A nalytical properties of scattering am plitudes in one-dim ensional quantum theory

M .S.Marinov; and Bilha Segev
Department of Physics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa 32000, Israel
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik and Astrophysik

W emer-Heisenberg-Institut, M unchen, G em any

A bstract

O ne-dimensional quantum scattering from a local potential barrier is considered. A nalytical properties of the scattering amplitudes have been investigated by means of the integral equations equivalent to the Schrodinger equations. The transition and rejection amplitudes are expressed in terms of two complex functions of the incident energy, which are similar to the Jost function in the partial-wave scattering. These functions are entire for nite-range potentials and meromorphic for exponentially decreasing potentials. The analytical properties result from locality of the potential in the wave equation and represent the eject of causality in time dependence of the scattering process.

PACS num bers: 0230, 0380, 1155, 0365.

Short title: A nalytical properties of scattering am plitudes

1 Introduction

The problem of the tunneling time has been attracting a considerable attention for decades [1, 2, 3, 4]. It is indeed in portant to understand the e ect of causality upon the particle and wave propagation. The problem is that, in the conventional time-independent formalism, the causality manifests itself indirectly, namely, in analytical properties of the transition amplitudes as functions of (complex) energy. The relations between causality and analyticity were under an intensive investigation in the 60-ties, when the concept of the S-m atrix dom inated in particle physics [5, 6]. At that time, however, the analysis was aim ed mainly at three-dimensional scattering processes, for central-symmetric potentials in particular [7, 8]. Partial-wave scattering am plitudes in the complex energy plane were considered also in the theory of multi-channel nuclear reactions [9]. A nalytical properties of the scattering matrix have been used also in other elds. For example, in the theory of multi-term in almesoscopic conductance the properties of the multi-probe S-matrix were used [10] to obtain the conductance and to establish the time ordering of the incoming and outgoing lead states. In another work [11], the low-frequency behaviour of dynam ic conductance was related to the phase-delay times for the carrier transmission and rejection, which are given by the energy derivatives of the S-m atrix elements. The most in poratnt feature of the transition am plitudes for various physical processes in the energy representation is their analyticity in the upper half of the complex plane. Som etim es one can nd out more about singularities in the lower half-plane, investigating dynamical equations speci c for the physical problem, like the Schrodinger equation for scattering.

The one-dimensional 'scattering', i.e. the potential-barrier problem, is somewhat more complicated than the scattering of a force center, since the system has two channels, corresponding to two waves running in the opposite directions from the potential region in the nal state. Thus instead of one analytical function, the partial wave scattering amplitude, one deals with two analytical functions, transmission and refection amplitudes. (The unitarity condition holds in both cases.) The familiar arguments of the scattering theory must be extended properly to the one-dimensional case. The analytical properties of the one-dimensional Smatrix were discussed, in particular, by Faddeev [12, 13] and Newton [14] in view of the inverse problem. The singularities in the complex energy plane, caused by bound and quasi-bound states were considered more recently [15, 16, 17].

The purpose of the present work is to investigate the location and character of singularities in the scattering amplitudes, owing to the potential shape. The investigation is based upon the Schrödinger equation with a local potential. That enables one to reveal general features of the amplitudes, depending on the character of vanishing of the potential outside its domain. The analytical properties are essential for the application to a space-time picture of the barrier transmission.

In Section 2, the 2—2 scattering and transition matrices are introduced and related to the resolvent of the Schrodinger operator. Next, in Section 3, two complex functions are dened for the potential barrier problem, which are related to elements of the monodrom y matrix. Their role is similar to that of the Jost function in the S-wave potential scattering. These functions have nice analytical properties, which are proven in Section 4 by means of the Volterra-type integral equations. It is shown, in particular, that the functions

have no singularities in the whole complex energy plane (except for the in nity), if the potential has a nite range. Singularities appear if the potential behaves exponentially in the asymptotics, and the slope of the exponent determines the distance to the singularies nearest to the real energy axis. Some examples are given in Appendix.

