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#### Abstract

In a recent paper ([4]), Shor and La ammede ne two \weight enum erators" for quantum error correcting codes, connected by a M acW illiam stransform, and use them to give a linear-program $m$ ing bound for quantum codes. W e introduce two new enum erators which, while much less powerfil at producing bounds, are usefultools nonetheless. T he new enum erators are connected by a m uch sim pler duality transform, clarifying the duality betw een Shor and La am m e's enum erators. W e also use the new enum erators to give a sim pler condition for a quantum code to have speci ed $m$ in im um distance, and to extend the enum erator theory to codes with block-size greater than 2.


## Introduction

O ne ofthe basic problem s in the theory ofquantum error correcting codes (henceforth abbreviated QECCs) is that of giving good upper bounds on the minim um distance of QECC . The strongest technique to date for this problem is the linear program m ing bound introduced by Shor and La am me ([4]). Their bound involves the de nition oftw o \weight enum erators" for a Q ECC ; the tw o enum erators satisfy certain inequalities (e.g., nonnegative coe cients), and are related by M acW illiam s identities. This allow s linear program $m$ ing to be applied, just as for classicalerror correcting codes ([2]).

W e introduce tw o new enum erators, called unitary enum erators, with sim ple de nitions, $m$ anifestly invariant under equivalences of quantum codes. This leads to sim pler conditions for codes to have speci ed $m$ inim um distance. M oreover, the duality betw een the unitary enum erators is $m$ uch sim pler than the duality betw een the Shor-La amme enum erators, hopefully helping to clarify the nature of that duality.

The nal bene $t$ of the unitary enum erators is that they generalize easily to block quantum codes (codes in which the basic unit has m ore than two states), allow ing all of the enum eratorm achinery to be applied there as well.

Section 1 reviews the Shor-La amme enum erators and proves som e basic results. Section 2 de nes the unitary enum erators, show $s$ how they are related to the Shor-La am $m$ e enum erators, and proves a num ber of results, including duality and $m$ inim um distance criteria. Section 3 extends everything to block quantum codes, rst extending the unitary enum erators, then the Shor-La am me enum erators. Section 4 states a conjecture conceming the extension of a fth enum erator
([3]) to block codes. Finally, section 5 uses the new minim um distance criteria to analyze som e ways to construct new quantum codes from old quantum codes, including, in particular, concatenation of codes.

A quick note on term inology: W e will be using the term $s$ \pure" and \im pure" in place of the som ew hat cum bersom e term s \nondegenerate" and \degenerate"; that is, a pure code is one in which all low weight errors act nontrivially on the codew ords.

## 1. The Shor-Laflamme enumerators ( $A$ and B)

Recall that a quantum code $C$ is a $K$-dim ensional subspace of a $2^{n}$-dim ensional $H$ ilbert space $V$; $C$ has $m$ inim um distance at least $d$ if and only if

$$
\text { hw } \mathrm{JJ}_{\mathrm{d}} 1 \mathrm{j} \mathrm{vi}=\mathrm{hw} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{d}} 1 \mathrm{i} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i} ;
$$

for $v$ and $w$ ranging over all unit vectors in $C$ ([1]), and for $U_{d}$ ranging over all d 1 qubit errors. W e w ill use the notation ( $(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{d})$ ) to refer to such a code. Two quantum codes are equivalent if they can be mapped into each other by a perm utation of the qubits com bined w ith unitary transform ations con ned to each qubit.

To verify that a code has m inim um distance $d$, it su ces to restrict one's attention to errors of the form

$$
1 \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{n} \text {; }
$$

where each i ranges over the set

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad ; \mathrm{x}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} \quad ; \mathrm{y}=\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& i
\end{aligned} \mathrm{i}^{i} ; \quad ; \mathrm{z}=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} ;
$$

we w illdenote the set of such errors by E. (A proofofthis fact is given below using weight enum erators; see also [1].) For an error E in $E$, we de ne the weight wt $(\mathbb{E})$ of $E$ as the number of the $i$ not equal to the identity. A lso, supp ( $E$ ) is the subset of $f 1 ; 2 ;:::$ :ng consisting of the indices forwhich $i \not 1$. Thuswt $(E)=j$ jupp $(E) j$.

