

Geometric Foundation of Spin and Isospin

Fachbereich Physik, Carl v. Ossietzky Universität
D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
e-mail: hannibal@caesar.physik.uni-oldenburg.de

February 7, 2022

Abstract

Various theories of spinning particles are interpreted as realizing elements of an underlying geometric theory. Classical particles are described by trajectories on the Poincare group. Upon quantization an eleven-dimensional Kaluza-Klein type theory is obtained which incorporates spin and isospin in a local $SL(2; \mathbb{C}) \times U(1) \times SU(2)$ theory with broken $U(1) \times SU(2)$ part.

The theories that describe the spinning property of electrons and other particles developed completely different regarding the classical and the quantum description. The classical approach initiated by Frenkel [1] and Thomas [2] was continued with many efforts [3, 4, 5], but never settled to a generally accepted theory, as recent work shows [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. One apparent reason was that its relation to the quantum theory, as developed by Dirac [11], never was fully clarified [5, 12]. On the other hand, Dirac's theory was soon accepted, supported by experiment, further developed and immersed in the general framework of the representation theory of the Poincare group [13, 14]; today it forms the basis of particle description in modern elementary particle physics.

There are two characteristic problems common to most classical theories of spinning particles. The first is, that equations form momentum-like spin variables are defined so that total spin is conserved, but no configuration space is defined, on which corresponding position variables live. As a result, the equations of motion cannot be derived through variation of some action. The second problem is, that the constraint that is intended to reduce the number of independent spin variables from six to three, fails to be handled easily. In this short article we show that by taking the missing spin configuration space to be the Lorentz group, we obtain a variational principle and a full canonical formalism. We show that the unconstrained theory is in agreement with the main ideas of the standard model of electroweak interactions; the six independent variables describing spin as well as isospin.

Classically spin is described in a Lorentz covariant theory by an antisymmetric tensor S_{ab} ; $a, b = 0; 1; 2; 3$ [1, 2, 4, 5]. The equations of motion for a particle with

four-velocity u are supplemented with equations for the spin variables S_{ab} , [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u} &= \frac{e}{m} F u \\ S_{ab} &= \frac{ge}{2m} F_a{}^c S_{cb} + S_{ac} F^{cd} u_d u_b - S_{ac} u^a u_b \quad (a \neq b) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

subject to the constraints

$$u u = c^2; \quad S_{ab} u^b = 0: \quad (2)$$

The total spin is conserved:

$$S_{ab} S^{ab} = \text{const}: \quad (3)$$

Allowing for the inclusion of gravitational fields we distinguish between coordinate (holonomic) indices, denoted by $;$ $;$ $;\dots = 0;1;2;3$ and Lorentz (anholonomic) indices $a;b;\dots = 0;1;2;3$, which are raised and lowered by the (possibly nontrivial) space-time metric g and the Minkowski (flat space-time, background) metric η_{ab} , respectively; g and η_{ab} are related through the existence of a tetrad (Vierbein) field e_a by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_a{}^\mu e_b{}^\nu \eta^{ab}: \quad (4)$$

The tetrad field may also be used to switch from holonomic to anholonomic indices and vice versa.

The second constraint in (2) restricts the number of independent spin variables to three, since the time-like components $S_{0i}; i = 1;2;3$ then vanish in any particle rest frame. The spin equation (1) is constructed to comply with this constraint. But when one seeks to derive this equation from a Hamiltonian [9] or Routhian [10], this constraint is not easy to handle. Poisson-Dirac brackets

$$\{S_{ab}; S_{cd}\} = \eta_{ac} S_{bd} - \eta_{bc} S_{ad} + \eta_{bd} S_{ac} - \eta_{ad} S_{bc} \quad (5)$$

are postulated, but since these are not compatible with the constraint, modifications are needed [9, 10]. The brackets (5) represent the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group, with the idea that the S_{ab} correspond to the infinitesimal generators J_{ab} of Lorentz transformations,

$$S_{ab} \xrightarrow{\text{quantization}} J_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a} \hat{b} - \hat{b} \hat{a}) \quad (6)$$

in the Dirac representation. Our idea is to take the Lorentz group, or rather $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ as its universal covering, as base manifold for position variables, with the spin variables residing in the cotangent space, since then the correspondence (6) is obtained naturally. We were lead to this idea also from the theory by Barut and Zanghi [7]. Modelling after the structure of the Dirac equation, they introduced a classical spinor of four complex variables $z = (z^i)_{i=1;2;3;4}$; in a

