

Constructing quantum error-correcting codes for p^m -state systems from classical error-correcting codes

Ryutaroh Matsumoto^y Tomohiko Uyematsu
 ryutaroh@ss.titech.ac.jp uyematsu@ss.titech.ac.jp
 Dept. of Electrical & Electronic Eng.,
 Tokyo Institute of Technology,
 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8552 Japan

First version: November 4, 1999
 Second version: December 14, 1999
 Third version: June 26, 2000

Abstract

We generalize the construction of quantum error-correcting codes from F_4 -linear codes by Calderbank et al. to p^m -state systems. Then we show how to determine the error from a syndrome. Finally we discuss a systematic construction of quantum codes with efficient decoding algorithms.

1 Introduction

Quantum error-correcting codes have attracted much attention. Among many research articles, the most general and systematic construction is the so called stabilizer code construction [6] or additive code construction [2], which constructs a quantum error-correcting code as an eigenspace of an Abelian subgroup S of the error group. Thereafter Calderbank et al. [3] proposed a construction of S from an additive code over the finite field F_4 with 4 elements.

These constructions work for tensor products of 2-state quantum systems. However Knill [8, 9] and Rains [12] observed that the construction [2, 6] can be generalized to n -state systems by an appropriate choice of the error basis. Rains [12] also generalized the construction [3] using additive codes over F_4 to p -state quantum systems, but his generalization does not relate the problem

To appear in IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences (ISSN 0916-8508), vol. E83-A, no. 10, Oct. 2000.

^yR. Matsumoto is supported by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

of quantum code construction to classical error-correcting codes. We propose a construction of quantum error-correcting codes for p^m -state systems from classical error-correcting codes which is a generalization of [3].

Throughout this note, p denotes a prime number and m a positive integer. This note is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the construction of quantum codes for nonbinary systems. In Section 3, we propose a construction of quantum codes for p -state systems from classical codes over F_{p^2} . In Section 4, we propose a construction of quantum codes for p^m -state systems from classical linear codes over $F_{p^{2m}}$. In Section 5, we discuss a systematic construction of quantum codes with efficient decoding algorithms.

2 Stabilizer coding for p^m -state systems

2.1 Code construction

We review the generalization [8, 9, 12] of the construction [2, 6]. First we consider p -state systems. We shall construct a quantum code Q encoding quantum information in p^k -dimensional linear space into C^{p^n} . Q is said to have minimum distance d and said to be an $[[n; k; d]]_p$ quantum code if it can detect up to $d-1$ quantum local errors. Let ω be a primitive p -th root of unity, $C_p; D_p$ $p \times p$ unitary matrices defined by $(C_p)_{ij} = \omega^{j-1; i \bmod p}$, $(D_p)_{ij} = \omega^{i-1; j}$. Notice that C_2 and D_2 are the Pauli spin matrices σ_x and σ_z . We consider the error group E consisting of $\omega^{j_1} w_1 \dots \omega^{j_n} w_n$, where j is an integer, w_i is $C_p^a D_p^b$ with some integers $a; b$.

For row vectors $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $(a; b)$ denotes the concatenated vector $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ as used in [3]. For vectors $(a; b); (a^0; b^0) \in F_p^{2n}$, we define the alternating inner product

$$((a; b); (a^0; b^0)) = ha; b^0; i - ha^0; b; i; \quad (1)$$

where $h; i$ denotes the standard inner product in F_p^n . For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in F_p^n$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} X(a) &= C_p^{a_1} \dots C_p^{a_n} \\ Z(a) &= D_p^{a_1} \dots D_p^{a_n} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$X(a)Z(b)X(a^0)Z(b^0) = \omega^{ha; b^0; i - ha^0; b; i} X(a^0)Z(b^0)X(a)Z(b); \quad (2)$$

For $(a; b) = (a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n) \in F_p^{2n}$, we define the weight of $(a; b)$ to be

$$| \{ i | a_i \neq 0 \text{ or } b_i \neq 0 \} |; \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1 Let C be an $(n-k)$ -dimensional F_p -linear subspace of F_p^{2n} with the basis $f(a_1; b_1), \dots, (a_{n-k}; b_{n-k})g$, C^\perp the orthogonal space of C with respect

to the inner product (1). Suppose that $C \subset C^2$ and the minimum weight (3) of $C^\perp \cap C$ is d . Then the subgroup S of E generated by $fX(a_1)Z(b_1), \dots, X(a_{n-k})Z(b_{n-k})g$ is Abelian, and an eigenspace of S is an $[[n;k;d]]_p$ quantum code.

