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A bstract
U sual quantum $m$ echanics predicts probabilities for the outcom es of $m$ easurem ents carried out at de nite $m$ om ents of tim $e$. H ow ever, realistic $m$ easurem ents do not take place in an instant, but are extended over a period of tim e. The assum ption of instantaneous altematives in usualquantum $m$ echanics is an approxim ation whose validity can be investigated in the generalized quantum $m$ echanics of closed system $s$ in which probabilities are predicted for spacetim e altematives that extend over time. In this paper we investigate how altematives extended over tim e reduce to the usual instantaneous altematives in a sim ple model in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Speci cally, we show how the decoherence of a particular set of spacetim e altematives becom es autom atic as the tim e over which they extend approaches zero and estim ate how large this tim e can be before the interference betw een the altematives becom es non-negligible. T hese results suggest that the tim e scale over which coarse grainings of such quantities as the center ofm ass position of a m assive body $m$ ay be extended in tim e before producing signi cant interference is much longer than characteristic dynam ical tim e scales.

## I. IN TRODUCTION

A s usually form ulated, quantum $m$ echanics predicts probabilities for the outcom es of $m$ easurem ents carried out at de nite $m$ om ents of tim $e$. H ow ever, realistic m easurem ents do not take place in an instant, but are extended over a period of tim e. The assum ption of instantaneous $m$ easurem ents in usual quantum $m$ echanics is an approxim ation whose validity can be investigated in the generalized quantum $m$ echanics of closed system s w here probabilities are predicted for altematives that are extended over tim e. In this paper we investigate how altematives extended over tim e reduce to the usual altematives at a m om ent of tim $e$ in a sim ple $m$ odel in non-relativistic quantum $m$ echanics.

There are a num ber of interesting discussions of ideal m easurem ents extended over tim $e$ in the quantum $m$ echanical literature [1] $\sqrt{2} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{4} \sqrt{5} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{7} \sqrt{3}]$. H ow ever, these discussions were incom plete because they did not com pletely specify what an \ideal" measurem ent consisted of nor what replaced the reduction of the state vector upon the com pletion of one. In the $m$ ore general quantum $m$ echanics of closed system $s$, in which a notion of $\backslash m$ easurem ent" does not play a fundam ental role, clear m eaning can be given to the probabilities for spacetim e altematives which extend over tim e $9,10,11]$.

Spacetim e altematives are easily visualized in a sum over-histories form ulation of quantum $m$ echanics. Consider for exam ple the quantum $m$ echanics of a single nonrelativistic particle $m$ oving in one spatial dim ension. The ne-grained-histories for this system are the particle paths $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ on a tim e interval, say, [0;T]. T he m ost general sets of altematives for this system are partitions of this set of ne-grained paths into sets of coarse-grained classes, $C$, where $=1 ; 2 ; 3$. Partitions by the values of $x$ at which paths cross a surface of tim e t are sim ple kinds of coarse grainings that correspond to the usual altematives of position at a m om ent oftim e. P artitions into classes whose de nition extends over tim e generalize these to spacetim e altematives. A sim ple exam ple is a partition of the paths de ned by w hether they cross or never cross a given spacetim e region $w$ ith extent in tim e.

For each class of paths c , a class operator C m ay be de ned by a path integral over paths in the class of the form

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x^{\infty}{ }_{j}^{C} \quad x^{0} i=\quad \operatorname{xexp}(i S[x()]=h): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, $S[x()]$ is the action functional for paths and the sum is over all paths in the class c . This class operator inconporates both unitary dynam ics and a generalization of state
vector reduction in a uni ed way. W hen the system 's initial state at $t=0$ is $j$ i, the probability of coarse-grained altemative is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p()=k C \quad j i k^{2}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, the quantum $m$ echan ics of closed system $s$ does not predict probabilities for every set of coarse-grained altematives that $m$ ay be described, but only for those which have negligible quantum mechanical interference betw een the individual histories in the set. Such sets are said to decohere. Speci cally, sets of histories for which consistent probabilities are predicted must satisfy a decoherence condition, which for present purposes we $m$ ay take to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{0} \mathrm{Cji} \quad 0 ;{ }^{0} \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ll the required probability sum rules are satis ed by the probabilities de ned by (2) as a consequence of the decoherence condition (3).

