

Quantum Error Correction and Orthogonal Geometry

A. R. Calderbank,¹ E. M. Rains,² P. W. Shor,¹ and N. J. A. Sloane¹

¹AT & T Research, Murray Hill, New Jersey, 07974

²Institute for Defense Analyses, Princeton, New Jersey, 08540

(July 2, 1996)

A group theoretic framework is introduced that simplifies the description of known quantum error-correcting codes and greatly facilitates the construction of new examples. Codes are given which map 3 qubits to 8 qubits correcting 1 error, 4 to 10 qubits correcting 1 error, 1 to 13 qubits correcting 2 errors, and 1 to 29 qubits correcting 5 errors.

PACS: 03.65.Bz

A quantum error-correcting code is a way of encoding quantum states into qubits (two-state quantum systems) so that error or decoherence in a small number of individual qubits has little or no effect on the encoded data. The existence of quantum error-correcting codes was discovered only recently [1]. These codes have the potential to be important for quantum computing and quantum communication; for example, they could be used as repeaters for quantum cryptography. Although the subject of quantum error-correcting codes is relatively new, a large number of papers have already appeared. Many of these describe specific examples of codes [1-11]. However, the theoretical aspects of these papers have been concentrated on properties and rates of the codes [9,12-16], rather than on combinatorial recipes for constructing them. This letter introduces a unifying framework which explains the codes discovered to date and greatly facilitates the construction of new examples.

The basis for this unifying framework is group theoretic. It rests on the structure of certain subgroups of $O(2^n)$ and $U(2^n)$ called Clifford groups [17]. We first construct a subgroup E of $O(2^n)$. This group E is an extraspecial 2-group, and provides a bridge between quantum error-correcting codes in Hilbert space and binary orthogonal geometry. We obtain the Clifford groups by taking the normalizer L of E in $O(2^n)$ or the normalizer L^0 of the group E^0 generated by E and iI in $U(2^n)$. Since the natural setting for quantum mechanics is complex space, it might appear more appropriate to focus on the complex group L^0 . However, we shall begin by discussing the real Clifford group L , since its structure is easier to understand, and L is all that is required for the construction of the known quantum error-correcting codes.

The Group Theoretic Framework. The extraspecial 2-group E is realized as an irreducible group of 2^{1+2n} orthogonal $2^n \times 2^n$ matrices. The center $\langle E \rangle$ is $\{I, -I\}$ and the extraspecial property is that $E = E = \langle E \rangle$ is element-

tary abelian (hence a binary vector space). Let V denote the vector space Z_2^n (where $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$) and label the standard basis of R^{2^n} by $|j\rangle$, $j \in V$. Every element e of E can be written uniquely in the form

$$e = X(a)Z(b) \quad (1)$$

where $a, b \in Z_2^n$, $X(a) = \sum_j |j\rangle \langle j+a|$, $Z(b) = \sum_j (-1)^{b \cdot j} |j\rangle \langle j|$, for $a, b \in V$.

Consider the j th qubit in a quantum channel which transmits n qubits. Let v_j be the vector with a 1 in the j th bit and 0's in the remaining bits. Then $X(v_j)$ is the transformation which applies the Pauli matrix $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ to the j th qubit and does nothing to the remaining $n-1$ qubits. The transformation $Z(v_j)$ applies the Pauli matrix $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ to the j th bit and does nothing to the other $n-1$ qubits. In the language of quantum error correction, $X(v_j)$ is a bit error and $Z(v_j)$ is a phase error in the j th qubit. The element $X(a)Z(b)$ corresponds to bit errors in the qubits for which $a_j = 1$ and phase errors in the qubits for which $b_j = 1$.

Observe that E is the group of tensor products $w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n$ where each w_j is one of x, z or $y = xz$. For the purposes of quantum error correction, we need consider only errors of the types x, z , and y , since if we can correct these errors we can correct arbitrary errors [4,9,12].

Define a quadratic form Q on $E = E = \langle E \rangle$ by

$$Q(e) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} a_j b_j; \quad (2)$$

where $e = X(a)Z(b)$ is any element of E whose image in E is e . Then $e^2 = (-I)^{Q(e)}$ and $Q(e) = 0$ or 1 according as $X(a)$ and $Z(b)$ commute or anticommute. If $e = w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_n$ then $Q(e)$ is the parity of the number of components w_j that are equal to xz .

