DARBOUX-W ITTEN TECHNIQUES FOR THE DEM KOV-OSTROVSKY PROBLEM

HARET C.ROSU

Instituto de F sica, Universidad de Guanajuato, Apdo Postal E-143, Leon, G to, M exico rosu@ ifig3.ugto $m \times m$

The bosonic strictly isospectral problem for Dem kov-O strovsky (DO) ective potentials in the radially nodeless sector is rst solved in the supersymmetric Darboux-W itten (DW) half line (or 1-changing) procedure. As an application, for the $\,=\,1$ class, if one goes back to optics examples, it m ight be possible to think of a one-parameter family of Maxwell lenses having the same optical scattering properties in the nodeless radial sector. Although the relative changes in the index of refraction that one may introduce in this way are at the level of several percents, at most, for all DO orbital quantum numbers 1 O, the index proles are diement from the original Maxwell one, possessing an in ection point within the lens. I pass then to the DW full line (or N-changing) procedure, obtaining the corresponding Morse-type problem for which the supersymmetric results are well established, and nally come back to the half line with well-de ned results.

PACS number(s): 03.65.-w, 11.30 Pb

1. IN TRODUCTION

Recently, the Schrödinger equation at zero energy with the Dem kov-O strovsky (hereafter DO) class of focusing potentials $^{\rm 1}$

$$V (r) = \frac{w E_0}{(r=R)^2 [(r=R) + (r=R)]^2}$$
 (1)

has been studied in the supersymmetric quantum-mechanical context 2 . In Eq. (1) w > 0 is a coupling constant, E_0 is an energy scale, R > 0 is the spatial scale is the Lenz-Dem kov-O strovsky parameter (= 1=2 class was of the potential, related to the atom ic aufbau 3 , while the = 1 case is a wave approach to the Maxwell sh-eye lens 1). It has been shown that the radially nodeless sector of the DO wave problem may be worked out in the supersymmetric approach. As is quite well known, the essence of W itten's supersymmetric quantum mechanics 4 (Darboux procedure, in mathematics literature) is a pair of Riccati equations, which, when the particular solution is used, entails the boson-ferm ion symmetry at the level of standard one-dim ensional Schrodinger equations. Moreover, when use is made of the general Riccati solution one can solve the isospectral problem, ie., one is able to generate, in the most simple procedure, classes of one-parameter fam ilies of potentials having the sam e eigenvalues as the original potential. The one-param eter family of fermionic DO potentials for the zero energy case has been already obtained in 2 .

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, I shall obtain the \half line" one-param eter fam ily of bosonic DO e ective potentials at zero energy, in the nodeless sector, having the same ferm ionic superpartner. Moreover, I shall focus on the optical application, introducing, in the nodeless radial sector, a one-param eter fam ily of generalized Maxwell indices of refraction, in the sense that they are dened for each partial wave and depend on the family parameter. Then, in Sec. III, I shall use the Langer transform to go to the full line Schrodinger equation for which the Darboux-Witten (DW) procedure applies in a standard way and return to the half line with simple yet well-dened results. A conclusion section ends up the paper.

2.DO-ISOSPECTRAL PROBLEM ON THE HALF LINE

I shall use the double DW procedure to rst delete and then reintroduce a norm alizable state in a spectrum , taking the initial DO Schrodinger equation at zero energy, which for the quantized values w_N ; = $(2)^2 N + (2)^1 N + (2)^1$

$$R_{N 1}() = \frac{N_{N 1}^{1}}{(1 + 2)^{(21+1)=2}} C_{N 1 1}^{(21+1)=2} + 1=2 ();$$
 (2)

where N = n+ (1 1)l, n = n_r+l+1, n_r=0;1;2;:::, are the \total", \principal" and \radial" DO quantum numbers, respectively, l is the DO orbitalone, $C_p^{\,q}(\ (\))$ are G egenbauer polynom ials of variable = (1 2)=(1+ 2), and N $_{N\,1}$ are the norm alization constants that I shall not take into account henceforth. The degree of degeneracy of the zero-bound state is N 2 sim ilar to the hydrogen atom . In the $n_r=0$ sector the G egenbauer polynom ials are unity for any degree p, so they do not enter the following.

