# The XXC Models

#### Z.M aassarani

D epartem ent de Physique, Pav. A-Vachon U niversite Laval, Ste Foy, Q c, G 1K 7P 4 C anada<sup>y</sup>

#### A bstract

A class of recently introduced multi-states XX models is generalized to include a deformation parameter. This corresponds to an additional nearest-neighbor CC interaction in the de ning quadratic ham iltonian. Complete integrability of the one-dimensional models is shown in the context of the quantum inverse scattering method. The new R-matrix is derived. The diagonalization of the XXC models is carried out using the algebraic Bethe Ansatz.

December 8<sup>th</sup> 1997 LAVAL-PHY-27/97 solv-int/9712008

W ork supported by N SERC (Canada) and FCAR (Quebec).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>em ailaddress: zm aassar@phy.ulaval.ca

# 1 Introduction

Multi-states bosonic Hubbard models which generalize the usual su (2) model were recently introduced. These models were shown to be integrable and to have an extended symmetry [1,2,3]. They are built by coupling two copies of XX 'free-ferm ions' models [4,5,3]. These higher dimensional 'ferm ion' models were not obtained as part of a quantum group structure. The quantum group approach to the construction of solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation results in higher dimensional models with symmetries rejecting the root structure of the underlying algebra [6]. The combination lowering-raising operators in the R-matrix generically involves all the roots of the algebra. The 'free-ferm ions' models are also higher dimensional and they have simple expressions in terms of the generators of the fundamental representations of su (n). However they involve only a subset of the set of roots of su (n). The diagonalization of the models reveals an su (2) structure which does not correspond to the known integrable higher-spin representations.

An integrable deform ation of the XX m odels is found and studied in this paper. For the lowest dimensional model the XXC model is nothing but the usual su(2) XXZ model. The R-matrix for the higher dimensional models retains the form of the XXZ model and can be seen as a multistate generalization of the latter model. The matrix is given and shown to satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation. Speci c representations are given. Integrability of the models is a simple consequence of the quantum inverse scattering fram ework. The symmetries of the new models are obtained. Diagonalization of the XXC models using the algebraic Bethe Ansatz method shows that their spectrum is highly degenerate, and is a generalization of the su(2) XXZ spectrum. I conclude with some remarks.

# 2 The XXC models

Consider a matrix R of the form

$$R(;) = P^{(1)} \sin + P^{(2)} \sin () + P^{(3)} \sin ()$$

where is an arbitrary complex parameter and is the spectral parameter. The operators  $P^{(i)}$  act on the tensor product of two copies of the same space  $C^n$ . They are taken to satisfy the algebra derived in [3]. Let  $E_i = P_{ii+1}^{(3)}$ , that is,  $E_i$  acts non-trivially on the adjacent spaces i; i+1. The dening relations of the 'free-ferm ions' algebra A are:

$$fE_{i}^{2};E_{i}g=E_{i}g=E_{i}$$
;  $E_{i}^{3}=E_{i}$  (2)

$$E_iE_{i-1}E_i = 0$$
;  $E_iE_j = E_jE_i$  for  $ji-jj-2$  (3)

where fA;Bg = AB + BA. The fourth equation just expresses the fact that  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  commute when they act non-trivially on disjoint spaces. The operators  $P^{(1)}$  and  $P^{(2)}$  form a complete set of projectors on the tensor product space  $C^n$ .

$$P^{(1)} + P^{(2)} = I; (P^{(1)})^2 = P^{(1)}; (P^{(2)})^2 = P^{(2)}; P^{(1)}P^{(2)} = P^{(2)}P^{(1)} = 0$$
 (4)

where I is the identity operator. The operator  $P^{(1)}$  is equal to the square of the operator  $P^{(3)}$ , and  $P^{(2)}P^{(3)}=P^{(3)}P^{(2)}=0$ . At =-2 the matrix (1) is equal to the matrix found in [3].