The normalizer L of E in the real orthogonal group $O(R^{2^n})$ (the subgroup of elements g such that $g^{-1} E g = E$) acts on E by conjugation, fixing the center $\langle E \rangle$ ($g \in L$ acts on E as the permutation $e \mapsto g^{-1} e g$). Hence there is a well-defined action of L on the binary vector space E that preserves the quadratic form Q . The quotient L/E is the orthogonal group $O^+(2n; 2)$, a finite classical group [18]. The group L appears in recent connections between classical Kerdock error-correcting codes, orthogonal geometry, and extremal Euclidean line sets [17]. This group also appears [9] as the group of

Bell-state-preserving bilateral local transformations that two experimenters (A and B) can jointly perform on n pairs of particles (each pair being in a Bell state). Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between Bell states and elements of E (cf. Eqs. (39) and (67) of [9]). The quadratic form $Q(e)$ is 0 or 1 according as the Bell states are symmetric or antisymmetric under interchange of A and B. Observe that symmetry/antisymmetry of Bell states is the physical invariant conserved by this presentation of L .

The following are group elements that generate L , shown together with their induced action on the binary vector space E .

(1) $H = 2^{n-2} [(1)^u \vee]_{u,v \in V}$, which interchanges $X(b)$ and $Z(b)$.

(2) Every matrix A in the general linear group $GL(V)$ determines a permutation matrix $jvi \rightarrow jAi$ in $O(R^{2^n})$. The action on E induced by conjugation is $X(a) \rightarrow X(Aa)$, $Z(b) \rightarrow Z(bA^T)$. For example the quantum xor taking $j_1 j_2 \rightarrow j_1 (q_1 \oplus q_2)$ is represented by

$$(a_1 a_2 j_1 j_2) \rightarrow (a_1 a_2 j_1 j_2) \begin{array}{c|cc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline B & 1 & 1 \\ C & 0 & 1 \\ A & 0 & 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} : \quad (3)$$

The back action of the xor on the phases is evident in its effect on b_1 and b_2 . Any orthogonal matrix in $O(R^{2^n})$ that normalizes both $X(V)$ and $Z(V)$ is of this type for some A [17, Lemma 3.14].

(3) $H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes I_{2^{n-1}}$, which applies a $\pi/2$ rotation to the first qubit and leaves the other qubits unchanged. This acts on E by interchanging a_1 and b_1 .

(4) Diagonal matrices $d_M = \text{diag}[(1)^{Q_M(v)}]$, where Q_M is a binary quadratic form on V for which the associated bilinear form $Q_M(u+v) - Q_M(u) - Q_M(v)$ is uMv^T . Note that M is symmetric with zero diagonal. The induced action on E is given by

$$(ajb) \rightarrow (ajb) \begin{array}{c|c} I & M \\ \hline 0 & I \end{array} : \quad (4)$$

These matrices are precisely the elements of L that induce the identity on the subgroup $Z(V)$. In terms of their effect on qubits, these are the transformations in L that change the phases of the qubits while fixing their values.

Remark. The group L^0 is the normalizer of the group E^0 generated by E and iI in the unitary group $U(2^n)$. Now we cannot define $Q(e) = e^2$ because $(ie)^2 \neq e^2$. However, we still have the nonsingular alternating binary form

$$X(a)Z(b); X(a^0)Z(b^0) = a \cdot b + a^0 \cdot b^0 : \quad (5)$$

The group L^0 is generated by L and by diagonal transformations $d_P = \text{diag}[i^{T_P(v)}]$ where T_P is a Z_4 -valued

quadratic form [17, Section 4]. The induced action on $E^0 = E^0 = (E^0)$ is described by

$$(ajb) \rightarrow (ajb) \begin{array}{c|c} I & P \\ \hline 0 & I \end{array} \quad (6)$$

where P is symmetric and may have a nonzero diagonal. For example, applying the transformation $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to each qubit corresponds to $P = I$. The quotient L^0/E^0 is the symplectic group $Sp(2n; 2)$ [18].