It is a straightforw ard calculation [10] to verify that altematives de ned at onem om ent of tim et have class operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{e}^{\text {iH } \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{P} \quad \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where H is the Ham ittonian of the closed system and fP ( t$) \mathrm{g}$ are a set of orthogonal H eisenberg picture projection operators. (Here, as throughout, we use units in which $h=1$.$) For instance, for the altematives that the particle is in one of a set of exclusive$ spatial regions $f \quad g$ the $P$ 's are the projections onto these regions at the appropriate time. It is then im $m$ ediate from (3) that decoherence is autom atic for such instantaneous altematives.

D ecoherence is not autom atic for altematives that are extended over tim e. H ow ever as the tim e T over which they extend approaches zero they m ust becom e decoherent and their class operators m ust approach the form (4). This paper exam ines this approach of spacetim e altematives to instantaneous ones in a sim ple model. The model is described in Section II and its behavior for $s m$ all $T$ found in Sections III \{ V.The signi cance of the results is discussed in Section VI.

## II. A M ODELCOARSE-GRA $\mathbb{N} \mathbb{N} \mathbb{N} G$

O ur m odel concems a non-relativistic particle of $m$ ass $M$, $m$ oving in one dim ension, in a potential $V(x)$. The ne-grained histories for this system between tim est=0 and
$t=T$ are particle paths represented by single valued fiunctions $x(t)$ on that interval. C oarse-grainings are generally partitions of these paths into sets of exclusive classes. T he indìvidual classes are called coarse-grained histories.

A s a sim ple exam ple of a spactim e coarse graining that extends over tim e, consider the region of spacetim $e R$ that lies between tim est=0 and $t=T$. D enote the subregions of $R$ that lie to the left and to the right of the origin as $R_{1}$ and $R_{r}$, respectively. The set of all paths betw een $t=0$ and $t=T \mathrm{~m}$ ay be partitioned by whether they cross or do not cross the left and right regions $R_{1}$ and $R_{r}$. Speci cally, let $c_{10}$ be the class of paths which rem ain to the left of the origin and never cross $R_{r}$, let $c_{01}$ be the class of paths that stay to the right of the origin and never cross $R_{1}$, and let $c_{11}$ be the class of paths that cross both regions som etim e. The class $c_{00}$ of paths that never cross either $R_{1}$ or $R_{r}$ is em pty and we shall not discuss it further. This set of coarse-grained histories provides a sim ple $m$ odel for investigating the lim it of $s m$ all tem poral extension $T$. In that lim it, the set of spacetim e altematives should approxim ately decohere. Further, the probability for the altemative $c_{01}$ in which the particle is localized on the right during tim e $T$ should approach the usual probability for the particle's position at $t=0$ to be located in the region $x>0$. There should be a sim ilar approach of the probability for $c_{10}$ to the usual probability that the particle is located in $x<0$ at $t=0$. The probability of the altemative $C_{11}$ that the particle is in both regions of $x$ should approach zero. In the follow ing we shall show that these expectations are correct.

