# W here H as Entropy G one: Theory of G eneral System (II)

Zhen W ang

Physics Department, LiaoNing Normal University, Dalian 116029, P.R. China

#### A bstract

A pair of symmetric expressions for the second law of thermodynamics is put forward. The conservation and transfer of entropy is discussed and applied to problem s like biology, culture and life itself. A new explanation is given to the cosm ic expansion with the concept of diversity in this theory. The problem of contingency and necessity is also discussed.

#### I. Introduction

The world is a kaleidoscope. Both the lives in the world and the creations of the lives are trem endous. For us hum an being, mankind is the greatest life, hum an culture is the most wonderful creation. But if hum an culture could not help us transcend our limitation and enter the realm of freedom in a broader and higher sense, then it would not be great enough and mankind would be no more intelligent than other animals. Today hum an being has various kinds of culture and great am ount of know ledge. But have we obtained an altitude at which we can have overlook at the various cultures, and a golden string to harm onically run through all know ledge? In the rst paper I introduced the theory of uncertainty quanta in a general system, as well as some of its applications in some problems in physics and mathematics. In this paper we shall discuss another important part of the theory of general system, the conservation and transform ation of order.

II.D iscussion on the Second Law of Therm odynam ics

The second law of them odynam ics is the most meaningful law in physics. It is profound not only because it is a law that has got the most discussion yet a law that is most strange to us, but also because it gives us the clue to the understanding of life, i.e. the fam ous arrow of time. For this fam ous arrow, physicists can at the most tell us that it is a natural choice. Today we would not understand more about it without the help of system atic view.

The second law points out that an isolated system will evolve in such a direction in which its entropy never decreases. This means there is a special direction in our life. The time arrow points to the direction in which an isolated system gets more and more chaotic

and disordered until its entropy gets to its m axim um. The entropy is a variable indicating the disorder of the system. The bigger the entropy is, the lower the level of order of the system is. We call the order of a system its negative entropy. In this theory, the second law embodies the limitation of the observer system. A fter introducing the inversion relation of system, we'll come back again to the discussion of the second law from a new angle (See the third paper \Q uantum C osm ology"). Then the unsymmetry of the second law will appear in a wonderful symmetry relation. Here in this paper we shall only discuss it through the relationship between di erent system s. So we express the second law in such a way: a highleveled (or highly ordered) system may deprive the negative entropy of low-leveled system s. In this theory, the second law is only a possibility, not a necessity. It is the re-ection of the relationship between di erent system s. Thus in a sense, it is articial.

Statistical physics tells us that the increase in the entropy means the increase in the m icroscopic weight of state of the system. We know that there is a correspondence between the states of a system and that of its environment on any time quantum. When we observe an isolated system evolving in accordance with the second law of therm odynamics, the system turns to be the environment of the observer. Thus on any time quantum of the observer, there is a correspondence of the state of the system with that of the observer. A ctually, the second law tell us such a thing: the observer (the system ) will correspond to such states of the isolated system (the environment) that get m ore and m ore m icroscopic weights. In other word, the degeneracy of the observer system gets higher. But what does this mean? The researches of functional material in recent decades has given us lots of inspiration. Some special functional materials can select or discern the polarity or direction of free radicals at a distance. The more ordered the functional material is, the stronger this selecting ability is. Just as we discussed in the st paper \System and Its Uncertainty Quanta", this selecting ability is the ability of a system to x its environment. It decides the abundance of its environment, which symbolizes the degeneracy of the system. The degeneracy of a system and the abundance of its environment are just the same thing. Therefore we see, a more ordered system can correspond to more states of its environment, thus has higher degeneracy. So the second law of them odynamics actually reveals that in the evolution of an isolated system, the observer gets m ore ordered while the isolated system gets m ore disordered. Or you can say, there is a transfer of entropy.

Let's see how the second law works. This is process which I call pararesonance of time quanta. Imagine a system A, which is of high synergistic level, and a system B, which is of relatively low level. Then according to this theory, we have  $t_A < t_B$ . As demonstrated in Figure 1, if  $t_B$  is several times bigger than tA, then A will see naturally a number of structures or possibilities, which B can not discern, in one time quantum of B. This makes it possible frA to a lect the state of B at the will of A. Like or not, B has to face the in uence that assimilate its time quantum to that synchronous with that of the A system. There must be the pararesonance because B is in the environment of A (otherwise, the two system

would be irrelevant so that the two systems do not exist relative to each other. See the discussion about the four kinds of relationship between systems in Q uantum Cosmology"). Such interaction happens in the basic structure of space and time and it provides a basic background of space and time. So it does exist. This is what I call the pararesonance. The time quantum of B is likely to be synchronized with that of systems that are of higher synergistic level. This means that it will disintegrate and lose its independence if it can not get enough negative entropy from its own environment to sustain its existence. Then it will have no independent time quantum of its own, which is just the case of the second law of therm odynam ics.