2 Transition operator and the S-m atrix

The evolution operator is given by the Laplace transform of the resolvent of the H am iltonian \hat{H} ,

$$\exp(i\mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{I}}) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"e}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"e}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{\text{"}}$$
(1)

Here $_1$ is the contour in the complex "-plane, running from $_1$ to +1 above the real axis, where the singularities are situated. In scattering problems, the Ham iltonian is a sum of a potential operator and the kinetic energy, having the eigen-vectors describing free particle states,

$$\hat{H} + \hat{V}_0 + \hat{V}_0 + \hat{V}_0 = \hat{V}_0 = \hat{V}_0 + \hat{V}_0 = \hat{V$$

In the nonrelativistic scattering theory, we shall use the units where $(k) = k^2$. The transition operator \hat{T}_n is introduced as follows,

$$\hat{G}_{"} = \hat{G}_{"}^{(0)} \qquad \hat{G}_{"}^{(0)} \hat{T}_{"} \hat{G}_{"}^{(0)}; \qquad \hat{H}_{0} \qquad ")^{1}; \qquad (3)$$

It can be expressed directly in terms of the resolvent $\hat{G}_{"}$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{"} = \hat{\mathbf{V}} \qquad \hat{\mathbf{V}} \, \hat{\mathbf{G}}_{"} \hat{\mathbf{V}} \, ; \tag{4}$$

As follows from the time-inversion symmetry (the reciprocity principle),

$$< k \hat{T}_{"} \hat{k}_{0}> = < k_{0} \hat{T}_{"} \hat{j} \quad k > :$$
 (5)

It is easy to see, using the standard de nition of the scattering operator \hat{S} , that its matrix elements are expressed in terms of the transition operator on the energy shell,

$$< k j \hat{S} k_0 > \lim_{t! = 1} < k j e^{\frac{i}{2}t \hat{H}_0} e^{it\hat{H}_0} \hat{E}^{\frac{i}{2}t \hat{H}_0} j k_0 >$$

$$= (k k_0) 2 i [(k) 0] I ("):$$
(6)

Here the scattering amplitude is given by

$$T_{0}(") < k \hat{T}_{0} k_{0} > ; \quad (k) = " = (k);$$
 (7)

where k=k, and the standard normalization is used: $\langle k \rangle = \langle k \rangle$.

In the one-dimensional case k=k, where =1, corresponding to two possible directions of motion for a given energy, and

$$(k k_0) = v [(k) k_0] v = d = dk$$
: (8)

The elements of \hat{S} and \hat{T} (on the energy shell) are given by 2 2 m atrices S and T,

$$\langle k \hat{j} \hat{j} k_0 \rangle = v [(k) \quad (k)] S_0; S I \frac{2 i}{v} T;$$
 (9)

By de nition, if \hat{S} exists, it is a unitary operator. This fact implies a unitarity condition on the scattering amplitude, which reads

$$SS^{y} = I; \frac{1}{2i}(T \quad T^{y}) = \frac{1}{y}TT^{y};$$
 (10)

As we will show, the analytical properties of T (") follow from locality of the potential, and Eq. (4).

3 General properties of the transition amplitudes

W e consider the Schrodinger equation,

$$\hat{H} = {}^{2} ; \hat{H} = (d=dx)^{2} + V(x);$$
 (11)

where 1 < x < 1, and the potential is local, i.e. y(x) = o(1=x) as y = 1. In the coordinate representation, the resolvent can be expressed in terms of two fundamental solutions of the Schrödinger equation, y(x), satisfying the proper boundary conditions at 1, respectively,

$$< x j \hat{G}_{"} j x_{0} > = \frac{y(x_{0})y_{+}(x_{0})}{w(y; y_{+})}; \quad x_{0} = x_{0} = x_{0} = x_{0} = x_{0} = x_{0}$$
 (12)

$$y (x) ! e^{ix}; asx! 1;$$

 $w (y ; y_{+}) y^{0} y_{+} y y_{+}^{0} = const:$ (13)

As soon as " 2 is introduced in the Laplace transform (1) for the upper half of the complex plane, the solutions y de ned above vanish at 1 , respectively. Thus one has the properly de ned resolvent for the elliptic operator \hat{H} satisfying the Somm erfeld radiation condition at in nity [18].

