A coustic Scattering and the Extended K ortew eg deV ries H ierarchy

R.Beals Yale University New Haven, CT 06520 D.H.Sattinger^y University of Minnesota Minneapolis 55455

J.Szm igielski^z University of Saskatchew an Saskatoon, Saskatchew an, Canada

M arch 29, 2024

Advances in M athem atics, 140 (1998), 190-206

A bstract

The acoustic scattering operator on the real line is mapped to a Schrodinger operator under the Liouville transform ation. The potentials in the image are characterized precisely in terms of their scattering data, and the inverse transform ation is obtained as a simple, linear quadrature. An existence theorem for the associated Harry Dym ows is proved, using the scattering method. The scattering problem associated with the Cam assa-Holm ows on the real line is solved explicitly for a special case, which is used to reduce a general class of such problem s to scattering problem s on nite intervals.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classi cations: 350 51, 350 53. Keywords: A coustic scattering, Harry Dym, Camassa-Holmows.

Research supported by NSF grant DMD-9423746

^yResearch supported by NSF G rant DM S-9501233.

 $^{^{\}rm z} R$ essarch supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the forward and inverse scattering problems on the line for operators

$$L_k = D^2 + k^{2/2} q; D = d = dx:$$
 (1.1)

For = 1, (1.1) is the Schrödinger operator and k is the wave number, or momentum. As is well-known, the scattering data of (1.1) evolves linearly as q evolves according to the nonlinear K ortew eq-deV ries ow s.

For q = 0 and ! 1 as x ! 1 (1.1) is the acoustic scattering problem. In this interpretation, k is the frequency. The associated hierarchy of ows, of which the rst is

$$(^{2})_{t} = \frac{1}{2}D^{3} \frac{1}{2};$$
 (1.2)

were introduced by M artin K ruskal [14] and attributed to an unpublished paper of H arry D ym .

For q = 1=4 and ! 0 at in nity, (1.1) was introduced by Cam assa and Holm [5], [6], in connection with a nonlinear shallow water model. The Cam assa-Holm equation itself, in the normalization determined by (1.1) with q = 1, is

$$(1 \quad \frac{1}{4}D^{2})u_{t} = \frac{3}{2}(u^{2})_{x} \quad \frac{1}{8}(u_{x}^{2})_{x} \quad \frac{1}{4}(uu_{xx})_{x}; \qquad (1.3)$$

$$^{2} = 2(1 \quad \frac{1}{4}D^{2})u:$$

For this equation, considered on the line, it is natural to assume that the potential ! 0 as jkj! 1 ; the scattering problem on the line is therefore singular. The case of periodic potentials has been resolved by C onstantin and M cK ean [7]. C am assa and H olm [5], and C am assa, H olm and H ym an [6] have also obtained B low-up results for certain initial data and have constructed two-soliton solutions by direct m ethods { that is, m ethods not based on the inverse scattering technique. C onstantin and E scher [8] have proven global existence theorem s for the C am assa H olm equation on the real line for a large class of initial data.

¹The equation itself had been obtained previously by Fuchsteiner [9] by the method of recursion operators; but that method does not give the isospectral operator.

The scattering problem for (1.1) when 1 is by now well studied and completely understood; the inverse problem can be solved by the integral equation method of Gel'fand and Levitan [10]. In the acoustic problem,

! 1 as jkj! 1 and q = 0, it is well-known that the classical Liouville transform ation takes L_k to the Schrödinger operator [4]. The inverse transform ation from the Schrödinger to the acoustic problem requires the inversion of a di erential equation. We show in this paper that this step can be reduced to a simple linear quadrature. This greatly simpli es the inversion problem.

It is the acoustic problem, rather than the Schrödinger equation, that is relevant to physical applications in elasticity and rejection seismology [1], [2], [3], [4], [16].²

A lthough the scattering problem for (1.1) when ! 0 at in nity and q 1 is a priori singular, a particular change of variables reduces it to the D irichlet problem

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} + k^2 \frac{2}{1}() \quad (;k) = 0; \qquad 1 \qquad 1; \qquad (1;k) = 0:$$

This is a density problem on a nite interval [12], [13]. A second Liouville transformation converts this to a Schrödinger problem on a nite interval. Thus the inversion problem reduces to solving an inverse Sturm -Liouville problem on a nite interval [11], [15].

