New Trends in Quantum Computing

Gilles Brassard?

U niversite de M ontreal, D epartem ent d'inform atique et de recherche operationnelle C.P.6128, Succursale C entre {V ille, M ontreal (Q uebec), C anada H 3C 3J7 em ail: brassard@iro.umontreal.ca

A b stract. C lassical and quantum information are very dierent. Together they can perform feats that neither could achieve alone, such as quantum computing, quantum cryptography and quantum teleportation. Some of the applications range from helping to preventing spies from reading private communications. Among the tools that will facilitate their implementation, we note quantum purication and quantum error correction. Although some of these ideas are still beyond the grasp of current technology, quantum cryptography has been implemented and the prospects are encouraging for small-scale prototypes of quantum computation devices before the end of the millennium.

1 Introduction

C lassical and quantum inform ation are very di erent. C lassical inform ation can be read, copied and transcribed into any medium; it can be transmitted and broadcast. Q uantum information cannot be read or copied without disturbance, but it can exist in superposition of classical states. Together, the two kinds of information can perform feats that neither could achieve alone, such as quantum computing, quantum cryptography and quantum teleportation. These concepts could result in a revolution in computer science that may dwarf that created decades ago by the transistor.

In principle, com puters could be built to prot from quantum phenomena that have no classical analogue, sometimes providing exponential speed-up compared to classical computers. The most famous example of an algorithm for the quantum computer, due to Shor, allows for the polynomial time factorization of large integers, a task believed to be intractable for classical computers. Because of the pivotal nature of this problem in modern cryptography, a full-scale working quantum computer could be used by spies to allow them nearly unlimited access to your electronic transactions. On the other hand, quantum information is also at the core of other phenomena that would be impossible to achieve in a purely classical world, such as the unconditionally secure distribution of secret cryptographic key material. Therefore quantum techniques may cause the collapse of much of classical cryptography, yet they may also over the cure to make unconditionally secure communication possible.

[?] Research supported in part by Canada's N serc and Quebec's Fcar.

2 Review of Quantum Techniques

For a comprehensive review of quantum techniques in computer science, I suggest you read my earlier essay \A Quantum Jump in Computer Science" [12]. A Ithough this section provides a very super cial one-page introduction to these topics, my purpose in these P roceedings is to report on new ideas and developments that were not yet available at the time \A Quantum Jump" went to press. These developments range from new theoretical ideas to actual implementation proposals for quantum computation. To emphasize how active and exciting the eld has become, I deliberately restricted my coverage to papers that have appeared in 1995 or later, or yet-unpublished manuscripts that were written in the same period. Please consult \A Quantum Jump" for proper credit and references to the early ideas reviewed in this section.

In classical inform ation theory, a bit can take either value 0 or 1. A coording to quantum mechanics, a quantum bit, or qubit, can be in linear superposition of the two classical states, with complex coe cients. This is best visualized as a point on the surface of a unit sphere whose N orth and South poles correspond to the classical values. This is entirely dierent from taking a value between 0 and 1 as in classical analogue computing. In general, qubits cannot be measured reliably: not more than one classical bit of information can be extracted from any given qubit and the more information you obtain about it, the more you disturb it irreversibly.

The impossibility to measure quantum information reliably is at the core of quantum cryptography. When information is encoded with non-orthogonal quantum states, any attempt from an eavesdropper to access it necessarily entails a probability of spoiling it irreversibly, which can be detected by the legitimate users. This phenomenon can be exploited to implement a key distribution system that is secure even against an eavesdropper with unlimited computing power. Several prototypes have been built, including one that is fully operational under laboratory conditions over 30 kilometres of ordinary optical bre. In another experiment, quantum transmission was successful over a distance of 23 kilometers under Lake Geneva [29]. Quantum techniques may also assist in the achievement of subtler cryptographic goals, such as protecting private information while it is being used to reach public decisions.