To generate the bosonic isospectral family I need the general solution of the ferm ionic Riccati equation

$$U_{eff}^{+} = + \frac{dW}{d} () + W^{2} ();$$
 (3)

for which the particular solution is the following 2

$$W () = \frac{1}{(1+2)}; (4)$$

and where $U_{\rm eff}^+$ is the ferm ionic elective superpartner 2 . Substitution of the general solution supposed to be of the form $W=V^{-1}+W$ in Eq. (3) leads to the following simple dierential equation for V

$$\frac{dV}{d} + 2W \quad V = 1 \tag{5}$$

with the general solution (the particular superpotential is the negative logarithm ic

derivative of the radial factor f)

$$V = f^{2} + f^{2}(^{\circ})d^{\circ} ;$$
 (6)

where $\;$ is a positive, real parameter, and the D O $\;$ radial factor in the $n_{\rm r}$ = 0 sector is 2

f () =
$$\frac{1+1}{(1+2)^{(21+1)=2}}$$
: (7)

D enoting the integral in Eq. (6) by I_0 ; () the general superpotential reads

$$W = W + \frac{d}{d} \ln [I_0; () +];$$
 (8)

as one can show easily. Then, the isospectral elective potential family can be written in terms of the original elective DO potential and the radial factor f as follows

$$U_{\text{bos}}$$
; (;) = U () $2 \left[\ln \left(I_0; + \right) \right]^{00} = U$ () $\frac{4f \ f^{0}}{I_{0:} +} + \frac{2f^{4}}{\left(I_{0:} + \right)^{2}}$; (9)

the primes denoting derivatives with respect to . It remains to calculate the integral I_0 ; in order to consider the problem as solved. For that, the trigonometric representation is the most convenient. With I_0 the integral turns into

$$I_{0;} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} [\cos t]^{\frac{2}{2}} [\sin t]^{\frac{21+3}{2}} d :$$
 (10)

Then, for the physical cases, = 1=2 and = 1, one can use the form ulas 2.524.1 and 2.518.1, respectively, in 5 , as the most compact ones, in order to get

$$I_{0;\frac{1}{2}} = 2 \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{[\sin j^{4}] + 5}{[\cos j^{4}]} d = 2 \int_{k=0}^{\frac{2k}{2}+2} (1)^{k+1} \frac{(2l+2)!}{k!(2l+2)!} \frac{[\cos j^{2k-3}]}{[2k-3]}$$
(11)

and

$$I_{0;1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Z}{\cos \beta^{2l+2}} & \frac{[\sin \beta^{2l+2}]}{\cos \beta} & \frac{[\sin \beta^{2l+1}]}{\cos \beta} & (2l+1) & [\sin \beta^{2l}] & (12) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, for the last integral in Eq. (12) I used the formula 2.513.1 in 5 leading to

$$I_{0;1} = \frac{\left[\sin \int_{0}^{2^{1+1}} \frac{(21+1)}{4^{1}} \frac{(21!)}{(1!)^{2}} - 2(21+1) \frac{(1)^{1} \dot{X}^{1}}{4^{1}} (1)^{k} \frac{(21)!}{k!(21-k)!} \frac{\left[\sin (21-2k)\right]}{\left[21-2k\right]};$$
(13)

2.1. Application

The interesting physical point m ay be seen m ore directly in the M axwell sheye case, = 1. Notice that now N = n. Suppose we use an optical physics

example. Then, one might think of a whole family of indices of refraction of the M axwell type, i.e., a family of lenses with the same remarkable optical properties. Since after performing the double DW procedure the centrifigal barrier is the same for the whole bosonic family and equal to that of $U_{\rm eff}$, 6 following Dem kov and O strovsky 1 , to the bosonic family of M axwell sheep potentials

$$V_{1}(;1;) = \frac{(21+1)(21+3)}{(1+2)^{2}} \frac{4f_{1}f_{1}^{0}}{I_{0:1}+} + \frac{2f_{1}^{4}}{(I_{0:1}+)^{2}}$$
(14)

would correspond the family of Maxwell indices

$$n_1(;l;)/p \overline{V_1(;l;)}:$$
 (15)

The one-parameter family of M axwell lenses that m ight be thought of in this way are identical in the sense that, quantum -m echanically, the scattering m atrices are identical 6 . I emphasize that all these n (;1;) are valid in the n = 1+ 1 sector. (See Figs. 1 and 2.)

