Mowat JR and Prior M H 1991 Phys.Rev.Lett. 66 1434
- [4] Artem yev A N, Shabaev V M and Yerokhin V A 1995 Phys Rev A 52 1884
- [5] Khriplovich IB, Milstein A I and Yelkhovsky A S 1993 Phys.Scr. T 46 252
- [6] Fell R N, Khriplovich I B, Milstein A I and Yelkhovsky A S 1993 Phys.Lett. A 181 172
- [7] Pachuckik and Grotch H 1995 PhysRev A 51 1854
- [8] Golosov E A, Khriplovich IB, Milstein A I and Yelkhovsky A S 1995 Zh Eksp Teor Fiz. 107 393 (JETP 80, 208)
- [9] Shabaev V M 1985 TeorM at Fiz. 63 394 (TheorM ath Phys. 63 588); 1986 In: Papers at First Soviet-British Symposium on Spectroscopy of Multicharged Ions (Troitsk: A cademy of Sciences) pp 238-240
- [10] Braun M A 1973 Zh Eksp. Teor Fiz. 64 413
- [11] Epstein J and Epstein S 1962 Am J.Phys. 30 266
- [12] Shabaev V M 1984 Vestn Leningrad Univ. N 4 15
- [13] Shabaev V M 1991 JPhysB: AtM olOptPhys. 24 4479
- [14] Grant IP and Quiney H M 1988 Adv AtM olPhys. 23 37
- [15] Johnson W R, Blundell S A and Sapirstein J 1988 Phys Rev A 37 307
- [16] Froese Fisher C and Parpia F A 1993 PhysLett A 179 198

- [17] Salpeter E E 1952 Phys Rev. 87 328
- [18] Shabaev V M 1988 Yad Fiz. 47 107 (Sov J Nucl Phys. 47 69)
- [19] Hughes D S and Edkart C 1930 PhysRev. 36 694
- [20] Shabaev V M and Artem yev A N 1994 JPhysB:AtMolOptPhys.27 1307

Table 1: The results of the num erical calculation of the one-electron nuclear recoil corrections to the $2p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state energy expressed in terms of the function P (Z) de ned by equation (11).

Z	P _c (Z)	$P_{tr(1)}$ (Z)	P _{tr(2)} (Z)	P (Z)
1	0.0000	-0.1385(2)	-0.1630(3)	-0.3013 (4)
5	-0.0001	-0.1230	-0.1493(1)	-0.2724 (1)
10	-0.0004	-0.1037	-0.1338	-0 <i>2</i> 379
15	-0.0008	-0.0841	-0.1198	-0.2047
20	-0.0012	-0.0644	-0.1069	-0.1726
25	-0.0017	-0.0446	-0.0950	-0.1413
30	-0.0021	-0.0245	-0.0841	-0.1107
35	-0.0026	-0.0042	-0.0741	-0.0081
40	-0.0031	0.0163	-0.0649	-0.0517
45	-0. 0035	0.0372	-0.0567	-0.0230
50	-0.0040	0.0585	-0.0496	0.0050
55	-0.0044	0.0802	-0.0432	0.0326
60	-0.0049	0.1025	-0.0379	0.0597
65	-0.0054	0.1254	-0.0337	0.0863
70	-0. 0058	0.1490	-0.0307	0.1125
75	-0.0063	0.1735	-0.0289	0.1384
80	-0. 0068	0.1990	-0.0285	0.1638
85	-0. 0072	0.2256	-0.0294	0.1889
90	-0. 0078	0.2534	-0.0319	0.2138
92	-0.0080	0.2649	-0.0334	0.2236
95	-0. 0083	0.2827	-0.0361	0.2383
100	-0.0088	0.3135	-0.0422	0.2625

Table 2: The results of the numerical calculation of the nuclear recoil correction E $^{(\rm int)}$ for the $(1s)^22p_{\frac{3}{2}}$ state of lithium—like ions expressed in terms of the function Q (Z) de ned by equation (20). Q $_{\rm L}$ (Z) is the leading contribution de ned by equation (21).

Z	Q _c (Z)	$Q_{tr(1)}(Z)$	Q _{tr(2)} (Z)	Q (Z)	Q _L (Z)
5	1.00036	-0.00083	0.00000	0.99953	0.99953
10	1.00143	-0.00333	0.00000	0.99810	0.99811
15	1.00323	-0. 00748	0.00001	0.99573	0.99574
20	1.00574	-0. 01330	0.00004	0.99239	0.99242
25	1.00896	-0.02077	0.00010	0.98809	0.98816
30	1.01291	-0.02989	0.00021	0.98281	0.98295
35	1.01757	-0.04065	0.00039	0.97653	0.97679
40	1.02294	-0.05303	0.00065	0.96926	0.96969
45	1.02902	-0.06704	0.00101	0.96097	0.96163
50	1.03579	-0.08264	0.00150	0.95165	0.95264
55	1.04323	-0.09981	0.00212	0.94129	0.94269
60	1.05132	-0.11854	0.00289	0.92988	0.93179
65	1.06001	-0.13877	0.00382	0.91742	0.91995
70	1.06925	-0.16047	0.00488	0.90390	0.90716
75	1.07894	-0.18356	0.00608	0.88930	0.89343
80	1.08897	-0.20796	0.00738	0.87362	0.87875
85	1.09914	-0. 2 3356	0.00873	0.85686	0.86312
90	1.10921	-0.26019	0.01006	0.83896	0.84654
92	1.11313	-0.27109	0.01057	0.83148	0.83964
95	1.11880	-0. 2 8765	0.01127	0.81988	0.82901
100	1.12736	-0.31561	0.01224	0.79951	0.81054