



Latitude of Ephemeral Regions as Indicator of Strength of Solar Cycles

Andrey G. Tlatov¹ and Alexei A. Pevtsov²

¹ Kislovodsk Solar Station of Pulkovo Observatory, Russian Federation
e-mail: tlatov@mail.ru

² National Solar Observatory, Sunspot, NM 88349, U.S.A. e-mail: apevtsov@nso.edu

Abstract. Digitized images of full disk CaK spectroheliograms from two solar observatories were used to study cycle variation of ephemeral regions (ERs) over ten solar cycles 14-23. We calculate monthly averaged unsigned latitude of ERs and compare it with annual sunspot number. We find that average latitude of ERs can be used as a predictor for strength of solar cycle. For a short-term prediction ($\Delta T \sim 1-2$ years), maximum latitude of ephemeral regions (in current cycle) defines the amplitude of that cycle (higher is the latitude of ERs, larger are the amplitudes of sunspot cycle). For a long-term prediction ($\Delta T \sim 1.5$ solar cycles), latitude of ERs at declining phase of n^{th} cycle determines the amplitude of $(n+2)^{\text{th}}$ sunspot cycle (lower is the latitude of ERs, stronger is the cycle). Using this latter dependency, we forecast the amplitude of sunspot cycle 24 at $W=92 \pm 13$ (in units of annual sunspot number).

Key words. Sun: cycle – Sun: activity – Sun: chromosphere – Sun: faculae, plages – Sun: sunspots

1. Introduction

Historic data sets of full disk Ca II K spectroheliograms observed from three observatories: Kodaikanal (KKL), Mount Wilson (MWO), and the National Solar Observatory at Sacramento Peak (NSO/SP) span about ten past solar cycles. Recently, these data were digitized and calibrated. In this study we use KKL and NSO/SP datasets to explore latitudinal distribution of ephemeral active regions as potential precursor of amplitude of sunspot cycles. Images were acquired with exit slit of spectroheliograph of about 0.5 \AA in width centered at $\lambda = 3933.67 \text{ \AA}$. Spatial resolution

is approximately 1.2 arc seconds per pixel. Further details on these data sets can be found in Tlatov et al. (2009). Flocculi and plages were identified using intensity threshold as described in Tlatov et al. (2009). Isolated clusters of bright pixels (not connected with other clusters) were classified as independent flux elements, and the total number of elements and area of each element were computed for every image in our data set. Despite differences in observations, floccular areas show good correlation between instruments and with sunspot number (Figure 1). Tlatov et al. (2009) established a correlation between total area of CaK plages (A_{plage}) and area of sunspots (A_{sunspot}), $A_{\text{plage}} = (8.5 \pm 0.3) + (15 \pm 0.25)A_{\text{sunspot}}$, with

Send offprint requests to: A.G. Tlatov




Fig. 1. Monthly averaged area of Ca II K plages derived from data from three observatories (three upper panels) and area of sunspots (lower panel).

Pearson linear correlation coefficient $R=0.88$. KKL data set is the longest of three, while NSO/SP set is the most recent. Given good correlation between KKL, MWO, NSO/SP Ca II K data we chose to use the Kodaikanal and NSO/SP data for the following analysis.

2. Average Latitude of Ca II K Flocculi

We have selected a subset of mid-size floccular elements with area between 100 and 300 millionths of solar hemisphere (MSH). Harvey & Martin (1973) had classified elements with this area observed in Ca II K line as ephemeral regions. Majority of bright elements identified in Ca II K images correspond to the chromospheric network elements; only a small fraction ($\sim 10\%$) matches ephemeral regions and plages. Furthermore, while total number of bright elements does not vary with sunspot cy-




Fig. 2. Number of Ca II K ephemeral regions in NSO/SP data as function of time and latitude. Data corresponds to 3-months averages computed over 5° latitudinal intervals.

cle, number of ephemeral regions does exhibit sunspot cycle-like variations. Figure 2 shows number of ERs found in NSO/SP data as function of time and latitude. Typically, cycles of ephemeral regions begin at latitudes of ~ 60 degrees about one-two years before sunspot maximum. ERs cycles appear to be shifted relative to sunspot cycles: number of ERs is at its lowest at the rising phase of sunspot cycle, and it reaches maximum in the tail of sunspot cycle when sunspot activity concentrates at the equatorial region.

Next, we have calculated average latitude of ephemeral regions $\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\theta_i|$, where N is total number of ERs observed in a given month and θ_i is the latitude of individual ER.

Average latitude of ephemeral regions shows clear variation with sunspot cycle (Figure 3). ERs latitudes reach maxima on the rising phase of sunspot cycle, approximately one – two years before the number of sunspots reaches its maximum. Examining Figures 3 and 4 one may note a correlation between maximum latitude $\bar{\theta}_1$ of ephemeral regions and amplitude of sunspot cycle: higher is the average latitude of ERs, stronger is the sunspot cycle. Similar tendency is also present in the butterfly diagram of sunspots (not shown): in stronger cycles first sunspots emerge at higher latitudes, while for weaker cycles, latitude at which early sunspots emerge is lower. Figure 5 shows correlation between maximum latitude of ERs ($\bar{\theta}_1$)




Fig. 3. Three months (upper panel, thin curve) and 12-months (thick curve) averaged latitude of Ca II K ephemeral regions derived from NSO/SP data and annual sunspot number (lower panel). Thin vertical lines demonstrate that ephemeral regions reach their maximum latitude at rising phase of sunspot cycle, a few years prior to sunspot maximum.

and sunspot number (W); Pearson linear correlation coefficient $R_{\theta_1} = 0.83$.