Next we consider quantum codes for p^m -state system s , where m is a positive integer. But the code construction for p^m -state system s is almost the same as that for p -state system s , because the state space of a p^m -state system can be regarded as the m -fold tensor products of that of a p -state system. We shall construct a quantum code encoding quantum information in p^{mk} -dimensional linear space into $C^{p^{mn}}$. For $(a|b) = (a_{1,1}; a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,m}; a_{2,1}, \dots, a_{n,m}; b_{1,1}, \dots, b_{n,m}) \in F_p^{2mn}$, we define the weight of $(a|b)$ to be

$$| \{ i | \text{there exists nonzero element in } a_{i,1}; \dots; a_{i,m}; b_{i,1}; \dots; b_{i,m} \} |. \quad (4)$$

Corollary 2 Let C be an $(mn - mk)$ -dimensional F_p -linear subspace of F_p^{2mn} with the basis $f(a_1|b_1), \dots, (a_{mn-mk}|b_{mn-mk})g$, C^\perp the orthogonal space of C with respect to the inner product (1). Suppose that $C \subset C^2$ and the minimum weight (4) of $C^\perp \cap C$ is d . Then the subgroup S of E generated by $fX(a_1)Z(b_1), \dots, X(a_{mn-mk})Z(b_{mn-mk})g$ is Abelian, and an eigenspace of S is an $[[n;k;d]]_{p^m}$ quantum code.

2.2 Error correction procedure

In this subsection we review the process of correcting errors. Let $H = C^p$, $H^n \subset Q$ the quantum code constructed by Theorem 1, and H_{env} the Hilbert space representing the environment. Suppose that we send a codeword $j \in Q$, that the state of the environment is initially $j_{\text{env}} \in H_{\text{env}}$, and that we receive $j' \in H^n \subset H_{\text{env}}$. Then there exists a unitary operator U such that

$$j' \otimes j_{\text{env}} = U(j \otimes j_{\text{env}}):$$

If U acts nontrivially on $(0 \dots n)$ subsystems among n tensor product space H^n , then n is said to be the number of errors.

We assume that $2 \leq d \leq n$, where d is as in Theorem 1. If we measure each observable in H^n whose eigenspaces are the same as those of $X(a_i)Z(b_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-k$, where $X(a_i)Z(b_i)$ is as defined in Theorem 1, then the entangled state $j' \otimes j_{\text{env}}$ is projected to $A|j' \otimes j_{\text{env}}^0$, for some $A \in E$ and $j_{\text{env}}^0 \in H_{\text{env}}$, by the measurements. By the measurement outcomes we can find a unitary operator $A^0 \in E$ such that $A^0 A|j' = |j'$.

The determination of A^0 requires exhaustive search in general. Thus the computational cost of finding A^0 from the measurement outcomes is large when both n and d are large. However, in certain special cases we can efficiently determine A^0 . An efficient method of finding A^0 is presented in Section 3.2.

Remark 3 The error correction method presented in this subsection is not explicitly mentioned in the papers [2, 3, 6]. Still, it can be derived from general

facts on quantum error correction presented in [1, 5, 10]. A readable exposition on the error correction procedure is provided by Preskill [15].

3 Construction of quantum codes for p-state systems from classical codes

3.1 Codes for p-state systems

In this subsection we describe how to construct quantum codes for p-state systems from additive codes over F_{p^2} . Let ω be a primitive element in F_{p^2} .

Lemma 4 $\{\omega^i; 0 \leq i < p^2\}$ is a basis of F_{p^2} over F_p .

