On pulse broadening for optical solitons

H.J.S.Dorren and J.J.B. van den Heuvel

D epartm ent of E lectrical Engineering, E indhoven U niversity of Technology, P D . Box 513, 5600 M B E indhoven, T he N etherlands

A bstract

Pulse broadening for optical solitons due to birefringence is investigated. We present an analytical solution which describes the propagation of solitons in birefringent optical bers. The special solutions consist of a combination of purely solitonic terms propagating along the principal birefringence axes and soliton-soliton interaction terms. The solitonic part of the solutions indicates that the decay of initially localized pulses could be due to dierent propagation velocities along the birefringence axes. We show that the disintegration of solitonic pulses in birefringent optical bers can be caused by two e ects. The rst e ect is similar as in linear birefringence and is related to the unequal propagation velocities of the modes along the birefringence axes. The second e ect is related to the nonlinear soliton-soliton interaction between the modes, which makes the solitonic pulse-shape blurred.

E-mail: HJSD orren@ele.tue.nl

I. Introduction

The dem and for long-distance high-bandwidth data transfer in the eld of optical telecom munications has lead to research about the use of optical envelope solitons as information carriers. Solitons are ideal for optical telecom munications because the dispersion of the optical ber is exactly counterbalanced by the nonlinearity. As a result of this, the information carrier can maintain its pulse-shape over long distances. In an ideal optical ber, the nonlinear Schrodinger equation describes the electrom agnetic eld envelope in a single polarization case. A ctual optical bers how ever, have the property that there is a di erence in the propagation velocity for the two di erent polarization states of the electrom agnetic eld. This property is called birefringence. The elects of birefringence are alm ost never steady, but they vary random ly, in both magnitude and orientation. The random birefringence will ensure that an initially localized pulse will eventually disintegrate. This elect is called Polarization M ode D ispersion (PM D). PM D is important in situations where high-bit-rate optical signals have to be transported over long distances such as submarine intercontinental optical connections. This is the reason that the topic has already had considerable attention in both theoretical and experimental research in the eld of optical telecom munications [1]-[13].

The dynam ics of nonlinear waves in birefiningent optical bers is described in the literature by the following set of coupled nonlinear di erential equations, which were originally introduced by Berkhoer and Zakharov [12]:

$$iu_{1x} + i u_{1t} + \frac{1}{2}u_{1tt} = (j_{1}f + j_{1}2f)u_{1};$$

$$iu_{2x} \quad i u_{2t} + \frac{1}{2}u_{2tt} = (j_{2}f + j_{1}f)u_{2};$$
(1)

A detailed derivation of Eq.(1), which are from now on called the birefringence equations, can be found in the book by Hasegawa [14]. In Eq.(1), the (scaled) electrom agnetic eld envelopes of the di erent polarization states (modes) are described by u_1 and u_2 respectively. The parameter describes the strength of the cross-phase modulation. For single mode optical bers we can use $= \frac{2}{3}$. The parameter describes the group velocity birefringence. In the limit ! 0, the well known M anakov equation is retained. U eda and K ath have argued that the parameter is actually a

random param eter with zero m ean [3]:

$$h i = 0;$$
 (2)

As a result of this, Eq.(1) is a stochastic di erential equation. In the following, we treat the parameter as the half-di erence of the group velocity between the local principal birefringence axes. If we are able to solve Eq.(1) analytically however, we can obtain analytical expressions for the propagation properties of an initially localized soliton in a birefringent medium.

The set of coupled equations (1) have also been investigated by others. In Ref.[15] the behavior of solutions of Eq.(1) is investigated numerically. One of the conclusions of Ref.[15] is that for solitonic initial conditions the two partial pulses (m odes) always move together. A complicated pattern of soliton-soliton interactions between the m odes is presented. Another important result is presented in Ref.[16]. Firstly, it is shown in this publication that by applying the transform ation:

$$u_{1} = u_{1} \exp i \frac{2}{2} x \quad \text{it;}$$

$$u_{2} = u_{2} \exp i \frac{2}{2} x + \text{it;}$$
(3)

the set of equations Eq.(1) transform into:

$$i \alpha_{1x} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1tt} = (j \alpha_1 f + j \alpha_2 f) \alpha_1;$$

$$i \alpha_{2x} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{2tt} = (j \alpha_2 f + j \alpha_1 f) \alpha_2:$$
(4)

