The Accelerated Observer and Quantum E ects

Roberto Casadio^y and Giovanni Venturi^z

Department of Physics, University of Bologna, and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bologna, Italy

Abstract

An extended monopole detector at constant acceleration coupled to a massless scalar eld is allowed to evolve quantum mechanically. It is found that while in the classical, followed by the point particle, lim it the usual result (Unruh e ect) is recovered, in the point particle (before the classical) lim it the detector decouples from the scalar eld and therefore the e ect disappears.

List of keywords:

A cœlerated obærver C lassical lim it E xtended m onopole detector P oint particle lim it Q uantum m echanics U nruh e ect

^y e-m ail: Casadio@ bologna.infn.it

^z e-m ail: A m itage@ bologna.infn.it

I. Introduction

H awking's remarkable discovery [1] that black holes evaporate due to quantum particle em ission and behave as if they had an elective tem perature of (8 M)¹ with M the mass of the black hole also encouraged, because of the equivalence principle, the study of eld theory in accelerated system s [2,3,4]. In particular it was found that a detector with uniform acceleration in the usual vacuum state of at M inkowski space will be therm ally excited to a tem perature T = a=2.

In the derivation of the result [5] a m assless, otherwise free, scalar eld (') is linearly coupled to a particle detector. This then serves as a localized probe of the eld which is assumed to be in the M inkowski vacuum. The detector is described by the DeW itt monopole moment [6] and evolves on a trajectory of constant acceleration. One then uses time dependent perturbation theory and examines the two point function of the scalar eld in terms of the detector's proper time. A question which one can naturally ask is what happens if one considers detectors of nite extent which evolve quantum mechanically [7]. Such a model is considered in the next Section. We shall use units for which c and the Boltzm ann constant are set equal to unity.

II.An Extended Quantum M echanical D etector

The Lagrangian density describing the eld ' and its interaction with a point { like detector in four dimensional M inkow ski space will be given by:

$$L_{\prime} = d x_{c}^{(4)} \times x_{c}() Q()'(x) \frac{1}{2} Q'(y') (x') \frac{1}{2} \qquad (2.1)$$

where x_c () de nes the world{line of the idealized classical point{like detector, Q() represents its monopole moment at time and ⁽⁴⁾ x x_c () serves to transform inertial time integrals into proper time integrals. Concerning x_c () it is convenient to introduce R indler coordinates and (associated with the transform ation to the accelerated fram e) related to the M inkowski coordinates x^0 and x^3 through:

$$x^{0} = a^{1} e^{a}$$
 sinha
 $x^{3} = a^{1} e^{a}$ cosha ;
(2.2)

where the acceleration is in x^3 direction and has a local value equal to a on the hypersurface = 0 and a e^{-a} elsewhere. In what follows we set = 0 without loss of generality, further we denote by x_c^0 and x_c^3 the corresponding M inkowski coordinates associated with this = 0 trajectory. The uniform ly accelerating classical observer will then have a path given by x_c^0 , x_c^3 (z_c), with proper time and x_c^1 (x_c) = x_c^2 (y_c) = 0.

In Eq. (2.1) we have the Lagrangian for the scalar eld ' and its coupling to the detector, to this we should add a Lagrangian for the observer which leads to the desired solution for the classical path x_c . A convenient Lagrangian yielding an action whose stationarity leads to the classical path x_c is [8] (it is su cient to just exhibit x^3 z since the acceleration is in that direction, and the corresponding Lagrangians L_x , L_y along other directions are obtained by setting a = 0 and replacing z by x, y respectively):

$$L_z = \frac{m}{2} - \frac{dz}{d}^2 + a^2 z^2 = \frac{m}{2} - \frac{z^2}{2} + a^2 z^2$$
; (2:3)

where m is the mass of the observer which is subject to a eld of force m $a^2 z$ corresponding to an inverted harm onic oscillator potential and the total Lagrangian will of course be the sum of Eq. (2.3) with L_x and L_y . Further the H am iltonian associated with L_z is given by:

$$H_{z} = \frac{m}{2} \quad \underline{z}^{2} \quad a^{2} z^{2} ;$$
 (2:4)

which is a constant along the path. At this point we shall further pursue the analogy with the harm onic oscillator and if we write the total wave function for the three-dimensional monopole detector in a factorized form (x;) (y;) (z;) where for each we shall later consider a gaussian wavepacket, we then obtain a quantum Lagrangian density:

$$L_{z} = j (x;) f'_{j} (y;) f'_{j}$$
ih (z;) -(z;) $\frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{\theta (z;)}{\theta z}^{2} + \frac{m}{2} a^{2} z^{2} j (z;) f'_{j} ; (2:5)$

which in the W KB approximation leads to the Lagrangian Eq. (2.3) [8]. We note the dynamics is associated with the z coordinate (and not $x^1 = x, x^2 = y$) thus again we have just exhibited the pertinent term in the total Lagrangian density. The corresponding terms for (x;) ((y;)) are obtained from the above by setting a = 0 and interchanging z and x (y).

The inverted harmonic oscillator has been studied [9] and in particular the associated G reen's function K (z; ; z^0 ;0) can be obtained from the corresponding harmonic oscillator one through the replacement of the frequency of oscillation by ia. One then has:

$$K (z; ; z^{0}; 0) =$$

$$= \frac{m a}{2 \text{ ih sinh a}} \exp \frac{im a}{2 \text{ h sinh a}} z^{0^{2}} + z^{2} \cosh a 2z z^{0} ; (2:6)$$

in which case if one begins with a gaussian wavepacket $(z^0; 0)$:

$$(z^{0};0) = b^{1=2} \exp \frac{(z^{0} z_{0})^{2}}{2b^{2}};$$
 (2:7)

one will obtain:

$$(z;) = \frac{1}{b^{1-2}} \frac{m a}{2 \text{ ih sinh a}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2b^2} \frac{1}{b^2} \frac{1}{b^2}$$

where $m a=(2h \sinh a)$ and:

$$j (z;) j^{2} = \frac{1}{b^{1=2}} \frac{m a}{2 h \sinh a} \frac{m a}{\frac{1}{4b^{4}} + 2 \cosh^{2} a} \frac{1}{a^{1-2}} \exp \frac{\frac{2}{b^{2}} \frac{1}{4b^{4}} + 2 \cosh^{2} a}{\frac{1}{2} \cosh^{2} a} z z_{0} \cosh a^{2} \frac{1}{a^{2}} \exp \frac{\frac{2}{b^{2}} \frac{1}{4b^{4}} + 2 \cosh^{2} a}{\frac{1}{2} (z z_{0})^{2}}; \qquad (2.9)$$

where $= b \frac{1}{4b^4} + c \cosh^2 a$ and $z_c = z_0 \cosh a$. It is clear that in the limit for ! 1 the R H S. of Eq. (2.9) will tend to $(z z_c)$ and this suggests we replace L, by:

$$L_{r} = d x^{0} x_{c}^{0}() Q() j(x;) f^{2} j(y;) f^{2} j(z;) f^{\prime}(x)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} Q^{\prime} Q^{\prime}; \qquad (2:10)$$

where j (x;) \hat{j} (j (y;) \hat{j}) is given by Eq. (2.8) with $z_0 = a = 0$ and z replaced by x (y) and leads to (x) ((y)) for (a = 0) ! 1. Thus in the limit for ! 1, (a = 0) ! 1 Eq. (2.10) leads to Eq. (2.1).