Independent qubits are su cient to produce nontrivial cryptographic phenomena, but they are not very interesting for computational purposes. For this, we must consider quantum registers composed of n qubits. Such registers can be in an arbitrary superposition of all 2ⁿ classical states. In principle, a quantum computer can be programmed so that exponentially many computation paths are taken simultaneously in a single piece of hardware, a phenomenon known as quantum parallelism. What makes this so powerful and mysterious is the exploitation of constructive and destructive interference, which allows for the reinforcement of the probability of obtaining desired results while at the same time the probability of spurious results is reduced or even annihilated. In the words of Feynman, \it appears as if the probabilities would have to go negative".

3 Good Starting Points

In addition to my \Quantum Jump" article [12], several excellent introductions to quantum computing have been written recently. Let us mention [27] in Scienti c American, [23] in Discover, [7] in Nature, [4] in Physics Today, [21] in Science, and [10]. In addition, Shor has written a very nice account of his quantum factorization algorithm [32], which introduces the basic concepts of quantum computing.

4 The Power of Quantum Computing

Quantum computing was considered at best as a curiosity by most researchers until Shordiscovered in 1994 that it could be used to extract discrete logarithms and factorize large numbers very e ciently. This attracted considerable attention, not only because of its tremendous cryptographic signicance, but also because it gave the rst indication that quantum computers could be genuinely faster than classical probabilistic computers for solving natural problems of a mathematical nature. The obvious question that followed was: \W hat else are quantum computers good at?"

Unfortunately, Shor's discovery was not followed by a urry of other natural problem s that the quantum computer could solve much more e ciently than using the best algorithm available for a classical computer. Nevertheless, a few such results have emerged already and perhaps still others are waiting in the wings. Using a method similar to Shor's, Boneh and Lipton [11] showed that any cryptosystem based on what they call a \hidden linear form " can be broken in polynomial time on a quantum computer. In particular, a quantum computer can solve the discrete logarithm problem e ciently over any group including Galois elds and elliptic curves.

Another extension to Shor's result is due to K itaev [24], who discovered how to solve the Abelian stabilizer problem e ciently on a quantum computer. This method provides an e cient quantum Fourier transform algorithm for an arbitrary Abelian group.

5 Experim ents in Quantum Computing

As I explained in \A Quantum Jump", the discovery that universal quantum circuits could be built around two-qubit gates was very signi cant because the technological diculties would be even more daunting if it had been necessary to make qubits interact three at a time. Unfortunately I gave only reference to the work of D $\$ incenzo [20] in my earlier essay. The same result was found independently by Barenco [1] and by Sleator and Weinfurter [33]. It was subsequently discovered by Chau and Wilczek [14] that six two-qubit gates are su cient to implement the quantum Fredkin gate, which is a natural three-qubit universal

gate; this result was improved by Smolin and DiVincenzo [34] to needing only ve two-qubit gates for the same purpose. In fact, almost any two-qubit gate is universal, as Deutsch, Barenco and Ekert [19] and Lloyd [26] discovered independently. The most signicant result along these lines is probably the discovery that the quantum exclusive-orgate, which maps (x;y) to (x;x y), is universal for quantum computation in the sense that all unitary operations on arbitrarily many qubits can be expressed as compositions of these gates together with appropriate one-qubit gates [2].

This begs the question: how hard is it to implement the quantum exclusive-or gate? Several approaches have been proposed for this purpose. C irac and Zoller proposed to use cold trapped ions [18]. An actual implementation of the quantum exclusive-or gate using beryllium ions in an atom trap has been tested with encouraging results by Monroe, Meekhof, King, Itano and Wineland [28] at NIST in Boulder, Colorado. A nother team led by Hughes at the Los Alamos National Laboratory has received funding for experimenting with cold trapped calcium ions. They hope to be able to implement a small-scale version of Shor's quantum factorization algorithm within a few year. Their initial goal is to factorize the number 4 before the end of the millennium, but they are condent that this will only be a beginning.