If = 1, the set of equations (4) are called the M anakov equations. The M anakov equations are well-studied and solutions can be obtained in several ways [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. It has to be remarked that the transform ation (3) leads to special solutions of Eq.(1), in which the e ect of the birefringence appears in the phase factor. Since under experimental conditions usually the optical power is measured (i.e. $j_{i_1} \hat{j}$), the transform ation (3) leads to special solutions of Eq.(1), which can not explain the decay of optical pulses due to birefringence (since the birefringence is in the phase). On the other hand in R ef.[16] solutions are reported which show that Eq.(4) has modes which propagate with a di erent velocity. A generalm ethod to solve the equations (1) has never been given [14].

There are more approaches possible which can be used to nd solutions of Eq.(1). A lithough H irota's m ethod has been proved to be successful for many types of equations, we approach the problem in this paper using a m ethod developed by one of us (see R ef.[24]) to nd special solutions of Eq.(1). These solutions have the property that the solitonic part of the modes propagate with a di erent velocity. The existence of such a solution m ight be important for explaining the decay of solitonic pulses in birefringent optical bers. For linear birefringence it is well known that the decay of initially localized pulses can be explained by the fact that both m odes propagate with a di erent velocity along the birefringence axes [25]. The results of this paper suggests that for solitonic pulses a sim ilar behavior takes place.

In Sect.II, we apply concepts derived in earlier publications to nd special solutions of the birefringence problem. We derive solutions consisting of of two unperturbed solitons propagating with a different velocity along the principal birefringence axes. Moreover the solutions contain interactions terms between the pure solitonic solutions. It is concluded that two elects play a role in the decay of solitonic pulses. The elect is similar as in linear birefringence and is due to the unequal propagation velocity of the modes. The second elect, which is absent in linear birefringence is the nonlinear soliton-soliton interaction between the modes. The paper is concluded with a discussion.

II. Special solutions of the birefringence equations

For solving Eq.(1), we try a starting point the following free wave solutions:

$$u_{1}(x;t) = A e^{i(k_{1}t \cdot !_{1}x)};$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = B e^{i(k_{2}t \cdot !_{2}x)};$$
(5)

If we substitute Eq.(5) into Eq.(1), we obtain:

In Eq.(6), it is assumed that k_i and $!_i$ are both complex (the bars indicate the complex conjugates). We require that the following dispersion relationships are valid:

$$!_{1} = + k_{1} + \frac{1}{2}k_{1}^{2};$$

$$!_{2} = k_{2} + \frac{1}{2}k_{2}^{2};$$
(7)

We can conclude that for nonzero coe cients A and B, the try-solution (5) does not satisfy Eq.(1) and hence the birefringence equations have no free wave solutions. Before deriving special solutions of Eq.(1) the following simplications are imposed to simplify the computations which will follow:

I:
$$k_1 = k_2 = k;$$
 $k = a + ib;$
II: $a = 0;$ $k = ib:$ (8)

C ondition I is related to the fact that we strive for a situation in which both the linear part as the nonlinear part of Eq.(1) are expanded in the same basis functions. In experiments, this situation can be obtained by optimizing the \launching conditions". Condition II is introduced by realizing that in ber optics only envelope solutions can be measured. In this paper, we search for modes u_1 and u_2 propagating with unequal velocity. We therefore substitute the following solutions into Eq.(1):

In Eq.(9) it is used that $z_1 = t$ x and $z_2 = t + x$. For the solutions (9) the left-hand side of Eq.(1) is equal to:

$$iu_{1x} + iu_{1t} + \frac{1}{2}u_{1tt} = e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x} \overset{X}{x} \overset{X}{x} \qquad \frac{1}{2}b^{2} \qquad 4m ib + \frac{1}{2}(2n + 2m + 1)^{2}b^{2} \qquad \hat{A}_{2n+1m}^{2} e^{\frac{[2n+1]b_{2}}{2}}e^{\frac{2m bz_{2}}{2}};$$

$$iu_{2x} \quad iu_{2t} + \frac{1}{2}u_{2tt} = e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x} \overset{X}{x} \overset{X}{x} \qquad \frac{1}{2}b^{2} + 4m ib + \frac{1}{2}(2n + 2m + 1)^{2}b^{2} \qquad \hat{B}_{2n+1m}^{2}e^{\frac{[2n+1]b_{2}}{2}}e^{\frac{2m bz_{2}}{2}};$$
(10)

