Spectral decom position for the D irac system associated to the D SII equation

D m itry E . Pelinovsky and C atherine Sulem Department of M athematics, University of Toronto Toronto, Ontario, C anada, M 5S 3G 3

A bstract

A new (scalar) spectral decomposition is found for the D irac system in two dimensions associated to the focusing D avey (Stewartson II (D SII) equation. D iscrete spectrum in the spectral problem corresponds to eigenvalues embedded into a two-dimensional essential spectrum. We show that these embedded eigenvalues are structurally unstable under small variations of the initial data. This instability leads to the decay of localized initial data into continuous wave packets prescribed by the nonlinear dynam ics of the D SII equation.

> submitted to Inverse P roblem s

1 Introduction

G ravity-capillary surface wave packets are described by the D avey {Stewartson (D S) system [1] which is integrable by inverse scattering transform in the limit of shallow water [2]. In this paper, we study the focusing D SII equation which can be written in a complex form,

$$iu_{t} + u_{zz} + u_{zz} + 4(g + g)u = 0;$$

$$2g_{z} \quad j_{z}f_{z} = 0;$$
(1.1)

where z = x + iy, z = x iy, u(z;z;t) and g(z;z;t) are complex functions. This equation appears as the compatibility condition for the two-dimensional D irac system,

$$'_{1z} = u'_{2}; '_{2z} = u'_{1};$$
 (1.2)

coupled to the equations for the time evolution of the eigenfunctions,

$$i'_{1t} + i'_{1zz} + u'_{2z} \quad u_{z}'_{2} + 4g'_{1} = 0;$$

$$i'_{2t} + i'_{2zz} + u_{z}'_{1} \quad u'_{1z} + 4g'_{2} = 0:$$
(1.3)

The DSII equation was solved form ally through the 0 problem of complex analysis by Fokas and Ablow itz [3] and Beals and Coifm an [4]. Rigorous results on existence and uniqueness of solutions of the initial-value problem were established under a small-norm assumption [5]. The small-norm assumption was used to eliminate hom ogeneous solutions of equations of the inverse scattering which correspond to bound states and radially symmetric localized waves (lumps) of the DSII equation. When the potential in the linear system becomes weakly localized (in L² but not in L¹), hom ogeneous solutions may exist and the analysis developed in Ref. [5] is not applicable.

The lump solutions were included form ally in [3], where their weak decay rate was found, $U = O(R^{-1}) as R = \frac{P}{x^2 + y^2} ! 1$. This result is only valid for complexied solutions of the DSII equation (when $j_1 f$ is considered to be complex). The reality conditions were incorporated in the work of A rkadiev et al. [6] where lumps were shown to decay like $U = O(R^{-2})$. Multi-lump solutions were expressed as a ratio of two determ inants [6], or, in a special case, as a ratio of two polynom ials [7] but their dynam ical role was left out of consideration.

Recently, structural instability of a single lum p of the D SII equation was reported by G adyl'shin and K iselev [8, 9]. The authors used m ethods of perturbation theory based on completeness of squared eigenfunctions of the D irac system [10, 11]. A similar conclusion was announced by Y urov who studied D arboux transform ation of the D irac system [12, 13].

In this paper, we present an alternative solution of the problem of stability of multi-lum p solutions of the D SII equation. The approach generalizes our recent work on spectral decomposition of a linear time-dependent Schrodinger equation with weakly localized (not in L¹) potentials [14]. We nd a new spectral decomposition in terms of single eigenfunctions of the D irac system. Surprisely enough, the two-component D irac system in two dimensions has a scalar spectral decomposition. In contrast, we recall that the D irac system in one dimension (the so-called AKNS system) has a well-known 2×2 m atrix spectral decomposition [15].

Using the scalar spectral decomposition, we associate the multi-lum p potentials with eigenvalues embedded into a two-dimensional essential spectrum of the Dirac system. Eigenvalues embedded into a one-dimensional essential spectrum occur for instance for the time-dependent Schrodinger problem [14, 16]. They were found to be structurally unstable under a sm all variation of the potential. D epending on the sign of the variation, they either disappear or become resonant poles in the complex spectral plane which correspond to lum p solutions of the KPI equation [14].

For the D irac system in two dimensions, the multi-lum p potentials and embedded eigenvalues are more exotic. The discrete spectrum of the D irac system is separated from the continuous spectrum contribution in the sense that the spectral data satisfy certain constraints near the embedded eigenvalues. These constraints are met for special solutions of the D SII equation such as lum ps, but may not be satis ed for a generic combination of lum ps and radiative waves. As a result, embedded eigenvalues of the D irac system generally disappear under a local disturbance of the initial data. Physically, this im plies that a localized initial data of the D SII equation decays into radiation except for the cases where the data reduce to special solutions such as lum ps.

The paper is organized as follows. Elements of inverse scattering for the Dirac system are reviewed in Section 2, where we nd that the discrete spectrum of the Dirac system is prescribed by certain constraints on the spectral data. Spectral decomposition is described in Section 3 with the proof of orthogonality and completeness relations through a proper adjoint problem. The perturbation theory for lumps is developed in Section 4 where some of previous results [8, 9] are recovered. Section 5 contains concluding remarks. Appendix A provides a summary of form ulas of the complex Q-analysis used in proofs of Section 3.

2 Spectral D ata and Inverse Scattering

Here we review some results on the D irac system (12) and discard henceforth the time dependence of u, g and '. The potential u(z;z) is assumed to be non-integrable ($u \ge L^1$) with the boundary conditions, u O(jzj^2) as jzj! 1.

2.1 Essential Spectrum of the Dirac System

We de ne the fundamental matrix solution of Eq. (12) in the form [6],

$$' = {}^{h} (z;z;k;k)e^{ikz}; (z;z;k;k)e^{-ikz}; (2.1)$$

where k is a spectral parameter, (z;z;k;k) and (z;z;k;k) satisfy the system,

$$u_{1z} = u_{2}; \qquad u_{2z} = ik_{2} + u_{1}; \qquad (2.2)$$

$$_{1z} = ik_{1} u_{2}; \quad _{2z} = u_{1}:$$
 (2.3)

It follows from Eqs. (22) and (2.3) that and are related by the symmetry constraint,

$$(z;z;k;k) = (z;z;k;k); = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} :$$
 (2.4)

We impose the boundary conditions for (z;z;k;k),

$$\lim_{|k| = 1} (z; z; k; k) = e_1 = \frac{1}{0} :$$
 (2.5)

!

