M objus invariant integrable lattice equations associated with KP and 2DTL hierarchies

L.V.Bogdanov and B.G.Konopelchenko $^{\rm Y}$

Consortium EINSTEIN, Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universita di Lecce and Sezione INFN, 73100 Lecce, Italy

Abstract

Integrable lattice equations arising in the context of singularm anifold equations for scalar, multicom ponent KP hierarchies and 2D Toda lattice hierarchy are considered. These equation generate the corresponding continuous hierarchy of singular manifold equations, its Backlund transform ations and di erent form s of superposition principles. They possess rather special form of com patibility representation. The distinctive feature of these equations is invariance under the action of M objus transform ation. G com etric interpretation of these discrete equations is given.

Introduction

The equations we are going to discuss here were derived by the authors in fram e of analytic-bilinear approach to integrable hierarchies [1], [2]. They arise on the third level of the hierarchy, i.e. as a discrete singular manifold equations (or, in other words, in the context of the hierarchy in Schwarzian

Perm anent address: L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygin str. 2, M oscow 117940, G SP 1, Russia; e-m ail Leonid@ landau.ac.nu

^yA lso: Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk 90, Russia

²European Institute for N onlinear Studies via Transnationally Extended Interchanges

form). These equations are highly symmetric and possess very peculiar properties.

First, they have a very special compatibility condition representation, symmetric with respect to lattice variables and indicating duality between wave functions and potential (providing in fact equations for both objects in a similar way).

Second, they possess (even in the matrix case, corresponding to multicomponent KP hierarchy) a M obius symmetry, which is typical for equations in Schwarzian form and which is deeply connected to projective geometry. Similar equations for the K dV case were interpreted by B obenko and P inkall β] as equations of discrete isotherm ic surfaces. We hope that equations we discuss m ay also be interpreted in terms of some special classes of discrete surfaces, though the interpretation is yet unknown for the matrix case. In the scalar case, how ever, there are at least two ways to connect the equations to discrete geometry: as a reduction of D arboux system and in terms of the three-dimensional lattice on the complex plane with some special geometrical properties.

Third, for the basic hierarchy we have one lattice equation of the considered type, and it generates by expansion in parameters the continuous hierarchy itself, its Backlund transform ations and di erent types of superposition formulae for Backlund transform ations. Thus it encodes all the inform ation about the hierarchy (more or less in the way of H irota bilinear discrete equation, with which it is closely connected, but not in terms of the -function patter in terms of wave functions and potentials)

-function, rather in terms of wave functions and potentials).

Basic equations and their properties

In this section we introduce basic equations and study some of their properties without the reference to the original scheme of derivation of these equations (a sketch of it will be given later).

In the context of the scalar KP hierarchy the basic equation reads

$$(T_{2 1})(T_{3 2})(T_{1 3}) = (T_{2 3})(T_{3 1})(T_{1 2}):$$
 (1)

Here

=
$$(n_1;n_2;n_3);$$

T₁ = $(n_1+1;n_2;n_3);$

$$_{1} = T_{1} \quad 1:$$

In the case of multicomponent KP hierarchy is N N matrix-valued function and the basic equation looks like

$$(T_{1 3})$$
 $(T_{3 1})$ 1 $(T_{2 2})$ $(T_{2 3})$ 1 $(T_{1 1})$ $(T_{1 2})$ $^{1} = 1:$ (2)

And nally, for the scalar 2D Toda lattice hierarchy one has

This equation is not symmetric with respect to all three shifts, one of them (T) plays a special role and corresponds to the original (essentially) discrete variable of the Toda lattice. In what follows we will mainly study equations (1), (2)

Equation (1) may be treated as compatibility condition for the following set of equations for the function f

$$\frac{a_{i}T_{i}f}{a_{j}T_{j}f} = \frac{i}{j} \quad i; j; k \ 2 \ f1; 2; 3g; \tag{4}$$

where a_i are some constants. To demonstrate this, we break the symmetry of the relation (4) and distinguish one of the shifts (say, T_1).