W e will also need the follow ing fact:
Lem ma 1. Let $M$ be any operator on $V$. Then $M$ can be written as the follow ing linear com bination of the elem ents of E :

$$
M={\frac{1}{2^{n}}}_{E 2 E}^{X} \operatorname{Tr}(M E) E:
$$

Proof. N ote, rst, that if $\mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{E}^{0} 2 \mathrm{E}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(E E^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
2^{\mathrm{n}} & \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{0} \\
0 & \text { otherw ise }
\end{array}
$$

Thus f2 ${ }^{n=2} E$ jE 2 Eg gives an orthonorm albasis of $H$ om $(V ; V)$, and the result follow s im m ediately.

The Shor-La am $m$ e enum erators are de ned (up to a norm alization factorwhich we om 计):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \\
& A_{d}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(E M_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(E M_{2}\right) \\
& \underset{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E} \\
& B_{d}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\underset{\substack{E 2 E \\
w \in(E)=d}}{ } \operatorname{Tr}\left(E_{1} M_{1} E M_{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are operators on $V$.

Theorem 2. Let $P$ be the orthogonalprojection onto a quantum code $C$ of dim ension K. Then

$$
K B_{i}(\mathbb{P} ; P) \quad A_{i}(P ; P) \quad 0
$$

for 0 i $n$.
Proof. (A n altemate proof is given in [4].)
$F$ irst, note that

$$
\left.A_{d}\left(M^{\prime} ; M^{Y}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\mathbb{E} 2 \mathbb{E} \\ w(E)=d}}^{X} \operatorname{jTr}(E M)\right\} ;
$$

so in particular, $A_{d}(P ; P) \quad 0$.
$N$ ow, let $v$ be a random unit vector from C, uniform ly distributed, and consider

$$
E\left(A_{d}\left(v v^{y} \quad \frac{1}{K} P ; v^{y} \quad \frac{1}{K} P\right)\right) \quad 0:
$$

In general, let O be any operator on V , and consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.E\left(j \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{OV} \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{y}}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{OP})^{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathfrak{f v} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O} \dot{\mathrm{j} i} \mathrm{j}^{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}^{2}} \mathrm{j} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{OP})\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{K(K+1)} j \operatorname{Tr}(O P) \jmath^{\jmath}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(O P O^{Y} P\right) \\
& \frac{1}{K^{2}} j \operatorname{Tr}(O P) \jmath^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{K^{2}(K+1)} K \operatorname{Tr}\left(O P O{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{P}\right) \quad j \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{OP}) \mathrm{J}^{?}
\end{aligned}
$$

C onsequently,

$$
E\left(A_{d}\left(\mathrm{Vv}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}} \mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{vv}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}} \mathrm{P}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}^{2}(\mathrm{~K}+1)}\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}) \quad A_{d}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P})\right) ;
$$

and

$$
K B_{d}(P ; P) \quad A_{d}(P ; P):
$$

W e will also have occasion to use enum erators $A_{S}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)$ and $B_{S}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)$, where $S$ f1;2;:::ng:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\mathrm{X} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{1} E\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{2} E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{S}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{1} E M_{2} E\right) \\
& \begin{array}{c}
E 2 E \\
\operatorname{supp}(E)=S
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

C learly theorem 2 applies to these enum erators as well.
F inally, we consider tw o polynom ials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(x ; y)=X^{0} A_{d}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right) x^{n}{ }^{d} y^{d} \\
& B(x ; y)={ }^{d}{ }^{d}{ }^{d} B_{d}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right) x^{n}{ }^{d} y^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { 2. The unitary enumerators ( } A^{0} \text { and } B^{0} \text { ) }
$$

O ne problem with the Shor-La ammeenum erators as de ned is that, while they are indeed invariants of the code under the $U$ (2) action on each qubit ([4], also see below ), this is not im m ediately obvious from their de nition. This m otivates the introduction of two new enum erators, $\mathrm{A}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{S}^{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=2^{j \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{U}_{S}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{S}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{S}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=2^{j \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{U}_{S}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{S} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{S}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $U_{S}$ is a (uniform ly) random unitary operator on the qubits indexed by $S$. $T$ hese are clearly invariant under any equivalence that $m$ aps qubits in $S$ to qubits in S. Sim ilarly, we de ne:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{d}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=A_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right) \\
& { }_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{d} \\
& B_{d}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

These are clearly invariants under equivalence. W e also consider polynom ials $A^{0}(x ; y)$ and $B^{0}(x ; y)$, de ned in the obvious way.