But here the most meaningful byproduct we get from the above discussion is: If B can get enough negative entropy to sustain its existence, then such in uence from higher leveled system s is bene cial to its order because it tends to break up its time quantum to smaller one. Moreover, when we think of the extrem e case in which A is the perfect system with zero time quantum, we immediately get an amazing conclusion that such tendency is in fact the basic property or structure of nite time quanta for all systems. Thus we may also express the second law in the following form: a high-leveled (ormore ordered) system may give negative entropy to low-leveled systems. O focurse it is still nothing but a possibility. Now we have got two expressions for the second law of thermodynamics, which seem to be in conict. In fact it is this conict that embodies the equality and symmetry of all systems in a higher sense. The two expressions reveal two kinds of property or nature of a general system, which add to each other to give us a deeper and more integrated understanding of the world. I call the

rst the I expression (Increasing Expression), and the second the D expression (D ecreasing Expression). Here m any readers m ay come to the notion that the D expression will help us to understand vast quantity of phenom ena in chem istry, biology, culture and even society. W e shall discuss the problem in the next chapter. Practically, absolutely isolated system does not exist. The inner environment of a system is not closed and there are constant interchanges and transform ation between the inner and outer environment. Therefore, to sum m arize the two expressions for the second law, we can get such conclusion for a general system : There m ay be entropy transfer between a system and its environment.

Physicists always take the second law of therm odynamics as an infallible precept, so that some one even declared that a theory might still be correct if it violates other laws in physics, but would be hopeless if it did not conform to the second law. In order to nd out the truth, we have to face the danger of being hopeless. It is an interesting contrast that although scientists are so con dent about the second law, they can not provide a harm onic and uni ed physics basis for the understanding of the vast phenom ena of order in biology. O byiously, there must be a direction of time opposite to the classical second law. We shall

nd out the opposite direction of time in the third paper "Q uantum Cosm obgy". Here for the time being, we shall only discuss the limitation of the second law from the general property of and relationship between a system and its environment. During recent decades researches

in non-equilibrium therm odynam ics have m a de a big progress. These achievem ents support the expression I give for the second law. The keypoint to understand this is to renew our idea for order. The nature of the order of a system , or the negative entropy as some physicists like to call it, is the degeneracy of the system with respect to the states of its environm ent. M ore ordered environm ent is farther away from equilibrium and has a sm aller num ber of m icroscopic states, thus the subject system has a low degeneracy and low order. In such a case the negative entropy has been transferred from the system to its environm ent. O noe we have such an understanding of the second law, we get the basis to renew our know ledge about this world.

Let's study the case in Fig.1 further. W hat would happen if a lower-level system tries to in agine the environment of higher-level system? O by joursly a lower-level system can only discern part or even a small part of the environment of the higher-level system, which is also a part of its own environment and seems to have no unusual signi cance to it. But the lower-level system will discover in its environm ent that there are not only phenom ena of super speed of light but also violation of the second law of therm odynamics (classical form). O foourse these m ay be out of the same reason. There is only one one-to-one correspondence between a system and its environment on one time quantum, and di erent correspondences are unfolded on di erent tim e quanta. If the B system, which has faith for classical expression of the second law, makes observation in its environment, it will nd inexplicable phenomena. W hy som ething or som e state appear suddenly without interm ediate course ? W hy the time can be reversed or transcend? In Fig. 1 the time quantum of B is four times as large as that of A, thus A can make four choices within one time quantum of B, of which B can't be aware. On the other hand, when B is at the time P, A may have seen or even given some in uence to the time Q in B's time scale. In such a case, an incident of super speed of light happens to B. So the future of B is seen or in uenced by A. Because such in uence happens in the uncertainty time quantum of B, it can not but accept it as fate when the future becomes the present. Reversely, when B is at the point Q, A may have no di culty in getting to the point P in B's history. Then the second law of therm odynamics, the golden law of physics, is violated to B.