Solutions of Eq. (2.2) with boundary conditions (2.5) can be expressed through the G reen's functions as Fredholm's inhom ogeneous integral equations [3, 4],

$$1(z;z;k;k) = 1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^2}{z} \frac{dz^0 \wedge dz^0}{z^0 - z} (u_2) (z^0;z^0); \qquad (2.6)$$

$${}_{2}(z;z;k;k) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (u_{1}) (z^{0};z^{0}) e^{ik(z z^{0}) - ik(z z^{0})}:$$
(2.7)

Values of k for which the hom ogeneous system associated to Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) has bounded solutions are called eigenvalues of the discrete spectrum of the D irac system. Let us suppose that the hom ogeneous solutions (eigenvalues) are not supported by the potential u(z;z). We evaluate the departure from analyticity of in the k plane by calculating the derivative $\ell = \ell k$ directly from the system (2.6)-(2.7) [3] as

$$\frac{0}{0k} = b(k;k)N$$
 (z;z;k;k): (2.8)

Here b(k;k) is the spectral data,

$$b(k;k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{Z} dz \, dz \, dz \, (u_1) \, (z;z) e^{i(kz+kz)}; \qquad (2.9)$$

and N (z;z;k;k) is a solution of Eq. (2.2) which is linearly independent of (z;z;k;k) and satis es the boundary condition,

$$\lim_{|k_j| = 1} N (z;z;k;k)e^{i(k_z+k_z)} = e_2 = \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$$
(2.10)

T

This solution can be expressed through the Fredholm 's inhom ogeneous equations,

$$N_{1}(z;z;k;k) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{dz^{0} dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (uN_{2})(z^{0};z^{0}); \qquad (2.11)$$

$$N_{2}(z;z;k;k) = e^{i(kz+kz)} + \frac{1}{2i} \frac{z^{2}}{z^{0}} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (uN_{1})(z^{0};z^{0})e^{ik(z-z^{0})}ik(z-z^{0}): \quad (2.12)$$

The following reduction formula [3] connects N (z;z;k;k) and (z;z;k;k),

$$N (z;z;k;k) = (z;z;k;k)e^{i(kz+kz)} = (z;z;k;k)e^{i(kz+kz)}:$$
(2.13)

If the potential u(z;z) has the boundary values u = 0 (jzj^2) as jzj! 1, $(u \ge L^1)$, then, the integral kernel in Eq. (2.9) is not absolutely integrable, while Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) are still well-de ned. W e specify complex integration in the z-plane of a non-absolutely integrable function f (z;z) according to the form ula,

$$ZZ \qquad ZZ dz^{dzf}(z;z) = \lim_{\substack{R \mid 1 \\ r \mid 1 \\ r \mid j \mid R}} dz^{dzf}(z;z):$$
(2.14)

The same form ula is valid for integrating eigenfunctions of the D irac system in the k plane as well. In Section 3, we use (2.14) when computing the inner products and completeness relations for the D irac system and its adjoint.

2.2 The Discrete Spectrum

Suppose here that integral equations (2.6) and (2.7) have how ogeneous solutions at an eigenvalue $k = k_j$. The discrete spectrum associated to multi-lum p potentials was introduced in Refs. [3, 6]. Here we review their approach and give a new result (P roposition 2.1) which clari es the role of discrete spectrum in the spectral problem (2.2).

For the discrete spectrum associated to the multi-lum p potentials, an isolated eigenvalue $k = k_j$ has double multiplicity with the corresponding two bound states j(z;z) and j(z;z) [6]. The bound state j(z;z) is a solution of the hom ogeneous equations,

$$\lim_{1 \neq i} (z;z) = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} - z} (u_{2j}) (z^{0};z^{0}); \qquad (2.15)$$

$$_{2j}(z;z) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{z^{2}}{z^{0}} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0}} (u_{1j}) (z^{0};z^{0}) e^{ik_{j}(z-z^{0})} ik_{j}(z-z^{0}); \qquad (2.16)$$

with the boundary conditions as jzj! 1,

$$_{j}(z;z) ! \frac{e_{1}}{z}$$
: (2.17)

Equivalently, this boundary condition can be written as renorm alization conditions for Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16),

$$\frac{1}{2 i} dz^{2} dz(u_{2j})(z;z) = 1; \qquad (2.18)$$

$$\frac{1}{2i} dz^{*} dz^{*} dz(u_{1j})(z;z)e^{i(k_{j}z+k_{j}z)} = 0:$$
 (2.19)

The other (degenerate) bound state $\int_{1}^{0} (z;z)$ can be expressed in term s of j(z;z) using Eq. (2.13),

$${}_{j}^{0}(z;z) = {}_{j}(z;z)e^{i(k_{j}z+k_{j}z)}: \qquad (2.20)$$

The behaviour of the eigenfunction (z;z;k;k) near the eigenvalue $k = k_j$ becomes complicated due to the fact that the double eigenvalue is embedded into the two-dimensional essential spectrum of the D irac system (2.2). We prove the following result.

Proposition 2.1. For smooth data $b(k;k) \ge C^1$ at $k \in k_j$, the eigenfunction (z;z;k;k) has a pole singularity at $k \le k_j$ only if

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{ZZ} dz dz dz (zu_{1j}) (z;z) e^{i(k_j z + k_j z)} = 0: \qquad (2.21)$$

Proof. Suppose (z;z;k;k) has a pole singularity at $k = k_j$. Then, it can be shown from Eq. (2.2) that the merom orphic continuation of (z;z;k;k) is given by the limiting relation,

$$\lim_{k! \ k_{j}} (z;z;k;k) \quad \frac{i_{j}(z;z)}{k_{k_{j}}}^{*} = (z+z_{j})_{j}(z;z) + c_{j}_{j}(z;z); \quad (2.22)$$

where z_j , c_j are some constants. Using Eqs. (2.8), (2.9), and (2.13), we not the dimension relation for b(k;k),

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial k} = \frac{b(k;k)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{ZZ} dz^{i} dz$$

In the lim it k ! k_i, this equation reduces with the help of Eqs. (2.18) and (2.22) to the form,

$$\frac{@b}{@k} = \frac{b(k;k)}{k k_j} \quad \frac{b_0}{k k_j};$$

where b_0 is given in Eq. (2.21). The reduced equation exhibits the limiting behavior of b(k;k) as $k \mid k_j$,

$$b(k;k) ! b_0 \frac{k k_j}{k k_j} \ln j k_j j;$$
 (2.23)

On the other hand, it follows from Eqs. (2.13), (2.20), and (2.22) that N (z;z;k;k) has the limiting behavior,

N (z;z;k;k) !
$$\frac{i {}^{0}_{j}(z;z)}{k {}^{k}_{i}}$$
: (2.24)

A coording to Eqs. (2.23) and (2.24), the right-hand-side of Eq. (2.8) is of order 0 (b₀) k_{jj} k_{jj} $ln k_{jj}$ as $k ! k_{j}$. On the other hand, the left-hand-side of Eq. (2.8) must be of order 0 (1) in the

limit k ! k_j according to Eq. (2.22). Therefore, the eigenfunction (z;z;k;k) has a pole at $k = k_j$ only if the constraint $b_0 = 0$ holds. 2

The limiting relation (2.22) was introduced by A rkadiev et al [6]. However, the authors did not notice that the discrete spectrum is supported only by potentials which satisfy the additional constraint (2.21). In particular, such potentials include the multi-lum p solutions for which b(k;k) = 0 everywhere in the k-plane.

