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#### Abstract

U sing the $m$ odi ed factorization $m$ ethod em ployed by $M$ ielnik for the harm onic oscillator, we show that isospectral structures associated with a second order operator $H$, can alw ays be constructed whenever $H$ could be factored, or exist ladder operators for its eigenfunctions. Three exam ples are show $n$, and properties like com pleteness and integrability are discused for the general case.


## 1. Introduction.

In the context of one or tree-dim ensional $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics, the principal problem is to solve the eigenvalue problem s associated w ith the Schrodinger equation when the particle is under the in uence of a generalpotential. The tw o m ost com m only used exact $m$ ethods to determ inate the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues (once we have separated the di erential equation) are the $m$ ethod of orthogonal functions and the factorization $m$ ethod. $N$ evertheless, the num ber of potentials which have exact solution by these ways is quite sm all.

In recent years, Abraham and $M$ oses [1] showed one algorithm that allow $s$ us to generate exactly solvable potentials from another potentialwhich has exact solutions, both with the same eigenvalues, but adding or subtracting a nite num ber of them through the system atic use of the $G$ elfand - evitan equation 目].

On the other hand, $M$ ielnik 圂] observed that for the harm onic oscillator the sam e isospectral class of potentials that A braham and $M$ oses obtained, $m$ ay be easily built using the ladder operators. O $n$ the sam eway, $N$ ieto [4] show ed that into supersym $m$ etric $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics, the natural factorization that of the bosonic or ferm ionic onedim ensionalH am ittonian could produce isospectral H am iltonians too. N evertheless, the
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use of the factorization schem e to produce new classes of solvable potentials from other one is not restricted to the two kinds before, as we will show .

The present work has been divided into the follow ing sections, in section 2, we resum e the procedure used by M ielnik in order to nd an isospectral fam ily to the ham onic oscillator. A long section 3, we show how this property is not exclusive of that case, but all the second order di erential operators which may be factored by ladder operators have it. M oreover, this property exists alw ays that a second order operator can be factored in a m ore generalw ay, not necessarily by ladder operators or in a kind of factorization like the supersym $m$ etry one. Section 4 contains three di erent exam ples of this techniques, the free particle in one and three dim ensions and the isotropic oscillator. $F$ inally, our conclusions are sum $m$ arized in section 5.

## 2. The oscillator's isospectral fam ily

The rst fam ily of isospectral operators built in a di erent way from that of Abraham and M oses [1], was obtained for the one-dim ensional harm onic oscillator, using a variant of the factorization $m$ ethod proposed by $M$ ielnik 目]. In this case, the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose eigenvalues are $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}$, is factored by the creation and annihilation operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=p_{\overline{2}}^{1} \frac{d}{d x}+x ; \quad a^{y}=\frac{p^{1}}{\overline{2}} \quad \frac{d}{d x}+x^{!} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in such a way that $H=a^{y} a+\frac{1}{2}=a a^{y} \quad \frac{1}{2}$. The $m$ ethod considers the $m$ ore general operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dx}}+(\mathrm{x}) ; \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{p^{1}}{\overline{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dx}}+\quad(\mathrm{x})^{!} ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ ust be such that $H=b^{y} \quad \frac{1}{2}$.
M oreover ( $x$ ) satisfy the $R$ icattiequation ${ }^{0}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}=x^{2}+1$, which have the particular solution $0=x$.Then the generalsolution can be obtained putting $=x+(x)$.This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{e^{x^{2}}}{+R_{0}^{R_{x}} e^{y^{2}} d y}: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a param eter. N ow, we w rite dow n the inverse product b'b