As we shall show in Section V, a bounded potential V (x) has a negligible e ect on the class operators for very short tim es $T$. We therefore begin with an investigation of their form for a free particle $w$ th $V(x)=0$. The class operators for the $m$ odel coarsegraining were calculated in 10]but we brie y review their construction here. They $m$ ay all be expressed in term s of the free particle propagator for the tim e interval $T$ which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right)=\bar{i}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i}\left(x^{\infty} x^{0}\right)^{2} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{2 \mathrm{~T}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a param eter which becom es large as $T$ becom es sm all. C onsider for exam ple the operator $C_{01}$ corresponding to the class of paths that rem ain entirely to the right of $x=0$ for the tim e T. Its m atrix elem ents are given by path integrals of the form 1) over this class of paths. That path integral is the sam e as the path integral over all paths w th an action
including an in nite barrier potential for $x<0$. That is, the class operator is the ordinary quantum $m$ echanical propagator in the presence of an in nite re ecting barrier at $x=0$. $T$ he appropriate solution of the Schrodinger equation $m$ ay be found by the $m$ ethod of im ages and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h x^{\infty} \mathbb{K}_{01} \mathrm{x}^{0} i=\left(\mathrm{x}^{\infty}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right) \mathbb{K}_{T}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\infty} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\infty} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)\right]: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h x^{\infty} \mathfrak{c}_{10} j x^{0} i=\left(x^{0}\right) \quad\left(x^{0}\right) \mathbb{K}_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right) \quad K_{T}\left(x^{0} ; x^{0}\right)\right]: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To nd the rem aining class operator $C_{11}$ note that a sum of the form (1) over all paths just gives the usual free particle propagator, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iHT}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left[\left(x^{\infty}\right)\left(x^{0}\right)+\left(x^{\infty}\right)\left(x^{0}\right)\right] K_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& +\left[\left(x^{\infty}\right)\left(x^{0}\right)+\left(x^{\infty}\right)\left(x^{0}\right)\right] K_{T}\left(x^{0} ; x^{0}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

W th these class operators the decoherence functional for this set of coarse-grained histories $m$ ay be com puted in the lim it of vanishing tem poral $T$.

## III. THEDECOHERENCEFUNCTIONAL

W e now tum to the question of the decoherence and probabilities of the set of spacetim e altematives described above as a function of the tim e T overwhich they extend. For sim plicity, we assum e that at $t=0$ the particle is in a pure state represented by a wave function ( x ). The decoherence functional de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(c ; c o)=h j c Y_{0} C j i \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a convenient tool for sum $m$ arizing the essential features of the quantum $m$ echanics of closed system $s$ that were $m$ entioned in the Introduction. A set of spacetim e altematives decoheres when the o -diagonal elem ents of D are negligibly sm all; the probabilities of a decoherent set of altematives are given by the diagonal elem ents ofD .

The decoherence functional (11) $m$ ay be expressed in term $s$ of the $m$ atrix elem ents of the class operators found in the preceding Section by writing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { \#1 \#1 Z1 } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$G$ İven that $\mathrm{C}_{00}=0$ is em pty, it is clear that any elem ent ofD involving this class vanishes identically. A dditionally, since (x) ( $x$ ) $=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(c_{01} ; c_{10}\right)=D \quad\left(c_{10} ; c_{01}\right)=0: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he herm iticity of the decoherence functionalim plies the additionalrelations $D\left(c_{01} ; C_{11}\right)=$ $D\left(C_{11} ; C_{01}\right)$ and $D\left(C_{10} ; C_{11}\right)=D\left(C_{11} ; C_{10}\right)$, leaving only ve com ponents to com pute. We now describe how to carry out the integrals in 12) for these com ponents.

W e begin w ith the diagonalelem ent D ( $\mathrm{c}_{01} ; \mathrm{c}_{01}$ ). It follow s from 11 ) that this elem ent of the decoherence functional is the square of the nom of the vector $\mathrm{C}_{01} j$ i. The class operator $C_{01}$ given by (7) is the propagator for $\operatorname{schrodinger~evolution~over~tim~e~} T$ of a free particle in the presence of an in nite barrier on $x<0$. Applied to $j$ i it gives the branch wave function $01(x)=h \mathcal{C}_{01} j$ i w ith

$$
\left.01(x ; T) \quad d_{0}^{Z} \mathbb{K}_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad K_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)\right]\left(x^{0}\right)=\underbrace{Z^{1}}_{1} d x^{0} K_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)^{e}\left(x^{0}\right) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x)=(x) ; x>0 \quad \text { and } \quad e(x)=\quad(x) ; x<0: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is sim ilar to evolution of the usualSchrodinger equation but w ith discontinuous in itial data. N otige that the probability integrals are una ected because this data resides in the H ibert space $L_{2}$. Thus the norm evaluated on the intervalx 0 is preserved under this evolution, so that