Proof: When $p = 2$ the assertion is obvious. We assume that $p \geq 3$. Suppose that $\omega^p = a\omega$ for some $a \in F_p$. Then $\omega^{p^2} = (\omega^p)^p = a^p\omega = a\omega$, and a is either 1 or -1 . If $a = 1$, then $\omega \in F_p$ and ω is not a primitive element. If $a = -1$, then $\omega^{2p} = \omega$. This is a contradiction, because ω is a primitive element and $2p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2 - 1}$. ■

For $(a, b) \in F_p^{2n}$ we define $(a, b) = \omega^a + \omega^{pb}$. Then the weight (3) of (a, b) is equal to the Hamming weight of (a, b) . For $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n); d \in F_{p^2}^n$, we define the inner product¹ of c and d by

$$h(c; d) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i d_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \omega^{d_i} \quad (5)$$

where $h(\cdot; \cdot)$ denotes the standard inner product in $F_{p^2}^n$ and $c^p = (c_1^p, \dots, c_n^p)$. For $(a, b); (a^0, b^0) \in F_p^{2n}$ the inner product (5) of (a, b) and (a^0, b^0) is

$$\begin{aligned} h((a, b); (a^0, b^0)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\omega^a + \omega^{pb}) \omega^{a^0 + \omega^{pb^0}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{a + \omega^{pb} + a^0 + \omega^{pb^0}} \\ &= (\omega^{a + a^0} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{b + b^0}) = (\omega^{a + a^0}) h(b, b^0). \end{aligned}$$

Since ω is a primitive element, $\omega^2 \notin \langle \omega^p \rangle$. Thus the inner product (1) of (a, b) and (a^0, b^0) is zero if the inner product (5) of (a, b) and (a^0, b^0) is zero. Thus we have

Theorem 5 Let C be an additive subgroup of $F_{p^2}^n$ containing p^{n-k} elements, C^\perp its orthogonal space with respect to the inner product (5). Suppose that $C^\perp \subseteq C$ and the minimum Hamming weight of $C \setminus \{0\}$ is d . By identifying $\mathbb{F}_p(C)$ with an Abelian subgroup of E via $X \mapsto Z(X)$, any eigenspace of $\mathbb{F}_p(C)$ is an $[[n; k; d]]_p$ quantum code.

We next clarify the self-orthogonality of a linear code over F_{p^2} with respect to (5).

¹The map (5) is F_p -bilinear but does not take values in F_p . It is neither F_{p^2} -bilinear nor F_{p^2} -sesquilinear. Thus calling the map (5) "inner product" is a little abusive. But the map (5) can be converted to an F_p -bilinear form by dividing it by $\omega^{a + a^0} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{b + b^0}$. For this reason we call the map (5) "inner product".

Lemma 6 Let C be a linear code over F_{p^2} , and C^\perp the orthogonal space of C with respect to (5). We define $C^p = \{x^p \mid x \in C\}$ and $(C^p)^\perp$ the orthogonal space of C^p with respect to the standard inner product. Then we have $C^\perp = (C^p)^\perp$.

Proof: It is clear that $C^\perp = (C^p)^\perp$. Suppose that $x \in C^\perp$. Then for all $y \in C$, $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y^p \rangle^p = 0$. Thus $\langle x, y \rangle \in F_p$. Since $\langle x, !^p y \rangle = \langle x, !^p y^p \rangle^p = 0$, $!^p \langle x, y \rangle \in F_p$. Since $!^p \in F_{p^2} \setminus F_p$, we conclude that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. ■

Theorem 7 Let C be an $[n; (n-k)=2]$ linear code over F_{p^2} such that $C = (C^p)^\perp$. Suppose that the minimum Hamming weight of $(C^p)^\perp \cap C$ is d . Then any eigenspace of $X(C)$ is an $[[n; k; d]]_p$ quantum code.