For large jxj where the potential vanishes, the asymptotics of the fundam ental solutions are given by

$$y(x) = ae^{ix} + be^{ix}$$
 for $x! + 1$ (14)
 $y_{+}(x) = b^{0}e^{ix} + ce^{ix}$ for $x! + 1$:

In principle, the solutions are defined by (13) for Re > 0 and Im ! + 0, yet the analytical continuation to the whole complex -plane is considered in the following. For real potentials V (x), the complex conjugate functions y (x) are also solutions of the Schrodinger equation, satisfying the boundary conditions conjugate to (13). Calculating

the W ronskians (which are independent of x) at $x \,! \, 1$ for various pairs of the solutions, one gets a number of relations between the complex parameters $a;b;b^0;c:$

$$w(y;y_{+}) = 2i a = 2i c; a = c;$$
 (15)

$$w(y;y) = const; jaj = 1;$$
 (16)

$$w(y_{+}; y_{+}) = const; jc_{1}^{2} jb_{1}^{2} = 1;$$

$$w(y; y_+) = const; b^0 = b:$$
 (17)

Expressing \overline{y} in terms of the fundamental solutions, one has

$$y = \frac{b}{a}y + \frac{1}{a}y_{+}; \quad y_{+} = \frac{1}{a}y \quad \frac{b}{a}y_{+};$$
 (18)

The analytical continuation of these solutions to the complex plane, by $\overline{y}(x)$ y(x), implies a symmetry of a () and b () with respect to the imaginary -axis,

$$\overline{a()} = a(); \overline{b()} = b();$$
 (19)

Thus, the asymptotics of the solutions depend only on two complex functions a() and b(), satisfying one real condition (16), and subject to the symmetry (19). The transition amplitudes, elements of the S matrix and T matrix, and of the monodromy matrix [19], are given in terms of these two functions.

If the potential is displaced, b gets a phase shift,

$$V(x) ! V(x d) ; a! a; b! be^{2id}$$
: (20)

For sym m etric potentials one gets an additional relation,

$$V(x) V(x) ; y(x) ; a = c; b^0 = b;$$
 (21)

so that b is pure im aginary, in view of (17).

As soon as the resolvent is known from (12), the elements of the transition operator in the momentum representation are obtained immediately, by (4),

$$< k j \hat{r}_{*} k_{0} > = V (q) \frac{1}{2} dx dx_{0} e^{ikx + ik_{0}x_{0}} V (x) < x j \hat{r}_{*} j x_{0} > V (x_{0});$$
 (22)

where $q = k + k_0$, and

$$\nabla'(q) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z+1} V(x)e^{-iqx}dx$$
: (23)

The double Fourier transform in (22) is performed by means of the Schrödinger equation, $Vy = y^0 + {}^2y$, leading to the following integrals

where we have introduced the functions (x) vanishing at 1,

(x)
$$y(x) e^{ix}$$
: (26)

The result is

$$< k j \hat{\Gamma}_{"} j_{k_{0}}> = \frac{1}{2 \text{ iw}} \sum_{1}^{Z} dx e^{-iqx} V(x) \left(\frac{q}{2} \right) y_{+}(x) e^{-ix} + \left(+ \frac{q}{2} \right) y_{-}(x) e^{ix}$$

$$- \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{2} (k^{2} + k_{0}^{2})^{\frac{Z}{2}} \int_{1}^{1} dx e^{-iqx} \left(+ \frac{0}{4} \right)^{\frac{0}{4}} dx$$

$$(2 k^{2}) (2 k_{0}^{2}) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{Z} dx dx_{0} e^{-ikx + ik_{0}x_{0}} g(x; x_{0}); \qquad (27)$$

where w = 2i a, and

$$g(x;x_0)$$
 $(x_<)_+(x_>)=w$: (28)

On the energy shell where $k^2 = {}^2 = k_0^2$, the contributions from the integrals vanish in Eqs. (24-27), and one has

$$T = \frac{1}{2 a}$$
; $S = \frac{1}{a}$ $\frac{1}{b}$; (29)

w here

$$dxe^{i x}V(x)y_{+}(x) = dxe^{i x}V(x)y_{+}(x);$$

a 1
$$\frac{1}{2i}$$
; b $\frac{1}{2i}$: (31)

The functions () and () are free of a pole at = 0, and are related by the unitarity condition,

$$=\frac{i}{2} () :$$
 (32)

The transm ission and re ection amplitudes are $S_{++}=1=a$ and $S_{+}=b=a$, respectively, and det S=a=a. (The latter equality supports the analogy of a to the Jost function. If there is no re ection, b=0, then $a=e^{-i}$, where () is a real phase shift.) Besides, if the potential is even, the matrices S and T are symmetrical.