One then checks that R satis es the Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}(;)R_{23}(+;)R_{12}(;) = R_{23}(;)R_{12}(+;)R_{23}(;)$$
 (5)

where the parameter is the same for all six factors. The argument of R will not be written in what follows. The calculation is straightforward if tedious. One can expand both sides of the

YBE, use elementary trigonometric relations and the relations satised by the P (i) sto conclude. A Itematively, setting  $q = e^i$  and  $y = e^i$  and multiplying the YBE by 2iqy, one can also verify that the YBE is satisfied for the six values q = 0; 1; i; 1. It is then enough to check that the coe cient of  $q^1$  vanishes identically to conclude that the YBE is satis ed for all values of ,

It is easy to check that the regularity and unitarity properties hold:

$$R(0) = I \sin(0); R(0) = I \sin(0); R(0) = I \sin(0); R(0)$$

The rational limit of R is obtained by letting!, dividing by sin and taking the limit ! 0. These manipulations conserve all the properties of the R-matrix. In particular, one obtains

$$R() = P^{(1)} + (1) P^{(2)} + P^{(3)}$$
 (7)

$$R(0) = I ; R()R() = I(1 2)$$
 (8)

Explicit representations of the foregoing algebra were given in [3]. Let E and column and zeros otherwise. Let  $n_1$   $n_2$  be three matrix with a one at row integers such that

$$2 n ; 1 n_1 n_2 n 1 ; n_1 + n_2 = n (9)$$

and A, B be two disjoint sets whose union is the set of basis states of  $C^n$ , with card  $(A) = n_1$ and card (B) =  $n_2$ . Let also

$$P^{(1)} = (P^{(3)})^2 = E^{(3)} =$$

The  $n_1 n_2$  param eters  $x_a$  are arbitrary complex numbers. Latin indices belong to A while greek indices belong to B. These operators satisfy all the de ning relations of the algebra A. The restriction  $n_1$   $n_2$  just avoids a double counting of distinct models since one has the obvious sym m etry A \$ B. For  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = n$  1, and all the  $x_a$  equal to each other, one recovers the su (n) XX m odels found in [5]. A llowing the twist parameters x to be unequal amounts to a multiple deform ation of these models.

It is possible to perform a 'gauge' transform ation which puts these models in a Temperley-Lieb form . One obtains

$$R^{TL}() = I \sin() + (P^{(3)} + P^{TL}) \sin()$$
 (13)

$$P^{TL} = {P \choose a2A} {P \choose 2B} {e^{i} E} {E^{aa} + e^{i} E^{aa}} E$$
 (14)

 $R^{TL}$  ( ) satis es the Yang-Baxter equation.

The transfer matrix is the generating functional of the in nite set of conserved quantities. Its construction in the framework of the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method is by now well known [7, 8, 9]. The Lax operator on a chain at site i with inhom ogeneity i sigiven by:

$$L_{0i}() = R_{0i}() = P_{0i}R_{0i}()$$
 (15)

where P is the permutation operator on  $C^n$   $C^n$ . The monodrom y matrix is a product of Lax operators, T () = L  $_{0M}$  ()::L  $_{01}$  (), where M is the number of sites on the chain and 0 is the auxiliary space. The transfer matrix is the trace of the monodrom y matrix over the auxiliary space 0: () = Tr<sub>0</sub> [(L  $_{0M}$  ::L  $_{01}$ )()]. A set of conserved quantities is then given by

$$H_{p+1} = \frac{d^p \ln ()}{d^p} = 0$$
 (16)

The YBE implies the following intertwining relations for the elements of the monodrom ymatrix:

$$R \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} 2 \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} 2 \end{pmatrix} T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(17)$$

Taking the trace over the auxiliary spaces, and using the cyclicity property of the trace, one obtains  $[\ (\ _1);\ (\ _2)]=0$ . The ham iltonians  $H_p$  therefore mutually com mute.

The quadratic ham iltonian calculated from (16) is equal to

$$H_{2} = \frac{1}{\sin^{2}} \sum_{i}^{X} P_{ii+1}^{(3)} P_{ii+1}^{(2)} \cos$$
 (18)

Periodic boundary conditions are implied. These ham iltonians can be written simply in terms of su(n) herm it in traceless matrices. For  $j_{k_a}$  j=1 and real the ham iltonians are herm it in. The rational limit yields:  $H_2 = {P \choose i} (P_{ii+1}^{(3)} - P_{ii+1}^{(2)})$ . It is possible to get a positive relative sign between  $P^{(3)}$  and  $P^{(2)}$ ; one lets ! , and takes the rational limit for the rational ham iltonian.