3.2 Error correction for p -state systems

In this subsection we consider how to determine the error from measurements with quantum codes obtained via Theorem 7. We retain notations from Theorem 7. Suppose that g_1, \dots, g_r is an F_{p^2} -basis of C . Then F_p -basis of ${}^\perp(C)$ is $(a_1 \beta_1) = {}^\perp(g_1); (a_2 \beta_2) = {}^\perp(!g_1), \dots, (a_{2r} \beta_{2r}) = {}^\perp(!g_r)$. Suppose that by the procedure in Section 2.2, the error is converted to a $2E$ that corresponds to ${}^\perp(e)$ for some $e \in F_{p^2}^n$ via $X(C)Z(C)$, and the original quantum state is β_j . We know which eigenspace of $X(a_i)Z(b_i)$ the vector $A_j \beta_i$ belongs to. By Eq. (2)

$$X(a_i)Z(b_i)A_j \beta_i = \lambda A_j \beta_i;$$

where λ is the alternating inner product (1) of $(a_i \beta_i)$ and ${}^\perp(e)$, which is denoted by $s_i \in F_p$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h g_i; e \rangle &= \langle h g_i^p; e \rangle = (!^{2i} !^{2p}) s_{2i-1}; \\ \langle h! g_i; e \rangle &= \langle h!^p g_i^p; e \rangle = (!^{2i} !^{2p}) s_{2i}; \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\langle h g_i^p; e \rangle = (!^{2i} !^{2p}) (!^{2i-1} s_{2i-1} - s_{2i}) = (!^p !)$. $\langle f g_1^p, \dots, g_r^p \rangle$ can be used as rows of the check matrix of $(C^p)^\perp$. If we have a classical decoding algorithm for $(C^p)^\perp$ finding the error e from a classical syndrome $\langle h g_1^p; e \rangle, \dots, \langle h g_r^p; e \rangle$, then we can find the quantum error $A_j \beta_i$.

Remark 8 In this section we assumed that $!$ is a primitive element in F_{p^2} . It is enough to assume that $!$ belongs to F_{p^2} and $!^p, !$ are linearly independent over F_p .

4 Construction of quantum codes for p^m -state systems from classical codes

4.1 Codes for p^m -state systems

In this subsection we show a construction of quantum codes for p^m -state systems from classical linear codes over $F_{p^{2m}}$. Our construction is based on the

construction [4] by Chen which constructs quantum codes for 2-state systems from linear codes over $F_{2^{2m}}$. We modify his construction so that we can estimate the minimum weight (4) from the original code over $F_{p^{2m}}$.

We fix a normal basis $f; p, \dots, p^{2^m-1}g$ of $F_{p^{2m}}$ over F_p . There always exists a normal basis of $F_{p^{2m}}$ over F_p [11, Section VI, §13]. For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_m); a^0 = (a_1^0, \dots, a_m^0; b_1^0, \dots, b_m^0) \in F_p^{2m}$, we define $(a) = a_1 + a_2 p + \dots + a_m p^{m-1} + b_1 p^m + \dots + b_m p^{2m-1}$, and $T(a; a^0) = c_{m+1} - c_1 \in F_p$, where $(a) (a^0)^{p^m} = c_1 + \dots + c_{2m} p^{2m-1}$ and $c_i \in F_p$. Then T is a bilinear form.

Lemma 9 T is alternating and nondegenerate.

Proof: First we show that T is alternating, that is, $T(a; a) = 0$ for all $a \in F_p^{2m}$. Let $x = (a) \in F_p^{2m}$, and $xx^{p^m} = c_1 + \dots + c_{2m} p^{2m-1}$ for $c_i \in F_p$. Then $(xx^{p^m})^{p^m} = c_{m+1} + c_{m+2} p + \dots + c_{2m} p^{m-1} + c_1 p^m + \dots + c_m p^{2m-1}$. Since $(xx^{p^m})^{p^m} = x^{p^m} x$, $c_i = c_{i+m}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $T(a; a) = 0$.

We assume that $x \neq 0$, which implies that $a \neq 0$. Since $x(-x^{p^m})^{p^m} = -x^{p^m} x$, $T(a; -1(-x^{p^m})) = 1$, which shows the nondegeneracy. ■

Lemma 10 By abuse of notation, we denote by T the representation matrix of the bilinear form T with respect to the standard basis of F_p^{2m} , that is, for $a, b \in F_p^{2m}$, we have $T(a; b) = a^t b^t$. Let I_m be the $m \times m$ unit matrix and $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. There exists a nonsingular $2m \times 2m$ matrix D such that $DTD^t = S$.