Even more interesting, minima of average latitude of ERs ($\bar{\theta}_2$) that occur on declining phase of n^{th} cycle correlate quite well with amplitude of $(n+2)^{\text{th}}$ sunspot cycle. Dashed lines with arrowheads shown in Figure 4 demonstrate this relation.

Figure 6 shows correlation between minimum latitude of ERs ($\bar{\theta}_2$) and sunspot number (W) with $R_{\theta_2} = 0.92$. This latter correlation enables forecast of amplitude of sunspot cycle for about one and a half solar cycles prior to its occurrence.

3. Discussion

Forecasting the strength of future solar cycles was attempted by number of researchers (e.g., Ol' 1968; Javaraiah 2007). In our present study, we demonstrate that average latitude of ephemeral active regions can be used for a short-term ($dT_1 \sim 1-2$ years) and a long-term ($dT_2 \sim 1.5$ solar cycles, or 14-17 years) forecast of amplitude of sunspot cycle. Appearance of emerging regions at high lat-




Fig. 4. Average latitude ($\bar{\theta}$, in degrees) of ephemeral regions (upper panel) and sunspot number (W , lower panel). Open squares and circles mark local minimum ($\bar{\theta}_{2i}$) and maximum ($\bar{\theta}_{1j}$) latitudes of ERs. Dashed lines with arrowheads draw a correspondence between minimum latitude of ERs and maximum sunspot number. Solid lines with arrowheads show correspondence between maximum latitude of ERs and maximum sunspot number. To see the pattern, compare order of cycles in their amplitude with order of minima and maxima in average latitude of ERs.




Fig. 5. Amplitude of n^{th} sunspot cycle (annual sunspot number) as function of maximum latitude (in degrees) of ERs in rising phase of same cycle. Solid line shows a linear approximation to the data, $W^n = (-445.782 \pm 146.000) + (24.884 \pm 6.289) \times \theta_1^n$.




Fig. 6. Amplitude of n^{th} sunspot cycle (annual sunspot number) as function of minimum latitude (in degrees) of ERs in $(n-2)^{\text{th}}$ cycle. Solid line shows linear approximation to the data $W^n = (541.467 \pm 69.939) - (26.761 \pm 4.622) \times \theta_2^{n-2}$.

itudes heralding emergence of sunspots is in agreement with the idea of extended solar cycle (Wilson et al. 1988) with magnetic activity of a current cycle starting at high latitudes a few years prior to sunspot emergence. In its turn, dT_2 is very close to a time interval to transport surface magnetic field into the dynamo region as required by the transport dynamo models (Choudhuri et al. 1995; Tlatov 1996). Latitude $\bar{\theta}_2$ is lower, when the old cycle activity penetrates to lower latitudes in absence of high-latitude activity of a new cycle. This implies that $\bar{\theta}_2$ is lower, when overlap between old and new cycles is shorter. Since the orientation of magnetic fields in two consecutive cycles (cycles n and $n+1$) is opposite to each other, longer overlap between cycles will lead to a weakening of a seed field for $(n+2)$ cycle. In addition, a penetration of magnetic field to lower latitudes may allow for a more efficient cancellation of magnetic field of opposite polarity across solar equator, which in the framework of Babcock-Leighton model may lead to a more effective transformation of magnetic fields of active regions into poloidal field of future cycles.

Finally, using found relationship between minimum latitude of ephemeral regions and amplitude of solar cycle (Figure 6), we predict a relatively modest cycle 24 with annual averaged amplitude of about $W=92 \pm 13$. This

our prediction is in agreement with recent forecast of strength of Cycle 24 (92.8 ± 19.6) by Bhatt et al. (2009) based on geomagnetic aa index.

Acknowledgements. A.T. work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR), the Russian Academy of Sciences, and the Program to Support Leading Scientific Schools by the Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations. A.P. acknowledges partial support from NASA's NNH09AL04I interagency transfer. The National Solar Observatory is operated by the Association for Research in Astronomy (AURA, Inc.) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation (NSF).

References

- Bhatt, N. J., Jain, R., & Aggarwal, M. 2009, *Sol. Phys.*, 122
- Choudhuri A. R., Schssler M., Dikpati M. 1995, *A&A*, 303, L29
- Harvey, K. L., & Martin, S. F. 1973, *Sol. Phys.*, 32, 389
- Javaraiah, J. 2007, *MNRAS*, 377, L34
- Ol', A.I. 1968, *Problems of Arctic and Antarctic*, 28, 137 (in Russian)
- Tlatov, A.G. 1996, *Radiophys. Quant. Electron.*, 39, 794
- Tlatov, A. G., Pevtsov, A. A., & Singh, J. 2009, *Sol. Phys.*, 255, 239
- Wilson, P.R., Altrock, R.C., Harvey, K.L. et al. 1988, *Nature*, 333, 748