2 A coustic Scattering

The equation

$$(D^{2} + k^{2}) = 0; \quad 1 < x < 1; \quad (2.1)$$

arises in scattering problems for the wave equation

$$u_{tt} c^2 (x) u_{xx} = 0;$$

where the sound speed c is 1 = . Therefore it is natural to assume to be bounded away from 0 and to have a nite limit as jxj! 1. We call (2.1)

²W e thank Fadil Santosa, of the University of M innesota, for his helpful information regarding the applied literature in acoustic scattering problem s.

the acoustic scattering problem . We assume throughout that is real and positive, while 1 belongs to S, the Schwartz class of rapidly decaying functions.

We begin by constructing the wave functions of (2.1), normalized at 1, by the WKB method. We write the wave functions in the form

$$'_{+}(x;k) = '_{+}(x;k)e^{ikS(x)}; + (x;k) = m_{+}(x;k)e^{ik(S(x))};$$

where $'_{+}$ and m_{+} are norm alized to be 1 at 1 and +1 respectively. Substituting this form of the wave functions into equation (2.1), we nd that m_{+} satisfies the dimension

$$m^{00} + 2ikS^{0}m^{0} + [ikS^{00} + k^{2}(^{2} S^{02})]m = 0$$
:

The term involving k^2 is eliminated by requiring $S\left(x\right)$ to satisfy the eiconal equation

$$(S^{0})^{2} = {}^{2}:$$

W e take

$$S^{0}(x) = (x);$$
 $S(x) = x + [(y) 1]dy:$

(

=

Then

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} x + o(1) & x ! & 1 \\ x + + o(1) & x ! & 1 \end{cases}$$

where

W e now have

$$m^{0} + 2ik m^{0} + ik^{0}m = 0; m ! 1 as x ! 1 : (2.2)$$

The solution to this di erential equation is constructed by converting it to the Volterra integral equation

$$m (x;k) = 1 + \sum_{x}^{Z_{1}} \frac{e^{2ik(y-x)} 1}{2ik} (2ik(1)m^{0} ik^{0}m) dy$$
$$= 1 + \sum_{x}^{Z_{1}} G (x;y;k)m (y;k) dy; \qquad (2.3)$$

where

G
$$(x;y;k) = 2ike^{2ik(y-x)}$$
 ((y) 1) + $\frac{1}{2}(e^{2ik(y-x)}$ 1) (y) :

This integral equation can be solved for any k in the upper half plane = k 0 by the method of successive approximations, since 1 and ⁰ belong to $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. The solution is analytic with respect to k; we denote it by $m_{+}(x;k)$. We denote the Schwarz rejection of m_{+} to the lower half plane by $m_{-}(x;k) = \overline{m_{+}(x;k)}$, and extend + to the lower half plane accordingly:

$$(x;k) = {}_{+} (x;k) = m \quad (x;k)e^{-ikS(x)}:$$

Similarly the function ' de ned by
$$Z_{x}$$
' $(x;k) = 1$ G $(x;y;k)$ ' $(y;k)$ dy: (2.4)

is analytic with respect to k in the lower half plane and has a Schwarz re ection $'_+$ (x;k) analytic in the upper half plane. We denote the corresponding wave functions by by

$$' (x;k) = ' (x;k)e^{ikS(x)}$$
:

The boundary values on the real line satisfy the asymptotic conditions

$$\lim_{x! \to 1} e^{ikS(x)} = \lim_{x! \to 1} e^{ikS(x)} = 1:$$
 (2.5)

N ote that

$$'_{+}(x;k) = '(x; k);$$
 m $(x;k) = m_{+}(x; k);$ k 2 R: (2.6)

Moreover G (x;y;0) = 0 so

$$(x;0) = m (x;0) 1:$$
 (2.7)