As usual [5,6] we shall suppose the detector has a discrete set of internal eigenstates described by vectors jE i where E = 0 corresponds to the ground state and evaluate the probability P (E) for the detector m ake a transition from the vacuum to an excited state of energy E (h!) while the scalar eld undergoes a transition from the M inkow skivacuum to any nal state. One then has:

where, for convenience, we have considered a nite time interval (0;L) for the detector [10], de ned = T + t=2, ⁰ = T t=2 and:

$$G (t;T) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0^2 \\ 3 & \end{pmatrix}}{2} dx dx^0 dy dy^0 dz dz^0 h0 j' (x;y;z;x_c^0)' (x^0;y^0;z^0;x_c^0^0) j0 i$$

$$= \frac{\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0^2 & z^0 & z_c^{0} \\ 2 & z^0 & z_c^{0} \\ 4 & 5 & \end{pmatrix} dx dx^0 dy dy^0 dz dz^0 exp \begin{pmatrix} 0^2 & x^{0^2} + y^{0^2} \\ 2 & x^{2^2} + y^2 \\ dx dx^0 dy dy^0 dz dz^0 exp & \begin{pmatrix} 0^2 & x^{0^2} + y^{0^2} \\ 2 & x^2 + y^2 \\ 2 & x^2 + y^2 \\ \frac{\exp \begin{pmatrix} 0^2 & z^0 & z_c^{0} \\ x & x^0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + (y & y^0)^2 + (z & z^0)^2 & (x_c^0 & x_c^{0^0} & i \end{pmatrix}^2}{(x_c^0 & x_c^{0^0} & i)^2}$$

$$(2:12)$$

where the unprimed (primed) quantities are evaluated at (0) and = (a = 0). If we now dene u = z z_c $z^0 + z_c^0$, $v = (z z_c + z^0 z_c^0)=2$, $p = x x^0$, $q = (x + x^0)=2$, $r = y y^0$ and $s = (y + y^0)=2$ one obtains:

$$G(t;T) = \frac{0 \ 2 \ 0^{2}}{4 \ 5} \ du \, dv \, dp \, dr \, dq \, ds \frac{\exp \left(\frac{0^{2} \ (v \ u=2)^{2} \ 2 \ (v + u=2)^{2}}{D \ u^{2} \ 2 \ u \ p^{2} \ r^{2}}\right)}{\frac{1}{2 \ u \ dv} \ dp \, dr \, dq \, ds \frac{\exp \left(\frac{0^{2} \ (v \ u=2)^{2} \ 2 \ u \ p^{2} \ r^{2}}{D \ u^{2} \ 2 \ u \ p^{2} \ r^{2}}\right)}{\frac{1}{2 \ u \ dv} \ dp \, dr \, dq \, ds \frac{\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \ u \ dv} \ r^{2} \ r^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2 \ u \ dv} \ dv \ dp \, dr \ dq \, ds \ r^{2} \ r^{2}}$$

where D $\frac{4}{a^2} \sinh^2 \frac{a}{2}$ (⁰) i and $z_c z_c^0$.

W e m ay now consider the integral over the tim e variable t of G:

$$Z_{L 2 JT L=2 j} dt e^{i! t} G(t; T) =$$

$$L+2 JT L=2 j$$

$$= du dp dr \frac{a^{2}}{4} dt \frac{0 2 0^{2}}{4 5} \frac{1}{2 + 0^{2}} \frac{1}{2 + 0^{2}} e^{i! t}$$

$$\frac{exp \frac{2 0^{2}}{2 + 0^{2}} u^{2} \frac{2 0^{2}}{2 + 0^{2}} (p^{2} + r^{2})}{\sinh \frac{at}{2} u_{+} i \sinh \frac{at}{2} u_{-} i}; \qquad (2:14)$$

where we have inverted orders of integration and:

$$u = \frac{a}{2} u \sinh aT \qquad u^{2} \sinh^{2} aT + u^{2} + p^{2} + r^{2} = u^{2}$$
$$= u \frac{a}{2} \sinh aT \qquad \cosh^{2} aT + \frac{p^{2} + r^{2}}{u^{2}} = r^{2}; \qquad (2.15)$$

which implies the existence of poles at (we shall close the contour in the lower half plane):

$$t = \frac{2 \text{ in}}{a} + t^n$$
; (2:16)

where n > 0 and t^n is purely real and is given by:

$$t^{n} = (1)^{n} \frac{2}{a} \sinh^{-1} u$$
 : (2:17)

