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#### Abstract

Q uantum com puters require quantum arithm etic. W e provide an explicit construction ofquantum networks e ecting basic arithm etic operations: from addition to modular exponentiation. Q uantum $m$ odular exponentiation seem $s$ to be the $m$ ost di cult (tim $e$ and space consum ing) part of Shor's quantum factorising algorithm. W e show that the auxiliary $m$ em ory required to perform this operation in a reversible way grows linearly w ith the size of the num ber to be factorised.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

A quantum computer is a physical $m$ achine that can accept input states which represent a coherent supenposition of $m$ any di erent possible inputs and subsequently evolve them into a corresponding superposition of outputs. C om putation, i.e. a sequence of unitary transform ations, a ects sim ultaneously each elem ent of the superposition, generating a m assive parallel data processing albeit w ithin one piece of quantum hardw are 1]. This way quantum com puters can e ciently solve som e problem $s$ which are believed to be intractable on any classical com puter 2,3 ]. A part from changing the com plexity classes, the quantum theory of com putation reveals the fundam ental connections betw een the law s of physics and the nature of com putation and $m$ athem atics 4].

For the purpose of this paper a quantum com puter will be view ed as a quantum netw ork (or a fam ily of quantum netw orks) com posed of quantum logic gates; each gate perform ing an elem entary unitary operation on one, two or $m$ ore tw $0\{$ state quantum system $s$ called qubits 5]. Each qubit represents an elem entary unit of inform ation; it has a chosen \com putational" basis fjip flig corresponding to the classicalbit values 0 and 1 . B oolean operations which $m$ ap sequences of 0 's and 1's into another sequences of 0 's and 1's are de ned w ith respect to this com putational basis.

A ny unitary operation is reversible that is why quantum netw orks e ecting elem entary arithm etic operations such as addition, m ultiplication and exponentiation cannot be directly deduced from their classicalBoolean counterparts (classicallogic gates such as AND or OR are clearly irreversible: reading 1 at the output of the o R gate does not provide enough inform ation to determ ine the input which could be either $(0 ; 1)$ or $(1 ; 0)$ or ( $1 ; 1$ ) . Q uantum arithm etic m ust be built from reversible logical com ponents. It has been shown that reversible netw orks (a prerequisite for quantum com putation) require som e additionalm em ory for storing interm ediate results 6] [7]. H ence the art ofbuilding quantum netw orks is often reduced to $m$ inim ising this auxiliary $m$ em ory or to optim ising the trade\{o betw een the auxiliary mem ory and a num ber of com putational steps required to com plete a given operation in a reversible way.

In this paper we provide an explicit construction of several elem entary quantum netw orks. W e focus on the space com plexity i.e. on the optim al use of the auxiliary $m$ em ory. In our constructions, we save $m$ em ory by reversing som e com putations w ith di erent com putations (rather than w ith the sam e com putation but run backw ards囱]). The netw orks are presented in the ascending order of com plication. We start from a sim plequantum addition, and end up w ith a m odular exponentiation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{N}} \dot{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i} \quad j 0 \mathrm{O}!\quad \dot{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i} \quad \dot{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~m} \text { od } \mathrm{N} \text { i; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a and $N$ are predeterm ined and know n param eters. This particular operation plays an im portant role in Shor's quantum factoring algorithm 3] and seem $s$ to be its $m$ ost dem anding part.

T he structure of the paper is as follow s: in Section $\Psi$ w e de ne som e basic term s and describe m ethods of reversing som e types com putation, in Section II we provide a detailed description of the selected quantum netw orks and in Section IV we discuss their com plexity.