W e can conclude that the linear part of Eq.(1) is not modifying the structure of the exponential functions (9). This appears also to be the case for the nonlinear part. If we substitute Eq.(9) into the right-hand side of Eq.(1) we obtain:

$$j\mu_{1}^{2}j\mu_{1} + j\mu_{2}^{2}j\mu_{1} = e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x} \overset{Xi}{x} \overset{Xi}{x} \overset{Xi}{x}^{1} \overset{Xi}{$$

It can be concluded by comparing Eq.(10) and Eq.(11) that solutions of the type (9) ensure that both the linear part and the nonlinear part of Eq.(1) can be expanded in the same basis functions. Given non-zero coe cients $\hat{A}_{1;0}$ and $\hat{B}_{1;0}$ (A;B 2 R), all the other coe cients $\hat{A}_{2n+1;m}$ and $\hat{B}_{2n+1;m}$ of the solution (9) are determined by the following

iteration series:

$$\hat{A}_{2n+1,m} = \frac{ \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N^{p}} \frac{X^{n}}{X^{v}}}{p^{p} q^{p} q^$$

where in the second term s on the right-hand side m > 0.

The solution (9) of Eq.(1) is purely form aland does not give adequate insight of the behavior of solutions in birefringent m edia. In order to obtain this insight, it is convenient to separate the solutions $u_1(x;t)$ and $u_2(x;t)$ into two parts:

In this special case, we not that given the coe cients $\hat{A}_{2n+1;0}$ and $\hat{B}_{2n+1;0}$ are given by:

$$\hat{A}_{2n+1;0} = \frac{X^{-1}X^{p}}{p^{p} 0 q^{p} 0} \frac{\hat{A}_{2q+1;0}\hat{A}_{2p-2q+1;0}\hat{A}_{2n-2p-1;0}}{\frac{1}{2}b^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(2n+1)^{2}b^{2}}$$

$$\hat{B}_{2n+1;0} = \frac{X^{-1}X^{p}}{p^{p} 0 q^{p} 0} \frac{\hat{B}_{2q+1;0}\hat{B}_{2p-2q+1;0}\hat{B}_{2n-2p-1;0}}{\frac{1}{2}b^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(2n+1)^{2}b^{2}}$$
(14)

We rstly analyze the rst part the right-hand side of Eq.(13). By assuming that $\hat{A}_{1;0} = A$ and $\hat{B}_{1;0} = B$ all the other coecients $\hat{A}_{2n+1;0}$ and $\hat{B}_{2n+1;0}$ follow from Eq.(14). This implies that Eq.(13) can be reformulated in the following form [26]:

$$u_{1}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Ae^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t \ x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} A_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{1}}e^{2m bz_{2}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{A^{2}}{4b^{2}};$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{A^{2}}{4b^{2}};$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{B^{2}}{4b^{2}}:$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{B^{2}}{4b^{2}}:$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{B^{2}}{4b^{2}}:$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{B^{2}}{4b^{2}}:$$

$$u_{3}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{B^{2}}{4b^{2}}:$$

$$u_{3}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2}Be^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x}e^{i_{0}}e^{i_{0}}\operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}t}X^{k} X^{k} B_{2n+1m} e^{[2n+1]bz_{2}}e^{2m bz_{1}}; \qquad _{0} = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{B^{2}}{4b^{2}}:$$

The rst term on the right-hand side of Eq.(15) represents unperturbed solitons. The propagation velocity of these solitons is determined by the birefringence coe cients . It follows from Eq.(15) that the two solitons $u_1(x;t)$ and $u_2(x;t)$ propagate with a relative velocity 2b. Thism akes that even in an \ideal situation", in which the non-solitonic

terms in Eq.(15) are negligible an initially localized pulse is still unstable due do the unequal propagation velocity of the solitonic solutions along the principal birefringence axes.

The second e ect, which leads to instabilities, is the interaction between the unperturbed solitonic solutions. The asymptotic expansion of these instabilities is given by the sum of terms on the right-hand side of Eq.(15). In principle, by using the recursion relationship (12), we can compute all the expansion coe cients $\hat{A}_{2n+1,m}$ and $\hat{B}_{2n+1,m}$. Only in special cases it is possible to carry out the sum mation explicitly to obtain an analytical expression for the interaction.