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H } \quad b^{y} b+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \quad{ }^{0}(x)=H \quad{ }^{0}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence，$H$ is a œertain new fam ily of $H$ am iltonians．M oreover，ifwe de ne ${ }_{n}=b^{y} r_{n}{ }_{1}$ ， where＇$n$ are the eigenfunctions of $H$ ，thus，$n$ are the eigenfunctions of $H, w$ ith eigenvalues $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}=b^{y}(H+1)^{\prime}{ }_{n} 1=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n} \text { : } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore，$H$ and $H$ are isospectral，except for one $m$ issing elem ent，the ground state of $H$ ，which should be orthogonal to all of $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0 ; n)=0=) \quad b \quad 0=0 \text { : } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will call to $f H$ ；＇${ }_{n} g$ and $f H$ ；$n g$ isospectral structures（IS）．Som e other usual properties of the harm onic oscillator are inherited for its IS，like the coherent states，as has been shown before 目］．As it can be seen immediately，this is not the only case for which therem ust exist IS［⿴囗⿱一一⿴囗⿱一一儿而］．The existence of IS for a second order di erential operator $H$ is related w th the existence of a factorization schem e，as we shall show below ．

3．IS by the Factorization Schem e．
W ebegin by establishing the im m ediate generalization ofthe $M$ ielnik＇s results．C onsider the second order di erential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=P(x) \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+Q(x) \frac{d}{d x}+R(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By sim plicity，we will supose that $n$ is an discrete index，and that $n$ has a minim um value．W e assum e that there exist the rst order ladder operators，then we may write them as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{+}=n(x)+{ }_{n}(x) \frac{d}{d x} ; \quad A_{n}={ }_{n}(x) \quad n(x) \frac{d}{d x} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{n}=C_{n}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ ， ，w th $C_{n}$ constants，and they are such a way that factored to $H$ into

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =A_{n 1}^{+} A_{n}+k_{n}^{1}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =A_{n+1} A_{n}^{+}+k_{n}^{2} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

the constants $k_{n}^{1}$ and $k_{n}^{2}$ are related w ith $n$ by $n \quad{ }_{n+1} \quad{ }_{n}=k_{n+1}^{1} \quad k_{n}^{2}$ ：N otice that ofequations（3．3），（3．4）and（3．5）$n$ ；$n, n$ and $n$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{P} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0} \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{Q} \text {; }  \tag{3.6}\\
& \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{ni}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}=\mathrm{R} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{1} \mathrm{l}}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}=\mathrm{R} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ext, consider the $m$ ore general operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{(+)}=\sim_{n}(x)+{ }_{n} \frac{d}{d x} ; \quad a_{n}^{(\prime)}=\sim_{n}(x) \quad n \frac{d}{d x}: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by the changes $n!\sim_{n}{ }_{n}+{ }_{n}(x)$ and ${ }_{n}!\sim_{n}{ }_{n}+{ }_{n}(x)$ in 3.3), with $n$ and ${ }_{n}$ functions. Then, we will have the tw o follow ing cases
$C$ ase I. A ssum ing that $H$ is factored by $a_{n}^{(1)}$ into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=a_{n}^{(+)}{ }_{1} a_{n}^{(1)}+k_{n}^{1} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the equations (3.7) and (3.6) we obtain for $n$ and $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{n} 1}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \text {; } \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the R icattiequation for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\frac{\mathrm{n} 1}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{n} 1+\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{n} 1}{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{n}=0 \text {; } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\mathrm{z} \mathrm{dx} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\#}}}{\mathrm{n}} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{dx} \frac{\mathrm{n} 1}{\mathrm{n} 1}+\frac{n^{\#}}{\mathrm{n}} \text { : } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the factorization of $H$ into the form 3.8) is not unique. M oreover, building the inverted product $a_{n+1}^{(1)} a_{n}^{(+)}$, we may nd the new one\{param etric fam ily of operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{(1)} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{H} \quad{ }^{n} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}+1} \stackrel{0}{0}_{0}^{\circ} ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which as we can im m ediately see, has identical spectra to that of $H$, even though their eigenvectors are di erent. If we de ne

$$
n=a_{n+1}^{(1)} n_{n+1}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H_{n} n=a_{n+1}^{( }\right)(H \quad n)^{\prime}{ }_{n+1}={ }_{n n_{n}}: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $n$ are the eigenvectors of $H_{n}$ and one state could be added to the spectra n.
$N$ ote that in the $m$ ore general case $H_{n}$ de nes a di erent operator for di erent $n$. $H$ ence, to be precise, $H$ and $H_{n}$ share only one elem ent of their spectra: $n$. In fact, the functions $f{ }_{n} g$ are not orthogonal in general, because equation (3.13) gives