[^0]
## II. BASIC CONCEPTS

For completeness let us start w ith som e basic de nitions. A quantum network is a quantum com puting device consisting of quantum logic gates whose com putational steps are synchronised in tim e. The outputs of som e of the gates are connected by wires to the inputs of others. T he size of the netw ork is its num ber of gates. The size of the input of the netw ork is its num ber of input qubits i.e. the qubits that are prepared appropriately at the beginning of each com putation perform ed by the netw ork. Inputs are encoded in binary form in the com putational basis of selected qubits often called a quantum register, or sim ply a register. For instance, the binary form of num ber 6 is 110 and loading a quantum register w ith this value is done by preparing three qubits in state jli jli j0i. In the
 a quantum register prepared $w$ th the value $a=2^{0} a_{0}+2^{1} a_{1}+::: 2^{n} a_{n} . C$ om putation is de ned as a unitary evolution of the netw ork which takes its initial state \input" into some nal state \output".

B oth the input and the output can be encoded in several registers. Even when $f$ is a one\{to \{one $m$ ap betw een the input $x$ and the output $f(x)$ and the operation can be form ally $w$ ritten as a unitary operator $U_{f}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{f} \dot{x} i!\dot{f}(x) i_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we $m$ ay still need an auxiliary register to store the interm ediate data. $W$ hen $f$ is not a bijection we have to use an additional register in order to guarantee the unitarity of com putation. In this case the com putation $m$ ust be view ed as a unitary transform ation $U_{f}$ of (at least) tw o registers

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{f} \dot{x} ; 0 i!\quad \dot{x} ; f(x) i ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second register is of appropriate size to accom m odate $f(x)$.
A s an example, consider a function $f_{a ; N}: x!a x m o d N$. A quantum netw ork that e ects this com putation takes
 the function is bijective in the interval f0;1;:::;N $1 g$, and it is possible to construct a netw ork that w rites the answ er into the sam e register which initially contained the input $x$ (as in the equation (2)). This can be achieved by introducing an auxiliary register and perform ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a ; N} \dot{x} ; 0 i!\dot{x} ; a x m \text { od } N i: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can precom pute a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{modN}$, the inverse of a modulo N (this can be done classically in an e cient way using Euclid's algorithm 罟]), and, by exchanging the tw o registers and applying $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{modN} \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{N}$ to the resulting state, we obtain
where $S$ is a unitary operation that exchanges the states of the tw o registers. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a}^{1}{ }^{1} \operatorname{modN} ; \mathbb{N} U_{a ; N} \dot{x} ; 0 i!\quad \dot{\beta} x \operatorname{modN} ; 0 i \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

e ectively perform $s$
jxi! ff(x)i
where the second register is treated as an intemal part of the netw ork (tem porary register).

## III. NETW ORKARCHITECTURE

Q uantum netw orks for basic arithm etic operations can be constructed in a number of di erent ways. A though alm ost any non-trivialquantum gate operating on two orm ore qubits can be used as an elem entary building block of the netw orks []] we have decided to use the three gates described in F ig. 目, hereafter refered to as elem entary gates. $N$ one of these gates is universal for quantum com putation, how ever, they su de to build any Boolean fiunctions as the To oli gate alone su ces to support any classical reversible com putation. TheNOT and the C ontrol\{NOT gates are added for convenience (they can be easily obtained from the $T o$ oligates).

T he addition of tw o registers ji and bi is probably the $m$ ost basic operation, in the sim plest form it can be w ritten as
дं;b;0i! дд;b;a + bi:

H ere we will focus on a slightly $m$ ore com plicated (but $m$ ore usefil) operation that rew rites the result of the com putation into the one of the input registers, i.e.
дд;bi! ja;a + bi;

A s one can reconstruct the input ( $a ; b$ ) out of the output $(a ; a+b)$, there is no loss of inform ation, and the calculation can be im plem ented reversibly. To prevent over ow $s$, the second register (initially loaded in state pi) should be su ciently large, i.e. ifboth a and b are encoded on $n$ qubits, the second register should be of size $n+1$. In addition, the netw ork described here also requires a tem porary register of size $n$ 1, initially in state $j 0 i$, to which the carries of the addition are provisionally w ritten (the last carry is the $m$ ost signi cant bit of the result and is w ritten in the last qubit of the second register).