$$
D\left(c_{01} ; c_{01}\right)=\int_{0}^{Z} d x j(x) j^{2} \quad \mathrm{~A}
$$

and is independent of the tim e interval T. Sim ilarly,

$$
D\left(c_{10} ; c_{10}\right)=Z^{00} d x j(x) j^{2} \quad p:
$$

W hen the set of spacetim e altematives decoheres, the diagonal elem ent $D\left(c_{01} ; c_{01}\right)$ is the probability that the particle rem ains at positive values of $x$ throughout the tim e interval $T$. For this $m$ odel this is the sam e as the probability that the particle is in this range of $x$ at $t=0$.

The rem aining elem ents of the decoherence functional, which we expect to vanish in the lim it of vanishing $T, m$ ay be evaluated as follow $s$ : C onsider as a typical exam ple the interference term $D\left(C_{01} ; C_{11}\right)$. U sing the results of Section II for them atrix elem ents of the class operators, the integrations in (12) can be rearranged to give

$$
\left.D\left(c_{01} ; C_{11}\right)={ }_{0}^{Z} d x_{0}^{Z} d x^{Z} d x^{\mathbb{Z}} K_{T}\left(x ; x^{\infty}\right) \mathbb{K}_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad K_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right)\right] \quad S^{\left(x^{\infty}\right)}\left(x^{0}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x)=(x)+(x): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is conven ient to rescale the integration variables by writing $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}={ }^{1=2} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\operatorname{sim}$ ilar rescalings for $x^{0}$ and $x^{\infty}$. Then, using the explicit form (5) for the free particle propagator $K_{T}\left(x^{0} ; x^{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
D\left(c_{01} ; c_{11}\right)=\frac{1}{1=2} \int_{0}^{Z} d y y^{Z} d y^{Z} d y^{\infty} e^{i\left(y+y^{00}\right)^{2}}\left[e^{i\left(y y^{0}\right)^{2}} e^{i\left(y+y^{0}\right)^{2}}\right]  \tag{20}\\
\text { s } \frac{y^{\infty}}{1=2} \quad \frac{y^{0}}{1=2}:
\end{gather*}
$$

From this expression it is clear that only the behavior of ( x ) near $\mathrm{x}=0 \mathrm{w}$ ill contribute in the lim it of large and sm all $T$. Inserting a factor ofe $\left(y^{(22}+y^{002}\right)$ to ensure the convergence of the integral, the expression has the follow ing sm all $T$ asym ptotic form for nite :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(c_{01} ; C_{11}\right) \frac{4}{i{ }^{1=2} j(0) j^{2} \int_{0}^{Z 1} d y^{0} e^{y^{02}} d y^{Z^{0}} e_{0}^{y^{002}} d y e^{2 i y}{ }^{\text {Z }} y} \sin \left(2 y^{0} y\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad+i: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing eqs. (3:893:1), (3:466:1) and (6:286:1) of 12], the integral in (21) m ay be evaluated explicitly in term s of a hypergeom etric function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}^{\mathrm{r}}-{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; \frac{3}{2} ;-\frac{2}{-}\right): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow insert this in 21) and pass to the ! 0 lim it to rem ove the convergence factor and nd the sim ple result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(C_{01} ; C_{11}\right) \quad \mathrm{e}^{\frac{e^{\frac{i}{4}}}{=}} j(0) j^{2}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

N o additional calculation is needed to evaluate the rem aining o -diagonal com ponent D ( $\left.C_{10} ; C_{11}\right)$. That is because, using the class operator $m$ atrix elem ents from Section II and changing the sign of the integration variables $x, x^{0}$ and $x^{\infty}$, one obtains an expression for D ( $C_{10} ; C_{11}$ ) which is identical to the right hand side of (18) except w ith $\left(x^{0}\right)$ replaced $w$ ith $\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right)$. H ow ever, in the lim it of $s m$ all T , only the value $(0)$ is im portant as (\&1) show s . $T$ he leading orders ofD $\left(C_{10} ; C_{11}\right)$ and $D\left(C_{01} ; C_{11}\right)$ therefore coincide for $s m$ all $T$, both given by the right hand side of (24).