Note that because of the relations (15)-(17) the monodrom y matrix [19] composed of a; b is quasi-unitary,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}; M E M^{y} = E; E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$
 (33)

Under a displacement of the potential, Eq. (20), M is transformed to

$$M ! D M D^{y}; D = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2id} \end{cases}$$
 (34)

If $V(x) = V_1(x - d_1) + V_2(x - d_2)$, $d_2 > d_1$, and the potential domain consists of two intervals separated by a forceless gap, one has the following superposition rule,

$$M = D_{1}M_{1}D_{1}^{Y}D_{2}M_{2}D_{2}^{Y}:$$

$$a = a_{1}a_{2} + b_{1}b_{2}e^{2i(d_{2}(d_{1}))}; b = a_{1}b_{2}e^{2i(d_{2} + a_{2}b_{1})}e^{2i(d_{1})};$$
(35)

which is a consequence of Eqs. (14) and (20).

4 Integral equations and the analytical properties

4.1 Volterra equation and series solutions

A nalytical properties of the transition amplitudes can be derived from the integral equation satisfied by the fundamental solutions y(x),

$$y(x) = e^{ix} + \frac{1}{2} \sin(x)$$
 sin (x))V()y()d: (36)

These equations are of the Volterra type, so the solution exists and adm its an analytical continuation to complex for local potentials. In order to separate asymptotic oscillations of the solutions, let us introduce new functions (the integrals are evaluated by Eqs. (24-25) for k = 0),

A (x)
$$e^{i} V()y()d = (0^{i} iy)e^{ix} + 2i;$$

B (x) $e^{i} V()y()d = (0^{i} + iy)e^{ix};$

A₊ (x) $e^{i} V()y_{+}()d = (0^{i} + iy)e^{ix} + 2i;$

B₊ (x) $e^{i} V()y_{+}()d = (0^{i} + iy)e^{ix} + 2i;$

(37)

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = i \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2i} A \quad (x) \quad e^{ix} \quad \frac{1}{2} B \quad (x) e^{ix};$$

$$y \quad (x) = 1 \quad \frac{1}{2i} A \quad (x) \quad e^{ix} + \frac{1}{2i} B \quad (x) e^{ix}; \tag{39}$$

so A and B have the de nite \lim its at x! 1, cf. Eqs. (30),

$$A_{+}$$
 (1) = A_{-} (+1); B_{-} (+1) = $\overline{B_{+}}$ (1); (40)
 A_{-} (1) = 0 = B_{-} (1):

The pairs of functions (A;B) satisfy a system of rst-order dierential equations with zero initial conditions at in nity. Setting the equations into the integral form, one gets

from (37), in particular, for A and B,

A
$$(x) = {}^{Z_{x}} V() 1 \frac{1}{2i} A() + \frac{1}{2i} B() e^{2i} d;$$
 (41)

$$B (x) = \int_{1}^{2} V (1) (1 \frac{1}{2i} A (1)) e^{2i} + \frac{1}{2i} B (1) d :$$
 (42)

This form is especially suitable for the perturbative expansion of and , namely,

U sing these expansions, one gets a sort of the Pade approximation for the S-matrix in (29). Note that as ! 0, one has ! 0 while and are regular, so expanding in powers of one can get, for short-range potentials, an analogue of the e ective-range approximation [8].

4.2 Analytical properties

The perturbative series are also used to prove the analytical properties. First of all, one can extend to Eq. (36) the standard arguments of the scattering theory [8], which are based upon the inequality

$$j\sin (x)j \frac{j xj}{1+j xj} e^{jim j(x)};$$
 (45)

where x > , and C is a constant. Thus one proves that y () are analytical in the domain in the complex -plane where

$$^{\rm Z}_{\rm x}$$
 exp[(jIm j Im)]V()d < 1:

In particular, if V(x) = 0 for x < x, for som ex, there is no irregularity as x! = 1. Sim ilarly, the lim it x! + 1 is considered. The fundamental solutions are analytical in , as soon as these two lim its are regular.