2.3 Expansion Form ulas for Inverse Scattering

C ombining Eq. (2.8) for the essential spectrum and Eq. (2.22) for the discrete spectrum, we reconstruct the eigenfunction (z;z;k;k) [3, 6],

$$(z;z;k;k) = e_{1} + \frac{X^{n}}{k} \frac{i_{j}(z;z)}{k k_{j}} + \frac{1}{2 i} \frac{Z^{Z}}{k^{0} k^{0}} \frac{dk^{0} \wedge dk^{0}}{k} b(k^{0};k^{0})N \quad (z;z;k^{0};k^{0}); \qquad (2.25)$$

where n is number of distinct eigenvalues k_j of double multiplicity. At $k \mid k_j$, this system is coupled with the algebraic system for the bound states,

$$(z + z_j)_{j}(z;z) + c_j_{j}(z;z) = e_1 + \frac{X}{k_j} \frac{i_1(z;z)}{k_j k_l} + \frac{1}{2i} \frac{ZZ}{k_j} \frac{dk^{dk}}{k_j} b(k;k) N \quad (z;z;k;k): (2.26)$$

Expansion (2.25) can be related to the inverse scattering transform for the potential u(z;z) [3, 6]. It follows from Eq. (2.2) that the eigenfunction (z;z;k;k) has the asymptotic expansion as $j \ge 1$,

$$(z;z;k;k) = e_1 + \frac{1}{ik} (z;z) + O(jkj^2);$$
 (2.27)

where $_{21}$ (z;z) = u(z;z) and

11
$$(z;z) = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{dz^{0} dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (j_{1}j^{2}) (z^{0};z^{0}):$$

W e deduce from Eqs. (2.25) and (2.27) that the potential u(z;z) is expressed through the eigenfunctions of the D irac system in the form [3],

$$u(z;z) = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} 2j(z;z) = \frac{1}{2} dk^{A} dk b(k;k) N_{2} (z;z;k;k):$$
(2.28)

Form ulas (2.6) to (2.28) constitute a standard fram ework for the inverse scattering transform of the DSII equation with a new relation (2.21). The existence and uniqueness of solutions of the

Fredholm integral equations (2.6) and (2.7) and the 0 problem (2.8) and (2.25) were proved in [4] and [5] under the small-norm assumption for the potential (x;y),

$$\sup_{\substack{(x,y)^{2R^{2}}}} j_{x}j_{x}(x;y) \qquad j_{x}(x;y) j_{x}dy < \frac{1}{8}$$

In this case n = 0 and $b(k;k) \in 0$. The nonlinear two-dimensional Fourier transform associated to this scheme was discussed in Examples 8-10 of Chapter 7.7 of Ref. [17]. Indeed, the connection form ula (2.28) in plies that there is a scalar spectral decomposition of u(z;z) through N₂ (z;z;k;k) for n = 0. In order to close the decomposition, one could use Eqs. (2.9) and (2.13) to construct a \completeness relation" for the expansion of $(z^0 - z)$ in the form,

$$(z^{0} z) = \frac{1}{2^{2}i} dk^{A} dkN_{2} (z^{0};z^{0};k;k)N_{2} (z;z;k;k):$$

However, we show in Proposition 3.4 below that a completeness theorem for Eq. (2.2) is dimensional and is based on the set of eigenfunctions of the adjoint D irac system.

3 Basis for a Scalar Spectral D ecom position

In this section, we specify the adjoint problem for the D irac system (2.2) and establish orthogonality and completeness relations.

3.1 The Adjoint System

The adjoint system for Eq. (2.2) is

$$a_{1z}^{a} = ik a_{1}^{a} u a_{2}^{a}; \quad a_{2z}^{a} = u a_{1}^{a};$$
 (3.1)

which provides the balance equation,

$$i(k^{0} \quad k) \stackrel{a}{}_{1}(k^{0}) \stackrel{2}{}_{2}(k) = \frac{\theta}{\theta z} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 1 \\ k^{0} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{2}{}_{2}(k) \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 1 \\ k^{0} \end{bmatrix} \frac{\theta}{\theta z} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 2 \\ k^{0} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{1}{}_{1}(k) = (32)$$

The system (3.1) adm its plane solutions a(z;z;k;k) and oscillatory-type solutions N a(z;z;k;k) with the boundary conditions,

$$\lim_{|k_{j}| = 1} a(z; z; k; k) = e_{2};$$
(3.3)

$$\lim_{k \neq 1} N^{a}(z;z;k;k)e^{i(kz+kz)} = e_{1}:$$
(3.4)

The adjoint eigenfunctions N a (z;z;k;k) can be expressed through the G reen functions,

$$N_{1}^{a}(z;z) = e^{i(kz+kz)} \frac{1}{2i} \frac{dz^{0} dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (uN_{2}^{a}) (z^{0};z^{0}) e^{ik(z-z^{0})+ik(z-z^{0})};$$
(3.5)

$$N_{2}^{a}(z;z) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (uN_{1}^{a}) (z^{0};z^{0}):$$
(3.6)

They are related to the adjoint eigenfunctions ^a (z;z;k;k) by the form ula,

$$N^{a}(z;z;k;k) = (z;z;k;k)e^{i(kz+kz)}$$
: (3.7)

U sing this representation, we prove the following result.

Lem m a 3.1. The spectral data b(k;k) is expressed in term s of the adjoint eigenfunctions as

$$b(k;k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{Z} dz \, dz \, (uN_{1}^{a}) \, (z;z): \qquad (3.8)$$

Proof. Multiplying Eq. (3.5) by u $_1(k)$, integrating over dz $^ dz$ and using Eq. (2.7), we express b(k;k) de ned in Eq. (2.9) in the form,

$$b(k;k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{ZZ} dz dz u_{1}(k) N_{1}^{a}(k) u_{2}(k) N_{2}^{a}(k)$$
(3.9)

On the other hand, multiplying Eq. (2.6) by uN_1^a (k), integrating over dz $^ dz$, and using Eqs. (3.6) and (3.9), we get Eq. (3.8). 2

Suppose now that $k = k_j$ is an isolated double eigenvalue of Eq. (2.2) with the bound states $_j(z;z)$ and $_j^0(z;z)$ given by Eqs. (2.15) { (2.20). Suppose also that $k = k_j^a$ is an eigenvalue of the adjoint system (3.1) with the adjoint bound states $_j^a(z;z)$ and $_j^{a0}(z;z)$.