The rem aining elem ent of the decoherence functional is $D\left(C_{11} ; C_{11}\right)$. This can be evaluated using the techniques em ployed above for the other elem ents which vanish in the lim it of sm all $T$. H ow ever, it is quicker sim ply to evaluate it from the general relation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
X & D(C ; C 0)=1 \\
; 0 \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

which follow s from (G) and (11). E ther way the result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(c_{11} ; c_{11}\right) \quad 2 \overline{2} j(0) j^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

to leading order in .
Putting these results together, the sm all $T$ behavior of the decoherence functional for the three nontrivial altematives is given by
$w$ th $p$ as in (16) and (17) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=P^{e^{\frac{i}{4}}} j(0) j^{2} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where row and colum $n$ are taken in the order $\mathrm{C}_{01} ; \mathrm{C}_{10} ; \mathrm{C}_{11}$. The follow ing points are $\mathrm{im} \mathrm{me} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ diate consequences of this expression:
(1) The o -diagonalelem ents of the decoherence functional vanish as $T^{1=2}$ in the lim it of sm all $T$ so that this set of spacetim e altematives decoheres in that lim it.
(2) In the lim it of vanishing $T$, non-vanishing diagonal elem ents of the decoherence functional coincide exactly $w$ th the probabilities for the particle to be on the left or the right of $x=0$ at the $m$ om ent of tim $e t=0$, as expected.
(3) U nlike the case of histories which are sequences of sets of altemative pro jections, the diagonalelem ents of the decoherence functional for spacetim e altematives are not probabilities when the set of altematives are not decoherent. They do not sum to one. (See 10] for a m ore general discussion.)
(4) The leading order of the interference term svanishes if ( 0 ) $=0$. That is consistent w ith the results of [11] who show ed that decoherence is exact for any tim e interval $T$ as long as the initialw ave function ( x ) is antisym $m$ etric about $x=0$ (a result which follow s im m ediately from (18) since $s(x)$ then vanishes).

## IV.A SPECIFIC IN IT IA L COND IT IO N

A $n$ initialg aussian w ave packet provides an exam ple in which we can explicitly evaluate the decoherence functional for the spacetim e coarse graining under discussion $w$ thout recourse to the lim it of sm all T. A ssume a one-dim ensional $G$ aussian intial wave packet for our free particle of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{x^{2}=r^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' is the characteristic w idth of the w ave packet. W ith (29) into (12), evaluation of the relevant integrals that are sim ply those of the preceding Section yields
as the desired decoherence $m$ atrix $w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{i} \operatorname{arctanh}{ }^{1} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{2}{1+i^{\prime 2}}}=+ \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

having put $(0)=\frac{2}{12}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ in (28) for this special case. A s expected, in the lim it of large or $s m$ all $T$, the results of (27) are reproduced and decoherence is achieved $w$ ith equal probabilities of $1=2$ for each of the non-vanishing coarse-grained altematives.

$$
V \cdot \mathbb{N} C L U D \mathbb{N} G \text { A POTENTIAL }
$$

W e now consider the e ect of including a bounded potential on the above results derived for a free particle. C lassically, the e ect of a bounded force on the $m$ otion of a particle is proportional to the tim e intervaloverw hich it acts as long as that tim e interval is su ciently sm all. The e ect of a potential is thus negligible for very sm all tim e intervals. W e expect a sim ilarly negligible e ect of a bounded potential on the propagation of a particle in quantum $m$ echanics when the tim e of propagation becom es sm all (as it does in the coarse grainings under discussion in this paper). W e shall now show that this is the case.