In Appendix A it is indicated that the summation in Eq.(15) converges if < b. The interaction terms are proportional to a factor depending on b; and . From this result the conclusion can be drawn that the interaction terms are sm all if (Appendix A):

$$\frac{b(1+)}{2-6b}$$
 1: (16)

If the condition (16) is satis ed, the interaction between the modes is small compared to the solitonic part, and hence, the only that causes initially localized pulses to disintegrate is the unequal propagation velocity of the modes.

III. D iscussion

We have shown that the equations (1) have special solutions which consist of solitonic pulses each propagating with a dierent velocity along the principal birefringence axes. The purely solitonic pulses are subject to soliton-soliton interactions between the modes. This indicates that there are two mechanisms in birefringent optical bers which can be responsible for the decay of optical solitons in birefringent optical bers.

The rst mechanism is exactly equal as for linear optical pulses. The solitonic optical pulses can decay because of a di erent propagation velocity of the two modes along the principal birefringence axes. It is no surprise that also solitonic pulses are subject to linear birefringence since the propagation velocity of the solitonic part of the solutions (15) is determined by the linear part (dispersion relations) of the di erential equation (1). The nonlinearity in the di erential equation (1) has only in uence on the shape of the solutions and keeps the propagation velocity una ected. If we consider for instance the propagation properties of solitons in birefringent optical bers, we can conclude that for nonlinear birefringence sim ilar criteria as for linear birefringence apply. If we remember that Eq.(1) is de ned in a coordinate fram e moving with velocity v_0 , we nd that solutions $u_1(x;t)$ and $u_2(x;t)$ propagate with velocities $v_0 = \frac{1}{v_0}v_0$ and $v_0 + (\frac{1}{v_0}v_0)$. In Ref.[13] it is shown by using a mathematical analysis based upon Ref.[27] that in this case the PMD in long birefringent bers increases as the square root of the length.

The second e ect, which can lead to decay of solitonic optical pulses is the nonlinear soliton-soliton interaction between the modes. This e ect has been reported in Refs.[15, 16]. In the numerical results presented in Ref.[15] it is concluded that only soliton-soliton interactions played a role in the decay of solitons. It is not observed that two initially solitonic solutions propagate with a di erent velocity along the birefringence axes. We think that this result can be explained by the fact that in Ref.[15] perfect solitonic pulse-shapes are taken as the initial condition. If we solve Eq.(4), it follows from Eq.(7) that in the case that = 0, both modes can be chosen to have equal dispersion relations. Hence the solutions of Eq.(4) can be explanded in the follow ing form :

For nonzero $\cos c$ exists \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{B}_2 , all the other $\cos c$ exists can be determ ined by a similar iteration series as presented in [26]. This implies that the M anakov equations (4) have solitonic solutions. It m ight be possible that by choosing purely solitonic initial conditions, the num erical simulations in Ref.[15] head to the special solutions (3). In realistic (experim ental) optical systems it is dicult to make pure solitons and a certain amount of noise will always be present. The appearance of noise can cause that the modes propagate with a dimensional velocity and that the actual situation is more complicated as presented in [15].

W e want to conclude by remarking that there is another way to understand the result obtained in Eq.(15). We therefore rstly in plan and the following Galileian transform ations:

$$z_1 = t x z_2 = t + x (18)$$

As a result of this transform ation we nd that for = 1, Eq.(1) can be reform ulated as:

$$\dot{\mathfrak{m}}_{1x} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathfrak{a}}_{1z_1z_1} + \dot{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \dot{\mathfrak{f}} \dot{\mathfrak{a}}_1 = \dot{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \dot{\mathfrak{f}} \dot{\mathfrak{a}}_1; \dot{\mathfrak{m}}_{2x} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathfrak{a}}_{2z_2z_2} + \dot{\mathfrak{p}}_2 \dot{\mathfrak{f}} \dot{\mathfrak{a}}_2 = \dot{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \dot{\mathfrak{f}} \dot{\mathfrak{a}}_2:$$
(19)