Lem m a 3.2. If k_j is a double eigenvalue of the D irac system (2.2), then k_j is also a double eigenvalue of the adjoint system (3.1).

Proof. We use Eq. (3.2) with = j(z;z) and $a = \frac{a}{j}(z;z)$ at $k = k_j$ and $k^0 = k_j^a$ and integrate over $dz \wedge dz$ with the help of Eq. (A.3) of Appendix A. The contour contribution of the integral vanishes due to the boundary conditions (2.17) and (3.12) and the resulting expression is

$$(k_{j}^{a} \quad k_{j}) \quad dz \wedge dz \ (\begin{array}{c} a \\ 1j & 2j \end{array}) (z;z) = 0:$$

The relation $k_j^a = k_j$ follows from this form ula if the integral is non-zero at $k_j^a = k_j$ (which is proved below in Eq. (3.22)). The other possibility is when $k_j^a \notin k_j$ but a_{1j}^a is orthogonal to a_{2j} . We do not consider such a non-generic situation. The other bound state $a_j^a = k_j$ can be

de ned using the symmetry relation (see Eq. (3.15) below).2

The adjoint bound state $a_{j}^{a}(z;z)$ solves the hom ogeneous equations,

$${}^{a}_{1j}(z;z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{z} \frac{z}{z} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (u {}^{a}_{2j}) (z^{0};z^{0}) e^{ik_{j}(z {}^{2}z^{0}) + ik_{j}(z {}^{2}z^{0})};$$
(3.10)

$${}^{a}_{2j}(z;z) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (u {}^{a}_{1j}) (z^{0};z^{0})$$
(3.11)

with the boundary condition as jzj! 1 ,

$$a_{j}^{a}(z;z) ! \frac{e_{2}}{z};$$
 (3.12)

and the norm alization conditions,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{z} dz \, dz \, dz \, (u \, a_{1j}^{a}) \, (z;z) = 1; \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{1}{2 i} dz^{a} dz(u^{a}_{1j})(z;z) = 1; \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{1}{2 i} dz^{a} dz(u^{a}_{2j})(z;z)e^{i(k_{j}z+k_{j}z)} = 0: \qquad (3.14)$$

In addition, the bound state $\frac{a0}{i}(z;z)$ is related to (z;z) according to the symmetry form ula,

$$j^{a0}(z;z) = j^{a}(z;z)e^{i(k_j z + k_j z)}$$
: (3.15)

Using Eqs. (3.1) { (3.15), we see that the adjoint eigenfunction a(z;z;k;k) satisfies relations similar to those for (z;z;k;k),

$$\frac{\theta^{a}}{\theta k} = b(k;k)N^{a}(z;z;k;k)$$
(3.16)

and

$$\lim_{k! \ k_{j}} {}^{a}(z;z;k;k) + \frac{i \frac{a}{j}(z;z)}{k \ k_{j}}^{\#} = (z + z_{j}) {}^{a}_{j}(z;z) \ c_{j} {}^{a0}_{j}(z;z):$$
(3.17)

The expansions for inverse scattering transform of the adjoint eigenfunctions can be found in the form ,

^a (z;z;k;k) = e₂
$$\frac{X^{n}}{k} \frac{i}{k} \frac{i}{k} \frac{i}{k} \frac{z}{k} \frac{z}{k} \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z^{2}}{k} \frac{dk^{0} \wedge dk^{0}}{k^{0} k} b(k^{0};k^{0})N^{a}(z;z;k^{0};k^{0})$$
 (3.18)

and

$$(z^{0}+z_{j}) \stackrel{a}{}_{j}(z^{0};z^{0}) \quad c_{j} \stackrel{a^{0}}{}_{j}(z^{0};z^{0}) = e_{2} \quad \frac{X}{\underset{l \in j}{\overset{i}{}}} \frac{i \stackrel{a}{}_{1}(z^{0};z^{0})}{k_{j} \quad k_{1}} \quad \frac{1}{2 i} \quad \frac{Z \stackrel{Z}{}_{2}}{i} \quad \frac{dk \stackrel{h}{}_{k} dk}{k_{j}} b(k;k) N \stackrel{a}{}(z;z;k;k): (3.19)$$

3.2 Orthogonality and Completeness Relations

Using the D irac system (2.2) and its adjoint system (3.1), we prove the orthogonality and com – pleteness relations for the set of eigenfunctions $S = N_2$ (k;k); $f_{2j}g_{j=1}^n$] and its adjoint set $S^a = N_1^a$ (k;k); $f_{1j}g_{j=1}^n$].

P roposition 3.3. The eigenfunctions N₂ ($z_i z_j k_i k$) and $z_j (z_i z_j)$ are orthogonal to the eigenfunctions N₁^a ($z_i z_j k_i k$) and $z_{1j}^a (z_i z_j)$ as follows

$$hN_{1}^{a} (k^{0}) N_{2} (k)i_{z} = 2^{2}i (k^{0} k); \qquad (3.20)$$

$$hN_{1}^{a}(k)j_{2j}i_{z} = h_{1j}^{a}N_{2}(k)i_{z} = 0; \qquad (3.21)$$

h
$${}^{a}_{11}j_{2j}i_{z} = 2 i_{j1};$$
 (3.22)

where the inner product is de ned as

$$hg(k^{0}) jf(k) i_{z} = dz^{dz} dz g(z;z;k^{0};k^{0}) f(z;z;k;k):$$

Proof. Using Eqs. (2.12) and (3.5), we expand the inner product in Eq. (3.20) as

$$\begin{split} & h N_{1}^{a} (k^{0}) N_{2} (k) i_{z} = I_{0} \\ & + \int_{a}^{ZZ} dz^{n} dz u N_{2}^{a} (z;k^{0}) e^{i(k^{0}z + k^{0}z)} I_{1} (z) \\ & + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{a}^{ZZ} dz^{n} dz u N_{2}^{a} (z;k^{0}) e^{i(k^{0}z + k^{0}z)} \int_{a}^{ZZ} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (u N_{1}) (z^{0};k) e^{i(kz^{0} + kz^{0})} [I_{1} (z) I_{1} (z^{0})]; \end{split}$$

where

$$I_{0} = dz^{k} dz e^{i(k^{0} k)z + i(k^{0} k)z} = 2^{2}i(k^{0} k)$$
(3.23)

and

$$I_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{z^{2}}{z^{0}} \frac{dz^{0} dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} e^{i(k^{0} k)z^{0} + i(k^{0} k)z^{0}} = \frac{1}{i(k^{0} k)} e^{i(k^{0} k)z + i(k^{0} k)z}; \quad (3.24)$$