It is known in the literature ⁶ that pair combinations (including double-ones, as the double DW used here) of all the three known procedures to delete and reintroduce a nodeless, normalizable state in a spectrum, i.e., the Darboux-Witten, the Abraham-Moses, and the Pursey ones, generate ve distinct, one-parameter, \isospectral" families of (elective) potentials. However, the supplementary four are not exactly isospectral. Nevertheless, their quantum-mechanical scattering matrices are related by simple relationships ⁶.

Since I have used the double DW procedure I rst deleted and then reinserted the zero-energy bound state, or a sector of it. The price for such an action is the damping of the new radial factors as follows

$$f_{bos}$$
; () = $\frac{f()}{I_0; +}$: (16)

From the plots I did, one can notice that the \physical" e ect of the fam ily param – eter on the index of refraction, though not important – it is at the level of several percents and goes down strongly with increasing l – is su cient to generate an inection point in the index pro le. Its physical nature should be further exam ined. On the other hand, is acting as a huge damping parameter for the radial factor.

3. FROM THE HALF LINE TO THE FULL LINE AND BACK

W hat I have discussed so far has to do with the half-line (radial) problem . It is a supersymmetric construction which for the very close hydrogen problem has been questioned by H aym aker and R au 7 . This is so because the interpretation of the DW construction along the lines of Fadeev 8 , Sukum ar 9 , or K ostelecky and N ieto 10 , i.e., as changing the angular m omentum, is a delicate point, and actually an important open issue in Physics. Indeed, to associate the DW procedure with a changen! n 1 at xed las suggested by H aym aker and R au seem sm ore natural. Therefore, I shall tackle this point as well.

The idea is to pass from the half line case to the full line one by the Langer change of variable $x = \ln$ and wave function $(x) = \exp(x=2)u$. Then the standard DO Schrodinger equation at zero energy turns into the Rosen-Morse (RM) problem

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{1}{2})}{\cosh^2 x} = 0$$
 (17)

where I m ade use of l+ 1 = n, considered as the lowest eigenvalue and xing the zero of the energy scale on it. I shall also m ake the shift $n_b = n + 1 = 2$, taking only the integer part $[n_b]$, since I am not interested in the W KB analysis and I need the standard form of the potential

$$V = \frac{n_b (n_b + 1)}{\cosh^2 x} :$$
 (18)

Then, Eq. (17) is just the RM eigenvalue problem with $[n_b]$ bound states, of eigenvalues $[n_b]^2$. The particular superpotential is $W=n_b \tanh x$ and the superpartner is $V^+=\frac{n_b (n_b-1)}{\cosh^2 x}$ and therefore has one bound state less than V^- . The supersymmetry of the full line RM potential is well established, including the strictly isospectral issue, see, e.g., 11 . Multiple-parameter families of strictly isospectral $\cosh^2 x$ potentials can be obtained easily and a nice picture of the translationalmotion of the wells, each with one bound state, towards 1 as the family parameters are going to the Abraham-Moses $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[n] = \mathbb{E}[n]$ and Pursey $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[n] = \mathbb{E}[n]$ in the case of a single bound state, the one-parameter family of $\cosh^2 x$ potentials reads

$$V_0(_0) = 2 \cosh^2 (x + \frac{1}{2} \ln (1 + 1 = _0))$$
 (19)

where one can see the parameter imbedded in the argument of a logarithm, adding to the argument of the hyperbolic function, which is a general feature of this case and the reason for the translation of the potentials.

Exactly as suggested by Haymaker and Rau, after all the supersymmetric job on the full line, one should go back to the half line to obtain the right superpartner and isospectral families. By doing so, one gets the DO particular superpotential $W=(\frac{1}{2}-n)$ (), a superpartner elective potential $W=(\frac{1}{2}-n)$ (), a superpartner elective potential $W=(\frac{1}{2}-n)$ whereas the elect of the isospectral parameter shows up in a rescaling of the variable of the type $W=(\frac{1}{2}-1)$, where $W=(\frac{1}{2}-1)$ is the initial coordinate, corresponding to $W=(\frac{1}{2}-1)$. In other words, we have a rescaling of the radius of the sheye lens occurring simultaneously with the change $W=(\frac{1}{2}-1)$ has a zero-bound state of degeneracy reduced by one unit with respect to the lens of radius R. This is a counterpart of what happens in the hydrogen case, where one has the simultaneous change $W=(\frac{1}{2}-1)$ and $W=(\frac{1}{2}-1)$.