Eq.(19) describes a perturbed nonlinear Schrödinger equation for both the modes \hat{u}_1 and \hat{u}_2 . It should be remarked that both the modes \hat{u}_1 and \hat{u}_2 have a di erent time-evolution due to the rescaled time. If we try to solve Eq.(19) by implementing a perturbation series:

$$\hat{u}_1 = f_0 + f_1 + {}^2f_2 + {}^3f_3 + ;
\hat{u}_2 = g_0 + g_1 + {}^2g_2 + {}^3g_3 + ;$$
(20)

we obtain for the lowest order:

$$if_{0x} + \frac{1}{2}f_{0z_1z_1} + jf_0 f_0^2 = 0;$$

$$ig_{0x} + \frac{1}{2}g_{0z_2z_2} + jg_0 f_0^2 = 0:$$
(21)

(19) is the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. The solutions for f_0 and g_0 are therefore nonlinear Schrödinger solitons which are defined with respect to the transformed time $z_1 = t$ and $z_2 = t + respectively$. Furthermore, f_0 and g_0 are contain instead with the higher order perturbations f_n and g_n . This is exactly what we ind in Eq.(15) where the asymptotic behavior of f_n and g_n is presented. As expected, the functions f_n and g_n have a time-evolution which is a combination of (exponential) functions which are defined with respect to the transformed time z_1 .

A cknow ledgm ents

This research was supported by the Netherlands O rganization for Scienti c Research (N W Ω .) through the $N R \mathcal{L}$. Photonics" grant.

Appendix A

Convergence of Eq.(15)

In this Appendix the convergence of the sum mation in Eq.(15) is discussed. We estimate the coe cients $\hat{A}_{2n+1,m}$ and $\hat{B}_{2n+1,m}$ with respect to $\hat{A}_{2n+1,0}$ and $\hat{B}_{2n+1,0}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}_{2n+1m} &= \frac{\mathbf{X}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{0} \ \mathbf$$

The coe cients \hat{B}_{2n+1m} can be estimated in a similar way as the coe cients \hat{B}_{2n+1m} . In Step 1, we replace either the coe cients \hat{A}_{nm} or \hat{B}_{nm} by $\hat{C}_{nm} = \max f \hat{A}_{nm}$; \hat{B}_{nm} g. This replacement is justified because:

$$\mu_1 f u_1 + \mu_2 f u_1$$
 (1 +) $\mu_2 f v$ v = maxfu_1; u_2 g (A-2)

In Step 2, the triangle inequality is applied to the complex denom inator. In Step 3, is the quotient presented in in Eq.(A-1) reaches an upper-lim it by putting m = 0 in the denom inator. Finally, in Step 4 it is used that:

$$\frac{1}{2}b^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(2n + 2m + 1)^{2}b^{2} > 4mb$$
(A-3)

Eq.(A-3) is valid if b for all n;m > 0. Furtherm ore, the sum mation over m is estimated to be smaller than m^2 times the sum mation over coe cients for which m = 0. From Eq.(A-3), it follows that:

$$m^{2} < \frac{b}{2 \quad 6b} \tag{A-4}$$

As a result of Eq.(A-1) we can estimate an upper limit of the double sum in Eq.(15). Because the coe cients $\hat{A}_{2n+1,m}$ jand $\hat{B}_{2n+1,m}$ jane proportional to $\hat{A}_{2n+1,0}$ jand $\hat{B}_{2n+1,0}$ j we can estimate the sum mation overn in Eq.(15) is proportional to the unperturbed soliton. The sum mation overm can be carried out independently, and remains nite because it forms a geometric series. Finally we obtain:

$$u_{1}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2} B e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x} e^{i_{0}} \operatorname{sech}(b[t x] + _{0}) + \frac{1}{2} C \frac{b(1 +)}{2 6b} e^{-m \operatorname{axfA};Bg};$$

$$u_{2}(x;t) = \frac{1}{2} B e^{\frac{1}{2}ib^{2}x} e^{i_{0}} \operatorname{sech}(b[t + x] + _{0}) + \frac{1}{2} C \frac{b(1 +)}{2 6b} e^{-m \operatorname{axfA};Bg};$$
(A-5)