$$
(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m})(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n})=(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{mn} \mathrm{n}) ;
$$

with $m_{n}=H_{n} \quad H_{m}$, a non vanishing scalar function. H owever, when the index $n$ is di erent to that de ned by equation (32), like the index lfor the angularm om entum into
the Schrodinger radialequation $w$ ith central potentials, the dependence of $H_{n}$ in $n m$ ay be seen as a result of the action of one potential, which is coupled in a di erent way for each state, like the e ective central potential, w ith the orthogonality and com pleteness established by the Sturm \{Liouville theory [7].

On the other hand, when $a_{n}^{(1) y}=a_{n}^{(+)}{ }_{1}$, then $f_{n} g w i l l$ have the integrability properties of $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$; except for a change on the norm alization

$$
\left({ }_{n} ; n_{n}\right)=k_{n}^{1}\left(r_{n} ;_{n}^{\prime}\right):
$$

It is clear that if the ladder operators do not depend on the index $n$, all the properties of $f^{\prime}{ }_{n} g \mathrm{~m}$ ust be inherited by $f{ }_{n} g$, and probably there is another eigenstate of $H$ obtained as the solution to

$$
(; \mathrm{n})=0 \quad!\quad a^{(+)}=0:
$$

C ase II. The above results $m$ ay be obtained again, when $a_{n}^{()}$factored $H$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{(1)} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(+)}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In such a case, we nd $n+1{ }_{n}=\#^{n+1} n$ and the $R$ icattiequation for $n$

$$
n+1+\frac{n+1}{n} \quad n \quad n+\frac{n+1}{n} \quad{ }_{n}^{2} \quad n+1{\underset{n}{0}}_{n}^{n}=0 ;
$$

whose general solution is non trivial, as in the case I. H ence, we m ay de ne the new fam ily of operators, like (3.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad a_{n}^{(+)}{ }_{1} a_{n}^{(1)}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{f} \mathrm{n} 1{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \quad a_{\mathrm{n}}^{(+)_{1}^{\prime}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

have the sam e eigenvalues that of ${ }_{n}$. But now, the spectra has not the ground state. $N$ ote that the com $m$ ents for the case I still hold in the present one.

O nœ we know the existence of IS for $H$, we $m$ ust em phasize that the constriction on $A_{n}$ to be ladder operators and factored to $H$ at the sam e tim $e$, is not entirely necessary, IS can be built if just one of them holds as we will show below .

Let $A_{n}$ be ladder operators, in the $m$ ore general case they do not factorize to $H$ ( ${ }^{\text {g }}$, instead,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} 1_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ne two di erent operators, which obviously share eigenfunctions with H , but not eigenvalues. Even now, the algorithm discussed above can be reproduced to build IS associated w ith $\mathrm{fD}_{\mathrm{n}} ;^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ and $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{n}} ;^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$, but it is im portant to note that we do not have IS for $H$ in a natural way. N evertheless, when $A_{n}$ and $H$ are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}}^{!}  \tag{3.18}\\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})\left(\mathrm{H} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}! \\
& \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{align*}
$$

$d_{n} ; e_{n}$ being constants, it is an easy task to nd IS for $H$, aswe shallnote in the exam ples below.

N ow, if we assum e that H is factorizable, not necessarily by ladder operators, then there are two rst order operators $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n}$ is a constant. In this case, it is alw ays possible to follow the usualprocedure in order to nd IS for $H$. M oreover, $\operatorname{as} F_{(n)} \quad C_{n} B_{n}$ is in generala di erent operator to $H$, IS $m$ ay be built from it in a direct way, whenever $F_{(n)} C_{n}=C_{n}\left(B_{n} C_{n}\right)=C_{n} H(n)$. Hence,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ are eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}$ th eigenvalue ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$. Thus, we have established that is su cient with factored one second order operator $H$, to assure that one IS fH ; ${ }_{n} 9$ associated with $\mathrm{fH} ;^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{gm}$ ust exist, even when the spectra is continuos.