The integrals I_0 and I_1 (z) are computed in Appendix A.U sing these formulas, we nd the inner product in Eq. (3.20) in the form,

$$hN_{1}^{a}(k^{0})N_{2}(k)i_{z} = 2^{2}i(k^{0}-k) + \frac{1}{i(k^{0}-k)}R(k;k^{0});$$

where the residual term R $(k\,;k^0)$ is expressed in the form ,

$$R (k;k^{0}) = dz^{2} dz^{0} dz^{0} uN_{2}^{a} (k^{0})N_{2} (k) uN_{1}^{a} (k^{0})N_{1} (k);$$

with the help of Eqs. (2.12) and (3.5). We show that R $(k;k^0) = 0$ by multiplying Eq. (3.6) by uN_1 (k), integrating over dz ^ dz and using Eq. (2.11).

The zero inner products in Eqs. (3.21) and (3.22) for $j \in lare$ obtained in a similar way with the help of the Fredholm's equations for eigenfunctions $_j$, $_j^a$, N, and N^a. In order to nd the non-zero inner product (3.22) for j = lwe evaluate the following integral by using the same integral equations,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} z & z \\ dz & dz \\ & 1j \\ z \\ & z$$

U sing Eq. (3.13), the right-hand-side identi es to $\frac{1}{i(k-k_j)}$. Substituting Eq. (2.25) in the left-hand-side and using the zero inner products (3.21) and (3.22), we nd Eq. (3.22) for j = 1.2

P roposition 3.4. The eigenfunctions N_2 (z;z;k;k) and $_{2j}(z;z)$ are complete with respect to the adjoint eigenfunctions N_1^a (z;z;k;k) and $_{1j}^a(z;z)$ according to the identity,

$$(z^{0} \quad z) = \frac{1}{2^{2}i} \sum_{j=1}^{ZZ} dk^{a} dk \sum_{j=1}^{a} (z^{0};z^{0};k;k) \sum_{j=1}^{Z} (z;z;k;k) = \frac{1}{2^{2}i} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{a} (z^{0};z^{0}) \sum_{j=1}^{Z} (z;z) = (3.25)$$

Proof. Using the symmetry relations (2.13) and (3.7), we express the integral in Eq. (3.25) as

$$hN_{1}^{a}(z^{0})N_{2}(z)i_{k} = dk^{k}dk_{2}^{a}(z^{0};z^{0};k;k)_{1}(z;z;k;k)e^{ik(z^{0}-z)+ik(z^{0}-z)}:$$
(3.26)

We use Eqs. (2.25), (2.26), (3.18), and (3.19) and nd the pole decomposition for the integrand in Eq. (3.26),

$${}^{a}_{2}(z^{0})_{1}(z) = 1 + {}^{X^{n}}_{j=1} \frac{i}{k} {}^{h}_{k_{j}} {}^{c}_{j} {}^{a}_{2j}(z^{0};z^{0})_{1j}(z;z) + {}^{c}_{j} {}^{a0}_{2j}(z^{0};z^{0})_{1j}(z;z)^{i}$$

$$+ {}^{X^{n}}_{j=1} \frac{i}{k} {}^{k}_{k_{j}}(z - z^{0}) {}^{a}_{2j}(z^{0};z^{0})_{1j}(z;z) + {}^{X^{n}}_{j=1} \frac{{}^{a}_{2j}(z^{0};z^{0})_{1j}(z;z)}{(k} {}^{k}_{k_{j}})^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} {}^{Z}_{i} {}^{Z}_{k} \frac{dk^{0} \wedge dk^{0} {}^{h}}{k} {}^{a}_{2}(z^{0})bN_{1}(z) {}^{1}_{1}(z)bN_{2}^{a}(z^{0})^{i}_{j}(k^{0};k^{0}):$$

$$(3.27)$$

W e substitute (3.27) into Eq. (3.26) and reduce the integral to the form,

$$hN_{1}^{a}(z^{0})N_{2}(z)i_{k} = I_{0} \qquad 2 \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} c_{j}^{a}(z^{0};z^{0}) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{0} (z;z) + c_{j}^{a0}(z^{0};z^{0}) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{i} (z;z) \qquad I_{1}(k_{j})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} + \begin{pmatrix} x^{n} \\ & 2_{j} (z^{0}; z^{0}) \\ & 1_{j} (z; z) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & (z^{0} \\ & z) I_{1} (k_{j}) + I_{2} (k_{j}) \end{bmatrix} \\ z z \\ & h \\ dk^{-} dk I_{1} (k) \\ & 2^{a} (z^{0}) bN_{1} (z) \\ & 1 (z) bN_{2}^{a} (z^{0}) \\ & (z^{0})^{i} (k; k) e^{-ik (z^{0} \\ & z) - ik (z^{0} \\ & z) \end{bmatrix} ;$$
(3.28)

where the integrals I_0 and I_1 (k) are given in Eqs. (3.23) and (3.24) respectively, with z and k interchanged, while the integral I_2 (k_j) is dened by

$$I_{2}(k_{j}) = \lim_{\substack{l = 0 \\ l = 0 \\ l = 0 \\ k_{j} = k_{j}}} \frac{dk^{k} dk}{(k_{j})^{2}} e^{ik(z^{0} - z) + ik(z^{0} - z)};$$
(3.29)

The integral I_2 (k) is found in Appendix A in the form, I_2 (k_j) = 2 ($z^0 z$) I_1 (k_j), such that the third term in Eq. (3.28) vanishes. In order to express the second term in Eq. (3.28) we use Eqs. (2.26), (3.19), (2.20), and (3.15) and derive the relation,

U sing this expression and Eqs. (323), (324), and (329), we rewrite Eq. (328) in the form,

$$hN_{1}^{a}(z^{0})N_{2}(z)i_{k} = 2^{2}i(z^{0}-z) 2i_{j=1}^{X^{n}} a_{1j}(z^{0};z^{0})_{2j}(z;z)$$

$$+ \frac{i}{z^{0}-z}^{ZZ} dk^{A} dk \frac{e}{e}_{0k}^{4e} a_{1}^{a}(z^{0}) + \frac{x^{n}}{e}_{j=1}^{1} \frac{i}{k} \frac{a_{1j}(z^{0})}{k} a_{0}^{A} a_{2}^{e}(z) a_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \frac{i}{k} \frac{a_{2j}(z)}{k} a_{j}^{A} 5 :$$

The last integral vanishes according to Eq. (A 3) of Appendix A and the boundary conditions (2.5) and (3.3). 2

Our main result for the spectral decomposition associated to the Dirac system (2.2) follows from the above orthogonality and completeness relations.