In the atom ic aufbau case the sam e results hold with only m inor changes in the RM problem, which this time turns to apply to the potential N $(N + 1)=4 \cosh^2(x=2)$ of eigenvalues N $^2=4$, where N = 21+ 1.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, I presented a rather detailed discussion of the DO wave problem in the nodeless radial sector by employing DW tools, with special focus on the sheye lens case. While the 1-changing half line procedure does not look very natural, the N-changing full line one appears to be more acceptable. Of course, it is more convenient not to face the centrifugal singularity by sending it to 1, avoiding all related diculties. One just pass in this way to an easy to handle rejectionless and shape invariant RM problem. The centrifugal singularity is not \active". Moreover, the isospectral parameter plays dierent roles, as a strong damping in the half line case, and as a \dilatation" parameter when the full line procedure is used.

As an important by-product of this study I introduced generalized 1- and -dependent families of M axwell indices of refraction, which presum ably might be related to surface-scattering optical phenomena. Indeed, the squares of the radial factors are peaked close to the surface = 1. Finally, as one might guess from the above analysis, there might be potential applications of DW techniques in graded index optics.

A cknow ledgem ents

This work was partially supported by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnolog a (Mexico) (CONACyT) Project No. 4868-E9406. I thank Kurt Bernardo Wolf for asking me if it is not possible to have more than one type of Maxwell sheye lenses.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. The original M axwell refractive index n_{M} , the \isospectral" one $n_{\rm iso}$, their relative ratio $\frac{n_{\rm iso}}{n_{\text{M}}}$ 1, and the square of the radial factor f [Eq. (16)] for (a) l=1, = 1 and (b) l=1, = 10, respectively.

Fig. 2. The same functions for (a) l=2, =1 and (b) l=2, =10, respectively.

In both gures, I have used $n_{iso}=n_M=1+\frac{1}{2}\frac{V}{V_M}$, where V is the negative of the -depending part in Eq. (14), whereas V_M is the negative of the rst term therein. Since V m ay be negative, the relative ratio m ay be negative as well. The indices have been normalized to $(l+1=2)^2$ to be as close as possible to the Maxwell normalization constant n (0) = 2, and also to include the case l=0.

References

- Yu N .D em kov and V N .O strovsky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 60, 2011 (1971) [JETP 33, 1083 (1971)].
- 2. H.C. Rosu, M. Reyes, K.B. Wolf, and O. Obregon, Phys. Lett. A 208, 33 (1995); in Second Iberoam erican Meeting on Optics, edited by D. Malacara, S.E. Acosta, R. Rodr guez Vera, Z. Malacara, and A. Morales, S.P. E. Proc. Vol. 2730, (S.P. E., Bellingham, W.A., 1996), pp. 436-439.
- 3. Y. K itagawara and A.O. Barut, J. Phys. B 16, 3305 (1983); 17, 4251 (1984). [See also, Yu.N. Dem kov and V.N. Ostrovsky, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 62, 125 (1971); Errata 63, 2376 (1972) [Sov. Phys. JETP 35, 66 (1972)]; V.N. Ostrovsky, J. Phys. B 14, 4425 (1981); V.N. Ostrovsky, Latin-American School of Physics XXX ELAF, eds. O. Castanos, R. Lopez-Pena, J.G. Hirsch, K.B. Wolf, A.P. Conf. Proc. 365, pp 191-216 (1996)].
- 4. E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 185, 513 (1981).
- 5. I.S. G radshteyn and IM. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series, and products, 4th ed. (A cademic, New York, 1980), pp. 136, 134, 131, and 130.
- 6. A.Khare and U.P.Sukhatme, Phys. Rev. A 40, 6185 (1989).
- 7. R W . Haymaker and A R P. Rau, Am . J. Phys. 54, 928 (1986).
- 8. L D . Fadeev, J. M ath. Phys. 4,72 (1963).
- 9. C.V. Sukum ar, J. Phys. A 18, 2917 (1985); 18, 2937 (1985).
- 10. V A.Kostelecky and M.M. Nieto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2285 (1984).
- 11. W .-Y . Keung, U.P. Sukhatme, Q. Wang, and T.D. Imbo, J. Phys. A 22, L987 (1989).