

# Factorization, ladder operators and isospectral structures.

A. Pérez-Lorenzana. †

Departamento de Física,  
Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN,  
Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000, México D. F., México.

**Abstract.** Using the modified factorization method employed by Mielnik for the harmonic oscillator, we show that isospectral structures associated with a second order operator  $H$ , can always be constructed whenever  $H$  could be factored, or exist ladder operators for its eigenfunctions. Three examples are shown, and properties like completeness and integrability are discussed for the general case.

## 1. Introduction.

In the context of one or tree-dimensional Quantum Mechanics, the principal problem is to solve the eigenvalue problems associated with the Schrödinger equation when the particle is under the influence of a general potential. The two most commonly used exact methods to determinate the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues (once we have separated the differential equation) are the method of orthogonal functions and the factorization method. Nevertheless, the number of potentials which have exact solution by these ways is quite small.

In recent years, Abraham and Moses [1] showed one algorithm that allows us to generate exactly solvable potentials from another potential which has exact solutions, both with the same eigenvalues, but adding or subtracting a finite number of them through the systematic use of the Gelfand-Levitan equation [2].

On the other hand, Mielnik [3] observed that for the harmonic oscillator the same isospectral class of potentials that Abraham and Moses obtained, may be easily built using the ladder operators. On the same way, Nieto [4] showed that into supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics, the natural factorization that of the bosonic or fermionic one-dimensional Hamiltonian could produce isospectral Hamiltonians too. Nevertheless, the

† e-mail address: abdel@fis.cinvestav.mx

use of the factorization scheme to produce new classes of solvable potentials from other one is not restricted to the two kinds before, as we will show.

The present work has been divided into the following sections, in section 2, we resume the procedure used by Mielnik in order to find an isospectral family to the harmonic oscillator. Along section 3, we show how this property is not exclusive of that case, but all the second order differential operators which may be factored by ladder operators have it. Moreover, this property exists always that a second order operator can be factored in a more general way, not necessarily by ladder operators or in a kind of factorization like the supersymmetry one. Section 4 contains three different examples of this techniques, the free particle in one and three dimensions and the isotropic oscillator. Finally, our conclusions are summarized in section 5.

## 2. The oscillator's isospectral family

The first family of isospectral operators built in a different way from that of Abraham and Moses [1], was obtained for the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator, using a variant of the factorization method proposed by Mielnik [3]. In this case, the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \quad (2.1)$$

whose eigenvalues are  $E_n = n + \frac{1}{2}$ , is factored by the creation and annihilation operators

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{dx} + x \right), \quad a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{dx} + x \right), \quad (2.2)$$

in such a way that  $H = a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} = a a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}$ . The method considers the more general operators

$$b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{d}{dx} + \beta(x) \right), \quad b^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{d}{dx} + \beta(x) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

which must be such that  $H = b b^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Moreover  $\beta(x)$  satisfy the Ricatti equation  $\beta' + \beta^2 = x^2 + 1$ , which have the particular solution  $\beta_0 = x$ . Then the general solution can be obtained putting  $\beta = x + \phi(x)$ . This yields

$$\phi(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{\lambda + \int_0^x e^{-y^2} dy}. \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a parameter. Now, we write down the inverse product  $b^\dagger b$

$$H_\lambda \equiv b^\dagger b + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \phi'(x) = H - \phi'. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence,  $H_\lambda$  is a certain new family of Hamiltonians. Moreover, if we define  $\psi_n = b^\dagger \varphi_{n-1}$ , where  $\varphi_n$  are the eigenfunctions of  $H$ , thus,  $\psi_n$  are the eigenfunctions of  $H_\lambda$ , with eigenvalues  $E_n = n + \frac{1}{2}$

$$H_\lambda \psi_n = b^\dagger (H + 1) \varphi_{n-1} = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \psi_n. \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore,  $H$  and  $H_\lambda$  are isospectral, except for one missing element, the ground state of  $H_\lambda$ , which should be orthogonal to all of  $\psi_n$

$$(\psi_0, \psi_n) = 0 \implies b\psi_0 = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

We will call to  $\{H, \varphi_n\}$  and  $\{H_\lambda, \psi_n\}$  *isospectral structures* (IS). Some other usual properties of the harmonic oscillator are inherited for its IS, like the coherent states, as has been shown before [5]. As it can be seen immediately, this is not the only case for which there must exist IS [6]. The existence of IS for a second order differential operator  $H$  is related with the existence of a factorization scheme, as we shall show below.