Proposition 3.5. An arbitrary scalar function f(z;z) satisfying the condition f(z;z) = 0 (jzj^2) as jzj! 1 can be decomposed through the set $S = [N_2 (z;z;k;k); f_{2j}(z;z)g_{j=1}^n]$.

Proof. The spectral decomposition is defined through the orthogonality relations (3.20) { (3.22) as

$$f(z;z) = dk^{k} dk (k;k)N_{2} (z;z;k;k) + \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} j_{2j}(z;z); \qquad (3.31)$$

where

$$(k;k) = \frac{1}{4^2} h N_1^{a} (k) j f i_z; \quad j = \frac{1}{2 i} h_{1j}^{a} j f i_z:$$
 (3.32)

P rovided the condition on f(z;z) is satisfied, we interchange integration with respect to dz^{dz} and dk^{dt} and use the completeness formula (3.25). 2

The spectral decomposition presented here is different from that of K iselev [10, 11]. In the latter approach, the function f (z;z) is spanned by squared eigenfunctions of the original problem (1.2) de ned according to oscillatory-type behaviour at in nity. In our approach, we transform ed the system (1.2) to the form (2.2) and de ned the oscillatory-type eigenfunctions according to the single eigenfunctions N (z;z;k;k). We also notice that the (degenerate) bound states $\int_{j}^{0} (z;z)$ are not relevant for the spectral decomposition, although they appear implicitly through the merom orphic contributions of the eigenfunctions N (z;z;k;k) at $k = k_{j}$ (see Section 4).

4 Perturbation Theory for a Single Lum p

We use the scalar spectral decomposition based on Eq. (3.31) and develop a perturbation theory for multi-lum p solutions of the DSII equation. We present form ulas in the case of a single lum p (n = 1), the case of multi-lum p potentials can be obtained by sum m ing along the indices j, l occuring in the expressions below.

The single-lum p potential (z;z) has the form [6],

$$u(z;z) = \frac{C_{j}}{jz + z_{j}j^{2} + jc_{j}j^{2}} e^{i(k_{j}z + k_{j}z)};$$
(4.1)

where c_j , z_j are complex parameters. The associated bound states follow from Eqs. (2.26) and (3.19) as

$$j(z;z) = \frac{1}{jz + z_j f + jc_j f} \begin{bmatrix} z + z_j \\ z_j z_j + z_j f + jc_j f \end{bmatrix} C_j e^{i(k_j z + k_j z)}; \qquad (4.2)$$

$${}^{a}_{j}(z;z) = \frac{1}{jz + z_{j}j + jc_{j}j} \qquad C_{j}e^{i(k_{j}z + k_{j}z)} \qquad (4.3)$$

We rst consider a general perturbation to the single lump subject to the localization condition, u $O(jzj^2)$ as $jzj! 1 \cdot W$ e then derive explicit form ulas for a special form of the perturbation term u(z;z).

4.1 General Perturbation of a Single Lum p

Suppose the potential is specified as u = u(z;z) + u(z;z), where u(z;z) is given by Eq. (4.1) and u(z;z) is a perturbation term. Two bound states $_{j}(z;z)$ and $_{j}^{0}(z;z)$ are supported by a single-lum p potential u(z;z) at a single point $k = k_{j}$. The spectral decomposition given by Eq. (3.31) provides a basis for expansion of $_{2}(z;z; ;)$ at k =,

$${}_{2}(z;z;;) = dk^{k}(k;k)N_{2}(z;z;k;k) + {}_{j^{2}(z;z)}$$
(4.4)

where (k;k) and j are defined by Eq. (3.32) and depend on the parameter . The other component $_1(z;z; ;)$ can be expressed from Eq. (2.2) as

$$\sum_{1}^{ZZ} (z;z;;) = dk^{k} (k;k)N_{1} (z;z;k;k) + \sum_{j=1j}^{J} (z;z) + \sum_{1}^{J} (z;z);$$
(4.5)

where the remainder term $_1(z;z)$ solves the equation,

$$(1)_{z} = u_{2}$$
:

W e write the solution of this equation in the form,

$$_{1}(z;z) = A \quad \frac{1}{2 i} \frac{z^{2}}{z^{0}} \frac{dz^{0} dz^{0}}{z^{0} z} (u_{2}) (z^{0};z^{0}); \qquad (4.6)$$

subject to the boundary condition as jzj! 1,

$$_{1}(z;z) ! A + O(z^{-1});$$

where A is an arbitrary constant. Using the explicit representation (4.6), we transform Eq. (2.2) into the system of integral equations for (k;k) and j,

$$(k;k) = \frac{2Z}{4^{2}i(k)} dk^{0} \wedge dk^{0} \wedge (k;k;k^{0};k^{0}) + K_{j}(k;k)_{j} + R_{j}(k;k) + O_{j}(k;k) + O$$

$$_{j} = \frac{ZZ}{2 (k_{j})} dk^{k} dk P_{j}(k;k) (k;k) + K_{j1} + R_{j}A + O(2); \qquad (4.7)$$

where

$$K (k;k;k^{0};k^{0}) = hN^{a}(k) N (k^{0})i_{u}; K_{j}(k;k) = hN^{a}(k)j_{j}i_{u};$$
$$P_{j}(k;k) = h^{a}_{j}N (k)i_{u}; K_{jl} = h^{a}_{j}j_{l}i_{u};$$

and the scalar product for squared eigenfunction is de ned as [10, 11]

$$hf(k)\dot{g}(k^{0})\dot{i}_{h} = \int_{-\infty}^{ZZ} dz h (z;z)f_{1}(z;z;k;k)g_{1}(z;z;k^{0};k^{0}) + h(z;z)f_{2}(z;z;k;k)g_{2}(z;z;k^{0};k^{0})^{i}:$$

The non-hom ogeneous term s R (k;k) and R j can be computed exactly as

$$R (k;k) = dz^{a} dz uN_{1}^{a} (z;z) = 2 b(k;k);$$

$$R_{j} = dz^{a} dz u_{1j}^{a} (z;z) = 2 i;$$

where b(k;k) = 0 if $n \in 0$. We solve the system of equations (4.7) and (4.8) asymptotically for $= k_j + and 0$ (1). The leading order behaviour of the integral kernels follows from the asymptotic representation (2.24) as k ! k_j ,

$$K (k;k;k^{0};k^{0}) ! \frac{K_{jj}}{(k k_{j})(k^{0} k_{j})}; K_{j}(k;k) ! \frac{iP_{jj}}{k k_{j}}; P_{j}(k;k) ! \frac{iP_{jj}}{k k_{j}};$$
(4.9)

where

$$K_{jj} = h_{j}^{a0} j_{j}^{0} i_{u}; P_{jj} = h_{j}^{a0} j_{j} i_{u}; P_{jj} = h_{j}^{a} j_{j}^{0} i_{u}:$$
(4.10)