One may rather modify the method and apply it directly to the functions we are interested in, given by Eqs. (41-42). The substitution

A
$$(x) = f(x)e^{iw(x)}$$
; B $(x) = g(x)e^{-iw(x)}$; w $(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-x} dV()$; (46)

elim inates the diagonal term s in the di erential equations, and they are reduced to

$$f^0 = f_0 + P_+(x)g;$$
 $f_0 = V(x)e^{iw(x)};$ (47)

$$g^0 = g_0 + P (x)f;$$
 $g_0 = V (x) \exp[2i x + iw (x)];$ (48)

H ere

P (x)
$$\frac{V(x)}{2i}e^{2i[x w(x)]}$$
: (49)

and the initial conditions are f(1) = 0 = g(1). Note that f(x) and g(x) have \lim its as x ! 1, which are and , up to conjugate phase shifts, provided that the potential is integrable, and w(1) is nite. The solution to Eqs. (47-48) is given by the series,

$$f = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{2}} & f_{n}; & f_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} x \\ 0 \end{cases} & f_{0}(x); & f_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} x \\ 0 \end{cases} & f_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} x \\ 0 \end{cases} & f_{n+1}(x); & f_{n+$$

Upper bounds for f_n and g_n for positive and integrable potentials, can be obtained by iteration. From Eqs. (50-51), one gets for even n,

$$f_{n}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{+}(x_{n-1})P_{-}(x_{n-2}) :: P_{+}(x_{n-1})g_{0}(x_{n}) \xrightarrow{n_{Y}=0}^{n_{Y}=1} d_{m};$$

$$g_{n}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{-}(x_{n-1})P_{+}(x_{n-2}) :: P_{-}(x_{n})f_{0}(x_{n}) \xrightarrow{m=0}^{\infty} d_{m};$$
(52)

and for odd n,

$$f_{n}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{+}(x_{n-1})P_{-}(x_{n-2}) :: P_{-}(x_{1})f_{0}(x_{0}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_{n};$$

$$g_{n}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{-}(x_{n-1})P_{+}(x_{n-2}) :: P_{+}(x_{1})g_{0}(x_{0}) = d_{m};$$
(53)

The integrations take place in the domain $_n$: $1 < _0 < _1 < _n \le < x$. If we assume that V(x) = 0, w(x) is a real, bounded and non-decreasing function of x. For m > 0, we shall use the following inequalities,

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{P}_{+}(_{i})P_{-}(_{j})j & \frac{V_{-}(_{i})}{2}\frac{V_{-}(_{j})}{2}; &_{i} >_{j}; \\
\mathcal{P}_{+}(_{1})g_{0}(_{0})j & \frac{V_{-}(_{1})}{2}j f_{0}(_{0})j; \\
& jf_{0}(_{0})j & V_{-}(_{0}); \\
\mathcal{P}_{-}(_{0})j & \frac{V_{-}(_{0})}{2}j e^{2i} j e^{2iw_{-}(x)}j; & < x;
\end{array}$$

$$(54)$$

Sim ilarly, for Im < 0,

Using these inequalities one can show that, in the upper half of the complex -plane,

while in the lower half of the complex -plane,

w here

u (x)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 d V () exp (2i): (58)

It is assumed that the integrals exist for real and have denite \lim its as $x \,! \, 1$ (the Fourier transform of V).

For positive and integrable potentials, f(x) and g(x), and thus () and (), are given in terms of in nite series which are absolutely convergent in the domain where the corresponding Fourier transforms of V(x) exist, Eq. (58). Thus () and a() are analytical in the upper half -plane. The singularities of () and () appear if the integrals in Eq. (58) diverge as $x \,! \, 1$, which may happen only at nite distances below the real axis.

4.3 Finite-range potentials

The functions () and () are entire, if V(x) = 0 outside an interval $(x; x_+)$. The analyticity is an immediate result of Eqs. (56-58), as un are nite for potentials with a nite support.