On performing the integral with respect to t in Eq. (2.14) using the theory of residues one has:

$$Z_{L 2 JT L=2 j} dt e^{i! t} G(t; T) =$$

$$= \frac{a}{2} \int_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}^{1}} du dp dr \int_{n=1}^{\mathbb{X}^{N}} \frac{(1)^{n}}{2^{4}} \frac{e^{\frac{2 n}{a}!}}{u_{+} u} \frac{e^{i! t^{n}_{+}}}{(1+u_{+}^{2})^{1-2}} \frac{e^{i! t^{n}_{+}}}{(1+u^{2})^{1-2}};$$
(2:18)

where we have om itted an integral along the contour which does not contribute for L large and N is the largest integer (L 2 J L=2) a=2 . Further:

$$= \frac{1}{2 + 0^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{2 + 0^{2}}{2 + 0^{2}} u^{2} + \frac{2}{2} (p^{2} + r^{2}) \right); (2:19)$$

with:

$$= \frac{1}{4^{2}b^{2}} + b^{2} (1)^{n} (1 + u^{2})^{1=2} \cosh aT + u \sinh aT^{2}$$
(2.20)

$${}^{0} = \frac{1}{4^{0^{2}}b^{2}} + b^{2} (1)^{n} (1 + u^{2})^{1=2} \cosh aT u \sinh aT^{2}$$
(2.21)

$$= \frac{m a}{2 h} (1)^{n} (1 + u^{2})^{1=2} \sinh a T + u \cosh a T^{1}$$
(2.22)

$${}^{0} = \frac{m a}{2 h} (1)^{n} (1 + u^{2})^{1=2} \sinh a T u \cosh a T^{1}$$
(2.23)

$$= {}^{0} = (a = 0) :$$
 (2:24)

Finally, for the transition probability Eq. (2.11) one will obtain:

$$P(E) = hE jQ(0) j0i^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{L}} dT \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} du \, dp \, dr \frac{1}{4} \int_{n=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{e^{\frac{2 n}{a}!}}{2u \cosh^{2} a T + \frac{p^{2} + r^{2}}{u^{2}}} \int_{0}^{1=2} \frac{\sin ! (1)^{n} t^{n}}{(1 + u_{+}^{2})^{1=2}} \frac{\sin ! (1)^{n} t^{n}}{(1 + u^{2})^{1=2}} ; \qquad (2.25)$$

and we note the appearance of the familiar P lanck distribution factor. Concerning the above expansion we note that the stintegral may lead to a divergence as $L \cdot 1$ which as usual [5] can be eliminated by considering the transition probability per unit time, further we observe that the u, p, r integrations are nite and we may now consider the limits for h \cdot 0 and b \cdot 0.

Let us rst consider h ! 0, in such a limit one has that , ⁰ tend to in nity and the Compton wavelength of the detector will disappear from Eqs. (2.20), (2.21) and (2.25), which will however remain a complicated expression which nonetheless still exhibits a Planck distribution. The expression however simplies if we then consider the b! 0 limit (point detector), indeed in such a case:

$$\lim_{b! \ 0 \ h! \ 0} \lim_{b! \ 0 \ h! \ 0} = \lim_{b! \ 0} \frac{p^{2} + r^{2}}{2b \cosh a T} \exp \frac{u^{2}}{2b^{2} \cosh^{2} a T} \frac{u^{2}}{2b^{2}} \exp \frac{p^{2} + r^{2}}{2b^{2}}$$

$$= {}^{3} (u) (p) (r); \qquad (2:26)$$

where we have om itted term sofhigher order in (u;p;r). The transition probability P (E) will then become:

$$\lim_{b! 0 \text{ h! 0}} \lim_{p \in \mathbb{N}} P(E) = hE jQ(0) j0 i^{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dT \frac{!}{2} \int_{n=1}^{X^{N}} e^{\frac{2 n}{a}!}; \qquad (2.27)$$

in agreem ent with previous results [5].