The new enum erators have the follow ing sim pler de nitions:
$T$ heorem 3. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be any operators on $V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathbb{M}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S^{c}$ denotes the com plem ent of $S$. In particular,

$$
A_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=B_{S^{c}}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right):
$$

Proof. W e will use the follow ing facts about random unitary m atrioes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{U}\left(\operatorname{Tr}(A U) \operatorname{Tr}\left(B U^{y}\right)\right) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}(U)} \operatorname{Tr}(A B) \\
E_{U}\left(U A U^{Y}\right) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}(U)} \operatorname{Tr}(A) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

both of which follow easily from the fact that $\operatorname{Tr}$ is an irreducible character of the unitary group.

N ow, then, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=2^{j S} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \\
& =2^{j S}{ }^{j} E_{U_{S}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T r_{S} c\left(M_{1}\right) U_{S}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S c}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}\right) U_{S}^{Y}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(T r_{S}{ }^{c}\left(M_{1}\right) T r_{S} c\left(M_{2}\right)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

For $B_{S}^{0}$, the proof is slightly $m$ ore com plicated. The crucial observation is that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=B_{S}^{0}\left(E_{U_{S}}\left(U_{S} M_{1} U_{S}^{Y}\right) ; E_{U_{S}}\left(U_{S} M_{2} U_{S}^{Y}\right)\right) \\
& =2^{2 j S} j_{B}^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left(M_{1}\right) \quad 1_{S} ; \operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left(M_{2}\right) \quad 1_{S}\right) \\
& =2^{j \operatorname{j}}{ }^{j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left(\mathbb{M}_{1}\right) \quad 1_{S}\right)\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}\right) \quad 1_{S}\right)\right) \\
& =T r_{S}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left(M_{1}\right) T r_{S}\left(M_{2}\right)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

These new enum erators are closely related to the Shor-La am me enum erators:

Theorem 4. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be any operators on $V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=2^{j j^{j}} \quad A_{T}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right) \\
& B_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=2{ }^{j 6 j^{T}}{ }^{T}{ }_{S}^{S} B_{T}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. E xpand $U_{S}$ in term S of E :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=2^{j \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}} \operatorname{Tr} \underset{\mathrm{X}}{\left.\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)} \\
& =2^{j S} j_{2}{ }^{2 n}{ }_{E_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2} 2 \mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{E}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{S}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}_{2}\right)\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{E}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{E}_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim ilarly for $B_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)$. Thus, we need to com pute

$$
2^{j S} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{S} \mathrm{E}_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{S}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{E}_{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}\right):
$$

Now, by theorem 3,

$$
A_{S}^{0}\left(E_{1} ; E_{2}\right)=T r_{S}\left(T r_{S} c\left(E_{1}\right) T r_{S} c\left(E_{2}\right)\right)
$$

If supp $\left(E_{1}\right) 6 S$, then $T r_{S}\left(E_{1}\right)=0$; otherw ise, if both supp $\left(E_{1}\right)$ and supp $\left(E_{2}\right)$ $S$, then $A_{S}^{0}\left(E_{1} ; E_{2}\right)=0$ unless $E_{1}=E_{2}$, when

$$
\mathrm{A}_{S}^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}\right)=2^{\left.j \mathrm{j} j 2^{2(n} j \mathrm{j} j\right)}=2^{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=2^{j 8 j} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{1} E\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{2} E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim ilarly for $\mathrm{B}^{0}$.
C orollary 5. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be operators on $V . T$ hen

Sím ilarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{0}(x ; y)=A(x+y=2 ; y=2) \\
& B^{0}(x ; y)=B(x+y=2 ; y=2):
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. W e have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{d}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=X_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right) \\
& { }^{i s j} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{X} \\
& =2^{d} \quad A_{T}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right) \\
& =2{ }^{{ }^{\text {jSj=dT}} X^{S} \quad X \quad A_{T}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2^{d} \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{n} & \mathrm{i}^{2} \\
A_{T}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)
\end{array} \\
& 0 \text { i } \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{~F} j \mathrm{i} \\
& =2^{d} \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right) \text {; }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim ilarly for $\mathrm{B}^{0}$.
For the enum erator polynom ials, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{0}(x ; y)=X_{0 d n}^{X} A_{d}^{0} x^{n}{ }^{d} y^{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \text { in idn } \\
& =\mathrm{X}_{0 \text { inn }}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}} \frac{y}{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim ilarly for $\mathrm{B}^{0}$.
C orollary 7. The enum erators $A$ and $B$ are invariants under equivalence.
Proof. The quantities $A_{d}$ and $B_{d}$ are xed linear com binations of the $m$ anifestly invariant quantities $A^{0}$ and $B{ }^{0}$.