In the case when $H$ does not depend in $n$, neither $B_{n}, C_{n}$ and $k_{n}$ do, and then the fam ily H will be de ned in a proper way. M oreover, in such a case, the com pleteness and orthogonality of $f{ }_{n} g m$ ust be insured by the properties of the eigenfunctions of $H$, or by the Sturm \{Liouville theory.

Finally, the present approxim ation is not the m ore general. It is possible to generalize the four functions $n, n, n$ and $n$ at the same time, but this scheme $m$ odi es $H$ in som ething $m$ ore than one scalar function, in such a way that a new fam ily of isospectral operators to $H$ can be found, but the new operators $m$ ight have not a direct physical intenpretation, and just have $m$ athem atical sense. These kind of IS will not be considered here.

## 4. Examples.

W e shall ilhustrate the above techniques for several exam ples: the free particle in one and three dim ensions and the isotropic oscillator.

### 4.1. The Free P article in one dim ension.

We rst consider the most simple exam ple: a free particle, that is, one for which $V(x)=0$. The Schrodinger equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }_{k}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}^{2} \prime_{k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{mE}=\mathrm{h}^{2}$. Obiously, ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{k}$ are $\sin (\mathrm{kx})$ or $\cos (\mathrm{kx})$. H m ay be factored into $H=A A^{y}=A^{y} A$, with $A=\frac{d}{d x}$.

N ext, consider the generalized operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{d}{d x}+(x): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{aa}^{\mathrm{y}}$ this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{1}{+x}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we m ay propose the new operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dx}^{2}}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}) \text {; } \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \text { (x) } \quad 2^{0}(x)=\frac{2}{(+x)^{2}}: \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above potential has one singularity in $\mathrm{x}_{0}=$
and vanishes for x ! 1 . The eigenfunctions of H are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{k} \quad a^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \text { H } \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \text { : } \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These eigenfunctions are orthogonal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{k} ; k^{0}\right)=\left(a^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{k} ;^{\prime} k^{0}\right)=k^{2}\left(r_{k}^{\prime} ;^{\prime} k^{0}\right): \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, as a $=0$ has the only solution $=(x)$ and $0(x)=(x)$, then, $f_{k} g$ is a com plete set, and like $f^{\prime}{ }_{k} g$, they are an extemal basis for the $H$ ibert space. O ther IS can be found if we assum e now that $H=a^{y} a$, but it is essentially of the sam e kind, because in this case we will obtain $=(\mathrm{x})^{1}$.

Unlike the algorithm of A braham and $M$ oses [1], when we consider the particle in a box, the boundary conditions which satisfy the wave functions ', are not inherited by the eigenvectors of the new $H$ am iltonians. Therefore, the spectra of one particle in a box $w$ th potential $V(x), m$ ust be found by $m$ aking that the general solution satis es the boundary conditions of the box, which give us di erent quantization conditions on $k$ to that of the box w thout potential, and then the new spectra $w i l l$ not be equal to the particle in a box.

The present exam ple $m$ ay be extended straightforward to the three dim ensional problem. H ow ever it is m ore illustrative the use of the ladder operators along one of the indices which does not denote the energy level.

### 4.2. The Free P article in three dim ensions.

The Schrodinger equation for the free particle in three dim ensions takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}=E \quad: \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 4.8) can be separated in spherical coordinates, introducing ( $\mathrm{r} ;$; ) = $\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{kr}) \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}(;)$. The radialequation becom es the spherical B essel equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{dR}_{1}()^{!}}{\mathrm{d}}+1 \frac{l(l+1)}{2}^{!} \mathrm{R}_{1}()=0 ; \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{mE}=\mathrm{h}^{2}$, and $=\mathrm{kr}$, it has as general solution one linear com bination from the spherical Bessel functions $j_{1}()$ and $n_{1}()$ [ $\$$. A though the radial equation de nes the energy, it $m$ ay be w ritten dow $n$ as an eigenvalue equation for 1 , hence, the procedure showed in the section 3 can be applied here. C onsider the recurrence relations 7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{l 1}+f_{l+1}=\frac{2 l+1}{x} f_{l} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{11} \quad(l+1) f_{l+1}=(2 l+1) f_{l}^{0} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