Quantum Error-Correcting Codes. A subspace S of E is said to be totally singular if $Q(s) = 0$ for all $s \in S$. It follows that for $s = (ajb)$, $s^0 = (a^0 j b^0)$ in S the inner product $(s; s^0) = Q(s + s^0) - Q(s) - Q(s^0)$ satisfies

$$(s; s^0) = a \cdot b + a^0 \cdot b^0 = 0 : \quad (7)$$

The group L acts transitively on totally singular subspaces of a given dimension. Hence every k -dimensional totally singular subspace is contained in the same number of maximal $(n$ -dimensional) totally singular subspaces. If M is a maximal totally singular subspace, then the group M has 2^n distinct linear characters, and the corresponding eigenspaces determine a coordinate frame $F(M)$ (an orthonormal basis of R^{2^n}). For example, the image of $Z(V)$ in E determines the coordinate frame $jvi \in V$, and the image of $X(V)$ determines the coordinate frame $2^{n-2} \vee (1)^u \vee jvi, u \in V$. If $S \subset M$ is a k -dimensional totally singular subspace, then the group S has 2^k distinct linear characters. The 2^n vectors in $F(M)$ are partitioned into 2^k sets of size 2^{n-k} with each set corresponding to a different eigenspace. We view each eigenspace as a quantum error-correcting code which maps $n-k$ qubits into n qubits. The 2^{n-k} vectors from $F(M)$ in that eigenspace constitute the codewords.

More generally, we may use complex space, and so we define a quantum error-correcting code encoding k qubits into n qubits to be any 2^k -dimensional subspace C of E^{2^n} . This code will protect against errors in a certain error set E , which we take to be a subset of the extraspecial group E . As remarked earlier, there is no loss of generality in restricting to error sets in E .

For such a code C to protect against all errors in an error set E , it is necessary and sufficient [9, 15] that for any two vectors $j_1 i$ and $j_2 i$ in C with $hc_1 j_2 i = 0$, and any two transformations e_1 and e_2 from E , we have

$$hc_1 j_1^1 e_2 j_2 i = 0; \quad (8)$$

$$hc_1 j_1^1 e_2 j_1 i = hc_2 j_1^1 e_2 j_2 i : \quad (9)$$

Note that since we are assuming that E is contained in E , we can replace $e_1^1 e_2$ by $e_1 e_2$ in the above equations, since $e_1 = e_1^1$. An interesting special case occurs when both sides of Eq. (9) are always equal to 0 for $e_1 \notin e_2 \in E$. This implies that there is a measurement which will uniquely determine the error without affecting the encoded subspace. After this measurement, the error can subsequently be corrected by a unitary operation.

If both sides of Eq. (9) are not always 0, then there can be two errors e_1 and e_2 between which it is impossible to distinguish. However, these two errors are guaranteed to have identical effects on vectors within the subspace C , and so it is not necessary to distinguish between these errors in order to correct the error.

We now can show the connection between orthogonal geometry and quantum error correcting codes.

Theorem 1. Suppose that S is a k -dimensional totally singular subspace of E . Let S^\perp be the $(2n - k)$ -dimensional subspace orthogonal to S with respect to the inner product (7). Further suppose that for any two vectors e_1 and e_2 in an error set $E \subseteq E$, either $e_1 e_2 \in S$ or $e_1 e_2 \notin S^\perp$. Then the eigenspace C corresponding to any character of the group S is an error-correcting code which will correct any error $e \in E$.

Proof. We first show that if $e \in E$, then e permutes the 2^k spaces C_i which are generated by the 2^k different linear characters of S . Consider an element $s \in S$ with eigenvalue χ_s . We write s for the associated representation. Then for any $j \in C$ we have $s j = \chi_s j$, and $se_j = (\chi_s)^{(s,e)} e_j = (\chi_s)^{(s,e)} \chi_s e_j$, where (s,e) is the inner product (7). Since $(\chi_s)^{(s,e)}$ is independent of e , this shows that the action of e permutes the eigenspaces generated by the characters of S .

We will divide the proof into two cases, according as $e_1 e_2 \in S$ or $e_1 e_2 \notin S^\perp$.

Case 1. Suppose $e_1 e_2 \in S$. It follows that for $j_1, j_2 \in C$ with $h_{C_1} j_2 = 0$,

$$h_{C_1} j_1 e_2 j_2 = \chi_{e_1 e_2} h_{C_1} j_2 = 0; \quad (10)$$

and for all $j \in C$,

$$h_{C_1} j_1 e_2 j = \chi_{e_1 e_2} h_{C_1} j = \chi_{e_1 e_2} j; \quad (11)$$

establishing Eqs. (8) and (9).