Recall from theorem 3 that $A_{S}^{0}=B_{S^{c}}^{0}$, and thus $A_{d}^{0}=B_{n}^{0}{ }_{d}$ and $A^{0}(x ; y)=$ $B^{0}(y ; x)$. This im plies the follow ing relationship betw een $A(x ; y)$ and $B(x ; y)$ :

Theorem 7. (Q uantum M acW illiam s identities). Let A and B be the ShorLa am $m$ e enum erators associated to a pair of operators $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Then

$$
A(x ; y)=B\left(\frac{x+3 y}{2} ; \frac{x}{2}\right):
$$

Proof. (see also [4])
$A(x ; y)=A^{0}(x \quad y ; 2 y)=B^{0}(2 y ; x \quad y)=B\left(2 y+\frac{x}{2} ; \frac{x y}{2}\right)=B\left(\frac{x+3 y}{2} ; \frac{x}{2}\right):$

Theorem 8. Let C be a quantum code of dim ension $K$, with associated projection P. Then for 0 i $n$,

$$
K B_{i}^{0}(P ; P) \quad A_{i}^{0}(P ; P):
$$

If $K B_{d \quad 1}^{0}(P ; P)=A_{d}^{0}(P ; P)$, then $C$ has $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ distance at least $d$. If $K=1$, then $B_{i}^{0}(P ; P)=A_{i}^{0}(P ; P)$ for all $i$.

Proof. By the sam e proof as for theorem 2, we have:

$$
K B_{i}^{0}(P ; P) \quad A_{i}^{0}(P ; P)=K^{2}(K+1) E_{v 2 C} A_{i}^{0}\left(\forall v^{Y} \quad P ; v^{Y} \quad P\right):
$$

C onsequently,

$$
K B_{i}^{0}(P ; P) \quad A_{i}^{0}(P ; P) \quad 0 ;
$$

w ith equality only when

$$
\left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{C}} \not \mathrm{Jnj}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{vi} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}\right)\right\}=0 ;
$$

$w$ here $U_{i}$ ranges over all i-qubit errors. But this expectation is sim ply a variance; consequently, it is 0 precisely when
forv and $w$ ranging over all unit vectors in $C$. This is precisely the condition that $C$ have $m$ inim um distance i+ 1 .

Finally, if $K=1$, then we have:

$$
B_{i}^{0}(P ; P) \quad A_{i}^{0}(P ; P)=B_{n}^{0} \quad(P ; P) \quad A_{n}^{0} \quad(P ; P)=B_{i}^{0}(P ; P) ;
$$

so $B_{i}^{0}(P ; P)=A_{i}^{0}(P ; P)$.
C orollary 9. Let C be a quantum code of dim ension $K$, with associated projection $P$. Then $C$ has $m$ inim um distance at least $d$ if and only if

$$
K B_{i}(\mathbb{P} ; P)=A_{i}(\mathbb{P} ; P)
$$

for $0 \quad i<d$.
Proof. The quantity

$$
\mathrm{KB}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0}{ }_{1}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}) \quad \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}}^{0} \quad{ }_{1}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P})
$$

is a positive linear com bination of

$$
K B_{i}(P ; P) \quad A_{i}(P ; P)
$$

for 0 i< $d$; the result follow s im $m$ ediately.
W e also have the follow ing result:

Theorem 10. Let C be a quantum code of dim ension K , with associated projection P. Then

$$
K B_{S}^{0}(P ; P)=A_{S}^{0}(P ; P)
$$

if and only if

$$
T r_{S} c\left(v v^{y}\right)
$$

is constant when v ranges over unit vectors in C .
P roof. A s before, we have

$$
K B_{S}^{0}(P ; P) \quad A_{S}^{0}(P ; P) / E_{v 2 C} A_{S}^{0}\left(v v^{y} \quad \frac{1}{K} P ; v^{y} \quad \frac{1}{K} P\right)
$$