The operation of the full addition netw ork is illustrated in Fig. 2 and can be understood as follow s:
W e com pute the most signi cant bit of the result $a+b$. This step requires com puting all the carries cthrough the relation $c_{i} \quad a_{i}$ AND $b_{i}$ AND $c_{i 1}$, where $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ represent the ith qubit of the rst, second and tem porary (carry) register respectively. Fig. 目i) illustrates the sub \{netw ork that e ects the carry calculation.

Subsequently we reverse all these operations (except for the last one which com puted the leading bit of the result) in order to restore every qubit of the tem porary register to its initial state $j 0 i$. T his enables us to reuse the sam e tem porary register, should the problem, for exam ple, require repeated additions. D uring the resetting process the other $n$ qubits of the result are com puted through the relation $b_{i} \quad a_{i} X O R b_{i} X O R C_{i} 1$ and stored in the second register. This operation e ectively computes the $n$ rst digits of the sum (the basic netw ork that perform $s$ the sum $m$ ation of three qubits $m$ odulo 2 is depicted in $F$ ig. ${ }^{3}$ iii).)

If we reverse the action of the above netw ork (i.e. if we apply each gate of the netw ork in the reversed order) $w$ ith the input ( $a ; b$ ), the output will produce $(a ; a \quad b)$ when $a \quad b$. W hen $a<b$, the output is ( $a ; 2^{n+1} \quad(b \quad a)$ ), $w$ here $n+1$ is the size of the second register. In this case the $m$ ost signi cant qubit of the second register $w$ ill alw ays contain 1. By checking this \over ow bit" it is therefore possible to com pare the two num bers a and b; we w ill use this operation in the netw ork for $m$ odular addition.

## B. A dder m odulo N

A slight com plication occurs when one attem pts to build a netw ork that e ects

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\exists} ; \text { bi! } \quad \dot{9} ; a+b m o d N i ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \quad a ; b<N$. As in the case of the plain adder, there is no a priori violation of unitarity since the input ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ ) can be reconstructed from the output ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bmod} \mathrm{N}$ ), when $0 \quad \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}<\mathrm{N}$ (as it will always be the case). O ur approach is based on taking the output of the plain adder netw ork, and subtracting N , depending on whether the value $a+b$ is bigger or sm aller than $N$. The $m$ ethod, how ever, $m$ ust also accom odate a superposition of states for which som e values $a+b$ are bigger than $N$ and som e sm aller than $N$.

F ig. 4 ilhustrates the various steps needed to im plem ent $m$ odular addition. The rst adder perform sa plain addition on the state j; ;bi retuming ja; ${ }^{2}+\mathrm{bi}$; the rst register is then swapped $w$ ith a tem porary register form erly loaded w ith N , and a subtractor (i.e. an adder whose netw ork is run backw ards) is used to obtain the state N ; $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{N}$ i. At this stage the $m$ ost signi cant bit of the second register indicates whether or not an over ow occurred in the subtraction, i.e. whether $a+b$ is sm aller than $N$ or not. This inform ation is lcopied" into a tem porary qubit fi (initially prepared in state j 0 i ) through the C ontrol $\{\mathrm{NOT}$ gate. C onditionally on the value of this last qubit fi, N is added back to the second register, leaving it $w$ ith the value $a+b m o d N$. This is done by either leaving the rst register w ith the value $N$ (in case ofover ow), or resetting it to 0 (ifthere is no over ow) and then using a plain adder. A fter this operation, the value of the rst register can be reset to its original value and the rst and the tem porary register can be sw apped back, leaving the rst two registers in state ja; $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bm}$ od N i and the tem porary one in state
j0i. At this point the modular addition has been com puted, but som e inform ation is left in the tem porary qubit fi that recorded the over ow of the subtraction. This tem porary qubit cannot be reused in a subsequent $m$ odular addition, unless it is coherently reset to zero. The last two blocks of the netw ork take care of this resetting: rst the value in the rst register $(=a)$ is subtracted from the value in the second ( $=a+b m$ od $N$ ) yielding a total state j; ( $a+b m$ od N) ai. A s before, the $m$ ost signi cant bit of the second register contains the inform ation about the over ow in the subtraction, indicating whether or not the value $N$ was subtracted after the third netw ork. This bit is then used to reset the tem porary bit fi to joi through a second C ontrol\{NOT gate. F inally the last subtraction is undone, retuming the tw o registers to the state j; $a+b m$ od $N$ i.
C. C ontrolled \{m ultiplier m odulo N