Here we have used the symmetry constraints (2.20) and (3.15). The leading order of (k;k) as $k \mid k_j$ follows from Eq. (4.7) as

$$(k;k)! \frac{j}{2(k)(k-k_j)};$$

where is not yet de ned. We use Eq. (A.4) of Appendix A to compute the integral term,

$$\frac{Z Z}{(k)(k k_{j})^{2}} = \frac{2 i}{k_{j}};$$

and reduce the system of integral equations (4.7) and (4.8) to an algebraic system as $k \mid k_1$,

2 $j = K_{jj} p_{jj} 2 iA;$ (4.11)

$$2 _{j} = P_{jj j} K_{jj j}$$
(4.12)

If $P_{jj} \in 0$, the determ inant of the above system is strictly positive. Therefore, how ogeneous solutions at A = 0 (bound states) are absent for 6 0. This result indicates that the double eigenvalue at $k = k_j$ disappears under a generic perturbation of the potential u(z;z) with $P_{jj} \in 0$ (see also Ref. [8]).

For A \neq 0, we nd inhomogeneous solutions of Eqs. (4.11) and (4.12),

$$_{j} = \frac{2 \text{ iA } K_{jj} + 2}{\frac{1}{3}K_{jj} + 2 \text{ } j^{2} + \frac{1}{3}P_{jj}\text{ } j^{2}}; \quad _{j} = \frac{2 \text{ iA } P_{jj}}{\frac{1}{3}K_{jj} + 2 \text{ } j^{2} + \frac{1}{3}P_{jj}\text{ } j^{2}}:$$
(4.13)

The eigenfunction (z;z;;) given by Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) satisfies the boundary condition (2.5) if $A = {}^{1}$ and has the following asymptotic representation,

$$(z;z; ;) = e_{1} + \frac{2 i 2 (k_{j}) + K_{jj} j (z;z)}{j (k_{j}) + K_{jj} j + j P_{jj} j} + \frac{2 i P_{jj} j (z;z)}{j (k_{j}) + K_{jj} j + j P_{jj} j} + \frac{2 i P_{jj} j (z;z)}{(k_{j}) + K_{jj} j + j P_{jj} j}$$

$$+ (z;z); \qquad (4.14)$$

where the term (z;z) is not singular in the lim it ! 0 and ! k_j . In the lim it ! 0, $\notin k_j$, we nd a merom orphic expansion for (z;z;;) as

$$(z;z; ;) = e_{1} + \frac{i_{j}(z;z)}{k_{j}} + \frac{K_{jj}_{j}(z;z)}{2 i(k_{j})^{2}} + \frac{P_{jj}_{j}(z;z)}{2 ij k_{j}f} + O(^{2}):$$
(4.15)

It is clear that the double pole can be incorporated by shifting the eigenvalue k_j to

$$k_j = k_j - \frac{K_{jj}}{2}$$
:

The other double-pole term in the expansion (4.15) has a non-analytic behaviour in the k-plane and leads to the appearance of the spectral data b (;) = b(;) which measures the departure of (z;z;;) from analyticity according to Eq. (2.8). We define Eqs. (2.9) and (4.15) that the spectral data b(;) has the following singular behaviour as $!k_i$

b(;)!
$$\frac{P_{jj}}{2 j k_j f}$$
: (4.16)

Thus, if $P_{jj} \notin 0$ the analyticity of (z;z; ;) is destroyed and the lump disappears. This conclusion as well as the analytical solution (4.13) agree with the results of G adyl'shin and K iselev [8, 9] where the transform ation of a single lump into decaying wave packet was also studied.

In the other lim it \bigcirc 0 and ! k we not another expansion from Eq. (4.14),

$$(z;z;;) = e_1 \frac{2 i}{P_{jj}} \int_{j}^{0} (z;z) + O(k_j):$$
 (4.17)

We conclude that the eigenfunction (z;z;;) is now free of pole singularities [8,9]. We sum – marize the main result in the form of a proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose u (z;z) is given by Eq. (4.1) and u (z;z) satis es the constraint,

Then, the potential u = u(z;z) + u(z;z) does not support embedded eigenvalues of the D irac system (2.2) for $\neq 0$.

4.2 Explicit Solution for a Particular Perturbation

Here we specify $c_j = ce^i$, where c and are real, and consider a particular perturbation u(z;z) to the lump u(z;z) (4.1) in the form,

$$u(z;z) = Q(z;z)e^{i(k_j z + k_j z +)};$$

where Q (z;z) is a real function. Using Eqs. (4.10), (4.2), and (4.3), we nd explicitly the matrix elements K_{jj} and P_{jj} ,

$$K_{jj} = \frac{z z}{dz} dz dz \frac{c(z + z_j) [0](z;z) - [0](z;z)]}{[jz + z_j f + c^2]^2} = 0;$$

$$P_{jj} = \frac{z z}{dz} dz dz \frac{jz + z_j f (z;z) + c^2 (z;z)}{[jz + z_j f + c^2]^2} = \frac{1}{2c} dz dz (u u + u u):$$

The element P_{jj} can be seen as a correction to the eld energy,

$$N = \frac{i^{ZZ}}{2} dz^{d} (z_{j}z_{j}) = N_{0} + i dP_{jj} + O(2);$$

where $N_0 = is$ the energy of the single lum p solution (independent of the lum p param eters k_j and c_j). Thus, a perturbation which leads to the destruction of a single lum p, that is with $P_{jj} \notin 0$, changes necessarily the value for the lum p energy N_0 .

5 Concluding Remarks

The main result of our paper is the prediction of structural instability of multi-lum p potentials in the D irac system associated to the DSII equation. The multi-lum p potentials correspond to eigenvalues embedded into a two-dimensional continuous spectrum with the spectral data b(k;k)satisfying the additional constraint (2.21). In this case, there is no interaction between lumps and continuous radiation. However, a generic initial perturbation induces coupling between the lumps and radiation and, as a result of their interaction, the embedded eigenvalues disappear. This result indicates that the localized multi-lum p solutions decay into continuous wave packets in the nonlinear dynam ics of the DSII equation (see also Refs. [8, 9]).