R em ark. Unfortunately, we don't know a symmetric derivation starting from relation (4), though the initial equation and the nal equation are symmetric. Symmetric way of deriving (1) in another context will be given later in this paper. Then we rewrite (4) in the form

$$T_{2}f = \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}} T_{1}f = U T_{1}f;$$
$$T_{3}f = \frac{a_{1}}{a_{3}} T_{1}f = V T_{1}f:$$

In this form the system looks more familiar. Then, as usual, taking cross-shifts of the rst and the second equations (which should give the same results) and using the equations them selves to get rid of the shifts T_2 , T_3 acting on f, one obtains the following equation (compatibility condition)

$$(T_3U) (T_1V) = (T_2V) (T_1U)$$
:

Substituting the expressions of U , V through $\$, one gets exactly equation (1).

It is possible to start also with the following (dual') system to get equation (1)

$$\frac{a_{i}T_{i}^{1}f}{a_{j}T_{j}^{1}f} = \frac{e_{i}}{e_{j}} \quad i; j; k \ 2 \ f1; 2; 3g; \tag{5}$$

where

$$e_{i} = T_{i}^{1}$$
 1:

On the other hand, it is possible to treat the system (4) as a set of linear equations for the function . To do it, we rewrite the system in the form

$${}_{2} = \frac{a_{2}T_{2}f}{a_{1}T_{1}f} {}_{1}f = U {}_{1};$$

$${}_{3} = \frac{a_{3}T_{3}f}{a_{1}T_{1}f} {}_{1}f = V {}_{1}:$$

The compatibility condition for this system gives two equations

$$(T_3U)(T_1V) = (T_2V)(T_1U);$$

 $_3U + (T_3U)_1V = _2V + (T_2V)_1U:$

The rst of these equations is resolved by the substitution of expressions for the functions U , V in terms of f , the second gives the following equation for f $\$

$$X_{\substack{ijk\\(ijk)}} f_{jk} a_j a_k T_j \frac{f}{T_i f} = 0;$$
(6)

sum m ation here is over di erent permutations of indices.

Starting from the dual linear system (5), one obtains an equation for the function $f^{\rm e}$

$$X_{ijk}a_{j}a_{k}T_{k} \quad \frac{T_{i}\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{f}} = 0;$$
(7)

Both these equation are connected with the modi ed KP hierarchy (the equation for f with the m KP hierarchy itself and the equation for f with the dual (adjoint) hierarchy). Sim ilar equations were derived by N ijho et al [4].

The matrix case (2) can be treated the same way. Equations (5) and the dual system for this case read

$$(_{j})^{1}_{i} = (A_{j}T_{j}f)^{1}(A_{i}T_{i}f);$$

 $e_{i}(e_{j})^{1} = (T_{i}^{1}fA_{i})(T_{j}^{1}fA_{j})^{1};$

where A_i are some diagonal matrices; in what follows we suggest that the determ inant of A_1 is not equal to zero.

The linear system for the function f takes the form

$$A_{1}^{1}A_{2}T_{2}f = (T_{1}f)(1)^{1} = (T_{1}f)U;$$
$$A_{1}^{1}A_{3}T_{3}f = (T_{1}f)(1)^{1} = (T_{1}f)V:$$

The compatibility condition for this system gives equation (2) in the form

$$(T_{1 3})$$
 $(T_{3 1})$ $(T_{3 2}) = (T_{1 2})$ $(T_{2 1})$ $(T_{3 3})$:

Then, the linear system for the function reads

$${}_{2} = {}_{1} (a_{1}T_{1}f) {}^{1}A_{2}T_{2}f = {}_{1} U;$$

$${}_{3} = {}_{1} (a_{1}T_{1}f) {}^{1}A_{3}T_{3}f = {}_{1} V;$$

and a compatibility condition gives a matrix version of equation (6)

X
$$_{ijk}A_{j}T_{j}$$
 f (If) ¹ $A_{k} = 0$:
 $_{(ijk)}$

A matrix version of (7) is

X
$$_{ijk}A_kT_k$$
 f¹ (If) $A_j = 0$:
 $_{(ijk)}$

Sym m etries of the basic equations

It is easy to check that equation (1), (3) possess a M obius symmetry transformation, i.e. if function is a solution to this equation, then function $^{\circ}$,

$$^{0}=\frac{a+b}{c+d};$$

where a;b;c;d are arbitrary constants, is also a solution. For the m atrix equation (2) the sym m etries include inversion, left and right m atrix m ultiplication and shift

$$^{0} = ^{1};$$

 $^{0} = A; ^{0} = B;$
 $^{0} = +C;$

where A; B; C are some matrices (determinant of A and B is not equal to zero).