Function $f_{a ; N}(x)=a x m$ od $N$ can be im plem ented by repeated conditionaladditions ( m odulo N ): ax $=2^{0} \mathrm{ax}_{0}+$ $2^{1} a x_{1}+::: 2^{n}{ }^{1} a x_{n} 1$. Starting from a register initially in the state $j 01$, the netw ork consists sim ply of $n$ stages in which the value $2^{i} a$ is added conditionally, depending on the state of the qubit $\dot{x}_{i} i$. $F$ ig. 5 show s the corresponding netw ork; it is slightly com plicated by the fact that we want the multiplication to be e ected conditionally upon the value of som e extemal qubit jंci, nam ely, we want to im plem ent
 and $w$ ith value 0 otherw ise. This is done by applying the $T o$ oli gate to the control qubits jici and jui and the appropriate target qubit in the register; the gate is applied each time value $\backslash 1$ " appears in the binary form of the num ber $2^{i} a$.

R esetting the register to its initial state is done by applying the sam e sequence of the $T o$ oligates again (the order of the gates is irrelevant as they act on di erent target qubits). If $\dot{\mathrm{Ci}}=\mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i}$ only 0 values are added at each of the $n$ stages to the result register giving state j; $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{0i}$. Since we want the state to be j; $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{xi}$ we copy the content of the input register to the result register if jci $=j 01$. This last operation is perform ed by the rightm ost elem ents of the netw ork of Fig . 5. The conditional copy is im plem ented using an array of To oligates.

## D.Exponentiation M odulo N

A reversible netw ork that com putes the function $f_{a ; N}(x)=a^{x} m o d N$ can now be designed using the previous constructions. N otice rst that $a$ can be wrilten as $a^{x}=a^{2^{0} x_{0}} \quad a^{1} x_{1} \quad::: a^{m}{ }^{1} x_{m} \quad$, thus $m$ odular exponentiation can be com puted by setting initially the result register to $j 1$, and successively e ecting $n$ multiplications by $a^{2^{i}}$ ( $m$ odulo $N$ ) depending on the value of the qubit $\dot{x}_{i} i ;$ if $x_{i}=1$, we want the operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{A}^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }_{1}^{1}} ; 0 i!\quad \dot{A^{2} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }^{1}} ; a^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i} 1} \quad a^{i} i \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be perform ed, otherw ise, when $x_{i}=0$ we just require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathcal{A}}^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }^{1}} ; 0 i!\quad \dot{\beta}^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }^{1}} ; 2^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }^{1}} \dot{i}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that in both cases the result can be written as $\not \dot{A}^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }^{1} ; a^{2^{0} x_{0}+}::: 2^{2^{i}} x_{i}}$. To avoid an accum ulation of interm ediate data in the $m$ em ory of the quantum com puter, a particular care should be taken to erase the partial inform ation generated. This is done, as explained in Sect. II, by running backwards a controlled multiplication netw ork w ith the value $a^{2 i} \bmod N$. This quantity can be e ciently precom puted in a classicalway 8$]$. F ig. © show s the netw ork for a com plete $m$ odular exponentiation. It is $m$ ade out of $m$ stages; each stage perform $s$ the follow ing sequence of operations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{a}^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i} \quad ; ~ 0 i!~} \\
& \dot{a^{2}}{ }^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{2^{1}} x_{i} \quad ; a^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i} x_{i}} i! \\
& \dot{a^{2}} \mathrm{x}_{0}+::: 2^{i} x_{i} ; a^{2^{0} x_{0}+:: 2^{i}{ }^{1} x_{i}{ }^{1} i!}  \tag{14}\\
& \dot{\mu}^{2^{0} x_{0}+::: 2^{i} x_{i}} ; 0 i
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he size of the described netw orks depends on the size of their input $n$. The num ber of elem entary gates in the plain adder, the m odular addition and the controlled \{m odular addition netw ork scales linearly with n . T he controlled $m$ odular $m$ ultiplication contains $n$ controlled $m$ odular additions, and thus requires of the order of $n^{2}$ elem entary operations. Sim ilarly the netw ork for exponentiation contains of the order of $n$ controlled $m$ odular $m$ ultiplications and the total num ber of elem entary operations is of the order of $n^{3}$. The multiplicative overhead factor in front depends very much on what is considered to be an elem entary gate. For exam ple, if we choose the C ontrol\{NOT to be our basic unit then the To oligate can be sim ulated by 6 C ontrol\{NOT gates [10].