### 3. IS by the Factorization Scheme.

We begin by establishing the immediate generalization of the Mielnik's results. Consider the second order differential operator

$$H = P(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + Q(x) \frac{d}{dx} + R(x) \quad (3.1)$$

and its eigenvalue equation

$$H\varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n. \quad (3.2)$$

By simplicity, we will suppose that  $n$  is an discrete index, and that  $\lambda_n$  has a minimum value. We assume that there exist the first order ladder operators, then we may write them as

$$A_n^+ = \alpha_n(x) + \beta_n(x) \frac{d}{dx}, \quad A_n^- = \gamma_n(x) - \delta_n(x) \frac{d}{dx}, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $A_n^\pm \varphi_n = c_n^\pm \varphi_{n\pm 1}$ , with  $c_n^\pm$  constants, and they are such a way that factored to  $H$  into

$$H = A_{n-1}^+ A_n^- + k_n^1 \quad (3.4)$$

$$= A_{n+1}^- A_n^+ + k_n^2, \quad (3.5)$$

the constants  $k_n^1$  and  $k_n^2$  are related with  $\lambda_n$  by  $\Delta\lambda_n \equiv \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = k_{n+1}^1 - k_n^2$ . Notice that of equations (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5)  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\beta_n$ ,  $\gamma_n$  and  $\delta_n$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -\beta_{n-1} \delta_n &= P, \\ \beta_{n-1} \gamma_n - \beta_{n-1} \delta'_n - \alpha_{n-1} \delta_n &= Q, \\ \alpha_{n-1} \gamma_n + \beta_{n-1} \gamma'_n + k_n^1 &= R, \\ \alpha_{n-1} \gamma_n - \delta_n \alpha'_{n-1} + k_n^2 &= R. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Next, consider the more general operators

$$a_n^{(+)} = \tilde{\alpha}_n(x) + \beta_n \frac{d}{dx}, \quad a_n^{(-)} = \tilde{\gamma}_n(x) - \delta_n \frac{d}{dx}. \quad (3.7)$$

obtained by the changes  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}_n \equiv \alpha_n + \eta_n(x)$  and  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}_n \equiv \gamma_n + \nu_n(x)$  in (3.3), with  $\eta_n$  and  $\nu_n$  functions. Then, we will have the two following cases

**Case I.** Assuming that  $H$  is factored by  $a_n^{(\pm)}$  into the form

$$H = a_{n-1}^{(+)} a_n^{(-)} + k_n^1, \quad (3.8)$$

and using the equations (3.7) and (3.6) we obtain for  $\eta_n$  and  $\nu_n$

$$\eta_{n-1} = \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\delta_n} \nu_n, \quad (3.9)$$

and the Ricatti equation for  $\nu_n$

$$\beta_{n-1} \nu_n' + \left( \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\delta_n} \right) \nu_n^2 + \left[ \alpha_{n-1} + \gamma_n \left( \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{\delta_n} \right) \right] \nu_n = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

whose general solution is

$$\nu_n(x) = e^{-\mathcal{I}_n} \left[ \lambda + \int dx \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}_n}}{\delta_n} \right]^{-1}; \quad \mathcal{I}_n \equiv \int dx \left[ \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1}} + \frac{\gamma_n}{\delta_n} \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, the factorization of  $H$  into the form (3.8) is not unique. Moreover, building the inverted product  $a_{n+1}^{(-)} a_n^{(+)}$ , we may find the new one-parametric family of operators

$$H_n^\lambda \equiv a_{n+1}^{(-)} a_n^{(+)} = H - \left\{ \delta_{n+1} \eta_n' + \beta_n \nu_{n+1}' \right\}, \quad (3.12)$$

which as we can immediately see, has identical spectra to that of  $H$ , even though their eigenvectors are different. If we define

$$\psi_n = a_{n+1}^{(-)} \varphi_{n+1}$$

then

$$H_n^\lambda \psi_n = a_{n+1}^{(-)} (H - \Delta \lambda_n) \varphi_{n+1} = \lambda_n \psi_n. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore,  $\psi_n$  are the eigenvectors of  $H_n^\lambda$  and one state could be added to the spectra  $\lambda_n$ .