So equality holds if and only if

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v} 2 \mathrm{c}} j \operatorname{T} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{vv}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathcal{J}=0 ;
$$

or

$$
T r_{S^{c}}\left(V V^{y}\right)=\frac{1}{K} T r_{S^{c}}(P)
$$

for all unit vectors v 2 C .
$T$ his result has the follow ing physical intenpretation: $K B_{S}^{0}(P ; P)=A_{S}^{0}(P ; P)$ if and only if the code $C$ can correct for the erasure of the qubits in $S$; the qubits in $S$ alone carry no inform ation about the encoded state. (Such errors can occur, for instance, in photon-based im plem entations of quantum com puters, in which occasionally a photon is lost.) C onsequently, we have the follow ing result:

Theorem 11. A quantum code $C$ has $m$ inim um distance $d$ if and only if it can correct for any erasure of size $d$.

Rem ark. W hen talking about correcting for erasures, the assum ption is that it is known which qubits have been erased. The point of this theorem is that it is generally easier to give an algorithm for correcting erasures than to give an algorithm for correcting ordinary errors; see, for instance, theorem 21 below.

Rem ark. This theorem is the analogue of a theorem for classicalerror correcting codes ([2]).

## 3. E numerators for codes of block size greater than 2

W e now wish to generalize everything to codes w th block size greater than 2. $T$ hat is, we replace the state space $V$ by a tensor product of $n H$ ilbert spaces $V_{1}$ through $V_{n}$, with dim $\left(V_{i}\right)=D_{i}$, not necessarily equal to 2 ; in general, we w ill not even assum e that the $V_{i}$ all have the sam e dim ension. A quantum code is again a subspace $C$ of $V$.

C learly, the unitary enum erators extend directly to this case:
De nition. LetS be any subset off1; 2 ;:: : $n g$, and letM ${ }_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be any operators on $V$. Then de ne

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}^{c}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right) \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}^{c}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}}\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1}\right) \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

W e can also de ne these as we did for binary codes:

Theorem 12. For any $S$ and operators $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}\left(\mathbb{M}_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S}\right) E_{U_{S}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{M}_{1} U_{S}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{2} U_{S}^{Y}\right)\right) ; \\
& B_{S}^{0}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S}\right) E_{U_{S}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{M}_{1} U_{S} M_{2} U_{S}^{Y}\right)\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

P roof. The proof of theorem 3 carries over directly.
To generalize $A_{S}$ and $B_{S}$, it $w$ illbe convenient to introduce yet another de nition of $A_{S}^{0}$ and $B_{S}^{0}$. For an operator $M$ on $V$, de ne new operators $M{ }_{S}^{0}$ and $M{ }_{S}$ for all S f1;2;:::ng:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{S}^{0}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}_{X}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right)}\left(\operatorname{Tr} r_{S^{c}}(M) \quad 1_{S c}\right) \\
& M_{S}=(1)^{j \mathrm{j} j \mathrm{JT} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}}{ }_{\mathrm{T}}^{0}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 12. For all operators M, N,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}(\mathbb{M} ; N)=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{M}{ }_{S}^{0} N_{S}^{0}\right) \\
& B_{S}^{0}(\mathbb{M} ; N)=\operatorname{dim}(V) E_{U 2 U(V)} A_{S}^{0}\left(\mathbb{M} ; N^{Y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

P roof. W e have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{M}{ }_{S}^{0} N_{S}^{0}\right) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr} r_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{M}) \quad 1_{S^{c}}\right)\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1_{S^{c}}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{M}) \operatorname{Tr} r_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{N})\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

The statem ent about $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ follow s easily from theorem 11.
W e can now de ne $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}$ :
De $n$ ition. Let $S$ be any subset of $f 1 ; 2 ;::: n g$, and let $M$ and $M$ be any operators on V. Then de ne

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}(\mathbb{M} ; N)=\operatorname{dim}(V) \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{S} N_{S}\right) ; \\
& B_{S}(\mathbb{M} ; N)=\operatorname{dim}(V) E_{U 2 U(V)} A_{S}\left(\mathbb{M} U_{i} U^{Y}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