A more direct proof, as well as additional information on the analytic continuation into the complex -plane, can be obtained from explicit expressions for a () and b (). Let

us introduce two real (for real) solutions of the Schrodinger equation, z_0 (x) and z_1 (x), speci ed by the following initial conditions,

$$z_0 (x) = 1; \quad z_0^0 (x) = 0;$$

 $z_1 (x) = 0; \quad z_1^0 (x) = 1;$ (59)

From the continuity of the wave function and of its \mbox{rst} derivative at $\mbox{x} = \mbox{x}$, one gets the following expressions for a and b,

$$a = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} x_{-})}}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} 0 + i (0 + i) + 2 \\ 0 + i (0 + i) \end{bmatrix};$$

$$b = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i (0 - i) + 2 \\ 0 + i (0 + i) \end{bmatrix};$$
(60)

where $_{0;1}$ $z_{0;1}$ (x_+) . As soon as and 0 are analytical functions of 2 , by the Poincare theorem [7], () and () are also analytical in the whole complex -plane.

For large j jand sm ooth V(x), one can use the sem i-classical approximation,

$$_{0} = \frac{s}{\frac{p}{p_{+}}} \cos ; \quad _{0}^{0} = \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{p_{+}} \sin ;$$

$$_{1} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{p_{+}} \sin ; \quad _{1}^{0} = \frac{s}{p} \frac{p}{p} \cos ; \quad (61)$$

w here

()
$$\sum_{x=0}^{x} q^{-1} = \sum_{x=0}^{x} \sqrt{x} dx; p^{-1} = \sum_{x=0}^{x$$

In this approximation one gets

$$a = \frac{e^{i(x_{+} \times x)}}{2i \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{p_{+}}} [(^{2} + p p_{+}) \sin + i(p + p_{+}) \cos];$$

$$b = \frac{e^{i(x_{+} + x)}}{2i \frac{p}{p} \frac{p}{p_{+}}} [(^{2} p p_{+}) \sin i(p p_{+}) \cos];$$
(63)

Note that this result is exact for the square-well barrier, Eq. (68), and the analytical continuation to the complex plane is possible. A symptotical locations of zeroes of a in the complex plane are given by the equation

$$\exp[2i()] = \frac{(p)(p)}{(p)(p)} :$$
 (64)

Evidently, there are no zeroes in the upper half-plane for V(x) 0.

4.4 Exponentially decreasing potentials

If $V(x) / \exp(2s x)$ as x ! 1, (s > 0 are constant); () and () are no longer entire functions. The singularities appear, when V(x) is not small enough to suppress

 $\exp(2i x)$, so the integral in Eq. (58) is diverging as x ! 1. The singularities nearest to the real axis appear at Im = s for f and (), and at Im = s for g and (),

Explicit expressions for a () and b (), revealing their singularities, can be obtained, assuming that

$$V(x) = V^{2} e^{2s (x x)}; x < x;$$

$$V(x) = V_{+}^{2} e^{2s (x x)}; x > x_{+};$$
(65)

where v are constants. The solution to the Schrodinger equation for $x < x < x_+$ is still a linear combination of z_0 and z_1 , while for $x < x_+$ and $x > x_+$ it is given by linear combinations of the appropriate Bessel functions. Using the matching conditions for the wave function at x, one gets,

$$a = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} \times x)}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 + _{-}) (_{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} \times x)}}{0} (_{-}) + iv_{+} _{0}J^{0}_{+} (_{+})J (_{-})$$

$$+ iv = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{1} (_{-}) + iv_{+} _{0}J^{0}_{+} (_{+})J^{0}_{-} (_{-})$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (_{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (_{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (1 + _{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (1 _{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (1 _{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (1 _{+}=2) ^{+} (_{-}=2)$$

$$h = \frac{e^{i (x_{+} + x_{-})}}{2i} (1 + _{+}) (1 _{-}) (1 _{+}=2) ^{+} (1 _{+}$$

where = i =s and = iv =s . The singularities are due to the functions, as soon as (=2) J () is known [20] to be an entire function in both and , while and 0 are analytical functions of 2 , by the Poincare theorem . Thus, both a () and b () have in nite series of equidistant poles on the imaginary axis: at = ins for a () (the poles are double if s = s₊), and at = ins for b () (where n is any positive integer).