This scenario is different from the two types of bifurcations of embedded eigenvalues discussed in our previous paper [14]. The type I bifurcation arises from the edge of the essential spectrum when the limiting bounded (non-localized) eigenfunction is transformed into a localized bound state. The type II bifurcation occurs when an embedded eigenvalue splits of the essential spectrum. Both situations persist in the spectral plane when the essential spectrum is one-dimensional and covers either a half-axis or the whole axis. However, in the case of the DSII equation, the essential spectrum is the whole spectral plane and embedded eigenvalue can not split of the essential spectrum. A s a result, they disappear due to their structural instability.

A cknow ledgem ents

We bene ted from stimulating discussions with M. Ablowitz, A. Fokas, D. Kaup, O. Kiselev, and A. Yurov. D. P. acknowledges support from a NATO fellowship provided by NSERC and C.S. acknowledges support from NSERC Operating grant OGP 0046179.

Appendix A. Form ulas of the @-analysis

Here we reproduce some form ulas of the complex (2-analysis [17]) to compute the integrals I_0 , $I_1(z)$ and $I_2(z)$ defined in Eqs. (3.23), (3.24), and (3.29). We define the complex integration in the z-plane by zz zz

$$dz^{dz} dzf(z;z) = dz^{dz} dzf(z;z);$$

where $dz \wedge dz = 2idxdy$. The complex (z) distribution is defined by zz $dz \wedge dzf(z) (z z_0) = 2if(z_0);$

where (z) = (x) (y). In particular, the -distribution appears in the -analysis according to the relation [17],

$$\frac{0}{0z} \frac{1}{z z_0} = (z z_0):$$
 (A 2)

(A:1)

C om puting the integral I_0 , we get the form ula,

$$I_{0} = dz^{kz+ikz} = 2i dxdye^{2iRe(k)x-2iIm(k)y} = 2^{2}i (k);$$

which proves the identity (3.23).

U sing the G reen's theorem [17], one has the integration identity,

$$\sum_{D}^{ZZ} dz \wedge dz \quad \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} \quad \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} = \sum_{C}^{Z} (f_1 dz + f_2 dz); \quad (A :3)$$

where D is a dom ain of the complex plane and C its boundary. The generalized C auchy's form ula has the form [17],

$$f(z;z) = \frac{1}{2 i_{C}} \frac{f(z^{0};z^{0})dz^{0}}{z^{0}z} + \frac{1}{2 i_{D}} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0}z} \frac{dz^{0}}{2} \frac{dz^{0}}{z} (A :4)$$

or, equivalently,

$$f(z;z) = \frac{1}{2 i_{c}} \frac{f(z^{0};z^{0})dz^{0}}{z^{0}z} + \frac{1}{2 i_{D}} \frac{Z^{2}}{z^{0}z} \frac{dz^{0} \wedge dz^{0}}{z^{0}z} \frac{df}{dz^{0}}:$$
(A:5)

In order to nd the integral
$$I_1$$
 (z) we use Eq. (A.5) with

$$f(z;z) = \frac{1}{ik} e^{i(kz+kz)}; k \in 0$$

and choose the domain D to be a large ball of radius R (see Eq. (2.14)). The boundary value integral vanishes since

$$\lim_{R! \ 1} \sum_{jz \neq R}^{Z} \frac{dz}{z} e^{i(kz + kz)} = 2 \quad \lim_{R! \ 1} J_0(2j_k j_R) = 0; \quad (A:6)$$

where $J_0(z)$ is the Bessel function. Equation (A.5) for the function f(z;z) then reduces to Eq. (3.24).

In order to compute the integral $I_2(z_0)$, we apply Eq. (A 3) with $f_1 = 0$ and

$$f_2(z;z) = \frac{1}{z - z_0} e^{i(kz + kz)}$$
:

The dom ain D is chosen as above. The boundary value integral vanishes again,

$$\lim_{R! \ 1} \frac{dz}{z} e^{i(kz+kz)} = 2 \quad \lim_{R! \ 1} J_2(2kR) = 0; \quad (A:7)$$

where J₂(z) is the Bessel function. Equation (A.3) for the function $f_2(z;z)$ reduces to Eq. (3.29).

References

- A.Davey and K. Stewartson, On three-dimensional packets of surface waves, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 388, 101{110 (1974).
- [2] M.J. Ablow itz and P.A. Clarkson, Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991).
- [3] A S.Fokas and M J.Ablow itz, On the inverse scattering transform of multidim ensional nonlinear equations related to rst-order systems in the plane, J.M ath. Phys. 25, 2494 (2505 (1984).
- [4] R. Beals and R. R. Coiffn an, The D-bar approach to inverse scattering and nonlinear evolutions, Physica D 18, 242 (249 (1986).
- [5] A.S. Fokas and L.Y. Sung, On the solvability of the N-W ave, Davey{Stewartson and K adom tsev{Petviashvili equations, Inverse Problem s 8, 673{708 (1992).
- [6] V A. Arkadiev, A K. Pogrebkov, and M C. Polivanov, Inverse scattering transform method and soliton solutions for D avey{Stewartson II equation, Physica D 36, 189{197 (1989).
- [7] V A. Arkadiev, A K. Pogrebkov, and M C. Polivanov, C bsed string-like solutions of the D avey{Stewartson equation, Inverse P roblem s 5, L1-L6 (1989).

- [8] R.R.G adyl'shin and O.M.K iselev, On solitonless structure of the scattering data for a perturbed two-dimensional soliton of the Davey{Stewartson equation, Teor. M at. Phys. 161, 200{208 (1996).
- [9] R.R.G adyl'shin and O.M.K iselev, Structural instability of soliton for the D avey{Stewartson II equation, Teor.M at.Fiz.118, 354{361 (1999).
- [10] O M .K iselev, Basic functions associated with a two-dimensionalD irac system, Funct. Anal. Appl. 32, 56(59 (1998).
- [11] O M .K iselev, Perturbation theory for the D irac equation in two-dimensional space, J.M ath. Phys. 39, 2333{2345 (1998).
- [12] A.Yurov, Darboux transform ation for D irac equations with (1 + 1) potentials, Phys. Lett. A 225, 51{59 (1997).
- [13] A. Yurov, private communication (1999).
- [14] D. Pelinovsky and C. Sulem, Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for a scalar Riemann-Hilbert problem associated to inverse scattering, Comm. Math. Phys. (1999) to appear.
- [15] M J. Ablow itz, D J. Kaup, A C. Newell, and H. Segur, The inverse scattering transform { Fourier analysis for nonlinear problem s, Stud. Appl. M ath. 53, 249{315 (1974).
- [16] A.So er and M.J.W einstein, T im e dependent resonance theory, G eom. Funct. Anal. 8, 1086 1128 (1998).
- [17] M J.Ablow itz and A S.Fokas, Complex Variables: Introduction and Applications, Cambridge University Press (1997).