The structure of equation (2) resembles the structure of quartic equations given by B obenko and P inkall [3] for the quaternion description of discrete isotherm ic surfaces in R³. Probably equation (2) also has a geometric interpretation in terms of quaternion construction.

It is interesting to note that due to the inversion sym m etry, the equation (2) adm its a reduction

that for belonging to the eld of quaternions means that the vector in R 3 corresponding to the (imaginary) quaternion has a unit length, and so the equation (2) in this case de ness a three-dimensional lattice on the unit sphere.

Equations (6), (7) are connected by the transform ation

$$f^{0} = f^{1};$$

 $f^{0} = f^{1};$

that keeps in the matrix case.

The hierarchy of continuous equations

Let us suggest that the function in equation (1) is a function of a standard in nite set of KP variables $x, x = fx_kg; 1 \quad k < 1$ (we will also use notations $x = x_1, y = x_2, t = x_3$) and the shift operators T_i are realized as

$$T_{i}:x ! x + [a_{i}];$$
 (8)
 $[a_{i}] = f \frac{1}{k} a_{i}^{k} g:$

This suggestion is justified by the explicit construction given in [1], [2]. Then, expanding (1) into the powers of a_1 and taking the rst term, we get

$$(T_2 x)(T_3 2) 3 = (T_3 x)(T_2 3) 2$$
: (9)

The next step is to expand this equation in a_2 . The second order in a_2 . A fler simple transform ations we get

$$\frac{\emptyset}{\emptyset x} \ln \frac{1}{x a} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{y + xx}{x} ; \qquad (10)$$

where we are left with one discrete variable and parameter a, so we om it the index 3. And nally, expanding in parameter and taking the rst nonvanishing term, which corresponds to the third order in a_3 in the initial equation (1), we obtain

$$t = \frac{1}{4} xxx + \frac{3}{8} \frac{\frac{2}{y} \frac{2}{xx}}{x} + \frac{3}{4} xW_{y}; \quad W_{x} = \frac{y}{x}:$$
(11)

This equation rst arose in Painleve analysis of the KP equation as a singular manifold equation [5]. The higher term sofexpansion of equation (1) will lead to the hierarchy of singular manifold equations.

So we have the following objects:

1. Lattice equation (1)

2. Equation with two discrete and one continuous variables (9)

3. Equation with one discrete and two continuous variables (10)

4. PDE (11) with three continuous variables

(we would like to emphasize that for the cases 2, 3, 4 we have an in nite hierarchy of equations). All these equations are the sym metries of each other by construction, and can be interpreted in dierent ways (continuous sym metries of discrete equations, discrete sym metries of continuous equations and mixed cases). The interpretation depends on the choice of the basic equation (i.e. in some sense on the point of reference).

A standard way is to take continuous equation (11) as a basic system. Then the interpretation of the other objects is the following:

3. Equation (10) de nes a Backlund transform ation for the equation (11)

2. Equation (9) is a superposition principle for two Backlund transform ations 1. Lattice equation (1) provides an algebraic superposition principle for three Backlund transform ations. Derivation of equations (1), (2) from the equations for the CBA function

In [1], [2] the following equations were derived for the scalar KP C auchy-Baker-A khiezer (CBA) function

$$_{i}$$
 (;;x) = a_{i}^{e} (;x) T_{i} (;x); (12)

where (;;x) is a CBA function,

(;;x) = g(;x) (;;x)g¹(;x);
g(;x) = exp
$$x_n^{x^2}$$
;
 x_n^{n} ;

(; ;x) is de ned in the unit disc in ;, is analytic in these variables for $\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$ and for ! behaves like ()¹; (;x) and ^e(;x) are respectively Baker-Akhiezer (BA) and dual (adjoint) BA functions

the shifts T_i are realized in the form (8).

For the multicomponent KP case CBA and BA functions are N N matrix-valued functions, we have N in nite sets of KP variables

$$x = (x_1; :::; x_N);$$

and the action of three shift operators T_i is de ned as

$$T_{i} : x ! x + [a_{i}];$$
$$[a_{i}] = ([a_{i(1)}]; :::; [a_{i(N)}]);$$

 a_i are some constant N -dimensional vectors. The equation (12) for the multicomponent case reads

Integrating the equation (12) with two arbitrary functions (), e() over the unit circle in $\$, we get

$$_{i}(x) = a_{i}f(x)T_{i}f(x)$$
: (14)

Using this equation, it is easy to check that satisfies the equation (1). And, taking cross-di erences of equations (14) and combining them, one gets equations (6), (7) in a symmetric algebraic manner, without reference to compatibility conditions. The multicomponent case (2) is analogous.