To see how this relates to $A^{0}$ and $B^{0}$, we will need the follow ing results:
Lem ma13. Themap $M \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ is an orthogonal projection on H om $(\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{V})$ for all S.M oreover,

$$
\left(M_{S}^{0}\right)_{T}^{0}=M{ }_{S \backslash T}^{0}:
$$

Proof. Let us rst show that

$$
\left(M_{S}^{0}\right)_{T}^{0}=M{ }_{S \backslash T}^{0}:
$$

But

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\mathbb{M}_{S}^{0}\right)_{T} & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{T^{c}}\right)}\left(T r_{T^{c}}\left(M_{S}^{0}\right) \quad 1_{T^{c}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{T^{c}}\right) \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right)}\left(T r_{T^{c}}\left(T r_{S} c(M) \quad 1_{S^{c}}\right) \quad 1_{T} c\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, $\left(M{ }_{S}^{0}\right)_{S}=M{ }_{S}^{0}$. It rem ains only to show that if $M_{S}=M$ and $N_{S}=0$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{M})=0:
$$

But $N_{S}=0$ if and only if $T r_{S}(\mathbb{N})=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{M}) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{M}_{S} N\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{M}) \quad 1_{S^{c}}\right) N\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{M}) \operatorname{Tr}_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{N})\right) \\
& =0:
\end{aligned}
$$

C orollary 14. For allS f1;2;:::ng, the map M $7 M_{S}$ is an orthogonalprojection. M oreover,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{M}_{S} N_{T}\right)=0
$$

unless $S=T$, when

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{S} N_{S}\right)=\int_{R \quad}^{X} \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{R}^{0} N_{R}^{0}\right)(1)^{j S j \operatorname{ji}}:
$$

$F$ inally,

$$
M_{S}^{0}={ }_{T S} X_{T}:
$$

Proof. This follow s readily from theorem 13 and the $M$ obius inversion form ula.
Theorem 15. Let $M$ and $N$ be any operators on $V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}(M ; N)={\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S}\right)}}_{T}^{X} A_{T}(M ; N) ; \\
& B_{S}^{0}(M ; N)={\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S}\right)}}_{T}^{X} B_{T}(M ; N) \text {; } \\
& A_{S}(M ; N)={ }^{X} \quad(1)^{j \operatorname{jij} j \operatorname{ji}} \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{T}\right) A_{T}^{0}(M ; N) \text {; } \\
& B_{S}(M ; N)={ }_{T S}^{T_{X}^{S}}(1)^{j \operatorname{jij} j \operatorname{j}} \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{T}\right) B_{T}^{0}(M ; N):
\end{aligned}
$$

P roof. W e have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{S}^{0}(M ; N)=\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{X}\left(M_{S}^{0} N_{S}^{0}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right) \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{T}^{0}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~T}^{0} \mathrm{~S} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S^{c}}\right) \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{T} N_{T}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{S}\right)}{ }_{T}^{T}{ }_{S}^{S} A_{T}(M ; N):
\end{aligned}
$$

The rem aining results follow sim ilarly.
Theorem 16. Let $C$ be a quantum code of dimension $K$ in $V$, and let $P$ be its associated projection. Then for all $S$ f1;2;:::ng,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{KB} \mathrm{~S}_{S}^{0}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}) & \mathrm{A}_{S}^{0}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}) & 0 ; \\
\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}) & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}) & 0:
\end{array}
$$

In particular, if $K=1$, then $A_{S}^{0}(P ; P)=B{ }_{S}^{0}(P ; P)$ and $A_{S}(P ; P)=B_{S}(P ; P)$.
Proof. The prooffor $A^{0}$ and $B^{0}$ proceeds as in theorem 8 ; it rem ains only to consider $A$ and $B$.
$F$ irst, let $M$ be any operator on $V$, and observe that

$$
A_{S}\left(M ; M^{Y}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(N) \operatorname{Tr}\left(M_{S} M_{S}^{Y}\right) \quad 0:
$$

N ow, let v be a uniform ly random ly chosen unit vector from C. Then

$$
E_{V 2 C} A_{S}\left(V v^{y} \quad \frac{1}{K} P ; V^{y} \quad \frac{1}{K} P\right)=\frac{1}{K^{2}(K+1)}\left(K B_{S}(P ; P) \quad A_{S}(P ; P)\right) ;
$$

this is just a linear com bination of the corresponding equations for $A^{0}$ and $B^{0}$. The theorem follow s.

Letpus now assume that $D_{i}=D$ for all i. Then it $m$ akes sense to consider $A_{d}=\quad j s j=d A_{S}$, and so on.