Note that in the more general case  $H_n^\lambda$  defines a different operator for different  $n$ . Hence, to be precise,  $H$  and  $H_n^\lambda$  share only one element of their spectra:  $\lambda_n$ . In fact, the functions  $\{\psi_n\}$  are not orthogonal in general, because equation (3.13) gives

$$(\lambda_n - \lambda_m) (\psi_m, \psi_n) = (\psi_m, \Delta_{mn} \psi_n),$$

with  $\Delta_{mn} = H_n^\lambda - H_m^\lambda$ , a non vanishing scalar function. However, when the index  $n$  is different to that defined by equation (3.2), like the index  $l$  for the angular momentum into

the Schrödinger radial equation with central potentials, the dependence of  $H_n^\lambda$  in  $n$  may be seen as a result of the action of one potential, which is coupled in a different way for each state, like the effective central potential, with the orthogonality and completeness established by the Sturm–Liouville theory [7].

On the other hand, when  $a_n^{(-)\dagger} = a_{n-1}^{(+)}$ , then  $\{\psi_n\}$  will have the integrability properties of  $\{\varphi_n\}$ , except for a change on the normalization

$$(\psi_n, \psi_n) = (\lambda_n - k_n^1) (\varphi_n, \varphi_n).$$

It is clear that if the ladder operators do not depend on the index  $n$ , all the properties of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  must be inherited by  $\{\psi_n\}$ , and probably there is another eigenstate of  $H^\lambda$  obtained as the solution to

$$(\chi, \psi_n) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad a^{(+)}\chi = 0.$$

**Case II.** The above results may be obtained again, when  $a_n^{(\pm)}$  factored  $H$  into

$$H = a_{n+1}^{(-)} a_n^{(+)} + k_n^2. \quad (3.14)$$

In such a case, we find  $\nu_{n+1}\beta_n = \delta_{n+1}\eta_n$  and the Ricatti equation for  $\eta_n$

$$\left[ \gamma_{n+1} + \left( \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right) \alpha_n \right] \eta_n + \left( \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \right) \eta_n^2 - \delta_{n+1} \eta_n' = 0,$$

whose general solution is non trivial, as in the case I. Hence, we may define the new family of operators, like (3.12)

$$\tilde{H}_n^\lambda \equiv a_{n-1}^{(+)} a_n^{(-)} + k_n^1 = H + \{ \beta_{n-1} \nu_n' + \delta_n \eta_{n-1} \}, \quad (3.15)$$

whose eigenfunctions

$$\Phi_n \equiv a_{n-1}^{(+)} \varphi_{n-1} \quad (3.16)$$

have the same eigenvalues that of  $\varphi_n$ . But now, the spectra has not the ground state. Note that the comments for the case I still hold in the present one.

Once we know the existence of IS for  $H$ , we must emphasize that the constriction on  $A_n^\pm$  to be ladder operators and factored to  $H$  at the same time, is not entirely necessary, IS can be built if just one of them holds as we will show below.

Let  $A_n^\pm$  be ladder operators, in the more general case they do not factorize to  $H$  [8], instead,

$$D_n \equiv A_{n+1}^- A_n^+ \quad \text{and} \quad E_n \equiv A_{n-1}^+ A_n^- \quad (3.17)$$

define two different operators, which obviously share eigenfunctions with  $H$ , but not eigenvalues. Even now, the algorithm discussed above can be reproduced to build IS associated with  $\{D_n, \varphi_n\}$  and  $\{E_n, \varphi_n\}$ , but it is important to note that we do not have IS for  $H$  in a natural way. Nevertheless, when  $A_n^\pm$  and  $H$  are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_n \\ E_n \end{pmatrix} = f(x) (H - \lambda_n) + \begin{pmatrix} d_n \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.18)$$

$d_n, e_n$  being constants, it is an easy task to find IS for  $H$ , as we shall note in the examples below.