A nother approach to the implementation of basic quantum gates, using cavity quantum electrodynamics, has been proposed by Sleator and Weinfurter [33]. Initial experiments on similar ideas, using atomic interferometry and microwave cavities, have been performed at the Ecole Normale Superieure in Paris by Domokos, Raimond, Brune and Haroche [22]. Experiments are also underway at the California Institute of Technology, where Turchette, Hood, Lange, Mabuchi and Kimble are investigating photon qubits interacting in an optical microcavity [36]. See also [3].

An explicit construction of quantum networks for performing arithmetic operations from basic quantum gates is given by Vedral, Barenco and Ekert [38]. This may prove important for an actual implementation of Shor's algorithm, which requires basic arithmetic from addition to modular exponentiation. In particular, this paper shows that the amount of auxiliary storage required to implement Shor's algorithmegrows linearly with the size of the number to be factorized.

Yet another proposal for the construction of a \sim ple quantum com puter" com es from Chuang and Yam am oto [17].

6 The Problem of Decoherence

Despite the reasons to be optim istic that the work described in the preceding section m ight generate, it may be that quantum computing will never become practical because of the technological disculties in preventing unwanted interactions with the environment: such interactions cause decoherence, which in electruins the quantum computation. An early and rather discouraging study of the electrof decoherence on quantum computers was carried out by Unruh [37].

O ther di culties with the implementation of quantum computers have also been pointed out repeatedly by Landauer [25].

The e ect of decoherence on Shor's algorithm has been studied explicitly by Chuang, La amme, Shor and Zurek [16] and by Plenio and Knight [30].

7 Quantum Error Correction

Error correction is used routinely when dealing with classical information. However, it is not obvious that error-correction schemes can exist for quantum information because it cannot be measured without disturbance. In particular, a simple repeat code is out of the question since quantum information cannot be cloned. Nevertheless, quantum information needs to be protected from errors even more than classical information in view of its susceptibility to decoherence. An early scheme for quantum error correction, proposed by Deutsch, was investigated by Berthiaume [9] and Jozsa.

A ssum ing that the decoherence process a ects the quantum computer's qubits independently, Shor has found a technique that allows the storage of an arbitrary state of n qubits using 9n qubits in a decoherence resistant way: even if one of the qubits decoheres, the original state can be reconstructed perfectly [31]. Subsequently, Shor improved on his original idea in collaboration with Calderbank, making it possible to recover the original quantum state even if several qubits decohere [13]. O ther quantum error-correction techniques have been proposed by Chuang and La amme [15] and by Steane [35].

A nother approach to quantum error correction is based on the ideas of entanglement concentration [5] and entanglement purication [6]. The latter is a technique that allows near perfect entanglement to be distilled from imperfect entanglement that may have been caused by partial decoherence or by eavesdropping for quantum cryptographic applications. This is accomplished by local operations and the exchange of classical messages. Because perfect entanglement can be used for the purpose of teleporting quantum information, entanglement purication can be used to transmit quantum information with arbitrary delity over a noisy quantum channel supplemented by a good classical channel. More advanced ideas, such as teleportation from the present to the future, rather than from here to there can be used to design a quantum error-correction scheme from quantum purication and quantum teleportation [8].

8 The Art of Quantum Program ming

By now, I am sure you are itching to write your rst program for the quantum computer. In that case, you will be happy to learn that Baker is in the process of developing Q {Gol, a high-level language for the quantum computer. You can not more information on the World Wide Web (WWW) at URL