satis ed by $j_{1}$ and $n_{1}$. U sing the last equation we m ay build the ladder operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{+} \quad-\frac{d}{d} \quad \text { and } A_{1} \quad \frac{l+1}{d}+\frac{d}{d} \quad \text { such that } A_{1} f_{1}=f_{1} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which factored $H_{1}$ into $H_{1}=A_{1}^{+}{ }_{1} A_{1}=A_{1+1} A_{1}^{+}$. Hence, the $I S$ associated w ith $H_{1}$ w ill be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { I.- The new fam ily of ham ittonians } \mathrm{H}_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{1}^{1}() \text {, w ith } \\
& \qquad \mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{1}()=2 \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}}{ }_{1+1}^{1}() \text { and } 1^{1}()=2^{21} 1^{2 l+1} \frac{{ }^{1}}{(2 l+1)} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

whose eigenfunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{1}^{1}()=\frac{d}{d}+\frac{l+1}{\#}+1_{1}^{1} R_{1}(): \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As can be seen, if $1_{1}<0$, then neither $1^{1}$ and $V_{1}{ }^{1}$ have singularities, and therefore neither $1^{1}$ has. M oreover, as $1^{1}$ ! 0 when r! 1 , it assures the integrability of the eigenfunctions obtained from $j_{1}$.
II.- T he other one param etric fam ily is $\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{H}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1}{ }^{2}()$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1}^{2}()=2 \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}}_{1}^{2}() \text { and } 1^{2}()=21{ }_{2}+\frac{2 l+1}{(2 l+1)}{ }^{\#} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in this case the eigenfiunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1+1}^{2}()=\frac{d}{d}+\frac{1}{-}+\underset{l+1}{2} R_{1}(): \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, as $0^{2}=(+2)^{1}$, it has a singularity in $=2$ while $_{1}{ }^{2}$ has one in $=0$ if $2<0$. For $\ll 1$ the lim ting values for ${ }_{1}{ }^{2}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1^{2} \quad \underline{2 l \quad 1} ; \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore the respective lim iting form of $\underset{l+1}{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{1+1}{2} \quad \frac{d}{d}+\underline{1}^{2 l+1}{ }^{\#} R_{1}=\quad A_{1} R_{1}=R_{11} \text {; } \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ oreover, considering the lim ting values of $j_{1}$ []

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1}() \quad \frac{1}{(21+1)!!} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we note that $1_{1}^{2}$ has not singularities for $1 \in 0$ and ${ }_{2}<0$, while $1_{1}^{2}$ has one in $=0$ like $1=$, but it do not appear if we use $R_{0}=n_{0}$ instead $j_{0}$. On the other hand, as $l_{1}$ vanishes in the lim it r! 1 , then the eigenvectors alw ays go to zero at in nity.

### 4.3. The Isotropic O scillator.

The isotropic three-dim ensionalharm onic oscillator has the characteristic that when the Schodinger equation is separated in spherical coordinates, the eigenvalues of the energy are de ned in function of two indices, the angular $m$ om entum $l$ and that of the radial equation, in such a way that IS $m$ ay be build by ladder operators associated to each index. The radial equation for this problem takes the form []]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{l} u_{n 1}={ }^{"} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+r^{2}+\frac{l(l+1)^{\#}}{r^{2}} u_{n 1}(r)="_{n 1} u_{n 1} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $u_{n 1}(r)=r R_{n 1}(r), n_{n 1}=(4 n+2 l+3)$. The solution to 4.19) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n 1}()=(l+1)=2 e^{=2} L_{n}^{l+\frac{1}{2}}() \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{n}^{1+1=2}()$ are the associated Laguerre polynom ials, and $=I^{2}$. From the recurrence relations [1, 10]