Now, if we assume that  $H$  is factorizable, not necessarily by ladder operators, then there are two first order operators  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  which satisfy

$$H = B_n C_n + k_n \quad (3.19)$$

where  $k_n$  is a constant. In this case, it is always possible to follow the usual procedure in order to find IS for  $H$ . Moreover, as  $F_{(n)} \equiv C_n B_n$  is in general a different operator to  $H$ , IS may be built from it in a direct way, whenever  $F_{(n)} C_n = C_n (B_n C_n) = C_n H_{(n)}$ . Hence,  $\xi_n \equiv C_n \varphi_n$  are eigenfunctions of  $F_n$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$ . Thus, we have established that *is sufficient with factored one second order operator  $H$ , to assure that one IS  $\{H^\lambda, \xi_n\}$  associated with  $\{H, \varphi_n\}$  must exist*, even when the spectra is continuous.

In the case when  $H$  does not depend in  $n$ , neither  $B_n, C_n$  and  $k_n$  do, and then the family  $H^\lambda$  will be defined in a proper way. Moreover, in such a case, the completeness and orthogonality of  $\{\xi_n\}$  must be insured by the properties of the eigenfunctions of  $H$ , or by the Sturm–Liouville theory.

Finally, the present approximation is not the more general. It is possible to generalize the four functions  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n$  and  $\delta_n$  at the same time, but this scheme modifies  $H$  in something more than one scalar function, in such a way that a new family of isospectral operators to  $H$  can be found, but the new operators might have not a direct physical interpretation, and just have mathematical sense. These kind of IS will not be considered here.

#### 4. Examples.

We shall illustrate the above techniques for several examples: the free particle in one and three dimensions and the isotropic oscillator.

##### 4.1. The Free Particle in one dimension.

We first consider the most simple example: a free particle, that is, one for which  $V(x) = 0$ . The Schrödinger equation is

$$H\varphi_k = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\varphi_k = k^2\varphi_k \quad (4.1)$$

where  $k^2 = 2mE/\hbar^2$ . Obviously,  $\varphi_k$  are  $\sin(kx)$  or  $\cos(kx)$ .  $H$  may be factored into  $H = AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A$ , with  $A = \frac{d}{dx}$ .

Next, consider the generalized operator

$$a = \frac{d}{dx} + \nu(x). \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, if  $H = aa^\dagger$  this leads to

$$\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda + x}. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, we may propose the new operator

$$H^\lambda = a^\dagger a = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_\lambda(x), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$V_\lambda(x) \equiv 2\nu'(x) = \frac{-2}{(\lambda + x)^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

The above potential has one singularity in  $x_0 = -\lambda$  and vanishes for  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . The eigenfunctions of  $H^\lambda$  are

$$\chi_k \equiv a^\dagger \varphi_k \Rightarrow H^\lambda \chi_k = k^2 \chi_k. \quad (4.6)$$

These eigenfunctions are orthogonal

$$(\chi_k, \chi_{k'}) = (aa^\dagger \varphi_k, \varphi_{k'}) = k^2 (\varphi_k, \varphi_{k'}). \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover, as  $a\chi = 0$  has the only solution  $\chi = \nu(x)$  and  $\chi_0(x) = \nu(x)$ , then,  $\{\chi_k\}$  is a complete set, and like  $\{\varphi_k\}$ , they are an external basis for the Hilbert space. Other IS can be found if we assume now that  $H = a^\dagger a$ , but it is essentially of the same kind, because in this case we will obtain  $\nu = (\lambda - x)^{-1}$ .

Unlike the algorithm of Abraham and Moses [1], when we consider the particle in a box, the boundary conditions which satisfy the wave functions  $\varphi$ , are not inherited by the eigenvectors of the new Hamiltonians. Therefore, the spectra of one particle in a box with potential  $V_\lambda(x)$ , must be found by making that the general solution satisfies the boundary conditions of the box, which give us different quantization conditions on  $k$  to that of the box without potential, and then the new spectra will not be equal to the particle in a box.