way so that z and $z^* = z^\dagger$ become canonically conjugate variables. In this theory the relations (2) and (3) are equivalent to

$$zz^* = 1; \quad z^2 = 0; \quad (7)$$

which means that if we arrange the four complex numbers z into a matrix

$$G(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 + z_3 & z_2 & z_4 \\ z_2 & z_4 & z_1 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with determinant

$$\det G(z) = zz^* - z^2 = 1 \quad (9)$$

then the condition $\det G(z) = 1$ for $G(z)$ to be in $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ is equivalent to (7).

So we are motivated to describe a classical spinning particle by a trajectory $(x(\tau); (\tau))$ on the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^4 \times SL(2; \mathbb{C})$, the twofold covering of the Poincaré group when equipped with the usual semidirect product. The parameter τ is assumed to be invariant under the action of the Poincaré group on itself (which we do not distinguish from M), for the action from the left as well as for the action from the right. In the framework of gravitation theory, where the space-time part can be equipped with a nontrivial metric, the action from the left, which is the usual one defined by

$$(a; \tau) \cdot (x; \tau) = (\tau x + a; \tau); \quad (10)$$

becomes a composition of a coordinate transformation $x \rightarrow \tau x + a$ and a (global) Lorentz gauge transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau$, which are separately imbedded into the larger groups of general coordinate transformations and local Lorentz gauge transformations, respectively [15]. Dirac four-spinors have no transformation law under general coordinate transformations, but Einstein's theory of general relativity can be extended to these objects as a local gauge theory [15, 16].

Before we define a Hamiltonian we look at the quantized theory first, since we like to achieve correspondence with the minimally coupled Dirac equation. In a geometric approach we take all position variables for equal, so that the quantum states are taken to be functions on M . Functions on the Lorentz group are obtained by taking coordinates τ^{ab} in the Lie algebra and exponentiate these with any representation D_{ab} of the infinitesimal generators to obtain functions

$$D_{rs}(\tau) = \exp \tau^{ab} D_{ab} = D(\tau)_{rs}; \quad 1 \leq r, s \leq \dim D; \quad (11)$$

Upon quantization the spin momentum variables S_{ab} become first order differential operators

$$\hat{S}_{ab} = f_{ab}^{cd}(\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau^{cd}} \quad (12)$$

that act on the spin position coordinates τ of M . According to general theorems [17], the coefficient functions $f_{ab}^{cd}(\tau)$ can be chosen so that not only the \hat{S}_{ab}

satisfy the commutation relations of the Lie algebra $sl(2;C)$, but also act on the column vectors \hat{D}_a ; defined, by multiplication with the infinitesimal generator in any representation:

$$\hat{S}_{ab} \hat{D}_{rs}(!) = \hat{D}_{ab} \hat{r} r^0 \hat{r}^0 s(!): \quad (13)$$

This realizes the action from the left of the group $SL(2;C)$ on M ; we can also realize the action from the right, whence the row vectors in (11) are transformed. We further add one dimension as S^1 for the quantized electric charge and arrive at a Kaluza-Klein type theory in eleven dimensions on the manifold $M^0 = R^4 \times SL(2;C) \times U(1)$ where the minimally coupled Dirac equation attains the form

$$i \gamma^a e_a^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - A_a \hat{Q} \psi - \gamma^{bc} \hat{S}_{bc} \psi = m \psi \quad (14)$$

Here x is the coordinate of S^1 , $\hat{Q} = e \gamma^5$ the quantized charge, so that D_a is a local $U(1) \times SL(2;C)$ -gauge covariant derivative with gauge potentials A_a and γ^{bc} .

Since the spin of a particle field is described through its dependence on the variables x , for consistency the same must hold for the interaction fields that carry spin, so the gauge potentials and the tetrad field must also depend on x . These transform under the fundamental representation of the Lorentz group, so are column vectors with

$$\hat{S}_{ab} B_c(x;!) = \hat{D}_{ab} B_{c^0} = \gamma_{ac} B_b - \gamma_{bc} B_a \quad (15)$$

or tensor fields with the corresponding rule for all indices. Since the fundamental representation has four column vectors, we have a freedom of choice for the spin-1 fields, which will be interpreted below.