The scattering data for the acoustic equation is de ned just as it is for the Schrodinger equation. For real non-zero k each pair of wave functions

, ' is (generically) independent and thus constitutes a fundam ental set of solutions. Therefore

$$'_{+}(x;k) = a(k) (x;k) + b(k) + (x;k)$$
 (2.8)

for some functions a and b. For real k we use (2.6), the de nitions of the wave functions, and the limits, to see that (2.8) becomes

$$'_{+}$$
 (x;k) a(k) + b(k)e^{2ikS(x)} a(k) + b(k)e^{2ik(x+)} as x ! +1:

In view of (2.4), (2.6) and the form of G, we obtain for realk that

$$a(k) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{2} \int_{1}^{0} \langle y \rangle '_{+} \langle y; k \rangle dy; \qquad (2.9)$$

$$b(k) = e^{2ik(y+y)} 2ik((y) = 1) \int_{1}^{1} \int_{1}^{0} \langle y \rangle '_{+} \langle y; k \rangle dy:$$

It follows from (2.9) and (2.7) that

$$a(0) = 1;$$
 $b(0) = 0;$ (2.10)

The formula for a(k) in (2.9) extends to the upper half plane. We take the W ronskian of both sides of (2.8) with and obtain

$$a(k) = \frac{W('+;+)}{W(+;+)} = \frac{W('+;+)}{2ik}; \quad 0 \in k \ 2 \ R :$$
 (2.11)

In fact, taking asymptotics as $x \mid 1$,

W (;
$$_{+}$$
) = W (e iks ; e iks) = 2ikS⁰ 2ik = 2ik 2ik:

The expression (2.11) for a (k) also extends to the upper half plane.

Theorem 2.1 The reduced wave functions m_+ ; '₊ are analytic in the upper half plane, and m_+ ; ' are analytic in the lower half plane.

The function a(k) is analytic in the upper half plane. M oreover a(0) = 1 and a has no zeros.

P roof: W e have proved everything but the last statem ent. As in the case of the Schrodinger equation, the acoustic scattering data for real k satisfy

$$a(k) = a(k); b(k) = b(k); ja(k)f jb(k)f = 1;$$
 (2.12)

Therefore a has no real zeros. According to (2.11) a zero at k = i! in the upper half plane would correspond precisely to a bound state: an L^2 wave function with negative energy. This would be an eigenfunction for the

operator $L = {}^{2}D^{2}w$ it heigenvalue $k^{2} = {}^{2} > 0$. However L is selfad joint and negative in $L^{2}(R; {}^{2}dx)$, so it cannot have such an eigenvalue. q.e.d.

We de ne the reection coe cient r by

$$r(k) = \frac{b(k)}{a(k)}; = k = 0:$$

In the absence of bound states, r constitutes the complete scattering data for the problem . In fact from (2.12) we have

$$j_a f = \frac{1}{1 \quad j_r f}$$
:

Since a is analytic in = $k \in 0$, tends to 1 as $k \mid 1$, and has no zeros, arg a can be recovered from log jajon the real axis by the H ilbert transform :

$$\arg a(k) = \frac{1}{-P} : V : \int_{1}^{\frac{2}{1}} \frac{\log ja(t)j}{k t} dt:$$

Then log a is obtained for = $k \notin 0$ by the C auchy integral representation.

3 The Liouville Transform ation

The acoustic equation m ay be transformed to the Schrödinger equation by the well-known Liouville transformation. By our assumptions, S(x) is a monotone increasing function on the line, hence we may de ne a change of variables by = S(x). The variable corresponds physically to the time of travel. By the chain rule,

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx}\frac{d}{d} = \frac{d}{d}; \qquad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} = \frac{d}{d}\frac{d}{d}:$$

To keep track of the relevant variables we de ne $_{\rm s}$ () by

$$_{s}() = (x); at = S(x):$$

The mapping f ! f S¹ is a unitary map from L² (R; ² dx) to L² (R; _s d). It carries the negative selfad joint operator $^{2}D^{2}$ to the operator

$$D^2 + \frac{D}{s}D$$
; $D = \frac{d}{d}$:

To complete the Liouville transform ation we use the unitary map f ! f^{p}_{s} from $L^{2}(R; {}_{s}d)$ to $L^{2}(R; {}_{d}d)$. The corresponding gauge transform ation (conjugation by ${}_{s}^{1=2}$) takes the preceding operator to the Schrödinger operator

$$D^{2}$$
 q(); (3.1)

where

q() =
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{D^2}{s} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{D}{s}^2$$
: (3.2)

Since $D = {}^{1}D_{x} = {}^{1}D$, we can also express the potential as

$$q(S(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{D^2}{3} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{D}{2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{D^2} - \frac{1}{p} = (3.3)$$

W e rem ark that

$$q(S(x)) = \frac{1}{2^2}f$$
;xg

where f ; xg denotes the Schwarzian derivative

f ;xg =
$$\frac{D^3}{D}$$
 $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{D^2}{D}$ ²:

We have seen that the transform ation from the Schrodinger potential q to the associated acoustic potential is given by the equation (3.2), together with a change of variables. We show below that this transform ation m ay be computed by a linear operation on scattering data and a simple quadrature.

Theorem 3.1 The normalized wave functions $'_{s;}$, $_{s;}$ for the operator (3.1), (3.2) are related to the wave functions for the acoustic operator by

$$'_{s;}() = {}^{p} () ' (S^{1}); _{s;} = {}^{p} () (S^{1}): (3.4)$$

The scattering data (a;b) of the Schrödinger problem is precisely that obtained for the acoustic problem (2.1).

Proof: The relation in (3.4) simply implements the two unitary transform ations, change of variables and change of gauge. Therefore functions $'_{s;}$, $_{s;}$ are wave functions for the operator (3.1). Moreover they have the correct asymptotics and analyticity properties. qed:

Theorem 3.2 The image of the acoustic problem under the Liouville transform ation consists of all Schrödinger operators with Schwartz class potentials and no bound states, such that a(0) = 1.

The inversion of the Liouville transform ation is given by

$$_{s}() = _{s}(;0)^{2}; \quad x = + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{s}(0)} - 1 - d^{0}: \quad (3.5)$$

P roof: Theorem s2.1 and 3.1 in ply that operators in the range of the Liouville transform ation have no bound states and have a (0) = 1. The transform ation equation (3.2) shows that the potential is of Schwartz class. The acoustic potential m ay be recovered from the Schrodinger potential q as follows. By (3.4) the wave functions at k = 0 are related by

$$_{s}(;0) = {p - \frac{1}{s}()} (x;0):$$

On the other hand we have observed that the norm alized acoustic wave functions at k = 0 are identically 1. It follows that

$$_{s}(;0) = {p_{s}()};$$

Therefore to reconstruct the function x = x() from the Schrödinger potential, we can compute $_{s}(;0)$ and use

$$\frac{dx}{d} = \frac{1}{s(1)} = \frac{1}{s(1)^2}:$$

Suppose, conversely, that q is a Schwartz class Schrodinger potential with no bound states, such that a(0) = 1. Then s(i) = s(i) = s(i) is real and asymptotically 1 in each direction. We prove that $s(i) = m^{s}(i)$ has no zeros when there are no bound states. First, note that $m_{+}^{s}(i)$ is real and converges uniform by to $m_{+}^{s}(i)$ as ! decreases to 0. If the latter function had any zeros they would necessarily be simple, since $m_{+}^{s}(i)$ is a solution of a second order di erential equation, and therefore $m_{+}^{s}(i)$ is real and have zeros

for small !> 0. This function converges uniform ly to 1 as "! +1 and the zeros remain simple, so there would be a value !> 0 for which m_{+}^{s} has limit 0 as x ! 1. But the corresponding $_{+}^{s}$ would be a bound state. Therefore $_{s}(;0)$ is positive.³ Because of this we can use (3.5) to construct a change of variables and a potential . The assumption that q belongs to S in plies that $_{s}()$ 1 belongs to S. Therefore 1 belongs to S. Clearly D^{2} q is the Liouville transform of the acoustic operator associated to . qred:

We have shown that the density in the time of travel coordinate is obtained immediately from the Schrödinger wave function at k = 0. The latter can be obtained directly from the Gel'fand Levitan kernel K (x;y), since the wave functions are given by

$$(;k) = e^{ik} + K (;y)e^{iky}dy$$

4 The Harry Dym Flows

The Harry D ym ows are related to the operator $L = {}^{2}D^{2}$ exactly as the K dV ows are to D² q. They are derived from commutator conditions [L;A] = 0 where A is the Liouville transform ation of an operator that determines one of the K dV ows. The computation of A is rather complicated, and seems to shed no light on the issue. It is therefore more convenient to work with $L_{k} = {}^{2}(L + k^{2})$ instead. Then the commutator condition must be modiled to

$$[\mathbf{L}_k;\mathbf{A}] = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}_k \tag{4.1}$$

where L_k ; A; B act on functions = (x;t;k), and L_k and A are given by:

$$L_{k} = D^{2} + k^{2}_{2};$$
 $A = \frac{0}{0} + \sum_{j=0}^{X^{n}} f_{j}D^{j}:$

The coe cients f_j are taken to be polynomials in k^2 . The signi cance of (4.1) is that $[L_j; A]$ vanishes on the wave functions, i.e. solutions of $L_k = 0$.

³By the sam e argum ent one can prove that the num ber of zeros of m^{s} (;0) is precisely equal to the num ber of bound states. If there are n bound states, then by oscillation theory m^{s} (;i!_n) has n zeros. The num ber of zeros of m^{s} is constant as ! decreases from !_n to zero; so m^{s} (;0) also has n zeros.

The conditions relating the coe cients of L $_k$ and A m ay be obtained from cross-di erentiation of the pair of equations L $_k = 0$, A = 0.

A ny operator product of the form C L m ay be added to A without a exting (4.1). Therefore even powers of D m ay be eliminated in favor of even powers of k, and it is enough to take $n = 1: A = (e_t + fD + g. Then)$

$$[L;A] = 2f_xD^2 + (f_{xx} + 2g_x)D + [k^2(2)_t k^2f(2)_x] + g_{xx}$$

=
$$(f_{xx} + 2g_0)D + k^2 (2)_t k^2 f (2)_x + g_{xx} 2k^2 f_x] + 2f_1D L$$
:

The coe cient of D must vanish, so we take

$$g = \frac{1}{2}f_x$$
:

Then the conditions for (4.1) become

$$k^{2} (^{2})_{t} = \frac{1}{2} f_{xxx} + k^{2} (^{2})xf + 2^{2} f_{x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} f_{xxx} + 2 (f)_{x}:$$

Setting

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{X^n} F_j k^{2j};$$

substituting this expression into the previous identity, and comparing $\cos - \operatorname{cients} \operatorname{ofpowers} \operatorname{ofk}$, we nd that F_n is a constant multiple of 1 =, $F_0 = 0$, and the remaining $\cos - \operatorname{cients} can be determined from the recursion relation$

4 D (F_{j 1}) =
$$D^{3}F_{j}$$
:

The ow of is

$$(^{2})_{t} = \frac{1}{2}D^{3}F_{1}$$
:

For
$$n = 1$$
, we take $F_1 = 1 = and obtain (12)$.