Let us have a closer look at the $m$ em ory requirem ents for the $m$ odular exponentiation; this can help to asses the di culty of quantum factorisation. $W$ e set $n$ to be the num ber ofbits needed to encode the param eter $N$ of q . (1). In Shor's algorithm, $x$ can be as big as $N^{2}$, and therefore the register needed to encode it requires up to $2 n$ qubits. $N$ ot counting the tw o input registers and an additionalbit to store the $m$ ost signi cant digit of the result, the plain adder netw ork requires an extra ( $n \quad 1$ ) \{qubit tem porary register for storing tem porary (carry) qubits. This register is reset to its initial value, $\mathcal{j}$ i, after each operation of the netw ork and can be reused later. $T$ he $m$ odular addition netw ork, in addition to the tem porary qubit needed to store over ow $s$ in subtractions, requires another $n$ \{qubit tem porary register; in total this $m$ akes tw $\circ \mathrm{n}$ \{qubit tem porary registers for $m$ odular addition. C ontrolled m odular $m$ ultiplication is done by repeated $m$ odular additions, and requires three tem porary $n\{q u b i t$ registers: one for its own operation and two for the m odular addition (controlled modular multiplication also requires a tem porary qubit used by the $m$ odular addition netw ork). Finally, the netw ork for exponentiation needs four tem porary $n$ \{qubit registers, one for its ow $n$ operation and three for the controlled $m$ odular $m$ ultiplication (plus an additionalqubit used by the m odular addition). A ltogether the total num ber of qubits required to perform the rst part of the factorisation algorithm is $7 n+1$, where $2 n$ qubits are used to store $x, n$ qubits store the result $a^{x} m$ od $N$ and $4 n+1$ qubits are used as tem porary qubits.
$T$ he netw orks presented in this paper are by no $m$ eans the only or the $m$ ost optim alones. There are $m$ any ways to construct operation such as $a^{x} m$ od $N$, given param eters a and $N$. U sually a dedicated netw ork com posed of several sub \{units does not have to be a sim ple sum of the sub \{units. In the modular exponentiation, for exam ple, it is relatively easy to reduce the $m$ em ory i.e. the constant overhead factor ( 7 in our case) by noting that the rst register in the plain adder netw ork alw ays stores speci c classical values: either 0 and $N$. The sam e holds for the tem porary register in the adder modulo N which alw ays stores either 0 and $2^{i}$ a m od N . There is no need to use a full quantum register for this: a classical register plus a single qubit (that keeps track of the entanglem ent) are su cient. This reduces the num ber of qubits to $5 n+2$. O ne further register can be rem oved by using the addition netw ork that does
 of the practical im plem entations of the $n\{b$ it $T o$ oligates is com parable to that of the regular To oligate, then this can be a good way of saving $m$ em ory. A lltogether the num ber of qubits can be reduced from $7 n+1$ to $4 n+3$. This $m$ eans that apart from the register storing $x$ and another one storing $a^{x} m$ od $N$ we need additional $n+3$ tem porary qubits to perform quantum $m$ odular exponentiation in Shor's algorithm. The required $m$ em ory grow sonly as a linear function of the size of $N$.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have explicitly constructed quantum netw orks perform ing elem entary arithm etic operations including the $m$ odular exponentiation which dom inates the overall tim $e$ and $m$ em ory com plexity in Shor's quantum factorisation algorithm. O ur netw ork for the $m$ odular exponentiation achieves only a linear grow th of auxiliary $m$ em ory by exploiting the fact that $f_{a ; N}(x)=a x m o d N$ is a bijection ( $w$ hen a and $N$ are coprim e) and can be $m$ ade reversible by sim ple auxiliary com putations. In m ore practicaltem s our results indicate that w th the \trapped ions com puter" 12] about 20 ions su ce (at least in principle) to factor $N=15 . \mathrm{Needless}$ to say, the form of the actual netw ork that willbe used in the rst quantum com puter w ill greatly depend on the type of technology em ployed; the notion of an optim alnetw ork is architecture dependent and any further optim isation has to aw ait future experim ental progress.
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FIG.1. Truth tables and graphical representations of the elem entary quantum gates used for the construction of $m$ ore com plicated quantum netw orks. T he control qubits are graphically represented by a dot, the target qubits by a cross. i) NO T operation. ii) C ontrol\{NOT.This gate can be seen as a lcopy operation" in the sense that a target qubit (b) in itially in the state 0 w ill be after the action of the gate in the sam e state as the control qubit. iii) To oli gate. This gate can also be seen as a C ontrol\{ control\{NOT : the target bit (c) undergoes a NOT operation only when the two controls (a and b) are in state 1 .