We now define a Hamiltonian for a particle moving on the manifold M^0 , extending the ansatz by van Holten [9] to gravitational fields, and supply the missing variational principle. We look at the mass squared obtained from the Dirac equation (14) iterated:

$$m^2 \psi = \gamma^a \gamma^b D_a D_b \psi = \frac{\gamma^{ab}}{2} (D_a D_b + D_b D_a) + \frac{\gamma^{ab}}{2} (D_a D_b - D_b D_a) \quad (16)$$

Here it is important to note that the multiplication with the γ -matrices commutes with the covariant derivatives D_a since these are scalar operators. We put the symmetrized operator product in (16) in correspondence with the classical momentum variables, reduce the anticommutator to

$$(D_a D_b - D_b D_a) \psi = (\gamma_{ab} e_a^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \gamma_{ab} A_a \hat{Q} \psi - \gamma_{ab} \gamma^{cd} \hat{S}_{cd} \psi) \quad (17)$$

and use the freedom to add terms which contribute to the Dirac equation only as mass constants, to arrive at a Hamiltonian H which is the squareroot of a nondegenerate quadratic form in the momentum variables,

$$H^2 = H_0 + H_1 + H_2 \quad (18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \epsilon^{ab} P_a P_b; \quad P_a = e_a{}^\mu p_\mu - A_a{}^\mu q_\mu - \epsilon_a{}^{bc} S_{bc} \\ H_1 &= \frac{1}{2} S^{ab} (\nabla_b e_a{}^\mu) p_\mu - (\nabla_b A_a{}^\mu) q_\mu - D_b{}^\mu \epsilon_a{}^{cd} S_{cd} \\ H_2 &= S_{ab} S^{ab} + q^2 = 2S_{ij} S^{ij} - 2S_{0i} S^{0i} + q^2; \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

This Hamiltonian is a function $H(x; \epsilon; p; S; q)$ on the cotangent bundle of M^0 where the external fields do not only depend on the space-time position x , but also on the internal coordinates ϵ and S , describing their spin and charge. From the action

$$I = \int dt \left(p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + S_{ab} \dot{\epsilon}^{ab} + q \dot{\phi} - H \right) \quad (20)$$

we derive by independent variation of all variables the canonical equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}^\mu &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu}; & \dot{\epsilon}^{ab} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial S_{ab}}; & \dot{\phi} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \\ p_\mu &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \dot{x}^\mu} = p_\mu; & S_{ab} &= S_{ab}; & q &= q; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Small variations of the position variables are given by a near-identity Poincaré transformation,

$$(x; \epsilon) \rightarrow x + \delta x; \exp(\delta \epsilon^{ab} \frac{f}{\epsilon_{ab}}) \quad (22)$$

under which functions vary as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + \delta x; \exp(\delta \epsilon^{ab} \frac{f}{\epsilon_{ab}})) &= f(x; \epsilon) \\ &+ \delta x^\mu \partial_\mu f(x; \epsilon) + \delta \epsilon^{ab} S_{ab}^\mu f(x; \epsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

so that the infinitesimal variation gives the action of the infinitesimal generator on H . The law (15) hence gives those contributions from the external fields to the spin equations which were previously generated by the brackets (5). The canonical equations of motion (21) conserve the total spin, and lead to conserved sources for the external fields, but do not satisfy the constraints (2). For a discussion of the first of these constraints we refer to van Holten [9], the second constraint can be done without, as we now show.

The Hamiltonian (18) corresponds to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the manifold M^0 with the metric having signature $++--$; $+++$; $---$. Without external fields we have

$$\Delta_{M^0} = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu + \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{bd} S_{ab}^\mu S_{cd}^\nu + q^2; \quad (24)$$

so that the mass squared as eigenvalue of this operator depends on spin and charge. Any wave function $(x; !; ;)$ can be decomposed in the following way. We start with the Fourier decomposition with respect to the space-time variables,

$$(x; !; ;) = \int_{R^3} \frac{d^3 p}{j p_0} e^{i p \cdot x} \hat{m}^2 (p; !; ;) : \quad (25)$$

Next we use the fact that for a given four-vector p a $SL(2;C)$ transformation can be decomposed as

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

where U is an element of $SU(2)$, and the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $;$ real, $;$ complex, are boosts which transform the apex $(m; 0; 0; 0)$ into p and $p^0 = 1$, respectively [13, 18, 19]. This transformation is given by

$$p = \frac{m}{2} \left(\begin{matrix} 2 + & 2 + & ; & 2 & 2 \\ + & ; & + & \end{matrix} \right); \quad (27)$$