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n+1) L_{n+1}^{k} & =(2 n+k+1 \quad) L_{n}^{k} \quad(n+k) L_{n}^{k} \quad 1 \\
\frac{d}{d} L_{n}^{k} & =n L_{n}^{k} \quad(n+k) L_{n}^{k} \quad 1 \\
L_{n}^{k} 1 & =L_{n}^{k} \quad L_{n}^{k} 1 \\
L_{n}^{k+1} & =(n+k) L_{n}^{k} \quad 1 \quad(n \quad) L_{n}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

we m ay nd the ladder operators over $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=n \quad \frac{d}{d} ; \quad A_{n}^{+}=(n+k+1 \quad)+\frac{d}{d} \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

and over k

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}=k+\frac{d}{d} \quad B^{+} \quad B_{k}^{+}=1 \quad \frac{d}{d} \tag{422}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $L_{n}^{k}$ functions, which were obtained by J. M orales et al. 11] in a di erent way. $U$ sing the last operators is an easy task to build the ladder operators over $n$ or $l$ for $u_{n 1}$. $N$ ote that we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n 1}()=f_{1}() L_{n}^{1+\frac{1}{2}} ; \quad f_{1}=(1+1)=2 e^{=2}: \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the ladder operators on 1 becom e $a_{1}=f_{1} B_{1+1=2} f_{1}{ }^{1}$, which in function of $r$, $m$ ay be written down as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{l}{r}+r+\frac{d^{\#}}{d r} ; \quad a_{1}^{+}=\frac{l+1}{r}+r \frac{d}{d r}^{\#}: \tag{424}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henœ, $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{a}_{1}^{+}{ }_{1}$, and then the integrability of the new eigenvectors is assured from the integrability of $f u_{n 1} g$. M oreover, $H_{1}$ is factored into $H_{1}=a_{1}^{+}{ }_{1} a_{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}21 & 1\end{array}\right)=$ $a_{l+1} a_{1}^{+} \quad(2 l+3)$. A s above, there are two class of IS associated w ith $H_{1}$
I.- The fam ily of H am ittonians $\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{1}=\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{r})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{1}^{1}=2 \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dr}}{ }_{1+1}^{1} ; \quad 1^{1}=\frac{e^{r^{2}} r^{21}}{\left[1 \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}^{21} e^{x^{2}} \mathrm{dx}\right]} ; \tag{425}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{n 11}^{1}=\frac{d}{d r}+r+\frac{1}{r}+1_{1}^{\#} u_{n 1} ; \tag{426}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith eigenvalues given by ${ }_{\mathrm{n} 1}$. It is im portant to note that if ${ }_{1} 0$ then $\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{1}!\quad 0$ when $r$ ! 0;1 ; and it has not singularities. A fter som e algebra, it can be show that the new eigenfunctions have not singularities, and they go to zero when $r$ ! 1 .
II.- T he other isospectral class is form ed by the Ham iltonians $\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{2}=\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{2}(\mathrm{r})$, and its eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{1+1}^{2}={ }^{"} \frac{d}{d r}+r+\frac{l+1}{r}+\underset{1+1}{2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n} 1} ; \tag{427}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}^{2}=2 \frac{d}{d r} 1^{2} ; \quad 1^{2}=\frac{e^{r^{2}} r^{21}}{\left[2 \underset{R_{1}}{r^{21}} e^{x^{2} d x}\right]} \tag{428}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, if ${ }_{2}<0$ and $l \in 0$, then $1_{1}{ }^{2}$ and $V_{1}{ }^{2}$ ! 0 when $r$ ! , but ${ }_{1}{ }^{2}$ has a singularity in $r=0$ like $1=r$ while $V_{1}{ }^{2}$ diverges like $1=r^{2}$. A gain, when we analize ${ }_{1}{ }^{2}$ around $r=0$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
1^{2} \quad 2 r+\frac{1}{r}^{\#} \tag{429}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{1+1}^{2} \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nl1}}+\frac{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nl}}}{\mathrm{r}} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

but because 420) the eigenfunctions have not divergences. For $1=0$ one can easily ched that if ${ }_{2}<0$ or ${ }_{2}>^{P-}=2, \quad 0^{2}$ has not singularities and so neither $V_{0}{ }^{2}$ and $n{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ have.