The m in imal distance of the singularities from the real -axis, that was derived from the integral equations, is non-zero for every potential with an asymptotic exponential decline. The results of Eq. (66) are less general. If the assumption of Eq. (65) is relaxed, the poles can move of the imaginary axis, as one can see in Eq. (72) below.

4.5 Singularities of the S m atrix

The singularities of the T and S matrices are of physical importance, when the time dependent process is considered. They are given by zeroes of a () as well as by the poles of b (), which do not coincide with those of a ().

As was proven in section 42, for positive and integrable potentials, a() is analytical in the upper half -plane, which is a result of causality; (), and thus b(), may have singularities at nite distances above and below the real -axis.

The pattern of singularities of a () and b () in the complex -plane is determined by the asymptotic decline of the potential. For potentials, having an asymptotic decline faster than exponential, (e.g. nite range potentials, and the Gaussian barrier), no singularities

appear for nite. For potentials with exponential asymptotics, the distance from the real—axis to the nearest singularities is determined by the slope of the potential at 1.

It is in portant that a () has no zeroes in the upper halfplane. As soon as the function is analytical, the number of its zeroes is given by the integral

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \int_{c}^{I} \frac{da}{a};$$
 (67)

where the contour C encloses the upper half of the complex plane. For positive and integrable potentials () is \lim ited in the upper half plane, so a()! 1 as! 1, and the integral is zero.

The location of zeroes of a () in the lower half of the complex -plane, depends on the specic barrier considered. For nite-range potentials the asymptotic distribution of zeroes is given by Eq. (64). O ther examples are considered in the appendix.

5 Conclusion

We have considered the one-dimensional problem, assuming that the potential is non-negative everywhere. The barrier transmission and relection amplitudes are described in terms of two analytical functions () and (), Eqs. (29-31). Both the functions are entire if the potential vanishes outside a nite interval on the x-axis. For potentials decreasing exponentially, singularities appear at nite distances to the real axis, corresponding to the decrease rates at 1. For (), all the singularities are in the lower half-plane, while for (), the front slope controls the singularities in the upper half-plane, and the back slope controls those in the lower half. Poles of the S-m atrix are given by zeroes of a() 1 =2i. It is proven that for any non-negative potential they are all in the lower half-plane.

The causality in the transmission and rejection processes manifests itself in the analytical properties of the transition amplitudes. These properties have been employed for the space-time description of the tunneling through potential barrier in the Wigner phase-space representation [22].

Acknow ledgem ents. We are grateful to S.A.Gurvitz and L.P.Pitaevsky for their interest to this work. The paper was completed when one of the authors (M.M.) was visiting Max-Planck-Institut at Munich. It is a pleasure to thank Prof. J.W ess for the kind hospitality. The support to the research from G.I.F. and the Technion V.P.R. Fund is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix: Examples

A number of examples may be found in standard textbooks, e.g. [21]. i) Square barrier: $V(x) = V_0$ for $\dot{x} j < x_0$, V(x) = 0 for $\dot{x} j > x_0$.

a() =
$$\frac{1}{4p}$$
 h (+ p)²e^{2i(p)x₀} (p)²e^{2i(+p)x₀i};

$$= V_0 \frac{\sin 2x_0 p}{p}; \tag{68}$$

where $p = \frac{p}{2} = V_0$. One can see that these functions depend, actually, on p^2 , so there is no cut in the -plane. Zeroes of a are given by (complex) solutions of the equation

$$e^{4ipx_0} = \frac{p^{!2}}{p^{!2}}$$
: (69)

This equation has no roots for Im > 0. If V_0 is sm all enough, there are two roots on the imaginary—axis. Other roots appear in pairs, and their asymptotical position is given by

$$R e p = \frac{1}{2x_0} n; \quad Im p = \frac{1}{x_0} \log \frac{2R e p}{V_0}; \tag{70}$$

where n 1 is an integer.