The present example may be extended straightforward to the three dimensional problem. However it is more illustrative the use of the ladder operators along one of the indices which does not denote the energy level.

#### 4.2. The Free Particle in three dimensions.

The Schrödinger equation for the free particle in three dimensions takes the form

$$H\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi = E\psi. \quad (4.8)$$

Equation (4.8) can be separated in spherical coordinates, introducing  $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R_l(kr)Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ . The radial equation becomes the spherical Bessel equation

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left( \rho^2 \frac{dR_l(\rho)}{d\rho} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} \right) R_l(\rho) = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $k^2 = 2mE/\hbar^2$ , and  $\rho = kr$ , it has as general solution one linear combination from the spherical Bessel functions  $j_l(\rho)$  and  $n_l(\rho)$  [9]. Although the radial equation defines the energy, it may be written down as an eigenvalue equation for  $l$ , hence, the procedure showed in the section 3 can be applied here. Consider the recurrence relations [7]

$$f_{l-1} + f_{l+1} = \frac{2l+1}{x} f_l \quad \text{and} \quad l f_{l-1} - (l+1) f_{l+1} = (2l+1) f'_l \quad (4.10)$$

satisfied by  $j_l$  and  $n_l$ . Using the last equation we may build the ladder operators

$$A_l^+ \equiv \frac{l}{\rho} - \frac{d}{d\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad A_l^- \equiv \frac{l+1}{\rho} + \frac{d}{d\rho} \quad \text{such that} \quad A_l^\pm f_l = f_{l\pm 1} \quad (4.11)$$

which factored  $H_l$  into  $H_l = A_{l-1}^+ A_l^- = A_{l+1}^- A_l^+$ . Hence, the IS associated with  $H_l$  will be

**I.-** The new family of hamiltonians  $H_l^{\lambda_1} \equiv H_l + V_l^{\lambda_1}(\rho)$ , with

$$V_l^{\lambda_1}(\rho) = 2 \frac{d}{d\rho} \nu_{l+1}^{\lambda_1}(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_l^{\lambda_1}(\rho) = \rho^{2l} \left[ \lambda_1 - \frac{\rho^{2l+1}}{(2l+1)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (4.12)$$

whose eigenfunctions are

$$\psi_{l-1}^{\lambda_1}(\rho) = \left[ \frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{l+1}{\rho} + \nu_l^{\lambda_1} \right] R_l(\rho). \quad (4.13)$$

As can be seen, if  $\lambda_1 < 0$ , then neither  $\nu_l^{\lambda_1}$  and  $V_l^{\lambda_1}$  have singularities, and therefore neither  $\psi_l^{\lambda_1}$  has. Moreover, as  $\nu_l^{\lambda_1} \rightarrow 0$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , it assures the integrability of the eigenfunctions obtained from  $j_l$ .

**II.-** The other one parametric family is  $H_l^{\lambda_2} \equiv H_l - V_l^{\lambda_2}(\rho)$ , where

$$V_l^{\lambda_2}(\rho) = 2 \frac{d}{d\rho} \nu_l^{\lambda_2}(\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_l^{\lambda_2}(\rho) = \rho^{-2l} \left[ \lambda_2 + \frac{\rho^{-2l+1}}{(-2l+1)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (4.14)$$

and in this case the eigenfunctions are

$$\psi_{l+1}^{\lambda_2}(\rho) = \left[ -\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{l}{\rho} + \nu_{l+1}^{\lambda_2} \right] R_l(\rho). \quad (4.15)$$