We may replace the six coordinates $!$ by three coordinates u_i parametrizing $SU(2)$ and the three coordinates $;$ [18]:

$$\hat{m}^2 (p; !; ;) = \hat{m}^2 (p; u_i; ; ;) : \quad (28)$$

Fourier decomposition on the compact manifold $SU(2)$ now gives a discrete sum over all irreducible representations D_s of $SU(2)$,

$$\hat{m}^2 (p; u_i; ; ;) = \sum_{s=n/2}^{\infty} \hat{m}^2 (p; s; ; ;) D_s(u_i) : \quad (29)$$

The interesting point is, that any irreducible representation occurs with the multiplicity of its dimension. The columns of these representations transform under the action of the group from the left as Wigner's induced representations [13], with the coordinates $;$ left invariant. With an inverse Foldy-Wouthysen transformation these representations can be brought into the standard Dirac form, for any spin [6]. Especially we get spin-1/2 particles in doublets. The action from the right transforms the coordinates $;$ and rotates them into multiplets. If we restrict the action to the $SU(2)$ subgroup of $SL(2;C)$, the transformations do not depend on the momentum variables, $SU(2)_{right}$ is a global gauge group. The transformation of the invariant volume element of the coordinates $p^0;$ under the transformation (27) into an $SU(2)$ -invariant volume element,

$$\frac{d^3 p^0}{p_0^0} d = m^2 d d d = d_1 d_2 ; \quad (30)$$

suggests to introduce two complex coordinates

$$z_1 = m^{-1/2} e^{i\phi_1}; \quad z_2 = m^{-1/2} e^{i\phi_2} \quad (31)$$

on which the group $U(1) \times SU(2)_{\text{right}}$ acts naturally. Thereby the generator of the electromagnetic gauge group becomes $(1, -3) = 2$, as in the standard model of electroweak interactions, and the spin-1/2 doublet can be interpreted as isospin doublet. So we see that six independent spin variables naturally lead to a quantized theory describing spin and isospin. The difficulty to implement the second constraint in (2) can therefore be understood as follows. The overall commuting charge in this theory is not the electric charge, but the hypercharge, which may effect isospin rotations, thereby obstructing this constraint.

We obtain the full structure of a local $U(1) \times SU(2)_{\text{right}}$ gauge theory by replacing the coupling in (14) by

$$A_a \dot{q}^a + g B_a^b \hat{\pi}_b \quad (32)$$

where g is a coupling constant, the operators $\hat{\pi}_b = (\hat{\pi}_0; \hat{\pi}_i)$ are differential operators in the coordinates z_1, z_2 , representing the Lie algebra of $U(1) \times SU(2)_{\text{right}}$, acting on any representation as multiplication with the matrix representation of the infinitesimal generators in analogy to relation (13). The B_a^b are four real local gauge fields, a singlet and a triplet, taken to be the four column vectors of the fundamental representation, with B^1 and B^2 given phase factors $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$, respectively, accounting for their electric charge.

In this model the topology breaks the $U(1) \times SU(2)_{\text{right}}$ -symmetry in the way of the standard model, since the point $z_1 = z_2 = 0$ does not correspond to any point in the manifold M^0 . This reduces finite gauge transformations to the subgroup $U(1) \times U(1)$ generated by $\hat{\pi}_0$ and $\hat{\pi}_3$. The different topology with respect to z_1 and z_2 gives rise to symmetry breaking effects through the boundary conditions which we have to impose. We may generate a discrete mass spectrum by equipping these internal variables with harmonic oscillator potentials, as was already considered by Dirac in a model with two real variables [6, 20]. Then, even with identical oscillator frequencies, the boundary condition that states have to vanish at $z_2 = 0$, eliminates the uncharged ground state in favour of two massive charged states. This reproduces also the chiral structure of the standard model with two neutrinos, for which we have the left- and righthanded uncharged ground states of the oscillator on z_1 , and the electron and the positron, as the two oppositely charged ground states of the oscillator on z_2 with left-handed and right-handed components each.