http://www.rp.csiro.au/~gbaker/q-gol/

9 Quantum Information on the Internet

M any W W W sites have blossomed with information on quantum computation, quantum cryptography and quantum information theory in general. The following URLs are excellent starting points for a fascinating journey into the quantum world. Nearly all the papers cited as $\mbox{\mbox{$M$}}$ anuscript" or $\mbox{\mbox{$i$}}$ in the references at the end of this essay can be downloaded from the quantum physics archive at Los A lam os National Laboratory. Have fin!

```
http://xxx.lanl.gov/archive/quant-ph
     Quantum Physics Archive at Los Alamos National Laboratory
http://eve.physics.ox.ac.uk/QChome.html
     Quantum Computation and Cryptography Home Page at Oxford
http://aerodec.anu.edu.au/~qc/index.html
     Quantum Computing HomePage
     at Australian National University
http://feynman.stanford.edu/gcomp/
     Quantum Computation Archive at Stanford
http://vesta.physics.ucla.edu/~smolin/
     John Smolin's Quantum Information Page
http://www.cwi.nl/~berthiau
     Andre Berthiaum e's Home Page
http://www.iro.umontreal.ca/labs/theorique/index_en.html
     Laboratoire d'inform atique theorique et quantique
     at Universite de Montreal
```

In addition, you can nd tutorials at the following URLs.

```
http://chemphys.weizmann.ac.il/~schmuel/comp/comp.html
    Sam uelL.Braunstein's Tutorial on Q uantum C om putation
http://eve.physics.ox.ac.uk/QCresearch/cryptoanalysis/qc.html
    A rtur E kert's \Introduction to Q uantum C ryptoanalysis"
http://www.cwi.nl/~berthiau/publications/CTR.ps
    A ndre B erthiaum e's Tutorial on Q uantum C om putation [10]
```

10 Do you Need a Daily Fix?

If you cannot live without knowing what is new every day, send electronic mail to quant-ph@xxx.lanl.gov with subject \subscribe" and let it guide you!

A cknow ledgem ents

I am very gratefulto all those who helped me put this essay together: Charles H. Bennett, David Divincenzo, Artur Ekert, Christopher Fuchs, Richard Hughes, Michael Kemaghan, Christopher Monroe, Peter Shor and Andrew Steane.

R eferences

- [1] A.Barenco, \A universal two-bit gate for quantum computation", Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, Series A, Vol. 449, 1995, pp. 679 { 683.
- [2] A. Barenco, C. H. Bennett, R. Cleve, D. P. D. Wincenzo, N. M. argolus, P. W. Shor, T. Sleator, J.A. Sm olin and H. Weinfurter, \ Elementary gates for quantum computation, Physical Review A, Vol. 52, 1995, pp. 3457 { 3467.
- [3] A. Barenco, D. Deutsch, A. Ekert and R. Jozsa, \Conditional quantum dynamics and logic gates", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 74, 15 May 1995, pp. 4083 { 4086.
- [4] C.H.Bennett, \Quantum information and computation", Physics Today, October 1995, pp. 24 { 30.
- [5] C.H. Bennett, H. Bernstein, S. Popescu and B. Schum acher, \Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations", Physical Review A, in press.
- [6] C.H.Bennett, G.Brassard, S.Popescu, B.Schumacher, J.A.Smolin and W.K.Wootters, \Puri cation of noisy entanglement and faithful teleportation via noisy channels", Physical Review Letters, in press.
- [7] C.H.Bennett and D.P.D iV incenzo, \Quantum computing: Towards an engineering era?", Nature, Vol. 377, 5 October 1995, pp. 389 { 390.
- [8] C.H.Bennett, D.P.DiV incenzo, J.A.Smolin and W.K.Wootters, \Mixed state entanglement and quantum error correcting codes", manuscript, 1995.
- [9] A. Berthiaum e, \L'ordinateur quantique: Com plexite et stabilisation des calculs", PhD Thesis, Universite de Montreal, 1995.
- [10] A.Berthiaum e, \Q uantum computation", in Complexity Theory Retrospective II, L.A.Hem aspaandra and A.Selman, editors, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, in press.
- [11] D. Boneh and R. J. Lipton, \Quantum cryptanalysis of hidden linear functions", Advances in Cryptology Crypto'95 Proceedings, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 963, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, pp. 242 { 437.
- [12] G.Brassard, \A quantum jump in computer science", in Computer Science Today, J. van Leeuwen, editor, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1000 (special anniversary volume), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, pp. 1 { 14.
- [13] A.R.Calderbank and P.W. Shor, \Good quantum error-correcting codes exist", manuscript, September 1995.
- [14] H.F.Chau and F.W ilczek, \Simple realization of the Fredkin gate using a series of two{body operators", Physical Review Letters, in press.
- [15] I.L. Chuang and R. La amme, \Quantum error correction by coding", manuscript, September 1995.
- [16] I.L.Chuang, R.La amme, P.W. Shor and W. Zurek, \Quantum computers, factoring, and decoherence", manuscript, March 1995.
- [17] I.L. Chuang and Y. Yam amoto, \A simple quantum computer", manuscript, May 1995.
- [18] J.I.Cirac and P.Zoller, \Quantum computations with cold trapped ions", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 74, 15 M ay 1995, pp. 4091 { 4094.