References

- [1] M. Fontaine, B. Wu, V P. Tzolov, W. J. Bock, W. Urbanczyk, Theoretical and experim ental analysis of therm al stress e ects on modal polarization properties of highly birefringent optical bers, EEE J. lichtwave Technol14, 585-591 (1996).
- [2] M.Midrio, P.Franco, M.Crivellari, M.Romagnoli, F.Matera, Polarization shift keying for highbit-rate multilevel soliton transmissions, J.Opt. Soc. Am. B 13, 1526–1535 (1996).
- [3] T. Ueda and W. L. Kath, Dynamics of optical pulses in random ly birefingent bers, Physica D 55, 166-181 (1992).
- [4] PKA.Wai and CR.Menyuk, Polarization mode dispersion, decorrelation and di usion in optical bers with random ly varying birefringence, IEEE J. lichtwave Technol 14, 148–157 (1996).
- [5] R E. Schluh, J.G. Ellison, A.S. Siddiqui, D H O Bebbington, Polarization OTDR measurements and theoretical analysis on bers with twist and their implication for estimation of PMD, Electronics Letters 32, 387-388 (1996).
- [6] O.A so and H.N akam ura, Principal states of polarization: tim e dom ain m easurem ents with coherent optical pulse, E lectronics Letters 32, 578-579 (1996).
- [7] B.Perny, C.Zimmer, F.Prieto, N.Gisin, Polarization mode dispersion: Large scale comparison of Jones matrix eigenanalysis against interferom etric measurements techniques, Electronics Letters 32, 680-681 (1996).
- [8] A.Galtarossa, G.Gianello, C.G. Som eda, M. Schiano, In-Field comparison among polarization mode dispersion measurement techniques, IEEE J. lichtwave Technol 14, 42-48 (1996).
- [9] E. Iannone, A. M ecozzi, F. M atera, M. Settem bre Single-channel transm issions over very long optical links with zero average chrom atic dispersion adopting NRZ intensity and polarization modulation, Optics Communications 123, 89-93 (1996).
- [10] B L. He ner, In uence of optical source characteristics on the measurements of polarization mode dispersion of highly mode coupled bers, Optics Letters 21, 113-115 (1996).
- [11] C R.M enyuk, Opt.Lett. 12, 614 (1987); J.Opt.Soc.Am .B 5, 392 (1988).
- [12] A L.Berkhoer and V E.Zakharov, Self excitation of waves with di erent polarizations in nonlinear media, Sov. Phys.JETP 31, 486-490, 1970.
- [13] N.G isin, JP.Von der W eid and JP.Pellaux, Polarization M ode D ispersion of short and long single m ode bers, J.Lightwave Technol. 9, 821, 1991.
- [14] A. Hasegawa and Y. Kodama, Solitons in optical communications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [15] C.R.M enyuk, Stability of solitons in birefringent optical bers II. Arbitrary Amplitudes, J.Opt. Soc. Am. B, 5, pp. 392-402, 1988.
- [16] T.Ueda and W.L.Kath, Dynamics of coupled solitons in nonlinear optical bers, Phys. Rev. A. 42 pp. 563-571, 1990.
- [17] S.V. M anakov, On the theory of two-dimensional stationary self-focusing of electrom agnetic waves, Sov. Phys. JETP 38, 248-252, 1974.
- [18] V E. Zakharov and E. J. Schulm an, D egenerative dispersion laws, motion invariants and kinetic equations, P hysica 1D, 192-202, 1980.

- [19] R.Radhakrishnan and M.Lakshmanan, Suppression and enhancement of soliton switching during interaction in periodically twisted birefringent ber, solv-int/9904006.
- [20] V. Shchesnovich, Polarization scattering by soliton-soliton collisions, solv-int/9712020.
- [21] F.P.Zen, H.I.Elim, Soliton Solution of the Integrable Coupled Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation of Manakov Type, hep-th/9812215.
- [22] F.P. Zen, H.J. Elim, Multi-soliton Solution of the Integrable Coupled Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation of Manakov Type, solv-int/9901010.
- [23] F.P. Zen, H.I. Elim, Lax Pair Formulation and Multi-soliton Solution of the Integrable Vector Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation, solv-int/9902010.
- [24] H.J.S.D orren, A linearization m ethod for the K orteweg-de V ries equation; generalizations to higher dim ensional S-integrable di erential equations, J.M ath. Phys. 39 3711-3729, 1998.
- [25] G P. A graw al, Nonlinear ber optics, 2nd ed., A cadem ic P ress, London, 1995.
- [26] H JS.D orren, On the integrability of nonlinear partial di erential equations, J.M ath. Phys 40, 1966–1976, 1999 (solv-int/9807007).
- [27] M.Kac, A stochastic model related to the telegrapher's equation, Rocky Mountain J.Math. 4, 497, 1974.