Concluding, we have shown that classical spinning particles are naturally described on an eleven-dimensional manifold with $SL(2; \mathbb{C}) \times U(1)$ as internal space. We proposed a Hamiltonian chosen such that first quantization leads to a minimally coupled unified Kaluza-Klein type theory with a local $SL(2; \mathbb{C})_{\text{left}} \times U(1) \times SU(2)_{\text{right}}$ gauge group, with a broken $U(1) \times SU(2)_{\text{right}}$ part that is nonlinearly related to the $SL(2; \mathbb{C})_{\text{right}}$ group.

The author thanks Larry P. Horwitz for his hospitality and stimulating discussions during a stay at Tel Aviv University.

References

- [1] W. J. Frenkel, *Z. Phys.* 37, 243, (1926)
- [2] L. H. Thomas, *Phil. Mag.* 3, 1 (1927)
- [3] M. M. Atkinson, *Acta Phys. Pol.* 6, 218 (1937); H. Honn and A. Papapetrou, *Z. Phys.* 112, 512 (1939); H. J. Babha, *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.* 11, 246, (1940); H. J. Babha and H. C. Corben, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* 178, 273 (1941); J. Weysenho and A. Raabe, *Acta Phys. Pol.* 9, 7 (1947); H. C. Corben, *Nuovo Cimento* 20, 529 (1961) and *Phys. Rev.* 121, 1833 (1961); H. Bacry, *Nuovo Cimento* 26, 1164 (1962); P. Nyborg, *Nuovo Cimento* 23, 1057 (1962) and 26, 821 (1964); A. O. Barut, *Electrodynamics and the Classical Theory of Fields and Particles* (Dover, New York, 1964); E. P. Lathé, *Suppl. Nuovo Cimento* 4, 246 (1966)
- [4] V. Bargmann, L. Michel, and V. Telegdi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2, 534 (1959)
- [5] P. Nyborg, *Nuovo Cimento* 23, 47 (1962); H. C. Corben, *Classical and Quantum Theories of Spinning Particles* (Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1968)
- [6] N. Mukunda, H. van Dam, and L. C. Biedenharn, *Relativistic Models of Extended Hadrons Obeying a Mass-Spin Trajectory constraint* (Springer, Berlin, 1982)
- [7] A. O. Barut and N. Zanghi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52, 2009 (1984)
- [8] A. O. Barut and J. Thacker, *Phys. Rev. D* 31, 1386 (1985); A. O. Barut and M. Pavšic, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 2, L131 and 4, 141 (1987); A. O. Barut and I. Duru, *Phys. Rep.* 172, 1 (1989); A. O. Barut and M. Pavšic, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 7, 1381 (1992); A. O. Barut and M. G. Cruz, *Phys. Lett. B* 308, 247 (1993) and *J. Phys. A* 26, 6499 (1993)
- [9] J. W. van Holten, *Nucl. Phys. B* 356, 3 (1991)
- [10] K. Yee and M. Bander, *Phys. Rev. D* 48, 2797 (1993)
- [11] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* 117, 610 and A 118, 351 (1928)
- [12] E. Eriksen and M. Kolsrud, *Suppl. Nuovo Cimento* 18, 1 (1960); J. R. Ellis, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 78, 145 (1975)
- [13] E. P. Wigner, *Ann. Math.* 40, 149 (1939)

- [14] E . P . W igner, G ruppentheorie (V ieweg, B raunschweig, 1931); G . M ackey, Ann . M ath. 55, 101 /1952) and 58, 193 (1953); I . M . Shirokov, Sov. Phys. JETP 6 (33), 664, 919, and 929 (1958); M . A . Naim ark, Linear represen- tations of the Lorentz group (M adM illan, New York, 1964)
- [15] R . U tiyam a, Phys. Rev. 101, 1597 (1956)
- [16] H . Tetrode, Z . Phys. 49, 858 and 50, 336 (1928); H . W eyl, Z . Phys. 56, 330 (1929); V . A . Fock, Z . Phys. 57, 261 (1929); H . W eyl, Phys. Rev. 77, 699 (1950); L . H annibal \D irac Theory in Space-T ime without Torsion" gr-qc/9412026
- [17] S . Lie, Vorlesungen iber kontinuierliche G ruppen (Leipzig, 1893; Chelsea, New York, 1971)
- [18] G . R ideau, Comm . M ath. Phys. 3, 218 (1966)
- [19] B . Thaller, The D irac Equation (Springer, Berlin, 1992)
- [20] P . A . M . D irac, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 322, 435 (1971) and A 328, 1 (1972)