Theorem 4.1 Under the Harry Dym ow, the scattering data a(k;t) and b(k;t) evolve according to

$$\underline{a} = 0; \quad \underline{b} = 2ik^{3}b; \quad (4.2)$$

P roof: The commutator relation (4.1) implies that the kernel of L is invariant under A; that is,

$$L'(x;t;k) = 0 =) LA'(x;t;k) = 0$$
:

The wave function

$$'_{+}(x;t;k) = '_{+}(x;t;k)e^{ikS(x)} e^{ikx}; x ! 1 :$$

On the other hand, for the Dym equation itself,

A =
$$Q_t + \frac{k^2}{2}D = \frac{1}{2}D = \frac{1}{2}Q_t + k^2D$$
; x ! 1 :

Therefore,

$$A'_{+}(x;t;k)$$
 ($@_{t} + k^{2}D$) $e^{ikx} = ik^{3}e^{ikx};$ x! 1:

Since the wave functions are uniquely determ ined by their asymptotic behavior as x $! \ 1$, we conclude that

$$A'_{+} = ik^{3}'_{+}:$$

Similarly, we nd that

$$A = ik^{3}$$
; $A' = ik^{3}'$:

Therefore, applying A to (2.8), we obtain

$$A'_{+} = ik^{3}'_{+} = ik^{3}(a + b_{+})$$

= a b_{+} + aA + bA_{+}
= a b_{+} ik^{3}a + ik^{3}b_{+}

and equations (4.2) follow immediately from the independence of $_+$ and $$_+$, qed:$

5 The Camassa-Holm spectral problem

W e consider the operators

$$L = D^{2} + k^{2} \quad 1; \tag{5.1}$$

;

where D = d=dx, introduced by C am assa and H olm [5]. We are interested in a singular case of (5.1): is positive but ! O rapidly at in nity. As a consequence, the natural norm alization for the wave functions is independent of k:

$$\lim_{x! \to 1} e^{x} (x) = 1 = \lim_{x! \to 1} e^{x} (x):$$
(5.2)

The Cam assa-H olm equation (1.3) in plies that the evolution of 2 is given by

$$(^{2})_{t} = u(^{2})_{x} + 2u_{x}^{2}$$
:

Under our assumption that is strictly positive, we have the equivalent form

$$t = (u)_x;$$
 (4 D²) $u = 2^{2}$: (5.3)

Let us assum e that

$$Z_{1} = e^{2\dot{y}\dot{y}} (y)^{2} dy < 1$$
: (5.4)

Then, from the second equation in (5.3),

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{2jx yj} (y)^{2} dy$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{x! \to 1} e^{2ixj} u(x) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{-2y} (y)^{2} dy:$$
 (5.5)

Therefore the evolution (5.3) is consistent with the assumption (5.4), and with the stronger assumption (x) = 0 (e^{2jxj}) as jxj! 1.

For reasons that will become clear, a natural class of functions to consider are positive C 1 functions that have asymptotic expansions

(x)
$$a e^{2jxj} asx! 1; a_1 = a_1^+ > 0:$$
 (5.6)

It follows that u has similar expansions. The leading terms b_1 in these expansions are given by (5.5) and the remaining terms can be computed from (5.6) and the second equation in (5.3). These expansions are consistent

with the evolution (5.3) and the time dependence of the coe cients can be computed from these equations.

We observe next that for a particular choice of potential the Liouville transform ation trivializes the operator 2 (D 2 1). By (3.3), the latter transform s to a Schrödinger operator with potential

$$q(S(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h_1}{2} \frac{D^2}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{D}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{p-1}$$

Therefore if we choose

$$_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\cosh^{2} \mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{sech}^{2} \mathbf{x}$$
 (5.7)

the potential q_0 vanishes. Note that $_0(x)$ has an expansion (5.6). Since $\operatorname{sech}^2 x = D$ tanh x, we can take as transform ed variable $= S_0(x) = \operatorname{tanh} x$. Then the range of the transform ation is the interval $1 < < 1^4$.

The positive sm ooth potentials that have asymptotic expansions (5.6) are precisely the potentials that can be written in the form

$$(x) = g(tanh x)_{0}(x) = g(tanh x)sech^{2}x;$$
(5.8)

$$g 2 C^{1} ([1;1]); g > 0; g(1) = g(1):$$
 (5.9)

The Liouville transform ation generated by $_0$ (x) brings $_0^2$ (D 2 + k 2 2 1) to the form

$$\frac{d}{d}^{2} + k^{2}g()^{2}:$$
 (5.10)

This is the density problem for a string on the nite interval [12], [13].