Physics has been generally regarded as the fundam ental subject underlying all other sciences. But physicists have been long perplexed with the futility in providing an integrated picture to understand the phenom ena in biology, let alone parapsychology and those unim aginable mysteries in nature. O focurse the sim plest and most elecient way to deal with those inexplicable in present theoretical fram e is to denounce those as pseudoscience deserving no attention. But if we want to get a higher altitude to understand more, we must outstrip present theory, including the second law of therm odynam ics. The purpose of science is to relieve hum an being from ideological barrier, no matter where the barrier com es from or whether it used to be bene cial to us.

### III. The Conservation and Transfer of Entropy

The negative entropy symbolizes the order or the synergistic level of a system . When we talk about a system, we always have a corresponding environment. Here the environment is referred to the total environm ent including inner and outer environm ent. There is an one-to-one correspondence between the states of the system and its environment. The more ordered the system, the higher its degeneracy. This means it can correspond to more states of the environm ent in more e cient ways. There are many such examples for this in therm odynam ics, biology and other researches like the functional materials, so it is not di cult to come to this point. But here there is no reason at all to dissuade us from interchanging the role of the system and the environment, i.e. to regard the system as the environment of its form er environm ent. We said in the rst paper "System and Its Uncertainty Quanta" that there is some arbitrariness in delimiting a system and its environment, which depends on the synergistic function and our interest in the problem. Therefore the system and its environm ent are born equal, and the correspondence between them is a mutual one-to-one correspondence between two in nite sets. The system can a ect the environment and vice versa. The system can not master its environment completely because of its limitation, and the environment has to be a ected by the system more or less. A system can act on purpose or selectively, but how can it deny with reasonable logic that the uncertainty in its environment comes out of some special purpose? If the system could, the uncertainty would not be uncertainty any more. In the third paper "Quantum Cosmology" we shall have deeper understanding about the symmetric relationship between a system and its environment. Here once we have enough spirit of equality and dem ocracy to make the ideological breakthrough, we can immediately get a profound relation: there is a complementary relation between the entropy of the system and that of its environment. That is, the higher the degeneracy of the system, the lower the degeneracy of its environm ent. Or the more ordered the system, the less ordered its environment. If the entropy of the system is designated with S, and the entropy of its total environm ent S', then we have

$$S + S^0 = 0 \tag{1}$$

In fact, in the researches for the dissipative structure in recent decades, such entropy conservation has already dim by emerged. There, the irreversible process that were form erby considered to generate disorder has become good assistance for producing order, order and disorder are less hostile, and disorder in some sense may be preparation for order in a broader sense. We can see from (1) that if we level system s according to their synergistic functions, then order and disordered together at any level. They are mutual and co-existent. In some sense whether they are order or disorder depends on how we see them. All order or disorder phenomena make up an inseparable and interwoven whole together with ourselves. Evolution of things is meaningless unless an environment is indicated. Environment is the content, object and ways of being of the synergistic function of a system . With synergistic function, some order is transferred from the system to its environment or reversely, which is in accordance with the expression I give for the second law of therm odynamics. Thus the order in the environment can be seen as to have come from its system . A system becomes the perfect system once it achieves perfect harm ony. Such perfect system has in nite negative entropy and its environment has in nite entropy. So it is in nitely ordered and has an environment that is absolutely disordered, or you may say that the perfect system has in nite selecting ability and an absolutely obedient environment which, in fact, has completely merged into the system. But in practical we can not concrete system has no environment. It has zero mass and time quanta but in nite space quantum. We see from (1) that when di erent system s become the perfect system, they have no di erence any more. They are totally the same. Yes, there is only one perfect system.

If we take a m an as a system, we can have a better understanding in this theory about the di erence am ong di erent people and between people and other kinds of lives, or m ore generally, other system s. O bviously, the di erence is both inexorable and in nite. W hen two system s exist in the outer environm ents of each other, then for any one of them, the other does not exist. On the contrary, if two system s have enough com m on part in their environm ents, they must be able to nd some linkage between them. In such a view, there must be some com m on part am ong people, am ong all lives, and even am ong all system s that are known to us. But there are also endless di erences. The nature of the di erences is that system s with di erent orders have di erent selecting abilities therefore di erent environm ents. In fact it is not di cult to com e to this conclusion. W hat is really surprising is why it is so di cult for people to get rid of an unreasonable belief that all people, even all lives live in a com m on, independent and com plete environm ent as is "com m only" sensed. A pparently this is an epistem ological limitation. Equality at low level and in sm all range conceals inequality at high level and in large range.