Theorem 17. Let $C$ be a quantum code of dimension $K$ in $V$, with associated projection $P$. Then $C$ has $m$ inim um distance at least $d$ if and only if

$$
K B_{i}(P ; P)=A_{i}(P ; P)
$$

for $0 \quad i<d$.
Proof. C learly, C has m inim um distance at least dif and only if

$$
\mathrm{KB}_{\mathrm{d} 1}^{0}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P})=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d} 1}^{0}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P}):
$$

But $K B_{d \quad 1}^{0}(P ; P) \quad A_{d}^{0} \quad(P ; P)$ is a positive linear combination of $K B_{i}(P ; P)$ $A_{i}(P ; P)$ for 0 i $d$.

W e also have a M acW illiam s transform :

Theorem 18. Let $A, B, A^{0}$, and $B^{0}$ be the polynom ialenum erators associated w ith a quantum code C . T hen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{0}(x ; y)=B^{0}(y ; x) \\
& A(x ; y)=B\left(\frac{x+\left(D^{2} 1\right) y}{D} ; \frac{x \quad y}{D}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

P roof. The rst assertion follow s by inspection. For the second assertion, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{0}(x ; y) & =A\left(x+\frac{y}{D} ; \frac{y}{D}\right) ; \\
B^{0}(x ; y) & =B\left(x+\frac{y}{D} ; \frac{y}{D}\right) ; \\
A(x ; y) & =A^{0}(x \quad y ; D y) ; \\
B(x ; y) & =B^{0}(x \quad y ; D y) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x ; y) & =A^{0}(x \quad y ; D y)=B^{0}(D y ; x \quad y) \\
& =B\left(D y+\frac{x \quad y}{D} ; \frac{x \quad y}{D}\right)=B\left(\frac{x+\left(D^{2} 1\right) y}{D} ; \frac{x \quad y}{D}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Shadow enumerators (conjecture)

For binary codes, there is an additional enum erator to consider, nam ely the shadow enum erator ([3]), which can be de ned by

$$
S(x ; y)=A\left(\frac{x+3 y}{2} ; \frac{x}{2}\right):
$$

If one w rites $S(x ; y)$ in term s of ${ }^{0}$, som ething rather curious happens:

$$
S(x ; y)=A^{0}(x+y ; y \quad x):
$$

$T$ his suggests the follow ing de nition for anbitrary block sizes:
De nition. Let $T$ be any subset of f1;2;:::ng, and let $M, N$ be operators on $V$. $T$ hen the shadow enum erator of $M$ and $N$ is de ned by
$T$ he con jecture is then that $S_{T}(M ; N) \quad 0 w h e n e v e r M$ and $N$ are positive sem ide nite $H$ erm itian operators (the case $w$ hen $D_{i}=2$ for all $i w$ as essentially proved in [3]). $M$ ore explicitly:
C on jecture. Let $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{2} \quad \mathrm{n}$, Where $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ through $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are H ibert spaces. Let $T$ be any subset of f1;2;:::ng, and let $M$ and $N$ be positive sem i-de nite Hem itian operators on $V$. Then

X
( 1$)^{j S T j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T r_{S} c(M) T r_{S} c(N)\right) \quad 0:$
s f1;2;::ng
W hen $\mathrm{n}=1$, this becom es

$$
j \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{M}) \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{N}) j \quad j \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{M} N) j
$$

which is easy to verify.

## 5. Constructions for quantum codes

W e will now use the unitary enum erators to exam ine som e constructions of new quantum codes from existing quantum codes. W ewill assum e that $D_{i}$ is constant (= D ) throughout.

Theorem 19. Suppose $C$ is a pure $((n ; K ; d))$ with $n ; d$ 2. Then there exists a

 claim is then that $P^{0}$ is the pro jection associated $w$ ith the desired code.

First, note that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{P}^{0^{2}}\right)=\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{f1g}}^{0}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{DK}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{P}^{0}\right):
$$

This, com bined w ith the fact that $P^{0}$ has at $m$ ost $D K$ distinct eigenvalues, im plies that $P^{0}$ is a projection.