An alternative way to derive equations for , f, f from (14) is just to reproduce equations (4), (5) (which is straightforward), and then use com - patibility conditions.

Taking the weight functions on the unit circle in the form () = ($_0$), e() = ($_0$), one arrives to the conclusion that the CBA function itself satis as the equation (1) (or (2) in the matrix case). Then, using the analytic properties of the CBA function and going to the limit !, one obtains for the function u(x) = $_r(0;0;x)$ the discrete version of the KP hierarchy in the form of algebraic superposition principle for three Backlund transform ations

$$\sum_{\substack{ijk\\(ijk)}}^{A} A_k T_k (u u A_i T_i u) A_j = 0;$$
(15)

where sum m ation goes over di erent permutations of indices. Sim ilar equation can be found in [4].

It is also possible to reproduce in this way equations (6), (7) taking only one weight function as -function and going to the limit ! 0 or ! 0.

Thus the equations (1), (2) encode all the three levels of generalized KP hierarchy: hierarchy of singular manifold equations, modi ed hierarchy and the basic KP hierarchy.

Equation (1) and quadrilateral lattices

A more general version of equation (12) reads (we need only scalar case here) [1]

(;;x+[a]) $(;;x+[b]) = (a b) \stackrel{e}{b} (;x+[b]) _{b} (;x+[a]);$ (16) where $_{b}(;x)$, $\stackrel{e}{_{b}}(;x)$ are respectively BA and dualBA function associated with the point b rather than the point zero for equation (12),

$$e_{b}(;x) = g^{1}(;x)$$
 (b; ;x):

For a = 0 the equation (16) gives

$$(;;x+[b])$$
 $(;;x) = b e_{b}(;x+[b]) b(;x)$: (17)

Introducing lattice variables and taking bequal to ai, we get

$$i (;;n) = a_{i a_{i}}(;n)T_{i a_{i}}^{e}(;n):$$
 (18)

Then, recalling analytic properties of BA and CBA functions, removing the common factors $g_j(;n_j), g_k(;n_k), k \in i \in j \in k$ from the equation (18),

$$g_i(;n_i) = g(;n_i[a_i]) = -----a_i; a_i;$$

and taking it at the points $= a_j$, $= a_k$, we get a discrete D arboux equation in terms of rotation coe cients [6]

$$i_{jk} = j_{ji}T_{i_{k}}$$
(19)

where

$$_{ij} = \frac{p a_{i}a_{j}}{a_{i}a_{j}} \frac{g_{j}(a_{i})g_{k}(a_{i})}{g_{i}(a_{j})g_{k}(a_{j})} (a_{i};a_{j})$$

As it was recently discovered [7], the equation (19) describes a system of planar quadrilateral lattices.

We have derived the equation (19) in some very special setting, i.e. in the context of the scalar KP hierarchy, so we could expect to have some additional constrains. Indeed, doing some algebra, from (16) one also gets the following relations

$$ij \ ji = 1;$$

$$ij \ jk \ ki = \ ik \ kj \ ji = 1;$$

$$ij = T_{i} \ ij:$$
(20)

These constraints from the rst sight look a bit mysterious, and one wonders how it is possible to satisfy them. But recalling the expression for the $(a_i;a_i;n)$ in terms of the -function [1]

1 T.T.¹ (n)

$$(a_i;a_j;n) = \frac{1}{a_i a_j} \frac{1}{a_j} \frac{1}{a$$

for _{ij} one gets

$$_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{a_{i}}^{s} g_{j}(a_{i})g_{k}(a_{i})}{a_{i}g_{i}(a_{i})g_{k}(a_{i})} \frac{T_{j}}{T_{i}} (n);$$

It is easy to check that all the constraints are satis ed by the -functional substitution. Moreover, if we use this substitution for Darboux equations (19), what we get is just a standard H irota bilinear di erence equation

$$a_{j}(a_{k} a_{i})(T_{i}T_{k})T_{j} + a_{k}(a_{i} a_{j})(T_{i}T_{j})T_{k} + a_{i}(a_{j} a_{k})(T_{j}T_{k})T_{i} = 0$$
:

R em ark 1 The existence of constraints (20) is not limited by the case of one-component KP hierarchy and discrete times labeled by the continuous parameter (a_i) ; in fact what we really need to get these constraints is common zero of the functions g_i () corresponding to discrete shifts T_i (see [2] form ore details). In the case when all three discrete variables are essentially discrete, corresponding to four-component KP hierarchy (there are three independent essentially discrete variables in the four-component case) the -functional substitution resolving the constraints looks like

$$ij(n) = \frac{T_j T_i^{(1)}(n)}{(n)};$$

and using it, we get from (19) an addition formula for the four-component KP case containing only essentially discrete shifts

$$(T_iT_k)T_j + (T_iT_j)T_k + (T_jT_k)T_i = 0$$
:

Interm ediate cases (i.e. when som e of the discrete variables are essentially discrete, while others contain continuous parameter) are also possible.

R em ark 2 It is interesting to note that the set of relations (20) arises also in projective geometry in the description of coordinate systems in the incidence space ([8], p. 260-262).

There is an important question what kind of system of planar quadrilateral lattices are described by this special case (reduction) of the discrete D arboux system (corresponding to the one-component KP case). To understand it, we should recover that the function satisfying the equation (1) is connected with the radius-vector of the related system of lattices. M ore explicitly,

$$r(n) = (1; 2; 3);$$

where $_{1}$; $_{2}$; $_{3}$ are solutions to (1) obtained from the same CBA function integrated with di erent weight functions.

So geom etrical characterization of this special system of planar quadrilateral discrete surfaces connected with the one-component KP hierarchy is the following: it is the three-dimensional lattice built of planar quadrilaterals such that the projection of the radius-vector to each coordinate axis satis es equation (1).

The case of equations (19) + constrains (20) corresponding to the multicomponent KP hierarchy will lead us to the matrix equation (2), where the matrix contains several radius-vectors as columns, and we do not have clear geometric interpretation for this case yet.

There is another geom etric interpretation for equation (1) if the function is complex-valued. Then this equation de nestwo conditions for the system of hexagons in the complex plane with the vertices

(
$$T_1$$
; T_1T_3 ; T_3 ; T_3T_2 ; T_2 ; T_1T_2):

These hexagons are not necessarily convex and m ay even have self-intersections. The rst condition is that the sum of the angles at the vertices T_1 ; T_3 ; T_2 (and also at the vertices T_1T_3 ; T_3T_2 ; T_1T_2) is 2 n. The second is that the products of lengths of three non-adjacent sides are equal

 r_1 ; r_1r_3 ; r_3r_3 ; r_3r_2 ; r_2 ; r_1r_2 ; r_1r_3 ; r_3 ; r_3r_2 ; r_2 ; r_1r_2 ; r_1r_2 ; r_1r_3 ;

Similar interpretation also exists for equation (3).

A cknow ledgm ents

The rst author (LB) is grateful to the D ipartim ento diF isica dell'Universita and Sezione INFN, Lecce, for hospitality and support; (LB) also acknow \vdash edges partial support from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grants N o 98-01-00525 and 96-15-96093 (scienti c schools).

References

[1] L.V. Bogdanov and B.G. Konopelchenko, Analytic-bilinear approach to integrable hierarchies. I.Generalized KP hierarchy. Preprint solvint/9609009, 28 pages, to appear in J.M ath. Phys.

- [2] L.V.Bogdanov and B.G.Konopelchenko, Analytic-bilinear approach to integrable hierarchies. II. Multicom ponent KP and Toda lattice hierarchies. Preprint solv-int/9705009, 43 pages, 1997, to appear in J.M ath. Phys.
- [3] A.Bobenko and U.Pinkall, J. reine angew.M ath. 475, 187 (1996)
- [4] F.Nijho and H.W. Capel, Inverse Problem s 6, 567 (1990).
- [5] J.W eiss, J.M ath. Phys. 24, 1405 (1983).
- [6] L.V.Bogdanov and B.G.Konopelchenko, J.Phys. A: M ath Gen. 28, L173 (1995)
- [7] A.Doliwa and P.M. Santini, Phys. Lett. A 233, 365 (1997).
- [8] W.V.D.Hodge and D.Pedoe, Methods of algebraic geometry (Cambridge University Press, 1994), volume I.