The general argum ents involving the conservation of probability that led to the the results (16) and (17) for the diagonal elem ents of the decoherence functional, $D\left(c_{01} ; c_{01}\right)$ and $D\left(C_{10} ; C_{10}\right)$, respectively, are as valid in the presence of a potentialV ( x ) as they were w ithout. T he values of these elem ents of $D$ are therefore unchanged by the inclusion of a potential for any value of $T$.

To understand the e ect of a potential on the other elem ents of the decoherence functionalwhich vanish in the lim it ofsm all $T$, we need to exam ine the propagator over a tim e interval T. In the presence of a potential this is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right)=h x^{\infty} \dot{e}^{i\left(H_{0}+V\right) T} \dot{x^{0} i} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ is the free particle H am iltonian. It is not di cult to see that introducing a potential changes the construction of the class operator $m$ atrix elem ents in Section II only by replacing the free particle propagator $K_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right)$ w th $G_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right)$. The results for the decoherence functional can then all be expressed in term $s$ of inner products of wave functions of the form

$$
\mathrm{Zx}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right):
$$

W e now nd explicit expressions for the $m$ odi cations induced by the potential on wave functions of this form .

The evolution operator $U(t) \quad \exp [i(H)+V) t]$ satis es the Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { idU }(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{dt}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{V}\right) \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{t}): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correct solution can be w ritten in the form

$$
U(t)=e^{i H 0 t}\left[\begin{array}{l}
Z_{0}^{t} \\
\mathrm{Ct}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iH} o t^{0}} V e^{i H o t^{0}}+ \tag{35}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

Since the free particle propagator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{T}\left(x^{\infty} ; x^{0}\right)=h x^{\infty} j e^{i H_{0} T} \dot{x^{0}} i ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

th is result can be used to w rite the evolution of an initialwave function (x) over a time interval $T$ in the form

| \#1 |  | \# ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $d x^{0} G_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right) \quad\left(x^{0}\right)=$ | $d x^{0} K_{T}\left(x ; x^{0}\right){ }_{T}^{V}\left(x^{0}\right)$ |
| 1 |  | 1 |

where

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{I} & \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\quad \mathrm{i} \\
0
\end{array} \mathrm{dt}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iH} 0 \mathrm{t}^{0}} \mathrm{Ve} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iH} 0 \mathrm{t}^{0}}+\quad\right](\mathrm{x}):
$$

The calculations of the $s m$ alltim ebehavior of the decoherence functionalare thus the sam e as those in Section III w ith ${\underset{T}{V}}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(\mathrm{x}}$ ) replacing ( x ). H ow ever, since we are interested only in the leading order in $s m$ all $T$, we may em ploy only the leading order in ${ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{x})$. That just com es from the rst term in the sum in (38), the higher order term $s$ in the potential vanishing as successively higher order powers of $T$. The leading term is thus just (x). Inchuding a potential $V(x)$ therefore does not change the $s m$ all $T$ asym ptotic form of the decoherence functional given by 27).

## V I. D ISC U SSIO N

W e have investigated a very sim ple exam ple of a spacetim e coarse graining in the quantum $m$ echanics of a single non-relativistic particle ofm ass $M \mathrm{~m}$ oving in one dim ension. $T$ he three non-em pty coarse-grained altematives are w hether the particle rem ains alw ays to the right of $x=0$ for a tim e interval $T$, rem ains alw ays to the left of $x=0$ for this interval, or is som etim es on the left and som etim es on the right during that tim e. A s the exam ple discussed in the previous Section show S, when the initial state is a w ave packet of width $`$ the characteristic tim e scale for the autom atic decoherence of this set of altematives is $T_{\text {decoherence }} \quad M^{\mathbb{Z}}=\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{W}$ hen $T \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\text {decoherence, }}$, the interference betw een these altematives is negligible and the set approxim ately decoheres. T he exact decoherence of instantaneous altematives is thus a good approxim ation to the nearly exact decoherence of this type of spacetim e altemative. Further, the probabilities of the instantaneous altematives are exactly the same as those of the spactim e ones. W hen $T \quad T_{\text {decoherence }}$ instantaneous altematives are an excellent approxim ation to this kind of spactim e altemative.