FIG.2. P lain adder netw ork. In a rst step, all the carries are calculated until the last carry gives the most signi cant digit of the result. Then all these operations apart from the last one are undone in reverse order, and the sum of the digits is perform ed correspondingly. N ote the position of a thick black bar on the right or left hand side of basic carry and sum netw orks. A netw ork w ith a bar on the left side represents the reversed sequence of elem entary gates em beded in the sam e netw ork w ith the bar on the right side.


Figure 3)

V. Vedral, A. Barenco and A. Ekert

F IG .3. Basic carry and sum operations for the plain addition netw ork. i) the carry operation (note that the carry operation perturbs the state of the qubit b). ii) the sum operation.


F IG.4. A dder m odulo N. The rst and the second netw ork add a and b together and then subtract $N$. The over ow is recorded into the tem porary qubit fi. T he next netw ork calculates ( $a+b$ ) mod $N$. At this stage we have extra inform ation about the value of the over ow stored in fi. The last two blocks restore fi to j0i. The arrow before the third plain adder $m$ eans that the rst register is set to j0i if the value of the tem porary qubit fi is 1 and is otherw ise left unchanged (this can be easily done w ith C ontrol\{NOT gates, as we know that the rst register is in the state N i). The arrow after the third plain adder resets the rst register to its original value (here j i). The signi cance of the thick black bars is explained in the caption of Fig. 2 .


FIG.5. C ontrolled multiplication modulo $N$ consists of consecutive modular additions of $2^{i}$ a or 0 depending on the values of $c$ and $x_{i}$. The operation before the ith modular adder consists in storing $2^{i}{ }^{1}$ a or 0 in the tem porary register depending on whether $\dot{j} ; x_{i} i=j ; 1 i$ or not respectively. Im $m$ ediately after the addition has taken place, this operation is undone. At the end, we copy the content of the input register in the result register only if jci=j0i, preparing to account for the fact that
 caption of Fig . 2 .


F IG . 6. M odular exponentiation consists of successive m odularm ultiplications by $a^{2^{i}}$. T he even netw orks perform the reverse controlm odular $m$ ultiplication by inverse of $a^{2^{i}} \mathrm{~m}$ od N thus resetting one of the registers to zero and freeing it for the next controlm odular m ultiplication. The signi cation of the thick black bars is given in the caption of $F$ ig $\mathcal{F}$.


[^0]:    C urrent address: B lackett Laboratory, Im perial C ollege, Prince C onsort R oad, London SW 7 2B Z, U K .