For all the representations of the operators  $P^{(i)}$  given above one can introduce the 'conjugation' matrix  $\beta$ 

$$C = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X & X \\ E & E & E^{aa} \end{array}$$
 (19)

and verify that  $P^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(I + C + C)$ . Dropping the part proportional to the identity in (18) gives the quadratic XXC ham iltonian. For n = 2 this is nothing but the XXZ model for su (2).

For all the parameters equal to one parameter,  $x_a = x$ ; 8a 2 A ; 8 2 B, the linear magnetic-eld operators

$$H_1^{ab} = {X \atop i} E_1^{ab} a; b 2 A ; H_1 = {X \atop i} E_1 ; 2 B$$
 (20)

com m ute with the transfer m atrix. The proof of [3] still holds. The full local sym m etry is su  $(n_1)$  su  $(n_2)$  u (1). One consequence is that one can add m agnetic—eld terms for each sym m etry generators, w ithout spoiling the integrability of the m odels.

# 3 A lgebraic B ethe A n satz

The diagonalization by algebraic Bethe Ansatz of the XXC models is similar to the one for the XXX models. We refer the reader to [3,5] for details and give here the results. I am considering the case  $x_a = x$  to avoid unessential complications which are peculiar to the higher dimensional models (n 3).

It is easy to see that the vector jli~jli~mil~im jli is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix. A set of Bethe Ansatz eigenvectors is then obtained from the action of certain elements of the monodrom y matrix, the C  $T_1$ , and is given by:

$$j_1; :::;_p i = X F_p; :::;_1 C_1(1) ::: C_p(p) j i$$
 (21)

where the param eters  $_{i}$  and the coe cients F are to be determ ined. This Ansatz also vanishes identically if p > M; the proof of this fact is similar to that of  $\beta$ ].

One then applies the transfer matrix on the state  $j_1; :::;_p i$  and with the help of relations (17) commutes it through the C 's. The corresponding eigenvalues of () are

$$((n_{1},n_{2}),M)(;f_{i}g) = \begin{cases} y_{i} & y_{i} & y_{i} \\ & sin(((i_{1},n_{2}),M)) & f((i_{1},i_{1})) & f((i_{2},i_{1})) & f((i_{3},i_{1})) & f((i_$$

where f() =  $\frac{x \sin{(-)}}{\sin{(-)}}$ . Here  $\frac{(n_2 \, p)}{\sin{(-)}}$  is an eigenvalue of the unit-shift operator  $\frac{(n_2 \, p)}{\sin{(-)}}$ , for a chain of p sites and  $n_2$  possible states at each site. The coe cients F  $\frac{p}{\sin{(-)}}$  are such F is an eigenvector of  $\frac{(n_2 \, p)}{\sin{(-)}}$  for the eigenvalue  $\frac{(n_2 \, p)}{\sin{(-)}}$ . Finally the Bethe Ansatz equations are just

$$(1)^{p-1} \stackrel{\forall i}{=} \frac{x \sin ((j_{i}))}{\sin (j_{i})} = \frac{(n_{2}p)}{k \sin ((j_{i}))} \stackrel{\forall i}{=} \frac{\sin ((j_{i}))}{\sin ((k_{i}))}; j = 1; ...; p$$
 (23)

Note that these equations imply the vanishing of the residues of  $(n_1, n_2) M$  (; f ig) at the j's. The operator  $(n_2, p)$  can be written as a product of disjoint permutation cycles. One also has  $(n_2, p)^p = I$ . The eigenvalues  $(n_2, p)^p$  are then  $q^{th}$  roots of unity, where q is a divisor of p, and are highly degenerate. The degeneracy of an eigenvalue depends on p and  $n_2$ .