It is im portant to note, that both cases above are very di erent to that reported by Femandez [12] and D ongpei [13].

A $n$ analogous procedure $m$ ay be follow ed to build the ladder operators on $n$ for $u_{n 1}$, though we should note that when $n!n+1$, the energy level is moved two levels, therefore, we m ust consider tw o kinds of IS, depending on what part of the spectra we are $m$ oving. In order to sim plify, we shall consider only the case $l=0$. So, the ladder operators on $n \mathrm{~m}$ ay be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=n+\frac{1}{2} \frac{r^{2}}{2} \frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{d r} ; \quad a_{n}^{+}=n+1 \quad \frac{r^{2}}{2}+\frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{d r} ; \tag{4,31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(a_{n}\right)^{y}=a_{n}^{+}{ }_{1}$, it assures the integrability of the eigenvectors associated w ith the new structures. N ote that in this case, $H$ is not factored by $a_{n}$, instead we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{n} \quad a_{n+1} a_{n}^{+}=\frac{r^{2 h}}{4} H_{0} \quad{\quad n_{n 0}}_{i}^{i}(n+1) n+\frac{3}{2} ;  \tag{4,32}\\
& D_{n} \quad a_{n}^{+}{ }_{1} a_{n}=\frac{r^{2 h}}{4} H_{0} \quad \quad_{n 0}{ }^{i}+n n+\frac{1}{2}: \tag{433}
\end{align*}
$$

So, we should build $I S$ for $D_{n}$ and $E_{n}$ and from them for $H_{0}$. Follow ing the algebra, we nd, for exam ple for $E_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dr}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{e^{r^{2}} r^{4 n+5}}{\left[2_{0}^{R_{r}} e^{x^{2}} x^{4(n+1)} d x\right]}: \tag{435}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if $<0$, then $V_{n}, n$ and the respective eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue $e_{n}=(n+1)(n+3=2)$, which becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=n+1 \frac{r^{2}}{2}+{ }_{n}+\frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{d r} u_{n 0} \tag{4,36}
\end{equation*}
$$

have not singularities and go to zero at in nity. M oreover, IS for $H$ m ay be found from (4.34) using (4.33) to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\frac{r^{2 h}}{4} H \quad "_{n+1 ; 0}^{i}+e_{n}+V_{n} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and w rilting the last equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1} n+1 \quad H+\frac{r^{2}}{4} V_{n}^{\#} \quad n+1="_{n+1 ; 0} n+1 \text {; } \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n+1=n$, we note that $H_{n}$ is a fam ily of sem i-isospectral ham iltonians to H.

## 5. C oncluding $R$ em arks

A s we have show $n$, the existence of isospectral fam ilies of potentials is associated w ith the general factorization of the H am iltonian H , even in the case when the operators which factorize to $H$ are not ladder operators, and regardless $H$ may has a continuos spectra $f_{n} g$. Also, there are IS when exist ladder operators, even though $H$ is not explicitly factorized by them, but in this case, as we have shown above, they are not associated w ith $H$ in a naturalway.

In the most general case, the eigenvectors $f{ }_{n} g$ of the new ham iltonians $H_{n}$ do not inherit the property of satisfying the boundary conditions that the original eigenfunctions $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{n} g$ satisfy, just as happened in the case of the free particle in one dim ension, and when $a_{n}^{(1) y}=a_{n}^{(+)}{ }_{1}, f{ }_{n} g w$ ill have the integrability properties of $f^{\prime}{ }_{n} g$. $M$ oreover, the way to determ inate the $I S$, is not unique, as in the case of the isotropic ham onic oscillator. In general, it is possible that exist $m$ ore than one kind of IS associated to one operator, depending on how $m$ any independent ways to factored it can be found, and w th that, the structures like the coherent states for the ham onic oscillator's isospectral fam ily, related to the IS should be studied very carefully.

On other hand, the algorithm discussed here has an strictly $m$ athem atical sense. Som etim es, as it happen in the case of the free particle, the new eigenvectors could have singularities and have not physical interest, but still this kind of functions could be im portant if we have bounded potentials, therefore they should not be rejected.
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