Proof: See [11, Chapter XV] and use the previous lemma. ■

For $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in F_{p^{2m}}^n$, let $(a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,m}; b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,m}) = c_i^{-1} (c_i) D^{-1} \in F_p^{2m}$. We define $(c) = (a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, \dots, a_{1,m}; a_{2,1}, \dots, a_{n,m}; b_{1,1}, \dots, b_{n,m})$. Then it is clear that the Hamming weight of c is equal to the weight (4) of (c) , since D is a nonsingular matrix. For $a, b \in F_{p^{2m}}^n$ we consider the inner product

$$ha; b^{p^m} i; \quad (6)$$

where $h; i$ denotes the standard inner product in F_p^{2m} .

Proposition 11 Let $C \subseteq F_{p^{2m}}^n$ be a linear code over $F_{p^{2m}}$, and C^\perp the orthogonal space of C with respect to (6). Then the orthogonal space of (C) with respect to (1) is (C^\perp) .

Proof: For $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n); e^0 = (e_1^0, \dots, e_n^0) \in F_{p^{2m}}^n$, the inner product (1) of $(e) = (a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{n,m}; b_{1,1}, \dots, b_{n,m})$ and $(e^0) = (a_{1,1}^0, \dots, a_{n,m}^0; b_{1,1}^0, \dots, b_{n,m}^0)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{i,j} b_{i,j}^0 - a_{i,j}^0 b_{i,j}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (e_i) D^{-1} S (D^{-1})^t^{-1} (e_i^0)^t \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n T(-1(e_i); -1(e_i^0)): \end{aligned}$$

If $e_i e_i^{p^m} = c_1 + \dots + c_{2m} c^{p^{2m}-1}$, then $T(e_i; e_i^0) = c_{m+1} - c_1$. Thus if $\langle e; e^0 \rangle = 0$ then the inner product (1) of (e) and (e^0) is zero, which implies (C^0) is contained in the orthogonal space of (C) with respect to (1). Comparing their dimensions as F_p -spaces we see that they are equal. ■

Theorem 12 Let $C \subseteq F_{p^{2m}}^n$ be an $[n; (n-k)=2]$ linear code over $F_{p^{2m}}$, $C^{p^m} = \{x^{p^m} : x \in C\}$, and $(C^{p^m})^\perp$ the orthogonal space of C^{p^m} with respect to the standard inner product. Suppose that $C \subseteq (C^{p^m})^\perp$, and the minimum Hamming weight of $(C^{p^m})^\perp \cap C$ is d . Then the minimum weight (4) of (C) is d , and (C) is self-orthogonal with respect to the inner product (1). Any eigenspace of (C) is an $[[n; k; d]]_{p^m}$ quantum code.

4.2 Error correction for p^m -state systems

In this subsection we consider how to determine the error from measurements with quantum codes obtained via Theorem 12. We retain notations from Theorem 12. Suppose that g_1, \dots, g_r is an $F_{p^{2m}}$ -basis of C .

Suppose that by a similar procedure to Section 2.2, the error is converted to a unitary matrix corresponding to (e) for $e \in F_{p^{2m}}^n$. We fix a basis f_1, \dots, f_{2m} of $F_{p^{2m}}$ over F_p . Then F_p -basis of (C) is $\{f_j g_i : j=1, \dots, r, i=1, \dots, 2m\}$. First we shall show how to calculate $\langle e; g_i^{p^m} \rangle$ for each i . For $j=1, \dots, 2m$, let $(a_j f_j) = (f_j g_i)$. As in Section 3.2, by the measurement outcomes we can know the inner product (1) of (e) and $(f_j g_i)$, denoted by s_j , for $j=1, \dots, 2m$.

For $x = c_1 + \dots + c_{2m} c^{p^{2m}-1} \in F_{p^{2m}}$, $c_1, \dots, c_{2m} \in F_p$, we define $P(x) = c_{m+1} - c_1$. Then P is a nonzero F_p -linear map. As discussed in the proof of Proposition 11, $s_j = P(\langle e; f_j g_i^{p^m} \rangle) = P(\langle f_j; e; g_i^{p^m} \rangle)$. We define the map $P_{2m} : F_{p^{2m}} \rightarrow F_p^{2m}$, $x \mapsto (P(f_1 x), \dots, P(f_{2m} x))$. Then P_{2m} is an F_p -linear map, and $P_{2m}(\langle e; g_i^{p^m} \rangle) = (s_1, \dots, s_{2m})$. If P_{2m} is an isomorphism, then finding $\langle e; g_i^{p^m} \rangle$ from (s_1, \dots, s_{2m}) is a trivial task, merely a matrix multiplication. We shall show that P_{2m} is an isomorphism.