To get a feel for this scale, consider an electron localized to its C om pton wavelength or a hydrogen atom sitting in its ground state. In these cases, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {decoherence }} \quad 10{ }^{19} \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {decoherence }} 10{ }^{14} \mathrm{~s}$, respectively. Thus for these system s spacetim e coarse grain ings can extend only over very short tim e scales if they are to be approxim ated by instantaneous altematives. At a much larger scale, take the center of $m$ ass of a grain of dust $w$ ith a diam eter of about one $m$ icron and a corresponding $m$ ass on the order of $10{ }^{15} \mathrm{~kg}$ localized to its dim ension. The resulting tim e scale for decoherence is then on the order of a year. For any $m$ acroscopic particle (for exam ple $w$ ith a $m$ ass of one gram and a size on the order of a centim eter) $T_{\text {decoherence }}$ is enorm ously greater than the age of the universe. For such system $s$ the tim e scale $T_{\text {decoherence }}$ over which this kind of spacetim e altematives $m$ ay extend while still autom atically decohering is much longer than characteristic dynam ical tim e scales $\mathrm{T}_{\text {dynam ical }}$.

These results suggest that, for quantities such as the center of $m$ ass position of a body characterized by typicalm acroscopic $m$ asses and uncertainties, there are a class of spacetim e coarse grainings extending over a tim e $T \quad T_{\text {dynam ical }} \quad T_{\text {decoherence }}$ to which instantaneous altematives are an excellent approxim ation both w ith respect to decoherence and with respect to probabilities. For system s ofsm allm ass, these results suggest that the regim e of validity of such approxim ations $m$ ay be $m$ ore lim ited. In particular, in realistic $m$ easurem ent situations of light system $s$ which extend over tim $e$, it $m$ ay be necessary to take the details of the experim ental arrangem ent into account, so that the altematives describing the outcom $e$ of the $m$ easurem ent refer to the altemative con gurations of the apparatus rather than the system being $m$ easured if they are to be well approxim ated by instantaneous altematives. It would be desirable to have m ore detailed and realistic $m$ odels to con $m$ this.

## A cknow ledgm ents

The authors are gratefiul to D avid C raig for much advice on the evaluation of the integrals in this paper. This work was supported under NSF grants PHY94-07065 and P H Y 94-07194. The work of R M . was supported by a U C SB C ollege of C reative Studies Sum m er U ndergraduate R esearch Fellow ship .
[1] R P. Feynm an, Rev.M od. P hys., 20, 267, 1948.
[2] M B.M ensky, P hys. Rev.D , 20, 384, 1979; T heor. M ath. P hys., 75, 357, 1988.
[3] C. C aves, P hys. Rev. D , 33, 1643, 1986; ibid., 35, 1815, 1987.
[4] A. Schm id, A nn. Phys., 173, 103, 1987.
[5] J.B.H artle, Phys. Rev.D , 38, 2985, 1988.
[6] N. Y am ada and S. Takagi, P rog. Theor. P hys., 85, 985, 1991.
[7] R. Sorkin, Int. Jour. Theor. P hys., 33, 523, 1994.
[8] D. M arolf, Phys. Rev.A, 50, 939, 1994
[9] J.B.H artle, The Q uantum M echan ics ofC osm ology, in $Q$ uantum C osm ology and Baby U niverses: $P$ roceedings of the 1989 Jerusalem $W$ inter School for $T$ heoretical $P$ hysics, ed.by S.C olem an, J.B.H artle, T .P iran, and S.W einberg,W orld Scienti c, Singapore (1991) pp. 65-157.
[10] J.B.H artle, Phys. Rev.D 44, 3173 (1991)
[11] N.Yam ada and S.T akagi, P rog. Theor. P hys., 86, 599, 1991; ibid., 87, 77, 1992.
[12] I.S.G radshteyn and IM .Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and P roducts, (A cadem ic P ress, N ew York, 1965)