Now, as  $\nu_0^{\lambda_2} = (\rho + \lambda_2)^{-1}$ , it has a singularity in  $\rho = -\lambda_2$  while  $\nu_l^{\lambda_2}$  has one in  $\rho = 0$  if  $\lambda_2 < 0$ . For  $\rho \ll 1$  the limiting values for  $\nu_l^{\lambda_2}$  have the form

$$\nu_l^{\lambda_2} \sim -\frac{2l-1}{\rho}, \quad (4.16)$$

and therefore the respective limiting form of  $\psi_{l+1}^{\lambda_2}$  is

$$\psi_{l+1}^{\lambda_2} \sim \left[ -\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{l}{\rho} - \frac{2l+1}{\rho} \right] R_l = -A_l^- R_l = -R_{l-1}, \quad (4.17)$$



moreover, considering the limiting values of  $j_l$  [7]

$$j_l(\rho) \sim \frac{\rho^l}{(2l+1)!!} \quad (4.18)$$

we note that  $\psi_l^{\lambda_2}$  has not singularities for  $l \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 < 0$ , while  $\psi_1^{\lambda_2}$  has one in  $\rho = 0$  like  $1/\rho$ , but it do not appear if we use  $R_0 = n_0$  instead  $j_0$ . On the other hand, as  $\nu_l^\lambda$  vanishes in the limit  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then the eigenvectors always go to zero at infinity.

### 4.3. The Isotropic Oscillator.

The isotropic three-dimensional harmonic oscillator has the characteristic that when the Schödinger equation is separated in spherical coordinates, the eigenvalues of the energy are defined in function of two indices, the angular momentum  $l$  and that of the radial equation, in such a way that IS may be build by ladder operators associated to each index. The radial equation for this problem takes the form [9]

$$H_l u_{nl} = \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + r^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] u_{nl}(r) = \varepsilon_{nl} u_{nl} \quad (4.19)$$

with  $u_{nl}(r) = r R_{nl}(r)$ ,  $\varepsilon_{nl} = (4n + 2l + 3)$ . The solution to (4.19) is

$$u_{nl}(\rho) = \rho^{(l+1)/2} e^{-\rho/2} L_n^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\rho) \quad (4.20)$$

where  $L_n^{l+1/2}(\rho)$  are the associated Laguerre polynomials, and  $\rho = r^2$ . From the recurrence relations [7, 10]

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)L_{n+1}^k &= (2n+k+1-\rho)L_n^k - (n+k)L_{n-1}^k \\ \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} L_n^k &= nL_n^k - (n+k)L_{n-1}^k \\ L_n^{k-1} &= L_n^k - L_{n-1}^k \\ \rho L_n^{k+1} &= (n+k)L_{n-1}^k - (n-\rho)L_n^k \end{aligned}$$

we may find the ladder operators over  $n$

$$A_n^- = n - \rho \frac{d}{d\rho}, \quad A_n^+ = (n+k+1-\rho) + \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \quad (4.21)$$

and over  $k$

$$B_k^- = k + \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \quad B_k^+ \equiv B_k^+ = 1 - \rho \frac{d}{d\rho} \quad (4.22)$$

for the  $L_n^k$  functions, which were obtained by J. Morales *et al.* [11] in a different way. Using the last operators is an easy task to build the ladder operators over  $n$  or  $l$  for  $u_{nl}$ . Note that we can write

$$u_{nl}(\rho) = f_l(\rho) L_n^{l+\frac{1}{2}}; \quad f_l = \rho^{(l+1)/2} e^{-\rho/2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Thus, the ladder operators on  $l$  become  $a_l^\pm = f_{l\pm 1} B_{l+1/2}^\pm f_l^{-1}$ , which in function of  $r$ , may be written down as

$$a_l^- = \left[ \frac{l}{r} + r + \frac{d}{dr} \right], \quad a_l^+ = \left[ \frac{l+1}{r} + r - \frac{d}{dr} \right]. \quad (4.24)$$

Hence,  $(a_l^-)^\dagger = a_{l-1}^+$ , and then the integrability of the new eigenvectors is assured from the integrability of  $\{u_{nl}\}$ . Moreover,  $H_l$  is factored into  $H_l = a_{l-1}^+ a_l^- - (2l-1) = a_{l+1}^- a_l^+ - (2l+3)$ . As above, there are two class of IS associated with  $H_l$