Case 2. Suppose $e_1 e_2 \notin S^\perp$. It follows that for some $s \in S$, $se_1 e_2 = \chi_s e_1 e_2$. Thus, for $j \in C$, $se_1 e_2 j = \chi_s e_1 e_2 j$, so $e_1 e_2 j \notin C$. Thus $e_1 e_2 j$ is in a different eigenspace, so

$$h_{C_1} j_1 e_2 j_2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

for all $c_1, c_2 \in C$ (including $c_1 = c_2$). Again Eqs. (8) and (9) hold. \square

The quadratic form Q plays no role in this proof and it is only necessary that S satisfy $(S; S) = 0$ with respect to the alternating form. This means the complex group L^0 can also be used for code construction.

The group L acts transitively on the totally singular subspaces S of dimension k , so there is some element $g \in L$ taking any particular k -dimensional totally singular subspace to the subspace corresponding to a quantum code generated as in Theorem 1. This implies that some g takes the canonical 2^{n-k} -dimensional Hilbert space generated by the first $n-k$ qubits to the encoded subspace.

Since L can be generated by xor's and $\pi/2$ rotations, these quantum gates are therefore sufficient for encoding any of these quantum codes.

Example 1. We first describe the code mapping 1 qubit into 5 qubits presented in Ref. [9], which contains two codewords,

$$\begin{aligned} j_0 &= |00000\rangle \\ &+ |11000\rangle + |11001\rangle + |10110\rangle + |10011\rangle + |10001\rangle \\ &+ |10100\rangle + |10101\rangle + |10101\rangle + |10010\rangle + |10011\rangle \\ &+ |11110\rangle + |11111\rangle + |10111\rangle + |11011\rangle + |11101\rangle; \\ j_1 &= |11111\rangle \\ &+ |10111\rangle + |10011\rangle + |11001\rangle + |11100\rangle + |11101\rangle \\ &+ |10111\rangle + |10101\rangle + |11010\rangle + |11011\rangle + |10110\rangle \\ &+ |10001\rangle + |10000\rangle + |11000\rangle + |10100\rangle + |10010\rangle; \end{aligned}$$

Essentially the same code is given in Ref. [5], but we use the above presentation since it is fixed under cyclic permutations. It is easily verified that $X(11000)Z(00101)$ fixes j_0 and j_1 . Thus we may take the vector $(11000|10101) \in E$ to be in the subspace S . Using the fact that the code is closed under cyclic permutations, we find that S is a 4-dimensional totally singular subspace generated by the vectors

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 11000 & 00101 \\ 01100 & 10010 \\ 00110 & 01001 \\ 00011 & 10100 \end{array} \quad (13)$$

[The fifth cyclic shift, $(10001|10101)$, is also in this subspace.] The dual S^\perp is generated by S and the additional vectors $(11111|10000)$ and $(00000|11111)$. It is straightforward to verify that the minimal weight vectors in S^\perp have weight three [one such vector is $(00111|10101)$] and thus the code can correct one error.

Example 2. Suppose we have a classical linear $[n; k; d]$ binary error correcting code C (i.e., it is over \mathbb{Z}_2^n , it is k -dimensional, and it has minimal distance d , so that it corrects $t = \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ errors). Suppose furthermore that $C^\perp \subseteq C$. We can define a subspace S to consist of all vectors $(v_1 | v_2) \in E$, where $v_1, v_2 \in C^\perp$. The dual S^\perp consists of all vectors $(v_1 | v_2)$ with $v_1, v_2 \in C$, showing that the corresponding quantum error-correcting code corrects t errors. The subspace S is $2(n-k)$ -dimensional, so the quantum code maps $n-2k$ qubits into n qubits. This is the method described in Refs. [3,4].

Example 3. Consider the subspace S obtained by modifying the classical $[8,4,4]$ Hamming code as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 01110100 & 00111010 \\ 00111010 & 00011101 \\ 00011101 & 01001110 \\ 11111111 & 00000000 \\ 00000000 & 11111111; \end{array} \quad (14)$$

It is straightforward to verify that these vectors generate a 5-dimensional totally singular subspace S which is invariant under cyclic permutations of the last 7 bits, and that S^\perp has minimal weight 3. This gives a quantum code mapping 3 qubits into 8 qubits which can correct one error. The same code was discovered by Gottesman [11], also via group-theoretic techniques.