The corresponding wave functions are related by

$$_{s}(;k) = {p - n \choose s} (k) = {p - n \choose k} (k) = {p - n \choose k} (k) = sech x_{a}(k;k): (5.11)$$

⁴ It was already remarked by C am assa and H olm [5] that the spectrum of the operator (5.1) is discrete when ! 0 su ciently rapidly as x ! 1; and they analyzed the special case when $_{0}^{2} = A \operatorname{sech}^{2}(x)$. Note, however, that it is the potential $_{0}^{2} = \operatorname{sech}^{4}(x)$ that trivializes under the Liouville transform ation.

Hence the corresponding eigenfunctions vanish as ! 1, and the appropriate boundary conditions for (5.10) are D irichlet boundary conditions.

The Liouville transform ation from (5.1) with d = dx = and the Liouville transform ation from (5.10) with d = d = g() arrive at the same point: a Schrodinger operator on a nite interval. The length of the interval is 2M where

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} (x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} g(x) dx :$$
(5.12)

and we norm alize the interval to be (M;M). The expression for the potential q() in terms of g is given by (3.3):

q(()) =
$$\frac{1}{2q^2}$$
f ; g: (5.13)

6 Camassa-Holm scattering data

In this section we describe the scattering data for (5.1) and nd its evolution under the C am assa-H olm ow s.

We have seen that the Liouville transform ation is a unitary equivalence between the negative operator 2 (D 2 1) and the Schrödinger problem on a nite interval. Under this transform ation the norm alized wave functions (5.2) are multiplied by , which vanishes at 1 . On the nite interval, the asymptotic conditions become eD inchlet conditions: vanishing at an endpoint. Thus it is natural to take as scattering data for (5.1) the D inchlet spectrum and the associated coupling coe cients. Note that the wave functions for (5.10) at k = 0 have the form a + b; the corresponding wave functions for the Schrödinger operator do not satisfy D inchlet conditions. Thus the D inchlet eigenvalues are strictly negative.

At the eigenvalues k_n^2 the wave functions ' and are linearly dependent: there is a coupling one cient c $_n$ such that

$$(x;k_n) = c_n (x;k_n):$$

Thus we take as scattering data for the countable set:

$$fk_n; c_n g:$$
 (6.1)

The Cam assa-Holm equation is obtained from the commutator relationship (4.1), with L given by (5.1) and

$$A = \frac{0}{0t} + aD = \frac{1}{2}a_x; \quad a = u(x;t) + \frac{1}{k^2}:$$

Note that the interval (M;M) remains constant, in view of (5.12) and (5.3).

To determ ine the evolution of the coupling coe cients, we proceed as in the Harry Dym ow, with suitable modi cations. The compatibility relation (4.1) in plies that the kernel of L is invariant under A; hence A' (x;t;k) is a linear combination of '(x;t;k) and (x;t;k). The exact linear combination is determined by evaluating the asymptotic behavior of A' as x ! 1. Under our assumptions, u and u_x tend to zero exponentially as x tends to 1. Again, the leading asymptotics of ' are independent of t, so we nd that the leading asymptotics of A' as x ! 1 are

$$A' = (@_t + aD = \frac{1}{2}a_x)' = \frac{De^x}{k^2} = \frac{e^x}{k^2};$$

henœ

$$A'(x;t;k) = \frac{1}{k^2}'(x;t;k):$$

Sim ilarly,

A
$$(x;t;k) = \frac{1}{k^2}$$
 $(x;t;k):$

At a bound state,

$$'(x;t;k_n) = c_n(t)(x;t;k_n);$$

henœ

$$\frac{C_{n}}{k_{n}^{2}} = \frac{1}{k_{n}^{2}} \prime_{n} = A \prime_{n} = A (C_{n-n})$$
$$= (C_{n-n} + C_{n}A_{-n}) = C_{n} - \frac{C_{n}}{k_{n}^{2}}$$

Since n is not identically zero, we have

$$\underline{c}_{n}(t) = \frac{2c_{n}}{k_{n}^{2}}; \quad c_{n}(t) = e^{2t-k_{n}^{2}}c_{n}(0):$$
 (6.2)

n :

W e next turn to the characterization of the in age of 2 (D 2 1) under the Liouville transformation to a Schrödinger operator.