One may now consider the lim it b! 0, one then has:

$$\lim_{b! \ 0} = \lim_{b! \ 0} 2b^{0} \frac{1}{2 + 0^{2}} \exp \frac{4b^{2} 2 0^{2}}{2 + 0^{2}} u^{2}$$

$$2 b^{2} \frac{2}{0} e^{2b^{2} \frac{2}{0}} (p^{2} + r^{2}) = 0; \qquad (2.28)$$

where $_0 = m = [h (u^2 + p^2 + r^2)^{1=2}]$. Since the u, p, r integrals in Eq. (2.25) are nite and furtherm ore in this case so is the T integral even in the lim it for L ! 1, one has:

$$\lim_{b! 0} P(E) = 0; \qquad (2.29)$$

thus implying that a quantum {mechanically evolving point detector at constant acceleration decouples from the scalar eld, which is rather surprising.

III.Conclusion

We have considered a massless scalar eld coupled to a monopole detector which from a given time is subjected to a constant acceleration. For the detector we considered a gaussian wavepacket which we allowed to evolve according to an inverted harmonic oscillator potential corresponding to a constant acceleration. On exam ining the probability per unit time for the detector to excite itself due to the absorption of scalar quanta, we observed that on rst considering the classical limit (h ! 0) and then the point{like limit for the detector we reproduced the usual results. If how ever one considers the point{like limit rst the detector decouples from the scalar eld. This rather surprising result is due to the fact that once quantum {mechanical evolution is considered another length enters the theory: the C om pton wavelength of the detector (let us remember that we have taken b, m, h and a as independent).

Indeed in another, quite di erent, situation a sim ilar result is obtained [11]. O ne considers, rather than the quantum electrodynam ics of point particles, the point particle lim it of a theory of extended particles and takes this lim it on the quantum level rather than the classical level. Then, in the nonrelativistic approxim ation, such a method leads to a vanishing self(energy and to the absence of run {away and pre{acceleration e ects.

One may also ask oneself whether an approach such as the above is possible for a black hole. Since black hole evaporation essentially takes place in s{waves one can make a 2-dimensional model for a black hole and near the event horizon the Schwarzschild metric can be put in Rindler form [2,6]. Thus one may hope that since the acceleration becomes in nite near the event horizon it could compensate the zero due to the point particle limit. However for the scalar eld W ightm an function in two dimension one has a logarithm ic singularity rather than a pole; usually through integration by parts one changes it to a pole, but for our wavepacket case such an approach is considerably more involved. We hope to return to this point.

References

- [1] S.W .Hawking, Nature 248 (1974) 30;
 - S.W .Hawking, Comm. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199.
- [2] W .G.Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 870.
- [3] P.C.W. Davies, J. Phys. A 6 (1975) 609.
- [4] D.W. Sciama, P.Candelas and D.Deutsch, Adv. in Phys. 30 (1981) 327.
- [5] See for example N.D.Birreland P.C.W.Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1982).
- [6] B.S.DeW itt in General Relativity: an Einstein Centenary Survey, eds. by S.W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1979).
- [7] For another discussion of particle detectors see P.G.G rove and Q.C.Ottew ill, J.Phys. A 16 (1983) 3905.
- [8] R.Brout and Ph. Spindel, Nucl. Phys. B 348 (1991) 405;
 R.Brout, Z.Phys. B 68 (1987) 339.
- [9] G.Barton, Annals of Physics 166 (1986) 322.
- [10] In this context see Response of nite time particle detectors in non inertial frames and curved backgrounds by L.Sriram kumar and T.Padmanabhan.
- [11] See H.Grotch, E.Kazes, F.Rohrlich and D.H.Sharp, Acta Phys. Austr. 54 (1982) 31 and references therein.