Example 4. By duplicating the 5-qubit code (13) and adding two vectors, we can obtain a code which maps 4 qubits into 10 qubits and corrects one error:

$$\begin{array}{cc|cc} 01100 & 11110 & 10010 & 01100 \\ 00110 & 01111 & 01001 & 00110 \\ 00011 & 10111 & 10100 & 00011 \\ 10001 & 11011 & 01010 & 10001 \\ 11111 & 11111 & 00000 & 00000 \\ 00000 & 00000 & 11111 & 11111 \end{array} \quad (15)$$

Example 5. The following construction is a generalization of the 5-qubit code (13) inspired by classical quadratic residue codes. It works for any prime p of the form $8j+5$. We have not found good theoretical bounds on the minimal distance, but for small primes these codes are excellent. To construct the first vector (a_j), put $a_j = 1$ when j is a nonzero quadratic residue mod p (that is, $j = k^2 \bmod p$ for some k) and put $b_j = 1$ when j is a quadratic nonresidue. To obtain $p-1$ vectors that generate the subspace S , take $p-2$ cyclic shifts of the first vector. For $p = 13$, the first basis vector is

$$0101100001101010011110010$$

and the remaining vectors are obtained by cyclic shifts. The minimal weight of S^\perp was calculated by computer to be 5. This gives a code mapping one qubit into 13 qubits which corrects 2 errors. For $p = 29$, this subspace S^\perp has minimal distance 11, so this construction gives a code mapping 1 qubit to 29 which corrects 5 errors.

We next give a Gilbert-Varshamov lower bound for the asymptotic rate of this class of codes. It matches the Gilbert-Varshamov lower bounds known for general quantum error-correcting codes [12].

Theorem 2. There exist quantum error-correcting codes with asymptotic rate

$$R = 1 - 2 \log_2 3 - H_2(2) \quad (16)$$

where ϵ is the fraction of qubits that are subject to decoherence and $H_2(\epsilon) = -\epsilon \log_2(\epsilon) - (1-\epsilon) \log_2(1-\epsilon)$ is the binary entropy function.

Proof. Let N_k denote the number of k -dimensional totally singular subspaces. We count pairs $(e; S)$ where $e \in E$ is in E^2 (i.e., $e = e_1 e_2$ with e_1, e_2 in the error set E) and S is a k -dimensional totally singular subspace with $e \in S^\perp$. Transitivity of L on singular points ($e \notin 0$, $Q(e) = 0$), and on nonsingular points ($Q(e) \neq 0$) implies that each $e \in E$ satisfies $e \in S^\perp$ for N_k subspaces

S , where the fraction $\epsilon = 2^{-k}$. If $\epsilon^2 j < 2^k$ then there exists a k -dimensional totally singular subspace S that satisfies $e \in S^\perp$ for all $e \in E^2$. Hence the achievable rate R satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - R &= \log_2 \epsilon^2 j n \\ &= \log_2 3^{2n} 2^{-n} = n \\ &= 2 \log_2 3 + H_2(2) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We would like to thank David Divincenzo for discussions about the group L as presented in [9].

-
- [1] P. W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A 52, 2493 (1995).
 - [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 722 (1996).
 - [3] A. R. Calderbank and P. W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A (to be published). Also LANL e-print quant-ph/9512032.
 - [4] A. Steane, Proc. R. Soc. London A (to be published). Also LANL e-print quant-ph/9601029.
 - [5] R. Laamme, C. Miquel, J. P. Paz, and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published). Also LANL e-print quant-ph/9602019.
 - [6] B. B. Plenio, V. Vedral, and P. L. Knight, LANL e-print quant-ph/9603022 (unpublished).
 - [7] L. Vaidman, L. Goldenberg, and S. Wiesner, LANL e-print quant-ph/9603031 (unpublished).
 - [8] P. W. Shor and J. A. Smolin, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604006 (unpublished).
 - [9] C. H. Bennett, D. P. Divincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604024 (unpublished).
 - [10] S. L. Braunstein, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604036 (unpublished).
 - [11] D. Gottesman, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604038 (unpublished).
 - [12] A. Ekert and C. Macchiavello, LANL e-print quant-ph/9602022 (unpublished).
 - [13] B. Schumacher, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604023 (unpublished).
 - [14] B. Schumacher and M. A. Nielsen, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604022 (unpublished).
 - [15] E. Knill and R. Laamme, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604034 (unpublished).
 - [16] S. Lloyd, LANL e-print quant-ph/9604015 (unpublished).
 - [17] A. R. Calderbank, P. J. Cameron, W. M. Kantor, and J. J. Seidel, "Z₄ Kerdock codes, orthogonal spreads, and extremal Euclidean line-sets," Proc. London Math. Soc. (to be published).
 - [18] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990).