As an example of the theory of general system, let's study the hum an culture, which is som ething common and very important for hum an being. The word culture here is referred to the whole body of all kinds of special cultures. It is the linkage among individuals, arteries and veins of the society. No matter what form it may take, it is in its nature a relationship of life and surpasses all languages. O by our culture is an order phenomenon. As we discussed above the order in culture can be seen as to have come from the order of hum an being. Thus in its nature culture is a phenomenon of life that has obtained negative entropy from mankind. In this sense, culture is no di erent from other anim als on the earth. They are all assistants for hum an being to extract negative entropy from a wide background of disorder. They serve as storage of negative entropy for hum an being. This is why the culture system, or any of its subsystem s like politics, economy, science, art, language and etc., show some characters of life when it advances to a relatively high level. The evolution of hum an society also shows features of life. This has resulted in the sim ilarities of methodologies, modes of development and basic di culties in di erent areas. It is the same reason that gives vitality to many frontier and cross disciplines, just like a life develops a new organ or advances a new kind of function in a new environment.

But on another hand the culture system is signi cantly di erent from animal systems because it does not have mass, time and space quanta. Instead, its uncertainty quanta describe other properties than mass, time and space, or they are in di erent spaces in popular jargon. That's why it does not have a visible and independent physical body like plants and animals, but only exists in people's participation. So it is a completely parasitic life. It reveals the origin of its negative entropy more clearly than other order phenomena. A llthe plants and animals draw order from the vast disorder background in them ost e cient ways for them selves and thus for us hum an being (remember, order means degeneracy in states). They have really been part of the life of hum an being. In this respect culture is far less e cient and helpful. It has both helpful and ham ful e ects. In some cases, it is just the ham ful ingredient of culture that makes mankind feel "hungry" and then appease its hunger with its own body. In this theory, because of the one-to-one correspondence between a system and its environment, the deterioration of our environment, culture is a parasitic and low calibre life in its e ciency and ham onization for hum an being.

The function of culture has always been a controversial topic. Of course there would not be my present paper if there were no culture. For the gigantic system of the present hum an society, no social progress can be m ade without the help of culture. But order does not have only one form to take. (1) rem inds us that culture is neither the aim nor a m ark of hum an progress. It is only a tool in our way to perfection.

## IV.D iversity and Uncertainty Quanta

In this theory, diversity of the environment is also an important concept symbolizing the level of order for a system. It is equivalent to an uncertainty quantum. They are the two sides of one coin. D iversity is the abundance of existence in the environment of a system, or equivalently the selecting ability of the system. A pparently, diversity is the relative variable of the environment for the degeneracy of the system. The higher degeneracy a system has, the more abundant its environment is, or the richer its diversity. From the point of uncertainty quanta we can also get a view on diversity. Sm allermass quantum means sm aller basic brick for our physical reality, and thus more abundant forms of existence. We know that mass is closely related to energy, and mass quantum is a mark of the energy scale for system. Therefore we can say that system with sm allermass quantum is of higher level of energy, or

has stronger selecting ability, thus it can have more choices or get to more details in xing its environment. So companied with the diversity is always a due selecting ability. Here we see again the same reasoning as in the mathematical consideration (See the rst paper "System and Its Uncertainty Quanta"): in nite and in nitesimal, or rather, the up and low limits, are related in a profound way. Therefore we see that a rich diversity of the environment reveals a small basic unit, i. e. uncertainty quantum, which indicates a strong synergistic function and small uncertainty.

In such theory we can understand m ore deeply the in plication of extinction of the species on the earth. A coording to some experts, a quarter of the present species will face danger of extinction in about thirty years. We know that lives in our environment are the richest collection as well as the most e cient storage of forms of physical entity. Thus for hum an being, such an inde nable loss will never limit its harm ful in uence only within our present industry and agriculture, but immerse us in a vast and threateningly clearing shroud of jeopardy. It has already changed our future in a way of which we are still unaware. It's true that we have advanced science and technology today, but we have lost biological diversity in our world, which is far m ore valuable. This means that the synergistic level of hum an individuals, thus the whole mankind, has been lowed down, or our hum an system is evolving in the way to disorder. You may also say that the descent in our synergistic level has resulted in the loss of biological diversity in our environment. In recent years m ore and m ore public concern has given to this problem. The loss of a life in our environment m eans a loss of order in our own life. W hat do we lose for the extinction of species in our environment ?