ii) Exponential barrier: $V(x) = V_0 \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x - x_0)$,

$$a = \frac{[(1+)]^{2}}{x_{0}} \frac{z}{2i} \int_{0}^{1/4} J^{0}(z)J(z);$$

$$b = \frac{2}{\sinh 2} \int_{0}^{1/4} [J^{0}(z)J(z) + J(z)J^{0}(z)];$$
(71)

where J (z) is the Bessel function, and = 2i, z = 2ix, v = 2ix

iii) The Poschl (Teller barrier: V (x) = $V_0 = \cosh^2(x=x_0)$

$$a = i \frac{{}^{2} (1 \quad i \times_{0})}{\times_{0} \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \quad i \times_{0}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \quad i \quad i \times\right)}; \quad b = \quad \frac{\cosh}{\sinh \times_{0}}; \tag{72}$$

where = $\sqrt[q]{V_0x_0^2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$. The function a() has zeroes at $x_0 = i(n+\frac{1}{2})$, and (double) poles at $x_0 = i(n+1)$, while has (simple) poles at $x_0 = in$, n = 1;2; . (Note that is imaginary for $2x_0 < V_0$ $^{1=2}$.)

iv) Narrow barrier: $V(x) = v_0(x)$. This is the limit one gets as $V_0! 1$, $x_0! 0$, $2x_0V_0 = v_0$, from the three preceding cases. Now both the entire functions are just constant,

$$= = \mathbf{v}_0; \tag{73}$$

and a () has one zero at = $ix_0=2$.

v) A double barrier: $V(x) = V_1(x - d_1) + V_2(x - d_2)$. The case of two non-overlapping barriers is described by Eq. (35); and remain entire functions. As is well known, new zeroes may appear in a () close to the real axis, corresponding to metastable states of the particle trapped between the barriers. A special case is that of a symmetrical double barrier, where $a_1 = a_2$ cosh e^i and $b_1 = b_2$ sinh e^i . Now

$$a = \cosh^2 e^{2i} + \sinh^2 e^{2id};$$

 $b = i \sinh 2 \cos(d + +);$ (74)

where d is the distance between the barrier centers. It is easy to see that the re ection may vanish at certain resonance values of the energy, independently of the re ection from a single barrier.

R eferences

- [1] M. Buttiker and R. Landauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1739 (1982).
- [2] E H . H auge and JA . St vneng, Rev. M od . Phys. 61, 917 (1989).
- [3] R. Landauer and Th. Martin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 217 (1994).
- [4] A M . Steinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2405 (1995).
- [5] R. J. Eden, P. V. Landsho, D. I. Olive and J. C. Polkinghome, The Analytic S-Matrix (University Press, Cambridge, 1966).
- [6] A. Peres, Ann. Phys. 37, 179 (1966).
- [7] V.DeAlfaro and T.Regge, Potential Scattering (Norht-Holland, Am sterdam, 1965).
- [8] R.G.Newton, Scattering Theory of W aves and Particles (M of raw Hill, New York etc., 1966), Ch. 11, 12.
- [9] P.A.Mello, P. Pereyra, and T.H. Seligman, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 161, 254 (1985)
- [10] K. Shepard, Phys. Rev. B 43, 11623 (1991).
- [11] M. Buttiker, A. Prêtre, and H. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 4114 (1993).
- [12] L.D. Faddeev, Uspekhim at. Nauk 14, No. 4, 57 (1959).
- [13] L.D. Faddeev, Trudy M IAN (Trans. of Steklov M ath. Inst.) 73, 314 (1964); Am. M ath. Soc. Transl. 2, 139 (1964).
- [14] R.G.Newton, J.M ath. Phys. 21, 493 (1980).
- [15] S.A.Gurvitz and G.Kalberm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2405 (1987).
- [16] M .Ya.Azbel, Phys.Rev.B 43, 6847 (1991).
- [17] M.S.de Bianchi, J.M ath. Phys. 35, 2719 (1994).
- [18] A. Som merfeld, Partielle Di erentialgleichungen der Physik (Harri Deutsch, Thun Frankfurt, 1978), x28.
- [19] V.I.A mold, Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Ordinary Dierential Equations (Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1983), x5.
- [20] F.W.J.O Liver, Asymptotics and Special Functions (Academic Press, New York and London, 1974), p.57.
- [21] S.Flugge, Practical Quantum Mechanics. I (Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971).
- [22] M.S.Marinov and B.Segev, \Quantum tunneling in the Wigner representation", Technion 1996 (submitted for publication).