It rem ains to show that $P^{0}$ is pure ofm in im um distance $d \quad 1$. Thus, let $S$ be a set of size d 2 in f2; 3 ;:::ng, and observe:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{S}^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{0} ; P^{0}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left(P^{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =D^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{S}\left[f 1 g(P)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& =D^{2} B_{S[f 1 g}^{0}(P) \\
& =D^{2} D^{1} d_{K} \\
& =D^{2}{ }^{d}(D K):
\end{aligned}
$$

If $K=1$, this construction is reversible:
Theorem 20. Suppose $C$ is a quantum code $w$ ith projection $m$ atrix $P$ of rank $D$. $T$ hen there exists a code $C^{0} w$ ith $P=D T r_{f 1 g}\left(P^{0}\right)$; any two such codes are equivalent. $T$ he new code has unitary enum erator

$$
A_{i}^{0}\left(C^{0}\right)=D^{2}\left(A_{i}^{0}(C)+B_{i 1}^{0}(C)\right):
$$

P roof. $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ clearly m ust have rank 1; consequently, we need a vector $\mathrm{v}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{P}^{0}=$ $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{v}^{0 \mathrm{y}}$.

Since $T r_{f 1 g}\left(v^{0} v^{0 y}\right)$ is a pro jection, it follow $s$ that $v^{0} m$ ust be $w$ ritable in the form

$w$ here $v_{i}$ ranges over som $e$ orthonom albasis for $C$, and $w_{i}$ ranges over som e orthonom al basis for $V_{1}$. C onversely, any such $v^{0}$ gives a suitable $P^{0}$. U niqueness follow sfrom the fact that the freedom in the $w_{i}$ can be absorbed into the freedom in the $v_{i}$, which in tum can be absorbed by applying an elem ent of $U\left(V_{1}\right)$.

Finally, let $S$ f1;2;:::;ng. If $S$ does not contain 1, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A}_{S}^{0}\left(\mathrm{P}^{0} ; \mathrm{P}^{0}\right) & =\mathrm{Tr} r_{S}\left(T r_{S} c\left(\mathbb{P}^{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{Tr}_{S}\left(T r_{S^{c}}(\mathbb{P})^{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{P})
\end{aligned}
$$

N ow, if $S$ does contain 1, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{S}^{0}\left(P^{0} ; P^{0}\right) & =B_{S^{c}}^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{0} ; P^{0}\right) \\
& =A_{S^{c}}^{0}\left(P^{0} ; P^{0}\right) \\
& =D^{2} A_{S^{c}}^{0}(P ; P) \\
& =D^{2} B_{S}^{0} \quad f 1 g(P ; P):
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and fourth equalities follow from theorem 16 and the fact that $P^{0}$ has rank 1.

The desired result follow s by sum $m$ ing over $S$ of size i.
Finally, let us consider concatenated codes. Let $C_{1}$ be a ( $\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{d}_{1}\right)$ ), on blocks of size $\mathrm{D}_{1}$, and let $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ be a ( $\left(\mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{D}_{1} ; \mathrm{d}_{2}\right)$ ), on blocks of size $\mathrm{D}_{2}$. Then one can construct a new code $\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, by encoding each block of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ using $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. (Strictly speaking, the concatenated code also depends on the speci cencoding $m$ ap used for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.) C learly, the concatenated code encodes $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ states in $\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2}$ blocks of size $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$; it rem ains only to consider its m inim um distance:

Theorem 21. Let $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ be as above. Let $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ be any concatenation of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Then C has m inim um distance at least $\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}$.

Proof. By theorem 11 (which clearly holds for block codes as well), it su ces to give an algorithm for correcting erasures of size $d_{1} d_{2} \quad 1$. Suppose, therefore, that $d_{1} d_{2} 1$ blocks of $C$ have been erased. The correction algorithm is quite simple: decode the outer encoding, then decode the inner encoding.

W e can decode erasures of up to size $\mathrm{d}_{2} \quad 1$ in $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. T hus the only blocks of the innerencoding that $w$ illlbe unrecoverable are those that su ered at least $d_{2}$ erasures. C learly, there can be at $m$ ost $d_{1} 1$ such blocks. But this can be corrected, using the decoding algorithm for $\mathrm{C}_{1}$.

## Conclusion

W e have furthered the enum erator theory ofShor and La am $m e, w$ th the help of tw o new $m$ anifestly invariant enum erators. Since the de nition of these enum erators did not depend on the codes being binary, we could readily extend the theory to quantum codes on larger alphabets. W e also used the new enum erators to clarify the nature of the relationship betw een the Shor-La am $m$ e enum erators, and to give a sim pler condition for a quantum code to have speci ed minim um distance.
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