**I.-** The family of Hamiltonians  $H_l^{\lambda_1} = H_l + V_l^{\lambda_1}(r)$ , where

$$V_l^{\lambda_1} = 2 \frac{d}{dr} \nu_{l+1}^{\lambda_1}; \quad \nu_l^{\lambda_1} = \frac{e^{r^2} r^{2l}}{[\lambda_1 - \int_0^r x^{2l} e^{x^2} dx]}; \quad (4.25)$$

and the eigenfunctions of  $H_l^{\lambda_1}$

$$\psi_{nl}^{\lambda_1} = \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + r + \frac{l}{r} + \nu_l^{\lambda_1} \right] u_{nl}, \quad (4.26)$$

with eigenvalues given by  $\varepsilon_{nl}$ . It is important to note that if  $\lambda_1 \leq 0$  then  $V_l^{\lambda_1} \rightarrow 0$  when  $r \rightarrow 0, \infty$ ; and it has not singularities. After some algebra, it can be show that the new eigenfunctions have not singularities, and they go to zero when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

**II.-** The other isospectral class is formed by the Hamiltonians  $H_l^{\lambda_2} = H_l + V_l^{\lambda_2}(r)$ , and its eigenfunctions

$$\psi_{nl+1}^{\lambda_2} = \left[ -\frac{d}{dr} + r + \frac{l+1}{r} + \nu_{l+1}^{\lambda_2} \right] u_{nl}, \quad (4.27)$$

where now,

$$V_l^{\lambda_2} = -2 \frac{d}{dr} \nu_l^{\lambda_2}; \quad \nu_l^{\lambda_2} = \frac{e^{-r^2} r^{-2l}}{[\lambda_2 - \int_r^\infty x^{-2l} e^{-x^2} dx]}. \quad (4.28)$$

In this case, if  $\lambda_2 < 0$  and  $l \neq 0$ , then  $\nu_l^{\lambda_2}$  and  $V_l^{\lambda_2} \rightarrow 0$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $\nu_l^{\lambda_2}$  has a singularity in  $r = 0$  like  $1/r$  while  $V_l^{\lambda_2}$  diverges like  $1/r^2$ . Again, when we analyze  $\nu_l^{\lambda_2}$  around  $r = 0$  we find

$$\nu_l^{\lambda_2} \sim -2 \left[ r + \frac{l}{r} \right] \quad (4.29)$$

and then

$$\psi_{nl+1}^{\lambda_2} \sim - \left( u_{nl-1} + \frac{u_{nl}}{r} \right) \quad (4.30)$$

but because (4.20) the eigenfunctions have not divergences. For  $l = 0$  one can easily check that if  $\lambda_2 < 0$  or  $\lambda_2 > \sqrt{\pi}/2$ ,  $\nu_0^{\lambda_2}$  has not singularities and so neither  $V_0^{\lambda_2}$  and  $\psi_{n0}^{\lambda_2}$  have.

It is important to note, that both cases above are very different to that reported by Fernández [12] and Dongpei [13].

An analogous procedure may be followed to build the ladder operators on  $n$  for  $u_{nl}$ , though we should note that when  $n \rightarrow n + 1$ , the energy level is moved two levels, therefore, we must consider two kinds of IS, depending on what part of the spectra we are moving. In order to simplify, we shall consider only the case  $l = 0$ . So, the ladder operators on  $n$  may be written as

$$a_n^- = \left[ n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r^2}{2} - \frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \right], \quad a_n^+ = \left[ n + 1 - \frac{r^2}{2} + \frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \right]; \quad (4.31)$$

then  $(a_n^-)^\dagger = a_{n-1}^+$ , it assures the integrability of the eigenvectors associated with the new structures. Note that in this case,  $H$  is not factored by  $a_n^\pm$ , instead we have

$$E_n \equiv a_{n+1}^- a_n^+ = \frac{r^2}{4} [H_0 - \varepsilon_{n0}] + (n+1) \left( n + \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad (4.32)$$

$$D_n \equiv a_{n-1}^+ a_n^- = \frac{r^2}{4} [H_0 - \varepsilon_{n0}] + n \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (4.33)$$

So, we should build IS for  $D_n$  and  $E_n$  and from them for  $H_0$ . Following the algebra, we find, for example for  $E_n$

$$E_n^\lambda = D_{n+1} + V_n^\lambda, \quad V_n^\lambda = r \frac{d}{dr} \nu_n^\lambda, \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$\nu_n^\lambda = \frac{e^{-r^2} r^{4n+5}}{[\lambda - 2 \int_0^r e^{-x^2} x^{4(n+1)} dx]}. \quad (4.35)$$