Lemma 13 [1, Theorem 6.1, Chapter III] Let W be a $2m$ -dimensional vector space over a field K with a basis f_1, \dots, f_{2m} , and W^* the dual of W , that is, the K -linear space consisting of linear maps from W to K . Then there exists a basis f_1^*, \dots, f_{2m}^* of W^* such that $f_k^*(f_j) = \delta_{jk}$. f_1^*, \dots, f_{2m}^* is called the dual basis.

Lemma 14 There exist $f_1^*, \dots, f_{2m}^* \in F_p^{2m}$ such that $P(f_j^* c) = \delta_{jk}$.

Proof: Notice that f_1^*, \dots, f_{2m}^* is an F_p -basis of $F_{p^{2m}}$. The dual space $\mathcal{B}_{p^{2m}}$ can be regarded as $F_{p^{2m}}$ -linear space by defining $x f : u \mapsto f(xu)$ for $x \in F_{p^{2m}}$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_{p^{2m}}$. Let f_1^*, \dots, f_{2m}^* be the dual basis of f_1^*, \dots, f_{2m}^* . Since $\mathcal{B}_{p^{2m}}$ is one-dimensional $F_{p^{2m}}$ -linear space and $0 \notin P \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{p^{2m}}$, f_k^* can be written as $c_k P$ for some $c_k \in F_{p^{2m}}$. It is clear that $P(f_j^* c) = \delta_{jk}$. ■

Proposition 15 P_{2m} is an isomorphism.

Proof: It suffices to show that P_{2m} is surjective. For $(a_1, \dots, a_{2m}) \in F_p^{2m}$, $P_{2m}(a_1 + \dots + a_{2m}) = (a_1, \dots, a_{2m})$, where k is as in the previous lemma. ■

As in Section 3.2, the error can be determined by a classical error-correcting algorithm for $(C^{p^m})^\perp$ from $hg_1^{p^m}; e_i, \dots, hg_r^{p^m}; e_i$.

5 Notes on the construction of codes with efficient decoding algorithms

It is desirable to have a systematic construction of quantum codes with efficient decoding algorithms. Calderbank et al. [3, Section V] showed a construction of cyclic linear quantum codes using the BCH bound for the minimum distance. With their construction we can correct errors up to the BCH bound using the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm.

If we use the Hartmann-Tzeng bound [7] or the restricted shift bound [13] then we get a better estimation of the minimum distance, and we can correct more errors using modified versions of the Feng-Rao decoding algorithm in [13, Theorem 6.8 and Remark 6.12]. The algorithms [13, Theorem 6.8 and Remark 6.12] correct errors up to the Hartmann-Tzeng bound or the restricted shift bound.

We cannot construct good cyclic codes of arbitrary code length. So we have to often puncture a cyclic code as in [3, Theorem 6 b)] to get a quantum code with efficient decoding algorithms. In classical error correction, we correct errors of a punctured code by applying an error-and-erasure decoding algorithm for the original code to the received word. But there is no (classical) received word in quantum error correction. So we decode a quantum punctured code as follows: Let $C^0 \subseteq F_q^n$ be a (classical) linear code, h_1^0, \dots, h_r^0 the rows of a check matrix for C^0 , C the punctured code of C^0 obtained by discarding the first coordinate, h_1, \dots, h_{r-1} the rows of a check matrix for C , and $0h_i$ the concatenation of 0 and h_i for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. We can express $0h_i$ as

$$0h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{X^r} a_{ij} h_j^0;$$

where $a_{ij} \in F_q$ [14, Lemma 10.1]. Suppose that an error $e = (e_2; \dots; e_n) \in F_q^{n-1}$ occurs and that we have the syndrome $s_1 = h_1; e_i, \dots, s_{r-1} = h_{r-1}; e_i$. We want to find e from s_1, \dots, s_{r-1} using an error-and-erasure decoding algorithm for C^0 . We can find $s_1^0, \dots, s_r^0 \in F_q$ such that