- [19] D. Deutsch, A. Barenco and A. Ekert, \Universality in quantum computation", Proceedings of the Royal Society, London, Series A, Vol. 449, 1995, pp. 669 { 677.
- [20] D.P.D iV incenzo, \Two-bit gates are universal for quantum computation", Physical Review A, Vol. 51, February 1995, pp. 1015 { 1022.
- [21] D.P.DiVincenzo, \Quantum computation", Science, Vol. 270, 13 October 1995, pp. 255 { 261.
- [22] P.Domokos, J.{M. Raimond, M.Brune and S.Haroche, \Simple cavity-QED two-bit universal quantum logic gate: The principle and expected performances", Physical Review A, November 1995, pp. 3554 { 3559.
- [23] T. Folger, \The best computer in all possible worlds", D iscover, O ctober 1995, pp. 90 { 99.
- [24] A.Yu.K itaev, \Quantum m easurements and the Abelian Stabilizer Problem", manuscript, November 1995.
- [25] R. Landauer, \Is quantum mechanically coherent computation useful?", in Proceedings of the Drexel-4 Symposium on Quantum Nonintegrability Quantum Classical Correspondence, D.H. Feng and B. (L. Hu, editors, International Press, 1995.
- [26] S.Lloyd, \A Im ost any quantum logic gate is universal", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 75, 10 July 1995, pp. 346 { 349.
- [27] S.Lloyd, \Q uantum -m echanical com puters", Scienti c Am erican, O ctober 1995, pp. 44 { 50.
- [28] C.Monroe, D.M.Meekhof, B.E.King, W.M.Itano and D.J.Wineland, \Demonstration of a fundamental quantum logic gate", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 75, 1995, pp. 4714 { 4717.
- [29] A.Muller, H.Zbinden and N.Gisin, \Underwater quantum coding", Nature, Vol. 378, 30 November 1995, page 449.
- [30] M.B.Plenio and P.L.Knight, \Realistic lower bounds for the factorization time of large numbers on a quantum computer", submitted to Physical Review A, November 1995.
- [31] P.W. Shor, \Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory", Physical Review A, Vol. 52, October 1995, pp. 2493 { 2496.
- [32] P.W. Shor, \Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer", manuscript, August 1995.
- [33] T. Sleator and H. Weinfurter, Realizable universal quantum logic gates", Physical Review Letters, Vol. 74, 15 May 1995, pp. 4087 { 4090.
- [34] J.A. Smolin and D.P.D iV incenzo, \Five two-bit quantum gates are su cient to implement the quantum Fredkin gate", Physical Review A, in press.
- [35] A . Steane, \M ultiple particle interference and quantum error correction", submitted to P rocedings of the Royal Society, London, Series A, 1995.
- [36] Q.A. Turchette, C.J. Hood, W. Lange, H. Mabuchi and H. J. Kimble, M. easurement of conditional phase shifts for quantum logic, manuscript, June 1995.
- [37] W.G.Unruh, \Maintaining coherence in quantum computers", Physical Review A, Vol. 51, February 1995, pp. 992 { 997.
- [38] V. Vedral, A. Barenco and A. Ekert, \Quantum networks for elementary arithmetic operations", submitted to Physical Review A, 1995.

This article was processed using the IATEX macro package with LLNCS style