The biological diversity is an appropriate indicator for hum an synergistic level from system atic angle. From (1) we see that a more ordered system has a more disordered environment. Thus richer biological diversity symbolizes a more ordered system and a more disordered environment. But here is a very important but often misleading concept that needs explanation. That is the concept of order. W hy should a richer biological diversity designate a less ordered environm ent? A sam atter of fact, life is itself a phenom enon of order in common sense. But to the observer system, it may be seen as concentrated manifestation of disorder of the environm ent, for an environm ent with m ore abundant biological diversity has more form s of existence, or more states. The concept of order involved in (1) is based on a more fundam ental and more general meaning. It is the abundance of states of the basic particles (or rather, basic units) in the environment. The richer the life phenomena in the environm ent, the m ore states the environm ent has, thus the system has a higher degeneracy (corresponds to m ore environm ental states) and is m ore ordered. It is the order m anifested in individual lives that creates the diversity of states of the whole physical reality, or disorder of the environment, which in turn corresponds to the order of the system. Here again we see the transform ation and interweaving of order and disorder. This supports our new expression for the second law of therm odynamics and adds to our understanding of the relation of (1).

Such profound relation between uncertainty quantum and diversity has been embodied

not only in mass quantum, but also in time quantum in the pararesonance, and in the linkage of empty set and in nite in mathematics. Here we shall consider the counterpart in space quantum, from which we get very naturally the relation of space quantum and the cosm ic expansion. Of course it is quite common to have di errent explanations for a same phenom enon, which all might be correct to some extent. I don't want to deny the success of other theories in cosm ic problem s. But in my opinion, irregularity still means the defect of the present theory unless we can endow some physical meaning to it that is reasonably as well as logically acceptable. In this paper I just present a picture for the cosm ic expansion. There are still some theoretical details that need to be worked out for other phenom ena observed.

We have seen from the above discussion that some high calibre order has been turned into low calibre order in hum an system because of the loss of negative entropy. Therefore the synergistic level of hum an system in general has been lowed down (See also the third paper "Quantum Cosmology"). That means the mass and time quanta are being enlarged while the space quantum is being shortened. We know that the space quantum is the smallest distance in which all spacial points are equal. It is the basic unit of our space and we know nothing inside the basic brick. So we have not the least reason to assume that inside the uncertainty quantum there is nothing worth consideration but a trivial series convergent to lim it zero. We should not make any assumption for the property of uncertainty quantum related to its inner structure, no matter how reasonable it might seem, because that is beyond our comprehension according to the de nition of uncertainty quanta. As a matter of fact, fractal geometry has given very good examples of divergence. In my theory, the expansion of the universe is just the direct result of the reduction of the space quantum in hum an system. The reduction of the space quantum implies the reduction of the "length" that is composed of equivalent and indistinguishable points. As a result, som e "distance" that was form erly composed of equivalent and indistinguishable points becomes unequivalent and distinguishable. Thus there must be an increase in the visible spacial distance. In other word, som e visible distance has been "produced" from the space quantum, the basic unit of space, resulting in the expansion of the space.

The cosm ic expansion is the experim ental connerstone of present cosm ology. W hat kind of cosm ology will our brand-new explanation for the phenom enon lead to ? W e shall have further discussion for this problem in the third paper "Q uantum C osm ology".

### V.Evolution of Life

There is a trem endous saying in philosophy that space and time are the way of being for m atter, which reveals the dependence of space and time on mass. In fact it is only a part of a more profound relation. A speci c environment, which is described with three speci c uncertainty quanta, always belongs to a speci c system. More ordered system has more powerful synergistic function and thus corresponds to more states of its environment, therefore it has a more abundant environment. There is an one-to-one correspondence between the states of a system and its environment. In this sense, a man has no essential di erence from other forms of life. They all have some degeneracy and independence relative to the environment. But what is the nature of life?