Hence, if  $\lambda < 0$ , then  $V_n^\lambda$ ,  $\nu_n^\lambda$  and the respective eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue  $e_n = (n+1)(n+3/2)$ , which become

$$\psi_n = \left[ n + 1 - \frac{r^2}{2} + \nu_n^\lambda + \frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \right] u_{n0}, \quad (4.36)$$

have not singularities and go to zero at infinity. Moreover, IS for  $H$  may be found from (4.34) using (4.33) to give

$$E_n^\lambda = \frac{r^2}{4} [H - \varepsilon_{n+1,0}] + e_n + V_n^\lambda, \quad (4.37)$$

and witting the last equation as

$$H_{n+1}^\lambda \chi_{n+1} \equiv \left[ H + \frac{r^2}{4} V_n^\lambda \right] \chi_{n+1} = \varepsilon_{n+1,0} \chi_{n+1}, \quad (4.38)$$

where  $\chi_{n+1} = \psi_n$ , we note that  $H_n^\lambda$  is a family of semi-isospectral hamiltonians to  $H$ .

## 5. Concluding Remarks

As we have shown, the existence of isospectral families of potentials is associated with the general factorization of the Hamiltonian  $H$ , even in the case when the operators which factorize to  $H$  are not ladder operators, and regardless  $H$  may have a continuous spectra  $\{\lambda_n\}$ . Also, there are IS when exist ladder operators, even though  $H$  is not explicitly factorized by them, but in this case, as we have shown above, they are not associated with  $H$  in a natural way.

In the most general case, the eigenvectors  $\{\phi_n\}$  of the new hamiltonians  $H_n^\lambda$  do not inherit the property of satisfying the boundary conditions that the original eigenfunctions  $\{\varphi_n\}$  satisfy, just as happened in the case of the free particle in one dimension, and when  $a_n^{(-)\dagger} = a_{n-1}^{(+)}$ ,  $\{\phi_n\}$  will have the integrability properties of  $\{\varphi_n\}$ . Moreover, the way to determinate the IS, is not unique, as in the case of the isotropic harmonic oscillator. In general, it is possible that exist more than one kind of IS associated to one operator, depending on how many independent ways to factored it can be found, and with that, the structures like the coherent states for the harmonic oscillator's isospectral family, related to the IS should be studied very carefully.

On other hand, the algorithm discussed here has an strictly mathematical sense. Sometimes, as it happen in the case of the free particle, the new eigenvectors could have singularities and have not physical interest, but still this kind of functions could be important if we have bounded potentials, therefore they should not be rejected.

## Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges CONACyT (México) for financial support. Thanks are due to B. Mielnik, D. J. Fernández, H. H. García, M. Montesinos-Velásquez and J. L. Gómez for their helpful discussions and comments.

## References

- [1] Abraham P B and Moses H E 1980 *Phys. Rev. A* **22** 1333
- [2] Gelfand I M and Levitan B M 1951 *Am. Math. Soc. Transl.* **1** 253
- [3] Mielnik B 1984 *J. Math. Phys* **25** 3387
- [4] Nieto M M 1984 *Phys. Lett.* **145B** 208
- [5] Fernández C D J, Nieto L M and Rosas-Ortiz O 1995 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** 2693
- [6] Fernández C D J 1984 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **8** 337
- [7] Arfken G 1970 *Mathematical Methods for Physicists* 2nd Ed (New York: Academic Press)
- [8] Pérez-Lorenzana A 1996 *On the Factorization Method and Ladder Operators* (to be published)
- [9] Schiff L I 1968 *Quantum Mechanics* Third Ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill)
- [10] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 1965 *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (New York: Academic Press)

- [11] Morales J, Peña J J, Sánchez M and López–Bonilla J 1991 *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **S25** 155
- [12] Fernández C D J 1984 *Master Thesis* (CINVESTAV-IPN: unpublished)
- [13] Dongpei Z 1987 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **20** 4331