One can perform the above diagonalization procedure over the pseudo-vacuum jai (a  $\in$  1). The set of eigenvalues is exactly the same as the one found above and the eigenvectors have the same structure but form a completely distinct set, at least for 0 p < M . One can also start with any of the vectors jji, 2 B and obtain yet other sets of eigenvectors. The superscript  $n_2$  is replaced by  $n_1$  in (22),  $n_2^{(n_2,p)}$  and  $n_2^{(n_2,p)}$ . These features reject a large degeneracy of the spectrum.

The rational lim it is obtained by letting ! ,  $_i$  !  $_i$ , dividing the eigenvalue (22) by (sin )  $^M$  and taking the lim it ! 0. For = 2 the above results reduce to those of [3]. For arbitrary the eigenvalues and the Bethe Ansatz equations have the form of those of the su (2) X X Z m odel, if one momentarily 'forgets' the  $^{(n_2,p)}$  correction. One can also diagonalize the unit-shift operator by algebraic Bethe Ansatz, since this operator appears system atically as one of the conserved quantities for all the su (n) X X Z spin-chains. This rejects the underlying symmetry seen above.

A detailed study of the spectrum is desirable. In particular, the therm odynam ic lim it of the spectrum would shed some light on the dierences between the XXZ spectrum and the XXC one. Taking the logarithm of the Bethe Ansatz equations shows that the distribution of integers characterizing the solutions will get a contribution from the  $^{(n_2,p)}$  correction. This may result in a denser spectrum. Whether this in wences the central charge and the conformal weights remains to be clarified.

A feature of the models with n 3, is the term  $_{pM}$  in the expression of the eigenvalues. This seems to imply a jump in the spectrum of (). However note that, because of the denition of the conserved quantities, the ham iltonians H  $_1$ ; ...; H  $_M$  are not a ected by this -term. For vanishing inhom ogeneities, the M  $^{th}$  power of the eigenvalues of  $(0)=(\sin\ )^M$  is equal to one because one has the unit-shift operator for M sites and n states; one can verify that the M  $^{th}$  power of the eigenvalues (22) is equal to one, after using equations (23). The eigenvalues of H  $_2$ 

(at  $_{i} = 0$ ) for the trigonom etric and rational cases are equal to

$$\frac{d \ln}{d} \dot{j}_{=0} = M \frac{\cos}{\sin} + \sin \frac{X^{p}}{\sin(\dot{j})} \frac{1}{\sin(\dot{j})} \sin(\dot{j})$$
 (24)

$$\frac{d \ln_{r}}{d} \dot{j}_{=0} = M + \frac{X^{p}}{j} \frac{1}{j(1 - j)}$$
 (25)

Finally, for  $\theta = 2 + ik$ ,  $k \ 2 \ Z$ , the decorated YBE is not satisfied and it is not possible to couple, a la Shastry [4, 3], two XXC copies for generic values of .

### R eferences

- [1] Z.M aassarani, The su(n) Hubbard model, LAVAL-PHY-24/97, cond-mat/9709252.
- [2] Z.M aassarani, Exact integrability of the su(n) Hubbard model, LAVAL-PHY-25/97, cond-mat/9710083.
- [3] Z.M aassarani, Hubbard Models as Fusion Products of Free Fermions, LAVAL-PHY-26/97, cond-mat/9711142.
- [4] B S.Shastry, Phys.Rev.Lett.56, 1529 (1986); Phys.Rev.Lett.56, 2453 (1986); B S.Shastry, J.Stat.Phys.50, (1988) 57{79.
- [5] Z. Maassarani and P. Mathieu, The su(n) XX model, LAVAL-PHY-22/97, cond-mat/9709163.
- [6] M. Jimbo, Comm. Math. Phys. 102 (1986) 537; V. V. Bazhanov, Comm. Math. Phys. 113 (1987) 471.
- [7] L.D. Faddeev in Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, Les Houches (1982); L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev, Rus. Math. Sur. 34 (1979) 11.
- [8] H.B. Thacker, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53 (1981) 253; H.J. de Vega, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4 (1989) 2371.
- [9] L D . Faddeev, H ow A lgebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable model, Les H ouches lectures, hepth/9605187, and references therein.