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{X^r} a_{ij} s_j^0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Then there exists $e^0 = (e_1; \dots; e_n) \in F_q^n$ such that

$$h_j^0; e^0 = s_j^0 \text{ for } j = 1; \dots; r; \quad (7)$$

because the condition (7) implies that $h_0 h_i; e^0_i = s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. If we apply an error-and-erasure decoding algorithm to the syndrome s_1^0, \dots, s_r^0 with the erasure in the first coordinate, then we find e^0 .

Note that the algorithms [13, Theorem 6.8 and Remark 6.12] are error-only decoding algorithms but we can modify them to error-and-erasure algorithms along the same line as [16, Section V I].

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Prof. Hao Chen, Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University, People's Republic of China, for providing his paper [4], without which our paper might not be in the present form. We would also like to thank Prof. Tetsuro Nishino, Department of Information and Communication Engineering, University of Electro-Communications, for teaching us quantum computing. We would also like to thank the anonymous reviewer for the critical comments that improved the presentation of our paper. We would also like to thank Dr. Shinji Mura, SONY Corporation, and Prof. Masaaki Homma, Department of Mathematics, Kanagawa University, for pointing out Remark 8.

References

- [1] C. H. Bennett, D. P. Divincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, "Mixed-state entanglement and quantum error correction," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 3824-3851, Nov. 1996. LANL eprint quant-ph/9604024.
- [2] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and N. J. A. Sloane, "Quantum error correction and orthogonal geometry," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 405-408, Jan. 1997. LANL eprint quant-ph/9605005.
- [3] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, and N. J. A. Sloane, "Quantum error correction via codes over $GF(4)$," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 1369-1387, July 1998. LANL eprint quant-ph/9608006.
- [4] H. Chen, "Construction of quantum error-correcting codes via algebraic-geometric codes," preprint, Apr. 1999.
- [5] A. Ekert and C. M.occhiavello, "Quantum error correction for communication," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 77, no. 12, pp. 2585-2588, Sept. 1996. LANL eprint quant-ph/9602022.
- [6] D. Gottesman, "Class of quantum error-correcting codes saturating the quantum Hamming bound," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 1862-1868, Sept. 1996. LANL eprint quant-ph/9604038.
- [7] C. R. P. Hartmann and K. K. Tzeng, "Generalizations of the BCH bound," *Inform. and Control*, vol. 20, pp. 489-498, 1972.

- [8] E. Knill, "Non-binary unitary error bases and quantum codes," LANL eprint quant-ph/9608048, Aug. 1996.
- [9] E. Knill, "Group representations, error bases and quantum codes," LANL eprint quant-ph/9608049, Aug. 1996.
- [10] E. Knill and R. Laamme, "Theory of quantum error-correcting codes," Phys. Rev. A, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 900{911, Feb. 1997. LANL eprint quant-ph/9604034.
- [11] S. Lang, Algebra, third ed., Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- [12] E. M. Rains, "Nonbinary quantum codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1827{1832, Sept. 1999. LANL eprint quant-ph/9703048.
- [13] R. Pellikaan, "The shift bound for cyclic, Reed-Muller and geometric Goppa codes," in Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory, ed. R. Pellikaan, M. Perret, and S. G. V. L. Dut, pp. 155{174, Walter de Gruyter, 1996.
- [14] V. S. Pless, W. C. Huffman, and R. A. Brualdi, "An introduction to algebraic codes," in Handbook of Coding Theory, ed. V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, vol. 1, pp. 3{139, 1998.
- [15] J. Preskill, "Lecture Notes for Physics 229: Quantum Information and Computation," Chapter 7, available from <http://www.theory.caltech.edu/people/preskill/ph229>, Feb. 1999.
- [16] B.-Z. Shen and K. K. Tzeng, "A code decomposition approach for decoding cyclic and algebraic-geometric codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1969{1987, Nov. 1995.