Scienti c developments seem to have accumulated more and more evidence that all life activities have physical basis and are ensured with matter in speci c ordered form, and all spiritual processes correspond to some physical changes. But i am afraid that most people do not agree on such view of extreme reductionism. People even have developed special science and therapeutics for spiritual behaviour. But such subject has never found in physics its basis that can be widely accepted. People can say nothing about the nature of spiritual activity but that it is a kind of function of the brain. Spiritual activity is one of the most im portant features of life phenomena, so we still have a long way to go for the understanding of life. But here is a prerequisite, i.e., we must rst admit that the nature of life phenomena can be understood. If there were something in our world that we would never be able to understand, then all the know ledge that hum an being has acquired would be of no sense: a law would not be a law if it might fail at any time and nothing would exist in a world with no law s.

In my theory, the diversity and uncertainty are not only the basic characteristic of life but also constitute the essential part of it. System s with high synergistic level have rich environm ents. A system and its environm ent have the relation revealed in (1). Spiritual activity has two meanings. One is the richness of diversity in environment. O by jourly, system s with rich diversities have high degeneracy according to our above discussion, therefore are more ordered and have rich spiritual activities. In this sense di erent life system s m ay have enormous di erences in their spiritual behaviour because of the di erences in their environments. On the other hand, it is uncertainty that more notably reminds us the existence of spirit in daily activity. In fact it is the more profound side of spirit. Uncertainty comes from the outer environm ent of our system and it reveals the limitation of our selecting ability. W hat is more important, in manifestation for our limitation it shows us the in nite potential of cognition we have, i.e., all limitations can be realized by us in their nature. This potential is the same for all life system s, which epitom izes the conservative relation in plied in (1). The correspondence relationship between system and its environment is the same in nature for all system s, no matter high or low. In such a sense, spiritual and life phenom ena all acquire som e kind of absolute m eaning. W e shall have further discussion about the relative and the absolute meaning of life in the third paper "Quantum Cosm ology".

H istory tells us that although hum an society has always developed in the direction tow ard wider and wider equality (it is so because the society also has life feature, according to my theory), it has always been very di cult for hum an being to get rid of a sense of superiority.

So whenever scienti c progress abolishes a special advantageous status of m ankind, it always gives people a great shock for a period. As is often seen, this blind sense of superiority usually accompanies the lack of self-con dence. The developm ent of computer gives a good example. Today computers are so advanced that some people begin to worry about the possibility that a race of computer may emerge and threaten hum an being some day. In fact this is in possible. In the abundant diversity of hum an environm ent, there is enorm ous am ount of incalculable ingredient as well as calculable ingredient. We have three uncertainty quanta for mass, space and time, which gives us a very good sense of consciousness of our environment. Such sense of consciousness would be greatly di erent for an inorganic system, whose environment is too simple because of its poor synergistic function. No matter how advanced future computer technology will be, the computer, in common sense, is only a simple system with only one uncertainty quantum form athem atics, mere extension of hum an organ. Its physical structure is too sin ple to hold enough negative entropy for it to develop its own mass, space and time quanta which are delicate enough to make it alive. As a system with three uncertainty quanta in general sense, it is even less ordered than an ant. It is more preposterous to think that a race of computer would threaten the whole hum an being. W hat is more, can the evolution of life take such direct route that sum ounts the signi cant di erence between organism and inorganism ?

D arw in's theory of evolution tells us that a species gradually completes itself on its way of evolution through natural selection. Environment plays a crucial role in this process. Variations are maintained and developed when they suit the environment, diminished and elim inated when doesn't. This theory has achieved great success. But the mutation in this theory is random incident that lacks explanation. In our theory, because of the one-to-one correspondence between system and its environment, system xes its environm ent with its synergistic function, reversely, changes in the environm ent require appropriate variation of the system, no matter whether we can perceive the changes. Therefore all variations of the system are induced by some speci c environment, and they embody some requirements of the environment. Without suitable environment a system can not emerge and exist. We are constantly changing, so is our environment, which in turn constantly induces new systems to realize the changes in a most e cient way. Life develops like this. Strictly speaking, there is no such thing as mutation. Even birth and death, the special mutations of life, are also embodim ent of the requirem ent of changes in the relationship between system and its environment (See the third paper "Quantum Cosmology"). The word mutation still symbolizes the limitation in our know ledge. But if so, what causes change?

As a matter of fact, once we get further understanding of the nature of time, then evolution itself is also relative. The development in some function means increasing of order in this respect. But doesn't the order increase in one aspect at the price of decreasing in another aspect? Doesn't it increase in a small scope at the price of decreasing in a large scope ? We see from (1) that entropy can not be created but only ow from system to environment or reversely, which correspond to the expression I give for the second law of therm odynam ics. Therefore evolution of life should be appraised from its total synergistic function, from its whole environm ent and from all the relationship between it and its environm ent, rather than partially concentrated on some particular functions.