Theorem 6.1 The necessary and su cient conditions that the Schrodinger operator D^2 q on the interval (M;M) be in the range of the Liouville transformation associated to (5.1), where = g(tanh x)sech²x and g is a positive sm ooth function on [1;1] with g(1) = g(1), are that q be sm ooth on [M;M] and that the D irichlet spectrum of D^2 q be strictly negative.

Proof: It is clear from the formula that describes q in terms of g_0 that q must be smooth, and we have noted above that the D irichlet spectrum must be strictly negative.

Conversely, suppose that the Schrödinger potential q is smooth and the D irichlet spectrum is strictly negative. By standard oscillation theory the non-zero solutions to

$$(D^{2} q)'_{0} = 0; '_{0}(M) = 0; (D^{2} q)_{0} = 0; _{0}(M) = 0$$

do not change sign on the interval. Therefore the (unique) solution to the D irichlet problem

$$(D^2 q) = 0;$$
 $(M) = (M) = 1$

is a linear combination of r_0 and r_0 that is strictly positive on the closed interval. The inverse Liouville transform ation must be associated to the change of variables d =d = = ()², where is determined by the norm alization condition $Z_{\rm ev}$

$$M = \frac{d}{(1)^2} = 2: qed:$$

References

- [1] Barcilon, V. Explicit solution of the inverse problem for a vibrating string. J. M ath. Anal. Appl., 93:222{234, 1983.
- [2] Barcilon, V. Inverse eigenvalue problem s. In Inverse Problem s, volum e 1225 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 1{51, New York-Berlin, 1986. Springer. Montecatini Term e.
- [3] Barcilon, V. Su cient conditions for the solution of the inverse problem for a vibrating beam. Inverse Problem s, 3:181{193, 1987.
- [4] Burridge, R. The Gelfand-Levitan, Marchenko, and Gopinath-Sondhi integral equations of inverse scattering theory, regarded in the context of im pulse-response problem s. W ave Motion, 2:305{323, 1980.

- [5] Camassa, R. and D. D. Holm. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. Phys. Rev. Lett., 71:1661{1664, 1993.
- [6] Camassa, R., D. D. Holm, & J.M. Hyman. A new integrable shallow water equation. Advances in Applied M echanics, 31:1{33, 1994.
- [7] Constantin, A. & H. McKean. The integrability of a shallow water equation with periodic boundary conditions. to appear, Comm. M ath. Phys., 1997.
- [8] Constantin, A. & J. Escher. G lobal existence and blow-up for a shallow water equation. preprint, 1997.
- [9] Fuchsteiner, B. The Lie algebra structure of nonlinear evolution equations and in nite dimensional abelian symmetry groups. Progr. Theor. Phys., 65:861{876, 1981.
- [10] Gel'fand, IM .and B M .Levitan.On the determ ination of a di erential equation from its spectral function.Am er.Soc.Transl., 1:259{309, 1955.
- [11] Jodeit, M. and B.M. Levitan. The isospectrality problem for the classical Sturm - Liouville equation. Advances in Dierential Equations, 2:297{318,1997.
- [12] K rein, M.G. Determ ination of the density of an inhom ogeneous symmetric string from its frequency spectrum. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 76:345{348,1951.
- [13] Krein, M.G. On inverse problems for an inhom ogeneous string. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 82:669{672, 1952.
- [14] Kruskal, M. Nonlinear W ave Equations. In J.M oser, editor, D ynamical Systems, Theory and Applications, volume 38 of Lecture Notes in Physics, pages 310{354, Heidelberg, 1975. Springer.
- [15] Poschel, J. and E. Trubow it z. Inverse Spectral Theory. A cadem ic Press, New York, 1987.
- [16] Symes, W. W. Impedance pro le via the rst transport equation. Jour. M ath. Anal. Appl., 94:435{453, 1983.