In recent decades studies in dissipative structure have given us some inspiration for the problem of origin of life. Our environment is in constant change, so it may become quite common for a system, away or even far away from equilibrium, to appear. Thus it is imaginable that some phenomena of self organization may emerge under some special conditions. But the problem of genesis of life has not been completely solved. Why is our environment always in change ? No satisfactory solution for the problem can be found without a profound understanding of the nature of time. On what basis is the symmetry of the IExpression and D Expression of the second law established ? How and why did the universe originate ? We should have an integrated and harm onic theory to understand these questions, which we shall have further discussion in the third paper "Q uantum C osmology"

#### VI.Contingency and Necessity

Is this a world of contingency or necessity ? Is everything in this world ruled by probability or by a suprem e adjudicator ? Such questions have been a topic of dispute for philosophers for a long time. A coording to this theory, dierent systems have dierent environments. Thus any contingency and necessity must be related to a speciency system and its environment, therefore relative. The contingency and necessity in an environment reveal the synergistic level of the system to which the environment belongs.

D i erent system s m ay be of di erent synergistic levels and have di erent, even opposite aim s for the selection of environment. In such case, the environment will be xed at the will of the high-leveled system at the price of some extra order, because both system s have to face the increased unsymmetry in their environments. As I said above, this xation is relative and still a ected with uncertainty revealing the limitation. Suppose there are two system s P and Q, with Q being of higher synergistic level, and an incident Y in the common part of their environment. Thus Y is related with both P and Q, though strictly speaking, it m ay have di erent forms of existence in the two environments. If their aims in xing the environment are close or in accordance with each other, both of them will save order (remember, order represents degeneracy). But if their aims are contradictory, Y will be decided by Q, and both of them will lose some order to balance the increased order in their environments. Then the environment is necessitarian and deterministic for Q but contingent and undecidable for P. So we see that it depends on the selecting ability or the synergistic level of the system what roles contingency and necessity play in its environment. Strictly speaking, every imperfect system P m ay be in the environment of a more highlyleveled system Q, which has smaller m ass and time quanta and larger space quantum. Thus Q can x the environment of P system in P's outer environment in a way that is imperceivable to P. That is, when a high-leveled system observes a lower-leveled system in its environment, it will clearly see the contingency in the lower-leveled system, because for one state of the latter, the high-leveled system may have several equivalent states to choose from. W hen the high-leveled system is the perfect system with zero mass and time quanta and in nite space quantum, then fortuity in an ordinary system is absolute and inevitable. That is the fortuity in an imperfect system, because all imperfect system s are in correlation with its environment in the level of the perfect system.

We may also have an understanding on this problem from another angle. As we know, the state of a system can be described with three uncertainty quanta which, as the name suggests, also reveal the uncertainty in the environment of the system. A system can not perceive the changes in its outer environment, i.e., changes smaller than its mass and time quanta but larger than its space quantum, therefore it has to face the results of these changes without knowing the reason. So there must be contingency in its environment. Limited space quantum restricts the range of the synergistic function of the system. On any time quantum, a system can only act on matter within its space quantum, which, according to our discussion on correlation in the matter is environment.

It is the same with hum an world. Contingency and necessity coexist. This means there are still things that people can not x or control. But there have never been earnest logic or conclusive proof to show that people can not get rid of fortuity in their environments. W here has the contingency in hum an world originated ? O bedience to fate as well as blind arrogance often seriously restrict our thinking on this question. In this theory, a system with limited uncertainty quanta is doom ed to have fortuity in its environment. Though fortuity can not be avoided in daily life for ordinary people, it may be quite di erent during di erent periods or among di erent people. In fact, hum an history is the records of victories over contingency, in which the developm ents of science are the milestones of hum an emancipation. As the best embodim ent of the hum an spirit in pursuit for truth, science contains the most positive factors of life in a profound way. E instein was correct. God never plays dice. But unfortunately we are not G od. Science today has not given us H is om nipotence yet. Then can a system really get to the perfect state in which the system has zero mass and time quanta but in nite space quantum, so that thoroughly wipes out contingency? The answer of this theory is yes. That is the very important concept of perfect system in our theory, which is in fact the starting point for us to understand the world. We shall discuss it in the third paper "Quantum Cosmology" .